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## INTRODUCTION

1. Let $M$ be a metrice space of points $a_{0} b, \ldots$, with the dism tance from a to b denoted by $a b$. Let $A$ be a given subset of $M$. If $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are points of $M$ such that
(1) $p x>p^{i} x$, for every point $x \in A$,
we say that $p^{r}$ is point wise closer than $p$ to the set $A$. We say that $p$ is a point-wise closest point to the set $A$ if no point $p^{\prime}$ exists which is pointwise closer than pto A. For brevity we shall also refier to a point-wise closest point to the set $A$ as a minimal point of the set $A$. The seit of minimal points of $A$ will be called the Fejer set of $A$ and denoted by $F(A)$. This set is never void since $F(A)$ ? $A(A)$ is a monotone set function since

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \text { implies } F\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq F\left(A_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$, $p^{\text {P }}$ be distinct points of M. The set of points $x$ such that

$$
p x \geqq p^{9} x
$$

is called a halfospace of $M$ and denoted by the symbol $H=H\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$; $p_{s} p^{8}$ are its defining points or foci, p being exterior to $H, p^{p}$ interior. The convex hull $K(A)$ of a given set $A$ is defined as follows: If $A$ is not contained in any halfospace, we set $K(A)=M$. If there exist halfospaces containing $A$, then $K(A)$ is defined as the intersection of all such halfespaces:
(3)

$$
K(A)=\bigcap_{H \supseteq A} H
$$

Notice that $K(A)$ is always closed and $A \subseteq K(A)$. A further generol inclusion is
(4)

$$
K(A) \subseteq F(A)
$$

Indeed, if $p \bar{E}(A)$, then a point $p$ ' exists such that (I) hoIds. This implies $A \subset H=H\left(p, p^{1}\right)$ while evidently $p=H$. $B y(3) p \bar{\varepsilon} K(A)$ and (4) is established.
2. In 1922. Fejer [4], noticed the interesting fact that in the eucildean plane $M=E_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=K(\hat{A}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One half of Fejér': own argument has just been used to establish (4) for any metric space. The other half of his proof in $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ is as follows. Let us show that $T(A) \subseteq K(A)$. Indeed, if $p$ E $K(A)$, then there exists a halfoplane $H \subset E_{2}$ such that $p \in H, A \subseteq H$. If $p^{\prime}$ is the point of H which is nearest to $p_{\text {, then ( }}$ (1) evidentiy holds showing that $p \bar{\in} F(A)$.

The last paragraph allows of a wider setting。 Let M be a real inneroproduct space. By this we mean that $M$ is a real linear space whose norm springs from an inner product ( $a, b$ ) and that $M$ is complete with respect to the metric just described. Under these assumptions the convex hull. $K(A)$ is found to be identical with the least closed and linearly connected set containing A。 Fejér's aro gument applies unchanged to prove the following THEOREM I. If $M$ is a real inner product space and $A \subseteq M$, then
(6)

$$
F(A)=K(A)
$$

3. In Parts I and II we investigate the Fejer sets of firmte sets of points in Banach spaces. The notion of the global distribution of points on spheres is introduced (Definition 1) and Fejér sets are described in terms of this concept (Theorem 5). Fejer's result is shown to hold in rather arbitrary 2 -dimensional Banach spaces (Theorem 6). The situation is quite different for dimenstons exceeding two. A very weak form (2.1) of Fejer"s result is shown to imply that the Banach space is an inneroproduct space. In Part III we determine the Fejer set of a subset of sphexical space.
I. ON FEJÉR SETS OE FINITE SETS OF

## POTNTS IN BANACH SPACES

4. An application of Hellys theorem. Let B be a Banach space to be also denoted by $B_{n}$ in case its dimension $n$ happens to be fix nite. The Fejér set $F(a, b)$ of two points is described by

THEOREM $z_{\text {. In }}$ any space $B$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b)=E(x ;\|a-x\|+\|x-b\|=\|a-b\|) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Indeed, a point $x$ of E is clearly minimal for the set $\{a, b\}$, because the existence of a point $x^{0}$ point-wise closer than $x$ to $\{a, b\}$ would violate the troiangle inequality. On the other hard. If $\|a-x\|+\|x-b\|>\|a-b\|_{\text {, }}$ we can find a point $x^{0}$ on the segment joining a tob such that $\|a=x\|>\left\|a-x^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\|b-x\|>\left\|b-x^{\|}\right\|$。Thes proves (1.1)。

THEOREM 3. Let A be a finite set of points of $B_{n}$ ( $n$ foinite) and let us assume that $A$ contains at least $n+1$ points. Then

Where the elements of the union are frmea for every combination of $n+1$ distinct pointas of $A$ 。

Proof：Let $G(A)$ denote the set on the right－hand side of （1．2）．By（2）we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(A)=F(A) \tag{1,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume not that
（1．4）p G（A）
and let us show that $p(F(A)$ ，we．that $p$ is not mimimal．For eqery point a A the onvider the open sphere

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}:\|x-a\|<\|p=a\| . \tag{1,5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{0} D_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ be any distinct points of $A$ 。 By（I．L）
 for the set $p_{0}, \cdots, p_{n}$ ，or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p_{0}} r S_{1} \cap \cdots S_{p_{n}} \tag{1,6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spheres $S_{a}$ are compex sets，imite m number，every $n+1$ of which have a commor point，in view of（2．6）．By Helly＇s theorem（see［5］）

$$
\bigcap_{A} S_{z} p 0
$$

If $p^{2}$ \＆$\cap S_{a^{2}}$ then $p^{2}$ \＆$S_{a^{3}}$ for every $a, ~ o r\left\|p^{\infty}\right\|>\left\|p^{\beta}=a\right\|$ for eqery at A。Hence p $F(A)$ 。 Thus $G(A) \geq F(A)$ 。In fiew of（1．3）， the identity（1．2）is established．

An example，Let $B_{n}=M_{n}$ be the Minkowski space of points $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ with the moubical norm $\|x\|=m a x\left|x_{2}\right|$ 。 In this particular case the result of Theorem 2 may be improred as fibilows：

If $A$ is a finite set of points in $M_{n}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A) \approx U F\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the union is formed for all pairs of distinct points of $A$.
Indeed, in this special case the spheres (1.5) are open cubes with edges parallel to the axes of coordinates. On repeating the argument used in proving Theorem 3 we find that every two among the cubes have a common point. If follows that the projections of the cubes on each coordinate axis have a common point and that therefore also 211 cubes have a comon point.

Let $n=3$ and let $A=\{a, b, c\}$, where $(1,8) \quad a=(2,0,0), \quad b=(0,2,0), \quad c=(0,0,2) \quad$. By (1.7) we have

$$
F(a, b, c)=F(a, b)+F(a, c)+F(b, c)
$$

The inspection of a diagram will show that the three rightohand side sets are lozenges: $F\left(a_{s} b\right)$ is the lozenge of consecutive vero tices $(2,0,0),(1,1,1),(0,2,0),(1,1,-1)$. Notice in paro ticular the curious fact that the centroid $(2 / 3,2 / 3,2 / 3)$ of the triangle $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is not a point ot the Fejér set $F(a, b, c)$. However the point $p=(1,1,1)$ does belong to $F(a, b, c)$. We shall say that, $a, b, c$ are globally distributed on the sphere $\|x=p\|=1$ and investigate the general concept in our next section.
5. On points globaliy distributed on spheres and their chare acterization. DeFrNiTION 1. Let $B$ be a Banach space and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:\|x-c\|=x \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the surface of the sphere of radius $r$ and center at $c$. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k}$ be $k$ points of $S$. We shall say that the points $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$ are globally distributed on $S$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \in F\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words: There is no solid sphere $\left\|x^{*} c^{\eta}\right\| \leq r^{\gamma}$, of a smaller radius $r^{\prime}<r$, which covers all points $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$.

In what follows we denote by $[a, b)$ the halfoopen segment of points $a(1-t)+b t(0 \leq t<1)$, joining $a$ with $b$, and use similar notations $[a, b],(a, b)$, for closed or open segments.

DEFINITION 2. Let $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$ be $k$ points on the sphere (1.9) of Definition 1 . We say that the points $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$ are well visible provided there exists a point qutside $S$, $\|q-c\|>r$, such that the segments $\left[q_{,} p_{i}\right)$ contain only points exterior to $S$ and that there are points $s_{i}$ such that $p_{i} \in\left(q_{,} s_{i}\right)$ and that the segments ( $p_{i}, s_{i}$ ] have only points interior to $S$.

Example. In $E_{2} k$ points on a circle $\|x-c\|=r$ are well visible if and only if they are contained in an open halfecircle. The general relation between Definitions 1 and 2 is shown by the following THEOREM 4. The points $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots \circ p_{k}$ on $S$ are globally dis tributed on $S$ if and only if they are not well visible.

Proof: a. The condition is necessary. Indeed, suppose that our condition is not satisfied and, on the contrary, the points $p_{i}$ are well visible from the outside point $q$. By Definition 2 we can extend the segment $\left[q_{,}, p_{i}\right]$ by a segment ( $\left.p_{i}, s_{i}\right]$ all points of which are interior to $S$. Let us now "shrink" S from the center of similitude $q$ in the ratio $I: \lambda(0<\lambda<I)$, obtaining a new sphere
$S^{\prime}$ of radius $r^{\prime}=r \lambda<r_{0}$ Let the segment ( $p_{i}, s_{i}$ ) be transformed into ( $p_{i}^{i}, s_{i}^{i}$ ) by this similitude transformation. Since ( $p_{i}, s_{i}$ ) is interior to $S,\left(p_{i}^{\prime}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ will be interior to $S^{\prime}$. It is clear that

$$
p_{i} \in\left(p_{i}^{q}, s_{i}^{\eta}\right), \quad(i=1, \cdots, k),
$$

provided that $\lambda$ is sufficiently close to unity. But then all $p_{i}$ are inside $S^{8}$, showing that $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$ are not globally distributed on S.
b. The condition is sufficient. Indeed, let us assume that $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$ are not globally distributed on $S$ and let us conclude that they are well visible. Accordingly, let $p_{i}$ be covered by a sphere $S_{1}$ of radius $r_{1}<r$. Inflate $S_{1}$ slightly from its center into a larger sphere of radius $r^{\circ}<r$. Now all points $p_{i}$ are interior to $S$. Let $c^{\prime}$ be the center of the sphere $S$. Since the $p_{i}$ are on $S$ and inside $S^{\prime}$, we must have $c^{\prime} \neq c$. The spheres $S$ and Si are similar with respect to the (exterior) center of similitude

$$
q=\frac{r c^{i} \infty r^{i} c}{r-r^{1}} .
$$

We denote by $p^{\prime \prime}=T p$ the similitude transformation with center at $q$ and ratio $x: x^{\beta}$ 。 Let $p_{i}^{0}=T p_{i}$. Since $p_{i}$ is on $S, p_{i}^{i}$ is on $S^{1}$. But $p_{i}$ is by construction inside $S$ : It follows that all points of $\left(p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i}\right.$ ] are inside $S^{i}$ 。 Let $s_{i}$ be such that

$$
p_{i}=T s_{i}
$$

Now ( $p_{i}, s_{i}$ ] goes over into ( $p_{i}^{i}, p_{i}$ ] by our transformation. Since ( $p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i}$ ] was shown to be inside $S^{?}$, it follows that ( $\left.p_{i}, s_{i}\right]$ has only points interior to $S$. We now claim that all points of ( $q, p_{i}$ )
are outside S. For if any point of this segment were inside or on $S_{\text {, }}$ the fact that $s_{i}$ is inside $S$ would imply that also $p_{i}$ were inside $S_{\text {, }}$ which is not the case. We have just shown that $\left[q, p_{i}\right.$ ) is outside $S,\left(p_{i}, S_{i}\right]$ is inside $S$, $(i=1, \ldots, k)$. But this is pre ${ }^{-}$ cisely what we mean when we say that the points $p_{i}$ are well visible from $q$.
6. A description of Fejér sets in terms of global distribution.

THEOREM 5. Let $A=\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}\right\}$ be a finite set of points of $B$. Let $p \bar{\varepsilon} A$. Draw about $p$ as center a sphere

$$
S:\|x-p\|=x
$$

and project $p_{i}$ from $p$ onto the surface $S$ into $q_{i}$. Denote by $F_{1}(A)$ the set of those points $p$ such that the points $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}$ are globally distributed on S. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=A+F_{1}(A) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have to show that $p \in F(A)$ if and only if the $q_{i}$ are globally distributed on $S$ or equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \bar{\epsilon} F(A) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if
(1.13) $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{k}$ are not globally distributed on $S$.

The size of the radius $r>0$ is clearly immaterial.
a. Let us assume (1.13) and prove (1.12). Choose $x$ such that

$$
r<\min _{i}^{i}\left\|p^{-} p_{i}\right\|
$$

The assumption (I.13) means that $p \overline{\mathcal{E}} F\left(q_{1}, \cdots, q_{k}\right)$ 。 Therefore there exists a point $p^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left\|q_{i}-p\right\|>\left\|q_{i}-p^{\prime}\right\|, \quad(i=1, \cdots, k)
$$

But then

$$
\left\|p_{i}-p\right\|=\left\|p_{i}-q_{i}\right\|+\left\|q_{i}-p\right\|>\left\|p_{i}-q_{i}\right\|+\left\|q_{i}-p^{i}\right\| \geqslant\left\|p_{i}-p^{p}\right\|
$$

or

$$
\left\|p_{i}-p\right\|>\left\|p_{i}-p^{\prime}\right\| .
$$

which proves (1.12).
b. We now assume that (1.12) holds and wish to prove
(1.13). Choose $r$ such that

$$
r>\max _{i}\left\|p^{\infty} p_{i}\right\| .
$$

By (1.12) there is a point $p^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left\|p-p_{\mathfrak{i}}\right\|>\left\|p^{\prime}-p_{i}\right\|, \text { for all } i
$$

Hence

$$
r=\left\|p-q_{i}\right\|=\left\|p-p_{i}\right\|+\left\|p_{i}-q_{i}\right\|>\left\|p^{i}-p_{i}\right\|+\left\|p_{i}-q_{i}\right\| \geqslant\left\|p^{i}-q_{i}\right\|
$$

or

$$
\left\|p^{i}-q_{i}\right\|<r, \text { for all } i .
$$

Setting

$$
r^{\prime}=\max _{i}\left\|p^{i-q_{i}}\right\|<r
$$

we see that the smaller sphere $\left\|x-p^{\prime}\right\| \leq r^{8}$ will cover all points $q_{i}$, which proves (1.13).
7. On Fejér sets in $B_{2}$. $A_{s}$ an application of Theorem 4 we wish to describe the Fejér sets of finite sets of points in a

Minkowski plane $\mathrm{B}_{2}$. By Theorem 2 we conclude the following: The Fejer set $F(a, b)$ is identical with the segment [a,b], for every pair of points $a, b$, if and only if the norm of $B_{2}$ has the property:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|p\|+\|q\|=\|p+q\|, \quad p \neq 0, \quad q \neq 0  \tag{1.14}\\
& \text { imply that } p=\alpha q, \quad(\alpha>0)
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that ( 1.14 ) holds if and only if the gauge-curve $\|x\|=1$ contains no segment, in which case the curve $\|x\|=1$ may be described as being "round."

We assume ( 1.14 ) to hold and consider in $B_{2}$ a set $A=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}$ of three points. Let $p \bar{E} A$ and let $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ be the points on the gauge-curve $S$ as described in Theorem 4. By Theorem $5 \mathrm{p} \bar{\epsilon} F\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)$ if and only if $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ are not globally distributed on $S$. By Theorem 4 this is the case if and only if $q_{1}, q_{2} \cdot q_{3}$ are well visible (from a point q outside $S$ ). $S$ being "round," this is evidently the case if and only if $p$ is outside the closed triangle $\Delta\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ or, equivalently, outside the triangle $\Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$. Thus

$$
F\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)
$$

Applying Theorem 3 we obtain
THEOREN 6. Let $B_{2}$ be a Minkowski plane with the property (1.14). The Fejer set of a finite set of points is identical with the closed convex polygon spanned by the set.

This result is a special property of 2-dimensional Banach spaces as will be shown in Part II.

## II. A CHARACTERIZATION OF INNER-PRODUCT SPACES

8. The main theorem. Let $M$ be the inner-product space of Theorem 1 and let the set $A$ consist of three points, $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$, distinct or not. Then $K(A)$, being identical with the least closed and linearly connected set containing these points, is evidently the triangle $\Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ having as vertices the points $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$. By Theorem 1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now M be an arbitrary Banach space。 By Theorem 6 we know that (2.1) again holds provided that $B=B_{2}$ is 2-dimensional and that its metric has the property (1.14). Which higher-dimensional Banach spaces B enjoy the property (2.1)? An answer is given by the following

THEOREM 7. A space $B$ of dimension $\geqslant 3$ has the property (2.1) if and only if it is an inner-product space.
9. A few lemmas. For the proof of Theorem 7 we need a number of results concerning the 3 -dimensional euclidean space $E_{3}$.

LEMMA 1. Let $S_{2}$ denote the surface of a sphere in $E_{3}$ with center at 0 . Let $C$ be a simple closed curve on $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and let $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ be the two open components of the complementary part: $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Let $\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ denote the least convex sets in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ containing $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, respectively。 If

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\bar{\epsilon} K^{*}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup K^{*}\left(H_{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $C$ is necessarily a great circle.

Proof: By (2.2) o $\bar{\varepsilon} K^{*}\left(H_{1}\right)$. Therefore o is either outside or on the boundary of the closed convex set

$$
\overline{K^{*}\left(H_{1}\right)}=K^{*}\left(H_{1}+C\right)
$$

We can therefore draw through o a plane $\pi$ which is a bounding plane or a plane of support of $K^{*}\left(H_{1}+C\right)$. Let $\Gamma=S_{2} \cap \pi$ and let $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ denote the open half-spheres in which $\pi$ divides $S_{2}$ : $s_{2}=\Gamma+h_{1}+h_{2}$. By our choice of $\pi$ and for a proper labelling of the $h_{i}$ we have

$$
h_{1}+\Gamma \supseteq H_{1} \text { 。 }
$$

Passing to complements on the sphere we see that $h_{2} \cong \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}$, and since $h_{2}$ is open on the sphere, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2} \cong H_{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $p \in h_{1} \cap H_{2}$, then $K^{*}\left(p, h_{2}\right)$ would contain the point o and by $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and (2.3) a fortiori

$$
0 \in K^{*}\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

in contradiction to (2.2). By (2.3) no point of $C$ is in $h_{2}$ and by (2.4) no point of $C$ is in $h_{I}$. Thus $C \cong \Gamma$ and therefore $C=\Gamma$ showing that $C$ is a great circle.

A seemingly more general version of Lemma 1 to be used below is as follows.

LEMMA 2. Let $S$ be the surface of a convex body in $E_{3}$ having the point 0 as center of symmetry. Let $C$ be a simple closed curve
on S and let $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ be the two open components of the complement: $S=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Let $\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), K^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ denote the least convex sets in $E_{3}$ containing $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. If

$$
o \bar{\epsilon} K^{*}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup K^{*}\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

then $C$ is a "great circle" of $S$, i.e., $C$ is the intersection of $S$ by a plane through 으.

Proof: Draw a sphere $S_{2}$ with center at 0 . Central projection of $S$ only $S_{2}$ with the center of projection at o reduces Lemma 2 to Lemma 1.

We turn to our last lemma which is due to Blaschke. Let $S$ be the surface of a convex body in $E_{3^{\circ}}$. We assume that the surface $S$ contains no segment, a fact which we describe by saying that $S$ is "round." Let $\vec{V}$ be a fixed vector and let us illuminate $S$ by rays of light all parallel to $\vec{v}$ in direction and sense. Let $C$ denote the light-shade boundary on $S$, that is, the boundary between the illuminated part of $S$ and the part of $S$ which is shaded. We claim that $C$ is a simple closed curve. Indeed, let $\pi$ be a fixed plane normal to $\vec{v}$. The orthogonal projection of $S$ onto $\pi$ is a plane convex domain $D$ whose boundary curve we denote by $\Gamma$. Let $p \in \Gamma$. The point $p$ is the projection of a point $q$ of $S$. Since $S$ contains no segment this point $q$ is unique and is readily shown to vary continuously as $p$ varies continuously on $\Gamma$. As $p$ describes $\Gamma$ the point $q$ describes our curve $C$ which is clearly simple and closed.

LEMMA 3 (Blaschke). If $S$ has the property that the light-
shade boundary $C$ is a plane curve for all possible directions of $\vec{v}$ then $S$ is necessarily an ellipsoid.

Blaschke proves this result ([1], pp。157-159) by assuming that $S$ is an "Eifläche," by which he means that $S$ is analytic and regular at all its points and has everywhere non*vanishing curvature ([I], po 147). However, the reader will have no difficulty in carrying through Blaschke's proof on the basis of our simplified assumption that $S$ is "round."
10. Proof of Theorem 7. We are to show that the property (2.1) implies that $B$ is an inner-product space. If $p_{2}=p_{3}$ then (2.1) implies that

$$
F\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\left[p_{1}, p_{2}\right]
$$

The metric of $B$ must therefore have the property ( $I_{0} I_{4}$ ): The gauge-surface

$$
\Sigma:\|x\|=1
$$

of $B$ is "round。"
Let $B_{3}$ be an arbitrary but fixed 3-dimensional linear subspace of $B_{0} B_{3}$ is a 3-dimensional Banach space whose gauge-surface is

$$
S=B_{3} \cap \Sigma
$$

In terms of a coordinate system in $B_{3}$ we can also think of $B_{3}$ as being a Minkowski space whose points are those of an $E_{3}$ which is metrized by means of the convex gaugemsurface $S$. By a theorem of Jordan and von Neumann [3], it suffices to show that $S$ is an ellipsoid.

We know already that $S$ is "round" because $\Sigma$ has this property
( $S \subset \Sigma$ and $\Sigma$ contains no segments). Let us illuminate $S$ from a direction parallel to $\vec{v}$. Let $C$ be the lightoshade boundary on $S$ and let $H_{1}$ be the illuminated part of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ the shaded part, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\circ \bar{\epsilon} K^{*}\left(H_{工}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let us assume for the moment o to be a point of the convex set $K^{*}\left(H_{I}\right)$ 。 $H_{I}$ being connected it follows, by a sharpened Version of a theorem of Fenchel, that $\underline{O}$ is the centroid of some three points of $H_{1}: p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$, say. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in H_{1}  \tag{2.6}\\
& 0 \in \Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

These conclusions, however, are contradictory with our previous assumptions, for on the one hand the points $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are well visible from a point at infinity in the direction $-\vec{v}$. From this it follows easily that they are well visible from a point $q$ at finote distance and sufficiently far out in the direction of $-\vec{v}$. By Theorem 4 we conclude that the points $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are not globally distributed on the sphere $S$ of the space $B_{3}$

On the other hand by (2.7) and our basic assumption (2.1) we conclude that $0 \in F\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$. Thus 0 is a minimal point of the set $A=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}$ in the space $B$. It follows a fortiori that

[^0] Let $H$ be a connected subset of $E_{n}$ and let $K^{*}(H)$ be the least convex set containing $H$. Then every point of $K^{*}(H)$ is a centroid of some n points of $H$. This result is due to L. N. H. Bunt. See [2] for Bunt's proof (pages 589-590) and for references.
that o is minimal point of $A$ with respect to the subspace $B_{3}$. Thus $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are globally distributed on $S$ in $B_{3}$, in direct contradice tion to the conclusion of our previous paragraph.

This proves $(2.5)$ and we may similarly show that

$$
\circ \vec{\in} K^{*}\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2 we conclude that $C$ is a plane curve and by Blaschke's Lemma 3 we learn that $S$ is an ellipsoid.

## III. ON FEJER SETS IN SPHERICAL SPACES

11. Let $\mathbb{M}$ be the real inner-product space of section 2 . We are now confining our attention to the surface $S$ of its unit sphere

$$
\|x\|=1 \text { 。 }
$$

By the distance $x y$ of two points of $S$ we mean the arc defined by

$$
\cos x y=(x, y), \quad 0 \leq x y \leq \pi
$$

If $p$ and $p$ ' are distinct points of $S$ then the closed half-sphere $H\left(p, p^{p}\right)$ may be defined by the inequality $p x \geqslant p^{8} x$ or equivalently by $(p, x) \leqslant\left(p^{3}, x\right)$ or $\left(p^{8}-p, x\right) \geqslant 0$. A being a given subset of $S$ we may now define the convex hull $K(A)$ as in section 1 .

THEOREM 8. Let $A$ be a subset of $S$. a. If there is no open half-sphere containing A then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=S \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. If there is an open half-sphere $H_{0}$ such that $A \subseteq H_{0}$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=K(A) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: a. In order to prove (3.1) we have to show that every point $p \in S$ is a minimal point of $S$. This is clear, for other wise there would exist a point $p$ ' such that (1) holds. However (1) implies that $A$ is in the open halfosphere $H_{0}\left(p, p^{8}\right)$ which contradicts our assumption.
b。 Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \subsetneq H_{0}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{o}$ is an open halfosphere defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}:(x, b)>0, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let us prove (3.2). However, the inclusion

$$
K(A) \cong F(A)
$$

or (4), has already been established in section 1 for any metric space. There remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A) \subseteq K(A) \tag{3,5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \bar{\epsilon} K(A) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \bar{\epsilon} F(A) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming ( 3.6 ) means that there is a closed halfosphere $H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \bar{\epsilon} \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{~A} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the half-sphere $H$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:(x, a) \geqslant 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the first relation (3.8) we know that ( $p, a$ ) $<0$. Choose $\in>0$
so small as to make sure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p, a)+\epsilon(p, b)<0 \text {. } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the open half-sphere

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\prime}:(x, a)+\epsilon(x, b)>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x \in A$ then $x \in H$, by $(3.8)$, and $x \in H_{0}$, by (3.3). The point $x$ thus satisfies the inequalities (3.4) (3.9) and therefore also (3.11): (3.12)

$$
A \subseteq H_{0}^{\prime}
$$

On the other hand (3.10) shows that

$$
\mathrm{p} \bar{\epsilon} \overline{H_{0}^{\prime}}
$$

But then clearly $p$ is not a minimal point of $A$. Indeed let $p$ ' be the symmetric of $p$ with respect to the hyperplane

$$
(x, a+\epsilon b)=0
$$

which bounds $H_{0^{\circ}}^{q}$ Clearly $H_{0}^{\prime}=H_{o}\left(p, p^{8}\right)$. By (3.12) we see that $p^{\prime}$ is pointwise closer than $p$ to $A$. This proves (3.7) and therefore also our theorem. Notice that the dimensionality of the space $M$, finite, demumerable or non-demuerable, does not affect our theorem.
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