## FALLEN HERO SURVIVOR BENEFIT FAIRNESS ACT OF 2001

May 15, 2001.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Thomas, from the Committee on Ways and Means, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 1727]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Ways and Means, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 1727) to amend the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 to provide for consistent treatment of survivor benefits for public safety officers killed in the line of duty, having considered the same, report favorably thereon with an amendment and recommend that the bill as amended do pass.

### CONTENTS

	Page
Summary and Background	2
A. Purpose and Summary	2
B. Background and Need for Legislation	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$
C. Legislative History	2
	2
A. Extension of Present-Law Treatment of Survivor Annuities with	
Respect to Certain Public Safety Officers Killed in the Line of	
_ 1	2
Vote of the Committee	3
Budget Effects of the Bill	3
A. Committee Estimates of Budgetary Effects	3
B. Statement Regarding New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures	
Budget Authority	4
C. Cost Estimate Prepared by the Congressional Budget Office	4
Other Matters to be Discussed Under the Rules of the House	5
A. Committee Oversight Findings and Recommendations	5
	5
C. Constitutional Authority Statement	5
	6
	6
	6
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill as Reported	6
	Respect to Certain Public Safety Officers Killed in the Line of Duty  Vote of the Committee  Budget Effects of the Bill  A. Committee Estimates of Budgetary Effects  B. Statement Regarding New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures Budget Authority  C. Cost Estimate Prepared by the Congressional Budget Office  Other Matters to be Discussed Under the Rules of the House  A. Committee Oversight Findings and Recommendations  B. Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives  C. Constitutional Authority Statement  D. Information Relating to Unfunded Mandates  E. Applicability of House Rule XXI 5(b)  F. Tax Complexity Analysis

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following: SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Fallen Hero Survivor Benefit Fairness Act of 2001". SEC. 2. CONSISTENT TREATMENT OF SURVIVOR BENEFITS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY.

Subsection (b) of section 1528 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–34) is amended by striking the period and inserting ", and to amounts received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001, with respect to individuals dying on or before December 31, 1996.".

#### I. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

# A. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

The bill, H.R. 1727, as amended (the "Fallen Hero Survivor Benefit Fairness Act of 2001"), equalizes the treatment of survivor annuities for public safety officers killed in the line of duty, regardless of the date of death.

The bill provides net tax reductions of over \$24 million over fiscal years 2001–2006.

## B. BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

The provisions approved by the Committee eliminate inequities in present law regarding the tax treatment of survivor annuities for public safety officers killed in the line of duty. The estimated revenue effects of the provisions comply with the most recent Congressional Budget Office revisions of budget surplus projections.

#### C. Legislative History

#### COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee on Ways and Means marked up the provisions of the bill on May 9, 2001, and reported the provisions, as amended, on May 9, 2001, by a voice vote, with a quorum present.

### II. EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

A. Extension of Present Law Treatment of Survivor Annuities with Respect to Certain Public Safety Officers Killed in the Line of Duty

(Sec. 2 of the bill and sec. 1528 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997)

#### PRESENT LAW

The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 provided that an amount paid as a survivor annuity on account of the death of a public safety officer who is killed in the line of duty is excludable from income to the extent the survivor annuity is attributable to the officer's service as a law enforcement officer. The survivor annuity must be provided under a governmental plan to the surviving spouse (or former spouse) of the public safety officer or to a child of the officer. Public safety officers include law enforcement officers, firefighters, rescue squad or ambulance crew. The provision does not apply with respect to the death of a public safety officer if it is determined by the appropriate supervising authority that (1) the death was

caused by the intentional misconduct of the officer or by the officer's intention to bring about the death, (2) the officer was voluntarily intoxicated at the time of death, (3) the officer was performing his or her duties in a grossly negligent manner at the time of death, or (4) the actions of the individual to whom payment is to be made were a substantial contributing factor to the death of the officer.

The provision applies to amounts received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 1996, with respect to individuals dying after that date.

#### REASONS FOR CHANGE

The Committee believes that survivors of public safety officers killed in the line of duty should all receive the same tax treatment, regardless of when the officer died.

#### EXPLANATION OF PROVISION

The bill extends the present-law treatment of survivor annuities with respect to public safety officers killed in the line of duty with respect to individuals dying on or before December 31, 1996.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE

The provision is effective with respect to payments received after December 31, 2001.

#### III. VOTE OF THE COMMITTEE

Ordered reported by voice vote, with quorum present.

# IV. BUDGET EFFECTS OF THE BILL

## A. COMMITTEE ESTIMATE OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS

In compliance with clause 3(d)(2) of the rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is made concerning the effects on the budget of the revenue provisions of the bill, H.R. 1727 as reported.

The bill is estimated to have the following effects on budget receipts for fiscal years 2001–2006:

ESTIMATED BUDGET EFFECTS OF H.R. 1727, THE "FALLEN HERO SURVIVOR BENEFIT FAIRNESS ACT OF 2001," AS REPORTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS; FISCAL YEARS 2002—2006

[In millions of dollars]

Provision	Effective	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2002-06
Extend the Present-Law Treatment of Survivor Annuities With Respect to Public Safety Officers Killed in the Line of Duty to Payments With Respect to Individ- uals Dying on or Before December 31, 1996.	pra 12/31/01	-4	-5	-5	-5	-5	-24

Note. Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

Legend for "Effective" column: pra=payments received after.

# B. STATEMENT REGARDING NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY AND TAX EXPENDITURES BUDGET AUTHORITY

In compliance with clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee states that the bill involves no new or increased budget authority. The Committee further states that the revenue reducing income tax provision involves increased tax expenditures. (See amounts in table in Part IV.A., above.)

# C. Cost Estimate Prepared by the Congressional Budget Office

In compliance with clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, requiring a cost estimate prepared by the CBO, the following statement by CBO is provided.

U.S. Congress, Congressional Budget Office, Washington, DC, May 11, 2001.

Hon. WILLIAM "BILL" M. THOMAS, Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 1727, the Fallen Hero Survivor Benefit Fairness Act of 2001.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Erin Whitaker. Sincerely,

BARRY B. ANDERSON (For Dan L. Crippen, Director).

Enclosure.

## H.R. 1727—Fallen Hero Survivor Benefit Fairness Act of 2001

Summary: Under current law, taxpayers may exclude from their taxable income certain amounts received as a survivor annuity on account of the death of a public safety officer in the line of duty. The officer must have died after December 31, 1996, and the annuity must be provided under a government plan to the surviving spouse, former spouse, or child of the public safety officer. H.R. 1727 would extend the treatment of these survivor annuities with respect to individuals dying on or before December 31, 1996. The Congressional Budget Office and the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimate that enacting the bill would reduce revenues by \$4 million in fiscal year 2002, by \$24 million over the 2002–2006 period, and by \$46 million over the 2002–2011 period. Because the bill would affect receipts, pay-as-you-go procedures would apply.

H.R. 1727 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

Estimated cost to the Federal Government: The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 1727 is shown in the following table. All estimates of the revenue effects of the bill were provided by JCT.

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—						
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006		
CHANGES IN REVENUES							
Estimated Revenues	-4	-5	<b>-5</b>	-5	-5		

Pay-as-you-go considerations: The Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act sets up pay-as-you-go procedures for legislation affecting direct spending or receipts. The net changes in governmental receipts that are subject to pay-as-you-go procedures are shown in the following table. For the purposes of enforcing pay-as-you-go procedures, only the effects in the current year, the budget year, and the succeeding four years are counted.

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—										
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Changes in outlays	Not applicable										
Changes in receipts	0	-4	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-4	-4	<b>-4</b>

Intergovernmental and private-sector impact: H.R. 1727 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

Estimate prepared by: Erin Whitaker.

Estimate approved by: G. Thomas Woodward, Assistant Director for Tax Analysis.

# V. OTHER MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED UNDER THE RULES OF THE HOUSE

### A. COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

With respect to clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives (relating to oversight findings), the Committee advises that it was a result of the Committee's oversight review concerning the tax burden on individual taxpayers that the Committee concluded that it is appropriate and timely to enact the revenue provision included in the bill as reported.

#### B. STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

With respect to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee advises that the bill contains no measure that authorizes funding, so no statement of general performance goals and objectives for which any measure authorizes funding is required.

# C. CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

With respect to clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives (relating to Constitutional Authority), the Committee states that the Committee's action in reporting this bill is derived from Article I of the Constitution, Section 8 ("The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises . . ."), and from the 16th Amendment to the Constitution.

# D. Information Relating to Unfunded Mandates

This information is provided in accordance with section 423 of the Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995 (P.L. 104–4).

The Committee has determined that the bill does not contain Federal mandates on the private sector. The Committee has determined that the bill does not impose a Federal intergovernmental mandate on State, local, or tribal governments.

### E. APPLICABILITY OF HOUSE RULE XXI 5(b)

Rule XXI 5(b) of the Rules of the House of Representatives provides, in part, that "A bill or joint resolution, amendment, or conference report carrying a Federal income tax rate increase may not be considered as passed or agreed to unless so determined by a vote of not less than three-fifths of the Members voting, a quorum being present." The Committee has carefully reviewed the provisions of the bill, and states that the provisions of the bill do not involve any Federal income tax rate increases within the meaning of the rule.

#### F. TAX COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

Section 4022(b) of the Internal Revenue Service Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (the "IRS Reform Act") requires the Joint Committee on Taxation (in consultation with the Internal Revenue Service and the Department of the Treasury) to provide a tax complexity analysis. The complexity analysis is required for all legislation reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means, the Senate Committee on Finance, or any committee of conference if the legislation includes a provision that directly or indirectly amends the Internal Revenue Code and has widespread applicability to individuals or small businesses.

The staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation has determined that a complexity analysis is not required under section 4022(b) of the IRS Reform Act because the bill contains no provisions that amend the Internal Revenue Code and that have "widespread applicability" to individuals or small businesses.

# VI. CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italic, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

# SECTION 1528 OF THE TAXPAYER RELIEF ACT OF 1997

# SEC. 1528. SURVIVOR BENEFITS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY.

(a) \* \* \*

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 1996, with respect to individuals dying after such date[.], and to amounts received in taxable years beginning after

December 31, 2001, with respect to individuals dying on or before December 31, 1996.

 $\bigcirc$