WHEAT MILLFEEDS in LIVESTOCK RATIONS An Economic Analysis

120 Ag 8A Cop. 2

> AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIC REPORT NO. 219 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE . ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

S. DE U.

PROCU

OF AGRICULTURE

1372

APR 7

WREMENT SECTION

ABSTRACT

Wheat millfeeds are economically most important in high-protein dairy and beef cattle supplements and in poultry rations with metabolizable energy requirements of less than 1,300 kilocalories per pound of ration. Economic analysis suggests that the market undervalues wheat millfeeds in dairy and beef cattle supplements. Also, if the biological availability of the naturally occurring nutrients in millfeeds could be improved, their value in high-energy poultry and swine rations would be increased. To create and maintain a market demand for improved millfeeds would require adoption and enforcement of nutritional standards for these products and a concerted promotional and educational campaign to convince potential users of their worth. These conclusions are based on an economic evaluation of wheat millfeeds in a variety of livestock rations in four different market locations and time periods.

Key Words: Wheat millfeeds, Marketing, Linear programming, Ruminant feeds, Poultry feeds, Swine feeds, Nutritional requirements, Feed ingredients, Feed prices.

PREFACE

To provide better knowledge for planning and implementing programs for expanding market outlets and increasing the efficiency of marketing farm products, the Economic Research Service cooperates with the Agricultural Research Service in evaluating the opportunities for improvements on a wide range of agricultural products. Such evaluations are needed by agribusiness firms for judging whether research results are commercially feasible and by physical scientists for guiding their research programs.

This report is the result of a cooperative effort by scientists trained in economics, animal nutrition, and chemistry. It presents a comprehensive economic evaluation of wheat millfeeds in livestock rations and indicates the potential benefits of processing techniques that would improve the biological availability of naturally occurring nutrients in millfeeds.

The authors are indebted to members of the Millfeeds Research Committee, Millers' National Federation, for consultation and encouragement throughout the study and for assistance in compiling prices of feed ingredients in the four market locations in which economic analyses were made.

CONTENTS

Summary and conclusions	•	•	iv
Introduction	•	•	1
Method of analysis	•	•	2
Nutritional specifications for different livestock classes	٠	•	2
Nutritional coefficients of feed ingredients	•	•	5
Markets, time periods, and prices used for the analysis	•	•	5
Value of trace minerals and vitamins in millfeeds	•	•	10
Value of millfeeds in poultry and swine rations	•	•	16
Value of millfeeds in ruminant rations	•	•	24
Literature cited	•	•	29
Appendix 1:Derived nutritional values for wheat millfeeds	•	•	32
Appendix II:Some typical least cost rations		•	34

Washington, D.C. 20250

January 1972

Page

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Wheat millfeeds have their greatest market value in high-protein beef and dairy cattle supplements and in low-energy poultry rations. Although millfeeds are already used in many types of livestock rations, their use should increase further because of their high nutritive value and comparatively low cost.

Parametric linear programming, used to impute values for wheat millfeeds in broiler, layer, turkey, swine, beef cattle, and dairy cattle rations, suggests that the market undervalues wheat millfeeds in dairy and beef cattle supplements. USDA's Western Regional Research Laboratory, supported by the Millers' National Federation, is currently investigating ways of improving the biological availability of naturally occurring nutrients in millfeeds so as to increase their use in poultry feeds.

Increased use of millfeeds in poultry rations ultimately depends on the economic feasibility of improving nutrient availability. Laboratory improvements must be evaluated by feeding trials and the increased nutritional values compared with the costs of modifications. Even if evaluations prove the benefits of substituting improved millfeeds for other ingredients, demand for them would still have to be generated among poultry feeders. To create and maintain market demand would require the adoption and enforcement of nutritional standards and a concerted promotional and educational campaign to convince potential users of their worth.

Feed ingredient prices used in this analysis were averages for four different time periods in each of four markets -- Atlanta, Boston, Los Angeles, and the Tri-cities area of Davenport-Rock Island-Moline. The nutritional matrix for making this analysis -- including nutritional requirements for all classes of livestock studied and nutritional coefficients for ingredients used in the rations -- was developed at the Western Regional Research Laboratory. These requirements, coefficients, and prices can be used to evaluate improvements on other feed ingredients.

WHEAT MILLFEEDS IN LIVESTOCK RATIONS: AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

By Robert V. Enochian, Donald D. Kuzmicky, and George O. Kohler<u>1</u>/

INTRODUCTION

Wheat millfeeds of one type or another are used in most types of livestock rations. The specific types and quantities of millfeeds used depend upon the class of livestock and the availability and prices of millfeeds relative to those of all other feed ingredients that may be used to satisfy ration requirements.

In poultry rations, the largest use for millfeeds is generally in layer rations having low metabolizable energy requirements. Millfeeds are also used in layer replacement, starter, grower, and breeder rations for both chickens and turkeys $(5, 20) \cdot \frac{2}{2}$ They are not generally recommended for broiler starter and broiler finisher rations because the energy requirements for these rations are usually too high. Millfeeds are recommended in swine grower rations and in complete dairy and beef cattle rations, and they comprise a major component of most dairy and beef supplements (2, 4). Despite their widespread use, the full economic potential of wheat millfeeds has never been fully evaluated. The objective of this analysis is to provide millers, feed manufacturers, and livestock feeders with a comprehensive evaluation of the potential value of wheat millfeeds in various livestock rations. The analysis also provides livestock nutritionists and feed technologists with information on the value of improvements that might be made through new and improved millfeed-processing technology.

Several types of wheat millfeeds are produced and sold in the United States, including bran, middlings, millrun, shorts, red dog, and germ meal. Each is composed of various fractions of the outer layers of the wheat grain that are milled off in the process of making flour. <u>3</u>/ The specific type of

<u>I</u>/ Robert V. Enochian is Agricultural Economist and Officer in Charge, Western Research Office, Marketing Economics Division, Economic Research Service, Albany, Calif. Donald D. Kuzmicky is Research Chemist and George O. Kohler is Chief, Field Crops Laboratory, Western Marketing and Nutrition Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, Albany, Calif.

 $\frac{2}{2}$ Underscored numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 29.

<u>3/</u> Detailed descriptions of each of these fractions are contained in the Millfeed Manual, Millers' National Federation, copyright 1967, Chicago, 111. Official descriptions are contained in Feed Control, Association of American Feed Control Officials, Inc., pp. 91-92 (1967). millfeed produced in a given location depends largely on the demand in the market area served by the flour mill. In most regions of the United States, bran and middlings are the predominant types of wheat millfeeds produced. The major exception is the West Coast, where millrun predominates. Very limited amounts of shorts are sold in the Southwest. This economic analysis concentrates, therefore, on bran, middlings, and millrun.

METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Formulators of livestock feeds and feed consultants typically use linear programming for computer formulation of least cost feeds to meet specified nutritional requirements of livestock. An additional procedure, parametric linear programming, can be used to estimate the maximum price at which any ingredient will be accepted in a least cost diet and the affect that a change in price will have on the quantity of that ingredient in the ration. This is accomplished by assigning a high arbitrary value to an ingredient under study so that it is not initially accepted in the ration. The computer is then programmed so that it automatically reduces the price of that ingredient by small increments and recomputes the quantity that would be accepted in a least cost ration at each successively lower price. Comprehensive descriptions of the procedure are contained in (3, 7, 9, 25).

In this study, parametric linear programming is used to compute the quantities and prices at which the specified wheat millfeeds are accepted in different rations when market prices for other ingredients are used. These prices are then compared with the market prices for the millfeeds being studied.

The procedure involves specifying the nutrient levels of the ration for the livestock class being studied (i.e., the nutritional requirement plus a margin of safety); the nutritional characteristics of the available feeds, some combination of which will satisfy the ration specifications; and the prices of these ingredients. Linear programming is then used to find the particular combination of feed ingredients which meets the ration specifications at least cost.

Nutritional Specifications for Different Livestock Classes

Each class and age of livestock has different nutritional requirements to provide optimum health, growth, and rate of productivity. Because nutritionists and feeders do not always agree on what these requirements should be, published information frequently shows discrepancies. The ration specifications used for this analysis were developed at USDA's Western Regional Research Laboratory (WRRL). These specifications are a blend of information from a variety of sources (1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26). Values used for the nutritional specifications in the WRRL matrix for each class of livestock included in this analysis are presented in table 1 for poultry and swine, and in table 2 for dairy and beef cattle. Because of possible differences in regional requirements, some of these specifications may have to be adjusted.

				Qu	antity of ea	ach require	ment by typ	e of ration]	<u>I</u> Z	e de la composition de la comp
Nutrient or ingredient	: Unit :	:	Broi	iler	Pullet de	eveloper	: Layer, : light	: Turkey :developer	Swine	grower
	:	:	Starter	: Finisher	Broilers	: Layers	: breed	:14-20 wk.	: 60-100 lb.	:100-150 lb
Metabolizable energy, exact	:Kcal/lb	.:	1,350.0000	1,450.0000	1,250.0000	1,400.0000	1,350.0000	1,350.0000	1,420.0000	1,435.0000
Arginine, min.	: Pct.	:	1.2000	1.1600	0.7500	0.8400	0.8000	1.0200	0.1500	0.1400
Glycine, min.	; do.	:	0.9500	0.8700	0.6250	0.7000	0.6000	0.6800	0.0000	0.0000
Isoleucine, min.	: do.	:	0.8100	0.7800	0.4688	0.5250	0.5000	0.6500	0.5000	0.3700
Lysine, min.	: do.	:	1.1300	1.1100	0.6875	0.7700	0.5000	0.9500	0.7000	0.4500
Methionine, min.	: do.	:	0.4200	0.4000	0.2500	0.2800	0.2800	0.3300	0.3000	0.2100
Methionine + cystine, min.	: do.	:	0.8000	0.7700	0.4688	0.5250	0.5300	0.5600	0.5000	0.3500
Threonine, min.	: do.	:	0.7500	0.7200	0.4375	0.4900	0.4000	0.6600	0.4500	0.3200
Tryptophan, min.	: do.	:	0.2100	0.2100	0.1250	0.1400	0.1500	0.1700	0.1300	0 1050
Available phosphorus, min.	: do.	:	0.4500	0.4500	0.4018	0.4500	0.3000	0.5000	0.3000	0.1000
Calcium, min.	: do.	:	0.8000	0.8000	0.5357	0.6000	3.2500	1,2000	0.5000	0.5000
Calcium, max.	: do.	:	1.0000	1.0000	0.7357	0.8000	4.0000	1,4000	0.6500	0.6500
Added fat, max.	: do.	:	10.0000	10.0000	10.0000	10.0000	10.0000	10,0000	10.0000	10 0000
Fiber, max. <u>2</u> /	: do.	:	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100 0000	100.0000	100.0000
Xanthophyll, min.	: Mg./1b.	. :	3.6000	13.0000	2.6786	3.0000	7.0000	0.0000	0 0000	0 0000
Fishmeal, max.	: Pct.	:	10.0000	10.0000	10.0000	10.0000	5.0000	10 0000	10,0000	10 0000
Ethoxyquin, exact	: do.	:	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0 0125	0 0125	0 0125
Salt, exact	: do.	:	0.2500	0.2500	0.2232	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500
Mineral-vitamin mix, exact <u>3</u> /	: do.	:	0.5000	0.5000	0.4464	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0 5000
Cottonseed meal (41%), max.	: do.	:	7.5000	7.5000	7.5000	7.5000	7,5000	7,5000	7,5000	7 5000
Meat meal (55%), max.	: do.	:	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5,0000	5 0000	5 0000	5 0000
Meat-bone meal (50%), max.	: do.	:	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5 0000	5 0000
Feathermeal (85%), max.	: do	:	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2,5000	2,5000	2 5000	2 5000
Poultry byproduct meal	:	:			-		,,	2.9000	2.9000	2.9000
(55%), max.	; do.	:	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5,0000	5,0000	5,0000	5,0000
Alfalfa, dehy. (20%), max.	: do.	:	5.0000	5.0000	7.5000	7.5000	10.0000	10.0000	5.0000	5.0000
Rice bran, max.	: do.	:	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000

ų

Table 1.--Nutritional specifications for poultry and swine rations: WRRL matrix

1/ Rations with lower energy levels can be computed by proportionately reducing requirements for energy, amino acids, calcium, xanthophyll, salt, and mineral-vitamin mix. This was done for computations shown later in the report for broiler starter, layer, and turkey developer rations of lower energy levels than shown here.

2/ Although the fiber specification is not limited, the quantity of fiber in the ration is restricted by the metabolizable energy requirement to a range of less than 5 percent for poultry and 10 percent for swine rations.

3/ The composition of the mineral-vitamin mix varies for each ration. The prices used for these mixes in the economic analysis were quotations for typically available commercial mixes.

Table	2Nutritional	specifications	for	ruminant	rations:	WRRL	matrix
-------	--------------	----------------	-----	----------	----------	------	--------

	Percent of	each requirement	by type of ra	tion <u>1</u> /
item :		: :.	Beef :	Dairy
	Milking with	: Beef finisher :	supplement, :	supplement.
	roughage	: with roughage :	32% protein :	42% protein
:		Percent ·		
:			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
TDN, min. :	55.00	65.00	50.00	50.00
Total protein, min. :	11.00	11.00	32.00	42.00
Digestible protein, min. :	7.15	8.25	30.00	40.00
NPN, max. <u>2</u> / :	.64	.64	4.00	5,33
Calcium, min. :	. 40	.25	3.50	2.00
Calcium, max. :	1.40	•75	3.75	2.67
Phosphorus, min. :	. 30	.20	.30	. 30
Phosphorus, max. :	1.30	1.20	1.50	1.50
Salt, exact :	.50	.25	2.50	3.33
Trace nutrient mix, exact :	.50	.50	.50	. 50
Total fat, max. <u>3/</u> :	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Molasses, min. :	2.50	2.50	2.50	1.25
Molasses, max.	10.00	10.00	8.00	8.00
Dry matter, min. :	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dehydrated forage, min. :	2.00	2.50	5.00	5.00
Fiber, max. <u>3</u> / :	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Roughage, dry, min. :	40.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
Roughage, dry, max. :	50.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
Alfalfa, dehy. (17% protein) max. $\frac{3}{}$:	100.00	100.00	15.00	15.00
Alfalfa, dehy. (15% protein) max. $3/$:	100.00	100.00	15.00	15.00
Animal fat, max.	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Beet pulp, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Brewers grains, dried, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Citrus pulp, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Corncobs, max. :	20.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
Corn gluten feed, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Cottonseed hulls, max. :	20.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
Distillers grains, dried, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Malt sprouts, dried, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Rice bran, max.	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Safflower meal (20% protein) max. :	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
Soybean millfeed, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Wheat bran, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Wheat middlings, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00
Wheat millrun, West Coast, max. :	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00

In computing least cost beef and dairy supplement rations, all grains were restricted 17 from the rations.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ / NPN = nonprotein nitrogen; e.g., urea nitrogen. $\frac{3}{2}$ / For nutrients where the maximum specification is not limited (100%) the quantity in the ration is effectively restricted by other nutritional requirements and is always considerably less than 100 percent.

Nutritional Coefficients of Feed Ingredients

Feed ingredients used in formulating mixed feeds contain nutrients in varying quantities that are essential for satisfying the nutritional requirements of livestock. In formulating least cost rations through the use of linear programming, feed manufacturers assign values to each of the nutrients in each feed ingredient. The actual values of different lots of a given ingredient would have to be based on analytical values and biological availabilities of that lot. These may vary widely depending on location of production, the length of time the ingredient has been stored, its moisture content, etc. Under actual operating conditions, however, there is no practical way to analyze each lot of each ingredient; therefore, nutrient values used by a feed manufacturer are based on averages he considers apply to the commodities available to him.

The values assigned to the different nutrients contained in each feed ingredient used in this analysis were developed at USDA's Western Regional Research Laboratory (WRRL). These values are a blend of information derived from many sources (1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20, 22). Nutritional values for wheat millfeeds were derived mainly from the Millers' National Federation Millfeed Manual (10). The procedures used to do this are described in appendix I. The nutritional values used in the WRRL matrix for each ingredient are given in table 3 for poultry and swine feeds and in table 4 for dairy and beef cattle feeds. Some of these values may have to be adjusted to be representative for a particular region at any given time.

Markets, Time Periods, and Prices Used for the Analysis

In a competitive market situation the quantity of any ingredient that is used in a least cost ration depends upon the supply and prices of all ingredients that can satisfy the ration requirements. Thus, feed formulations that satisfy specified nutritional requirements can vary in ingredient composition from one location to another and from week to week, or even from day to day.

It was reasoned, however, that the potential use of millfeeds in various rations could be determined if their quantities and values $\frac{4}{4}$ in given rations were computed for a wide range of price conditions. This information would be useful in the development of marketing efforts to improve the demand for millfeeds. Such computations also would provide guides to research for improving the nutritional qualities of millfeeds by showing the rations in which millfeeds would probably have the best chance of increased use if improvements could be made in the biological availability of the nutrients in them. In addition, the information developed can be useful in guiding livestock feeders and smaller feed mills that are not using computer formulated rations.

^{4/} Value refers to the price at which millfeed will enter a least cost ration when prices for all other ingredients are fixed. This price can be determined through parametric linear programming.

	: :	A16-16-		: :		: :		:	:	
		dehvdrated:		: :Calcium:	•	: aluten :	Cottonseed	:Deflorin-	:	:
Nutrient name	Unit	(20% pro-	Barley	:carbon-:	lorn	:meal (60%:	meal (41%	:ated phos-	:Dicalcium	n: Fat,
	: :	tein) :	:	; ate :		: protein):	protein)	: phate	:phosphate	e:animal
	<u>:</u> :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			: :		:;			<u> </u>	•
Matabalizable energy	: : :Kcal/lb :	780.00	1 210 00	0 00	1 580 00	1 580 00	850.00	0.00	0.00	3,580,00
Protein	· Pot ·	20.00	10.00	0.00	8 50	60.00	41.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Arginine	· do ·	0.98	0 43	0.00	0.50	1.82	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
Glycine	· do ·	1 01	0.31	0.00	0.30	1.64	2.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
Isoleucine	: do. :	0.98	0.35	0.00	0.36	2.81	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.00
lysine	. do. :	0.87	0.29	0.00	0.23	0.95	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Methionine	: do. :	0.33	0.15	0.00	0.17	1.59	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.00
Methionine + cystine	: do. :	0.56	0.31	0.00	0.32	2.68	1.45	0.00	0.00	0.00
Threonine	: do. :	0.88	0.30	0.00	0.35	2.17	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
Tryptophan	: do. :	0.46	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Available phosphorus	: do. :	0.27	0.16	0.00	0.08	0.19	0.37	16.50	18.50	0.00
Calcium	: do. :	1.47	0.06	38.00	0.02	0.15	0.15	32.00	22.50	0.00
Fat	: do. ;	3.58	1.80	0.00	3.80	2.10	1.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Fiber	: do. :	20.20	6.50	0.00	2.50	1.30	13.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Xanthophy11	: Mg./1b.:	149.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	106.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Choline	: do. :	730.00	430.00	0.00	240.00	150.00	1,300.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin K	: do. :	6.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Alphatocopherol	: do. :	67.00	2.80	0.00	10.00	0.00	6.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin A	:МІШ./1Ь.:	164.00	0.00	0.00	3.10	7.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Riboflavin	: Mg./1b.:	7.00	0.59	0.00	0.50	0.70	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
Folic acid	: do. :	1.20	0.23	0.00	0.09	0.10	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Niacin	: do. :	25.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	25.00	18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pantothenic acid	: do. :	15.00	3.30	0.00	2.30	3.80	6.40	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 6 -

Table 3.--Nutritional values of ingredients used in poultry and swine rations: WRRL matrix

Table 3.--Nutritional values of ingredients used in poultry and swine rations: WRRL matrix--Continued

									:	•
	•	: .Eat bym		Fishmeal	Fishmeal.	:Fishmeal.:		:Methio-	:Meat meal	:Meat & bone
		ifat, ny	Feather-	herring	menhaden	:Peruvian :	Lysine	inine hy	-: (55%	:meal (50%
Nutrient name	: Unit	:uroryzeu	(85%)	(70% pro-	(60% pro-	:(65% pro-	(50%)	:droxy	:protein)	: protein)
	:	vegeLable	near (0)%:	tein)	tein)	tein)		analog		:
	:		. procerny .	cerny		:		:	:	:
			•		•					
H	Kcal/lb	;	1.078.00	1.332.00	1,246.00	1,160.00	0.00	0.00	900.00	900.00
Metabolizable ellergy	. Real/10.	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	85.00	70.00	60.00	65.00	59.90	0.00	55.00	50.00
Protein	: FGL.	. 0.00	3 94	5 30	3.60	3.38	0.00	0.00	3.50	3.15
Arginine	: 00.	. 0.00	L 76	4 60	3.88	4.29	0.00	0.00	7.30	6.60
Glycine	: 00.	. 0.00	2 66	3 00	3 10	2.96	0.00	0.00	1.73	1.49
Isoleucine	: do.	: 0.00	1 05	5 70	4.34	4.05	50.00	0.00	2.65	2.44
Lysine	: do.	. 0.00	0.37	2 45	1.99	1.93	0.00	80.00	0.66	0.53
Methionine	: 00.	. 0.00	2 35	3 18	3.22	2.81	0.00	80.00	1.33	1.10
Methionine + cystine	: 40.	: 0.00	2.55	2 88	2 34	2 45	0.00	0.00	1.68	1.44
Threonine	: do.	: 0.00	2.00	0.75	0 54	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.33
Tryptophan	: do.	: 0.00	0.40	2.00	2 00	2 80	0 00	0.00	4.00	5.00
Available phosphorus	: do.	: 0.00	0.75	2.00	5.00	4 20	0.00	0.00	8.00	10.00
Calcium	: do.	: 0.00	0.20	5.00	10 00	4.10	0.00	0.00	6.00	9.50
Fat	: do.	: 100.00	2.50	7.00	10.00	1.10	0.00	0.00	2.50	2.50
Fiber	: do.	: 0.00	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00
Xanthophyll	: Mg./ID	.: 0.00	6.00	1 820 00	1 400 00	1 680 00	0.00	0.00	890.00	990.00
Choline	: do.	: 0.00	400.00	1,020.00	1,400.00	1,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin K	: do.	: 0.00	0.00	12.00		1 50	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.45
Alphatocopherol	: do.	: 0.00	0.00	12.00	4.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin A	:MIU./ID	.: 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	2 40	2.00
Riboflavin	: Mg./16	.: 0.00	0.91	4.10	2.20		0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02
Folic acid	: do.	: 0.00	0.10	1.10	0.09	20.09	0.00	0.00	26.00	22.00
Niacin	: do.	: 0.00	9.40	40.00	25.00	29.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	1 70
Pantothenic acid	: do.	: 0.00	4.00	5.20	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	2.20	1.70

-7-

Table 3.--Nutritional values of ingredients used in poultry and swine rations: WRRL matrix--Continued

Nutrient name	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Milo (sorghum)	: Poultry : byproduct: meal (55% : protein):	Rice bra	: :Safflower n:meal (42% : protein) :	: : Soybean :meal (44% : protein) :	: : Soybean :meal (49% : protein) :	: : Wheat : HRW :	: : Wheat :middlings :	: : Wheat : millrun, :West Coast :
Metabolizable energy	: : :Kcal/lh :	1 505 00	1 260 00	670 00	770 00	1 020 00		1 410 00		722.00
Protein	: Pct.	8 50	55 00	13 50	42 00	1,020.00	1,050.00	1,410.00	/94.00	/33.00
Arginine	: do. :	0 33	3 20	1 12	3 65	44.00	49.00	0.50	11.90	12.60
Glycine	: do. :	0.30	2 93	0 74	2.05	1 80	2.24	0.59	0.64	0.85
Isoleucine	: do. :	0.40	2 33	0.53	1.68	2 17	2 1.99	0.53	0.68	0.69
Lysine	: do. :	0.21	2.57	0.65	1.00	2.17	2.42	0.45	0.36	0.38
Methionine	do.	0 17	1 16	0.32	0.68	2.50	2.00	0.33	0.50	0.51
Methionine + cystine	: do. :	0.32	2 11	0.52	1 20	1 20	1. 1. 1. 1.	0.20	0.10	0.19
Threonine	· do ·	0.32	2 03	0.05	1.35	1.29	1.44	0.53	0.4/	0.4/
Tryptophan	· do ·	0.10	0.46	0.22	0.07	0.72	1.91	0.36	0.41	0.41
Available phosphorus	: do. :	0.10	1 70	0.22	0.97	0.63	0.70	0.15	0.1/	0.17
Calcium	: do :	0.10	3 60	0.40	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.11	0.33	0.30
Fat	· do ·	2 80	12 00	0.12	0.54	0.25	0.20	0.04	0.08	0.08
Fiber	· do ·	2.00	2.00	15 20	1.00	0:50	0.90	1.50	4.00	3.80
Xanthophy11	• Mg /16	2.00	2,50	15.20	14.50	7.00	2.90	2.24	8.80	8.00
Choline	•	210.00	2 700 00	570.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin K	· do ·	510.00	2,700.00	570.00	1,600.00	1,240.00	1,250.00	440.00	685.00	697.00
Alphatacopharal	: do. :	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin A	; QO, ;	5.40	0.00	27.00	0.00	1.40	1.50	5.10	11.80	12.00
Vitamin A Dibeflevia	:MIU./ID.:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Kiboliavin Folio sold	: Mg./1b.:	0.54	4.00	1.20	1.30	1.55	1.40	0.69	1.58	1.52
rolic acid	: do. :	0.11	0.23	1.00	0.87	0.32	1.60	0.15	0.42	0.39
NIACIN	: do. :	19.00	40.00	140.00	11.00	12.00	9.80	23.60	77.10	69.00
Pantothenic acid	: do. :	5.20	4.00	11.00	22.00	6.60	6.60	3.90	9.90	9.50

-8-

1/	:				Nutrie	nt name				1. A. A. L.	
Ingredient"		: Total :	Digestibl	e:Nonprotei	n:Total:	<u> </u>	:Phos-	:Vitamin	:	: Dry :	Roughage
	: IDN	:protein:	protein	: nitrogen	: fat :	Laicium	phorus	: E	: Fiber	:matter:	Dry wt.
	:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Mg. per			
	: <u>Pct</u>	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	<u>Pct</u> .	<u>Pct</u> .	1Ь.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Alfalfa, dehy. (17%)	: 56.0	0 17.00	12.70	0.00	2.30	1.39	0.26	52.60	27.80	93.00	0.00
Alfalfa, dehy. (15%)	: 54.0	0 15.00	11.02	0.00	1.90	1.31	0.25	45.90	29.90	93.00	0.00
Alfalfa hay (15%)	: 50.0	15.60	10.80	0.00	1.90	1.48	0.23	23.90	28.20	90.00	100.00
Animal fat	:203.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	99.40	0.00	0.00	3.60	0.00	100.00	0.00
Barley, Midwest	: 74.0	0 11.60	8.70	0.00	1.90	0.08	0.42	16.50	5.00	89.00	0.00
Barley, Pacific	: 73.0	9.70	7.30	0.00	2.20	0.06	0.40	16.50	6.20	89.00	0.00
Beet pulp	: 66.0	00 <u>9</u> .10	4.10	0.00	0.60	0.68	0.10	0.00	19.00	91.00	0.00
Brewers grains, dried	: 61.0	25.90	19.10	0.00	6.20	0.27	0.50	12.20	15.00	92.00	0.00
Calcium carbonate	: 0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Citrus pulp	: 69.0	6.60	3.50	0.00	4.60	1.96	0.12	0.00	13.00	90.00	0.00
Corn, ground	: 78.0	0 8.80	6.50	0.00	3.80	0.03	0.27	9.00	2.00	86.00	0.00
Corncobs, dried	: 42.0	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.11	0.04	0.00	32.40	90.00	100.00
Corn gluten feed	; 74.0	25.30	21.80	0.00	2.40	0.46	0.77	6.70	8.00	90.00	0.00
Corn silage	: 28.0	3.20	1.90	0.00	1.20	0.11	0.08	0.00	9.80	40.00	40.00
Cottonseed hulls	: 37.0	3.90	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.14	0.09	0.00	42.90	90.00	100.00
Cottonseed meal (41%)	: 69.0	0 41.00	33.20	0.00	2.00	0.16	1.20	4.20	12.00	94.00	0.00
Deflor. phos. 33-18	: 0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.00	18.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Dist. grains, dried	: 77.0	29.10	23.10	0.00	8.90	0.20	0.55	13.80	11.50	92.00	0.00
Linseed meal	: 69.0	35.10	30.90	0.00	1.70	0.40	0.83	3.50	9.00	91.00	0.00
Malt sprouts, dried	: 64.0	26.20	20.40	0.00	1.40	0.22	0.73	1.90	14.00	93.00	0.00
Milo, steam rolled	: 71.0	11.00	6.30	0.00	2.80	0.04	0.29	5.50	2.00	89.00	0.00
Molasses, cane	: 68.0	3.20	1.80	0.00	0.10	0.89	0.08	2.50	0.00	75.00	0.00
Oats	: 70.0	9.00	6.70	0.00	5.40	0.09	0.33	9.30	11.00	91.00	0.00
Peanut meal	: 76.0	0 45.80	41.20	0.00	5.90	0.17	0.57	1.30	11.00	92.00	0.00
Rice bran	: 49.0	00 14.00	9.10	0.00	1.00	0.12	1.48	27.60	13.00	91.00	0.00
Safflower meal	: 50.0	21.40	17.20	0.00	3.90	0.34	0.84	0.40	32.30	92.00	100.00
Soybean meal (44%)	: 72.0	50 45.80	39.00	0.00	0.90	0.32	0.67	1.40	6.00	89.00	0.00
Soybean millfeed	: 56.0	00 19.20	14.50	0.00	6.10	0.38	0.19	0.00	28.00	93.00	100.00
Urea	: 0.0	281.00	266.00	44.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Wheat	: 78.0	11.60	9.20	0.00	1.60	0.03	0.36	6.20	2.20	86.00	0.00
Wheat bran	: 62.0	00 15.10	12.50	0.00	3.40	0.09	1.30	11.00	10.30	86.00	0.00
Wheat middlings	: 75.0	00 15.60	12.20	0.00	4.00	0.08	1.09	16.00	8.80	86.00	0.00
Wheat millrun, WC	: 73.0	00 16.10	10.90	0.00	3.80	0.08	0.99	16.10	8.00	86.00	0.00

Table 4.--Nutritional values of ingredients used in ruminant rations: WRRL matrix

 $\underline{1}$ / Percentages shown in parentheses refer to protein content.

To achieve the objective of estimating the value of millfeeds for a wide range of conditions, it was decided to compute values in various rations for four market locations and four different time periods. These market locations, time periods, and the rations used in the analysis are summarized in table 5.

Selection of markets for the analysis was made with the intent of getting wide regional representation as well as markets with a large demand for a broad range of rations. Thus, Atlanta was selected because it is important for broiler, layer, and milking rations; Boston for layer and milking rations; Tri-cities for layer, turkey, swine, and beef rations; and Los Angeles for layer, turkey, milking, and beef rations.

The four time periods selected (November 1966-January 1967; August 1967-October 1967; November 1967-January 1968; and August 1968-October 1968)<u>5</u>/ correspond to quarter years. The 11/66-1/67 period was characterized by unusually high feed prices throughout the country, the 8/68-10/68 period by low feed prices. Prices during the other two periods were somewhat between these extremes.

The quarterly price of each ingredient used in the analysis is a simple average of 13 weekly prices. These prices are based largely on once-a-week quotations by the Federal-State Market News Service. Where prices were not available from market news sources, they were obtained directly from feed dealers, mixed feed manufacturers, and livestock feeders in each of the locations. In the Tri-cities area of Rock Island-Davenport-Moline, most prices are based on quotations obtained directly from feed dealers and feeders rather than on market news quotations.

Average prices used in this analysis generally represent the bulk delivered price to the feed mill or feed lot operator. Prices do not include costs of further processing of ingredients such as the grinding or cooking of grains, nor the costs of formulating or mixing the rations. The price of each ingredient for the four markets and four time periods is given in table 6.

Value of Trace Minerals and Vitamins in Millfeeds

Although, as indicated in table 3, vitamins and trace minerals are present in nutritionally significant amounts in many feed ingredients, including millfeeds, no allowance was made for the value of these nutrients in this analysis. Requirements for individual trace minerals and vitamins were not included in the nutritional specifications for each ration (tables 1 and 2). To assure that requirements for these nutrients are satisfied, many

^{5/} For convenience, subsequent references to these time periods in tables and text will be by numerical notation: 11/66-1/67; 8/67-10/67; 11/67-1/68: and 8/68-10/68, respectively.

Table 5.--Markets, rations, and time periods for which economic analyses were made for different millfeeds $\frac{1}{2}$

	:		Market	
Ration and millfeed analyzed	Atlanta:	Boston:	Tri-cities <u>2</u> /: Lo	os Angeles
Middlings <u>3</u> /	:			
Broiler starter 4/ Broiler finisher Layer pullet developer Broiler pullet developer Layer, light breed 5/ Turkey developer (14-20 wk.) 4/ Swine grower (60-100 lb.) Swine grower (100-150 lb.) Milking with roughage Beef finisher with roughage Beef supplement (32% protein)	: X : X : X : X : X : X : :	x x x x x	× × × × × × × ×	· · · · X X X X · · · X X . · ·
Dairy supplement (42% protein) Bran Milking with roughage Beef supplement (32% protein) Dairy supplement (42% protein)	× ×	x 	× X X	· · · · · · ·

Time periods for all markets were 11/66-1/67; 8/67-10/67; 11/67-1/68; 1/ and 8/68-10/68.

Rock Island, Davenport, Moline (Illinois-Iowa).

 $\frac{2}{3}$ For the Los Angeles market the economic analysis is for millrun only. Analyses on this feed were for two different energy levels.

Analyses on this feed were for three different energy levels. 5/

and a second	Atlanta									
Feed ingredient	11/66-1/67	8/67-10/67	11/67-1/68	8/68-10/68						
	: :	<u>Dollars p</u>	er ton							
Alfalfa, deby, (20%)	: 75,80	66.40	64.80	51.20						
Alfalfa, dehy. (17%)	: 69.80	60.40	58.80	45.20						
Alfalfa, dehy. (15%)	:			• • •						
Alfalfa hay (15%)	: 37.60	35.00	39.20	34.40						
Barley	: 61.60	60.20	59.40	52.40						
Beet pulp	: 66.40	67.60	61.80	62.60						
Brewers grains, dried	: //.80	56.60	62.40	52.40						
Calcium carbonate	: 6.60	6.60	0.00	10.00						
Citrus pulp	52.00	42.40	43.00	40.00						
Corpools dried	18 00	18 00	18 00	18 00						
Corn alutan food	59 60	45 00	59 80	49.00						
Corn gluten meal (60%)	. 141 80	130 60	119 40	139 40						
forn silare	12 00	12 00	12:00	12.00						
Cottonseed meal (41%)	: 87.40	77.40	80.80	73.40						
Cottonseed hulls	: 34.00	32.80	32.20	27.60						
Deflorinated phosphate	: 69.40	70.00	70.00	72.80						
Dicalcium phosphate	: 93.40	, 73.80	73.80	73.80						
Distillers grains, dried	: 74.20	65.60	64.60	61.80						
Fat, animal	: 131.40	107.60	100.40	97.60						
Fat, hyd. veg. + animal	:	• • •	• • •	• • •						
Feathermeal (85%)	: 95.40	88.20	90.60	102.40						
Fishmeal, herring (70%)	:		•••	• • •						
Fishmeal, menhaden (62%)	: 155.60	136.40	126.60	145.40						
Fishmeal, Peruvian (65%)	: 155.20	133.80	127.40	139.20						
Linseed meal	:			1 0 0 0 0 0						
Lysine (50%)	: 1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00						
Mait sprouts, dried	: 62.40 107.80	50.00	51.00	43.20						
Methionine bydroxy analog	1 701 40	1 640 00	1 640 00	1 260 00						
Milo (sorabum)		49 80	48 40	45 80						
Molasses cane	: 35.40	37.00	37.00	36.60						
Oats	: 56.00	52.40	55.00	45.60						
Peanut meal	: 96.40	81.20	82.40	90.20						
Poultry byproduct meal (55%)	: 114.00	105.80	102.80	105.60						
Rice bran	: 61.20	48.40	46.40	44.80						
Salt	: 20.20	20.20	20.20	20.20						
Safflower meal (42%)	• • • • • •	• • •	• • •							
Safflower meal (20%)	:	• • •	• • •	• • •						
Soybean meal (44%)	: 89.40	85.20	80.60	90.40						
Soybean meal (49%)	: 99.40	91.40	87.60	100.20						
Soybean millfeed	: 71.80	50.60	64.20	57.40						
Urea	: 89.00	83.00	82.00	68.00						
wheat	: 60.80	51.00	52.00	43.00						
wheat bran	: 01.20	49.60	51.00	40.20						
Wheat millings	. 01.00	21.00	52.00	41.20						
wheat million, west coast										

Table	6	Feed	ingredient	prices	by	market	and	time	peri	od	l
-------	---	------	------------	--------	----	--------	-----	------	------	----	---

	: Boston									
Feed ingredient	11/66-1/67	8/67-10/67	11/67-1/68	8/68-10/68						
		Dollars p	ber ton							
(20%)	70 10	68 80	<u> </u>	54 20						
Alfalfa, deny. (206)	79.40	61 20	60 40	49 20						
Alfalfa doby (176)	/1.00	01.20	00.40							
Alfalfa bay (15%)	46 40	40 60	42.20	40.00						
Alfaild Hdy (10%) Parloy	65 20	63.60	61.60	54.60						
Bast pulp	55 80	67.00	53.40	54.80						
Browers grains dried	73.20	57.20	63.40	54.00						
Calcium carbonate	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60						
Citrue pulp	53 20	49.40	62.60	66.60						
Corp.	60 40	50.80	48.60	45.40						
Cornechs dried	: 23.00	23.00	24.00	22.80						
Corn gluton feed	: 69 40	61.00	58.40	56.80						
Corn gluten meal (60%)	: 144 00	133.60	122.00	142.80						
Corn gluten mear (00%)	12 00		12.00	12.00						
Cottonseed meal (41%)	: 103.00	99.40	94.60	93.40						
Cottonseed hulls	45 00	44,40	43.60	39.40						
Defloringted phosphate	: 77.20	77.80	77.80	81,80						
Dicalcium phosphate	: 100.00	84,60	84.60	84.60						
Distillars grains dried	: 81 60	68.20	70.00	64.60						
Distriers grains, dried	140 40	116.00	107.40	105.60						
Fat, annuar Fat hud yog t opimol	• 147.80	127.20	112.00	104.80						
Fat, nyo. veg. $+$ annual	110.00	106 20	102.40	120.00						
Feathermeal (05%)	181 00	170 00	162.20	176.80						
Fishmeal, nerring (70%)	. 152.00	132 60	131.00	138.40						
Fishmeal, menhaden (626)	192.00	125 60	119 60	129.20						
Fishmeal, Peruvian (05%)	. 95 20	82 00	93.00	91.80						
Linseed meal	1 250 00	1 250 00	1.250.00	1.250.00						
Lysine (50%)	· 61 40	50 00	50.80	42.40						
Mail sprouls, dried	104 80	100.20	89.60	94.80						
Meat and bone mean (50%)	· 1 701 40	1.640.00	1.640.00	1,260.00						
Mile (corchum)	. 59 20	57.40	56.00	53.00						
Moloccos cone	31.80	31.60	30.00	26.40						
Notasses, cane	. 43.60	43.00	45.00	36.40						
Vals Beenut mool				• • •						
Paulter hunroduct mool (55%)	. 125 00	112.40	116.20	110.00						
Plan har	. 129.00									
Rice Dran	. 43.00	43.00	43.00	43.60						
Salt Safflaven manl (42%)	• • • • •									
Safflower meal (426)	• • • •			• • •						
Sattiower meal (20%)	. 98.40	77.80	73.20	82.80						
Soybean meal (44%)	. 86.00	85.60	79.80	92.00						
Soupean mean (43%)	69.40	53.00	62.00	55.00						
Soydean millied	. 68 00	60.00	75.00	66.00						
UFea	68.20	58.40	59.40	50.20						
Wiedt	62.80	47.60	53.60	41.80						
Wheat Dran	. 65.00	52.80	56.00	47.80						
Wheat middlings	. 09.00	,	• • •							
wheat millrun, West Loast	• •••	• • •	• • •							

Table	6	Feed	ingredient	prices	by	market	and	time	periodContinue	d
-------	---	------	------------	--------	----	--------	-----	------	----------------	---

	:	Tri	-cities	
reed ingredient	11/66-1/67	8/67-10/67	11/67-1/68	8/68-10/68
	:	Dollars	per ton	
Alfalfa, dehy. (20%)	: : 74.80	64.80	64.80	49.20
Alfalfa, dehy. (17%)	: 68.20	54.20	53.80	41.60
Alfalfa, dehy. (15%)	:	• • •	• • •	• • •
Alfalfa hay (15%)	:	•••	• • •	• • •
Barley	: 53.80	52.20	51.40	43.80
Beet pulp	: 73.60	77.40	73.80	76.20
Brewers grains, dried	: 65.60	53.60	57.80	48.40
Calcium carbonate	: 11.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Citrus pulp	:	• • •	• • •	
Corn	: 51.60	45.40	41.60	39.00
Corncobs, dried	: 20.00	20.00	20.00	22.60
Corn gluten feed	: 58.00	45.20	51.00	41.00
Corn gluten meal (60%)	: 134.40	120.80	110.00	130.40
Corn silage	: 10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Cottonseed meal (41%)	: 90.40	84.00	82.80	83.40
Cottonseed hulls	: 31.40	30.60	29.80	25.20
Deflorinated phosphate	: 74.00	74.00	74.00	73.20
Dicalcium phosphate	: 87.60	80.60	80.60	80.60
Distillers grains, dried	: 68.20	54.80	58.00	55.40
Fat, animal	: 139.20	116.80	111.80	103.40
Fat, hyd. veg. + animal	:	•••	• • •	
reathermeal (85%)	: 116.20	104.60	102.20	110.00
Fishmeal, herring (70%)	: 197.80	170.00	170.00	182.60
Fishmeal, menhaden (62%)	: 163.40	147.60	142.60	156.00
Fishmeal, Peruvian (65%)	: 159.40	139.20	131.80	144.20
Linseed meal	: 83.00	79.80	80.60	79.40
Lysine (50%)	: 1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00
Malt sprouts, dried	: 54.20	43.20	44.20	35.40
Meat and bone meal (50%)	99.60	93.40	84.80	95.00
Methionine hydroxy analog	: 1,/01.40	1,640.00	1,640.00	1,260.00
Milo (sorghum)	: 4/.20	45.40	44.00	40.80
Molasses, cane	: 40.20	40.00	38.00	34.00
Vats "Docut mod]	50.60	46.80	49.40	39.40
Poultry hyproduct mod (FF%)			•••	• • •
Pige hash	138.00	132.60	129.20	132.00
	62.00	52.20	53.40	49.20
Safflower meal (42%)	20.20	21.40	21.00	22.00
Safflower meal (426)	• • •	• • •	• • • .	•••
Southean meal (1/19)	96 20	···		
Sovhean meal (49%)		02.00	//.20	88.20
Soubean millfeed	· 57.00	50.40 12 60	03.00	98.00
liras	80 02.40	43.00	55.00	4/.80
Wheat	58 40	19 00	/9.60	60.00
Wheat hran	50.00 56 Qn	40.00	40.60	40.40
Wheat middlings	58 20	42.00 44 ho	47.40	38.20
Wheat millrun, West Coast		UF**F	43.00	37.00
and a meridian product of the second se	•••	•••	• • •	• • •

Table 6.--Feed ingredient prices by market and time period--Continued

Food Instadiost		Los Ar	ngeles	
reed ingreatent	11/66-1/67	8/67-10/67	11/67-1/68	8/68-10/68
		Dellere	een top	
		Dorrars		
Alfalfa, dehv. (20%)	58.00	60.00	60 00	57.00
Alfalfa, dehy, (17%)	: 53.40	55.20	55.20	55.20
Alfalfa, dehy. (15%)	45.00	45,00	45.00	42.40
Alfalfa hay (15%)	: 39.60	34.20	36.60	30.00
Barley	: 58.80	51.20	51.20	50.00
Beet pulp	: 56.40	49.60	48.00	44.80
Brewers grains, dried	: 56.20	62.00	62.60	60.00
Calcium carbonate	: 11.00	10.40	10.40	10.40
Citrus pulp	: 50.00	46.00	46.00	40.80
Corn	: 59.60	52.20	52.60	53.60
Corncobs, dried	:		• • •	• • •
Corn gluten feed	: 71.40	60.00	58.00	63.00
Corn gluten meal (60%)	: 157.80	144.00	141.00	150.80
Corn silage	: 10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Cottonseed meal (41%)	: 76.40	82.00	78.00	76.00
Cottonseed hulls	• • •	•••		
Deflorinated phosphate	: 92.20	86.00	86.00	89.00
Dicalcium phosphate	: 99.00	100.00	100.00	106.20
Distillers grains, dried	: 83.00	85.00	85.40	87.20
Fat, animal	: 131.80	105.00	102.60	100.00
Fat, hyd. veg. + animal	: 146.60	127.00	11/.60	123.00
Feathermeal (85%)	: 110.00	102.00	94.20	97.80
Fishmeal, herring (70%)	:	•••	•••	• • •
Fishmeal, menhaden (62%)		107.00	101 60	1.12 00
Fishmeal, Peruvian (65%)	: 144.00	127.00	121.00	132.00
Linseed meal	91.00	92.00	92.00	93.00
Lysine (50%)	: 1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00	1,250.00
Mait sprouts, dried	. 101 20	95 60	92 00	98 60
Mathianing hydroxy analog	· 1 701 40	1 640 00	1 640 00	1 260 00
Milo (sorghum)	. 50.60	49 00	48.80	46.80
Molasses cane	. 30.80	30 40	29 00	25.00
Nate .	61.80	64.80	64.60	59,80
Peanut meal				
Poultry hyproduct meal (55%)	: 121.80	107.60	99.20	103.00
Rice bran	: 44.80	40.00	40.60	38.60
Salt	: 20.00	20.00	19.60	20.00
Safflower meal (42%)	: 81.60	76,40	76.40	77.00
Safflower meal (20%)	: 42.00	32.20	31.40	36.80
Sovbean meal (44%)	: 99.40	94.00	92.60	101.00
Sovbean meal (49%)	: 108.80	100.60	100.00	113.00
Soybean millfeed	:	• • •	• • •	• • •
Urea	: 92.00	91.00	77.60	79.00
Wheat	: 61.20	52.40	53.40	52.20
Wheat bran	: 62.00	47.60	50.00	45.40
Wheat middlings	•	•••		
Wheat millrun, West Coast	: 56.20	42.60	45.40	40.80
			·	

Table 6.--Feed ingredient prices by market and time period--Continued

feed manufacturers routinely add a specified quantity of the appropriate trace mineral-vitamin premix to each ration. These manufacturers consider that the amounts of these nutrients naturally found in the ingredients provide a margin of safety. Other feed manufacturers make allowances for the trace minerals and vitamins that are natural to the feed ingredients and thereby are able to produce somewhat lower cost rations.

Preliminary computer analyses showed that if allowances were made for natural trace minerals and vitamins found in millfeeds, there would be no appreciable affect on the level of acceptance in different rations. However, these analyses showed that if allowances were made for these nutrients, the parametric values for millfeeds would be about a dollar per ton higher than those shown later in this report.

VALUE OF MILLFEEDS IN POULTRY AND SWINE RATIONS

Once the specifications for the various rations and the nutritional coefficients for the different feed ingredients have been specified, least cost rations can be determined for a given market and a given time period. In addition, the price and quantity at which any ingredient will enter the formulation, and its affect on the use of other ingredients, can be determined through parametric linear programming.

To determine the prices at which these ingredients would be used and the quantities that would be used in specified rations, the price of each mill-feed being analyzed was allowed to range downward from a price that was initially set at \$200.00 per ton.

Figure 1 illustrates graphically the results of such an analysis for middlings in a broiler starter ration in the Atlanta market, using average prices for 8/68-10/68. The average market price for wheat middlings in this market and time period was \$41.20 per ton. This analysis shows that in a broiler starter ration, with specifications as given in table 1, wheat middlings would enter the diet at \$42.20, but at that price would constitute less than 0.4 percent of the ration.

As the price is lowered, more middlings will enter the diet, but the function is not continuous. Thus, the price must drop to \$41.50 before any more middlings enter the ration, and at that price the quantity of middlings is about 6.4 percent of the total ration. As the price continues to be lowered, more and more middlings will enter the ration until, at zero cost for middlings, about 41.5 percent of the ration would be middlings. Even at zero cost, larger quantities could not enter a least cost ration because specified nutritional requirements would no longer be satisfied. In actual practice, of course, such a situation would never occur.

Similar parametric analyses were made for all of the rations for the time periods and markets specified in table 5. When a given millfeed was analyzed, other millfeeds were eliminated from consideration so that one class of millfeed would not be competing with another. In actual practice,



Figure 1 -17all feed ingredients are allowed to compete with each other in computing least cost rations. Since prices of different millfeeds move together, it was decided that, for this analysis, the value of a given millfeed would be more valid if it did not have to compete with other millfeeds.

Summaries of the results of all the analyses made of poultry and swine rations are given in tables 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. These tables show the prices at which the millfeed being analyzed would enter the least cost ration in quantities exceeding 2.5 percent of the ration. Prices for quantities of less than 2.5 percent were considered to be only of academic interest. As indicated in figure 1, since prices for quantities in the high ranges of usage generally would be considerably below the actual market prices, they too are only of academic interest.

This analysis shows that in poultry rations millfeeds are the most suitable for rations with metabolizable energy requirements of less than 1,300 kilocalories per pound. Thus, in nearly 60 percent of the poultry rations analyzed, with metabolizable energy requirements of 1,300 kilocalories or less per pound, middlings or millrun made up 2.5 percent or more of the ration at or above the market price. On the other hand, only in less than 10 percent of the analyses of poultry rations, with metabolizable energy requirements over 1,300 kilocalories per pound, did middlings or millrun make up 2.5 percent or more of the ration at or above the price. Present practices in the utilization of millfeeds in poultry rations confirm these results.

Price variations of ingredients, represented by the different price periods, also are extremely important in determining the parametric value of an ingredient. Thus, during the "high" price period, 11/66-1/67, middlings or millrun made up 2.5 percent or more of the poultry rations analyzed, at or above the market price, in only 15 percent of the cases. During the "low" price period, 8/68-10/68, this situation occurred in over 50 percent of the cases; whereas, during the other two "average" price periods, it was about 40 percent. These results emphasize the need for selecting market periods that will reflect the value of an ingredient over a wide range of price conditions.

Some typical least cost poultry and swine rations with energy requirements that allow the acceptance of millfeeds at or above the market price are given in appendix II.

In the low-energy (1,300 kilocalories per pound) broiler starter ration, middlings came into the least cost formulation at higher than the market price in all price periods except the high-price period 11/66-11/67 (table 7). In such high-price situations, the nutrient requirements in poultry rations apparently can be supplied at lower cost by competing feed ingredients and therefore middlings are excluded from the least cost rations.

Middlings or millrun enter the low-energy broiler pullet developer ration at or above the market price in all markets and all time periods because the metabolizable energy content is never a limiting factor for

Table 7.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost broiler rations, Atlanta, Ga.

Time period	Market price for middlings	Prices constit <u>Broile</u> 1,300 <u>1</u> /	at which mi ute a minimu of the r er starter : 1,350 <u>1</u> / :	ddlings would m of 2.5 percent ation <u>Broiler finisher</u> 1,400 <u>1</u> /
	:	<u>Dol</u>	lars per ton	
11/66 - 1/67	61.60	50.70	49.10	23.30
8/67 - 10/67	51.60	52.30	45.50	19.60
11/67 - 1/68	52.00	56.50	43.70	19.00
8/68 - 10/68	41.20	44.90	41.50	22.20

 $\underline{l}/$ Metabolizable energy requirement of the ration in kilocalories per pound.

Table 8.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost replacement pullet developer rations in different markets

	: : : : : Market :	: Prices at which middlings would : constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent : of the ration			
Market and time period	price for : middlings : ; ; ; ; ; ;	Broiler pullet developer 1,250 <u>1</u> /	Layer pullet developer 1,400 ^{1/}		
<u>Atlanta</u>		- Dollars per ton			
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	61.60 51.60 52.00 41.20	66.10 66.50 78.20 44.00	30.70 43.30 38.40 41.30		
Boston					
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	65.00 52.80 56.00 47.80	76.80 80.20 77.80 65.90	52.50 32.40 32.00 38.80		
Tri-cities					
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	58.20 44.40 49.00 39.80	65.50 57.20 64.60 45.30	22.00 38.60 39.70 40.30		
Los Angeles ^{2/}					
11/66 - 1/67 : 8/67 - 10/67 : 11/67 - 1/68 : 8/68 - 10/68 :	56.20 42.60 45.40 40.80	66.80 56.60 56.80 57.20	28.10 37.60 38.60 36.00		

1/ Metabolizable energy requirement of the ration in kilocalories per pound.

2/ Los Angeles prices are for wheat millrun, West Coast.

Table 9.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost light breed layer rations in different markets

Market and time period	Market price for middlings	Prices at constitute of layer 1,250 ^{1/}	which middlin a minimum of 2 rations of di energy levels 1,300 <u>1</u> /	gs would .5 percent fferent 1,350 <u>1/</u>
Atlanta	: 	- Dollars	per ton	
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: : 61.60 : 51.60 : 52.00 : 41.20	55.80 62.20 62.30 44.90	49.50 41.80 41.40 36.70	27.80 29.90 36.90 23.80
$\frac{Boston}{11/66} - \frac{1}{67}$: : : 65.00	63.10 69.40	62.60 60.90	30.10 31.50
11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 56.00 : 47.80 :	64.80 53.80	55.70 52.00	35.00 29.70
Tri-cities	: : 	h2 60	h1 60	22 90
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 58.20 : 44.40 : 49.00 : 39.80	43.80 47.10 57.75 39.10	41.30 48.00 37.80	24.10 18.80 19.30
Los Angeles2/	:			
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 56.20 : 42.60 : 45.40 : 40.80	40.20 40.40 37.00 34.30	26.20 37.60 37.00 32.10	26.20 35.60 36.90 32.10

1/ Metabolizable energy requirement of the ration in kilocalories per pound.

2/ Los Angeles prices are for wheat millrun, West Coast.

Table 10.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost turkey developer rations in different markets

Market and	: : : : : Market : : price for :	: Prices at which middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of the ration for 14-20 week-old turkeys			
time period	: middlings : : :	1,250 <u>1</u> /	1,350 <u>1/</u>		
Tri-cities	:	<u>Dollars</u> per	ton		
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	58.20 44.40 49.00 39.80	56.20 51.10 61.60 42.00	21.50 38.60 38.30 39.80		
Los_Angeles2/					
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	56.20 42.60 45.40 40.80	52.10 55.70 55.70 52.70	32.00 38.00 38.60 35.90		

1/ Metabolizable energy requirement of the rations in kilocalories per pound.

2/ Los Angeles prices are for wheat millrun, West Coast.

Table 11.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost swine grower rations in the Tri-cities market

	dlings would of 2.5 percent ion
Time period : price for : Swine grower : S : middlings : (60-100 lbs.) : (1	wine grower 00-150 lbs.)
1,4201/	1,4351/
: : Dollars per ton -	
11/66 - 1/67: 58.2021.008/67 - 10/67: 44.4042.7011/67 - 1/68: 49.0042.608/68 - 10/68: 39.8040.20	21.80 49.60 48.20 41.60

1/ Metabolizable energy requirement of the ration in kilocalories per pound.

this ration (table 8). In the higher energy layer pullet developer ration, middlings or millrun are valued below the market price for all price periods and all markets, except for the 8/68-10/68 period in the Atlanta and Tricities markets (table 8). This exception was a period of very low prices for all feed ingredients. During such periods, middlings or millrun are competitive with other ingredients in supplying nutrient requirements at least cost.

Poultry nutritionists agree that delaying maturity of heavy breed pullets is necessary for most effective production of setable eggs. Most leading producers of pullets practice some form of restricted feeding. In addition to feeding a low-energy ration, "skip feeding" of a higher energy ration is an effective way of accomplishing this objective, and in some instances may be the least cost method ($\underline{6}$).

The parametric prices for middlings or millrun in the lowest energy (1,250 kilocalories per pound) layer rations exceeded the market price in all markets except Los Angeles, and all price periods except the period 11/66-1/67 (table 9). In Los Angeles, where millrun was analyzed, parametric prices were lower than the market prices in all time periods and for all energy requirements. The apparent reason for this is that the Los Angeles market usually has a wider selection of competing feed ingredients available that provide required nutrients at lower prices than millrun. In turkey developer rations, in both the Tri-cities and Los Angeles markets, the parametric price of middlings or millrun exceeded the market price in the low-energy ration in all time periods except the high-price period, 11/66-11/67 (table 10). As in the case of the broiler starter ration, during this period of high prices, the nutrient requirements can be supplied at lower cost by competing feeds and therefore middlings or millrun are excluded from the least cost ration.

In some rations, high-energy and relatively low-protein or amino acid requirements--such as in most swine rations--result in a relatively high price for middlings in most time periods (table 11). This occurs because large quantities of corn can enter the ration to satisfy the energy requirements, thus making it possible for bulky, relatively low-energy middlings to satisfy the protein and amino acid requirements at least cost.

The foregoing analysis shows that millfeeds are at best marginal for use in many of the high-energy poultry rations. The utilization of middlings and millrun in these rations probably could be increased if the availability of nutrients in these millfeeds were improved to their full potential.

If, for example, it was assumed that the nutritional values given for middlings in table 3 could be increased through chemical and/or physical modifications so that the metabolizable energy was 25 percent higher, and the protein, amino acids, and phosphorus were increased to 100 percent availability, the value of middlings in the higher energy poultry rations would be considerably higher. This case is illustrated by a calculation made for the Tri-cities market for the 11/67-1/68 period. As shown in table 9, the market price for middlings was \$49.00 per ton in this period and the price at which middlings would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of a 1,300 kilocalorie per pound layer ration was \$48.00. With the increased availabilities assumed above, the value of middlings would be \$54.50 per ton, which is \$5.50 per ton above the then current market price. Such improvements, if feasible, and if applied and generally accepted throughout the poultry-feeding industry, would surely have the effect of increasing the demand for millfeeds. Research to assess the technical and economic feasibility of such improvements--supported in part by the Millers' National Federation--is being conducted at USDA's Western Regional Research Laboratory, Albany, Calif.

VALUE OF MILLFEEDS IN RUMINANT RATIONS

As indicated earlier, millfeeds are used extensively in both dairy and beef cattle rations. To ascertain the value of millfeeds in dairy and beef cattle rations, parametric analyses were made for several markets and time periods. These analyses are summarized in tables 12, 13, and 14. The parametric prices shown in these tables are more nearly equal to the average market prices than was the case for the high-energy poultry rations.

For a complete milking ration with roughage, the parametric prices of millfeeds in Atlanta and Boston were somewhat lower than the market prices during all price periods (table 12). The only exception was for the period Table 12.--Average market prices and prices at which different wheat millfeeds would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of a least cost milking ration with roughage in different markets $\frac{1}{2}$

Market and time period	Market pri	: ces :	: : Prices at which middlings or bran : would constitute a minimum of : 2.5 percent of the ration		
	Middlings <u>2/</u>	: Bran	Middlings2/	Bran <u>3</u> /	
Atlanta	:	<u>Dol</u>	lars per ton		
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 61.60 : 51.60 : 52.00 : 41.20	61.20 49.60 51.00 40.20	55.50 49.00 48.20 44.80	54.00 48.70 45.90 41.80	
Boston	•				
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 65.00 : 52.80 : 56.00 : 47.80	62.80 47.60 53.60 41.80	47.60 46.40 48.60 40.20	47.60 47.20 49.40 41.00	
Los Angeles2/3/	:				
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 56.20 : 42.60 : 45.40 : 40.80	•••• •••	51.50 48.00 48.00 42.40	· · · · · · · · · ·	

Only one type of millfeed was allowed to enter the ration for each 1/ The TDN value for this ration is 55 percent. run.

The millfeed ingredient in the Los Angeles market is millrun.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ Prices for bran were not computed for Los Angeles. Table 13.--Average market prices and prices at which West Coast wheat millrun would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of a least cost beef finisher ration with roughage in Los Angeles 1/

Time period	: : Market price : :	: Prices at which millrun would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of the ration
	:	Dollars per ton
11/66 - 1/67	: : 56.20	53.00
8/67 - 10/67	: 42.60	51.00
11/67 - 1/68	45.40	51.00
8/68 - 10/68	: 40.80 :	49.40

1/ The TDN value of this ration is 65 percent.

Table 14.--Average market prices and prices at which wheat middlings and bran would constitute a minimum of 2.5 percent of least cost beef and dairy supplement rations in the Tri-cities market 1/

Ration and time period	Market pri	ces	: Prices at which bran constitute 2.5 percent of	middlings or a minimum of the ration
	Middlings	Bran	Middlings	Bran
		<u>Do</u>	llars per ton	
Beef supplement (32% protein)				
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	58.20 44.40 49.00 39.80	56.80 42.80 47.40 38.20	62.20 52.40 53.80 48.20	62.20 52.40 53.80 48.20
Dairy supplement (42% protein)	: :			
11/66 - 1/67 8/67 - 10/67 11/67 - 1/68 8/68 - 10/68	: 58.20 : 44.40 : 49.00 : 39.80	56.80 42.80 47.40 38.20	62.20 52.40 53.80 48.20	62.20 52.40 53.80 48.20

<u>1</u>/ In computing least cost rations for beef and dairy cattle supplements, all grains were restricted from the rations. The TDN value for each of these rations is 50 percent.

8/68-10/68--when market prices were at their lowest--at which time, parametric prices of both middlings and bran were higher than market prices. In Los Angeles, however, the parametric prices of millrun were higher than the market prices for all but the high-price period, 8/68-10/68, in both the milking and beef rations with roughage (tables 12 and 13). These results resemble those of poultry rations in which, during periods of high prices, nutrient requirements can be supplied by other ingredients at lower cost. Consequently, millfeeds are excluded from the least cost ration.

In all of the price periods, the parametric prices of middlings and bran for both the high-protein beef and dairy supplements in the Tri-cities market were higher than the market prices. However, the parametric prices were proportionately much higher when market prices were lowest (table 14). Although the magnitude differs, this relationship is consistent with that between the market and parametric prices for the complete dairy and beef rations discussed above. Some typical least cost ruminant rations that contain millfeeds at or above the market price are given in Appendix II.

In the Midwest, where most millfeeds originate, beef and dairy feeds usually are produced on a supplement (concentrate) basis. Millfeeds are used at maximum levels in these feeds, but supplies generally exceed the demand for use at levels that will satisfy ration requirements. Because of this, large quantities of millfeeds must be sold for use in other types of feeds in which their value is lower. Therefore, the market price for millfeeds is usually lower than the imputed values in beef and dairy supplements indicate.

As indicated earlier, research that would lead to improvements in the nutrient availability of millfeeds would increase their value in poultry feeds. Commercial production of improved millfeeds depends on whether the costs of a commercially feasible process are low enough to be covered by increases in selling prices, and whether the industry is successful in developing markets for improved millfeeds.

LITERATURE CITED

(1) Combs, G. F., and Nott, H.

pp. 181-184, 189.

- 1967. Improved Nutrient Composition Data of Feed Ingredients; Amino Acid and Other Specifications for Linear Programming of Broiler Rations. Feedstuffs 39:42, pp. 36-38, 43.
- (2) Crampton, E. W., and Harris, L. E.
 1969. Applied Animal Nutrition, 2nd ed. W. H. Freeman and Company: San Francisco, Calif.
- (3) Enochian, R. V., Kohler, G. O., and Kuzmicky, D. D.
 1971. Evaluating Research Improvements on Livestock Feeds Through Parametric Linear Programming. Cereal Science Today 16:6,
- (4) Feeds Illustrated.
 1966. Feeds Illustrated Beef and Swine Feed Nutrition Guide and Data Section for 1966. 17:8, pp. 19-34.
- (5) Feeds Illustrated.
 1966. Feeds Illustrated Poultry Nutrition Guide and Data Section for 1966. 17:9, pp. 13-40.
- (6) Fuller, H. C., Potter, D. K., and Kirkland, W. M.
 1970. Effects of Age at Maturity and Obesity on the Reproductive Performance of Broiler Breeder Pullets. Feedstuffs, Jan. 31.
- (7) Halloran, H. R.
 1959. Determination of Least-Cost Formulas with Electronics. Feedstuffs 31:18, pp. 39-40.
- (8) Hubbell, C. H.1967. Feedstuffs Analysis Table. Feedstuffs 39:21, pp. 44-45.
- Kohler, G. O., Saunders, R. M., Kuzmicky, D. D., and Enochian, R. V.
 1970. Biological Availability of Nutrients and Computer Evaluation of Millfeeds. Feedstuffs 42:3, p. 41.
- (10) Millers' National Federation. 1971. Millfeed Manual, (Rev.), Chicago, Ill. (In press).
- Miner, J. J., Greene, D. E., Waldroup, P. W., and Stephenson, E. L.
 1967. Amino Acid Content of Ingredients and Poultry Ration Restrictions. Feedstuffs 39:33, pp. 52, 53.
- Moran, E. T., Jr., Summers, J. D., and Pepper, W. F.
 1970. Nutritional Evaluation of Selected Milling Fractions from Wheats of Different Type and Geographical Area of Production: First Three Limiting Essential Amino Acids for the Chick and Performance Under Dietary Conditions Calculated Adequate. Poultry Sci. 49:371.

- (13) Morrison, S. H. 1968. 1968-69 Ingredient Analysis and Estimated Feed Value Tables for Beef and Sheep Rations. Feedstuffs 40:39, pp. Al-A22.
- (14) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council.
 1969. United States-Canadian Tables of Feed Composition.
 Publication No. 1684, Wash., D. C.
- (15) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council.
 1963. Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle. Publication No. 1137, Wash., D. C.
- (16) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council. 1966. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. Publication No. 1349, Wash., D. C.
- (17) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council.
 1966. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. Publication No. 1345, Wash., D. C.
- (18) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council. 1971. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. Sixth Revised Edition, Wash., D. C.
- (19) National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council. 1968. Nutrient Requirements of Swine. Publication No. 1599, Wash., D. C.
- (20) New England College Conference Board. 1966. Chicken and Turkey Rations. Published and distributed by the Cooperative Extension Service, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Conn.
- (21) Saunders, R. M., Walker, H. G., Jr., and Kohler, G. O.
 1969. Aleurone Cells and Digestibility of Wheat Mill Feeds.
 Poultry Sci. 48:4 (July).
- (22) Saunders, R. M., Walker, H. G., Kohler, G. O., and Kuzmicky, D. D.
 1970. Unpublished data. Western Regional Research Laboratory,
 U. S. Dept. Agr., Albany, Calif.
- (23) Scott, M. L., Nesheim, M. C., and Young, R. J.
 1969. Nutrition of the Chicken. Published by M. L. Scott and Associates: Ithaca, N. Y.
- (24) Summers, J. D., Moran, E. T., Jr., and Pepper, W. F.
 1969. Nitrogen Digestibility of Various Selected Wheat Fractions. Canadian Journal of Animal Sci. 49:105.

- (25) Taylor, R. D., Kohler, G. O., Maddy, K. H., and Enochian, R. V.
 1968. Alfalfa Meal in Poultry Feeds--An Economic Analysis Using Parametric Linear Programming. U. S. Dept. Agr., AER-130.
- (26) Waibel, R. E.
 - 1968. Amino Acids and Proteins for Growing Turkeys. Proc. 29th Minn. Nutrition Conference, pp. 149-155. Univ. of Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta., St. Paul, Minn.

APPENDIX 1. DERIVED NUTRITIONAL VALUES FOR WHEAT MILLFEEDS

The nutritional values of wheat millfeeds used in this report were derived from data in the Millfeed Manual, Millers' National Federation (10). This manual gives analytical values for bran, shorts, red dog, germ, and flour for nine different lots of wheat representing four different classes. It was decided that a separate economic study of millfeeds from each class of wheat was not necessary to arrive at acceptable conclusions about the economic value of millfeeds in different rations. Instead, the nutritional values of several lots of wheat were combined into an overall average for the different millfeed fractions. This seemed justifiable because the nutritional values given in the Millfeed Manual did not show consistent differences between samples from different classes of wheat. In addition, commercially available millfeeds are always from blends of different lots and classes of wheat.

Since nutrient analyses for commercial middlings and millrun were not published in the Millfeed Manual, their nutritional values had to be derived from analyses of the other millfeeds. Middlings may be considered to be a blend of bran and shorts, and millrun a blend of bran, shorts, and red dog. In wheat milling practice, the recovery of bran and shorts is approximately one part shorts and two parts bran. However, substantial quantities of bran are sold as such, and the remainder is combined with shorts and sold as middlings. Therefore, nutritional values used for middlings were computed by taking a weighted average of the analytical values of a blend of <u>one</u> part bran and two parts <u>shorts</u>. These values may be considered to be representative for most commercially available middlings.

Nutritional values for West Coast millrun were derived from analytical values for bran, shorts, and red dog and were weighted by the proportions of these millfeeds recovered from the milling of four types of wheat used for the nutrient analyses in the Millfeed Manual. The analytical values and recovery percentages of these millfeed products are given in the Millfeed Manual.

The weights used for combining the nutritional values of millfeeds from the different types of wheat to obtain average values for middlings and millrun are given in table 15. For middlings, this was a simple average from the six most important types of wheat; for West Coast millrun, it was a weighted average of the four most important types of wheat milled on the West Coast. Weights used for averaging millrun were based on approximate quantities of each type of wheat used in a typical wheat flour blend in West Coast mills.

All of the nutritional values for middlings and millrun in the poultry and swine matrix were derived by the above procedure and further adjusted because of digestibility problems (21). Because of these problems, the protein, amino acid, and vitamin values derived for middlings and West Coast millrun were assumed to be only 76 and 78 percent available to poultry and swine, respectively (12, 22, 24).

-32-

Table 15.--Percentage of different types of wheat used in calculating average values of nutritional components in middlings and millrun $\frac{1}{2}$

: Type of wheat :	Percentage of each type in each millfeed product
:	Middlings West Coast millrun
:	<u>Percent</u>
HRW - low protein :	16-2/3 20
HRW - high protein :	16-2/3 40
HRS - low protein :	16-2/3
HRS - high protein :	16-2/3 20
<pre>Soft red - average protein :</pre>	16-2/3
Soft white - average protein:	16-2/3 20
Total :	100 100

1/ Based on data contained in the Millfeed Manual, Millers' National Federation, 1971 (Rev.), Chicago, Ill., (In press).

The nutritional values used for middlings and millrun in ruminant rations were derived in the above manner, with no adjustments, except for TDN and digestible protein which were taken from the National Academy of Sciences sources (14). In addition, the nutritional values for bran in ruminant rations were based on a simple average of all nine lots of wheat analyzed in the Millfeed Manual except for TDN and digestible protein which also were taken from the National Academy of Sciences (14). Following are some typical least cost rations formulated on the basis of average market prices for all ingredients except for millfeeds. The prices used for millfeeds are the parametric prices at which they would constitute 2.5 percent or more of the ration.

Table 16.--Typical broiler rations, Atlanta, 8/68-10/68

Ingredient		: Percentage in ration				
		Broiler pullet developer 1,250 <u>1</u> /	Broiler 1,300 <u>1</u> /	starter 1,350 <u>1</u> /		
Wheat middlings Rice bran	:	6.7 5.0	9.4	6.4		
Corn, yellow	:	60.0	38.6	61.0		
Soybean meal, (44%)	:	10.9	32.1	20.4		
Fishmeal, menhaden, (62%) Meat and bone meal, (50%)	: :	- 5.0	7.1	9.3 1.2		
Feathermeal, (85%) Dehydrated alfalfa, (17%)	:	-	0.6	· – .		
Calcium carbonate	:		1.0	0.9		
Dical. phosphate, (18.5%) Deflor. phosphate Antioxidant	:	0.2 - 2/	0.5 2/	- 2/		
Trace nutrients and salt	:		0.7	0.8		
Total	:	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	:					

1/ Metabolizable energy requirement in kilocalories per pound of ration.

2/ 0.0125 percent.

	Percentage in ration			
Ingredient	1,250 <u>1</u> /	1,3001/		
Wheat middlings	: : 7.4	2.9		
Corn, yellow	: 64.2	71.4		
Soybean meal, (44%)	: 17.0	11.7		
Meat and bone meal, (50%)	: -	0.3		
Feathermeal, (85%)	: -	2.3		
Dehydrated alfalfa, (20%)	: 0.6	0.2		
Calcium carbonate	: : 9.2	9.5		
Dical. phosphate, (18.5%)	: 0.9	0.9		
мна	: <u>2</u> /	0.1		
Antioxidant	: <u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /		
Trace nutrients and salt	0.7	0.7		
Total	100.0	100.0		

Table 17.--Typical light breed layer rations, Boston, 8/67-10/67

Metabolizable energy requirement in kilocalories per pound 1/ of ration.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 0.0345 percent.

0.0125 percent.

Percentage in ration			
Tri-cities	Los Angeles		
5.9	5.1		
5.0	5.0		
55.0	-		
	59.1		
29.5	4.6		
	10.0		
· · ·	2.5		
	3.0		
	8.4		
: 2.2	1.6		
: 1.7	-		
: <u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /		
: : <u>0.7</u>	0.7		
: 100.0	100.0		
	Percentage Tri-cities 5.9 5.0 55.0 - 29.5 - - 2.2 1.7 <u>3</u> / <u>0.7</u> 100.0		

Table 18.--Typical turkey developer rations, 1,250 kilocalories, 1/11/67-1/68

<u>l</u>/ Metabolizable energy requirement in kilocalories per pound of ration.

2/ Middlings in Tri-cities and millrun, West Coast, in Los Angeles.

3/ 0.0125 percent.

-36-

Table 19.--Typical swine grower rations, Tri-cities, 8/68-10/68

	Percentage in ration						
Ingredient	60-100 pound (1,420) <u>1</u> /	1.00-150 pound (1,435) <u>1</u> /					
Wheat middlings Corn, yellow Soybean meal, (44%) Fishmeal, herring, (70%) Meat and bone meal, (50%) Calcium carbonate Antioxidant Trace nutrients and salt	$ \begin{array}{c} : & 8.3 \\ : & 76.3 \\ : & 8.2 \\ : & 3.7 \\ : & 2.4 \\ : & 0.3 \\ : & \underline{2/} \\ : & 0.8 \\ . & \end{array} $	3.3 87.3 3.8 0.5 3.7 0.6 <u>2/</u> 0.8	-				
Total	. 100.0	100.0					
	•						

1/ Number in parentheses is the metabolizable energy requirement in kilocalories per pound of ration. 2/ 0.0125 percent.

Table 20.--Typical milking and beef finisher rations with roughage, Los Angeles, 11/67-1/68

	Percentage in ration				
Ingredient	: Milking, 55% TDN	Beef finisher, 65% TDN			
Wheat millrun West Coast	: 20.6	16.2			
Pice bran colvent	. 14 5	-			
Milo steemrolled		30.2			
Molasses cane	10.0	10.0			
Dehydrated alfalfa. (15%)	2.0	2.5			
Alfalfa bay. (15%)	30.0	_			
Citrus pulp	; –	20.0			
Safflower meal. (20%)	: 20.0	20.0			
Calcium carbonate	: 1.9	0.3			
Salt	: 0.5	0.3			
Trace nutrient mix	: 0.5	0.5			
Total	100.0	100.0			

-37-

Table	21Typical	high-prot	tein	cattle	supplements,
	Tri-	cities, 8,	/67-1	0/67	

	Percentage in ration			
Ingredient	Beef supplement, 32% protein (50% TDN)	Dairy supplement, 42% protein (50% TDN)		
Wheat middlings	: : 18.6	16.8		
Molasses, cane	: : 8.0	8.0		
Corn gluten feed	: 25.0	25.0		
Malt sprouts, dried	: 25.0	254.0		
Dehydrated alfalfa, (17%)	: 5.0	5.0		
Urea	: 6.4	10.2		
Calcium carbonate	: : 9.0	6.2		
Salt	: 2.5	3.3		
Trace nutrient mix	: 0.5	0.5		
Total	: 100.0	100.0		