PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1654, NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1999

MAY 18, 1999.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. REYNOLDS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H. Res. 174]

The Committee on Rules, having had under consideration House Resolution 174, by a nonrecord vote, report the same to the House with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION

The resolution provides for the consideration of H.R. 1654, the "National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 1999," under an open rule. The rule provides one hour of general debate equally divided between the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Science.

The rule waives points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with clause 4(a) of rule XIII (requiring a three-day layover of the committee report). The rule makes in order as an original bill for the purpose of amendment the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Science and now printed in the bill.

The rule provides that the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. The rule waives points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute for failure to comply with clause 7 of rule XVI (prohibiting nongermane amendments).

The rule authorizes the Chair to accord priority in recognition to Members who have preprinted their amendments in the Congressional Record. The rule allows for the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole to postpone votes during consideration of the bill, and to reduce voting time to five minutes on a postponed question if the

vote follows a fifteen minute vote. Finally, the rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The waiver of clause 4(a) of rule XIII is necessary because the Committee on Science did not file the report in the House until Tuesday, May 18, and the bill is expected to be considered on the floor on May 19. The waiver of clause 7 of rule XVI is necessary because section 219 of the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Science and printed in the bill is a provision which falls into the jurisdiction of the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

 \bigcirc