

AUTHORIZING THE USE OF THE CAPITOL GROUNDS FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SPECIAL OLYMPICS LAW
ENFORCEMENT TORCH RUN

JULY 22, 2013.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. SHUSTER, from the Committee on Transportation and
Infrastructure, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H. Con. Res. 44]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, to whom
was referred the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 44) author-
izing the use of the Capitol Grounds for the District of Columbia
Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run, having considered
the same, report favorably thereon without amendment and rec-
ommend that the concurrent resolution be agreed to.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of Legislation	2
Background and Need for Legislation	2
Hearings	2
Legislative History and Consideration	2
Committee Votes	2
Committee Oversight Findings	2
New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures	2
Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate	3
Performance Goals and Objectives	3
Advisory of Earmarks	3
Duplication of Federal Programs	4
Disclosure of Directed Rule Makings	4
Federal Mandate Statement	4
Preemption Clarification	4
Advisory Committee Statement	4
Applicability of Legislative Branch	4
Section-by-Section Analysis of Legislation	4
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported	5

PURPOSE OF LEGISLATION

H. Con. Res. 44 would authorize the use of the Capitol Grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

H. Con. Res. 44 would authorize the use of the Capitol Grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run.

The Law Enforcement Torch Run began in 1981 in Wichita, Kansas, as a way to involve local law enforcement with Special Olympics. Today it has grown into the largest grassroots fundraising effort in the worldwide Special Olympics movement. Approximately 85,000 law enforcement officers in 40 countries raise more than \$20 million annually.

On September 27, 2013, the 28th Annual District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run will run through the Capitol Grounds to carry the Special Olympics torch to honor local Special Olympics athletes.

HEARINGS

No hearings were held on H. Con. Res. 44.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CONSIDERATION

On July 8, 2013, Delegate Eleanor Holmes Norton introduced H. Con. Res. 44, a bill to authorize the use of the Capitol Grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run.

On July 10, 2013, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure met in open session and ordered the bill reported favorably to the House by voice vote with a quorum present.

COMMITTEE VOTES

Clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires each committee report to include the total number of votes cast for and against on each record vote on a motion to report and on any amendment offered to the measure or matter, and the names of those members voting for and against. There were no record votes taken in connection with consideration of H. Con. Res. 44 or ordering the measure reported. A motion to order H. Con. Res. 44 reported favorably to the House was agreed to by voice vote with a quorum present.

COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS

With respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee's oversight findings and recommendations are reflected in this report.

NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY AND TAX EXPENDITURES

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives does not apply where a cost estimate and comparison prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 has been timely

submitted prior to the filing of the report and is included in the report. Such a cost estimate is included in this report.

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

With respect to the requirement of clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee has received the enclosed cost estimate for H. Con. Res. 44 from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, July 16, 2013.

Hon. BILL SHUSTER,
*Chairman, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H. Con. Res. 44, a concurrent resolution authorizing the use of the Capitol grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contacts are Maggie Morrissey and Matthew Pickford.

Sincerely,

DOUGLAS W. ELMENDORF.

Enclosure.

*H. Con. Res. 44—A concurrent resolution authorizing the use of the
Capitol grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics
Law Enforcement Torch Run*

H. Con. Res. 44 would authorize the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run to pass through the Capitol grounds on September 27, 2013, or on such a date as the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration may jointly designate. CBO estimates that passage of H. Con. Res. 44 would result in no significant cost to the federal government. Enacting the legislation would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply.

The CBO staff contacts for this estimate are Maggie Morrissey and Matthew Pickford. This estimate was approved by Theresa Gullo, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

With respect to the requirement of clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the performance goal and objective of this legislation is to authorize the use of the Capitol Grounds for the District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run.

ADVISORY OF EARMARKS

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee is required to include a list of congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits

as defined in clause 9(e), 9(f), and 9(g) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives. No provision in the bill includes an earmark, limited tax benefit, or limited tariff benefit under clause 9(e), 9(f), or 9(g) of rule XXI.

DUPLICATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Pursuant to section 3(j) of H. Res. 5, 113th Cong. (2013), the Committee finds that no provision of H. Con. Res. 44 establishes or reauthorizes a program of the federal government known to be duplicative of another federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

DISCLOSURE OF DIRECTED RULE MAKINGS

Pursuant to section 3(k) of H. Res. 5, 113th Cong. (2013), the Committee estimates that enacting H. Con. Res. 44 does not specifically direct the completion of any specific rule makings within the meaning of section 551 of title 5, United States Code.

FEDERAL MANDATE STATEMENT

The Committee adopts as its own the estimate of federal mandates prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office pursuant to section 423 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Public Law 104–4).

PREEMPTION CLARIFICATION

Section 423 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 requires the report of any committee on a bill or joint resolution to include a statement on the extent to which the bill or joint resolution is intended to preempt state, local, or tribal law. The Committee states that H. Con. Res. 44 does not preempt any state, local, or tribal law.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE STATEMENT

No advisory committees within the meaning of section 5(b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act are created by this legislation.

APPLICABILITY OF LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

The Committee finds that the legislation does not relate to the terms and conditions of employment or access to public services or accommodations within the meaning of section 102(b)(3) of the Congressional Accountability Act (Public Law 104–1).

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF LEGISLATION

Section 1. Authorization of use of the Capitol Grounds for D.C. Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run

Section 1 authorizes the 28th Annual District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run to be run through the Capitol Grounds to carry the Special Olympics torch to honor local Special Olympics athletes on September 27, 2013, or on such other date as the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Com-

mittee on Rules and Administration of the Senate may jointly designate.

Section 2. Responsibility of Capitol Police Board

Section 2 requires the Capitol Police Board to take such actions as may be necessary to carry out the event.

Section 3. Conditions relating to physical preparations

Section 3 authorizes the Architect of the Capitol to prescribe conditions for physical preparations for the event.

Section 4. Enforcement of restrictions

Section 4 requires the Capitol Police Board to enforce all of the restrictions contained in section 5104(c) of title 40, United States Code, concerning sales, advertisements, displays, and solicitations on the Capitol Grounds, as well as other restrictions applicable to the Capitol Grounds, in connection with the event.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

H. Con. Res. 44 makes no changes in existing law.

