SENATE

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Report} \\ 112\text{--}29 \end{array}$

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2012

JUNE 30, 2011.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Johnson, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2055]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 2055) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with an amendment, and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

Amounts in new budget authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$142,029,599,000
Amount of 2011 appropriations	138,679,076,000
Amount of 2012 budget estimate	143,285,006,000
Amount of House allowance	142,032,269,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
Amount of 2011 appropriations	+3,350,523,000
Amount of 2012 budget estimate	-1,255,407,000
Amount of House allowance	-2,670,000

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the Bill	4
Committee Recommendation	4
Overview and Summary of the Bill	4
Military Construction: Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings	5
Summary of Committee Recommendations	5
Reprogramming Guidelines	5
Real Property Maintenance	5
Incremental Funding	5 5 5 5
Global Challenges	5
Military Construction, Army	17
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	18
Military Construction, Air Force	19
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	20
Military Construction, Reserve Components	21
North Atlantic Treaty Organization	22
Family Housing Overview	23
Family Housing Construction, Army	24
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army	25
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	25
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine CorpsFamily Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine	
Corps	26
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	27
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	27
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	28
Family Housing Improvement Fund	28
Homeowners Assistance Fund	29
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide	30
Base Closure Account 1990	30
Base Closure Account 2005	31
Administrative Provisions	31
Title II:	
Department of Veterans Affairs:	
Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings	34
Summary of Committee Recommendations	34
Department Overview	34
Veterans Benefits Administration	36
Compensation and Pensions	$\frac{36}{37}$
Readjustment Benefits	
Veterans Insurance and Indemnities	38 38
Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund Vocational Rehabilitation Loans Program Account	39
Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account	40
Veterans Health Administration	40
Medical Services	44
Medical Support and Compliance	48
Medical Facilities	48
Medical and Prosthetic Research	49
Medical Care Cost Recovery Collections	51
Medical Care Collection Fund—Revenues Applied	51
	-

	Page
Title II—Continued	
Department of Veterans Affairs—Continued	
National Cemetery Administration	51
Departmental Administration	52
General Operating Expenses	52
General Administration	52
General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration	55
Information Technology Systems	57
Office of Inspector General	62
Construction, Major Projects	62
Construction, Minor Projects	62
Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities	65
Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries	65
Administrative Provisions	66
Title III:	
Related Agencies:	
American Battle Monuments Commission:	
Salaries and Expenses	68
Foreign Currency Fluctuations	68
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims: Salaries and Expenses	69
Department of Defense—Civil: Cemeterial Expenses, Army: Salaries	
and Expenses	69
Armed Forces Retirement Home	70
Title IV: General Provisions	71
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI, of the Standing Rules of the Sen-	=0
ate	72
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	=0
Senate	73
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	70
Senate	73
Budgetary Impact of Bill	74
Military Construction Project Listing by Location	75
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority	110

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies appropriations bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, for both active and reserve forces. It also finances the cost of military family housing and the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law. The bill provides resources to the Department of Veterans Affairs for veterans benefits and healthcare and funding for U.S. cemeteries and battlefield monuments both in the United States and abroad, including Arlington National Cemetery; the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims; and the Armed Forces Retirement Homes.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$142,029,599,000 for fiscal year 2012 military construction, family housing, base closure, veterans healthcare and benefits, including fiscal year 2013 advance appropriations for veterans medical care, and related agencies. This includes \$69,497,269,000 in mandatory funding and \$72,532,330,000 in discretionary funding. The table at the end of the report displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2012 request.

OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF BILL

The Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies appropriations bill funds an array of programs that are vital to America's military personnel and their families, and to the Nations' veterans. For U.S. military forces and their families worldwide, the bill funds critical infrastructure, ranging from mission essential operational and training facilities to key quality of life facilities, including barracks, family housing, child care centers, schools and hospitals. For America's 22,700,000 veterans, the bill provides the necessary funding for veterans benefits and healthcare, from prescription drugs and clinical services to the construction of hospitals and other medical facilities throughout the Nation. The bill also funds veterans cemeteries in the United States and provides funding for four independent Agencies—the American Battle Monuments Commission, the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, the Armed Forces Retirement Homes, and Arlington National Cemetery.

TITLE I

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies held two hearings related to the fiscal year 2012 military construction budget request. Witnesses included representatives of the Office of Secretary of Defense and of the active and reserve components of the Army, Navy and Air Force.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The fiscal year 2012 budget request for military construction and family housing totals \$14,766,047,000. The request reflects a decrease of \$1,821,726,000 from the fiscal year 2011 enacted level, due primarily to reduced funding requirements for the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure program.

For title I, the Committee recommends \$13,717,382,000, \$1,048,665,000 below the budget request for military construction and family housing.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following reprogramming guidelines apply for all military construction and family housing projects. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the budget request is considered to be a congressional interest item and as such, prior approval is required. Accordingly, no reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in this budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction has not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where prior approval to a reprogramming request for a project or account has been received from the Committee, the adjusted amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below-threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item as defined above).

In addition to these guidelines, the services are directed to adhere to the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications, including the pertinent statutory authorities contained in DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14–R and relevant updates and policy memoranda.

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under "Operation and Maintenance" account funding:

—Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement may be up to current standards or code.

—Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

—Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and

usable facility.

—The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to report on the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on the Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

INCREMENTAL FUNDING

In general, the Committee supports full funding for military construction projects. However, it continues to be the practice of the Committee to provide incremental funding for certain large projects, despite administration policy to the contrary, to enable the services to more efficiently allocate military construction dollars among projects that can be executed in the year of appropriation. For fiscal year 2012, the Committee recommends incremental funding for the following projects: Aviation Task Force Complex, Fort Wainwright, Alaska; Mountainview Operations Facility, Buckley Air Force Base, Colorado; Guam Strike Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar, Anderson Air Force Base, Guam; Ambulatory Care Center, Joint Base Andrews, Maryland; STRATCOM Replacement Facility, Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska; Ambulatory Care Center, Joint Base San Antonio, Texas; Hospital Replacement, Fort Bliss, Texas; Data Center, Camp Williams, Utah.

GLOBAL CHALLENGES

The stationing of U.S. military forces overseas has long been viewed as a key component in strengthening international alliances in support of U.S. foreign policy objectives, deterring aggression

against U.S. allies, and providing a forward presence of U.S. troops that can be quickly deployed to respond to conflicts.

However, the strategic benefit of forward deployment comes with a steep price tag, and at a time of severe budgetary pressures, it is essential that all overseas basing decisions be carefully evaluated and subjected to stringent cost versus benefit analyses.

The Committee has carefully scrutinized the Defense Department's [DOD] overseas basing plans and the associated request for military construction funding for fiscal year 2012 in support of those plans. While supportive of the Department's strategic objectives, the Committee has concerns over various aspects of the fiscal year 2012 military construction request in support of DOD's global defense posture, due largely to questions surrounding the assumptions on which some of the projects are based, the lack of comprehensive master plans for major military construction initiatives, and the paucity of verifiable cost and scope data for military construction projects associated with major basing or realignment initiatives.

Following are discussions and Committee recommendations re-

garding several key DOD overseas basing initiatives.

U.S. Pacific Command Transformation.—The Defense Department has embarked upon a massive restructuring of U.S. military forces in the Pacific Command [PACOM]. This effort represents the largest transformation of U.S. military presence in the Pacific region since World War II. Moreover, it carries with it an as-yet undetermined infrastructure price tag estimated to be in the tens of billions of dollars.

The plan comprises two overarching realignments—one realigning the stationing of United States troops and instituting a new "tour normalization" program in the Republic of Korea, and the second redistributing United States forces in Japan and Okinawa and moving thousands of United States Marines and their families from Okinawa to Guam.

The Committee is concerned about the practical implications of implementing such a sweeping and potentially costly restructuring of United States military forces at a time of severe budget restraints and, in the case of the Japan/Okinawa realignment, in the face of popular opposition to essential components of the plan from the citizens of Okinawa.

A May 2011 report to the Committee by the Government Accountability Office [GAO], "Defense Management: Comprehensive Cost Information and Analysis of Alternatives Needed to Assess Military Posture in Asia" (GAO 11–316), found that DOD is transforming the facilities and infrastructure that support its posture in Asia without the benefit of comprehensive cost information or an analysis of alternatives that are essential to conducting affordability analyses.

GAO identified potential costs for the PACOM transformation initiatives ranging as high as \$46,700,000,000 through 2020, and \$63,900,000,000 through 2050, but the report cautioned that the estimates are "volatile and not comprehensive." In a time of soaring budget deficits that have resulted in the imposition of crippling budget cuts on non-defense programs, the committee questions the wisdom of embarking on long range, multi-billion dollar global de-

fense initiatives based on military construction cost estimates that

are found to be "volatile and not comprehensive."

Korea and Tour Normalization.—In South Korea, DOD is transforming its military posture through a series of four interrelated posture initiatives, which include moving United States forces south of Seoul and gradually moving from unaccompanied to lengthier accompanied tours. GAO obtained DOD cost estimates that total \$17,600,000,000 through 2020 for initiatives in South Korea, but noted that the DOD cost estimates were incomplete. One initiative, to extend the tour length of military service members and move thousands of dependents to South Korea-called "tour normalization"—could cost DOD \$5,000,000,000 by 2020 and \$22,000,000,000 or more through 2050, but GAO reported that this initiative was not supported by a business case analysis that would have considered alternative courses of action and their associated costs and benefits.

This lack of a business case analysis also raises concerns about the investments being made in a \$13,000,000,000 construction program at Camp Humphreys, Korea, to accommodate the relocation of United States troops south of Seoul and the first phase of tour normalization. Full four normalization would require additional land, housing, schools and other facilities at Camp Humphreys, which would require a revised master plan for the base and would likely require changes to the current construction program. Given the extent of construction currently underway at Camp Humphreys, any substantive change in the plan could impact efficiency and drive up costs considerably.

"DOD is embarking on an initiative that involves moving thousands of U.S. civilians to South Korea and constructing schools, medical facilities, and other infrastructure to support them without fully understanding the costs involved or considering potential alternatives that might more efficiently achieve U.S. strategic objec-

tives," GAO concluded in its report.

According to information GAO obtained from the Department, tour normalization was driven by the United States Forces Korea Commander's strategic objectives to (1) obtain greater flexibility in deploying United States forces assigned to South Korea and (2) improve military families' quality of life by reducing the amount of time they were separated by deployments. However, DOD has not clearly demonstrated the extent to which tour normalization will actually achieve these objectives or the total costs involved relative to other alternatives. As a result, DOD is unable to demonstrate that tour normalization is the most cost-effective approach to meeting the strategic objectives that form the basis for the initiative.

The financial risks of implementing tour normalization without a business case analysis to support the decision are high, given the

magnitude of the resources that will be required and the impact on military construction plans," GAO noted.

Japan/Okinawa/Guam.—In mainland Japan, Okinawa and Guam, DOD and the Government of Japan are moving forward with a complex troop realignment initiative that includes repositioning and consolidating United States forces among a number of bases within Okinawa and Japan, and relocating approximately 8,600 Marines and 9,000 dependents from Okinawa to Guam. The

Marine relocation is one piece of a larger DOD effort to build up the United States military presence on Guam. Other elements of the plan include Air Force intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets; a new Navy pier and hospital, and, potentially, an

Army missile defense component.

The Committee is concerned that although DOD has requested more than \$1,000,000,000 in funding since fiscal year 2010 for military construction projects to implement elements of the Marine Corps buildup in Guam, the Department has yet to produce a comprehensive master plan, realistic cost estimate, or construction timeline encompassing all elements of the plan. Moreover, several land use issues—including the availability of land on Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands for essential training range support for the Marines—remains unresolved. And the proposed United States military construction program does not begin to address the requisite civilian infrastructure improvements on Guam—including transportation, water, sewer and electrical upgrades—that will be needed to accommodate the projected population increase.

The Committee is also concerned about the defense of the planned United States military assets and facilities on Guam. Although the original Guam plan included a potential Army air and missile defense system, there is no construction funding for the project in the Army's Future Years Defense Plan and no indication that DOD intends to proceed with this crucial project. Absent a robust defense system, United States military facilities on Guam will be vulnerable to potentially devastating attack by enemy forces.

GAO reported that it had identified approximately \$29,100,000,000—primarily construction costs—that is anticipated to be shared by the United States and Japan to implement initiatives in mainland Japan, Okinawa, and Guam. According to the GAO report, DOD officials stated that total cost estimates for its initiatives were not available because of the significant uncertainty surrounding initiative implementation schedules. Furthermore, while it is difficult to determine what, if any, impact the March 11, 2011, earthquake and tsunami in Japan will have on current agreements and initiative financing and construction plans, DOD officials have said that there is potential for increases in the cost of materials and labor in Asia.

In assessing the overall DOD force posture realignment in the Pacific region, GAO pointed out that congressional defense committees "have been presented with individual posture decisions and funding requests that are associated with specific construction programs or initiatives, but those requests lack comprehensive cost estimates and the financial context that such estimates would provide—including long-term costs to complete and annual operation and maintenance costs."

"Without that context," GAO warned, "DOD is presenting Congress with near-term funding requests that will result in significant long-term financial requirements whose extent is unknown."

Due to the lack of verifiable cost estimates for the Guam buildup, the failure of DOD to submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive master plan for the initiative, and continuing uncertainty over the ability of the Government of Japan to fulfill its commitment to relocate United States troops on Okinawa, the Committee has deferred funding for fiscal year 2012 military construction projects associated with the relocation of United States Marines to Guam.

No funding was requested in the fiscal year 2012 budget for military construction related to tour normalization in Korea, but the Committee will expect detailed cost information and a completed business case analysis, approved by the Secretary of Defense, for the strategic objectives that to this point have driven the decision to implement tour normalization, before approving any funding requests in future years. This business case analysis should clearly articulate the strategic objectives, identify and evaluate alternative courses of action to achieve those objectives, and recommend the most cost-effective alternative.

To ensure that DOD and Congress have comprehensive posture cost information that can be used to fully evaluate investment requirements and the affordability of posture initiatives, GAO recommended in its report that DOD take seven specific actions, including developing a business case analysis for the strategic objectives related to tour normalization, limiting investments and funding risks at Camp Humphreys, and developing annual posture cost estimates for initiatives in the Pacific region that can be used in future posture planning. Although DOD generally agreed with GAO's recommendations, it did not specify what corrective actions it would take or identify timeframes for completion.

The Committee strongly supports the recommendations contained in GAO-11-316, and therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the following information within the specified timelines:

- —Prepare initiative status reports as described in Senate Report 111–226, and include them in the annual DOD Global Defense Posture report as specified by the Committee in Senate Report 111–226.
- —Provide to the congressional defense committees and to the Comptroller General a detailed implementation report describing the results of the Department's efforts and specific timeframes for further actions needed to implement the recommendations contained in the GAO report 11–316. The corrective action implementation report shall include, but is not limited to, the following:
 - —a completed business case analysis for the strategic objectives that to this point have driven the decision to implement tour normalization;
 - —the selected alternative that has been approved by the Secretary of Defense to achieve the stated strategic objectives;
 - —a full accounting of the funds applied and requested to construct new facilities and infrastructure at Camp Humphreys, Korea, with specific identification of all funds associated with implementing tour normalization;
 - —steps DOD has taken to limit investments and other financial risks associated with construction programs at Camp Humphreys—funded either by direct appropriations or alternative financing methods that are affected by decisions related to tour normalization; and

—a comprehensive cost estimate for DOD posture in the U.S. Pacific Command, including costs associated with operating and maintaining existing posture as well as costs associated with posture initiatives.

This report shall be provided to the congressional defense com-

mittees no later than March 15, 2012.

The Committee further directs the Comptroller General to assess the implementation report of the Secretary of Defense, and report the results of the GAO assessment to the congressional defense committees within 120 days of receipt of the report of the Sec-

retary.

The Committee also directs the Comptroller General to conduct a detailed assessment of posture initiatives in Japan, Okinawa, and Guam, focused on the planning, costs, long-term financial commitments, the status of the Futenma Replacement Facility and the transfer of Marine Corps forces to Guam, and the impact of the natural disasters that struck Japan in March 2011. GAO's assessment should include, but is not limited to, the extent to which DOD has:

—developed specific plans for new facilities, infrastructure and housing units in mainland Japan, Okinawa, and Guam under existing or planned agreements with Japan, and the extent to which these requirements depend on establishing the Futenma Replacement Facility:

—developed options or alternatives to the Futenma Replacement Facility and evaluated the potential impact on infrastructure, housing conditions, requirements, and estimated posture costs;

- —evaluated how changes in the specific Marine Corps units and forces that will remain in Okinawa or relocate to Guam affect infrastructure and housing costs on Okinawa and Guam;
- —developed an approach to identify and address any cost, funding, schedule, or construction capability shortfalls resulting from the natural disasters that struck Japan in March 2011; and
- —identified specific training deficiencies that form the justification for a new training range in the Northern Mariana Islands, and completed an analysis of alternatives to address these shortfalls.

This assessment shall be provided to the congressional defense

committees no later than May 25, 2012.

U.S. Africa Command [AFRICOM].—Given the strategic importance of the Horn of Africa in the war against terrorism, the Committee remains supportive of the AFRICOM mission at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti. Economic and political instability among African nations; the infiltration of al Qaeda and other terrorist organizations into East Africa, particularly Somalia, and the rise of piracy off the coast of Somalia underscore the importance of maintaining a United States military presence in the Horn of Africa.

However, the Committee remains concerned about the long range mission of AFRICOM at Camp Lemonier and the planned development and security of the installation. A May 2011 update of the Camp Lemonier master plan revealed a host of planning deficiencies, ranging from the lack of a prioritized list of construction requirements beyond the current 5-year planning cycle to the ab-

sence of any high-level effort among DOD officials to pursue the expansion of the U.S. base at Camp Lemonier to adjacent land that is soon to be vacated. The latter is of particular importance given the size and logistical constraints of the current U.S. footprint at Camp Lemonier. Security and anti-terrorism measures also remain major concerns of the Committee due to the proximity of Camp Lemonier to the commercial airport and the dense concentration of facilities on the base driven by size constraints.

The master plan was also notably lacking in reliable cost estimates for a large number of proposed military construction projects, making it impossible for the Committee to determine the long-range investment of U.S. tax dollars that will be required to

execute the Camp Lemonier master plan.

The Committee also remains concerned about the long range mission of Camp Lemonier. Although it is a Navy installation, its primary mission is to support the Combined Joint Task Force—Horn of Africa [CJTF—HOA], which is focused on anti-terrorism and capacity building in East Africa. DOD has designated Camp Lemonier as an enduring location, but by DOD definition, joint task forces are temporary in nature, designed to address specific, limited objectives, and are normally dissolved when their mission

has been achieved or is no longer required.

In an April 10, 2010, GAO report, "DOD Needs to Determine the Future of its Horn of Africa Task Force," (GAO-10-504), GAO noted that AFRICOM "has not yet made decisions on the future of the task force—including whether CJTF-HOA should continue to exist as a joint task force" and is continuing to evaluate whether changes are necessary to its mission, structure, or resources to support AFRICOM's mission of sustained security engagement in Africa. GAO also noted a number of challenges facing CJTF-HOA, including sustainable funding for its activities, African cultural issues, and interagency collaboration. According to the report, "the task force's sustainability is uncertain because AFRICOM, in concert with the Department of Defense and the Navy, has not developed options for funding the task force over the long term." GAO concluded that, "Until AFRICOM takes steps to examine feasible long-term funding options and develops a solution to increase the effectiveness and continuity of efforts among CJTF-HOA's frequently rotating staff, the command cannot ensure that its task force is supporting U.S. efforts in Africa with the appropriate resources and trained personnel."

Given the shortfalls in the Navy's current master plan for Camp Lemonier, including limited construction timelines and cost estimates for projects in the out years, the Committee remains concerned about the long term funding options for CJTF-HOA.

The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a comprehensive master plan for Camp Lemonier that can serve as a baseline to measure progress, total costs, and total funding requirements for all current and future projects associated with Camp Lemonier. The Secretary is directed to update the plan annually and provide it to the congressional defense committees with each budget submission. The comprehensive Camp Lemonier master plan shall include, but is not limited to, the following information:

- —Identification of the specific DOD strategic goals and objectives which provide the basis for the improvements required at Camp Lemonier, including the long-range mission of AFRICOM at Camp Lemonier, and the mission and activities of CJTF-HOA.
- —A prioritized list of all anticipated construction projects, an estimated total cost to complete each project, and expected start and completion dates.

—Current estimated costs by appropriation, addressing all costs associated with establishing, modifying, and sustaining DOD's

posture at Camp Lemonier.

—A long-term funding plan for Camp Lemonier, identifying the military service that will be responsible for providing funding and the source of those funds for construction of new facilities, sustainment and restoration of existing facilities, and operations and maintenance costs.

—A long-term funding plan for CJTF-HOA that would improve its future funding sustainability. The funding plan should identify the projected costs for the task force to conduct its assigned mission, and the military service that will be responsible for providing the necessary funding and the source of those funds.

—Initiative estimate assumptions, including:

-Population, including the number of military, civilian, and

non-DOD personnel.

—Medical, including the services provided (medical, dental, vision), dates new facilities will be available for use, ratio of primary care providers to population, and any other element that drives the number of medical treatment facilities and associated infrastructure or personnel required to support the population.

—Support services, including capacities of commissaries, exchanges, or other support services, necessary modifications to their existing facilities, and sources of funding necessary to pay for any needed improvements or new construction.

—Host nation agreements and leases, including terms and status of lease agreements, any other specific agreements with host nations, or legal issues that establish or drive specific timeframes for completion of the initiative or major components of the initiatives.

Subsequent annual updates to the master plan should also include:

- —Schedule Status.—A comparison of the current estimated timeframe to complete the overall initiative with original baseline estimates. An explanation of changes in the estimated completion date or changes in the approved schedule should be provided.
- —Facilities Requirements.—A comparison of the baseline and current projected number, type and size of facilities required to provide appropriate work space, housing, and support services to the population DOD anticipates it will be supporting. An explanation of changes in the estimated number or composition of required facilities should be provided.

—Cost Status.—A comparison of the baseline, approved program, and current estimated costs by appropriation, addressing all costs associated with establishing, modifying, and sustaining DOD's posture under this initiative. An explanation of any

changes in the estimated costs should be provided.

-Funding Status.—A comparison of the baseline, approved program, and current expected funding requirements, by appropriation. All funding requirements associated with the initiative should be addressed, including, but not limited to military construction, operations, and support. An explanation of any changes in the total estimated funding requirements or annual

requirements should be provided.

U.S. European Command [EUCOM].—The Committee remains concerned with the United States Army transformation and realignment plans in Europe. This year, DOD announced the restructuring of headquarters commands in Europe from four-star to three-star staff billets to reduce overhead as part of the Secretary of Defense's efficiency initiative. Subsequently, the Army announced its decision to reduce Army Brigade Combat Teams [BCTs] in Europe from four to three after 2015. In light of these developments, the Army continues to have challenges articulating its long term plans and justification for its forces and installations in Europe.

In addition, the Army continues to consolidate operations to Wiesbaden and close ancillary facilities within Germany. The withdrawal of another BCT from Europe is expected to result in the additional closure of either Bamberg or Schweinfurt. Significant infrastructure investment in both locations has been on hold pending the BCT decision, which means that there is likely to be a costly backlog of military construction requirements at whichever instal-

lation remains open.

In order to better understand future requirements for military construction in Germany, the Committee directs that no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Army and European Command provide a report on installations and properties in Germany that they intend to return to the host nation. This report should include intended timelines for closures along with the list of military construction projects required at other installations to facilitate the downsizing and consolidation of Army forces in Germany. The following requirements shall also be included to the report: (1) an identification of the brigade combat team that will be withdrawn from Germany; (2) an estimate of costs (including operation and maintenance costs and military construction costs) to be incurred during fiscal years 2012 through 2015 in connection with keeping the brigade identified in Germany through September 30, 2015 versus stationing a similar brigade in the United States; and (3) an identification of the best installations in the United States to locate a brigade similar to the one referenced above, including installations with the existing infrastructure to support a brigade combat team and can provide the most current training facilities in an environment that provides the conditions needed to prepare the brigade for future combat deployments.

Kaiserslautern Medical Center.—The reduction of personnel in Europe, the future withdrawal of the additional BCT from Ger-

many, and the planned drawdown of forces in Afghanistan raise questions as to the size, scope and nature of services to be provided at the proposed replacement hospital for the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center in Germany. The fiscal year 2012 budget request includes \$70,500,000 for the first of four increments of funding for the replacement hospital, the Kaiserslautern Medical Center

[KMC], with a total projected cost of \$1,100,000,000.

The Committee has been a strong advocate for a new facility as the current hospital is outdated, inefficient, and costly to maintain. However, current fiscal constraints require a careful scrutiny of all military construction requests. The Committee is committed to ensuring that large projects such as KMC are adequately sized and scoped for both current and future missions. DOD briefings to the Committee on plans for the Kaiserslautern Medical Center have raised questions regarding the proposed size and scope of the new facility and the projected population expected to use the hospital. It remains unclear as to whether the new facility is appropriately sized for long term, post-war, usage, or whether the proposed size and scope are being influenced by the current usage of the facility, which is operating at a surge capacity to support wounded warriors coming from Iraq and Afghanistan. It is also unclear whether the projected patient population includes the current surge as part of the hospital's baseline patient load. With the withdrawal of forces from Iraq and Afghanistan and the announced drawdown of forces from Europe, the primary population to be served by the new hospital should diminish.

Given the changing dynamics surrounding United States force posture in Europe and the planned drawdown of United States surge forces from Afghanistan, the Committee remains concerned over whether the proposed KMC facility is appropriately sized for the future United States military population in Germany and Europe. The Committee has asked the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to examine DOD's plans, assumptions, and estimated costs for the Kaiserslautern Medical Center, and will continue to

closely monitor this project.

Charter Schools.—The Committee is aware of the wide disparity in standards among public K–12 education systems in school districts that encompass military installations. Unfortunately, children of military families in underperforming school districts generally have few alternatives to public education. The Committee notes the proven effectiveness in raising student achievement and addressing certain unique needs of students that charter schools have played across the country including on some military installations. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study of charter schools located on domestic military installations and report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on domestic locations where charter schools could substantially improve the quality of education for children of military families while meeting the unique needs of this mostly transient population.

General/Flag Officer Quarters [GFOQ].—The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense is spending an inordinate amount of taxpayer funds on leases, maintenance and upgrades to general and flag officer quarters [GFOQs]. This year, the report on

expenditures of GFOQs exceeding the \$35,000 statutory limit for maintenance cited 81 instances where the quarters exceeded this amount. Of the 81 cited, 71 were assigned to the Army as the executive agent, 1 to the Air Force, and 9 to the Navy. At a time when agencies throughout the Federal Government are making sacrifices to save valuable tax dollars, the Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense is not scrutinizing its GFOQ leasing pro-

gram as carefully as it should.

For example, the Army has committed to pay \$66,000 per year to lease a house in the Miami, Florida, area where current real estate market conditions do not warrant such an expense. In addition to the cost of the lease, the Army plans to spend \$190,000 in 2012 for operations, utilities and security, of which \$31,000 is for routine maintenance, for a house owned by the city. Additionally, the Committee has been notified that the Army plans to spend \$300,000 to "adequately size the official entertainment space within the home." The Committee questions the requirement for this particular expenditure, particularly in the current fiscal environment, and directs the Army to defer funding for this proposal until the Committee has been briefed on the requirement and has approved the proposed project. The Committee further directs the Army to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, no later than December 1, 2011, on all expenditures for this residence, from all accounts, since signing the lease. In addition, the report should include a business case analysis detailing why this lease option is advantageous to the Government and the planned timeframe for occupying this residence.

While overseas leases are more complicated, the Army's request to spend \$1,400,000 on renovation of the grounds for the GFOQ in Stuttgart, Germany, and \$15,421 per month to lease GFOQ quarters in Belgium are other examples of questionable expenditures. The Committee, therefore, directs the Secretary of Defense to reevaluate the cost of all leased GFOQs and to submit a report no later than December 30, 2011, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on the cost benefit of maintaining

each lease.

Defense Access Roads.—As a result of the massive buildup of various military installations throughout the Nation due to BRAC 2005 realignments, force structure increases, and force transformation initiatives, the issue of traffic congestion in and around growth installations, particularly in densely populated urban areas, has become a major problem for both DOD and local communities.

The only funding mechanism for DOD to build or improve public roads outside of military installations is the Defense Access Road [DAR] program. Unfortunately, the DAR program is constrained by stringent eligibility requirements, such as the doubling of existing traffic, which make it virtually impossible for highly congested

urban areas to qualify.

Two recent studies—the Government Accountability Office [GAO] report "Defense Infrastructure: High-level Federal Interagency Coordination is Warranted to Address Transportation Needs beyond the Scope of the Defense Access Roads Program" (GAO-11-165) and the Committee-directed study by the National Academies of Sciences Transportation Research Board, "Federal Funding of Transportation Improvements in BRAC Cases"—identified serious shortcomings in the existing DAR criteria that limit the DAR program's ability to meet the traffic mitigation needs of communities surrounding growth installations, especially urban communities.

For example, DAR certification, which is required before a road project can be considered for military construction funding, is a cumbersome process and does not guarantee funding. Each road project is funded individually and must compete with all other worthy and needed military construction projects. Often, installation commanders are unaware of the DAR program or its requirements. Additionally, DOD leadership and installation commanders frequently do not coordinate or effectively communicate the impacts of major basing decisions on traffic patterns with local community planning entities. For major metropolitan areas, the most serious problem with the DAR program is that the eligibility criteria, including the requirement that existing road traffic must double, are impossible to demonstrate when traffic is already at a maximum volume.

Both GAO and the Transportation Research Board provided recommendations to address these issues. The Committee directs the Department to utilize the findings of both reports and develop a plan to improve the DAR program, with specific focus on modifying the DAR criteria. The Committee also encourages the Department to develop a plan to eliminate the backlog of road projects needed to mitigate traffic impacts on local communities that have experienced significant growth as a result of the most recent round of base closures. In addition, the Committee urges the Department to incorporate public road and transportation infrastructure requirements into future basing decisions.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2011	\$3,517,023,000
Budget estimate, 2012	3,235,991,000
House allowance (including rescission)	3,041,491,000
Committee recommendation	3,066,891,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Army provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Army. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,066,891,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$450,132,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level, and \$169,100,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

Water Infrastructure.—The Committee is concerned about the level of investment in Army water infrastructure projects. Army bases, especially those in areas with growing populations and sen-

sitive environments, would benefit economically and environmentally from sustained investment in upgraded water infrastructure. While the Committee is encouraged by Army advances in water conservation represented by the Net Zero Water pilot program, gains from this program can only be sustained by consistent investment in upgraded water infrastructure. As the Army looks at its ability to reduce pollution and limit effluent, the Army needs to look at prioritizing drinking water and waste water improvements

within the military construction program.

Army Medical Centers and Family Pavilions.—The Committee is aware that health facilities on many Army installations that have experienced rapid growth are inadequate to meet the needs of the increased number of soldiers and their families seeking medical resources on base. The Army needs to be able to address this shortfall in services by matching bases with increasing population with the requisite expansion of medical care facilities, especially for families and children. The Army Surgeon General should work with the Army Installation Management Command to ensure that the appropriate family and maternal and infant healthcare facilities are incorporated into and prioritized in the overall construction budget of growth bases to accommodate the additional capacity needed to provide medical care for service members, their families and their children.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2011	\$3,235,954,000
Budget estimate, 2012	2,461,547,000
House allowance (including rescission)	2,436,547,000
Committee recommendation	2,187,622,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy and the Marine Corps. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$2,187,622,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$1,048,332,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level, and \$273,925,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

Public Shipyards Under Navy Jurisdiction.—The Committee is concerned with the current level of military construction investments programmed by the Navy in the Nation's public shipyards. Infrastructure investment in Navy-operated public shipyards is essential to provide a safe and efficient work environment, enabling shipyard workers to return ships to the fleet more quickly. Given the current state of fleet readiness, support for effective and efficient depot maintenance in the public shipyards is a top priority.

In November 2010, the Government Accountability Office found that the Navy's estimate of a backlog of \$3,000,000,000 for sustainment, restoration, and modernization funding at the public shipyards may have been underestimated. The Navy has begun to address this shortfall; however, the Committee notes that new military construction projects may more effectively improve the safety and efficiency of work conducted at the shipyards. Therefore, the Committee urges the Navy to evaluate and accelerate military construction projects in the Future Years Defense Plan that can improve the safety, effectiveness, or efficiency of the work performed at the Navy's public shipyards in support of improving fleet readiness.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2011	\$983,081,000
Budget estimate, 2012	1,364,858,000
House allowance (including rescission)	1,247,358,000
Committee recommendation	1.227.058.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Air Force provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,227,058,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$243,977,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level, and \$137,800,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

Evaluation of Operational Costs in Air Force Strategic Basing Process.—The Committee commends the Air Force for its commitment to developing and maintaining a transparent, repeatable, and effective strategic basing process. The Committee is concerned that the cost criteria for the Air Force strategic basing process do not include evaluation of the relative operational costs that may vary from each candidate base. Given the high cost of operating aircraft, the recurring nature of these costs, and the significant improvements in modeling and simulation to evaluate alternative bed-down scenarios, the Committee believes these costs warrant examination in the strategic basing process. These operational costs include the cost associated with the flight time resulting from a candidate base's relative distance to military operating areas for tactical fighter aircraft, training ranges for trainer aircraft, logistic centers for airlift aircraft, and operational refueling tracks for tankers. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to capture and evaluate these operational costs when evaluating candidate bases in the strategic basing process.

Access Control Construction.—The Committee encourages the Air Force to accelerate the construction of base access gates in military

family housing areas where traffic congestion results in school commutes of more than 30 minutes for on-base families.

Consolidated Communication Facilities.—The Committee urges the Air Force to accelerate the planned construction of secure consolidated communications facilities that directly support Major Commands and that do not currently meet anti-terrorism/force protection requirements. This may include previously used facilities that do not meet current conversion standards.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2011	\$2,718,816,000
Budget estimate, 2012	3,848,757,000
House allowance (including rescission)	3,533,757,000
Committee recommendation	3,380,917,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Department of Defense provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property Defense-Wide. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,380,917,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-Wide" account in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$662,101,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and \$467,840,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

Fort Gordon, Georgia, National Security Agency [NSA] Project submission Adjustment.—The President's budget included \$11,340,000 for the NSA Whitelaw Wedge building addition at Fort Gordon, Georgia. Subsequent to the budget submission, NSA determined that the cost of the project had increased to \$17,705,000 and requested a \$6,365,000 funding adjustment for the project, to be offset by transferring \$6,365,000 from NSA's minor construction account. The director of the NSA transmitted a formal request for this adjustment to the Committee on June 2, 2011. The State table at the end of the report reflects the \$6,365,000 increase to the Whitelaw Wedge project requested by the NSA and the corresponding \$6,365,000 decrement to the NSA minor construction account.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency Construction" account, equal to the request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements and military exercises, including those related to overseas contingency operations.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the requested level of \$135,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP], plus an additional \$10,000,000 for planning and design. ECIP is the only dedicated stream of funding for energy projects within DOD. Historically, ECIP has funded small projects with rapid payback. As DOD moves more aggressively to develop renewable energy resources and improve energy security, ECIP is emerging as a major tool to leverage investment in larger projects, such as net-zero energy facilities or smart grid technologies, that are intended to produce significant improvements in energy consumption, costs or security at single or multiple installations. The Committee encourages the Department to continue using ECIP funds to leverage investments in major energy projects, particularly renewable energy initiatives.

Green Building Technologies.—The Committee strongly supports the Department's efforts to incorporate green building technologies, such as solar, photovoltaic, and green roofs, into both its new construction program and in the renovation of existing buildings. DOD currently requires new construction to meet LEED Silver standards and/or the five principles of High Performance Sustainable buildings. To ensure that all U.S. products can be utilized in DOD building construction and major renovations, the Committee urges the Department to evaluate commercial energy-efficient technologies for applicability to DOD construction and to allow and encourage the use of all green building ratings or certification systems which have been developed in accordance with rules accredited by the American National Standards Institute [ANSI] and approved as an ANSI standard. The Committee also encourages the use of green building rating or certification systems that incorporate and document the use of Life Cycle Assessment in the evaluation of building materials.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2011	\$1,453,302,000
Budget estimate, 2012	1,230,306,000
House allowance	1,255,306,000
Committee recommendation	1.230.306.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for Reserve components provides for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Reserve components. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,230,306,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$222,996,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request. Further detail of the

Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve components, as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENTS

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard Air National Guard Army Reserve Navy Reserve Air Force Reserve	\$773,592,000 116,246,000 280,549,000 26,299,000 33,620,000	\$773,592,000 116,246,000 280,549,000 26,299,000 33,620,000
Total	1,230,306,000	1,230,306,000

Army Guard Training Facilities.—The need to reduce the Armywide backlog of 128,000 soldiers currently on the waiting list in the Non-Commissioned Officer Education System [NCOES] is crucial. Therefore, the Committee requests that the Department of the Army continue expansion and development of National Guard training facilities that service both active duty, guard and reserve members. Priority should be give to schools considered Centers of Excellence for critical military occupational specialties.

Army Guard Readiness Centers.—The Committee is aware that approximately 40 percent of Army National Guard readiness centers are more than 50 years old. These facilities require renovation or replacement in order to meet the needs of training and maintaining a 21st century operational force. Unfortunately, DOD investment in Army Guard construction projects lags far behind the requirement. The Committee urges the Army to re-evaluate its investment plan for Army National Guard construction projects to ensure that all projects included in the Infrastructure Requirements Plan are maintained in the Future Years Defense Program.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2011	\$258,366,000
Budget estimate, 2012	272,611,000
House allowance	247,611,000
Committee recommendation	272,611,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] appropriation provides for the U.S. cost share of the NATO Security Investment Program for the acquisition and construction of military facilities and installations (including international military headquarters) and for related expenses for the collective defense of the NATO Treaty area.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$272,611,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program [NSIP] for fiscal

year 2012 as requested. This amount is \$14,245,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee recognizes the importance and multiplier effect of NATO common funding for joint-use military construction projects in Europe and the United States as well as in support of the war in Afghanistan. It is noteworthy that approximately one-half of the current NSIP budget is directed toward investments to support NATO military operations in Afghanistan. However, the Committee is concerned about the growing proportion of the annual NSIP budget that is being devoted to nonconstruction items, such as communications equipment, information technology systems, and mobile tracking systems.

The primary purpose of NSIP is to provide a common funding source to share the burden of costly construction projects that contribute to the security of the Alliance. According to current NSIP expenditure documents, however, approximately 50 percent of annual NSIP funding is being used for nonconstruction purposes. Although NSIP funds may be used for a wide array of NATO support functions, the Committee is concerned that the current trend may allow scarce construction funds to be siphoned off for other activities, thus delaying funding for needed military construction projects. In addition, this Committee does not have oversight over nonconstruction expenditures or requirements, and therefore cannot determine if the NSIP funding being used for these activities is a validated common funding requirement or is duplicative of other investments.

The Committee believes that it may be more transparent and appropriate to provide funding for NSIP construction and nonconstruction activities in separate accounts under the jurisdiction of the appropriate subcommittees. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report to accompany the fiscal year 2013 budget request on the proportion of construction vs. nonconstruction funding in the fiscal year 2013 NSIP budget request and a recommendation for funding nonconstruction activities that ensures both transparency and accountability.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Appropriations, 2011	\$1,819,544,000
Budget estimate, 2012	
House allowance	1,694,346,000
Committee recommendation	1,694,346,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Family Housing appropriation provides funds for military family housing construction activities, operation and maintenance, the Family Housing Improvement Fund, and the Homeowners Assistance Program. Construction accounts provide funding for new construction, improvements and the Federal Government share of housing privatization. Operation and maintenance accounts fund costs associated with the maintenance and leasing of military family housing, including utilities, services, management and furnishings.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,694,346,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement and homeowners assistance funds for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$125,198,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Family Housing Construction, Army

Appropriations, 2011	\$92,184,000
Budget estimate, 2012	186,897,000
House allowance	186,897,000
Committee recommendation	186,897,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Army provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Army housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Army.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$186,897,000 for family housing construction, Army, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$94,713,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$83,897,000 for new construction, as shown below:

ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Belgium	Brussels	Land Acquisition for GFOQs	10,000	10,000
Germany	Grafenwoehr	Family Housing Construction	13,000	13,000
Germany	South Camp Vil- seck.	Family Housing Construction	12,000	12,000
Germany	Illesheim (Storck Barracks).	Family Housing Construction	41,000	41,000
Worldwide	Various Locations	Planning and Design	7,897	7,897
Total			83,897	83,897

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Germany	Baumholder Illesheim (Storck Barracks). Illesheim (Storck Barracks).	Family Housing Improvements	22,000 41,000 40,000	22,000 41,000 40,000
Total			103,000	103,000

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2011	\$517.104.000
Budget estimate, 2012	494,858,000
House allowance	494,858,000
Committee recommendation	494.858.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Army provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Army family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$494,858,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Army for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$22,246,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2011	\$186,071,000
Budget estimate, 2012	100,972,000
House allowance	100,972,000
Committee recommendation	100,972,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements, and leasing of all Navy and Marine Corps housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-

owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Navy and Marine Corps.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$100,972,000 for family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$85,099,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$3,199,000 for planning and design for new construction.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Cuba	Guantanamo Bay	Revitalize Family Housing	5,146	5,146
Japan	NAF Atsugi	Convert Highrise Tower	6,971	6,971
Japan	NAF Atsugi	Revitalize Family Housing	16,073	16,073
Japan	CAF Yokosuka	Revitalize Family Housing—Enlisted	20,968	20,968
Japan	CFA Yokosuka	Revitalize Family Housing—Officer	10,339	10,339
Japan	MCAS Iwakuni	Revitalize Family Housing—Enlisted	13,828	13,828
Japan	MCAS Iwakuni	Revitalize Family Housing—Officer	11,796	11,796
Spain	Rota	Revitalize Family Housing—Officer	12,652	12,652
Total			97,773	97,773

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Appropriations, 2011	\$365,613,000
Budget estimate, 2012	367,863,000
House allowance	367,863,000
Committee recommendation	367,863,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Navy and Marine Corps family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$367,863,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$2,250,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Family Housing Construction, Air Force

Appropriations, 2011	\$77,869,000
Budget estimate, 2012	84,804,000
House allowance	84,804,000
Committee recommendation	84,804,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Air Force provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Air Force housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Air Force.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$84,804,000 for family housing construction, Air Force, in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$6,935,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$4,208,000 for planning and design for new construction.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
•	Kadena AB Misawa AB	Improve Family Housing, Ph 1 Improve Family Housing Infrastructure, Ph 1.	25,830 29,954	25,830 29,954
•		Improve Family Housing, Ph 1Improve Family Housing	24,762 50	24,762 50
Total			80,596	80,596

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2011	\$512,764,000
Budget estimate, 2012	404,761,000
House allowance	404,761,000
Committee recommendation	404.761.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Air Force provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Air Force family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$404,761,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force, in fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$108,003,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2011	\$50,363,000
Budget estimate, 2012	50,723,000
House allowance	50,723,000
Committee recommendation	50,723,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for Defense-Wide provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Defense family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$50,723,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$360,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2011	\$1,094,000
Budget estimate, 2012	2,184,000
House allowance	2,184,000
Committee recommendation	2,184,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing improvement appropriation provides for the Department of Defense to undertake housing initiatives and to provide an alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities. This account provides seed money for housing privatization initiatives.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$2,184,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$1,090,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Homeowners Assistance Fund

Appropriations, 2011	\$16,482,000
Budget estimate, 2012	1,284,000
House allowance	1,284,000
Committee recommendation	1,284,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Homeowners Assistance Program [HAP] provides funds to assist eligible military personnel and civilian Federal employee homeowners who sustain a loss on the sale of their primary residence due to a declining residential real estate market attributable to the closure or realignment of a military installation. In Public Law 111–5, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, the HAP was expanded to provide mortgage relief to wounded warriors and spouses of fallen warriors, and to provide temporary mortgage relief to all military and qualified civilian personnel required by the Department to relocate who sustained losses on the sale of their homes due to the mortgage crisis. Program expenses include payments to homeowners for losses on private sales; cost of judicial foreclosure; property acquisition by liquidating and/or assuming outstanding mortgages; partial payment of homeowners' lost equity on Government acquisitions; retirement of debt after sale of properties when the Government assumes mortgages; and administrative expenses.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,284,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Program for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$15,198,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Committee notes that to date, Congress has provided \$855,000,000 to fund the expanded HAP in addition to funding re-

quested by the Department for the base HAP program.

The Committee understands that the depth and duration of the nationwide housing slump has created a prolonged and greater than expected demand on the expanded Housing Assistance Program. This is compounded by the fact that the Department has found that the anticipated cost per claim on average is much higher than projected. The Department assumed an average 20 percent decline in home value in estimating the requirement for the expanded HAP, but has found that the actual decline in home values is as high as 50 percent in some markets. According to the Department, the current estimated shortfall in funding to compensate service members who suffer losses in the housing market and qualify for HAP relief is \$305,600,000.

Military personnel do not have the luxury to move at their own convenience. Regardless of the economic climate, military families must relocate upon the receipt of orders. This Committee recognized the implications of that fact in a declining economy in expanding HAP in 2009. At a time of war and economic uncertainty, the added stress of possible foreclosure or bankruptcy due to the mortgage crisis is a burden our Nation's military families should not have to bear. This is especially true for the families of wounded warriors and the surviving spouses of fallen warriors, which is why these two groups have priority consideration for compensation

under the program. The Committee notes that the Department has the authority to transfer funds from the base closure and realignment accounts into the Homeowners Assistance Program and urges the Department to reprogram available funds from sources such as bid savings to meet the unfunded requirements of the Homeowners Assistance Program.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2011	\$124,721,000
Budget estimate, 2012	75,312,000
House allowance	75,312,000
Committee recommendation	75,312,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account provides funding for design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructure required to support the Chemical Demilitarization Program. This account was established starting in fiscal year 2005 to comply with section 141(b) of the fiscal year 2003 National Defense Authorization Act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$75,312,000 for chemical demilitarization construction projects for fiscal year 2012, a decrease of \$49,404,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee continues to urge the Department to take all necessary and appropriate steps to dispose of the U.S. chemical weapons stockpile by the 2012 Chemical Weapons Convention deadline and, under no circumstances, later than 2017 consistent with section 8119 of Public Law 110–116. In light of the need for the Department to carry out its mission promptly and safely it will need to provide close oversight over the execution of contracts at the chemical demilitarization sites to ensure funds are spent prudently and efficiently. The Committee will continue to monitor closely the Department's compliance with both deadlines.

Base Closure Account 1990

Appropriations, 2011	\$359,753,000
Budget estimate, 2012	323,543,000
House allowance	373,543,000
Committee recommendation	323.543.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base closure appropriation (1990) provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the four closure rounds required by the base closure acts of 1988 and 1990.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$323,543,000 for the Base Closure Account 1990 for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$36,210,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the President's budget request.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990 ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

From fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2011, a total of \$25,331,420,000 has been appropriated for the environmental clean up of military installations closed or realigned under prior BRAC rounds. The cumulative amount appropriated for BRAC 1990, combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2012, is \$25,654,963,000.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with broad flexibility to allocate funds by service, function, and installation. The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of prior base closures, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2012 for BRAC 1990.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2012]

		Fisca	l year	
	1990–2010	2011 enacted	2012 Committee recommendation	Total
Part I	\$2,684,577,000 4,915,636,000 7,269,267,000 10,102,187,000	(1) (1) (1) (1) \$359,753,000	(1) (1) (1) (1) \$323,543,000	\$2,684,577,000 4,915,636,000 7,269,267,000 10,785,483,000
Total	24,971,667,000	359,753,000	323,543,000	25,654,963,000

¹ Not applicable.

Base Closure Account 2005

Appropriations, 2011	\$2,117,213,000
Budget estimate, 2012	258,776,000
House allowance (including rescission)	208,776,000
Committee recommendation	258,776,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base realignment and closure appropriation for 2005 provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the 2005 closure round required by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$258,776,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 for fiscal year 2012. This amount is \$1,858,437,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The large reduction in funding is due primarily to the completion of funding for the construction phase of the BRAC 2005 program in the current fiscal year. Funds provided for fiscal year 2012 are for environmental cleanup and ongoing operations and maintenance.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. The Committee includes a provision that restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in

cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use

of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. The Committee includes a provision that establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services for overseas projects.

SEC. 112. The Committee includes a provision that establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. The Committee includes a provision that requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. The Committee includes a provision that limits obligations during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 115. The Committee includes a provision that permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. The Committee includes a provision that permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. The Committee includes a provision that permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. The Committee includes a provision that allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base closure accounts to the continuing base closure account (1990, parts I–IV).

SEC. 119. The Committee includes a provision that permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund and from Military

Construction accounts to the DOD Military Unaccompanied Hous-

ing Improvement Fund.
SEC. 120. The Committee includes a provision that requires the service secretaries to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 121. The Committee includes a provision that provides

transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Fund.

SEC. 122. The Committee includes a provision that requires that all acts making appropriations for military construction be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per unit per year without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 123. The Committee includes a provision that provides authority to expend funds from the "Ford Island Improvement" ac-

count.

Sec. 124. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the expenditure of funds at installations or for projects no longer necessary as a result of BRAC 2005.

SEC. 125. The Committee includes a provision that allows the transfer of expired funds to the Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Con-

struction, Defense Account.

Sec. 126. The Committee includes a provision that allows the reprogramming of military construction and family housing construction funds among projects and activities within the account in which they are funded.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies held one hearing related to the fiscal year 2012 Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] budget request on March 31, 2011. The subcommittee heard testimony from the Honorable Eric Shinseki, Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee recommendation includes \$128,090,847,000 for the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2012, including \$69,497,269,000 in mandatory spending and \$58,593,578,000 in discretionary spending. The Committee also recommends \$52,541,000,000 in advance appropriations for veterans medical care for fiscal year 2013.

DEPARTMENT OVERVIEW

The Veterans Administration was established on July 21, 1930, as an independent agency by Executive Order 5398, in accordance with the Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 1016). This act authorized the President to consolidate and coordinate Federal agencies specially created for or concerned with the administration of laws providing benefits to veterans, including the Veterans' Bureau, the Bureau of Pensions, and the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers. On March 15, 1989, the Veterans Administration was elevated to Cabinet-level status as the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The VA's mission is to serve America's veterans and their families as their principal advocate in ensuring they receive the care, support, and recognition they have earned in service to the Nation. As of September 30, 2010, there were an estimated 22.7 million living veterans, with 22.6 million of them residing in the United States and Puerto Rico. There were an estimated 34.6 million dependents (spouses and dependent children) of living veterans in the United States and Puerto Rico, and there were over 530,000 survivors of deceased veterans receiving VA survivor benefits in the United States and Puerto Rico. Thus, more than 57.7 million people, or 18.4 percent of the total estimated resident population of the United States and Puerto Rico, were recipients or potential recipients of veterans benefits from the Federal Government. The VA's operating units include the Veterans Benefits Administration, Vet-

erans Health Administration, National Cemetery Administration,

and staff support offices.

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] provides an integrated program of nonmedical veterans benefits. The VBA administers a broad range of benefits to veterans and other eligible beneficiaries through 57 regional offices and a records processing center in St. Louis, Missouri. The benefits provided include: compensation for service-connected disabilities; pensions for wartime, needy, and totally disabled veterans; vocational rehabilitation assistance; educational and training assistance; home buying assistance; estate protection services for veterans under legal disability; information and assistance through personalized contacts; and six life insurance programs.

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] develops, maintains, and operates a national healthcare delivery system for eligible veterans; carries out a program of education and training of healthcare personnel; conducts medical research and development; and furnishes health services to members of the Armed Forces during periods of war or national emergency. A system of 152 hospitals, 929 outpatient clinics, 133 nursing homes, and 109 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs is maintained to meet

the VA's medical mission.

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] provides for the interment of the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans in any national cemetery with available grave space; permanently maintains these graves; provides headstones and markers for the graves of eligible persons in national and private cemeteries; administers the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries; and provides certificates to families of deceased veterans recognizing their contributions and service to the Nation. The National Cemetery Administration includes 164 cemeterial installations and activities.

Staff support offices include the Office of Inspector General, Boards of Contract Appeals and Veterans Appeals, and General Administration offices, which support the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary for Benefits, Under Secretary for Health, Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs, and General Counsel

Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs, and General Counsel.

Life-cycle Costs in VA Purchasing.—The Committee is concerned that the VA is not adequately considering life-cycle costs when making purchasing decisions. Evaluating unit cost without considering differences in the useful life of the item to be purchased may be causing the VA to spend more than necessary over time due to increased maintenance and replacement costs. The Committee directs the VA to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than December 30, 2011, areas in which life-cycle costs are not evaluated as part of the competitive bidding process and an explanation as to why these costs are not considered.

Federal Recovery Coordination Program.—The Committee urges the Department to ensure sufficient staffing is provided for the Federal Recovery Coordination Program. The Committee further urges the Department in conjunction with the Department of Defense to jointly reexamine the Federal Recovery Coordination Program to make such changes necessary to ensure the Federal Recovery Coordinators have full access and authority needed to coordinate care and benefits across both Departments.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2011	\$64,471,683,000
Budget estimate, 2012	
House allowance	69,653,445,000
Committee recommendation	69.653.445.000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] is responsible for the payment of compensation and pension benefits to eligible service-connected disabled veterans, as well as education benefits and housing loan guarantees.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$69,653,445,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. This amount is composed of \$58,067,319,000 for Compensation and pensions; \$11,011,086,000 for Readjustment benefits; \$100,252,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities; \$318,612,000 for the Veterans housing benefit program fund, with \$154,698,000 for administrative expenses; \$19,000 for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account, with \$343,000 for administrative expenses; and \$1,116,000 for the Native American veteran housing loan program account.

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2011	\$53,978,000,000
Budget estimate, 2012	58,067,319,000
House allowance	58,067,319,000
Committee recommendation	58,067,319,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Compensation is payable to living veterans who have suffered impairment of earning power from service-connected disabilities. The amount of compensation is based upon the impact of disabilities on a veteran's earning capacity. Death compensation or dependency and indemnity compensation is payable to the surviving spouses and dependents of veterans whose deaths occur while on active duty or result from service-connected disabilities. A clothing allowance may also be provided for service-connected veterans who use a prosthetic or orthopedic device. In fiscal year 2012, the Department estimates it will obligate \$52,911,619,000 for payments to 3,588,315 veterans, 388,214 survivors, and 1,186 dependents receiving special benefits.

Pensions are an income security benefit payable to needy wartime veterans who are precluded from gainful employment due to non-service-connected disabilities which render them permanently and totally disabled. Public Law 107–103, the Veterans Education and Benefits Expansion Act of 2001, restored the automatic presumption of permanent and total nonservice connected disability

for purposes of awarding a pension to veterans age 65 and older, subject to the income limitations that apply to all pensioners. Death pensions are payable to needy surviving spouses and children of deceased wartime veterans. The rate payable for both disability and death pensions is determined on the basis of the annual income of the veteran or their survivors. In fiscal year 2012, the Department estimates that the Pensions program will provide benefits to 303,783 veterans and 203,178 survivors totaling \$4,945,282,000.

The Compensation and Pension appropriation also funds certain burial benefits on behalf of eligible deceased veterans. These benefits include the purchase and transportation costs for headstones and markers; graveliners; pre-placed crypts; and partial reimbursement for privately purchased outer burial receptacles. In fiscal year 2012, the Department estimates the Compensation and Pensions program will obligate \$210,418,000 providing burial benefits. This funding will provide 59,731 burial allowances, 33,152 burial plot allowances, 17,495 service-connected death awards, 500,002 burial flags, 347,983 headstones or markers, and 52,895 graveliners or reimbursement for privately purchased outer burial receptacles.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$58,067,319,000 for Compensation and pensions. This is an increase of \$4,089,319,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The appropriation includes \$32,187,000 in payments to the General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration; Medical support and compliance; and Information technology systems accounts for expenses related to implementing provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992, the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1994, and the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1996.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriations, 2011	\$10,396,245,000
Budget estimate, 2012	
House allowance	11,011,086,000
Committee recommendation	11,011,086,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Readjustment benefits appropriation finances the education and training of veterans and servicemembers under chapters 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42 and 43 of title 38, United States Code. These benefits include the All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI bill) and the Post 9/11 Educational Assistance Program. Basic benefits are funded through appropriations made to the readjustment benefits appropriation and by transfers from the Department of Defense. This account also finances vocational rehabilitation, specially adapted housing grants, specially adapted automobile grants for certain disabled veterans, and educational assistance allowances for eligible dependents of those veterans who died from service-connected causes or who have a total permanent service-connected disability,

as well as dependents of servicemembers who were captured or missing in action.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$11,011,086,000 for Readjustment benefits. This is an increase of \$614,841,000 above the fiscal year

2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Cybersecurity Accredited Programs.—In light of the need to promote education and job growth in fields critical to the security of our Nation and its infrastructure, specifically in the area of cybersecurity and defense, the Committee encourages the Department's Office of Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment Service to identify or create programs which provide cybersecurity training and certifications for veterans. Where appropriate, these programs should integrate efforts with Veterans Business Outreach Centers, Fusion Centers/Watch Centers within the Federal, State, and local government, all local educational institutions, and other community partners. The Committee directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than February 1, 2012, on the feasibility of establishing such a program, the estimated costs associated with it, and how integration with Federal, State, local and community partners would play a role.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriations, 2011	\$77,589,000
Budget estimate, 2012	100,252,000
House allowance	100,252,000
Committee recommendation	100,252,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans insurance and indemnities appropriation consists of the former appropriations for military and naval insurance, applicable to World War I veterans; National Service Life Insurance, applicable to certain World War II veterans; Servicemen's indemnities, applicable to Korean conflict veterans; and veterans mortgage life insurance to individuals who have received a grant for specially adapted housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$100,252,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities. This is an increase of \$22,663,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Department estimates there will be 7,128,270 policies in force in fiscal year 2012 with a value of \$1,352,685,500,000.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2011 Budget estimate, 2012 House allowance Committee recommendation	\$19,078,000 318,612,000 318,612,000 318,612,000	\$164,752,000 154,698,000 154,698,000 154,698,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans housing benefit program fund provides for all costs associated with the VA's direct and guaranteed housing loan programs, with the exception of the Native American veteran housing

loan program.

VA loan guaranties are made to servicemembers, veterans, reservists, and unremarried surviving spouses for the purchase of homes, condominiums, and manufactured homes, and for refinancing loans. VA guarantees part of the total loan, permitting the purchaser to obtain a mortgage with a competitive interest rate, even without a downpayment, if the lender agrees. The VA requires that a downpayment be made for a manufactured home. With a VA guaranty, the lender is protected against loss up to the amount of the guaranty if the borrower fails to repay the loan.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends such sums as may be necessary for funding subsidy payments, estimated to total \$318,612,000; and \$154,698,000 for administrative expenses for fiscal year 2012. Bill language limits gross obligations for direct loans for speciallyadapted housing to \$500,000.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2011	\$29,000 19,000 19,000 19,000	\$328,000 343,000 343,000 343,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Vocational rehabilitation loans program account covers the cost of direct loans for vocational rehabilitation of eligible veterans and, in addition, includes administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program. Loans of up to \$1,108 (based on the indexed chapter 31 subsistence allowance rate) are currently available to service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in vocational rehabilitation programs, as provided under 38 U.S.C. chapter 31, when the veteran is temporarily in need of additional assistance. Repayment is made in 10 monthly installments, without interest, through deductions from future payments of compensation, pension, subsistence allowance, educational assistance allowance, or retirement pay. Virtually all loans are repaid in full and most in less than 1 year.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$19,000 for program costs and \$343,000 for administrative expenses for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account. The administrative expenses may be transferred to and merged with the General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration account. Bill language is included limiting program direct loans to \$3,019,000. It is estimated that the VA will make 3,215 loans in fiscal year 2012, with an average amount of \$939.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2011	\$662,000
Budget estimate, 2012	1,116,000
House allowance	1,116,000
Committee recommendation	1,116,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program is authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, section 3761 to provide direct loans to Native American veterans living on trust lands. The loans are available to purchase, construct, or improve homes to be occupied as veteran residences, or to refinance a loan previously made under this program in order to lower the interest rate. The potential maximum loan amount under this authority ranges from \$417,000 in standard areas up to \$1,641,937.50 in high-cost areas. Veterans pay a funding fee of 1.25 percent of the loan amount, although veterans with a service-connected disability are exempt from paying the fee. Before a direct loan can be made, the veteran's tribal organization must sign a memorandum of understanding with the VA regarding the terms and conditions of the loan. The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program began as a pilot program in 1993 and was made permanent by Public Law 109-233, the Veterans Housing Opportunity and Benefits Act of 2006.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,116,000 for administrative expenses associated with this program. This is \$454,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2011	\$48,617,570,000
Appropriations, 2012	
Budget estimate, 2012	748,774,000
House allowance, 2012	530,774,000
Committee recommendation, 2012	581,000,000
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2013	52,541,000,000
House allowance, advance appropriation, 2013	52,541,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2013	52,541,000,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] operates the largest Federal medical care delivery system in the country, with 152 hospitals, 109 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 133 nursing homes, and 992 outpatient clinics, which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics.

The Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department to deposit first-party and pharmaceutical copayments; third-party insurance payments and enhanced-use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy

Program collections; Compensation and Pension Living Expenses Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF.

The Parking Program provides funds for the construction, alteration, and acquisition (by purchase or lease) of parking garages at VA medical facilities authorized by 38 U.S.C. 8109. The Secretary is required under certain circumstances to establish and collect fees for the use of such garages and parking facilities. Receipts from the parking fees are to be deposited into the MCCF and are used for medical services activities.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$581,000,000 for the Veterans Health Administration for medical and prosthetic research for fiscal year 2012, without collections. This is an increase of \$72,226,000 above the Administration's request. Medical care collections are expected to be \$3,326,000,000. Additionally, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$50,610,985,000 for veterans medical care for fiscal year 2012 in Public Law 112–10, the Department of Defense and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011. Therefore, VHA will have total resources of \$54,517,985,000, plus any carryover from fiscal year 2011, available in fiscal year 2012. In addition, the Committee recommendation also includes an advance appropriation of \$52,541,000,000 for veterans medical care for fiscal year 2013.

In addition to funding for VHA's regular Medical services programs, the Administration's budget submission requested a separate \$953,000,000 contingency fund within the Medical services account in the event that the current economic climate results in a spike in veterans turning to the VA for their medical care. The request was partially offset by a rescission of \$713,000,000 which was the cumulative 2011 and 2012 pay freeze. The balance, \$240,000,000, was requested above the advance appropriation provided to the Department for fiscal year 2012.

Under the proposal, the contingency funds would become available for obligation only if the Administration determined that additional funds were required due to unanticipated demand for VA medical services, and only if the Office of Management and Budget

[OMB] approved the request.

The Committee remains committed to providing the Department with every resource it requires to meet the needs of veterans, but it does not believe that the creation of a contingency fund, which takes control of medical resources out of the hands of the Department and places it within the jurisdiction of OMB, is prudent policy.

Further, the Department's fiscal year 2013 request assumes that the VA will carry \$500,000,000 over from fiscal year 2012. The Committee believes that before requesting a contingency fund the VA should utilize funding that is available to the Department. Toward this end, the Committee recommendation does not rescind \$664,000,000 which remains in the medical care accounts from the cancelled pay raises, and rather leaves the funding within the Medical services account for use by the Department to address unanticipated demand, should it materialize.

AREAS OF INTEREST

VA Nursing Academy.—The Committee commends the VA for addressing the nursing shortage through the Veterans Affairs Nursing Academy. This 5-year pilot program is designed to establish partnerships with competitively selected nursing schools to expand the number of teaching faculty in VA facilities and affiliated nursing schools in order to increase student enrollment in baccalaureate nursing programs. The Committee also urges the VA to continue its collaboration with the Department of Defense through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services by providing nursing faculty and nursing students in graduate nursing education programs.

Advanced Nursing Education.—The Committee urges the VA, in conjunction with accredited schools of nursing, to explore the development of a fast-track doctoral training program which would facilitate completion of a doctorate in nursing by qualified nurses employed within the VA network who possess a bachelor of science in

nursing.

Licensing Requirements at DOD/VA Collocated Facilities.—The Committee supports efforts to eliminate the burden placed on healthcare providers which requires two different licensing processes at collocated facilities for the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs. Providing the highest quality of healthcare for service men and women, and veterans is the Committee's number one priority. In an effort to ensure that providers are able to efficiently and effectively care for their patients without imposing additional burdens on health providers, the Committee directs the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to examine ways in which duplicative licensing requirements may be eliminated at collocated facilities and to report these findings to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than March 15, 2012.

Infrastructure Improvements.—In May 2010, the Department of Veterans Affairs announced the Surgical Complexity Initiative to ensure that VA medical centers with inpatient surgical programs have the best available infrastructure in relation to the complexity of the surgical procedures being performed. While the Committee is pleased with this initiative to enhance patient safety, the Department must ensure that this initiative does not result in limitations on specialty of care or quality of care. The Committee is concerned that campus-style facilities in small and mid-sized cities have not received necessary infrastructure improvements critical to the care of their patient populations. Not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress ways in which infrastructure improvements at small and mid-sized medical centers may be used to expand access for rural veterans to specialty care and improve overall quality of care.

Rural Telehealth/Electronic Health Records.—The Committee is aware that the Health Resources and Services Administration [HRSA] within the Department of Health and Human Services has begun an initiative to provide rural healthcare providers with telehealth equipment and electronic health record systems that will

complement the VA's Rural Health Initiative. The Committee urges the VA to collaborate with HRSA to ensure that both initiatives succeed in improving the health of veterans in remote and rural areas.

Centers of Excellence.—The Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to conduct a study and issue a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by January 27, 2012, identifying the top five ways to increase efficiencies and improve outcomes of the DOD/VA Centers of Excellence. This report shall include a detailed assessment of the services provided at each of the centers, the current staffing provided by both the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, the number of veterans served at each center, and an estimated number of veterans in the surrounding region who are eligible to use each center of excellence. Additionally, the report shall include the necessary steps to increase awareness among veterans of the services offered at these centers.

Cost-saving Initiative.—Over the past decade, the Department has undertaken an effort to modernize its medical facilities through new construction and renovation. This recapitalization effort is imperative to the delivery of high-quality medical care. Often when a new surgical ward or other treatment facility undergoes construction, the VA has to find alternative areas for treatment or contract care to non-VA medical providers. The Committee believes that the VA could achieve cost savings during renovation or construction by either leasing or purchasing mobile units. The Committee encourages the Department to launch pilot projects in selected VISNs that have renovation or construction projects underway, to lease or purchase mobile surgical units through a full and open competition while construction is underway. Additionally, the VA should develop metrics for a cost benefit analysis to determine whether this approach has achieved savings versus contracting care through local medical providers.

Women Veterans.—The percentage of women veterans is expected to rise significantly over the next two decades. While VA is an institution originally designed and focused toward serving male veterans, there is an urgent need to adapt older facilities to these changing demographics. Women veterans seeking VA care have unique needs that VA facilities must be better adapted to meet. The Committee urges VA to continue to upgrade facilities to address the needs of women veterans and veterans with children. Additionally, the Committee urges VA to ensure the provisions of title II of Public Law 111–163 are fully implemented, especially section 205 of that law.

Prosthetics.—The VA has long been a leader in the development of new prosthetics. Over the past several years, prosthetic development has revolutionized the way in which prosthetics work. Unlike the hook-and-cable prosthetics, many of the next-generation prosthetics utilize a host of new technologies, including microprocessors and sensors. With these new prosthetics come new challenges for the VA, including ensuring that prosthetists, both inside the VA and those with whom the Department contracts, have the skills and proper training to service these new devices. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropria-

tions of both Houses of Congress by January 6, 2012, on all programs that are currently in place to educate and train prosthetists on the next generation of prosthetics.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2011	\$37,061,728,000
Appropriations, 2012	
Budget estimate, 2012	240,000,000
House allowance, 2012	
Committee recommendation, 2012	
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2013	
House allowance, advance appropriation, 2013	41,354,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2013	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical services account provides for medical services of enrolled eligible veterans and certain dependent beneficiaries in VA medical centers, VA outpatient clinics, contract hospitals, State homes, and outpatient programs on a fee basis. Hospital and outpatient care is also provided by the private sector for certain dependents and survivors of veterans under the civilian health and medical programs for the VA.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2011, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$39,649,985,000 for fiscal year 2012. In addition, the VA has the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total \$3,326,000,000 in fiscal year 2012.

The Committee recommendation also includes an advance appropriation of \$41,354,000,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2013. This is 1,704,015,000 above the level for fiscal year 2012

and equal to the fiscal year 2013 budget request.

The fiscal year 2012 appropriation includes \$6,153,000,000 for mental healthcare; \$2,991,487,000 to provide medical care to Afghanistan and Iraq war veterans; \$189,000,000 for readjustment counseling services at Vet Centers; \$2,546,000,000 for prosthetics;

and \$938,575,000 for specific homeless veterans programs.

Homeless Veterans.—The Committee commends the Department on the emphasis that has been placed on ending homelessness among the veteran population. Over the past 6 years tremendous progress has been made in reducing the number of veterans that experience homelessness on any given night, from an estimated 195,000 in 2005, to an estimated 75,600 this year. The 2012 budget includes \$938,575,000 for specific programs to prevent and reduce homelessness among veterans. This is an increase of 17.4 percent, or \$139,290,000 over the 2011 level of \$799,210,000. This increase includes an additional \$50,431,000 to enhance case management for permanent housing solutions offered through the Housing and Urban Development-VA Supported Housing [HUD-VASH] program.

The Department is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 180 days after enactment on this act, a report outlining the needs of homeless veterans in States with rural and highly rural areas as well as those with the highest number of veterans per capita, and the VA's plans to meet those needs. Additionally, the Committee encourages the Department to develop a pilot program specifically targeted at homeless veterans in rural States and States with the

highest number of veterans per capita.

The Committee remains concerned that the nutritional, housing, medical, and social work needs of homeless veterans living in rural areas are not adequately being addressed by current VA programs, especially in areas where the VA lacks services, potentially leading to an underestimation of the number of homeless veterans requiring care and services. The Committee directs the Secretary to conduct an assessment of the needs of homeless veterans living in these areas and to submit a plan to address those needs to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 180 days of enactment of this act. The plan should address alternative means of service delivery, if appropriate, in areas where VA services are unavailable, such as reimbursing local providers for these services.

Rural Healthcare.—The fiscal year 2012 appropriation includes \$250,000,000 to improve access and quality of care for enrolled veterans residing in rural and highly rural areas. The Committee is highly supportive of these efforts, but is concerned over an April 2011 Inspector General [IG] report which found management and procedural inadequacies in the Office of Rural Health [OHR]. The IG recommended that the Under Secretary for Health implement new and stricter financial controls; develop new policies and procedures that are more focused on project monitoring and performance measures; implement an effective communication plan to ensure stakeholders are adequately informed of ORH policies; and reassess the rural health initiatives requested in the fiscal year 2012 budget to align planned use of resources to the greatest rural health needs. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than October 14, 2011, on the steps taken to comply with the IG recommendations. The report should include a detailed strategic plan for fiscal year 2012 for all rural health activities. Additionally, the Committee recommends that the VA's reassessment take into consideration both geographic and specific health needs and encourages the Department to compile veterans' records from multiple systems to create a single view of the veteran and the geographic area in which they live. This composite profile of rural veterans will help the VA target funding to programs that address the greatest number of veterans, with a precise understanding of their specific healthcare needs.

Far too many of these veterans continue to encounter significant barriers that hinder access to the care they need. Therefore, the Committee strongly urges the Department of Veterans Affairs to improve the accessibility, efficiency and effectiveness of care for rural veterans by partnering with State and local organizations to identify and support veterans in rural and highly rural areas; more aggressively focusing attention and resources on contracting with local private providers when appropriate, particularly in the area of mental health; improving accessibility to Vet Centers and the

critical readjustment counseling and care they provide; and expanding the Veterans Health Administration telemedicine program, which would allow services to be brought to more veterans' homes or local clinics while providing a savings through a reduction in transportation costs. Additionally, not later than 180 days after enactment, the Secretary shall submit a report detailing options to increase access to care for rural veterans, including "split campus" models where outpatient care and specialty services are

not collocated, and minor construction projects.

Veteran Transportation System.—The Committee recognizes that Public Law 111–163 took a number of meaningful steps to enhance care for veterans. Among the provisions included in this law is a grant program that will allow State veteran service agencies and veteran service organizations to provide better transportation options for rural veterans seeking care at a Department of Veterans Affairs facility. The Committee has concerns that the regulations governing the implementation of this grant program have yet to be released by the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the delay is impeding the critical services provided by the program from reaching rural veterans in a timely manner. The Committee expects the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of General Counsel to move expeditiously in the formulation, evaluation and publication of these regulations.

National Center for Post-traumatic Stress Disorder.—Without doubt, one of the most pressing concerns facing our country's veterans is the increasing prevalence and severity of post-traumatic stress disorder [PTSD]. Not only does PTSD continue to adversely affect Vietnam veterans, but a new generation of veterans who have served in Iraq and Afghanistan are now returning home suffering from what some have called "the invisible wounds of war." Given the rising incidence of this problem and the great strides in PTSD research made by the VA's National Center for PTSD, the Committee notes with concern the \$7,363,000 or almost a 33 percent decrease in the President's budget request for this essential program in fiscal year 2012 compared with fiscal year 2011. In order to ensure that VA research on PTSD care continues without delay, the Committee urges the VA to accelerate funding for PTSD

programs in the coming fiscal years.

Integrated Mental Health Strategy.—The frequency of individual deployments to Iraq and Afghanistan, and exposure to the risks and stresses of combat have contributed to a higher than expected prevalence of mental health conditions among those who have deployed. Without question the VA has made earnest efforts to identify and treat mental health issues by instituting system-wide mental health screenings, increasing levels of mental health staffing, conducting training on clinical techniques, and increasing the focus on integrating primary care and mental health treatment. In a groundbreaking joint effort, VA and DOD have agreed on an integrated mental health strategy that will standardize treatment across the Departments. As one measure of the need for these services, in April 2011 the Veterans Crisis Line received its highest call volume since its inception. Over 14,000 calls were received, which is an average of more than 400 calls per day. This increase is evidence that the service is being utilized by servicemembers and vet-

erans. Given the critically important nature of this service, it is imperative that the VA remain vigilant in its efforts to ensure all aspects of these programs are fully resourced. The Committee urges the Department and the Department of Defense to continue imple-

mentation of the Integrated Mental Health Strategy.

Caregivers.—With the recent publication of regulations instituting the Department's new caregivers program, the VA must continue to remain diligent in its efforts to ensure there are sufficient resources for all aspects of the program, such as stipends, mental health services, and access to healthcare insurance. The fiscal year 2012 appropriation provides \$204,000,000, the fully requested level, for implementation of the caregivers program. Additionally, the fiscal year 2013 advance appropriation provided in this bill for Medical services provides the requested \$248,000,000 to continue the program in fiscal year 2013. The Committee notes that caregivers in a home environment dramatically enhance the health and well-being of severely injured veterans and urges VA to ensure the caregivers program including home healthcare remains a top priority.

givers program including home healthcare remains a top priority. Access to Care.—The Committee is concerned with the VA's policy of referring veterans in Alaska to facilities in the lower 48 States for treatment when adequate healthcare treatment is available within Alaska outside the VA system. This extensive travel requirement, coupled with the lengthy delay scheduling this travel, creates an unusual hardship on Alaska veterans and often prevents them from obtaining treatment or forces them to pay for the travel on their own. The VA has publicly stated that it has not made sufficient progress in addressing this unique problem. Therefore, no later than December 1, 2011, the Department is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a report on the number of Alaska veterans who were directed to travel to a VA facility in another State for medical care in fiscal year 2011; the number of veterans who actually traveled to that facility; and the Department's plans and goals for reducing the number of Alaska veterans directed to travel to another state for medical care in fiscal year 2012. In addition, the report should describe the criteria used in determining whether to purchase medical care for an Alaska veteran within Alaska or require the veteran to travel to a VA facility in another State to receive that care; a description of the medical conditions for which Alaska veterans were required to travel out of Alaska; and an explanation for why care such veterans was not purchased in Alaska.

Public/Private Partnerships.—The Committee recommends expanding public-private partnerships with hospitals nationwide that can offer the VA expertise, specialized recovery services, and additional capacity to better serve the needs of veterans recovering from serious brain injuries and aid in their full reintegration into their communities. The Committee urges the Department to fully utilize its authority under 38 U.S.C. Section 1710E to enter into partnerships with appropriate public or private entities that have

established rehabilitation and recovery programs.

Toxic Exposures.—The Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to take all necessary and appropriate steps in coordination with the Department of Defense to identify and treat veterans suffering ill effects from potential exposure to dangerous

chemical agents during their military service. The Department must ensure that healthcare providers at all levels are knowledgeable of occupational and environmental health threats in locations in which their patients have served. The Committee encourages the Department of Veterans Affairs to systematically update providers on specific issues developing in deployed areas and ensure that providers document confirmed and possible exposures in individual medical records and make use of registry programs for long-term medical follow-up and surveillance.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

Appropriations, 2011	\$5,262,454,000
Appropriations, 2012	5,535,000,000
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2013	5,746,000,000
House allowance, advance appropriation, 2013	5,746,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2013	5,746,000,000

The Medical support and compliance account provides funds for management, security, and administrative expenses within the VA healthcare system, in addition to providing costs associated with the operation of VA medical centers and clinics, VISN offices, and the VHA Central Office in Washington, DC. This appropriation also covers Chief of Staff and Facility Director operations, quality of care oversight, legal services, billing and coding activities, procurement, financial management, security, and human resource management.

The President's 2012 and 2013 submission for Medical Support and Compliance is based on an actuarial analysis founded on the current and projected veteran population, enrollment projections of demand, and case mix changes associated with current veteran patients.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2011, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$5,535,000,000 for fiscal year 2012 for the Medical support and compliance account. This is \$272,546,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes an advance appropriation of \$5,746,000,000 for Medical support and compliance for fiscal year 2013, which is \$211,000,000 above the level for fiscal year 2012 and equal to the budget request.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2011	\$5,713,550,000
Appropriations, 2012	5,426,000,000
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2013	5,441,000,000
House allowance, advance appropriation, 2013	5,441,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2013	5,441,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical facilities account provides funds for the operation and maintenance of the VA healthcare system's vast capital infrastructure. This appropriation provides for costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry, groundskeeping, housekeeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2011, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$5,426,000,000 for fiscal year 2012 for the Medical facilities account. The Committee recommendation includes an advance appropriation of \$5,441,000,000 for Medical facilities for fiscal year 2013. This is \$15,000,000 above the level for fiscal year 2012 and

equal to the budget request.

Nonrecurring Maintenance.—The Committee is very concerned regarding the administration's fiscal year 2013 budget request for nonrecurring maintenance. The Department uses nonrecurring maintenance funding to address its most immediate infrastructure needs as identified by the most recent Facility Condition Assessment [FCA]. These assessments are performed at each facility every 3 years and highlight a building's most pressing and mission critical repair and maintenance needs, including code violations. According to the Government Accountability Office [GAO], the latest FCA identifies almost \$10,000,000,000 in deficiencies at existing medical facilities throughout the VHA system. The VA's advance appropriation request for fiscal year 2013 includes \$600,200,000, a \$510,000,000 decrease from what is being spent in fiscal year 2011. The Committee understands that the Department has reprogramming authority and flexibility within the Medical facilities account to shift funding during the fiscal year to address needs. However, given the average age of VA hospitals and a significant number of identified deficiencies within the system, a funding reduction of this magnitude is troubling. Moreover, in a June 2011 report, GAO found that VA significantly lowered its budget estimates for nonrecurring maintenance in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 from what was estimated using the Enrollee Health Care Projection Model. The Committee urges the Department to submit an additional fiscal year 2013 appropriation request for Medical facilities with submission of the fiscal year 2013 budget.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2011	\$579,838,000
Budget estimate, 2012	508,774,000
House allowance	530,774,000
Committee recommendation	581,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical and prosthetic research account provides funds for medical, rehabilitative, and health services research. Medical research supports basic and clinical studies that advance knowledge leading to improvements in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases and disabilities. Rehabilitation research focuses on rehabilitation engineering problems in the fields of prosthetics, orthotics, adaptive equipment for vehicles, sensory aids and related areas. Health services research focuses on improving the effectiveness and economy of the delivery of health services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$581,000,000 for Medical and prosthetic research. This is \$1,162,000 above the fiscal year 2011 en-

acted level and \$72,226,000 above the budget request.

The Committee remains highly supportive of this program, and recognizes its importance both in improving healthcare services to veterans and recruiting and retaining high-quality medical professionals in the Veterans Health Administration. The Committee does not believe that reducing funding for medical research is wise given the number of wounded soldiers returning home for Iraq and Afghanistan. The Department will need every resource available if it is to develop new treatments for diseases and conditions that are unique to the veterans' community. Therefore the Committee has increased funding by \$72,226,000 above the request.

Through the Department's research and development program, the VA has implemented a comprehensive research agenda to develop new treatments and tools for clinicians to ease the physical and psychological pain of men and women returning from war zones, to improve access to VA healthcare services, and to accelerate discoveries and applications, especially for neurotrauma, sensory loss, amputation, polytrauma, and related prosthetic needs.

Nursing Research Program.—The Committee supports the Veterans Affairs Nursing Research Program, which facilitates research on the specific nursing needs of combat veterans and aging veterans. The Committee strongly supports continuation of this program. The Committee also encourages collaboration between VA nurses and recipients of Tri-Service Nursing Research Program awards in the exploration of research proposals that improve the health and well-being of their shared beneficiary population.

Gulf War Illness.—The Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress not later than 90 days after enactment of this act on specific research efforts related to gulf war illness and the ways in which the Department's research efforts are coordinated with similar programs at the Department of Defense, the In-

stitute of Medicine and other Federal agencies.

Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study.—On September 14, 2009, the Secretary announced that the VA would fulfill the mandate carried in section 212 of Public Law 106-419, the Veterans Benefits and Health Care Improvement Act of 2000, by soliciting bids for the National Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study. The Committee understands that a contract to fulfill this mandate has been awarded. The Committee urges the Department to proceed as quickly as possible with the study, as the longer it takes, the harder it becomes to locate the original participants and develop sample populations. The Committee directs the VA to submit a report by January 6, 2012, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress detailing the progress made with identification of sample populations; development of study protocol and research questions; and timeframes for completion of research, data analysis, and publication of results. In addition, the Secretary is urged to conduct epidemiological studies of existing VA medical data to determine if there are anomalies and differences that become apparent based on location of different duty stations or periods of service. Results of these studies shall be made public by means of submission of articles to recognized, peer-reviewed scientific and medical publications.

MEDICAL CARE COST RECOVERY COLLECTIONS

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND

Appropriations, 2011	\$3,393,000,000
Budget estimate, 2012	3,326,000,000
House allowance	3,326,000,000
Committee recommendation	3,326,000,000

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND—REVENUES APPLIED

Appropriations, 2011	-\$3,393,000,000
Budget estimate, 2012	-3,326,000,000
House allowance	-3,326,000,000
Committee recommendation	-3.326.000.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical Care Collection Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department of Veterans Affairs to deposit first-party and pharmacy co-payments; third-party insurance payments and enhanced-use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs has the authority to transfer funds from the MCCF to the Medical services account.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommendation includes the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total \$3,326,000,000 in fiscal year 2012.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2011	\$249,500,000
Budget estimate, 2012	250,934,000
House allowance	250,934,000
Committee recommendation	250,934,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] was established in accordance with Public Law 93–94, the National Cemeteries Act of 1973. It has a four-fold mission: to provide for the interment in any national cemetery of the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans, together with their spouses and certain dependents, and to permanently maintain their graves; to provide headstones for, and to mark graves of, eligible persons in national, State, and private cemeteries; to administer the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries; and to administer the Presidential Memorial Certificate Program.

In 2010, cemeterial activities will encompass a total of 164 cemeterial installations in 39 States, the District of Columbia, and

Puerto Rico. The Committee's recommendation for NCA provides funds for all of these cemeterial installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$250,934,000 for the National Cemetery Administration. This is an increase of \$1,434,000 from the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, up to \$25,100,000 of the National

Cemetery Administration appropriation.

Population Threshold for National Cemeteries.—The Committee appreciates the Department of Veterans Affairs efforts to reduce the population threshold requirement for establishing VA national cemeteries. However, it has concerns that the current threshold continues to preclude thousands of veterans in rural States who have faithfully served their country and seek the honor of a final resting place in a VA national cemetery in their home State. Therefore, the Committee supports further efforts by the VA to provide waivers to this policy on a case by case basis and allow more rural veterans the dignity of being buried in a VA national cemetery nearer to their homes and their families.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2011	\$7,302,830,000
Budget estimate, 2012	7,008,451,000
House allowance	6,750,714,000
Committee recommendation	6,994,483,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

Departmental Administration provides for the administration of veterans benefits through the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA], the executive direction of the Department, several top level supporting offices, the Board of Contract Appeals, and the Board of Veterans' Appeals.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$6,994,483,000 for Departmental administration. The amount is composed of \$431,257,000 for General administration; \$2,018,764,000 for General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration; \$3,161,376,000 for Information technology systems; \$112,391,000 for the Office of the Inspector General; \$589,604,000 for Construction, major projects; \$550,091,000 for Construction, minor projects; \$85,000,000 for Grants for construction of State extended care facilities; and \$46,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2011	\$2,529,207,000
Budget estimate, 2012	
House allowance ¹	
Committee recommendation 1	

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Funding}$ for General Operating Expenses is provided for in the General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration; and General Administration accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The administration's budget request proposes that funding for the administrative expenses associated with the Veterans Benefits Administration and all Department-wide offices be included within a single appropriation account. The Committee recommendation includes funding for these functions in two separate accounts: General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration and General administration. The Committee believes that differences in the mission and purpose of the Department's executive offices and the Veterans Benefits Administration justify providing funding in two separate accounts. Further, separating these two broad categories will provide the Congress with greater visibility of budg-etary resources and oversight of expenditures for these two vital missions.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2011 ¹	(\$396,705,000)
Budget estimate, 2012 2	(448,225,000)
House allowance	400,500,000
Committee recommendation	431,257,000

¹\$396,705,000 was provided for General administration under the General Operating Ex-

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General administration account provides funding for the Office of the Secretary, six Assistant Secretaries and three independent staff offices. The budget request proposes funding these operations within the General operating expenses account, which includes funding for the administrative functions of the Veterans Benefits Administration.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$431,257,000 for General administration. This amount is \$34,552,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and \$16,968,000 below the budget request. To provide the Secretary with the flexibility to address emerging needs, the recommendation includes transfer authority to General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, up to \$21,562,000 for General administration. The funding recommendation for each line office is provided in the table below.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	Fiscal year 2012 budget request	Committee recommendation
Office of the Secretary Office of Veterans Appeals Office of General Counsel Office of Management Office of Human Resources	9,251	10,104	10,104
	73,126	78,006	78,006
	80,616	84,073	84,073
	43,868	46,222	45,686
	68,453	74,343	70,516

penses account in fiscal year 2011.

The budget request includes \$448,225,000 for General administration within the General Operating Expenses account.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	Fiscal year 2012 budget request	Committee recommendation
Office of Policy and Planning Office of Operations Security and Preparedness Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs Office of Congressional Affairs and Legislative Affairs Office of Acquisition, Logistics, and Construction	25,963 16,713 22,035 6,053 50,627	28,647 19,873 23,981 6,585 76,391	26,127 19,543 23,330 6,065 67,807
Total	396,705	448,225	431,257

In order to provide the Department with the resources it needs to process the increasing number of benefit claims appeals, the Committee provides the full budget request for the Board of Veterans Appeals. The recommendation also includes the full budget request for the Office of the General Counsel, which will allow the office to speed up the appeals process and the formulation of regulations. Under the Secretary's direction, personnel which had in previous administrations been detailed to support the Office of the Secretary have been realigned and budgeted for under the umbrella of the Secretary's office. The recommendation reflects this shift. All other General administration offices are provided non-pay inflation and rent increases plus the following requested initiatives: \$1,600,000 for the Office of Management to conduct audits of the VHA Fee Care program; \$705,000 for the Office of Operations, Security and Preparedness to activate the Integrated Operations Center and the Capital Region Readiness Center, as well as \$2,900,000 to implement the Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 mandate; \$1,150,000 for the newly created Tribal Government Outreach Office and to continue to expand the VA's veteran outreach efforts through new social media; \$15,000,000 for the Office of Acquisition, Logistics, and Construction to implement the Government-wide Acquisition initiative.

Non-VA Fee Care Program.—The recommendation includes \$1,600,000 for the Office of Management to increase its audit capacity of fee care offices. With these funds, the Department anticipates conducting comprehensive audits of approximately seven sites, or 10 to 12 fee offices. Additionally, the Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress annually the sites reviewed during the year; the number of transactions reviewed; the dollar value and percent of dollars reviewed that were determined to be improper payments per site; and the planned course of action to recoup those payments. The Committee also directs the VA to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by November 15, 2011, on what steps the Department has undertaken to strengthen its internal controls over the Fee Care program.

Report Requirement.—On March 20, 2010, the President issued

Report Requirement.—On March 20, 2010, the President issued a memorandum directing agency heads to expand Payment Recapture Audits to the extent permitted by law and where cost effective. A Payment Recapture Audit, as defined in the memorandum, is a type of recovery audit whereby accountants identify improper payments made to contractors or other entities to uncover such problems as duplicate payments, payments for services not rendered,

overpayments, and fictitious vendors. The memorandum references one approach that has worked effectively to identify and reduce improper payments in Government programs: having highly skilled accounting specialists and fraud examiners use new technology to recover improper payments, with their compensation tied to the identification of misspent funds. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by November 4, 2011, on what steps have been taken to adopt Payment Recapture Audits as a means of reducing and recovering improper payments.

Franchise Fund.—The Franchise Fund was established in 1997 as a pilot program and made permanent in fiscal year 2006 under Public Law 109–114. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report on the Franchise Fund's business plan for fiscal year 2012. This plan should include a list of services, customers, overhead expenses, funds collected for services, and the unobligated balance from the previous fiscal year. The VA shall submit this report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 60 days following enactment of this act.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES, VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2011 1	(\$2.132.502.000)
Budget estimate, 2012 2	
House allowance	2,020,128,000
Committee recommendation	2,018,764,000

1\$2,132,502,000 was provided for the Veterans Benefits Administration's administrative expenses under the General Operating Expenses account in fiscal year 2011.
 2 The budget request includes \$2,018,764,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration's ad-

²The budget request includes \$2,018,764,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration's ministrative expenses within the General Operating Expenses account.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration account provides funding for the Veterans Benefits Administration to administer entitlement programs such as service-connected disability compensation, education benefits, and vocational rehabilitation services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$2,018,764,000 for General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration, equal to the budget request. The Committee has proposed creating this new account to provide increased visibility and oversight of expenditures associated with VBA. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, up to \$105,000,000 for General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration.

Claims Processing.—The Committee finds it disheartening that once again it must note the unacceptable time veterans must wait to have their disability claims processed. The Department estimates that in 2012, the average wait time will grow to 230 days, or approximately 8 months. In fiscal year 2011, the Committee provided an additional \$447,569,000 in Public Law 112–10, the Department of Defense and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, for VBA claims processing. VA's original expenditure plan for this funding was to hire 4,000 permanent claims processors to

address the backlog of claims, which has been exacerbated by the surge in claims relating to the new Agent Orange presumptive conditions and VA's need to focus significant levels of resources for readjudication of these complex claims. However, the Committee understands that VA has made a policy decision to change its tactic in dealing with the claims wait time and backlog. The Department has decided to hire fewer permanent employees and will instead utilize approximately \$75,000,000 of the funding provided in fiscal year 2011 to engage external vendors in specific elements of collecting materials for claims processing, allowing VA employees to focus on decisionmaking. This contracting effort is expected to improve efficiency and reduce VBA's fiscal year 2012 budget requirement for operating expenses related to claims processing. The Committee directs the Department to develop metrics for evaluating the success of this effort by the speed in which the backlog of claims and the average adjudication time has been reduced.

Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment [VR&E].—The Committee believes the VR&E program is a critical component in the Department's efforts to help veterans who have service-connected disabilities obtain employment or live independently in their homes and in their communities if employment is not currently feasible for them. The VR&E program has experienced a substantial increase in workload over the last several years, growing from 87,845 in 2007 to a projected 119,905 in 2012. For the first time since 2007, the Department has requested to substantially increase its staffing within the program, from 1,155 in 2011 to 1,286 in 2012, and the Committee is pleased to approve funding for this request.

Staff and skill shortages in the VR&E workforce, as well as organizational weaknesses in the program as a whole, were last documented by the Government Accountability Office in a January 2009 report. The Committee therefore directs the Department by November 1, 2011, to submit to the Committees of Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a strategic workforce plan for the VR&E program, which should include the following: (1) using relevant data, determine what the workforce's target caseload should be over the next 5 years; (2) using relevant data, identify current and future human capital needs, such as the appropriate number of employees to handle the estimated workload, how they are to be deployed across the organization, and what percentage of cases are likely to require extended evaluations and thus more manpower; and (3) identify the critical skills and competencies staff will need to achieve the organization's mission and goals.

Outreach Efforts in Rural Areas.—It is imperative that the Department provide widespread outreach efforts to inform veterans of their benefits, particularly education benefits to which they may be entitled. The Committee remains concerned that in rural and highly rural areas and on Native American reservations, outreach efforts are lacking. The Committee directs the Department to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by January 20, 2012, detailing outreach efforts underway to promote the Post 9/11 Educational Assistance Program on Native American reservations and highly rural areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii. Where appropriate the report should include what cul-

tural sensitivity training the VA is providing to its personnel as

part of these efforts.

Decision Review Officers [DROs].—The Committee is concerned that some VBA Regional Offices are inadequately staffed with DROs, and that in some locations there is only one DRO in the Regional Office. With the Board of Veterans Appeals estimating an increase of 63 percent in case receipts from 2008 to 2012 and a record number of appeals before the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, it is inexplicable why some Regional Offices would only have one DRO on staff. To avoid needless delays in providing final case decisions to veterans, the Committee directs the Veterans Benefits Administration to ensure that every Service Center has at least two Decision Review Officers. Additionally, the Committee directs the Veterans Benefits Administration to establish new positions where needed instead of converting other, less-senior rating positions into DRO positions. Those Service Centers which currently have only one Decision Review Officer must not lose rating capacity at lower levels of the rating and review process in order to achieve the needed staffing levels among its Decision Review Officers.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

Appropriations, 2011	\$2,993,604,000
Budget estimate, 2012	3,161,376,000
House allowance	3,025,000,000
Committee recommendation	3,161,376,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Information Technology [IT] appropriation, along with reimbursements, funds the costs of all IT staff salaries and expenses, the operations and maintenance of all existing information technology systems, and the development of new projects and programs designed to improve the delivery of service to veterans. This appropriation also funds the costs associated with the Office of Information and Technology, which oversees the functions highlighted above.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,161,376,000 for the Information Technology Systems account. This amount is \$167,772,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Committee recommendation includes \$915,000,000 for staff salaries and expenses, \$1,709,953,000 for operation and maintenance of existing programs, and \$536,423,000 for program development, all according to the Department's fiscal year 2012 budget submission.

The Committee has appropriated the Information Technology Systems account as three subaccounts, with funding levels consistent with the Department's budget submission. This funding structure will enhance the Committee's ability to ensure that funds are executed in a manner consistent with the Department's budget submission. The Committee has provided sufficient flexibility within the subaccounts in the way of authorized carryover amounts and reprogramming authority to give the Office of Information Tech-

nology as much flexibility as possible to accomplish its mission and goals, while ensuring proper accountability and oversight. The Committee will continue to work with the Department to ensure that the Information Technology projects currently underway, as well as the projects planned for the future, have the resources the VA needs to make them successful.

The Committee has included bill language that restricts the obligation of development funds until the Secretary of Veterans Affairs or the Chief Information Officer submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a certification of the amounts, in parts or in full, that will be obligated and expended for each development project. Further, the Office of Information Technology is directed to provide an IT expenditure report, by project, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on a monthly basis.

The chart below reflects the Administration's budget request for development projects and includes the Committee recommendation for each. This chart will serve as the Department's approved list of development projects, and all requested changes are subject the reprogramming guidelines as outlined in the accompanying act.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
Veterans Benefits Management System [VBMS]:		
VBMS	84,902	84,902
Veterans Service Network [VETSNET]	17,843	17,843
Total, VBMS Development	102,745	102,745
Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record [VLER]:		
Memorial/Cemeterial Legacy Development	4,457	4,457
VLER—Laboratory Data Sharing Initiative	1,530	1,530
VLER Executive Program Management Office	1,648	1,648
e-Gov	2,091	2,091
Bidirectional Health Information Exchange	1,000	1,000
Clinical Health Data Repository	1,000	1,000
HHS Connect Gateway Development	3,251	3,251
NHIN Gateway and Adaptor Development	13,866	13,866
Veteran Authorization and Policies Development	846	846
VLER Services	3,990	3,990
Warrior Support/Information Sharing Initiative Development	6,000	6,000
Warrior Support/Military Service Data Sharing Development	3,200	3,200
Warrior Support/VA-DOD Identity Repository Development	5,200	5,200
Warrior Support/Veteran Tracking Application Development	4,300	4,300
Total, VLER Development	52,379	52,379
Access to Healthcare:		
Access IT—Program Management Office	1,000	1,000
Emergency Department Information System Development	7,900	7,900
Patient Advocate Database Development	1,250	1,250
Public Quality and Safety Website Development	1,250	1,250
Surgical Quality and Workflow Management Development	21,325	21,325
Veterans Benefits Handbook Development	5,000	5,000
Veterans Implant Tracking System Development	1,500	1,500
Veterans Transportation System Development	3,500	3,500
TeleHealth	4,437	4,437
Bed Hold Development	3,150	3,150
Bed Management Solution Development	12,300	12,300

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
National Utilization Management Integration Development	2,180	2,180
Surgery Development	630	630
VPS Kiosk Development	2,500	2,500
Total, Access to Healthcare	67,922	67,922
ew Models of Care:		
Patient Centered Medical Home Proposed Initiatives Development Primary Care Management Module Reengineering Development	750	75
MyHealtheVet/Lifetime Health Monitoring Development	2,000 1,000	2,00 1,00
MyHealtheVet/Social Networking Tools Development	1,550	1,55
MyHealtheVet/Veterans Health Library Development	1,065	1,06
TeleHealth	11,880	11,88
Program Management Office	900	90
VISTA Imaging—Telemedicine Development	13,005	13,00
Woman's Health Proposed Projects Development	2,000	2,00
Total, New Models of Care	34,150	34,150
omelessness:		
Homelessness—At-Risk Tracking	550	55
Homelessness Registries	1,950 1,500	1,95 1,50
Total, Homelessness IT Development	4,000	4,00
lealthcare Efficiency:		
Beneficiary Travel Development	2,000	2.00
Facility Automation Development	5,000	5,00
Non-VA Fee Care Development	1,000	1,00
Total, Healthcare Efficiency	8,000	8,00
lental Health:		
MyHealtheVet/Mental Health Program Development	2,000	2,00
Behavioral Health Lab Software Development	1,000	1,00
Mental Health Systems Development	1,588	1,58
Pharmacy Legacy Development	2,796	2,79
Total, Mental Health IT Development	7,384	7,38
Benefits Legacy Systems Development	727	72
Health Management Platform Development	8,000	8,00
Laboratory Software Development	10,136	10,13
Pharmacy Reengineering Software Development	4,099 1,091	4,09 1,09
Compensation and Pension Records Interface Development	1,091	1,09
Development of Barcode Expansion Software	4,733	4,73
Caregivers Development	8,000	8,00
International Classification of Diseases—10 Development	8,667	8,66
Decision Support Systems Extracts / Event Capture Project Development	3,500	3,50
Health Provider Systems Development	4,000	4,00
VHA Research IT Support Development	20,000 6,550	20,00 6,55
Human Canital Development	20,000	20,00
Human Capital Development		10,00
Human Capital Development	10,000	
Innovations	3,000	
Innovations	3,000 2,100	2,10
Innovations Integrated Operating Model Strategic Capital Investment Planning Database Development Business Intelligence Dashboard Development VA Learning Management Systems Development	3,000 2,100 4,650	2,10 4,65
Innovations	3,000 2,100	3,000 2,10 4,65 9,00 13

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
Customer Service [Survey and SLA Programs] Development	4,000 375	4,000 375
Repositories Development	3,273	3,273
Standards and Terminology Services	1,001 18.030	1,001 18.030
Enrollment System Modernization	3,323	3,323
Veterans Relationship Management Development	99,187	99,187
Total, All Development	536,423	536,423

Integrated Electronic Health Record [iEHR].—The Committee commends the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Defense for the strong leadership they have shown over the past year in agreeing to develop an integrated electronic health record. The Committee understands that the Departments have agreed to pursue a number of integrated development approaches including the decision to share common data centers and utilize open source software development. It is essential that service members transition seamlessly from active duty into the Department of Veterans Affairs, and a very large part of that transition is the service member's medical records. Not only will an integrated electronic health record allow physicians to provide more effective and efficient medical care, it will also assist in the administration's goal to have a virtual lifetime electronic record which will aid the Veterans Benefits Administration in processing disability claims, thus reducing the time a veteran must wait for a resolution of a disability claim.

The Committee is aware that meetings between the two Secretaries have been occurring regularly to ensure that system development is agreed upon and driven by the senior leadership of each Department. The Committee strongly encourages these meetings to continue to ensure that an unambiguous and unified message is clearly articulated to both Departments. It is imperative that a coordinated and clearly defined path is laid out to protect the taxpayers from system development that wastes money and produces little in terms of success.

At the time of the budget submission, the Department was not in a position of knowing the financial requirements for this project, and therefore the current budget request does not contain a line item request for iEHR. As a result, the committee recommendation fully funds the request for the Information Technology Systems account and includes sufficient flexibility to the Department in the way of reprogramming authority to devote adequate resources to this effort. Consequently, the Committee directs the Office of Information Technology to provide quarterly updates on the progress of this project to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

Graphical User Interface.—As work on the iEHR continues, an integral component to the successful integration and deployment is a functioning presentation layer Graphical User Interface [GUI]. The Committee notes the successful VA pilot of GUI powered off the JANUS framework which was developed by the VA and focuses

on clinician and patient centric data and is customizable by the user. As the Departments move forward on developing an iEHR, the Committee encourages the Departments to consider this as an enterprise-wide solution.

DOD/VA Information Technology Interoperability.—The Committee supports the efforts of the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense to integrate services and medical record systems at the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, the first totally integrated Federal healthcare facility in the country. The Committee recognizes the significant challenges facing the Departments in their efforts to fully integrate all information management/information technology systems, especially with orders portability, and urges the Departments to take all necessary and appropriate steps to rapidly develop and deploy capacity to integrate information management/information technology sys-

Innovation Initiative.—Within the funds requested and provided to the Information Technology Systems account for Innovation Initiatives, the Committee encourages the Department to utilize a portion of these funds for an open competition to utilize commercial off the shelf technology to develop a decision support system and automate the VBA's Veterans Schedule for Rating Disabilities. The Committee further urges that upon award of a contract and development of this automated tool that it be piloted in at least one regional office and that metrics for evaluating its success be implemented.

Veterans Benefits Management System.—The VA has made it a priority to implement a paperless claims processing system to eliminate the disability claims backlog and reduce the average adjudication time for a disability claim to 125 days. The Veterans Benefits Management System [VBMS] is the Department's key information technology initiative to transform its paper-centric claims environment into one that processes claims electronically, with national deployment of the project scheduled to begin in fiscal year 2012.

The Committee supports the VA's effort to leverage technology to transform the disability claims process into a 21st century function, in order to eliminate the disability claims backlog and reduce the average adjudication time to manageable levels. However, the Committee is concerned that the Department has not developed an evaluation plan with clear criteria for determining whether the VBMS pilot has sufficiently met its stated goals in preparation of a nationwide rollout. According to the Government Accountability Office [GAO] without clear criteria to use in determining success, such as minimum improvement expected against a specific baseline measure, it will be unclear the extent to which the goals of the project have been met.

The Committee directs the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a detailed report no later than November 30, 2011, outlining metrics for evaluating

the VBMS pilot.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2011	\$108,782,000
Budget estimate, 2012	109,391,000
House allowance	109,391,000
Committee recommendation	112,391,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General [OIG] was established by the Inspector General Act of 1978 and is responsible for the audit, investigation, and inspection of all Department of Veterans Affairs programs and operations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$112,391,000 for the Office of Inspector General. This is \$3,609,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and \$3,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, up to \$6,600,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2011	\$1,073,734,000
Budget estimate, 2012	589,604,000
House allowance	589,604,000
Committee recommendation	589,604,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, major projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking projects) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, architectural and engineering services, needs assessment, and site acquisition where the estimated cost of a project is more than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. 8104(a)(3)(A). Proceeds realized from Enhanced Use Lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$589,604,000 for the construction of major projects. This is \$484,130,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The following table reflects the President's budget request for major construction projects and activities, and the corresponding Committee recommendations.

CONSTRUCTION. MAJOR PROJECTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2011 request	Committee recommendation
Veterans Health Administration [VHA]:		
New Orleans, Louisiana—New Medical Facility	60,000	60,000
Denver, Colorado—New Medical Facility	42,000	42,000
San Juan, Puerto Rico—Seismic Corrections—Building 1	100.720	100.720

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2011 request	Committee recommendation
St. Louis, Missouri—Jefferson Barracks, Medical Facility Improvements & Cem-		
etery Expansion	80,000	80,000
Palo Alto, California—Centers for Ambulatory Care, Polytrauma, Blind Reha-	,	
bilitation & Research	75,900	75,900
Bay Pines, Florida—Inpatient/Outpatient Improvements	43,970	43,970
Seattle, Washington—Seismic Corrections to Nursing Tower and Community		
Living Center	47,500	47,500
Reno, Nevada—Correct Seismic Deficiencies and Expand Clinical Services	21,380	21,380
West Los Angeles, California—Construct Essential Care Tower and Correct	F0 700	F0 700
Seismic Deficiencies	50,790	50,790
San Francisco, California—Correct Seismic Deficiencies	22,480 59,145	22,480 59,145
Asbestos	40,000	40,000
Facility Security	8,000	8.000
Construction and Facilities Management Resident Engineers	24,200	24.200
Judgment Fund	5,000	5,000
	.,	-,
Total, VHA	681,085	681,085
National Cemetery Administration [NCA]:		
National Memorial Cemetery of the Pacific—Expand Columbarium and Replace		
Administration Building	23,700	23,700
Advance Planning Fund	4,500	4,500
NCA Land Acquisition Fund	10,000	10,000
Total, NCA	38,200	38,200
Total, non	00,200	
General Administration—Staff Offices, Advance Planning Fund	6.000	6.000
Available from existing projects	- 135,681	- 135,681
Total Construction, Major Projects	589,604	589,604

Strategic Capital Investment Planning.—The Veterans Affairs new Strategic Capital Investment Plan [SCIP] has identified 4,808 capital projects valued between \$53,000,000,000 and \$65,000,000,000 that should be made over the next decade to address critical infrastructure needs. In considering the enormity of the SCIP list and the average level of funding that has been available for VA construction, as well as the ability that the VA has to annually reassess and reprioritize projects, the Committee is concerned that thousands of meritorious capital projects will be left to languish in this process.

The VA Secretary, in partnership with the Administrator of the General Services Administration, shall submit a report within 90 days of enactment of this act identifying possible innovative and cost-effective approaches such as public-private partnerships, lease-build arrangements, and other non-traditional concepts that the VA could undertake to address its physical infrastructure needs in a more timely and cost-effective basis. The agencies shall include a recommendation of potential pilot projects that could be undertaken to investigate such approaches. Further, given the aging demographics of our veterans population and the needs of severely wounded veterans, nursing and adult day care facilities should be considered as part of this effort.

Energy Efficiency.—The Committee recognizes VA's initiative and accomplishments to date in greening the agency, and encourages

the VA to continue these efforts at a level that will allow both short- and long-term sustainability goals to be achieved. The recommendation includes \$144,565,000 in resources for the Green Management Program in fiscal year 2012. The Committee directs the VA to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, within 60 days of enactment of this act, a "Greening VA" operating plan that addresses all program components. The plan should include resource levels for all agency com-

ponents and administrations for fiscal year 2012.

Assessment of Real Estate Inventory.—The Committee endorses the administration's recently announced effort to dispose of unneeded Federal real estate. The Committee wants to ensure that VA has a comprehensive plan and effort to manage its portfolio of real estate at an optimal level in support of serving veterans. VA should use all available tools, including public-private ventures, to reduce the amount of unneeded assets. The Committee wants to ensure that all VA mission requirements, including efforts to provide supportive services and housing options for homeless veterans, are fully addressed in VA's plan to reduce unneeded assets. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this act to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, detailing all surplus and underutilized buildings within the VA real estate portfolio. The report should include any recommendation for future use consistent with the VA's mission, and if appropriate, any plans for disposal of the facility.

Joint Facilities.—The Department of Defense [DOD] and Department of Veterans Affairs are currently undertaking a joint venture in North Chicago, where VA and DOD are consolidating their medical missions into one integrated hospital. The Committee has been supportive of this effort and has provided bill language to allow the VA to transfer money into a joint account with DOD. Both Departments believe significant cost savings can be achieved through this effort. The Committee believes that the VA should develop a strategic capital plan that addresses future joint ventures with DOD, as well with other Federal healthcare providers and academic affiliates. The Committee directs the Department to submit with the fiscal year 2013 budget submission a joint venture strategic capital plan, outlining what future collaborative efforts with DOD, other Federal providers and academic affiliates are planned. This plan should include capital cost estimates, the degree to which integration will occur, and potential cost savings.

West Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Medical Center.—The Committee continues to be concerned about the urgent need to provide adequate housing for our Nation's homeless veterans. The need is particularly acute in the greater Los Angeles area where an estimated 8,000 homeless veterans live, more than 10 percent of the

Nation's total homeless veteran population.

The Committee understands that the Department of Veterans Affairs has identified funding to begin renovating a building on the West Los Angeles VA campus, but requires authorization from Congress to begin work on this major construction project. On June 21, 2011, this project was transmitted to Congress by the administration as part of the annual construction authorization request. The Senate Veterans' Affairs Committee marked up the bill on

June 29, 2011, and included the authorization. The Committee is encouraged by this swift action and supports the effort to complete action on this matter.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2011	\$466,765,000
Budget estimate, 2012	550,091,000
House allowance	475,091,000
Committee recommendation	550,091,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, minor projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, assessment of needs, architectural and engineering services, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is equal to or less than \$10,000,000. Public Law 106–117, the Veterans Millennium Health Care and Benefits Act of 1999, gave the VA the authority to make capital contributions from minor construction in enhanced-use leases. Proceeds realized from enhanced-use lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$550,091,000 for minor construction. This is \$83,326,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The recommendation includes \$461,868,000 for the Veterans Health Administration, \$41,628,000 for the National Cemetery Administration, \$22,950,000 for General Administration—Staff Offices, and \$23,645,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee directs the Department to provide an expenditure plan within 30 days of enactment of this act for the amount appropriated for minor construction.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2011	\$84,830,000
Budget estimate, 2012	85,000,000
House allowance	85,000,000
Committee recommendation	85,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account is used to provide grants to assist States in acquiring or constructing State home facilities for furnishing domiciliary or nursing home care to veterans, and to expand, remodel or alter existing buildings for furnishing domiciliary, nursing home, or hospital care to veterans in State homes. The grant may not exceed 65 percent of the total cost of the project. Public Law 102–585 granted permanent authority for this program, and Public Law 106–117 provided greater specificity in directing VA to prescribe regulations for the number of beds for which grant assistance may be furnished. This program has been a successful partnership between the States and the VA in meeting the long-term care needs of elderly veterans for decades.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$85,000,000 for grants for the construction of State extended care facilities. This is \$170,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriations, 2011	\$45,908,000
Budget estimate, 2012	46,000,000
House allowance	46,000,000
Committee recommendation	46,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Public Law 105–368 amended title 38 U.S.C. 2408 and established authority to provide aid to States for establishment, expansion, and improvement of State veterans cemeteries, which are operated and permanently maintained by the States. This statutory change increased the maximum Federal share from 50 percent to 100 percent in order to fund construction costs and the initial equipment expenses when the cemetery is established. The States remain responsible for providing the land and for paying all costs related to the operation and maintenance of the State cemeteries, including the costs for subsequent equipment purchases.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$46,000,000 for grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries. This is \$92,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Administrative Provisions

SEC. 201. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

SEC. 202. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 203. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of the Salaries and expenses account.

SEC. 204. The Committee includes a provision mandating that only construction funds may be used for land procurement.

SEC. 205. The Committee includes a provision allowing for reimbursements to the Medical services account.

SEC. 206. The Committee includes a provision allowing for payments of prior year obligations.

SEC. 207. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the use of fiscal year 2012 funds for prior year obligations.

SEC. 208. The Committee includes a provision which allows for payments from the National Service Life Insurance Fund.

Sec. 209. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of funds from enhanced-use lease proceeds.

SEC. 210. The Committee includes a provision which provides for funds for the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication.

Sec. 211. The Committee includes a provision which sets a limit on new leases without congressional approval.

SEC. 212. The Committee includes a provision which requires dis-

closure of third-party reimbursement information.

SEC. 213. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of revenue derived from enhanced-use leases into the construction accounts.

SEC. 214. The Committee includes a provision which outlines authorized uses for medical services funds.

SEC. 215. The Committee includes a provision which allows funds in the Medical Care Collection Fund to be transferred into the Medical services account.

SEC. 216. The Committee includes a provision which allows eligible veterans in the State of Alaska to obtain medical care services.

SEC. 217. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of funds into the construction accounts.

SEC. 218. The Committee includes a provision which allows for

outreach and marketing to enroll new veterans.

SEC. 219. The Committee includes a provision requiring the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit quarterly financial reports on the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 220. The Committee includes a provision outlining transfer authority for the Information technology systems account.

SEC. 221. The Committee includes a provision outlining limits on transfers within the Information technology systems account.

SEC. 222. The Committee includes a provision prohibiting any funds to be used to contract out any functions performed by more than 10 employees without a fair competition process.

SEC. 223. The Committee includes a provision limiting the amount of non-recurring maintenance funds that can be obligated

during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 224. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of funds from certain accounts to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, as authorized by Public Law 111–84.

SEC. 225. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of certain funds deposited in the Medical Care Collections Fund to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, as authorized by Public Law 111–84.

SEC. 226. The Committee includes a provision allowing a minimum of \$15,000,000 to be transferred from Medical services, Medical support and compliance, and Medical facilities to the Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund, as authorized by section 8111 of title 38,

United States Code.

SEC. 227. The Committee includes a provision rescinding funds from certain accounts and appropriating additional amounts with 2-year authority.

Sec. 228. The Committee includes a provision requiring notifica-

tion of all bid savings for major construction projects.

SEC. 229. The Committee includes a provision restricting scope increases for major construction projects above that specified in the original project justification.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The American Battle Monuments Commission [ABMC] is responsible for the following: the maintenance and construction of U.S. monuments and memorials commemorating the achievements in battle of our Armed Forces since April 1917 (the date of the United States entry into World War I); the erection of monuments and markers by U.S. citizens and organizations in foreign countries; and the design, construction, and maintenance of permanent military cemetery memorials in foreign countries. The Commission maintains 24 military memorial cemeteries and 31 monuments, memorials, and markers in 15 countries around the world, including three memorials on U.S. soil.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2011	\$64,072,000
Budget estimate, 2012	61,100,000
House allowance	61,100,000
Committee recommendation	61,100,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$61,100,000 for the Salaries and expenses account. This amount is \$2,972,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

Appropriations, 2011	\$20,168,000
Budget estimate, 2012	16,000,000
House allowance	16,000,000
Committee recommendation	16.000.000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an estimated \$16,000,000 for the Foreign currency fluctuation account. This amount is \$4,168,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee has again included language in the accompanying bill, as proposed by the administration, that would allow funding for this account on a "such sums as necessary" basis. Funding the account in this manner allows the Commission to maintain cemeteries regardless of the volatility of foreign currency fluctuations.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

OVERVIEW

The U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims was established by the Veterans' Judicial Review Act of 1988. The Court is an independent judicial tribunal with exclusive jurisdiction to review decisions of the Board of Veterans' Appeals. It has the authority to decide all relevant questions of law; interpret constitutional, statutory, and regulatory provisions; and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an action by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. It is authorized to compel action by the Secretary. It is authorized to hold unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful and set aside decisions, findings, conclusions, rules and regulations issued or adopted by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Board of Veterans' Appeals, or the Chairman of the Board that are found to be arbitrary or capricious. The Court's principal office location is Washington, DC; however, it is a national court, empowered to sit anywhere in the United States.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2011	\$27,560,000
Budget estimate, 2012	55,770,000
House allowance	30,770,000
Committee recommendation	30,770,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$30,770,000 for the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims.

The administration's budget request included \$25,000,000, to be transferred to the General Services Administration [GSA] for the construction of a new courthouse. The Committee understands that negotiations between the Federal Government and the government of the District of Columbia are still underway and that current estimates for construction have more than doubled from the original estimate. Due to these circumstances, the Committee defers without prejudice funding for this project.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

OVERVIEW

The Secretary of the Army is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of Arlington National Cemetery and the Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery. In addition to its principal function as a national cemetery, Arlington is the site of approximately 3,200 nonfuneral ceremonies each year and has approximately 4,000,000 visitors annually.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2011	\$45,010,000
Budget estimate, 2012	45,800,000
House allowance	45,800,000
Committee recommendation	45,800,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$45,800,000 for the Cemeterial expenses, Army account. This amount is \$790,000 above the fiscal

year 2011 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee continues to support the mission of Arlington National Cemetery [ANC]. While recent events have revealed serious mismanagement of past business and burial practices at Arlington, the Committee believes that the new leadership team is making strides to improve operations and accountability at the Nation's premier cemetery. Unfortunately, new revelations of past mismanagement continue to arise and cast a shadow on the work being done on behalf of our country's departed warriors. The Committee will continue to work closely with cemetery officials in an effort to reinstate confidence in the management of Arlington National Cemetery and resolve any remaining issues identified in the 2010 Army Inspector General's Report.

The Committee directs the Executive Director of Arlington National Cemetery to continue the office's audits of past contracts and outstanding financial obligations, and update the Committee on its findings along with quarterly reports on unexpended prior year funding, status updates on the upgrading of the information technology systems, and reports on ANC's expansion plans. The Committee encourages cemetery officials and the Department of the Army to work with the Department of Veterans Affairs in the development of a common architecture software for the information technology system for Arlington National Cemetery. In light of the recent problems at Arlington, the Committee believes that the current funding line for ANC is insufficient for proper oversight and lacks transparency. For future budget submissions, the Committee requests that ANC provide a detailed breakout of funding accounts to include subaccounts for personnel, operations and maintenance, and construction, along with detailed budget justification materials for such accounts. The Committee believes that providing more defined budget documentation will provide better oversight and accountability to the taxpayer on ANC's use of public funds.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2011	\$71,058,000
Budget estimate, 2012	67,700,000
House allowance	67,700,000
Committee recommendation	67,700,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends authority to expend \$67,700,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home [AFRH] Trust Fund to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, DC, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi.

TITLE IV

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 401. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the obligation of funds beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided.

Sec. 402. The Committee includes a provision that requires pay

raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated.

SEC. 403. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

SEC. 404. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to support or defeat legislation pending before Con-

SEC. 405. The Committee includes a provision that encourages

the expansion of E-commerce technologies and procedures.

SEC. 406. The Committee includes a provision that limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriations act.

SEC. 407. The Committee includes a provision that specifies the congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifi-

SEC. 408. The Committee includes a provision regarding the posting of congressional reports on agency Web sites.

SEC. 409. The Committee includes a provision limiting the construction of facilities for the purposes of housing individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports accompanying general appropriations bills identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session. The Committee recommends funding for the following programs which currently lack authorization:

Title I: Department of Defense

Military Construction, Army

Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Military Construction, Air Force

Military Construction, Defense-Wide

Military Construction, Army National Guard

Military Construction, Air National Guard

Military Construction, Army Reserve

Military Construction, Navy Reserve Military Construction, Air Force Reserve

North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Pro-

Family Housing Construction, Army

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army

Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing Construction, Air Force

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide

Department of Defense, Family Housing Improvement Fund

Homeowners Assistance Fund

Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide

Base Realignment and Closure Account, 1990

Base Realignment and Closure Account, 2005

Title II: Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Benefits Administration

Veterans Health Administration

National Cemetery Administration

Departmental Administration

Title III: Related Agencies

American Battle Monuments Commission

U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims

Cemeterial Expenses, Army

Armed Forces Retirement Home

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 30, 2011, the Committee ordered favorably reported the bill (H.R. 2055), making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute, subject to further amendment, by a recorded vote of 30-0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Nays Yeas

Chairman Inouye

Mr. Leahy

Mr. Harkin

Ms. Mikulski

Mr. Kohl

Mrs. Murray

Mrs. Feinstein

Mr. Durbin Mr. Johnson

Ms. Landrieu

Mr. Reed

Mr. Lautenberg

Mr. Nelson

Mr. Pryor Mr. Tester Mr. Brown

Mr. Cochran

Mr. McConnell

Mr. Shelby

Mrs. Hutchison

Mr. Alexander

Ms. Collins

Ms. Murkowski

Mr. Graham Mr. Kirk

Mr. Coats

Mr. Blunt

Mr. Moran

Mr. Hoeven

Mr. Johnson

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which

would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget	authority	Outl	ays
	Allocation ¹	Amount of bill	Allocation ¹	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with subcommittee alloca- tion for 2012: Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies:		70.500		0.70.555
Mandatory	NA NA	72,532	NA NA	² 78,555
Discretionary	NA NA	68,448	NA NA	² 68,201
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2012				³ 76,478
2013				7,378
2014				5,429
2015				1,977
2016 and future years				1.495
Financial assistance to State and local governments for				
2012	NA	170	NA	25

¹There is no section 302(a) allocation to the Committee for fiscal year 2012.
²Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
³Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

75

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION [In thousands of dollars]

					75	5							
ommendation h (+ or -)	House												
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate							-57,000					
Committee	recommendation		11,600	58,800	16,500	86,900		57,000	74,000	26,000	45,000	97,000	18,400
House	allowance		11,600	58,800	16,500	86,900		27,000	74,000	26,000	45,000	97,000	18,400
Budget	estimate		11,600	58,800	16,500	86,900		114,000	74,000	26,000	45,000	000,76	18,400
Installation and amount	IINGARIANNI AIRI PROPELL	ALABAMA	ARMY: Fort Rucker; Combat Readiness center	Defense-wide: Redstone Arsenal: Von Braun Complex, Phase IV	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT MC CLELLAN: READINESS CENTER, PHASE 2	TOTAL, ALABAMA	ALASKA	Army: Fort Wainwright: Aviation Complex, Phase 3A	JB ELMENDORF-RICHARDSON: BRIGADE COMPLEX, PHASE 2 ORGANIZATIONAL PARKING	PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	ank fokce: Eielson afb: Dormtory (168 RM)	JB ELMENDORF-RICHARDSON: BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM (LIGHT) COMPLEX, (480 RM)	Defense-wide: Anchorage: Sof Cold Weather Maritime Training Facility

76

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

			76					
commendation (+ or -) House allowance								
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -) Budget House estimate allowan		-57,000						
Committee recommendation	14,800	335,800	39,515 81,897 41,373	20,500	6,000	23,000	17,800	260,585
Hou se allowa nce	14,800	335,800	39,515 81,897 41,373	20,500	6,000	23,000	17,800	260,585
Budget estimate	14,800	392,800	39,515 81,897 41,373	20,500	6,000	23,000	17,800	260,585
Installation and project	EIELSON AFB. UPGRADE RAIL LINE	TOTAL, ALASKAARIZONA	YUMA: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR DOUBLE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR JSF AUXILIARY LANDING FIELD	AIR FORCE: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB: EC-1304 SIMULATOR/TRAINING OPERATIONS Harbarian Joint Use Fuel Cell	LONE, THE 2-S ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT F—3-S SQUAD OPS/AMU 2 DEFENSE-WIDE.	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	NATIONAL BOARD. PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION. READINESS CENTER	TOTAL, ARIZONA

				-3,100							
3,500	3,500	7,500 15,500 3,000	8,590	16,138	12,606 16,411 29,187				15,377	23,743 8,665 18,819	
3,500	3,500	7,500 15,500 3,000	8,590	19,238	12,606 16,411 29,187	12,476 6,163	18,530 48,345 113,091 78,271	46,763 61,672	15,377	23,743 8,665 18,819 15,882	
3,500	3,500	7,500 15,500 3,000	8,590	19,238	12,606 16,411 29,187	12,476 6,163	18,530 48,345 113,091 78,271	46,763 61,672	15,377	23,743 8,665 18,819 15,882	
ARKANSAS ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT CHAFFE: CONVOY LIVE FIRE/ENTRY CONTROL POINT RANGE	TOTAL, ARKANSASCALIFORNIA	ARMY; FORT IRWIN: INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE PRESIDIO MONTEREN: GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	NAVY; BARSTOW; DIP TANK CLEANING FACILITY	BRIDGEPORT: MULTIVEPOSE BUILDING—ADDITION	CAMP PENDLE ON: ARMORY, 1ST MARINE DIVISION INDIVIDUAL EQUIPMENT ISSUE WAREHOUSE INFANTRY SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE	INTERSECTION BRIDGE AND IMPROVEMENTS MV-22 AVAITON FLEL STORAGE	MV-ZZ AVIATION YAVEWIEN MW-ZZ DOUBLE HANGAR REPLACEMENT NRV POTABLE WATER CONVEYANCE NORTH AREA WASTE WATER CONVEYANCE	CORONADO: FITNESS CENTER NORTH ISLAND ROTARY AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITY (NORTH ISLAND)	POIN I MUGU. E-2D ROKEW TRAINING FACILITY	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER LAND EXPANSION MULTIUSE OPERATIONAL FITNESS AREA TRACKED VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COVER	

78

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

					78	3							
ommendation h (+ or -)	House allowance												
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate												
Committee	recommendation	22,000	14,200	3,500	42,000	15,500	27,000	21,800	6,160	8,000	6,100	26,000	5,200
Hose	allowance	22,000	14,200	3,500 8,641	42,000	15,500	27,000	21,800	6,160	8,000	6,100	26,000	5,200
Budget	estimate	22,000	14,200	3,500 8,641	42,000	15,500	27,000	21,800	6,160	8,000	6,100	26,000	5,200
	Installation and project	AIR FORCE. TRAVIS AFB. TORMITORY (144 RM)	Vandenberg Afb: Education center	DE-ENSE-WIDE: CAMP PENDLETON: SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY SOF RANGE 130 SUPPORT PROJECTS	CORONADO: SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY OPERATIONS FACILITY SOF STATE PROPERTION FOR THE PROPERTY SOFTIAL TO SOFTIAL THE PROPERTY SO	DEFENSE UIS RIBUTION DEPOT—I MACT: REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY CENTER	POINT LOWA ANNEX. REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES INCR 4	SAN CLEMENTE. REPLECE FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE	ARMIT MATIONAL LUDARD: CAMP ROBERTS. TACTICAL UNMANNED ARCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY UTILITIES REPLACEMENT, PHASE 1	CAMP SAN LUIS OBISPO. FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	BEALE AFB. WING OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	MOTELI PIELU: REPLACE PARARESCUE TRAINING FACILITY	ARMY KESEKYE: Fort Hunter liggett: Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun [MPMG]

			79						
	-17,800			-200				-200	>
	-17,800			-70,500				-70.500	. >>>>
16,393	816,523	34,000 63,000 67,000 46,000 14,400	13,400	70,432	15,338	6,400	43,000	13,600	266
16,393	834,323	34,000 63,000 67,000 46,000 14,400 14,200	13,400	70,932	15,338	6,400	43,000	13,600	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16,393	834,323	34,000 63,000 67,000 46,000 14,400 14,200	13,400	140,932	15,338	6,400	43,000	13,600	- > > 1> 1+ 1+
AIR FORCE RESERVE. MARCH AFB: AIRFIELD CONTROL TOWER/BASE OPS	TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	ARMY: FORT CARSON: AIRCRAFT LOADING AREA AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR BARRACKS BARRACKS BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS CONTROL TOWER	AIK FUKCE: U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: CONSTRUCT LARGE VEHICLE INSPECTION FACILITY	BUCALET AIR TORGE BASE: MOUNTAINVIEW OPERATIONS FACILITY CHEMICAL DEITHRIZATION CONSTRUCTION, ARMY: PIIFRI, O PIEPOT.	ARMY NATIONAL GURD: ALAMOSA:	READINESS CENTER	FORT CARSON: BARRACKS COMPLEX [ORTC] ABMY RESERVE.	FORT COLLINS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER TOTAL COLORADO	- OTAL, OCEONARY

80

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

				80				
h (+ or -) House								
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -) Budget House extimate								
Committee recommendation		2,800	2,800	2,070 13,586 1,080	5,300	22,036	4,482 6,085 25,985	14,998
House allowance		2,800	2,800	2,070 13,586 1,080	5,300	22,036	4,482 6,085 25,985	14,998
Budget estimate		2,800	2,800	2,070 13,586 1,080	5,300	22,036	4,482 6,085 25,985	14,998
Installation and project	DELAWARE	AIR FORCE. Dover Afb. C-5M formal training unit facility	TOTAL, DELAWARE	DEFENSE-WIDE. BOLLING ARB. COOLING TOWER EXPANSION	ANACOSTIA: U.S. PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION/ALTERATION	TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIAFLORIDA	NAVY; JACKSONVILLE: BAMS UAS OPERATOR TRAINING FACILITY P-8A HANGAR UPGRADES P-8A TRAINING FACILITY P-8A TRAINING FACILITY	MAYPORI: MASSEY AVENUE CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS

				8	31				
79,000	11,600 21,000 19,000	3,200	15,200	2,400 3,100	236,770	5,100 25,000 13,600	23,000	2,600 52,913 33,150	37,205
79,000	11,600 21,000 19,000	3,200 6,300	15,200	2,400	236,770	5,100 25,000 13,600	23,000	2,600 52,913 33,150	37,205
79,000	11,600 21,000 19,000	3,200 6,300	15,200	2,400	236,770	5,100 25,000 13,600	23,000	2,600 52,913 33,150	37,205
AIR FORCE: PATRICK AFB. AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CTR—INCR 2 DEFENSE-WIDE:	EGLIN ARB: MEDICAL CLINIC SOF COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY [GSTB] SOF COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY [GSTB]	SOF ENCLOSED ENGINE NOISE SUPPRESSORS SOF SIMULATOR FACILITY MACDILL AFB:	SOF ACQUISITION CENTER, PHASE II) WHITING FIELD: TRUCK LOAD/UNLOAD FACILITY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	CAMP BLANDING: CONVOY LIVE FIRE/ENTRY CONTROL POINT RANGE LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	TOTAL, FLORIDAGEORGIA	ARMY: FORT BENNING: LAND ACQUISITION LAND ACQUISITION RAIL LOADING FACILITY	TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PHASE 3	DUG MENNEL NAVY: KINGS BAY: CRAB ISLAND SECURITY ENCLAVE WRA LANDAMATER INTERFACE	DEFINSE-WIDE: FORT BENNING: REPLACE MCBRIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

						82	2								
ommendation h (+ or -)	House	+6,365					+6,365								
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate	+ 6,365					+ 6,365								
Committee	recommendation	17,705	72,300	11,000	17,500	14,500	327,023		17 500	000,71	73,000	6/9'6	7,492	57,704	9,200
House	allowance	11,340	72,300	11,000	17,500	14,500	320,658		17 500	000,71	73,000	9,679	7,492	57,704	9,200
Budget	estimate	11,340	72,300	11,000	17,500	14,500	320,658		17 500	000,71	73,000	6,679	7,492	57,704	9,200
reques for a cipilatori	instanationi and project	FORT GORDON: WHITELAW WEDGE BUILDING ADDITION	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	ALGAITA: READINESS CENTER	TINESVILLE: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING AND EQUIPMENT SITE, PHASE 1	MANON: READINESS CENTER, PHASE 1	TOTAL, GEORGIA	HAWAII	ARMY: FORT SHAFTER: CHILD DEVICEDMENT CENTER	SCHOILE BARACKS CENTRALIZED WAS USED TO STATE T	COMBAT AVIATION BRIGADE COMPLEX, PHASE 1	NAV I: Barking Sands: NORTH LOOP ELECTRICAL REPLACEMENT	JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM: NAY VINFORMATION OPERATIONS COMMAND FES FACILITY	KAREUTE BAT: MCAS OPERATIONS COMPLEX	DEFENSE-WIDE: JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM: ALTER WAREHOUSE SPACE

						ю [
5,200	33,000	12,721 19,800 7,000	284,296	91.042	16,900	10,000	16,000	12,800	146,742	8,900 27,000 25,000 21,000
5,200	33,000	12,721 19,800 7,000	284,296	91.042	16,900	10,000	16,000	12,800	146,742	8,900 27,000 25,000 21,000
5,200	33,000	12,721 19,800 7,000	284,296	91.042	16,900	10,000	16,000	12,800	146,742	8,900 27,000 25,000 21,000
UPGRADE REFUELER TRUCK PARKING AREA	KALAELOA: READINESS CENTER, PHASE 1	JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM: TFI—F-22 COMBAT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON TFI—F-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY TFI—F-22 WEAPONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	TOTAL, HAWAIIIIINOIS	NAVY: Great Lakes: Decentralize Steam system	S DEMOLITION	ARMY NATIOWAL GUARD: NORMAL: READINESS CENTER	ARMY RESERVE: HOMEWOOD: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	ROCKFORD: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	TOTAL, ILLINOIS	INDIANA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP ATTERBURY: DEPLOYMENT PROCESSING FACILITY OPERATIONS READINESS TRAINING COMPLEX 2 OPERATIONS READINESS TRAINING COMPLEX 1 RAILHEAD EXPANSION AND CONTAINER FACILITY INDIANAPOLIS:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

listallation and unject	Budget	House	Committee	Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	ommendation th (+ or -)	
notard min broken	estimate	allowance	recommendation	Budget estimate	House allowance	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FORT WAYNE IAP A 1.1 of each its conversion ministions	000	000	000			
ARMY RESERVE: LAWRENCE:); t	, ,	t,			
(VE CENTER	000,76	000,76	000,76			
TUTAL, INDIANA	168,600	168,600	168,600			
						84
SUPPORT FACILITY	5,300	5,300	5,300			
NANCE HANGAB	13,000	10,400	10,400 13,000			
AIR FORCE. FORT RILEY.	000	00,00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			
UPEKALIONS CENTER	009'/	/,600	7,600			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	13,000	13,000			
TOTAL, KANSAS	109,300	109,300	109,300			
KENTUCKY						
IY: FORT CAMPBELL: DADDANYS	000	000 86	000 66			
)OMPLEX	65,000	65,000	65,000			

000	00	000			000	
18,500 18,000 67,000 16,000 40,000	7,000	59,974 56,600 43,000 38,900	38,845	539,819	23,000 9,200 27,000 2,600 8,300 23,500 6,200	99,800
18,500 18,000 67,000 16,000 40,000	7,000	59,974 56,600 43,000 38,900	38,845	539,819	23,000 9,200 27,000 2,600 8,300 23,500 6,200	99,800
18,500 18,000 67,000 16,000 40,000	7,000	59,974 56,600 43,000 38,900	38,845	539,819	23,000 9,200 27,000 2,600 8,300 23,500 6,200	008'66
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY RANGE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE HANGAR VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	FORT KNOX: AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE BATTALION COMPLEX CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, ARMY: BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:	AMMOUN ION DEMILITARIZATION, PHASE XII FORT CAMPBELL: HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION SOF MH47 AVIATION FACILITY SOF ROTARY WING HANGAR	FORT KNOX: REPLACE KINGSOLVER-PIERCE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	TOTAL, KENTUCKY	ARMY: FORT POLK. BRIGADE COMPLEX BRIGADE COMPLEX BRIGADE COMPLEX BRICADE COMPLEX LAND ACQUISITION MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE AR FORCE: BARKSDALE AFB: MASSION SUPPORT GROUP COMPLEX BARKSDALE AFB: BARKSDALE AFB: BARKSDALE AFB: BARKSDALE AFB:	TOTAL, LOUISIANA

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

						8	36							
mmendation (+ or -)	House allowance													-29,640
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate													-29,640
Committee	recommendation		15,600	23,000	38,600		15,500	43,000	67,779	45,844	22,850	18,000	137,600	
House	allowance		15,600	23,000	38,600		15,500 63,000	43,000	67,779	45,844	22,850	18,000	137,600	29,640
Budget	estimate		15,600	23,000	38,600		15,500 63,000	43,000	67,779		22,850	18,000	137,600	29,640
Installation and project	וואמומרטון שוום ליחליביר	MAINE	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR: PEANNESS CENTED	BRUNSMOK ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		MARYLAND	ARKMI: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AUTO TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FACILITY, PHASE 3	FOR INTERIOR SECULTY BRIGADE COMPLEX BRIGADE TO THE SECULT TO THE SECURT TO THE SECU	NAVY: Indian Head: Decentralize Steam System	PATUXENT RIVER. MARCRAFT PROTOTYPE FACILITY, PHASE 2	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: USAMROD REPLACEMENT, INC 4	BE HESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	FORT DEFINITION: DAMPHID STAGE I, INC 6	FORT WIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING CAPACITY INC 1

-121,500 -57,900				-151,140 -87,540								
121,400 22,800		3,000	4,900	634,073		34,040	23,300	000'6	7,800		96,140	8,400
179,300 22,800	16,000	10,400	4,900	721,613		34,040	23,300	9,000	7,800	22,000	96,140	8,400
242,900	16,000	3,000	4,900	785,213		34,040	23,300	9,000	7,800	22,000	96,140	8,400
JOINT BASE ANDREWS: AMBUATORY CARE CENTER DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	DUNDALK: RADINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	MESTMINESS CENTER WESTMINSTER: READINGS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	AIN INTIONAL COANCY. MARTIN STATE AIRPORT: TFI—C—27 CONVERSION—SQUADRON OPERATIONS	TOTAL, MARYLAND	MASSACHUSETTS	DEFENSE-WIDE: HANSCOM AFB: REPLACE HANSCOM MIDDLE SCHOOL	WESTOVER ARB: Replace Hydrant fuel System	NATICK. READINESS CENTER	AIR NATIONAL GUARD: OTIS ANGB: TFI—CNAF BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITY		TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	MINNESOTA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP RIPLEY: MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE

88

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

ı		. :	ı :	8		: : : :	:	:	:	:
ommendation h (+ or –)	House allowance									
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate									
Committee	recommendation	11,800	20,200	2,600	34,700	12,600 27,000 25,000	101,900	49,000	4,800	9,253
House	allowance	11,800	20,200	2,600	34,700	12,600 27,000 25,000	101,900	49,000	4,800	9,253
Budget	estimate	11,800	20,200	2,600	34,700	12,600 27,000 25,000	101,900	49,000	4,800	9,253
Inabellation and emissa	INSTAIRATON AND PROFEC	ARMY RESERVE: Saint Joseph: Army Reserve Center	Total, Minnesota	DEFENSE-WIDE. COLUMBUS AFB: EPLACE REFUELER PARKING FACILITY CHIEPART.	OCTION REPLACEMENT ADDICAL CLING REPLACEMENT ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	CAMP SHELBY: DEPLOYMENT PROCESSING FACILITY OPERATIONAL READINESS TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASE 1 TROOP HOUSING [ORTC], PHASE 1	TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	EONARD WOOD: EHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	AIK FUKCE: WHITEMAN AFB: MAS SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY DIFFENSE_WINE.	ARNOLD: DATA CENTER WEST #1 POWER AND COOLING UPGRADE

ARMY RESERVE: WELDON SPRINGS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	19,000	19,000	19,000			
TOTAL, MISSOURINISSOURINISSOURINISSOURINEBRASKA	82,053	82,053	82,053			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AFB: STRATCOM REPLACEMENT FACILITY INCR 1	150,000	150,000	120,000	-30,000	-30,000	
GRAND ISLAND: READINESS CENTER	22,000	22,000	22,000			
READINESS CENTER	9,100	9,100	9,100			
TOTAL, NEBRASKA NEVADA NEVADA	181,100	181,100	151,100	-30,000	-30,000	8
AIR FORCE: NELLIS AFB: COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK CONTROL CENTER F.35, ADMITIONALITERATION ENGINE SHOP	11,600	11,600	11,600			9
F-35A AGE FACILITY	21,500	B T	21,500		+ 21,500	
LAS VEGAS: FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	23,000	23,000	23,000			
TOTAL, NEVADANEW JERSEY	58,850	37,350	58,850		+21,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LAKEHURST: ARMY AVIATION SUPORT FACILITY	49,000	49,000	49,000			
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	49,000	49,000	49,000			

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

								90													
ommendation h (+ or -)	House allowance																				
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate																				
Committee	recommendation		7 508	15,000	11,200	5,800	4,200	25.000		009.6	15,000	28,100	10,941	41,200	17,300		5,200	214,995			2,700
House	allowance		7 598	15,000	11,200	2,800	4,200	25.000		9.600	15,000	28,100	10.856	41,200	17,300		5,200	214,995	-		5,700
Budget	estimate		7 508	15,000	11,200	2,800	4,200 8,000	25.000		009.6	15,000	28,100	10,341	41,200	17,300		5,200	214,995			5,700
Installation and minect	าวด์เกส เกเล และสมสาคา	NEW MEXICO	AIR FORCE: CANNON AFB: And wastemated treatment plant	DORMITORY (96 RM)	HOLLOMAN AFB. Child Development Center	F-16 ACADEMIC FACILITY	F-16 SEAD TRAINING FACILITY Paralle1 taxway 07/25	KIRTLAND AFB: AFNWC SISTAINMENT CENTER	DEFENSE-WIDE:	CANNOW AFB: SOF ADAL SIMIJI ATOR FACILITY	JADRON	VE EACH ITV	SOF C-130 WASH RACK HANGAR		SOF SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SANTA FF.	READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	NEW YORK	ARMY; Fort drum:	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT

7,600	4,700 15,700	20,000	53,700		18,000 23,000	49,000 42,000 54,000		/5,214 27,439 81,008	16,821	17,760	69,511 9,419	9.000		0,0,0	57,600 3,138 12,000)
7,600	4,700	20,000	53,700		18,000	49,000 42,000 54,000	i c	75,214 27,439 81,008	16,821	17,760	69,511 9,419	000.9		0,6,0	57,600 3,138 12,000	1
7,600	4,700 15,700	20,000	53,700		18,000	49,000 42,000 54,000	i i	75,214 27,439 81,008	16,821	17,760	69,511 9,419	000'9		0/9'9	57,600 3,138 12,000	
CHAPEL DEFENSE-WIDE FORD TORING	FUKT UKUKU: DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION MEDICAL CLINIC ARMY RESERVE: COMERCY OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO	SORILINGTROT: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	TOTAL, NEW YORKNORTH CAROLINA	ARMY; FORT BRAGG:	ACCESS ROADS, PHASE 2 BATTLE COMMAND REANING CENTER	BKIGADE COMPLEX FACILITIES	CAMP LEIEUNE.	ZND CUMBAI ENGINEER MAINIENANCE/OP'S CUMPLEX BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK BASE ENTRY POINT AND ROAD	SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	H-1 HELICOPTER GEARBOX REPAIR AND TEST FACILITY NEW RIVER.	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND APRON ORDNANCE LOADING AREA ADDITIION	AIR FORCE: Pope Afb: C-130 Flight Simulator	DEFENSE-WIDE: CAMP LETELINE CAMP LETELINE	SUF AKMUKT FACILIT EKFANSION	HOSPITAL ALTERATION REPLACE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFICE SOF ADMINISTRATIVE ANNEX	OUT DOMINIO THE LINE AND THE LI

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

											92	2											
mmendation 1 (+ or -)	House																						
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate																						
Committee	recommendation	23.478	41,000	19,000	10,758	2,300	26,000	11,000		22,687	00	5,400		3,700		19,000	752,903			11.800	34,000	22,000	67,800
House	allowance	23.478	41,000	19,000	10,758	2,300	26,000	11,000		22,687	00	5,400		3,700		19,000	752,903			11.800	34,000	22,000	67,800
Budget	estimate	23.478	41.000	19,000	10.758	2,300	26,000	11,000		22,687	00	5,400		3,700		19,000	752,903			11.800	34,000	22,000	67,800
handler and	ilisariationi aliu propect	SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	SOF BRIGADE HEADOUARTERS	SOF COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING COMPLEX	SOF ENTRY CONTROL POINT	SOF GROUP HEADQUARTERS		NEW RIVER:	REPLACE DELALIO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	POPE AFB:	SUF IKAINING FACILITY	ARMI NALIUNAL GUARD: GREENSBORD:	READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	GREENSBORO.	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	NORTH DAKOTA	AIR FORCE: MAINCE AFE	MINOT AT B: B-52 3-BAY CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE		DORMTORY (168 RM)	TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA

	10.000		16,700			3,400 36,000 33,000 51,000			3,000	214,161	13,800	13,800
	10.000	6,700	16,700	23,000	13,200 25,000	3,400 36,000 33,000 51,000	1,700	8,200	3,000	214,161	13,800	13,800
	10.000	6,700	16,700	23,000	13,200	3,400 36,000 33,000 51,000	1,700	8,200	3,000	214,161	13,800	13,800
OHO	DEFENSE-WIDE. COLUMBUS. SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS		ТОТАL, ОНЮ	ORLAHOMA ARMY: FORT SILL: BATTLE COMMAND TRAINING CENTER	CHARPEL PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY DAIL RED CAMENT IS ACILITY	RAIL DEFLOYMENT FAULIT RECEION STATION, PHASE 1 THAAD INSTRUCTION FACILITY	MCALESTER: AMMUNITION LOADING PADS	Defense-wide: Altus Afb: Replace fuel transfer Pipeline ARMY National Guard:	CAMP GYOBER: LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: THE DALLES: READINESS CENTER	TOTAL, OREGON

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

					94						
ommendation h (+ or -)	House allowance										
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate										
Committee	recommendation		3,000 25,500 17,500	8,000	13,759	62,759	4,900	21,096	24,868	4,300	12,000
House	allowance		3,000 25,500 17,500	8,000	13,759	62,759	4,900	21,096	24,868	4,300	12,000
Budget	estimate		3,000 25,500 17,500	8,000	13,759	62,759	4,900	21,096	24,868	4,300	12,000
Land Holder	пъканатон ано рюрел	PENNSYLVANIA	DEFENSE-WIDE. DEF DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND: ENCLOSE OPEN-SIDED SHED REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE UPGRADE ACCESS CONTROL POINTS	PHILADELPHIA: UPGRADE HVAC SYSTEM	NAVY RESERVE. PITTSBURGH: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIASOILTH CAROLINA	RECORD FIRE RANGE	NAYY: BEAUFORT: PRETICAL LANDING PADS	JOINT BASE CHARLESTON: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY	ALLENDALE. Readiness center addition/alteration army reserve:	ORANGEBURG: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND

TEMMESSEE TEMMESSEE TEMMSSEE TEMS TO 494 TO 7,949 TO 949 TO 9	TEMESSEE FRESENCE TEMESSEE TEMESSEE TEMESSEE TOTAL, TEMESSE TOTAL, TEMESSEE TOTAL, TE	AIR FORCE RESERVE. CHarleston Afb. Thi Red Horse Readiness and Training Center	9,593	9,593	9,593		
TOTAL TENNESSEE TENNESSEE TENNESSEE TOTAL TOTAL TENNESSEE TOTAL TOTAL TENNESSEE TOTAL TOTA	ESERVE. TEAMESSEE		135,757	135,757	135,757		
ESEME: INTERIOR CENTER TOTAL, TENNESSEE TOTAL,	ESEMENE: EMPRIES. EMP		-		-		
TOTAL TENNESSE	TOTAL TENNESSEE TEXAS TOTAL TOTAL TEXAS	PVE TRAINING CENTER	7 9/0	7 949	0/0/2		
TOTAL, TENNESSEE TEXAS T	TOTAL, TENNESSEE TENAS 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,949 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940 7,940			2,1	25.5		
PEMAS PEMA	PRT BLSS.		7,949	7,949	7,949		
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	RAPLED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	TEXAS					
BISISS. BIS	Registration Regi	ARMY:					
BARRACKS COMPLEX. ISJOOD 13,000 13,000 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,000 14,600 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,0	13,000 13,000 13,000 13,000 13,000 13,000 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 1	D INSTRIICTION BIIII DING	8 300	8 300	8 300		
14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 1	ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXSTRUCTURE INFORMATICH MAINTENANCE FACILITY INTEXSTRUCTURE INFORMATICH MAINTENANCE FACILITY INTEXSTRUCTURE INFORMATICH MAINTENANCE FACILITY INTEXSTRUCTURE INFORMATICH MAINTENANCE FACILITY INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXPORTED INTEXSTRUCTURE INTEXPORTED	2	13,000	13,000	13,000		
14,600 14,600 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 39,000 3	14,600 14,600 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 2	ш	14,600	14,600	14,600		
The control of the	Maintenance Facility	INFRASTRUCTURE	14,600	14,600		-14,600	-14,600
VALIDE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600	Varietic maintenance facility Varietic maintenance facilit	JLENS TACTICAL TRAINING FACILITY	39,000	39,000	39,000		
14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 1	14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 1	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	24,000	24,000		-24,000	-24,000
19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400	19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 2,400 47,000 47,000 47,000 47,000 47,000 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 19,400 10,400 10,400 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	14,600	14,600	14,600		
HOOD:	HOOD:	ПТ	19,000	19,000	19,000		
HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HOUGH HO	HUDUS: UNIMARIZED ARIAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE HANGAR HUDUS: UNIMARIZED ARIAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE HANGAR HUBBARIAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY NATIONIO: WHICH CHAINTENANCE FACILITY WHICH CHAINTEN	WATER WELL, POTABLE	2,400	2,400	2,400		
DETAMIDMENT REQUIRED. INJUDIO 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000	DETAMIDMAL READINESS INVINITION COMPLEX DETAMIDMAN DEPOTE MANITEMANCE FACILITY VEHICLE MAINTEMANCE FACILITY N ANTONIO: N ANTONIO: N ANTONIO: SMAT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV MANTONIO: BASE SAN ANTONIO: MANTONIO: MANTONI	FURL HOURS	000				
PHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY VEHICLE MAINTENAN	CHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,500 15,500 15,500 15,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 19,400 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 46,000 64,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 <td>ANCAB</td> <td>51,000</td> <td>51,000</td> <td>51,000</td> <td></td> <td></td>	ANCAB	51,000	51,000	51,000		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 84,000 84,000 64,000 64,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 86,000 <td>VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000</td> <td>NIENANOL INNGAN</td> <td>15.500</td> <td>15,500</td> <td>15,500</td> <td></td> <td></td>	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 18,500 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 44,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000	NIENANOL INNGAN	15.500	15,500	15,500		
N ANTONIO: NER ARMY DEPOT: MANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE 3 N ANTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV MANEUVER SYSTEMS MANUOLIC STATEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE IV MANUOLIC STATEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE IV MANUOLIC STATEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE IV MANUOLIC STATEMS SUSTAINMENT CATT BADDAGGE STATEMS SUSTAINMENT CATT BADGGE	N ANTONIO: NEHCLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY NAME AND DEPOT: MANANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE 3 N ANTONIO: BASE SAN ANTONIO: BASE SAN ANTONIO: A4,000 64,000 64,000 64,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000 46,000	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	18,500	18,500	18,500		
NATIONIO: BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DARWING YATT BADDAGKS 2300 BAY BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DARWING YATT BADDAGKS 2300 BAY BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DARWING YATT BADDAGKS 2300 BAY BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMSESSIM MAINTONIO: BMSESSIM BMSESSIM BADDAGKS 2300 BAY BMSESSIM B	IVER ARMY DEFORT: 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400						
NAME UP 01: MANNEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CENTER, PHASE 3	NAME UREY OF 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	10,400	10,400	10,400		
N ANTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV BMAS SAN ANTONIO: AS DODO	N ANTONIO: BANT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV BANE SAN ANTONIO: ADVANCED INDIVIDUAL TRAINING [AIT] BARRACKS (300 RM) ABOUT TRAINING [AIT] BARRACKS (300 RM)	KED KIVEK AKMIY DEPUI: MANETIVER SYSTEMS STISTAINMENT CENTED PHASE 3	000 77	000 77	000 77		
BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV	N ANTONIO: BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY 4, PHASE IV			, , ,	600		
ITORY 4, PHASE IV	ITORY 4, PHASE IV 64,000 64,000 64,000 64,000	N ANTONIO:					
AE OUD AE OUD AE OUD AE OUD AE OUD AE OUD	AL TRAINING [AIT] BARRACKS (300 RM)	ITORY 4,	64,000	64,000	64,000		
ING [AII] DARRACRO (300 NW)		AL TRAINING [AIT] BARRACKS (300 RM)	46,000	46,000	46,000		

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

					96					
nmmendation h (+ or -)	House	+ 22.700	-80,700	-96,600		008'9-	-123,200		-130,000	-31,000
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate	-27.300	-80,700	-146,600		-6.800	-123,200		-130,000	-31,000
Committee	recommendation	109.400	80,600 33,000	630,300	32,000	16,500	123,201	6,500	178,201	52,000
House	allowance	86.700	, 161,300 33,000	726,900	32,000	16,500	246,401	6,500	308,201	52,000 31,000
Budget	estimate	136.700	, 161,300 33,000	776,900	32,000	16,500	246,401	6,500	308,201	52,000
	INSTANTATION AND PROJECT	DEFENSE-WIDE. FORT BLISS. FORT BLISS.		TOTAL, TEXAS	_	AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: F-22 SYSTEM SUPPORT FACILITY F-35 ADAL HANDAR 45E/AMU	Defense-wide: Camp Williams: IC CNCI data center 1 inc 3	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP WILLIAMS: MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	тотац, итан	VIRGINIA ARMY: FORT BELVOIR: INFORWATION DOMINANCE CENTER, PHASE 1 ROAD AND INFRASTUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

81,304 26,924 26,924 26,924 74,864 75,304 31,374 27,079 5,034 6,442 28,488 9,969 9,969 9,969 10,805 11,988 1,988 3,814 14,402 4,900 54,625 37,000 4,000 4,000 4,000	JB LANGLEY EUSTIS: AVIATION TRAINING FACILITY	26,000	26,000	26,000	
Head of the property of the	ELOR QUARTERS, HOMEPORT ASHORE VITALIZE STEAM SYSTEM	81,304 26,924	81,304	81,304 26,924	
EURIC REVISITE DUARTERS SEX SECURITY SEAL TEAM OFFREITY TF, 304 TF, 306 TF, 306	TH: ROLLED INDUSTRIAL	74,864	74,864	74,864	
ELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS SSY SECURITY GROUP FACILITY SSY SECURITY GROUP FACILITY SSY SECURITY GROUP FACILITY SSY SECURITY GROUP FACILITY STED DINNING FACILITY SSY SECURITY STED DINNING FACILITY STED STED STED STED STED STED STED STED	: Demic Instruction Facility	75,304	75,304	75,304	
SERVINE SECURITY GROUP FACILITIES SERVINES CROWNER CROUNT FACILITIES SERVINES CROWNER, PHASE 6 SERVINES CROWNER, PHASE 6 SERVINES CROWNER, PHASE 7 SERV	HELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	31,374	31,374	31,374	
STATE STAT	ASSY SECURITY GROUP FACILITIES	27,079	27,079	27,079	
Color December 2 Color December 3 Color Decem		5,034	5,034	5,034	
EWATER TREATMENT PLANT—UPSHUR 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,468 26,469 36,000 36,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 </td <td></td> <td>6,442</td> <td>6,442</td> <td>6,442</td> <td></td>		6,442	6,442	6,442	
ARRACKS COMPLEX, PHASE 2 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,00		9.969	9,969	9.969	
VELSTIS: 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805					
SYILLE: 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,80	PHASF 2	50 000	20 000	50 000	
SYULLE: 10,805 10,805 10,805 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988 11,988	בייין דייין דיי		,	2	
10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10,805 10	ESVILLE:				
1,988 1,988 1,988 3,814 3,814 3,814 14,402 4,900 4,900 4,900 4,900 54,625 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	OTE DELIVERY FACILITY	10,805	10,805	10,805	
3.814 3.814 3.814 14,402 4,900 4,900 4,900 4,900 4,000 54,625 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	ren e/ms school addition	1,988	1,988	1,988	
3.814 3.814 3.814 14,402 14,402 14,402 4,900 4,900 4,900 54,625 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000					
14,402 14,402 4,900 4,900 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	BUILDING RENOVATION	3,814	3,814	3,814	
4,900 4,900 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	LOGISTIC SUPPORT FACILITY	14,402	14,402	14,402	
54,625 54,625 54,625 37,000 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000 4,000	MILIARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	4,900	4,900	4,900	
37,000 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	HNOLOGY CENTER THIRD FLOOR FIT—OUT	54.625	54.625	54.625	
37,000 37,000 37,000 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	EDITIONARY BASE LITTLE CREEK—STORY:				
6,457 6,457 6,457 2,285 2,285 2,285 4,000 4,000	SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY	37,000	37,000	37,000	
GON MEMORIAL PEDESTRIAN PLAZA 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 6,457 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
GON MEMORIAL PEDESTRIAN PLAZA	R/FIRE STATION	6,457	6,457	6,457	
SE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS—TELEGRAPH ROAD	GON MEMORIAL PEDESTRIAN PLAZA	2,285	2,285	2,285	
MPRUVEMENI3—IELEGRAPH RUAD	1 400 331334 13	000	000	000	
42,727 42,727 42,727		4,000	4,000	4,000	

98

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

	, ,	. :	I	98	: : :	:	: : :	: :	:
ommendation h (+ or –)	House allowance		-31,000						
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate		-31,000						21,000
Committee	recommendation	11,000	688,785	7,300 48,000 34,000 59,000	56,000 64,000 28,000	13,341	25,948 78,002 17,894	14,000	21,000
House	allowance	11,000	719,785	7,300 48,000 34,000 59,000	56,000 64,000 28,000	13,341	25,948 78,002 17,894	14,000	21,000
Budget	estimate	11,000	719,785	7,300 48,000 34,000 59,000	56,000 64,000 28,000	13,341	25,948 78,002 17,894	14,000	21,000
leetallation and mainst	וואמומרטון מווס ליטולמר	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT PICKETT: COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	TOTAL, VIRGINIAWASHINGTON	ARMY: JB LEWIS MCCHORD: AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS FACILITIES AVIATION COMPLEX, PHASE 1A BATTALION COMPLEX	BRIGADE COMPLEX, PHASE 2 INFRASTRUCTURE, PHASE 1 OPERATIONAL READINESS TRAII	NAVY: BREMERTON: INTEGRATED DRY DOCK WATER TREATMENT FACILITY, PHASE 1	EHW SECURITY FORCE FACILITY (BANGOR) EXPLOSIVES HANDLING WHARF #2 (INC 1) WATERFRONT RESTRICTED AREA VEHICLE BARRIERS	FAIRCHILD AFB: SERE FORCE SUPPORT, PHASE 2 WING HEADQUARTERS	DEFENSE-WIDE: JB LEWIS MCCHORD. SOF COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY

14,000	25,000	519,085	0000	2,200	10,000	12,200	7,000	008 6	5,300	5,400 12,000	34,300			8,900	8,900
14,000	25,000	519,085	6	2,200	10,000	12,200	7,000	009 1	5,300	5,400	34,300			8,900	8,900
14,000	25,000	519,085	c	002,2	10,000	12,200	7,000	V 600	5,300	5,400	34,300			8,900	8,900
JOINT BASE LEWIS-MCCHORD: REPLAGE FUEL DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES	replace fuel pipeline	TOTAL, WASHINGTON	WEST VIKGINIA DEFENSE-WIDE: CAMP DAWSON:	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BUCKHANION:	NEMDINESS CENTER, FTRACE 1	UOIAL, WEST VINGINIA	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP WILLIAMS. TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	ARMY RESERVE: FORT MCCOY: AITTOMATEN DECADD FIDE DANCE	CONTAINER LOADING FACILITY	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	TOTAL, WISCONSIN	WYOMING	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CHEYENNE:	READINESS CENTER	TOTAL, WYOMING

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

						10	00										
nmendation h (+ or –)	House allowance						5	-55,010 -45,194	-100,204								
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate							-55,010 -45,194	-100,204								
Committee	recommendation		29,000	20,000	80,000							24,118	24,118	-		35,444	35,444
House	allowance		29,000	20,000	80,000			25,010 45,194	100,204			24,118	24,118	-		35,444	35,444
Budget	estimate		29,000	20,000	80,000			55,010 45,194	100,204			24,118	24,118	-		35,444	35,444
Installation and aminot	וואמומלותן פווח לאחלוביר	AFGHANISTAN	ARMY: BAGRAM AIR BASE: BARRACKS, PHASE 5 CONSTRUCT DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE 3	ENTRY CONTROL POINT	TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN	BAHRAIN ISLAND	NAVY: SW ASIA:	BACHELOK ENLUSIED QUARTIERS WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 4	TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	BELGIUM	DEFENSE-WIDE:	DRASSELS: NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	TOTAL, BELGIUM	DIEGO GARCIA	NAVY:	DIEGO GARCIA. POTABLE WATER PLANT MODERNIZATION	TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA

				-21,000 $-16,500$											
	35,170 43,529 10,800	89,499			17,500	5,000	24,000	12,200	12,200	20,000	34.697	11679	59.419	6,529	70,592
	35,170 43,529 10,800	89,499			17,500	5,000	24,000	12,200	12,200	20,000	34.697	11 679	59.419	6,529	70,592
	35,170 43,529 10,800	89,499	-	21,000	17,500	5,000	24,000	12,200	12,200	20,000	34.697	11 679	59.419	6,529	70,592
DJIBOUTI NAVY.	CAMP LEMONIER. AIRCRAFT LOGISTICS APRON BACHELOR QUARTERS TAXIWAY ENHANCEMENT	TOTAL, DJIBOUTI	GERMANY	GERMERSHEIM: GERITRAL DISTRIBUTION FACILITY INFRASTRUCTURE	GRAFEWWOEHR: Barracks Chadel	CONVOY LIVE FIRE RANGE	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS CENTE	Ubekuachstelten: Automated Record fire Range	STUTTGART. ACCESS CONTROL POINT	VILSECK: Barracks	AIR FORCE: RAMSTEIN AB: DORMITORY (192 RM)	NOITION	BAUMHOLDER. REPLACE WETZEL-SMITH ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	GRAFENWOEHR: NETZABERG MS SCHOOL ADDITION	RHINE ORDNANCE BARRACKS. MEDICAL CENTER REPLACEMENT INCR 1

109

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

				102				
ommendation h (+ or –)	House allowance					-77,267 -78,654		-155,921
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate		-37,500			-77,267 -78,654	-64,000	_219,921
Committee	recommendation	41,876 87,167 2,434	459,786	28,000	28,000		35,000 7,500 11,700 64,000 9,800 5,600 14,000	147,600
House	allowance	41,876 87,167 2,434	459,786	28,000	28,000	77,267 78,654	35,000 7,500 11,700 64,000 9,800 5,600 14,000	303,521
Budget	estimate	41,876 87,167 2,434	497,286	28,000	28,000	77,267	35,000 7,500 11,700 128,000 9,800 5,600 14,000	367,521
Installating and amingt	nistanation and project	SPANGDAHLEM AB: REPLACE BIBURG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACE BIBURG MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL STUTTGAR1-PATCH BARRACKS. DISA EUROPE FACILITY UPGRADES	TOTAL, GERMANY CAPEFINI AND	AIR FORCE: THULE AB: DORMITORY (72 PN)	TOTAL, GREENLAND GUAM	NAVY; JOINT REGION MARIANAS: FINEGAYAN WATER UTILITIES NORTH RAMP UTILITIES—ANDERSON AFB (INC) AR FORCE:	JUINI REGIGHT TERMINAL COMPLEX AIR FEIGHT TERMINAL COMPLEX AIR FIGHT TERMINAL COMPLEX GUAM STRIKE CLEAR WAIER RINSE FACILITY GUAM STRIKE CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY GUAM STRIKE FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE HANGAR PRTC COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT SUPPORT PRTC COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS TRANSMISSION SYSTEM PRTC RED HORSE CANTONMENT OPERATIONS FACILITY	TOTAL, GUAM

HONDURAS					
ARMY: Honduras: Sofo cano ab: Barracks	25.000	25.000	20.000	-5.000	-5.000
TOTAL, HONDURAS	25,000	25,000	20,000	-2,000	-2,000
ITALY					
AIR FORCE:					
SIGUNELLA: IJAS SATCOM PE AY PADS AND FACII ITY	15.000	15.000	15.000		
REPLACE VICENZA HIGH SCHOOL	41,864	41,864	41,864		
TOTAL, ITALY	56,864	56,864	56,864		
JAPAN					
DEFENSE-WIDE: YOKOTA AB: REPLACE TEMPORARY CLASSROOMJOAN K. MENDEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACE YOKOTA HIGH SCHOOL	12,236	12,236	12,236		
TOTAL, JAPAN	61,842	61,842	61,842		
KOREA					
ARNY:					
CAMP CARROLL: BARRACKS	41.000	41.000	41.000		
CAMP HENRY: BARRACKS COMPLEX	48,000	48,000	48,000		
AIR FORCE: Oran AB.					
DORMITORY (156 RM)	23,000	23,000	23,000		
TOTAL, KOREA	112,000	112,000	112,000		

104

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

						104	-								
nmmendation h (+ or -)	House allowance					-37,000	-37,000					+ 25,000			+ 100,000
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate					-37,000	-37,000								
Committee	recommendation		92,000	57.000					68,601	35,030	103,631	272,611		25,500	20,000 229,741
House	allowance		57,000	57.000		37,000	37,000		68,601	35,030	103,631	247,611		25,500	20,000 229,741 -100,000
Budget	estimate		27,000	57.000		37,000	37,000		68,601	35,030	103,631	272,611		25,500	20,000 229,741
	INSTAINATION AND PROFICE	PUERTO RICO	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT BUCHANAN: READINESS CENTER	TOTAL. PUERTO RICO	QATAR	AIR FORCE: AL UDEID: BLATCHFORD PRESTON COMPLEX, PHASE IV	TOTAL, QATAR	UNITED KINGDOM	Defense-Wide: Mewwith Hill Station: May PSC Construction Generator Plant	REPLACE ALCONBURY HIGH SCHOOL	TOTAL, UNIED KINGDOM	NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	ARMY: HOST NATION SUPPORT	MINOR CONSTRUCTION PLANNING AND DESIGN RESCISSION

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

	106														
ommendation h (+ or -)	House allowance														
Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	Budget estimate														
Committee	recommendation	11,700	9,000	627,21	28,924 2,925	2,591	2,000	2,200 5,434		10,000	13,000	41,000	12,000	103,000 7,897	186,897
House	allowance	11,700	9,000	12,220	28,924	2,591	2,000	2,200		10,000	13,000	41,000	12,000	103,000	186,897
Budget	estimate	11,700	9,000	72,22	28,924	2,591	2,000	2,200		10,000	13,000	41,000	12,000	103,000	186,897
Inetallation and revision	וואמומנטו פוח לאומבר	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	AIR WATIONAL GUARU: PALNNING AND DESIGN MANOD CONSTRUCTION	ARMY RESERVE	Planning and design Minor construction	:	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	air force reserve. Planning and design Minor construction	FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	BELGIUM: BRUSSELS: LAND PURCHASE FOR GFOQ (10 ACRES)	GERWANY: Grafenwoehr: Family Housing New Construction (26 Units)	ILLESHEIM: FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION (80 UNITS)	VILSECK. FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (22 UNITS)	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (276 UNITS)	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION

		107		
73,637 15,797 54,728 605 14,256 204,426 105,668	97,773	70,197 70,197 14,510 61,090 61,090 79,798 79,798 97,231 28,582	367,863 80,596 4,208	84,804 67,839 57,391 13,675 35,290
73,637 15,797 15,797 15,797 16,05 14,256 105,668 11,25,741	.8 494,858 3 97,773 3 3,199	72 100,972 77 70,197 00 114,510 00 61,090 16 476 19 15,798 11 97,231 12 28,582	33 367,863 96 80,596 8 4,208	94,804 19 67,639 11 57,391 15 13,675 10 35,290
73,637 15,797 15,797 54,728 60,508 14,256 204,426 105,668	494,858 97,773 3,199	70,197 14,510 14,510 61,090 476 15,979 79,798 79,798 79,798	367,863	84,804 67,639 57,391 13,675 35,290
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. UTILITIES ACCOUNT SERVICES ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT LEASING MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROYEMENTS PLANNING AND DESIGN	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. UTILITIES ACCOUNT SERVICES ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT LEASING MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS PLANNING AND DESIGN	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: UTILITIES ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT SERVICES ACCOUNT FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

				108				
nmmendation h (+ or -)	House allowance							-50,000
Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	Budget estimate							
Committee	recommendation	2,165 80,897 100,133 47,571	404,761	10 70 10,100	70 2,699 36,552	280 19 30 347 546	50,723 2,184 1,284	323,543 258,776
House	allowance	2,165 80,897 100,133 47,571	404,761	10 70 10,100	70 2,699 36,552	280 19 30 347 546	50,723 2,184 1,284	373,543 258,776
Budget	estimate	2,165 80,897 100,133 47,571	404,761	10 70 10,100	70 2,699 36,552	280 19 30 347 546	50,723 2,184 1,284	323,543 258,776
positive pod solitoria	instaliation and project	MISCELAMEOUS ACCOUNT LEASING MAINTENANCE PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1 1 1	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY: FURNISHINGS LEASING	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY: UTILITIES FUNNISHINGS SERVICES MANAGEMENT MANAGEMENT MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005

RESCISSION (SECTION 126)		_50,000 100,000		+50,000 + 100,000
SUBTOTAL, BRAC	582,319	482,319	582,319	+ 100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012
[In thousands of dollars]

	[In the	[In thousands of dollars]					
BAm	2011	Dudget settmete	House allowers	Committee	Senate Commi	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	ompared with
IIAIII	appropriation	buuget extiniate	nouse anowance	recommendation	2011 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE							
Military construction, Army	3,780,023 263,000	3,235,991	3,141,491 $-100,000$	3,066,891	-713,132 +263,000	- 169,100	-74,600 + 100,000
Total	3,517,023	3,235,991	3,041,491	3,066,891	-450,132	-169,100	+ 25,400
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps	3,297,004 -61,050	2,461,547	2,461,547 $-25,000$	2,187,622	-1,109,382 + 61,050	- 273,925	-273,925 + 25,000
Total	3,235,954	2,461,547	2,436,547	2,187,622	-1,048,332	-273,925	-248,925
Military construction, Air Force	1,104,781 -121,700	1,364,858	$1,279,358 \\ -32,000$	1,227,058	+122,277 +121,700	-137,800	-52,300 + 32,000
Total	983,081	1,364,858	1,247,358	1,227,058	+243,977	-137,800	- 20,300
Military construction, Defense-Wide	2,867,316 148,500	3,848,757	3,665,157 - 131,400	3,380,917	+513,601 +148,500	- 467,840	-284,240 + 131,400
Total	2,718,816	3,848,757	3,533,757	3,380,917	+662,101	-467,840	-152,840
Total, Active components	10,454,874	10,911,153	10,259,153	9,862,488	-592,386	-1,048,665	- 396,665
Military construction, Army National Guard	871,917 194,596	773,592 116,246	798,592 116,246	773,592 116,246	-98,325 $-78,350$		- 25,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	317,539 61,434	280,549 26,299	280,549 26,299	280,549 26,299	-36,990 $-35,135$		
	7,816	33,620	33,620	33,620	+ 25,804		
Total, Reserve components	1,453,302	1,230,306	1,255,306	1,230,306	-222,996		-25,000

Total, Military construction	$11,908,176 \\ (12,502,426) \\ (-594,250)$	12,141,459 (12,141,459)	11,514,459 $(11,802,859) $ $(-288,400)$	11,092,794 (11,092,794)	-815,382 ($-1,409,632$) ($+594,250$)	-1,048,665 (-1,048,665)	-421,665 ($-710,065$) ($+288,400$)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program Ramily housing construction, Army Family housing operation and maintenance, Army Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps Family housing poperation and maintenance, Air Force Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force Family housing operation and maintenance. Air Force	258,366 92,184 517,104 186,071 365,613 77,869 512,764 50,363	272,611 186,897 494,858 100,972 367,863 84,804 404,723 50,723	247,611 186,897 494,858 100,972 367,863 84,804 404,761 50,723	272,611 186,897 494,858 100,972 367,863 84,804 404,731 50,723	+ 14,245 + 94,713 - 22,246 - 85,099 + 2,250 + 6,935 - 108,003 + 360		+ 25,000
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund Homeowners assistance fund	1,094 16,482	2,184	2,184 1,284	2,184	+1,090 $-15,198$		
Total, Family housing	1,819,544	1,694,346	1,694,346	1,694,346	-125,198 $-49,409$		
Base realignment and closure. Base realignment and closure account, 1990 Base realignment and closure account, 2005 Rescission	359,753 2,349,576 —232,363	323,543 258,776	373,543 258,776 — 50,000	323,543 258,776	$\begin{array}{l} -36,210 \\ -2,090,800 \\ +232,363 \end{array}$		- 50,000 + 50,000
Total	2,117,213	258,776	208,776	258,776	-1,858,437		+ 50,000
Total, Base realignment and closure	2,476,966	582,319	582,319	582,319	- 1,894,647		+ 100,000
Total, title I	16,587,773 (17,414,386) (-826,613)	14,766,047 (14,766,047)	14,014,047 (14,352,447) (-338,400)	13,717,382 (13,717,382)	-2,870,391 (-3,697,004) (+826,613)	-1,048,665 (-1,048,665)	- 296,665 (-635,065) (+338,400)
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS Veterans Benefits Administration Compensation and pensions Readjustment benefits Veterans insurance and indemnities	53,978,000 10,396,245 77,589	58,067,319 11,011,086 100,252	58,067,319 11,011,086 100,252	58,067,319 11,011,086 100,252	+ 4,089,319 + 614,841 + 22,663		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

,	2011	:	:	Committee	Senate Commit	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	ompared with	
tem	appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	recommendation	2011 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	
Veterans housing benefit program fund: (indefinite)	19,078 (500) (500)	318,612 (500)	318,612 (500)	318,612 (500)	+ 299,534			
Administrative expenses Guaranteed Transitional Housing Loans for Homeless Veterans	164,752 (750)	154,698	154,698	154,698	-10,054 (-750)			
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account	29 (2,298) 328 662	19 (3,019) 343 1,116	19 (3,019) 343 1,116	19 (3,019) 343 1,116	- 10 (+721) + 15 + 454			112
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration	64,471,683	69,653,445	69,653,445	69,653,445	+ 5,181,762			
Medical services: Advance from prior year Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2013	(37,061,728) 39,649,985	(39,649,985) 41,354,000	(39,649,985) 41,354,000	(39,649,985) 41,354,000	(+2,588,257) +1,704,015			
Subtotal	39,649,985	41,354,000	41,354,000	41,354,000	+ 1,704,015			
Medical support and compliance: Advance from prior year Fiscal year 2011 pay raise rescission	(5,296,454) (-34,000)	(5,535,000)	(5,535,000)	(5,535,000)	(+238,546) (+34,000)			
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2013	5,535,000	5,746,000	5,746,000	5,746,000	+211,000			
Subtotal	5,535,000	5,746,000	5,746,000	5,746,000	+211,000			
Medical facilities. Advance from prior year	(5,728,550) (-15,000)	(5,426,000)	(5,426,000)	(5,426,000)	(-302,550) (+15,000)			

Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2013	5,426,000	5,441,000	5,441,000	5,441,000	+ 15,000		
Subtotal	5,426,000	5,441,000	5,441,000	5,441,000	+ 15,000		
Medical and prosthetic research	579,838	508,774	530,774	581,000	+1,162	+ 72,226	+ 50,226
Medical care cost recovery collections. Offsetting collections	-3,393,000 3,393,000	-3,326,000 3,326,000	-3,326,000 3,326,000	-3,326,000 3,326,000	+ 67,000 - 67,000		
Total, Veterans Health Administration	51,141,823 (579,838)	53,049,774 (508,774)	53,071,774 (530,774)	53,122,000 (581,000)	+ 1,980,177 (+ 1,162) + 49,000	+ 72,226 (+ 72,226)	+ 50,226 (+ 50,226)
Advance from prior year	(48,086,732) (50,610,985)	(50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(+2,524,253) (+1,930,015)		
National Cemetery Administration National Cemetery Administration	249,500	250,934	250,934	250,934	+ 1,434		
Departmental Administration		-					
General operating expenses	2,529,207	2,466,989	400,500	431,257	-2,529,207 + 431,257	-2,466,989 + 431,257	+ 30,757
General operating expenses, VBA Information technology systems	3,140,604	3,161,376	2,020,128 3,025,000	2,018,764 3,161,376	+ 2,018,764 + 20,772 + 147,000	+ 2,018,764	-1,364 + 136,376
Office of Inspector General	1,148,734	109,391 589,604	109,391 589,604	112,391 589,604	+ 3,609	+ 3,000	+ 3,000
Kescission Construction, minor projects Grants for construction of State extended care facilities Grants for the construction of veterans cemeteries	— 75,000 466,765 84,830 45,908	550,091 85,000 46,000	475,091 85,000 46,000	550,091 85,000 46,000	+ 75,000 + 83,326 + 170 + 92		+ 75,000
Total, Departmental Administration Appropriations Rescissions	7,302,830 (7,524,830) (-222,000)	7,008,451 (7,008,451)	6,750,714 (6,750,714)	6,994,483 (6,994,483)	-308,347 (-530,347) (+222,000)	- 13,968 (-13,968)	+ 243,769 (+ 243,769)
Medical Care—Section 225 (Rescission)		-713,000 953,000				+ 713,000 - 953,000	
Total, title II	123,165,836 (72,825,851)	130,202,604 (78,374,604)	129,726,867 (77,185,867)	130,020,862 (77,479,862)	+ 6,855,026 (+4,654,011)	- 181,742 (- 894,742)	+ 293,995 (+ 293,995)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Hom	2011	Rudaat astimata	House allowance	Committee	Senate Commit	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+\ \mbox{or}\ -)$	ompared with
Itali	appropriation	Duuget estimate	iouse allowalice	recommendation	2011 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Rescissions Advance from prior year Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2013	(-271,000) (48,086,732) (50,610,985)	(-713,000) (50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(50,610,985) (52,541,000)	(+271,000) (+2,524,253) (+1,930,015)	(+713,000)	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES		(27,6)	(0.0,0)		(17)		
American Battle Monuments Commission	-						
Salaries and expenses	64,072 20,168	61,100 16,000	61,100 16,000	61,100 16,000	-2,972 $-4,168$		
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission	84,240	77,100	77,100	77,100	-7,140		
Salaries and expenses	27,560	55,770	30,770	30,770	+ 3,210	-25,000	
Department of Defense—Civil							
Cemeterial Expenses, Army							
Salaries and expenses	45,010	45,800	45,800	45,800	+ 790		
Operation and maintenance	69,058 2,000	65,700 2,000	65,700	65,700 2,000	-3,358		
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home	71,058	67,700	67,700	67,700	-3,358		
Total, title III	227,868	246,370	221,370	221,370	-6,498	- 25,000	
TITLE IVb—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS Military Construction, Army	981.346				-981.346		
					1.14.19		

Military Construction, Air Force	195,006 46,500				-195,006 $-46,500$		
Total, title IV	1,222,852				-1,222,852		
Appropriations Rescissions Advance from prior year Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2013 Overseas contingency operations (By transfer) (Limitation on direct loans)	141,204,329 (90,468,105) (-1,097,613) (48,086,732) (50,610,985) (1,222,852) (2,798)	145,215,021 (93,387,021) (-713,000) (50,610,985) (52,541,000) (3,519)	143,962,284 (91,759,684) (-338,400) (50,610,985) (52,541,000) (3,519)	(3,519)	+ 2,755,285 (+950,509) (+1,097,613) (+2,524,53) (+1,930,015) (-1,222,852) (+721)	-1,255,407 (-1,968,407) (+713,000)	-2,670 (-341,070) (+338,400)