

NASA/TM—97-206319



Ultra-Capacitor Energy Storage in a Large Hybrid Electric Bus

L.A. Viterna
Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the
14th International Electric Vehicle Symposium and Exposition
sponsored by the Electric Vehicle Association of America
Orlando, Florida, December 11–17, 1997

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Lewis Research Center

December 1997

Trade names or manufacturers' names are used in this report for identification only. This usage does not constitute an official endorsement, either expressed or implied, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090-2934
Price Code: A03

National Technical Information Service
5287 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22100
Price Code: A03


Ultra-Capacitor Energy Storage In a Large Hybrid Electric Bus

L. A. Viterna, Ph.D.
NASA Lewis Research Center
21000 Brookpark Rd.
Cleveland, Ohio 44135
E-mail: viterna@lerc.nasa.gov

Abstract

The power requirements for inner city transit buses are characterized by power peaks about an order of magnitude larger than the average power usage of the vehicle. For these vehicles, hybrid power trains can offer significantly improved fuel economy and exhaust emissions. A critical design challenge, however, has been developing the energy storage and power management system to respond to these rapid power variations. Most hybrid vehicles today use chemical energy storage batteries to supplement the power from the fuel burning generator unit. Chemical storage batteries however, present several difficulties in power management and control. These difficulties include (1) inadequate life, (2) limited current delivery as well as absorption during regenerative braking, (3) inaccurate measurement of state of charge, and (4) stored energy safety issues. Recent advances in ultra-capacitor technology create an opportunity to address these concerns.

The NASA Lewis Research Center, in cooperation with industry and academia, has developed an advanced hybrid electric transit bus using ultra-capacitors as the primary energy storage system. At over 15000-kg gross weight, this is the largest vehicle of its kind ever built using this advanced energy storage technology. Results of analyses show that the vehicle will match the performance of an equivalent conventionally powered vehicle over typical inner city drive cycles. This paper describes the overall power system architecture, the evolution of the control strategy, and analysis of power flow and vehicle performance.



Introduction

New environmental emission standards are a challenge and an opportunity for industry and governments who manufacture and operate urban transit vehicles. In addition, reduced federal support for regional transit authorities has heightened the need for buses with increased fuel economy and reduced operating costs.

A bus incorporating a natural gas engine with an electric drive train and energy storage has the potential to offer large reductions in emissions and fuel consumption for urban transit buses. NASA Lewis in cooperation with government and industry in Ohio is developing a transit bus using this hybrid power technology approach.

Discussion

Hybrid Electric Vehicle Concept

In recent years an evolution in power electronics technology has offered the possibility of revolutionary drive trains for passenger vehicles. Electric motors using efficient solid state power devices offer infinitely variable power and speed control. Several of the motors currently being offered by industry have very high power densities and can be controlled to also act as generators. When coupled with onboard energy storage systems such as chemical batteries, capacitors, or flywheels, this new drive train offers several advantages including:

- Elimination of multiple-gear transmissions
- Elimination of fluid coupling losses
- Near constant speed and load to the engine
- Recovery of energy during braking
- Reduced drive train and brake maintenance

Electrical power trains with energy storage uncouple the short-term power requirements of the vehicle from the load seen by the engine. An engine in such a power train can operate at its highest efficiency design point. In addition, the size of the engine can be reduced significantly in some vehicles and drive cycles to the long-term average value of power. Energy storage provides the short term power supplement needed during acceleration and for going up a grade. This reduced engine size, higher efficiency, and constant speed yields significantly improved emissions, fuel economy, and life for the engine.

Project Objectives and Team Approach

The project objectives are to design, build, and demonstrate a hybrid electric transit bus. A cooperative of several organizations including industrial companies, federal and local government agencies, and academia are supporting this as a joint venture. The members of the group are providing components, systems, test facilities, and operational support to the project.

The governmental bodies believe that this effort will make a significant contribution to addressing the national and state interests to reduce emissions in urban areas. They also believe it will reduce fossil fuel consumption and operating costs for the mass transit systems. In addition, this is an excellent opportunity to capitalize on, and transfer technology from the aerospace and military industries to a commercial venture.

The industry partners objective is to develop advanced transit buses and drive train products that can address the needs of the transit bus market with respect to emissions and operating cost. The vehicle must be competitive, in terms of initial capital cost as well as operating costs, with current low emission urban transit buses.

Key factors in defining the overall project approach have been the selection of the industry team and the decision to concentrate on the power plant and drive train system. Market and technology leaders in the transit bus, engine, and electric motor industries were chosen to join this cooperative venture to insure that a viable commercial production and marketing capability would be available at the end of the project. Companies who could act in a vertical OEM/supplier market were sought rather than competing OEMs or component suppliers to facilitate future manufacturing agreements. In addition, cost sharing was required for industry members to act as full partners. A description of the partners and their roles follow.

In addition to initiating the project, the NASA Lewis Research Center provides overall project coordination and technical expertise in system modeling, as well as key component selection. In addition, NASA is responsible for developing the overall vehicle power control system including energy storage charge/discharge circuitry and control algorithm development. In general, off-the-shelf components have been integrated into the power management system. Key technology in this area developed by NASA will be disseminated for use and manufacturing by industry.

The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority (GCRTA) is a large urban transit agency operating over 700 buses in the city of Cleveland and is a good representative of the potential customer. It is located near the other partners and has aggressively introduced new low emissions technology. In addition it has developed the infrastructure to support alternative fuels, especially, natural gas. The GCRTA provided the initial vehicle and is supporting the vehicle testing.

Bowling Green State University (BGSU), College of Technology, is a leader in the development of electric vehicle drive trains. BGSU and the Lincoln Electric Motor Division developed the electric traction and auxiliary motors. BGSU also engineered and assembled the major drive train components onto the rear engine cradle of the bus.

Howard University (Washington, DC) is responsible for developing advanced energy management algorithms using neural network technologies. This may offer more efficient operation of the buses by adjusting the state of the energy system based on recognition of variable route conditions. These algorithms will be incorporated in the future.

Flxible has historically been the dominant producer of 40-ft transit buses. This duty class of urban transit buses is the mainstay of the industry. Though the 40-ft Flxible bus is currently out of production, Flxible supported this project with vehicle engineering and integration. Because Flxible is not producing buses, other vehicle manufacturers are being sought for possible interest manufacturing or retrofitting buses to hybrid configuration.

Teledyne Ryan Aeronautical (TRA) is one of the largest producers of small gas turbines. In addition, TRA's unique low cost manufacturing capability, almost entirely based on casting techniques, was seen as critical to insure the final system would be cost competitive. This technology is a result of competing in the "disposable" cruise missile engine market where large military contracts are extremely cost competitive. Although the initial vehicle uses a reciprocating engine, future plans for the project include the use of a Teledyne gas turbine generator unit. The gas turbine offers many advantages over those competing reciprocating engines including the following:

- Low emissions
- Multiple fuels capability
- Smooth and quiet operation
- Compact size and low weight
- Low parts count and high reliability

The electric traction motor is a four-quadrant vector controlled AC induction motor. Induction motors offer high reliability and vector controllers allow for independent and efficient control of torque over a wide speed range. With its lightweight alloy frame and the BGSU designed oil cooling, the Lincoln Electric motor achieves 200 HP while weighing only 350 lbs. A separate auxiliary motor drives the major subsystem loads such as air conditioning, and air and hydraulic pumps.

The power management and data system consists of a microprocessor-based Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) controller, a portable computer for data acquisition, and a communication bus. The PLC controller provides sequencing for system/subsystem startup and shutdown as well as control of the state of discharge of the energy storage system. The state of the energy storage must be optimized to allow for full recovery of energy during braking while also providing adequate vehicle performance. Finally, the vehicle must respond to operator accelerator and brake controls as similarly as possible to the response of a conventional vehicle.

The choice of the energy storage system and its associated electrical characteristics strongly influences the design of the vehicle control strategy. The ultra capacitor system has several characteristics that are significantly different from those of conventional chemical storage batteries.

First the state of charge of capacitors can be determined very precisely from the measured capacitor voltage. This is a significant advantage over chemical batteries in which the relationship between voltage and state of charge is non-linear. Chemical batteries also exhibit hysteresis of their voltage, current, state of charge characteristics. Battery energy storage systems require a much more sophisticated state of charge control system.

A second difference between batteries and capacitors is that batteries must be current (and/or cell voltage), limited especially when being charged. This is even more important as the battery achieves full charge. Near full charge lead acid and many other chemical batteries are unable to accept high currents without damage to their plates. To prevent loss of battery life, additional hardware and software is needed which again adds complexity of the charge control system. Capacitors, on the other hand, have very high current capabilities and efficiency, approach their voltage limit more slowly, and do not experience damage while accepting current below full charge.

A final difference between batteries and capacitors is that batteries have a much larger energy capacity. Although the capacitor-based energy storage system is very large in this vehicle, much of its energy is used during a single transient of sustained acceleration. Figure 2 shows the results of an analysis of power system response as the bus repeatedly accelerates and decelerates. This particular analysis limited the regenerative current to assess the ability of the power management system to maintain adequate state of charge. Note how the capacitor voltage decreases as the vehicle accelerates and the corresponding high current peaks.

Overall, the use of capacitor energy storage reduced the complexity of the power management controller significantly. Initial operation of the vehicle will use capacitor voltage as feedback to control the power set point of the engine generator set. The set point will be updated every few seconds. Development of a fuzzy logic based control system is also underway which will include vehicle velocity and rates of change in electrical state to better anticipate future power demand.




Figure 2 - Expected Capacitor System Behavior

Systems Performance and Emissions

System model development and analyses were key to selecting the design configuration and the power system components. A computer program, HEVA, (an acronym for *Hybrid Electric Vehicle Analysis*) was developed at NASA Lewis and was the primary system performance analysis modeling tool. HEVA is written in the C computer language and is presently implemented on a personal computer. HEVA's present capability includes power flow analysis, energy storage state-of-charge, and fuel economy estimates for a hybrid vehicle operating over a defined transient driving cycle. Power loss models for aerodynamic drag, rolling resistance, road inclination, vehicle acceleration, and component inefficiencies are included as well as a power recovery model for regenerative braking.

Initial system studies have used the drive cycle velocity profile defined in the Department of Transportation's Transit Bus "White Book" specifications of reference 1. This drive cycle consists of three phases to be repeated in sequence: (1) a central business district phase of 2 miles with 7 stops per mile and top speed of 20 mph, (2) an arterial route phase of 2 miles with 2 stops per mile and a top speed of 40 mph, and (3) a commuter phase of 4 miles with 1 stop and a maximum speed of 55 mph.

A study was made using HEVA to first determine how the energy is used over this drive cycle. As shown in Figure 3, the largest (48%) use of the energy is in acceleration of the bus, with smaller and approximately equal energy used for each of the following: (1) aerodynamic drag, 18%; (2) tire rolling resistance, 17%; and (3) drive train losses, 17%.

An important conclusion to be drawn is that there is a great potential to recover energy that was used for acceleration for these heavy transit buses in urban drive cycles. Drive train losses are also significant and result primarily from slippage losses in the automatic transmissions. This occurs primarily during acceleration (high torque) below the lockup speed of about 30 mph. Since the transit bus spends much of its time under these conditions, there is significant potential for improving efficiency during acceleration. The remaining energy losses in tire rolling resistance and aerodynamic drag are important but will not be addressed as part of this effort.

The peak power requirement during the drive cycle is important with respect to sizing of the traction motor. For this study, the peak power is approximately 250 hp and occurs during acceleration in the commuter phase of the drive cycle. The current Otto and Diesel bus engines are sized for this value. In the hybrid, the electric traction motor must also be sized relative to this value to provide the required acceleration. Electric motors are generally thermally limited, however, and the 200-hp motor is expected to be adequate.

The average power requirement over the drive cycle is important with respect to sizing the engine generator. Results of this study yielded an average power requirement of about 47 hp to meet the drive cycle requirements. However, additional power requirements result from subsystem loads. Department of Transportation studies measured subsystem power requirements for a Flexible 40-ft transit bus. This data shows the average power required for the air conditioning, air compressor power steering pump, and the alternator total 26 hp. Thus the total average power for the vehicle is 73 hp or about 54 kW for the White Book design cycle. Additional analyses based on drive cycle data taken by NASA on the Cleveland RTA Inner City Loop yields lower power requirements than the *White Book* cycle presented here and well within the power capability of the engine generator unit.




Figure 3 - Vehicle Energy Usage

The expected fuel economy of the hybrid bus compared to today's buses is shown in Figure 4, as predicted by HEVA. Two drive cycles were analyzed. The first represents city driving using measured velocity versus time data as input to HEVA from operation on the Cleveland RTA inner city loop. The Cleveland RTA Inner City Loop is one of the most severe for fuel consumption because approximately half of the time the engine is at idle and operating at its lowest efficiency. The other driving cycle is based on data measured on an arterial-driving route. This analysis provides the bases for the goal of doubling the fuel economy for the hybrid compared to today's buses.

The gas turbine being developed for testing in 1998 offers a significant advantage with respect to exhaust emissions over reciprocating engines. Its continuous combustion process and high airflow rates results in low hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate production even without exhaust catalytic converters. Nitric oxides (NO) production, though relatively low for gas turbines, is dependent on the resident time at high temperature and the efficiency of the fuel injectors in prevaporizing liquid jet fuel. The Teledyne Model 204 gas turbine to be used here has two characteristics that will contribute to low NO_x production. First, it operates at a relatively low turbine inlet temperature of about 1800F. Second, because the intended fuel is natural gas, it exits the fuel injector as gas, yielding better air and fuel mixing and thus offering the benefits of prevaporized combustors.

The expected emissions for the turboelectric bus, normalized with respect to the 1998 EPA/CARB standards for transit buses, are shown in the lower part of Figure 4. While conventional Diesel engines are having difficulty achieving the 1998 standards, the turboelectric hybrid is expected to reduce emissions by about a factor of 10.




Figure 4 - Predicted Fuel Economy and Emissions

E. Project Status and Future Plans

Assembly of the prototype vehicle was completed in October 1997. For initial testing, a reciprocating engine powered electric generator is being used until the turbogenerator is available. This power plant is essentially the same power output as the turbogenerator that will be used in 1998.

Results from the early vehicle testing were not available at the time of this writing, but should be by the time this paper is presented. Planned testing includes vehicle acceleration, braking and handling, and reliability. Track testing is expected to continue throughout the first quarter of calendar year 1998 at the Transportation Research Center in East Liberty, Ohio.

It is expected that the initial vehicle will then be tested under city driving conditions in Cleveland. Plans for further demonstrations of similar vehicles at other locations are currently being developed.

III. CONCLUSIONS

A government and industry cooperative is using advanced power technology in a city transit bus that will offer double the fuel economy, and emissions, one tenth of government standards. A unique aspect of the vehicle's design is its use of "super" capacitors for recovery of energy during braking. Future plans include use of a gas turbine powered generator in the second half of 1998.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge all the members of the Ohio Hybrid Bus team who have been key to the success of this effort, along with their associated organizations:

- Bowling Green State University
- Flxible Bus
- Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority
- Howard University
- Lincoln Electric Motor Division
- NASA Lewis Research Center
- Ohio Department of Development
- Teledyne Ryan Aeronautical

References

1. Department of Transportation, "Baseline Advanced Design Transit Coach Specifications," 1978.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE December 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Memorandum		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Ultra-Capacitor Energy Storage in a Large Hybrid Electric Bus		5. FUNDING NUMBERS WU-251-30-07-00		
6. AUTHOR(S) L.A. Viterna				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER E-11012		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NASA TM-97-206319		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 14th International Electric Vehicle Symposium and Exposition sponsored by the Electric Vehicle Association of America, Orlando, Florida, December 11-17, 1997. Responsible person, L.A. Viterna, organization code 6920, (216) 433-5398.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category: 85 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The power requirements for inner city transit buses are characterized by power peaks about an order of magnitude larger than the average power usage of the vehicle. For these vehicles, hybrid power trains can offer significantly improved fuel economy and exhaust emissions. A critical design challenge, however, has been developing the energy storage and power management system to respond to these rapid power variations. Most hybrid vehicles today use chemical energy storage batteries to supplement the power from the fuel burning generator unit. Chemical storage batteries however, present several difficulties in power management and control. These difficulties include (1) inadequate life, (2) limited current delivery as well as absorption during regenerative braking, (3) inaccurate measurement of state of charge, and (4) stored energy safety issues. Recent advances in ultra-capacitor technology create an opportunity to address these concerns. The NASA Lewis Research Center, in cooperation with industry and academia, has developed an advanced hybrid electric transit bus using ultra-capacitors as the primary energy storage system. At over 15000-kg gross weight, this is the largest vehicle of its kind ever built using this advanced energy storage technology. Results of analyses show that the vehicle will match the performance of an equivalent conventionally powered vehicle over typical inner city drive cycles. This paper describes the overall power system architecture, the evolution of the control strategy, and analysis of power flow and vehicle performance.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Hybrid electric vehicles; Transportation; Power systems		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15		
		16. PRICE CODE A03		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	