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- ABSTRACT

The paper reports a search of all of the Einstein Observatory. IPC and HRI fields for untargeted stars in the Woolley et al. Catalogue of the nearby stars. Optical data and IPC coordinates, flux density ${\underset{x}{x}}$, and luminosity $I_{x}$, or upper limits, are tabulated for 126 single or blended systems, and HRI results for a few of them. IPC luminosity functions are derived for the systems, for 193 individual stars in the systems (with ${\underset{L}{x}}$ shared equally among blended components), and for 63 individual $M$ dwarfs. These stars have relatively large X-ray flux densities that are free of interstellar extinction, because they are nearby, but they are otherwise unbiased with respect to the X-ray properties that are found in a defined small space around the Sun.

## FDML REPORT

CCNTRACT NAS8-36134

Work contimuej satifactorily following the Midterm Report on the lines outlined then. Work is now ccmplete with the result of a paper prepared for publication. A cop. 0 : the manuscript, entitled "An Unbiased X-Ray Sampling of Stars inthin 25 Parsecs of the Sun," is $a t^{+}$ached. The manuscript cover-page abstract and the page 12 conclusions provide a sumary of the findings and conclusions of the research.

Thus, the paper reports a search of all of the Finstein Observatory IPC and MRI fields for untargeted stars in the Woolley et aI. Catalogue of the nearby stars. Optical data and IPC coordirates, flux density $F_{x}$, and luminosity $I_{x}$, or upper limits, are tabulated for 126 single or blended systems, and HRI results for a few of them. IPC luminosity functions are derived for the systems, for 193 individual stars in the systems (with $L_{x}$ shared equally among blended combonents), and for 63 individual $M$ dwarfs. These stars have relatively large X-ray flux densities that are free of interstellar extinction, because they are nearty, but they are otherwise unbiased with respect to the X-ray properties that are found in a defined small space around the Sun.

Several tables exhibit the X-ray properties of the untargeted nearby stars in the IPC and HRI fields. The X-ray luminosities range over three orders of magnitude among detected stars. A few of them show evidence of variability. Luminosity functions include stars with less than 3-sigma upper limits on $L$ by resort to a special algorithm. These luminosity functions peak at the lowest detectable $L_{x}$. Young-disk dwerf N stars occupy a range of higher $\mathcal{L}$ than old-disk dwarf $M$ stars, with an overlap of ranges. A few stars ${ }^{-1}$ with upper-limit $\underset{\sim}{L}$ below the lowest detectable $L$ are old-disk, and the Einstein Observatory was unable to detect siturs near the faint end of the luminosity range unless they were within very few parsecs. Thus the distribution of stellar $\mathcal{L}_{x}$ below about $3 \times 10^{26}$ ergs $s^{-1}$ remains to be found in a future observational prograrl.

No other publication is planned from this contract, but the P.I. draws attention to a reference in the manuscript to D. $I$. Harris and H. M. Johnson, "High-Resolution X-Ray Observations of Nearby Binery. Eystems: Flaring and Evidence for Unseen Companions," in Astrophys. J., 249, 640, 1985, where HRI observations of four stars were presented in such detall as to justify the omission of further discussion of them in this manuscript.
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AN UNBIASED X-RAY SAMPLING OF STARE WITHIN 25 PARSECS OF THE SUN

Hugh M. Johnson<br>Lockheed Kissiles and Space Company<br>Received Year Month Date

ABSTRACT

The paper reports a search of all of the Einstein Observatory. IPC and HRI fields for untargeted stars in the Woolley et al. Catalogue of the nearby stars. Optical data and IPC coordinates, flux density $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and luminosity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$, or upper limits, are tabulated for 126 single or blended systems, and HRI results for a few of them. IPC luminosity functions are derived for the systens, for 193 individual stars in the systems (with $\underline{I}_{x}$ shared equally among blended components), and for 63 individual M dwarfs. These stars have relatively large X-ray flux densities that are free of interstellar extinction, because they are nearby, but they are otherwise unbiased with respect to the X-ray properties that are found in a defined small space around the Sun.

## I. INTRODUCTION

About 4000 target pointings were made with the Imaging Prosortional Counter (IPC) of the Einstein Observatory (SO) and another 800 with its High Resolution Imager (HRI) as identified in Seward and Macdcnald (1993). The nominal fields of view are, respectively, one square degree and 0.14 square degree. Many objects besides targets may be found in these areas, and some targets were not detected. Among the untargeted potential objects are stars in Woolley et al.'s (1970) Catalogue of Stars within 25 Parsecs of the Sun (WEPP in the following). Since WEPP does not. include all of the stars in Gliese's (1969) catalog, it was tracked in parallel with WEPP in the search of all EO fields. It is clearly. worth finding and discussing the untargeted Gliese/WEPP stars because, unlike selected targets, they represent an unbiased sampling of a specific volume, and they are mostly cataloged with an absolute trigonometric parallax, $\underline{\underline{D}}$, so that their X-rey flux density $\underset{\underline{x}}{ }$ (ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) may be reduced to X-ray luminosity $\underline{\underline{x}}_{\mathrm{x}}=1.2 \times 10^{33}$ $\underline{p}^{-2} \underline{F}_{x}\left(\operatorname{ergs~s}{ }^{-1}\right)$. Most other untargeted stars in EO fields, on the contrary, have not been measured for $\underline{p}$, so that only a ratio of $\log {\underset{\sim}{x}}$ to an opticgl apparent magnitude might be given for them. This ratio is not very informative in comparison with X-ray data coupled with p.

The reprocessed production data from the Einstein Data Bank (cf. Harris and Irwin 1984) are the basis for this work. The orimary data are centroid coordinates of each detected image, and counts $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in a detector area and detector passband, corrected for background, vignetting, mirror scattering, detector spread of image, and for interruptions of exposure after start. Catalog stars must be detected to $3 \sigma$ above background to be listed as IPC imaged in the Einstein Data

Bank; otherwise they are listed as upper limits. Conversion of counts $s^{-1}$ in the IPC broadband ( $0.2-3.5 \mathrm{keV}$ ) to ${\underset{F}{x}}^{\text {depends next }}$ on the generally unknown X-ray source spectrum. Although pulse-height channel counts for sufficiently strong IPC sources may be fitted to model spectra, such sources were not found here. A "hardness ratio," defined as the source counts in the $0.9-3.5 \mathrm{keV}$ channels less the source counts in the $0.2-0.8 \mathrm{keV}$ channels, normalized to source counts in all channels, also has too large statistical errors to be a significant spectral index for most sources here. A bimodal temperature distribution for thin plasma was suggested by the EO Solid State Spectrometer data for the only two red dwarfs observed with it (Swank and Johnson 1982), but isothermal coronae at a sirgle canonical temperature are assumed for all sources in this sampling. This is permissible for the derivation of ${\underset{x}{x}}^{\text {since }}$ it has been shown (cf. Harris and Irwin 1984) that a conversion factor of $2 \times 10^{-11}$ erss $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per IPC count $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ reasonably well represents a large range of temperature around $k T=1 \mathrm{keV}$ for Raymond thermal plasma spectra (Raymond and Smith 1979). White dwarfs may be exceptions to this procedure because it is believed that they are photospheric rather than coronal sources of X-rays (Kahn et al. 1984), and may thus require different treatment. Nevertheless formal values of the upjer linits on coronal (i.e. thin-plasma) $\underline{L}_{x}$ will be given for the white dwarfs that fall in the survey fields.

HRI results have been briefly given earlier (Johnson 1994) but with $I_{x}$ derived according to the procedure in Cash, Charles, and Johnson (1980) for a defined plasma in cooling equilibrium. In this
paper the HRI flux dersity is converted to $L_{x}$ in a way analogous to the IPC method but with the factor $6 \times 10^{-11}$ ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per GRI count $8^{-1}$ in the band $0.15-4.0 \mathrm{keV}$. Among stars in HRI fields are the resolvable components of four Binaries ( $G 134 \mathrm{AB}$, Gl 333AB, Gl 570 $A B$, and $G 1$ 669AB) which have been discussed in detail by Harris and Johnson (1995) so that it is unnecessary to include their HRI results here.

The frequent occurrence of binaries that are unresolvable with either the IPC or the HRI is a problem for X-ray astronong. The ratio of $\underset{\sim}{x}$ between the components is generally not known and not predictable even when several other physical parameters are well known, because $I_{x}$ has been found over a large range among single stars that are optically classified alike (cf. Johnson 1993). This problem will be discussed in §III.

## II. THE SAMPIE STARS

## a) Optical Properties

EO targets are excluded from this work unless they must be considered in connection with blended binary-star images. In those cases where only one component of a binary is clearly specified as a target, the unspecified component will be included as an untargeted star in this study. It may not be certain whether the targeted component is the sole or even the dominant $X$-ray source in a blended image.

Both components of binaries with blended images (or potentially blended if undetected) are given in Table 1, the oọtical properties of the whole sample, so that IPC target stars in blended images are thus tabulated. The remarks column of Table 1 , otherwise reserved for optical
information, identifies such targets by T. T followed by an EO sequence number indicates that the star has been a target in another IPC observation than the one used in this sample, where it is untargeted. Most of the Table 1 data are from WEPP, with their notations, e.g. Pattached to magnitudes that are photographic rather than $V$, and $J$ attached to joint magnitudes of photometrically blended binaries. The parallax and its probable error (p.e.) in the third column are from WEPP, except for improved values in Gliese and Jahreiss (1979) and for 01 323AB from van Altena (1985). One value of p+p.e. is assigned to all components of binaries and multiples. The Gl 395 (C) parallax is based on the common proper motion (c.p.m.) noted in Hoffleit and Jaschek (1982). Following WEPP, (S) stands in place of p.e. for spectroscopic parallaxes. One binary, Gl 698AB, received $p=0,033$ in Gliese and Jahreiss (1979), which removes it from the WEPP space. It is kept in the tables but is ondtted from the data that are Hiscussed in §III.

An exception to WEPP are the white dwarf data in Table 1 , from McCook and Sion (1954).

Parenthetical binary-star designations are added to the Gliese/WEPP nunbers when they are useful, e.g. lower-case (ab) indicates spectroscopic binaries, also found as $S B$ in the remarks. The number in parentheses that sometimes follows SB gives the range of velocities ( $k m s^{-1}$ ) observed in the system, while SBI or SB2 denote single-line or double-line SB's. Finally the notation may shbw that an SB orbit ( 0 ) has been published. Visual binary separation and position angle or the semi-major axis of an oribit are noted. Some birary data from Hoffleit and Jaschek (1982), attributed to C. Worley (W) supersede WEPP, and some of their data
vary from WEPP, e.g. the respective identifications of components $A$ and $B$ in $F D$ 20360-1. Optical as well as physical companions are noted when X-ray blending is possible. The V sin $\underline{i}$ measure of steller rotation in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is sometimes available in Hoffleit and Jaschek (1982). Finally, the young disk (H) and old disk (OD) age classification foliows Eggen's (1969) kinematical definition, using velocity components in WEPP.

## b) IPC Observations

The data are divided into detections in Table 2 and upper iinits in Table 3. Upper limits on ${\underset{F}{x}}^{x}$ and $I_{x}$ are $3 \sigma$, computed by the $E 0$ LOCAL DETECT procedure in the broad band. The first colum of Table 2 matches the corresponding names in Table 1 but omits target stars and combines binary or multiple stars with blended images into one entry. The coordinates of the IPC broadband image are followed by the difference, X-O, between the X-ray image coordinate and the WEPP optical coordinate of a single star or, arbitrarily, the first listed component of a binary or multiple, after applying proper motion to the optical coordinate from 1950 epoch to the epoch of the beginning of the X -ray exposure. The IPC broadband $F_{x}$ and the $1 \sigma$ statistical error follow next, then the corresponding $L_{x}$, and the $0 . T$. epoch date. Values of $L_{x}$ that depend on spectroscopic parallaxes are marked with a colon. $A$ mean $\langle p\rangle=$ 00046 is adopted for the blend of WEPP $9124,5,7$ in an image, for their $L_{x}$.

Some stars have a detected inage at one epoch but an upper limit at another, so that they enter both Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Analysis for the probability that a star is secularly constant in $X$-ray emission during a given observation, typically $\sim 2 \times 10^{3}$ s of effective
exposure, indicates pessible variability by alue for the probability of leas then 0.01 in 014901 B on 2980 vune 30 , WEPP 9550 AB on 2981 January $12, \therefore$ ad ol $669 A B$ on 1979 March 27. For the latter see Harris and Johnson (2985), All $)^{*}$ tie designated optical vamables in Table 1 are flare stars, except WEPP 9550A (ab) which is an RS CVn epectroscopic binery, TZ CrB. When TZ C.B was the target in the 1979 January 28 observation the joint $\underline{L}_{x}$ wit: WEFF 9550 was double the joint $\underline{L}_{x}$ on 1981 January 20, but the'earlier epoch does not reveal poseible variahility in the atandard analysis despite the higher ${\underset{\sim}{x}}$. Five of the stars in Table 2 had too few counts to accomplish the standard analysis for variability.

Variability over periods longer than the time devoted to one epoch, defl ned as one EO sequence number, may be shown by comparing the data for stars observed at more than one epoch. The reault is that ${\underset{X}{x}}$ is constant within errors at the two epochs of WEPP 9537 and WEPP 9584ABC, but a variation of $\underset{-x}{ }$ in $G 1$ 659AB is more likely. The upper linits of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ for those stars that are also detected at another epoch are generally greater than the $F_{x}$ at detection, except for Gl 687 (ab), which had too few counts in the detection to confirm variability.
c) FRI Observations

Table 4 presents the untargeted data, with the omissions noted in § I. Unike IPC data the HRI upper limits are estimated from the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ value of the weale st source detect'ed. G1 216 A is the only HRI star without an IPC counterpart in Tables 2 or 3. The standard analysis for variability in the images of $G 1216 A$ and $G 1216 B$ formally resulted in a nil probability of constant $\underset{-x}{ }$. They are not optical variables,
and the X-ray behavior is undoubtediy related to the extension of the 8479 s of not time in the processed inages from a start on $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ March 17 to an end on 1981 February 24. $01216 C$ - VBI 18, unfortunately, outside the HRI field of view.
III. IPC CENSUS AND LUNLNOSITY FUNCTIOHS

Counting only the untargeted stare in Table 1 that contribute to the detections of Table 2 or the upper linits of Table 3, but counting known individual components of untargeted visual or spectroscopic bimpies in blends, one finds seven $A$, eight $F, 27 \mathrm{G}, 30 \mathrm{~K}$, and 63 M dwarfs (whether prefixed dor classified in the MK system), as woll as Iffeen $F, O$, or $K$ atars without any Iuminosity label. 111 M stars without any luninosity $i n b e l$ have been considered as dwaris. In addition there are three white dwaris and 36 unclassified stars. The latter hare escaped classification because of faintness or membership In spectroscopic binsies. Finally, four $A, F$, or 0 stars of lumdnosity class III or IV make a total of 193 known stars. This is $8.4 \%$ of the 2294 known stars counted individualiy in WEPP. The WEPP stars in the volune 25 pc in radius are, in turn, only amall percent of the number expected from an extrapolation of the density in volunes of smaller radii where the fainter ones are more completely counted (cf. Gliese 1931). Many of the unidentified stars within ang given distance may have been detected either optically or with the IPC, but they cannot be tabulated for lack of their parallaxes.

One of the incompletely solved problems of classical astronomy is the deternanation of the empirical luminosity function in the solar neighborhood, or the local population density of stars as function of absolute magnitude. In order to provide an analogous general

Iuminosity function of $\log _{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{x}$ an unbiased sample such as the present une of WEPP stars in untergeted IPC fields is an essential starting point. This leaves open the question of whether an unbiased sample of WEPP stars is also an unblased sample of X-ray sources within 25 pe of the Sun. Nevertheless it is clear that a luminosity function instead composed of targeted stars might be spectaculariy biased toward "interesting" types. The unbiased luminosity function should show the onset and shape of the bright end under defined conditions, but underpopulation must progressively cheracteriee the faint end. This 18 evident because the $3 \sigma$ upper $11 m$ de on $90 \%$ of the IPC-undetected WEPP atars of Table 3 are produced with less than $3 \times 10^{-2}$ count s-1, $s 0$ thet stellar $y_{x}$ of $4.5 \times 10^{28}$ ergs $8^{-1}$ will usually be detected to
 The threshold needed for $3 \sigma$ depends on background, source position in field, and other factors. In order to use the avilable sample most fully, the upper limits on $I x$ in Table 3 will be included in the lund nosity function by the method of Arni et al. (1980), which will partially correct the selection effect against weak $X$-ray sources.

Further selection effects are enbedded in the data. The first one is the unimown share of total $\underline{I}_{-x}$ among $n>1$ components of blended IPC images. The extreme alternatives are to give the total $I_{x}$ to one of the components, or to give $\underline{n}^{-1} \underline{\xi}_{x}$ to each component. Let us assume that $\underline{n}$ is not grester than know from the optical information about apperently individual stars ( $\underline{n}=1$ ) or systems ( $\underline{n}>1$ ), and assisn $\underline{\underline{x}}$ to single stars and $\underline{n}^{-1} \underline{L}_{x}$ to each component in physical systems. This excludes optical companions that are not physical components.

Finally, asy star observed more than once with different vilues of $\underline{L}_{x}$, or upper 1 imits on ${\underset{L}{x}}$, is anaigned the minimus value in the range on the asoumption thet a quiescent state of $L_{x}$ is longer lasting and more typical than flarine or other active periods.

Table 5 presents a general luninosity function for the IPC data according to the given precepts. The first colum lists factor of 2 bins of $\underline{L}_{x}$ for the number of ditected stars in tre econd colum. Ondetected atars in the third colum are binned so thet each upper limit on $\underline{L}_{-x}$ is lese than the geovetrical mean (central vilue) of the adjacent higher bin, at in Avil et al. (1980). The undetected atars are rediatributed in the fourth colum, according to the likelihood function formulated by Avai et al. (1990), and are added to the detected stars to make the total effective number of atars in each bin of the last colum. The three stars of lowest upper linits on $\underline{L}_{x}$ cannot be assigned to the last three bins aince no stars were detected in them. The luminosity function shows that the relative number of nearby stars per equal step of $108 \underset{\mathcal{L}_{x}}{ }$ increases as $\underline{f}_{x}$ decreases to about $3 \times 10^{26}$ ergs $s^{-1}$, but the peak may rafleot oniy a 21 mitation on the power of the EO to detect less lundnous sources in the WEPP sample.

Another lundnosity function my be derived for the WEPP eystems. This uses the blended $\underline{L}_{x}$ of binaries and multiples in the same way as the ${\underset{\sim}{x}}^{x}$ of single stars, but excludes all systems that contain any targeted combonents. The lumanosity function of systems foregoes the optic̣al knowledge of systems multiplicities and avoids arbitraminess in assuring the relative lurinosities of the components. Table 6 gives the results for the 126 systems, presented as in Table 5. The very

1urinous system at ${\underset{a}{x}}=1.3 \times 10^{30}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is the RS CVn system TZ CrB which was once targeted but also once fell in an IPC field that had targeted an extragalactic radio source. As combared with the general luminosity function in Table 5, the luninosity function of WEPP systems has two peaks rather than one, but the more populous peak is again at the lower $\mathrm{L}_{-\mathrm{x}}$. The faintest upper limits cannot be added into effective numbers in any bins below this peak.

A luminosity function for just $M$ dwarfs follows the precepts for the general luminosity function. Several stars that are spectroscopically unclassified in Täble 1 ray $b \in \mathbb{M}$ dwarfs or white dwarfs according to $M_{v}$. They are excluded from the present luminosity function. All 23 detected $M$ dwarfs are classifiable as ID or OD , but 12 of the 40 M dwarfs left undetected as upper limits on $\underline{L}_{x}$ lack some of the kinematical data that are required for the age classification. In Table 7 the data for detections are subdivided into $I D$ or $O D$ stars, but the upper limits are not so subdivided. Table 7 shows that the seven most luminous detected $M$ dwarfs are $Y D$, while the six least luminous are equally divided between ID and $0 D$. The three $M$ dwarfs with uoper limits of $L_{x} \leqslant 3.4 \times 10^{26}$ erge $s^{-1}$ (namely G1 283B, G1 666B, and G1 699 = Barnard's star) are also $O D$ stars. The results clearly show in an unbiased way that joung-disk $M$ dwarfs tend to be more luminous $X$-ray sources than old-disk $M$ cwarfs are, but with an overlap in the range $2.5 \times 10^{26}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}<1.6 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{28}$ eres $s^{-1}$. The effective number of $M$ dwarfs relative to the effective nurber of all stars per bin in the general luminosity function.fluctuates from $17 \%$ to $58 \%$, but the percentage shows little trend through a range of $10^{3}$ in $\underline{L}_{x}$.
IV. CONCLUSIORS

Several tables exhibit the X-ray properties of the untargeted nearby stars in IPC and HRI fields of the EO. The biraries and multiples of 126 pystems are usually blended in X-ray images but, when that is $s 0$, they have been optically analyzed to make a total of 193 individual stars of a wide variety. The X-ray luminosities of detacted stars range over three orders of magnitude. A few of them show evidence of variability. Iuminosity functions of sys tems, of all individual stars; and of the $M$ dwarfs are presented, including sters with less than $3 \sigma$ upper limits on $I_{x}$ by resort to a special algorithm. These luminosity functions peak at the lowest detectable $\underline{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$. DD dwarf $M$ stars occupy a range of higher ${\underset{-x}{x}}$ than $O D$ dwarf $N$ stars, with an overlap of ranges. A few sters with upper-limit $I_{x}$ below the lowest detectable $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ are old-disk, and the EO was unable to detect stars near the faint end of the luminosity range unless they were within very few parsecs. Thus the distribution of stellar $I_{x}$ below $\sim 3 \times 10^{26}$ ergs s $^{-1}$ remains to be found in a future observational program.

This work has been done as an Einstein Guest Investigator program under NASA contract NAS8-36134. I thank D. E. Harris for programming the search for all of the Einstein Data Bank fields that contain WEPP stars, and Sherene Arem and F. D. Seward for reprocessing these fields in a timely way. W. van Altena checked a list of the systems that lacked trigonometric parallaxes in $197 n$ and confirmed that only Gl 323 AB has sirce then been measured for $\underline{p}$.
table 1
Optical Properties of the IPC and HRI Samples of Stars

| Gliese／ | Other | p＋p．e．Spectral |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEPP | Name | （0：001） | Type | $v$ | $M_{V}$ | Group | Remarks |
| 5 | ADS 69A | $69 \pm 7$ | KO V | 6.14 | 5.33 | YD | CaIlem，B，C，D opt．？，sep．＞153＂ |
| 9006 | LTT 10091 | 45士12 | $\pm$ | 13．8P | 14．2P | ．．． | NLTT color class |
| 28 | HD 3765 | $72 \pm 7$ | K2 V | 7.35 | 6.64 | OD | CaIIem |
| 33 | HD 4628 | $143 \pm 4$ | K2 V | 5.75 | 6.54 | OD | T5433，WAB 181：2 opt．？ |
| 35 | WD 0046＋051 | $239 \pm 1$ | DZ7 | 12.41 | 14.30 | OD | T861 |
| 38 | Wolf 33 | $55 \pm 4$ | dM2 | 11.5 | 10.2 | OD | ．．． |
| 9035 | HD 5817 | $40 \pm 10$ | dG2 | 8.4 | 6.4 | OD |  |
| 9052A | HD 7895 | 46さ6 | K1 V． | 8.00 | 6.3 | OD | AB 27 ＂ $8,209^{\circ}$ |
| 9052B | ADS 1057B | $46 \pm 6$ | Mо | 10.73 | 9.0 | OD |  |
| 66A（ab） | HD 10360 | $148 \pm 7$ | KO V | 5.82 | 6.67 | YD | SB |
| 66B | HD 10361 | $148 \pm 7$ | KO V | 5.86 | 6.71 | YD | WAB 10＂8 orb． |
| 70 | LTT 10604 | $114 \pm 14$ | dM2 | 10.95 | 11.2 | OD | －． |
| 9067A | $+3^{\circ} 275$ | $43 \pm 12$ | dK5 | 10.6 | 8.8 | YD | AB 15＂ |
| 90678 | LTT 10690 | $43 \pm 12$ | M2 | 12.4 | 10.6 | YD |  |
| 9073A | HD 13043 | $40 \pm 7$－ | G2 V | 6.90 | 4.9 | OD | AB 84＂，339 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 9073B | Ross 681 | $40 \pm 7$ | ．．． | 10.52 | 8.5 | OD | B－V $=+1.24$ |
| 9074 | Ross 17 | $46 \pm 12$ | M3 | 15.4 P | 13．7P | －． | ．．． |
| 86 | HD 13445 | $89 \pm 7$ | K0 v | 6.12 | 5.87 | OD | $\cdots$ |
| 9087 | HD 16287 | $44 \pm 12$ | R0 | 8.10 | 6.3 | － | －•• |
| 9092A | HD 16619 | $43 \pm 12$ | dG4 | 7．83J | 6.05 | OD | $a=0.148$ |
| 9092B | ADS 2028B | $43 \pm 12$ | － | 9.0 | 7.2 | OD | －•• |
| 121 | HD 18978 | 58土9 | AS V | 4.09 | 2.9 | YD | $v \sin \mathrm{i}=144$ |
| ． 147 | HD 22484 | $61 \pm 5$ | F8 V | 4.28 | 3.21 | YD | T5455，v sin $i=0$ |
| 9124 | Yale 781 | $44 \pm 11$ | F8 | 10.8 | 9.0 | ．．． | ．．． |
| 9125 | Yale 782 | $48 \pm 13$ | G0 | 11.0 | 9.4 | ．．． | ．．． |
| 9126 | HD 23232 | $51 \pm 13$ | R2 | 9.2 | 7.7 | ．．． | ．．． |
| 9127 | Yale 786 | $46 \pm 11$ | G3 | 11.2 | 9.5 | －． | ．．． |
| 9131 | HD 23585 | $48 \pm 13$ | A9 V | 8.4 | 6.8 | YD | ．．． |
| 9132 | HD 23713 | $45 \pm 10$ | F6 V | 9．5P | 7．8P | YD | －•• |
| 9135 | HD 283066 | $44 \pm 16$ | dK6 | 11.4 P | 9．6P | $\cdots$ | CaIIem |
| 9137 | －37． 1501 | $54 \pm 8$ | K | 12．8P | 11．5P | OD | －•• |
| 157A | HD 24916 | 102 $\pm 12$ | dK5 | 8.06 | 8.1 | YD | T，AB $11^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\circ}$ |
| 157B（ab） | ADS 2894B | $102 \pm 12$ | dM3e | 11.48 | 11.5 | YD | SB（35） |
| 160 | HD 25680 | $69 \pm 5$ | G5 V | 5.90 | 5.09 | YD | $v \sin 1=3, W A B 170: 1$ opt． |
| 9849 | ．．． | $50 \pm 8$ | ．．． | 15.0 | 14.2 | ．．． | osin 1＝3，WAB 17081 opt． |
| 9850 | ．$\cdot$ ． | $58 \pm 9$ | －．． | 16.5 | 15.3 | －．${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 9157（ab） | HD 28527 | $52 \pm 10$ | A6 IV | 4.78 J, | 3.4 J | YD | SB，v sin $1=71$ ，WAB 250＂opt．？ |
| 9158 | HD 28946 | $43 \pm 13$ | K1 | 8.0 | 6.2 | ．${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| 9159A | Aldebaran | $50 \pm 5$ | K5 III | 0.85 v ． | －0．64V | YD | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{AB} 30.4,110^{\circ}$ ， |
| 9159B | ADS 3321B | $50 \pm 5$ | dM2 | 13.2 | 11.7 | YD | － |
| 172 | HD 232979 | $93 \pm 6$ | K8 V | 8.61 | 8.45 | YD | weak CaIIem |
| 180 | LTT 2116 | $83 \pm 6$ | M3 | 12．5P | 12．1P | OD | ．．． |
| 9177 | HD 33811 | $40 \pm 14$ | G5 | 8.71 | 6.7 | ．．． | － |
| 201 | HD 35171 | $63 \pm 5$ | dK5 | 7.97 | 7.0 | YD | CaIIem |


| Gliese/ <br> WEPP | Other <br> Name | p+p.e. <br> (0:0001) | Spectral |  | Age |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Type | v | M | Group |  |
| 209 | HD 37124 | $55 \pm 11$ | G4 V | 7.61 | 6.3 | OD | ... |
| 9185 | ED 37656 | $42 \pm 12$ | K5 V | 9.32 | 7.4 | YD |  |
| 9186 | HD 37495 | $46 \pm 9$ | F4 V | 5.28 | 3.6 | YD | $v \sin 1=31$ |
| 216A | HD 38393 | $123 \pm 8$ | F6 V | 3.60 | 4.05 | YD | AB $96.3,350^{\circ}, \mathrm{v}$ sin $i=11$ |
| 216B | HD 38392 | $123 \pm 8$ | K2 V | 6.15 | 6.60 | YD | T |
| 9191 | HD 39194 | $47 \pm 13$ | K0 V | 8.09 | 6.5 | OD |  |
| 9205 | HD 42250 | $43 \pm 11$ | dG7 | 7.43 | 5.6 | OD |  |
| 9209A | HD 44120 | $42 \pm 12$ | G3 v | 6.44 | 4.6 | OD | AB $40.66,302^{\circ}$, also 38:3 opt. |
| 9209B | WD0615-591 | $42 \pm 12$ | DB4 | 14.09 | 11.42 | OD |  |
| 233A | HD 45088 | $64 \pm 5$ | dK3 | 6.74 | 5.8 | YD | T, AB 1:66,304 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 233B | ADS 5054B | $64 \pm 5$ | -•• | 13.8 | 12.8 | YD |  |
| 234A | v577 Mon A | $246 \pm 3$ | dM4e | 11.07 J | 13.02 J | YD | T, $\mathrm{a}=0$ ".98 |
| 234B | V577 Mon B | $246 \pm 3$ | ... | 14.4 | 16.4 | YD |  |
| 250A | HD 50281 | $104 \pm 8$ | dX6 | 6.66 | 6.75 | YD | T,AB $58,177{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 250B | LTT 2663 | $104 \pm 8$ | M2 | 10.11 | 10.20 | YD | ... |
| 263 | NSV03363 | $60 \pm 5$ | M5 | 11.4 | 10.3 | -. |  |
| 283A | WD0738-172 | $125 \pm 7$ | DZQ6 | 12.98 | 13.42 | OD | T,AB 21 , $276{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 283B | LTT 2916 | $125 \pm 7$ | M | 17.68 | 18.4P | OD |  |
| 9248 | $+14^{\circ}{ }^{1} 1802$ | $56 \pm 12$ | dK8 | 10.30 | - 9.0 | YD | CaIIem |
| 9265 | +29 ${ }^{\circ} 1754$ | 43(S) | dK8 | 9.65 | 7.8 | ... | ... |
| 9269 | HD 72769 | $40 \pm 12$ | dGS | 7.19 | 5.2 | YD | -.. |
| 311 | HD 72905 | $69 \pm 5$ | G0 V | 5.64 | 4.83 | YD | $v \sin 1=4$ |
| 9275A | HD 74385 | $49 \pm 12$ | K0 | 8.10 | 6.6 | YD | AB $45^{\prime \prime}, 188^{\circ}$ |
| 9275B | LTT 3222 | $49 \pm 12$ | M1 | 14.6P | 13.1P | YD |  |
| 9276 | HD 74772 | $49 \pm 11$ | GS III | 4.06 | 2.5 | YD | AB 45 " $3,63^{\circ}$ opt |
| 9278A | HD 74956 | $48 \pm 7$ | AO V | 1.95 J | 0.4 J | YD | T, AB $2 \cdot 6,153^{\circ}$, v sin $1=40$ |
| 9278B | Yale 2098(B) | ) $48 \pm 7$ | ... | 5.1 | 3.5 | YD |  |
| 9278C | Yale 2098(C) | ) $48 \pm 7$ | ... | 11.0 | 9.4 | YD | AC 69:2,61 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 9878D | Yale 9098(D) | ) $48 \pm 7$ | -.. | 13.5 | 11.9 | YD | CD 6:2,102 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 323A | $+8{ }^{+} 131$ | $60 \pm 5$ | dMOp | 9.08 J | 8.0 J | YD | AB $216.119^{\circ}$ |
| 323B | ADS 7044B | $60 \pm 5$ | ... | 9.9 | 8.8 | YD |  |
| 324A | HD 75732 | $74 \pm 7$ | G8 V | 5.97 | 5.32 | YD | T, AB $85{ }^{\prime \prime}, 129^{\circ}$ |
| 324 B | LTT 12311 | $74 \pm 7$ | M5 | 13.15 | 12.50 | YD |  |
| $331 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{ab})$ | NSV04329 | $66 \pm 6$ | A7 V | 3.14 | 2.24 | YD | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{AB} 4: 5,16^{\circ}, \mathrm{SB10}, \mathrm{v}$ sin $1=151$ |
| 331 B | LTT 12348 | $66 \pm 6$ | dM1 | 11.4 | 10.5 | YD | ... |
| 331C | ADS 7114 C | $66 \pm 6$ | -•• | 11.7 | 10.8 | YD | $a=0.680$ |
| 9298 | $+40^{\circ} 2208$ | 42(S) | dK8 | 9.88 | 8.0 | OD | ... |
| 346 | $-8^{\circ} 2689$ | 53(S) | dMO | 10.49 | 9.1 | ... | ... |
| 363 | LFT 672 | $71 \pm 11$ | M5 | 14.2P | 13.5P | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 9316B | HD 87884 | $41 \pm 14$ | K 1 V | 8.14 | 6.2 | YD | AB 177,307 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 9316C | ADS 7654C | $41 \pm 14$ | ... | 13.5 | 11.6 | YD | BC $21.5,86^{\circ}$ |
| 9316 D | ADS 7654D | $41 \pm 14$ | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | ... | YD | AD $2177^{\prime \prime} 274^{\circ}$ (WEPP $a, \delta$ incorrect) |
| 384A | HD 88746 | $66 \pm 13$ | G8 V | 8.12 J | 7.2J | YD | AB 5 " $3^{\prime \prime}, 127^{\circ}$ |
| 384 B | Yale 2403 (B) | $66 \pm 13$ | ... | 10.8 | 9.9 | YD | ... |
| 9322 | HD 88725 | $43 \pm 6$ | G1 V | 7.76 | 5.9 | OD |  |
| 9324 | NSVO4822 | $51 \pm 12$ | F6 IV | 4.80 | 3.3 | YD | $v$ sin $i=16,8$ Sct var.? |
| 394 | +56'1458 | $77 \pm 5$ | K7 V | 8.69 | 8.1 | YD | T, AB 120', 304 ${ }^{\circ}$, 394=395(B), c.p.m. |
| 395(A) | HD 90839 | $77 \pm 5$ | F8 V | 4.84 | 4.27 | YD | AC 139", v sin $\mathrm{i}=0$ |

TABLE 1－Continued

| Gliese／ <br> WEPP | Other <br> Name | P＋p．e．Spectral |  |  |  | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | （0．0001） | ）Type | V | M | Group | Remarks |
| 395（C） | $+57^{\circ} 1266$ | $77 \pm 5$ | －．． | 8.2 | 7.6 | YD | Am：Hoffleit and Jaschek（1982） |
| 417 | HD 97334 | 42（S） | G0 V | 6.3 | 4.4 | YD？ | WAB 138：7 opt．，v sin $1<6$ |
| 9357 | HD 98281 | $54 \pm 7$ | G8 V | 7.30 | 6.0 | OD |  |
| 427 | WD1121＋216 | $78 \pm 3$ | D27 | 14.12 | 13.58 | OD | ．．． |
| 428A | HD 99279 | $90 \pm 6$ | K7 V | 7.21 J | 6.98 J | YD | AB 5＂8 |
| 428B | Yale 2645B | $90 \pm 6$ | MO V | 8.6 | 8.4 | YD | CaIIem |
| 450 | ＋36 ${ }^{\circ} 2219$ | 125士9 | M1 V | 9.78 | 10.26 | YD | CaIlem |
| 9394 | HD 106038 | $41 \pm 11$ | F6 V－VI | 110.18 | 8.2 | OD | ．．． |
| 9404 | ＋292279 | $51 \pm 10$ | M2 v | 10.62 | 9.2 | OD | －•• |
| 461 | $+1{ }^{\circ} 2684$ | 60（S） | dMO ${ }^{\text {－}}$ | 10.2 | 9.1 | YD | CaIIem |
| 464 | HD 107888 | $50 \pm 10$ | dM2 | 10.4 | 8.9 | YD |  |
| 471 | ＋9 ${ }^{\circ} 2636$ | $69 \pm 7$ | dM1 | 9.78 | 8.97 | OD | C．p．m．with 469 |
| 475（ab） | NSV85725 | $109 \pm 6$ | G0 V | 4.27 | 4.46 | YD | SBIO，v sin $1 \leq 3$ |
| 9418 | ＋71 632 | $40 \pm 9$ | K8 | 9.5 | 7.5 | OD |  |
| 490A | ＋36 ${ }^{\circ} 2322$ | $48 \pm 6$ | dMOe | 10.60 | 9.01 | YD | AB 17＂，CaIlem |
| 490B | NSV06039 | 48さ6． | dMe | 13.16 | 11.57 | YD | CaII strong em |
| 9427 | ＋35 ${ }^{\circ} 2406$ | $51(5)$ | dK8 | 9.34 | 7.9 | YD |  |
| 9441 | HD 115892 | $51 \pm 7$ | A2 V | 2.73 | 1.3 | YD | $v \sin 1=85$ |
| 509A | HD 116495 | $54 \pm 5$ | dMO | 8.90 J | 7．6J | YD | AB 0：7 |
| 509B | ADS 8887B | $54 \pm 5$ | dK6 | 9.7 | 8.4 | YD | ．．． |
| 513 | LTT 13924 | 55士12 | M5 | 13．5P | 12．2P | OD | －•• |
| 9447 | Ross 476 | $47 \pm 20$ | dM6 | 14.34 | 12.6 |  | c．p．m．with Gl 515（T），AB 500＂ |
| 516A | vW Com | $57 \pm 8$ | dM4e | 11.39 J | 10．2J | OD | $A B 3: 0,22^{\circ}$ |
| 516B | Vyss 144B | $57 \pm 8$ | dM4e | 11.5 | 10.3 | OD |  |
| 527A | NSV06444 | $57 \pm 9$ | F7 | 4.50 | 3.3 | YD | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{AB} 5.4,7^{\circ}, \mathrm{v} \sin 1=14$ |
| 527B | ADS 9025B | $57 \pm 9$ | M2 | 10.6 | 9.4 | YD |  |
| 528A | HD 120476 | $87 \pm 7$ | dK6 | 7.04 J | 6.71 J | YD | AB $2: 4$ |
| 528B | ADS 9031B | $87 \pm 7$ | dK6 | 8.2 | 7.9 | YD |  |
| 534（ab） | HD 12.1370 | $102 \pm 5$ | GO IV | 2.68 | 2.72 | YD | T851，SB10， v sin 1＝13， |
| 536 | HD 122303 | $92 \pm 8$ | dMO | 9.8 | 9.6 | ．${ }^{\circ}$ | ．．． |
| 9468 | HD 123505 | $40 \pm 5$ | G9 V | 9.68 | 7.7 | OD | －． |
| 547 | HD 126053 | $61 \pm 5$ | G1 V | 6.27 | 5.20 | OD | $v \sin i=1$ |
| 548A | ＋24 2733 | $65 \pm 7$ | dM1 | 9.71 | 8.77 | OD | AB $45.4,74^{\circ}$ |
| 548B | BDS 6869B | $65 \pm 7$ | dM2 | 9.9 | 9.03 | OD |  |
| 549A | NSV06669 | $68 \pm 6$ | F6 V | 4.06 | 3.22 | OD | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{AB} 69.2,182^{\circ} \mathrm{v}$ v sin $\mathrm{i}=34$ |
| 549B | LTT 14246 | $68 \pm 6$ | M3 | 11．8P | 11．08 | OD | ．．． |
| 9480 | ＋2402735 | $50 \pm 8$ | dMO | 10.91 | 9.4 | OD | ．．． |
| 561 | ＋27 ${ }^{\circ} 2411$ | $52 \pm 18$ | G5 | 9.5 | 8． 1 | YD | $\cdots$ |
| 566A | HD 131156 | $153 \pm 4$ | G8 V | 4.54 J | 5．46J | YD | T10418，$a=4: 9$, CaIIem，$v$ sin $i=3$ |
| 566B | ADS 9413B | $153 \pm 4$ | K5 V | 6.91 | 7.70 | YD | CaIlem |
| 567（ab） | NSY06847 | $84 \pm 7$ | K1 V | 6.04 | 5.66 | YD | SB（25） |
| 9515 | $+8^{\circ} 3000$ | 42（S） | dM0 | 10.6 | 8.7 | －•• | ．．． |
| 9516 | HD 135379 | $52 \pm 10$ | A3 V | 4.07 | 2.7 | YD | $v \sin 1=59$ |
| 584 A | NSV07054 | $61 \pm 4$ | G2 V | 4.98 J | 3.91 J | YD | $\mathrm{a}=0$＇839，AC 58＂opt． |
| 584B | ADS 9617B | $61 \pm 4$ | G2 V | 5.9 | 4.8 | YD | $A B=S B 20$ |
| 9533 | HD 1 1 3291 | $44 \pm 5$ | K0 V | 8.02 | 6.2 | YD | －•• |

## TABLE 1-Continued



TABLE 1-Continued

| Gliese/ <br> WEPP | Other <br> Name | p+p.e. <br> (0'001) | Spectral |  |  | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Type | v | $M_{v}$ | Group | Remarks |
| 9658 | HD 183650 | $49 \pm 7$ | dG5 | 6.97 | 5.4 | OD |  |
| 765A | HD 185395 | 56士9 | F5 IV | 4.47 | 3.2 | YD | T, AB 4 ,2,53 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{AC}=40.4$ opt. |
| 765B | ADS 12695B | $56 \pm 9$ | ... | 13.0 | 11.7 | YD |  |
| 766A | Ross 165 | $94 \pm 5$ | dM4e | 12.7 | 12.6 | ... | AB 0.9, $247^{\circ}$ |
| 766B | Yale 4646(B) | $94 \pm 5$ | $\cdots$ | 13.7 | 13.6 | - |  |
| 9699(ab) | HD 195987 | $51 \pm 5$ | G9 V | 7.09 | 5.65 | YD | SB(29) |
| 9705 | Ross 766 | $40 \pm 7$ | dM3 | 11.5 | 9.5 | OD |  |
| 9707A(ab) | HD 197989 | $46 \pm 8$ | K0 III | 2.46 | 0.8 | YD | T,SB,CaIIem, $\mathrm{AB} 54: 9,272^{\circ}$ opt. |
| 9707C | LTT 16072 | $46 \pm 8$ | dM4 . | 13.4 | 11.7 | YD | AC 78\%1, $265^{\circ}$ |
| 830 | HD 204587 | $61 \pm 7$ | MO V | 9.10 | 8.0 | OD | ... |
| 9747 | Rogs 201 | $44 \pm 13$ | M4 | 16.3P | 14.5P | $\cdots$ | ... |
| 849 | -5 5715 | $112 \pm 5$ | dM3 | 10.42 | 10.67 | YD | - |
| 851 | Ross 271 | $83 \pm 5$ | dM2 | 10.1 | 9.7 | YD | CaIIem |
| 9779(ab) | NSV 14132 | $42 \pm 5$ | A0 V | 3.85 | 2.0 | YD | SB, AB 37\%4,140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{opt}$. |
| 889 | HD 218294 | 53(S) | dMO | 9.68 | 8.3 | OD | -.. |
| 9812A | HD 218641 | $40 \pm 10$ | G2 V | 4.68 J | 2.75 | YD | AB 0:4,70 |
| 9812B | HD 218640 | $40 \pm 10$ | A2 | 5.6 | 3.6 | YD | ... |
| 894 | $-43^{\circ} 16263$ | $62 \pm 11$ | K5 | 10.3 | 9.3 | -•• | $\cdots$ |
| 900 | +0 5017 | 59さ10 | dM1 | 9.59 | 8.4 | YD | CaIIem |
| 9842 | LTT 17032 | $50 \pm 12$ | M5 | 17.0 | 15.5P | $\cdots$ |  |
| 909A(ab) | HD 223778 | $93 \pm 4$ | K3 V | 6.40 | 6.24 | YD | T,SB20, AB 4:6,95 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 909 B | ADS $\mathrm{O}_{1}^{17062 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $93 \pm 4$ | MO | 11.8 | 11.6 | YD | $\cdots$ |

table 2
The IPC Detecitions


| 9278BCD | 8 | 43 | 20.3 | ＋1．2 | －54 | 31 | 24 | ＋8 | （6．9 $\pm 0.1)-13$ | $(3.6 \pm 0.6)+28$ | 79 Jul 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324B | 8 | 49 | 36.9 | ＋1．0 | ＋28 | 30 | 26 | ＋51 | （5．5士1．4）－14 | $(1.2 \pm 0.3)+27$ | 79 Oct 28 |
| 331BC | 8 | 55 | 49.8 | ＋3．1 | ＋48 | 13 | 53 | －24 | （5．5士1．4）－13 | $(1.5 \pm 0.4)+28$ | 79 Oct 30 |
| 9298 | 9 | 24 | 20.2 | －0．2 | ＋39 | 42 | 40 | －46 | （3．9 $\pm 0.7)-13$ | （2．6 $\pm 0.5)+28:$ | 79 Oct 19 |
| 9316BC | 10 | 05 | 31.4 | －1．1 | ＋12 | 13 | 57 | ＋33 | （3．0さ0．9）－13 | （2．1）0．7）＋28 | 79 May 23 |
| 384AB | 10 | 10 | 55.9 | －0．6 | －47 | 13 | 59 | －16 | （3．0：066）－13 | $(8.2 \pm 1.8)+27$ | 79 Dec 15 |
| 395（AC） | 10 | 27 | 12.6 | －12．8 | ＋56 | 15 | 14 | ＋57 | （7．141．4）－13 | $(1.4 \pm 0.3)+28$ | 80 May ＇ 2 L |
| 417 | 11 | 09 | 49.2 | －0．9 | ＋36 | ， 05 | 38 | ＋25 | （5．0 $\pm 1.0)-13$ | $(3.4 \pm 0.6)+28:$ | 79 May 25 |
| 450 | 11 | 48 | 31.5 | ＋0．8 | ＋35 | 33 | 44 | ＋49 | （2．4 $\pm 0.6)-13$ | $(1.8 \pm 0.4)+27$ | i9 Jec 12 |
| 9404 | 12 | 17 | 01.2 | ＋6．6 | ＋28 | 39 | 16 | －17 | （8．0さ2．6）－14 | （3．7士1．2）＋27 | 80 Jun 2？ |
| 475（ab） | 12 | 31 | 24.7 | ＋4．6 | ＋41 | 38 | 14 | ＋23 | （1．0さ0．4）－13 | $(1.1 \pm 0.4)+27$ | 79 Dec 8 |
| 490AB | 12 | 55 | 19.1 | ＋0．8 | ＋35 | 29 | 48 | ＋6 | （1．4士0．2）－12 | $(7.3 \pm 1.3)+28$ | 78 Dec 18 |
| 490AB | 12 | 55 | 17.4 | －0．9 | ＋35 | 29 | 50 | ＋8 | （1．2さ0．04）－12 | （6．0さ0．2）＋28 | 80 Jun 30 |
| 509AB | 13 | 21 | 12.6 | －0．4 | ＋29 | 28 | 16 | －93 | （1．4 $\pm 0.5)-13$ | $(5.6 \pm 2.1)+27$ | 79 Dec 13 |
| 516 AB | 13 | 30 | 19.9 | ＋1．3 | ＋17 | 04 | 10 | ＋4 | （2．1£0．7）－13 | $(7.6 \pm 2.5)+27$ | 79 Dec 20 |
| 527 B | 13 | 44 | 52.9 | ＋1．0 | ＋17 | 42 | 18 | －1 | （2．3さ0．2）－12 | $(8.6 \pm 0.7)+28$ | 81 Jan 26 |
| 534（ab） | 13 | 52 | 17.0 | －0．9 | ＋18 | 38 | 40 | －3 | （3．9さ0．8）－13 | （ $4.5 \pm 0.9$ ）+27 | 81 Jaq 11 |
| 549B | 14 | 25 | 29.7 | ＋0．5 | ＋52 | 04 | 42 | 0 | （6．7士0．2）－12 | $(1.7 \pm 0.05)+29$ | 80 Jan 2 |
| 566AB | 14 | 49 | 05.7 | ＋0．4 | ＋19 | 18 | 26 | ＋5 | （9．6さ0．5）－12 | （4．9さ0．2）＋28 | 80 Aug 12 |
| 567（ab） | 14 | 51 | 07.0 | ＋1．0 | ＋19 | 21 | 47 | ＋29 | （2．0さ0．3）－12 | （3．5 $\pm 0.5)+28$ | 81 Jan 24 |
| 584AB | 15 | 21 | 09.8 | ＋1．5 | ＋30 | 27 | 51 | －3 | （2．6さ0．6）－13 | （8．4土1．9）+27 | 81 Jan 11 |
| 9537 | 15 | 59 | 07.3 | －0．2 | ＋33 | 24 | 47 | －122 | （2．8さ0．7）－13 | $(1.9 \pm 0.5)+28$ | 79 Aug 15 |
| 9537 | 15 | 59 | 06.7 | ＋1．2 | ＋33 | 24 | 32 | －137 | （4．4土1．0）－13 | （3．0 $\pm 0.7)+28$ | 80 Jan 20 |
| 9550B | 16 | 12 | 48.3 | ＋0．9 | ＋33 | 59 | 08 | ＋11 | （4．3さ0．1）－11 | （2．8さ0．1）+30 | 79 Jan 23 |
| 9550A（ab）B | 16 | 12 | 48.8 | ＋1．5 | ＋33 | 59 | 01 | ＋4 | （2．0士0．3）－11 | （1．3さ0．2）+30 | 81 Jar 10 |
| 9584 BC | 17 | 04 | 17.4 | ＋0．7 | ＋54 | 32 | 12 | ＋4 | （2．8さ0．2）－12 | $(1.7 \pm 0.1)+29$ | 79 Jul 25 |
| 9584ABC | 17 | 04 | 17.8 | ＋1．1 | ＋54 | 32 | 25 | ＋17 | （2．0さ0．2）－12 | $(1.2 \pm 0.1)+29$ | 80 Mar 12 |


| 9584ABC | 17 |  | 10．0－6．7 |  | $+54$ | 32 | 21 | ＋13 | $(2.3 \pm 0.2)-12$ | $(1.4 \pm 0.1)+29$ | 80 | Apr 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 659AB | 17 | 09 | $13.1+4.8$ |  | ＋54 | 32 | 53 | －27 | （1．9土0．7）－13． | $(9.3 \pm 3.5)+27$ | 80 | Mar 14 |
| 659AB | 17 | 09 | $11.8+3.5$ |  | $+54$ | 32 | 59 | －21 | $(5.5 \pm 1.1)-13$ | $(2.6 \pm 0.5)+28$ | 80 | Apr ${ }^{8}$ |
| 669AB | 17 | 17 | $55.2+1.7$ |  | ＋26 | 32 | 53 | －7 | $(3.0 \pm 0.2)-12$ | $(3.7 \pm 0.3)+28$ | 79 | Mar 27 |
| 687（ab） | 17 | 36 | $49.6+9.6$ |  | ＋68 | 22 | 38 | ＋10 | （1．0土0．3）－12 | $(2.7 \pm 0.7)+27$ | 80 | May 29 |
| 695 BC | 17 | 44 | $30.5+3.2$ |  | ＋27 | 44 | 52 | ＋32 | $(1.8 \pm 0.4)-13$ | $(1.3 \pm 0.3)+27$ | 79 | Aug 27 |
| 698（AB） | 17 | 53 | $34.1+0.1$ |  | ＋18 | 30 | 07 | －16 | （2．9土0．9）－13 | $(3.2 \pm 1.0)+28$ | 79 | Oct 11 |
| 9619 | 18 | 13 | $41.9+4.3$ |  | ＋64 | 23 | 22 | ＋33 | （1．1士0．3）－12 | $(6.0 \pm 1.7)+28$ | 80 | May 25 |
| 725B | 18 | 42 | $10.6+1.8$ |  | ＋59 | ． 34 | 47 | ＋54 | （3．9土1．1）－13 | $(5.8 \pm 1.7)+26$ | 79 | Nov 8 |
| 9652AB | 19 | 12 | $32.1+4.4$ |  | ＋19 | $\cdot 13$ | 33 | －56 | （1．4土0．2）－12 | $(9.6 \pm 1.2)+28$ | 81 | Apr 10 |
| 765B | 19 | 35 | $06.4+0.5$ |  | ＋50 | 06 | 24 | －1 | （8．0土1．5）－13 | $(3.1 \pm 0.6)+28$ | 79 | Oct ${ }^{28}$ |
| 9705 | 20 | 41 | $00.0+2.2$ |  | ＋35 | 17 | 35 | －85 | （2．1さ0．6）－13 | $(1.5 \pm 0.5)+28$ | 80 | Apr 30 |
| 849 | 22 | 06 | 59．0－3．2 | ．．． | －04 | 54 | 26 | －74 | （2．1 $\pm 0.5)-13$ | （2．0土0．5）＋27 | 80 | Msy 31 |
| 900 | 23 | 32 | $27.5+0.8$ |  | ＋01 | 19 | 10 | ．-33 | （1．2士0．2）－12 | $(4.0 \pm 0.7)+28$ | 79 | Dec 20 |
| 909B | 23 | 49 | 51．9－7．7 |  | ＋75 | 16 | 17 | ＋21 | $(1.2 \pm 0.3)-12$ | $(1.6 \pm 0.4)+28$ | 80 | Oct 12 |
| 909B | 23 | 49 | 50．9－8．7 |  | ＋75 | 16 | 42 | ＋46 | （1．6さ0．4）－12 | $(2.2 \pm 0.5)+28$ | 80 | Oct 4 |
| 909 B | 23 | 49 | 48．3－11．3 |  | ＋75 | 15 | 38 | $-18$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.2)-12$ | $(1.4 \pm 0.3)+28$ | 81 | Febl |

## TABLE 3

The IPC Upper Limits

| Oliese/ <br> WEPP | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{-x} \\ \left(\text { ergs } \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~g}-1\right. \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{L}_{x} \\ \left(\operatorname{ergs}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Epoch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9006 | <7.1-14 | $<4.2+27$ | 80 Jan 1 |
| 9006 | <3.6-14 | $<2.1+27$ | 80 Jun 15 |
| 9006 | <5.3-14 | $<3.1+27$ | 81 Jañ 2 |
| 28 | <6.6-14 | <1.5+27 | 79 Jan 23 |
| 33 | <1.9-13 | <1.1+27 | 79 Jun 27 |
| 35 | <1.9-13 | <4.2+26 | 81 Jan 5 |
| 38 | <1.2-12 | $<4.7+28$ | 79 Jul 12 |
| 9035 | <6.6-14 | $<4.9+27$ | 80 Mar 20 |
| 70 | <2.1-13 | <2.0+27 | 79 Jul 23 |
| 9067AB | <1.2-13 | $<7.6+27$ | 80 Jul 13 |
| 9073AB | <2.9-13 | $<2.2+28$ | 80 Jan 18 |
| 9074 | <1.4-13 | $<7.8+27$ | 80 Jul 26 |
| 9092AB | <1.2-13 | $<7.6+27$ | 80 Jul 14 |
| 121 | <1.5-13 | $<5.3+27$ | 80 Aug 12 |
| 147 | <6.2-14 | $<2.0+27$ | 79 Jan 27 |
| 147 | <2.1-13 | $<6.8+27$ | 79 Jul 29 |
| 147 | , $<1.8-13$ | $<5.8+27$ | 79 Aug 13 |
| 9126 | <1.1-13 | $<4.9+27$ | 81 Feb 7 |
| 9131 | <1.5-13 | $<7.8+27$ | 80 Feb 16 |
| 9131 | <2.5-13 | <1.3+28 | 81 Feb 7 |
| 9131 | <2.3-13 | <1.2+28 | 81 Feb 7 |
| 9131 | <1.4-13 | $<7.5+27$ | 81 Feb 8 |
| 9131 | <2.2-13 | $<1.1+28$ | 81 Feb 8 |


| 9131 | <1.3-13 | $<6.9+27$ | 81 Feb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9132 | <1.8-13 | <1.0+28 | 80 Feb 16 |
| 9132 | <2.2-13 | <1.3+28 | 81 Feb 8 |
| 9135 | <1.6-13 | <1.0+28 | 81 Feb 7 |
| 9137 | <2.2-13 | $<9.2+27$ | 80 Feb 19 |
| 913; | <3.1-13 | <1.3+28 | 80 Aug 11 |
| 9849 | <1.2-13 | <3.0+27 | 80 Feb 15 |
| 9849 | <1.5-13 | $<3.8+27$ | 81 Feb 10 |
| 9850 | <1.1-13 | $<3.9+27$ | 80 Feb 15 |
| 9157(ab) | <1.8-13 | $<7.9+27$ | 79 Sep 10 |
| 9157(ab) | <4.3-13 | $<1.9+28$ | 79 Sep 11 |
| 9157(ab) | <6.5-14 | $<2.9+27$ | 81 Jan 31 |
| 9158 | <6.1-14 | <3.9+27 | 79 Mar 8 |
| 9158 | <3.9-14 | $<2.5+27$ | 79 Aug 15 |
| 9158 | <1.9-13 | <1.2+28 | 79 Aug 15 |
| 9159B | <1.9-13 | $<9.3+27$ | 80 Mar 2 |
| 180 | <1.7-13 | <3.0+27 | 79 Aug 17 |
| 9.7 | <3.9-13 | <2.9+28 | 80 Apr 8 |
| 209 | <1.3-13 | <5.3+27 | 80 Oct 12 |
| 9185 | <5.4-13 | <3.7 27 | 80 Dec 12 |
| 9191 | <3.0-13 | <1.6+28 | 79 Apr 8 |
| 9191 | <4.6-13 | <2.5+28 | 79 Apr 10 |
| 9191 | <2.4-13 | <1.3+28 | 80 Feb 11 |
| 9205 | <1.6-13 | <1.0+28 | 80 Mar 9 |
| 9209AB | <7.3-14 | <5.0+27 | 79 Nov 6 |
| 263 | <1.0-13 | <3.5+27 | 79 Oct 29 |
| 263 | <1.0-13 | <3.5+27 | 81 Apr 24 |



-25-

| 9609 | <2.3-13 | $<1.7+28$ | 79 Sep 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9615AB | <1.8-12 | <1.4+29 | 80 Oct 8 |
| 707 | <3.9-13 | $<8.7+27$ | 80 Mar 9 |
| 9628 | <1.2-13 | $<9.0+27$ | 80 Mar 21 |
| 9628 | <1.6-13 | $<1.2+28$ | 80 Mar 23 |
| 720A | <1.0-13 | $<2.7+27$ | 79 Oct 8 |
| 720B | <1.1-13 | $<2.9+27$ | 79 Oct 8 |
| 9651 AB | <1.2-12 | <6.6+28 | 80 Oct 8 |
| 9653 A | <1.7-13 | <1.8+27 | 79 Oct 22 |
| 9658 | <1.6-13 | $<7.9+27$ | 79 Apr 11 |
| 766 | <2.6-13 | <3.5+27 | 79 Nov 20 |
| 9699(ab) | <1.2-13 | <5.5+27 | 78 Dec 17 |
| 9707 C | <1.3-13 | <7.5+27 | 79 Nov 23 |
| 830 | <4.3-13 | <1.4+28 | 80 Jun 10 |
| 9747 | <2.7-13 | <1.7+28 | 80 Jul 8 |
| 851 | <2.3-13 | <4.0+27 | 80 Jun 15 |
| 9779(ab) | <2.3-13 | <1.6+28 | 79 Mey 20 |
| 889 | <2.3-13 | <9.8+27: | 79 May 24 |
| 889 | <5.1-13 | <2.2+28: | 79 May 25 |
| 9812AB | <1.5-13 | <1.1+28 | 79 May 24 |
| 9812AB | <1.4-13 | <1.0+28 | 79 May 25 |
| 894 | <2,6-13 | <8.1+27 | 79 Nov 20 |
| 894 | <2.2-13 | $<6.7+27$ | 79 Nov 21 |
| 894 | <2.0-13 | <6.4+27 | 80 May 15 |
| 894 | <2.2-13 | $<6.9+27$ | 80 May 17 |
| 894 | <1.3-13 | $<3.9+27$ | 80 Jun 6 |
| 9842 | <9.0-14 | <4.3+27 | 80 Jan 10 |
| 910 | <2.8-13 | <9. $2+27$ | 79 Jan 8 |
| 910 | <3.7-13 | <1.2+28 | 79 Jun 16 |

TABLE 4
The HRI Dections and Upper Limits ${ }^{\text {a }}$


TABLE 5
IPC Luminosity Function of 193 Untargeted Individual WEPP Stars

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{-x} \\ & \left(\operatorname{ergs} s^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Number of <br> Detected <br> Stars | Number of Undetected Stars | Redistributed <br> Undetected <br> Stars | Effective <br> Number <br> of Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2.56-5.12)+29$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| (1.29-2.56)+29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $(6.4-12.8)+28$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $(3.2-6.4)+29$ | 11 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
| $(1.6-3.2)+28$ | 11 | 7 | 1 | 12 |
| $(8-16)+27$ | 10 | 13 | 2 | 12 |
| $(4-8)+27$ | 12 | 28 | 7 | 19 |
| (2-4)+27 | 12 | 28 | 16 | 28 |
| $(1-2)+27$ | 7 | 19 | 22 | 29 |
| $(5-10)+26$ | 5 | 7 | 30 | 35 |
| (2.5-5)+26 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 36 |
| (1.25-2.5)+25 | 0 | 2 | -•• | -•• |
| (6.25-12.5)+25 | 0 | 0 | -•• | -•• |
| $(3.12-6.25)+25$ | 0 | 1 | -•• | -• |

TABLE 6
IPC Luminosity Function of 126 Untargeted WEPP Systems

| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{L} \\ & \left(\text { ergs } s^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Number of <br> Detected <br> Syoteme | Number of Undetected Systems | Redistributed <br> Undetected <br> Systems: | Effective <br> Nunber <br> of Systems. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1.02-2.05)+30$ | 1 , | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| (5.12-10.2)+29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (2.56-5.12) +29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ( $1.28-2.56$ ) 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (6.4-12.8) +28 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| $(3.2-6.4)+28$ | 10 | 6 | 1 | 11 |
| (1.6-3.2)+28 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 4 |
| $(8-16)+27$ | 4 | 12 | 1 | 5 |
| $(4-8)+27$ | 4 | 28 | 5 | 9 |
| ( $2-4$ ) 27 | 6 | 22 | 22 | 28 |
| $(1-2)+27$ | 4 | 9 | 56 | 60 |
| $(5-10)+26$ | 0 | 1 | -•• | -• |
| (2.5-5)+26 | 0 | 1 | -•• | -•• |
| (1.25-2.5)+26 | 0 | 0 | -•• | - $\cdot$ |
| $(6.25-12.5)+25$ | 0 | 0 | -•• | - $\cdot$ |
| $(3.12-6.25)+25$ | 0 | 1 | -•• | -•• |

TABLE 7
IPC Luminosity Function of 63 Untargeted WEPP M Dwarfs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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