# Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory



NSMRL Report 1162

7 December 1990



# A CLINICAL TRIAL OF A COMPUTER DIAGNOSIS PROGRAM FOR CHEST PAIN

S. M. Luria

David G. Southerland

Douglas M. Stetson

Released by: R. G. Walter, CAPT, DC, USN Commanding Officer Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

# A CLINICAL TRIAL OF A COMPUTER DIAGNOSIS PROGRAM FOR CHEST PAIN

# S. M. Luria

David G. Southerland

Douglas M. Stetson

# NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

# NSMRL REPORT 1162

Naval Medical Research and Development Command Research Work Unit 3706N-M0095.005-5010

Approved and released by

P. M. Walter

R. G. WALTER CAPT, DC, USN Commanding Officer NavSubMedRschLab

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

#### THE PROBLEM

To determine whether NSMRL computer program to diagnose chest pain is suitable for use by corpsmen at sea.

### THE FINDINGS

132 patients with confirmed diagnoses of chest pain (myocardial infarction, angina, chest infection, or other) presenting at Naval Hospitals at Portsmouth, Charleston, and San Diego were diagnosed by the emergency room physicians and the NSMRL The percentage of correct diagnoses by the computer program. computer (72%) was not significantly different from the percentage of correct diagnoses by the ER physicians (79%). The physicians significantly better than the computer program at were distinguishing myocardial infarction from angina. However, the computer program was equally successful at determining whether a patient was suffering from myocardial infarction.

#### APPLICATION

The computer program for diagnosing chest pain should be of assistance to the independent duty corpsman in the diagnosis and management of patients aboard submarines presenting with chest pain.

#### Administrative Information

This investigation was conducted under Naval Medical Research and Development Command Research Work Unit 63706N - M0095.005-5010, "Sea trials for computer-based medical diagnostic/patient management system for use aboard SSN/SSBN submarines." It was submitted for review on 25 July 1990, approved for publication on 7 December 1990, and has been designated as Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory Report No. 1162.

#### Abstract

We evaluated the performance of a computer program designed to assist corpsmen in managing patients who present at sea with chest The diagnostic accuracy of the program for three common and pain. serious causes of chest pain -- myocardial infarction (MI), angina, chest infection -- as well as its accuracy for nonspecific chest pain, was compared with that of emergency room physicians at three Naval hospitals for 132 patients. The percentage of correct diagnoses by the computer program (72%) was not significantly different from that of the physicians (79%), although the accuracy of the physicians was better. The computer program was able to distinguish MI from the other diseases as well as the physicians (i.e. was as sensitive, 83% vs. 84%) and at the same time made fewer false diagnoses of MI (i.e. was more specific). The computer's ability to distinguish among cardiac illnesses was not as good as that of the physicians and it misdiagnosed more cases of The computer program is suitable for use by medical angina. personnel practicing in isolated locations and may help improve diagnostic accuracy in cases of MI. Its failures emphasize that it cannot substitute for medical personnel, but can be helpful to medical personnel when a patient's diagnosis is uncertain.

### Introduction

There has in recent years been much interest in using the computer as an aid in medical diagnosis (Rogers, Ryack, and Moeller, 1979). This interest is greatest in the submarine fleet. Physicians are assigned only to newly commissioned Trident submarines; complete responsibility for medical care otherwise rests with the ship's independent duty hospital corpsman. With neither the training nor experience of a practicing physician, the corpsman must decide whether or not to recommend a medical To ensure that his patients receive all needed care, evacuation. particularly when a patient's condition is puzzling, corpsmen find evacuation to a physician's care an attractive option. But evacuations are costly, dangerous to both the patient and the deck operationally undesirable. crew, and Reducing unnecessary evacuations is a desirable goal. Submariners, therefore, would find it useful to have a tool with a demonstrated ability to assist the corpsman in making a good decision and reducing the number of inappropriate -- that is, premature or delayed -- medical evacuations.

NSMRL has developed microcomputer-based medical support systems to aid the corpsmen in treating a variety of maladies. Some of the systems are based on one devised by de Dombal (1973; 1979), but they have been specifically tailored for the submarine population (Osborne, 1984; Ryack, 1987). One provides information to aid the corpsmen in the diagnosis and management of chest pain (Southerland and Fisherkeller, 1987; Fisherkeller, Southerland, and Moeller, 1987). The corpsman, using a data collection form to guide his examination, records findings for 27 history and 20 physical exam categories. The program then provides the user with probabilities that the patient is suffering from each of four illnesses: myocardial infarction, angina, chest infection, and non-specific chest pain.

Although the program produces the probabilities for four separate diagnoses, it can be argued that it is more important for the corpsman to determine which patients are suffering from an MI (and must receive specialized care) than it is to distinguish among various other medical diagnoses. The reason is that the corpsman is trying to decide whether or not a patient must be evacuated. It would be most useful if the program would help to identify patients with MI.

Although a new program may appear to be similar to another which has been validated (de Dombal, 1973), it still requires independent validation. There have been previous field trials of such programs in the Navy (Henderson, et al., 1981), but these have sought only to determine how easily the corpsmen can use the program and how well they accept it; they have not attempted to validate the programs themselves. This prospective validation of the chest pain program was begun to assess its reliability and consequent usefulness to independent duty corpsmen. This report compares the diagnostic accuracy of the NSMRL chest pain program with that of emergency room physicians for patients who were reasonably representative of an active duty Navy population.

#### METHOD

#### Subjects

The subjects were 132 male or female active duty or retired Navy personnel or their dependents between the ages of 15 and 50. They presented with chest pain in the emergency rooms of the Naval Hospitals at Portsmouth, VA, Charleston, SC, and San Diego, CA, from May 31, 1988 to September 30, 1988 and volunteered to participate in the study. The patients also met the requirement that they not have a prior history of myocardial infarction, angina, or such chronic illness as diabetes, since these conditions are grounds for exclusion from submarine service.

# Technicians

Six research technicians -- two at each hospital -- collected the data. They were trained in the use of the chest pain data sheet by a Navy medical officer over a two-day period. The technicians worked consecutive 8-hour shifts between 8:00 a.m. and midnight, five days a week, for the four month period.

### Procedure

Patients who presented at the emergency room with a complaint of chest pain were interviewed by a research technician who described the study and solicited their participation. Patients who agreed to participate in the study signed consent forms and then the technician recorded case history details while waiting for the examining physician. This included such things as the patient's sex and age, the history of smoking, previous surgery, history of MI, angina, bronchitis, previous illnesses, etc. While the patient was being examined by the physician, the technician listened to the examination and recorded the answers to the questions asked by the computer program on the data sheet shown in Figure 1. For example, the chest pain program asks the site of the pain, the presence of radiation, duration and severity of the pain, and so on. The required information could be obtained by watching the examination. The physician was asked to verbalize his findings as he conducted the examination so that the technician, listening, could complete the data sheet. Sometimes the physician was too busy to provide a complete report; in these cases the technician obtained the missing information from the patient's emergency

AGE :		ORIHOPNEA: [inability to breathe except when upright)	PRESENT	ABSENT	PND: (post-nocturnal dyspnea)	PRESENT	ABSENT	REFLUX :	PRESENT	ABSENT	APPETITE: (recent change)	NORMAL	DECREASED	BOMELS :	(recent change)	NORMAL	CONSTIPATED	DIARRHEA			SMQKER:	YES	C CN	2	POSITIVE HISTORY FOR:	M	AUGINA	BROHCHITIS	HYPERTENSION	DIABETES		(PLEASE TURN OVER FOR PHYSICAL EXAM PORTION)
	OTHER SYMPTOMS:	DYSPNEA: (recent = this illness; chronic = habitual)	ABSENT	THIS ILLNESS Habitual	conch:	(recent = this illness; chronic = habitual)	ABSENT THIS 111 NESS	HABITUAL		aralue - Absent	THIS ILLNESS HABITUAL		NAUSEA: DD5 cent	ABSENT		VOMITING:	PRESENT Arsent			PASI HISIORY	PREVIOUS CHESI PAIN:	K F C	NO		PREVIOUS CARDIO-RESPIRATORY ILLNESS:	YES	Dr	PREVIOUS MAJOR SURGERY:	YES	NO		(PLEASE TURN OVE
HISTOR	:NOLIALGA:	N) L:. ARM DIV ADMS	BICK ANTIS	C HEST S IOULDERS	N :CK		F NGERCHARIDS EPIGASTRIC	0.HER		NIMBNESS:	PRESENI ABSENT	OF SET DE PAIN:	(sudden < 2 min; gradual > 2 min)	SUDDEN	GRADUAL	TIME COURSE OF PAIN:	(ēt times free of pain = intermittent; εverything else = continuous)	CONTINUOUS	INTERNITTENT	PRJGRESS OF PAIN:	BETTER	SAME	WORSE		RE_LEVING_EACIORS: (a ik about each)	NITRO	REST	WALKING	MORPHINE			NONE
SSN:	TCA: :HIRA JO 311S			ACROSS LT. SIDE	RT. SIDE EPIGASTRIC	DTHER DTHER					I H OR LESS 1 - 2 H 2 - 1, 1	17	12 - 24 H 24 - 1 - 1	I W OR MORE		IYPE OF PAIN:	TIGHT GRIPPING DULL	BURNING	HVY/PRESS/CRUSH ACHING NAGGING	SEVERITY OF PAIN: (do not ask; obvious distress = severe;	everything else = moderate)	MODERATE	SEVERE	AGGRAVATING EACTORS:	MOVEMENT	соибн	BREATHING	SITTING	I. YING DOWN/REST	LEANING FORWARD	OTHER	NONE

3

CHEST PAIN DATA SHEET

DATE/TIME:

		EXAMINAT LON	
ILTRE-ÉILE: Normal Increased Decreased Decreased	BLOOD PRESSURE (SYSTOLIC): <ul> <li>100</li> <li>101 - 120</li> <li>121 - 140</li> <li>141 - 160</li> <li>141 - 160</li> </ul>	EDEMA: Absent Ankles Other	<pre>RESPIRATORY MOVEMENT: (abnormal = diff. between full luspir. 4 full expir. is &lt; 2 inclues or expansion is unequal between sides; everything clse is normal) NORMAL ABNORMAL</pre>
EVLSE FALE: 61 - 7C 71 - 80 51 - 100 51 - 100 100 100 20 20	BLOOD PRESSURE (DIASTOLIC):	SHEATING: oct due to (sweating not due to cnvironment or exercise) YES NO SHIYERING: (shivering not due to (shivering not due to environment or exercise)	<pre>PERCUSSION:</pre>
21 - 25 26 - 30 > 30		2 QV	rhonchi = cont. musical sounds; rales = discrete, non-cont. s.unds; decreased = 1 side markedl; dec.) NORMAL
ŖŢĨ	GEHERAL EXAM	COLD/CLAMMY: YES NO	RHONCHI Rales Decreased
<u>Ekg</u> : St elevation T depression	1000: (dor't ask; obvious distress or physical symptoms = distressed: concerr ibout illness = anxicus)	<mark>Calves</mark> Iehderness: Yes	JUGULAR VEROUS PULSE: (pt. reclined at 45 deg., chin at 30 deg. to left, meniscus more than 5 dist. from clavicle to chir = ¢levited; everything else = norral)
O WAVES St Depression Arrythmia	HIORNAL ANXIOUS DISTRESSED	ON	NORMAL RAISED
NU APPARENT DISTRESS SGOI: 25 - 50 51 - 100 101 - 200 200	<pre>IN SHOCK COLOR: COLOR: (consider environmental temp; check conjunctiva &amp; palms on check conjunctiv</pre>	IEHDERNESS: YES NO	HEARI SOUNDS: (listen with stuthoscope to lst * 2nd heart sounds; normal = lub-dut, lub- dub; everything else = abnormal) NORMAL ABNORMAL
CORPERAN'S LVALUATIUN Makk YUUR DIAGAUGIS () MYUCAKETAL THAACTION () ANGINA () ANGINA () KON-SPECIFIC CHEST PAIN () CHEST INFECTION	MARK YOUR ÉVALUATION ( ) EVACUATION ( ) NO EVACUATION YOUR TREATMENT: ( ) OBSERVE ONLY ( ) PROCEDURE ( ) PROCEDURE	DATA SHEET SEEN BY C.O.? ( ) YES ( ) NO	RECOMMENDATION OF MEDICAL GFFICER: () EVACUATION () CHEST PAIN TREATMENT () TREATMENT FOR OTHER () TREATMENT FOR OTHER () NOT CONSULTED

PHYSICAL EXAM

treatment record. The technician was not allowed to interview the patient without the permission of the physician and was asked to leave the examining room if the patient was uncomfortable. The collected information was later entered into the computer program to obtain the computer's diagnosis.

The computer program for chest pain was written to assign the probabilities that a given patient's symptoms indicated angina, chest infection, myocardial infarction, and non-specific chest The category "Angina" included both stable and unstable pain. angina. Chest infection was defined as any infection of the pleural cavity (including pleuritis and pericorditis) which would require medical intervention, such as pneumonia or bronchitis. Cases of pneumothorax are also included in this chest pain category because they exhibit symptoms of pleuritic pain. Non-specific chest pain was defined as chest pain that is not serious, not lifethreatening, and does not require urgent medical intervention. Mechanical chest discomfort and GI tract pain fall in this category.

The computer diagnoses were compared with diagnoses from two other sources. The first was the diagnosis arrived at by the examining physician in the emergency room. If the patient was admitted to the hospital, there would, of course, be further observations and tests leading to a "discharge diagnosis" entered in the patient's records. The discharge diagnosis was presumably more accurate and was accepted as the "final" diagnosis. If a patient was not admitted, there was a follow-up telephone call to the patient by a physician or nurse at least three weeks after the visit to the emergency room to determine if subsequent events cast doubt on the emergency room discharge diagnosis. If a subject had had further medical care related to the same illness, the results of that care were considered in establishing a "final" diagnosis.

In comparing the diagnosis reached by the computer program with those reached by physicians after evaluating a patient during an ER visit or a hospitalization, it is important to remember that the computer program is designed for use in isolated situations where X-rays, electrocardiograms (EKG), laboratory procedures such as creatine phosphokinase (CPK) and serum glutamate oxaloacetic acid transferase (SGOT) assays and consultations with specialists are generally not available. The diagnosis of the computer program must, therefore, be based on only a fraction of the information to which the ER physician has access.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 compares the final diagnoses with the diagnoses made by the emergency room physicians and with those made by the computer program. There are 132 computer diagnoses but only 130 emergency room diagnoses because two of the emergency treatment records were not available. Table 1 shows, for example, that of the 132 cases, 9 were finally diagnosed as MI, 6 as angina, 9 as chest infection, and 108 as cases of non-specific chest pain. Overall, 79% of the diagnoses made by the emergency room physicians and 72% of the computer diagnoses agreed with the final diagnoses. These percentages are not significantly different (t = 1.35, df = 129, p < .50).

Table 1. Comparison of the diagnoses by the computer program and the emergency room physicians with the final diagnoses for myocardial infarction (MI), angina (AN), chest infection (CI), and non-specific chest pain (NS).

#### FINAL DIAGNOSES

		MI	AN	CI	NS		MI	AN	CI	NS
с 0	MI	3				P H	6	2		15
M P	AN	5	1	1	15	Y S	1	4		5
U T	CI			2	4	I C			8	1
E R	NS	1	5	6	89	I A N	1		1	86

Of the 9 cases of MI, the computer program accurately diagnosed 3; it misdiagnosed 5 as angina and one as non-specific chest pain. Each computer diagnosis of MI was confirmed on admission. Of 8 cases of MI, the physicians accurately diagnosed 6; they misdiagnosed one as angina and one as non-specific chest pain. (We did not have the physicians' emergency room diagnoses for the remaining MI patient.) Seventeen physician diagnoses of MI were not confirmed on admission; 15 patients were diagnosed with non-specific chest pain and 2 with angina.

The set of diagnoses established by the emergency room physicians was not significantly different from the final diagnoses, according to a Chi Square test ( $X^2 = 16.95$ , df = 3, p < .001).

# Cardiac Event vs. Myocardial Infarction

Among patients with MI, the computer program did not accurately discriminate between MI and angina. It was predominantly this factor and several misdiagnoses of angina which produced the difference in the Chi Square results for the computer and physicians.

However, the program correctly identified all but one of the

MI patients as having either an MI or angina. If we combine MI and angina as a diagnosis of "cardiac event," the performance of the computer program and the ER physicians is much more similar (Table 2). Both the computer program and the ER physicians correctly identified all but one of the patients with MI; the computer correctly identified 106 of the 123 patients who did not have MI, and the physicians correctly identified 96 of them (the emergency room diagnosis of one of the non-MI patients is also missing). The Chi Square test now shows that the computer program diagnoses were not significantly different from the final diagnoses ( $X^2 = 2.46$ , df = 1, p < .15), whereas the ER diagnoses were significantly different from the final diagnoses ( $X^2 = 5.67$ , df = 1, p < .02).

Table 2. Comparison of computer program and emergency room physicians for final diagnosis of myocardial infarction (MI) when initial diagnosis of cardiac event (CE, i.e. MI or angina) is made.

	Comp	outer	Pl	nysician	S
	Final	Diagnosis	Fina	al Diagn	osis
	MI	Not MI	MI	Not	MI
CE	8	17	CE	7	26
Not CE	1	106	Not CE	1	96

#### DISCUSSION

Physicians evaluating a patient with chest pain err on the side of conservatism and admit to hospitals, often with a "rule out MI" diagnosis, three or more patients who actually turn out to have non-cardiac causes of pain for every patient who turns out to have suffered a heart attack. This approach is entirely appropriate as the risk of imminent death associated with a missed diagnosis of MI is far less acceptable than the inconvenience of a period of observation necessary to ensure that no heart damage has occurred.

The "bias" toward overdiagnosis of MI is magnified when the person providing medical care has less experience with MI patients as occurs when hospital corpsmen are caring for ship's crews alone at sea. In this context, a computer program which is about as reliable as an emergency room physician in detecting cases of MI, but which does not miss cases more frequently than physicians did, might be a useful tool to corpsmen at sea.

In terms of the overall percentage of correct diagnoses, the performance of the computer program was not significantly different from that of the emergency room physicians. This finding rests largely on the overwhelming percentage of non-specific chest pain diagnoses in the sample populations. The physicians were better at distinguishing among specific illnesses. The program did not do well at diagnosing angina, for example.

But in evaluating the performance of the computer program designed for use on submarines and in comparing its accuracy with that of physicians, it is necessary to remember that the physicians had access to a variety of other diagnostic information not available to the program: detailed interpretation of EKGs, CPK assays, chest X-rays, and consultations with specialists. It is also necessary to remember that the primary purpose of the computer program is to help the independent duty corpsman faced with a puzzling case to distinguish between chest pain stemming from an MI and other causes of chest pain. The program's ability to specify precisely what illness the patient actually has is not as critical as its ability to correctly categorize the illness as an MI or some other condition. In this restricted sense, the performance of the program is about the same as that of the ER physicians.

Other computer programs have been reported to diagnose MI quite accurately. Goldman and his colleagues (1982, 1988) have reported that their program was significantly more specific than were ER physicians. In an earlier study (Goldman, Weinberg, Weisberg, et al., 1982), they identified nine critical questions which bear on the presence or absence of MI. One of them, however, is not appropriate to submariners; it is whether or not this same pain was previously diagnosed as MI. If this had been the case, the man would not be serving on submarines. Further, it is important to note that in their recent report (Goldman, Cook, Brand, et al., 1988) we see that the information requested by their very first question -- "ST elevation or Q waves in 2 or more leads, not known to be old?" -- is not available on submarines. Independent duty corpsmen are not routinely trained to make detailed evaluations of EKGs, and most do not have EKG equipment available. Similarly, another question in Goldman's algorithm asks about EKG changes associated with ischemia or strain. This information, too, is not available to most independent duty corpsmen. Thus, a program which may work very well in a typical hospital may be ineffective at sea.<sup>1</sup>

We hasten to emphasize that this study shows that the computer program makes errors. It erroneously categorizes the source of the pain in about one quarter of the patients. In about one percent of the cases, it incorrectly suggested that a person suffering from MI had some other problem. In 13% of the cases, it suggested inaccurately that a person was suffering from cardiac chest pain. The magnitude of these errors is similar for physicians and underlines the need for the user to make an independent and careful evaluation of the advice provided by the program.

Like the emergency room physicians, independent duty hospital corpsmen have much more information available to them in treating an individual case than is collected by the computer program. The program gathers only 47 responses; most of them are either "yes" or "no." The corpsman at sea generally has a detailed knowledge of the patient, his past history and behavior, and an acute awareness of the degree that the patient's present condition deviates from the norm. In every case, the corpsman, particularly when aided by additional information as is provided by the computer program, might be expected to be a more capable diagnostician than the computer program by itself. The corpsman's insight and judgment remain indispensable at sea.

A final point should be stressed. Rogers, Ryack, and Moeller (1979) pointed out that the usefulness of these programs depends not simply on their being reasonably accurate but on being more accurate than the diagnostician for whom the program is intended. Since the purpose of the computer program is to serve as a diagnostic aid to the independent duty submarine corpsmen, its performance should be compared with that of a corpsmen practicing at sea. Information bearing on the accuracy of corpsmen diagnoses is being collected. The present comparison with physicians serves only to illustrate that the program is not wildly inaccurate when compared with a generally acceptable standard.

1

Work is in progress to adapt Goldman's program to isolated Navy situations.

### Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the staffs of the Portsmouth, Charleston, and San Diego Naval Hospitals for their unstinting cooperation. We also thank the research technicians Julie Ann Carroll, Dawn Marie Emigh, Ann Marie Engfelt, Abigail Flondarina, Danette E. Fralix, and Manisha N. Trivedi for their indispensable assistance.

#### References

- F. T. de Dombal (1973). Surgical diagnosis assisted by a computer. <u>Proc. Roy. Soc. B.</u> (London) 184: 433.
- F. T. de Dombal (1979). Computers and the surgeon -- a matter of decision. <u>Surg. Ann.</u> 11: 33.
- L. Goldman, E. F. Cook, D. A. Brand, T. H. Lee, G. W. Rouan, M. C. Weisberg, D. Acampora, C. Stasiulewicz, J. Walshon, G. Terranova, L. Gottlieb, M. Kobernick, B. Goldstein-Wayne, D. Copen, K. Daley, A. A. Brandt, D. Jones, J. Mellors, and R. Jakubowski (1988). A computer protocol to predict myocardial infarction in emergency department patients with chest pain. New England J. Med. 318: 797-803.
- L. Goldman, M. Weinberg, M. Weisberg, R. Olshen, E. F. Cook, R. K. Sargent, G. A. Lamas, C. Dennis, C. Wilson, L. Deckelbaum, H. Fineberg, R. Stiratelli, and the Medical House Staffs at Yale-New Haven Hospital and Brigham and Women's Hospital (1982). A computer-derived protocol to aid the diagnosis of emergency room patients with acute chest pain. <u>New England J. Med.</u> 307: 588-596.
- K. D. Fisherkeller, D. G. Southerland, and G. Moeller (1987). CPDX -- A decision support system for the management of acute chest pain. User's Manual. NSMRL Report 1144.
- J. V. Henderson, B. L. Ryack, G. Moeller, R. Post, and K. D. Robinson (1981). Use of a computer-aided diagnosis system aboard patrolling FBM submarines: Initial at-sea trials. NSMRL Report 939.
- S. F. Osborne (1984). Medical diagnosis aboard submarines: Use of a computer based Bayesian method of analysis in an abdominal pain diagnostic program. <u>J. Occup. Med.</u> 26: 110-114.
- W. Rogers, B. Ryack, and G. Moeller (1979). Computer-aided medical diagnosis: Literature review. <u>Int. J. Biomed. Computing</u> 10: 267-289.
- B. L. Ryack (1987). A computer-based diagnostic/information patient management system for isolated environments: MEDIC ten years later. NSMRL Report 1089.
- D. G. Southerland and K. D. Fisherkeller (1987). ABDX -- A decision support system for the management of acute abdominal pain. User's Manual. NSMRL Report 1146.

# UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188					
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	A						
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	F REPORT						
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU		Approved for public release;								
20 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWINGRADING SCHEDU	LE	distribut	ion unlimit	ed						
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUN	ABER(S)					
NSMRL Report 1162		NA								
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		ONITORING ORGA cal Researc		evelopment					
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			ty, State, and ZIP	Code)						
Box 900, Naval Submarine Base	NLON		1, Tower 12							
Groton, CT 06349-5900		Bethesda,	hesda, MD 20889-5044							
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	STRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
Same as 7a	(ii applicable)									
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBER	IS						
Same as 7b		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO					
		63706N	M0095	005	5010					
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)		1								
A clinical trial of a computer diagnosis program for chest pain										
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)										
S. M. Luria, David G. Souther 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME CO	land, & Douglas	M. Stetson	BT (Year Month	Davl 15	PAGE COUNT					
	TO	1990 Dece		-	10					
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
17 COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (	Continue on revers	e if necessary and	d identify b	y block number)					
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Computer-ai	ded diagnos	is; Chest p	ain; Di	agnosis:					
	Angina; Ĥyo	ocardial inf	arction (MI	)						
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block n	umber)								
managing patients who present program for three common and	We evaluated the performance of a computer program designed to assist corpsmen in managing patients who present at sea with chest pain. The diagnostic accuracy of the program for three common and serious causes of chest pain myocardial infarction (MI),									
angina, and chest infection -			•							
compared with that of emergen patients. The percentage of										
significantly different from										
physicians was better. The c	omputer program	n was able t	o distingui	sh MI f	rom the other					
diseases as well as the physi										
time made fewer false diagnos										
to distinguish among cardiac it misdiagnosed more cases of										
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT			CURITY CLASSIFICA							
Image: Same as R       22a     NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	PT DTIC USERS	226. TELEPHONE (	Include Area Code	) 22c OFFI	ICE SYMBOL					
Susan D. Monty, Publication	s Office	(203) 449-		421						
DD Form 1473, JUN 86	Previous editions are o	bsolete.	SECURITY	CLASSIFICAT	TION OF THIS PAGE					
	S/N 0102-LF-0	14-6603	UNCLASSIF	IED						

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

medical personnel practicing in isolated locations and may help improve diagnostic accuracy in cases of MI. Its failures emphasize that it cannot substitute for medical personnel, but can be helpful to medical personnel when a patient's diagnosis is uncertain.