Evaluation of the Hydro-Catalyst Corporation Pre-Combustion Catalyst

July 1973

Emission Control Technology Division Office of Air & Water Programs Environmental Protection Agency

# Background

Hydro-Catalyst Corporation of Colts Neck, New Jersey, contacted the Office of Air Programs and requested an evaluation of their pre-combustion catalyst. Data was presented from Scott Laboratories compiled using a 1972 Cadillac Eldorado. The results of this testing illustrated good CO control with possible NOx reduction. An evaluation of this device was initiated by the Test and Evaluation Branch.

# Device Description

The Hydro-Catalyst Corporation's pre-combustion catalyst consists of a bowl-shaped, dual-screened element which is fixed in the intake manifold. The screen element is made of a pair of screens of a planar configuration spaced about 1/16" apart. One screen is plated with cadmium and the other with nickel. The element is made as an integral part of the intake manifold/carburetor gasket and is suspended in the flow stream at the intake manifold/carburetor interface.

According to the literature supplied with the device, a catalytic effect on the air/fuel mixture was claimed to have caused and/or initiated precursors that influenced combustion. It was claimed that this precursory effect lowered vehicle octane requirement and allowed for more tolerance to lean carburetion thus affecting a reduction in emissions.

### Test Program

Three tests were conducted on a 1972 Cadillac Eldorado equipped with this device, using the 1975 FTP. A 5500-pound vehicle inertia was simulated throughout testing. Tests were conducted with and without EGR, with a capacitive discharge ignition, and with a standard coil.

#### Test Results

The emission results and carbon balance fuel consumption are presented in the attached table. The bag 1 and bag 2 data from the 1975 FTP results were used to calculate 1972 FTP results. This data shows no significant hydrocarbon or oxides of nitrogen control when compared with typical certification results. Although low levels of carbon monoxide were achieved during this testing it should be noted that choke action was set-up to be very much restrained. Driveability was poor during the cold start portion of testing. Typically one to three false starts were observed and accelerator pumping was required to keep the vehicle running at idle under these conditions.

Between the first two test runs and the last test run there was a large change in NOx, carbon dioxide, and fuel consumption levels. This difference is attributed to tuning performed by the Hydro-Catalyst Corporation's representative. Tuning included cleaning the EGR system and a change in EGR rate and replacement of capacitive discharge ignition with a standard coil system.

## Conclusions

- 1. No significant control of hydrocarbons or oxides of nitrogen was demonstrated by this device.
- 2. The low levels of carbon monoxide achieved during this testing were probably a function of choke setting.
- 3. Minimal choke action led to poor cold start driveability.

Table of Results

# 1975 Test Results (grams per mile)

Test #	<u>HC</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>co</u> 2	NOx	MPG	Comment
1	2.09	6.75	891.07	3.84	9.72	No EGR C.D. Ign.
2	2.47	6.99	936.60	2.79	9.36	EGR,C.D. Ign.
3	3.03	7.29	750.92	5.81	11.48	EGR, Ign, coil
1972 Test Results (grams per mile)						
Test #	<u>HC</u>	<u>CO</u>	CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>NOx</u>	MPG	Comment
1	2.56	8.91	930.17	4.05	9.32	No EGR C.D. Ign.
2	3.09	8.63	982.52	2.96	8.82	EGR, C.D. Ign.
3	3.25	8.84	791.90	5.90	10.87	EGR, Ign. coil
	1.9	19.0	~	2.4	8.1	'73 cert.data

#### Addendum

# Test Report 74-4

Evaluation of Hydro-Catalyst Corporation Pre-Combustion Catalyst July 1973

Subsequent to the evaluation of the pre-combustion catalyst supplied by the Hydro-Catalyst Corporation, routine calibration of the carbon dioxide instrumentation revealed that the instrument was operating inadequately during the evaluation. As a result of this situation the carbon dioxide levels and fuel economy reported are considered to be in error. This calibration problem does not materially effect the conclusions or the other emission data presented in the report.