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## GLOSSARY

Annual peak. The highest peak discharge during a water year.
Bank. The margins of a channel. Banks are called right or left as viewed facing downstream.

Bankfull discharge. Discharge at which a stream first overflows onto its flood plain.

Basin characteristics. Includes physical and climatic conditions of a drainage basin. The basin characteristics defined for this study include:

Drainage area (A), in square miles, as measured by a planimeter on the best available topographic maps.

Main-channel slope (S), in feet per mile, determined from elevations at points 10 and 85 percent of the distance along the channel from the gaging station to the drainage divide.

Main-channel length (L), in miles, determined from topographic maps as the distance along the channel from the gaging station to the basin divide.

Area of lakes and ponds (St), expressed as a percentage of the drainage area. One percent was added to all values to avoid zeros in the logarithmic transformation.

Mean basin elevation (E), in thousands of feet above mean sea level, measured on $1: 250,000$ U.S. Geo1. Survey maps by laying a grid over the map, determining the elevation at each grid intersection within the basin, and averaging those elevations. The grid spacing was selected to give at least 25 intersections within the basin boundary.

Forest cover (F), expressed as the percentage of the drainage area covered by forests as shown on topographic maps. One percent was added to all values to avoid zeros in the logarithmic transformation.

Mean annual precipitation ( $P$ ), in inches, determined from Wyoming Water Planning isohyetal map (1965).

The maximum 24 -hour rainfall having a recurrence interval of 2 years ( $\mathrm{I}_{24}, 2$ ), in inches. This variable is an index of rainfall intensity. The values were determined from a U.S. Department of Commerce publication (1968).

Soils infiltration index (Si), in inches. This variable is an index of the infiltration capacity of the soil cover. The values were determined from data provided by the Soil Conservation Service (1964).

Latitude of the center of the drainage basin (Lat), in decimal form, less $40.0^{\circ}$.

Aspect (Ap), expressed as $2.0+\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle, from south, of a line passing in the direction of flow through the 85 and 10 percent distance points used in determining $S$. The constant of 2.0 was added to avoid the possibility of zero or negative values. This definition results in the aspect ranging from 1.0 to 3.0 , with 1.0 designating a stream flowing directly north and 3.0 designating a stream flowing directly south.

Average growing season (AGS), in days, as determined from Wyoming Water Planning isohyetal map (1965) showing average number of days between last spring occurrence and first fall occurrence of $28^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Braided river channels. Successive division and rejoining (of riverflow) with accompanying islands. Channels are unstable and are constantly shifting during high flows.

Channe1-geometry. The main-channel features defined for this study are:
Width (W), in feet, is the horizontal distance between the tops of the banks of the main channel. The measurement is made at or near the upstream end of the crossover and perpendicular to the direction of bankfull flow. The measurement designates width of the water surface at bankfull stage.

Depth (D), in feet, is the maximum depth of the channe1. The measurement designates the vertical distance between the tops of the banks and the lowest spot in the channel.

Depth/width ratio ( ${ }^{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{W}$ ) is the maximum depth divided by the mainchannel width. This variable is an index of the channel shape.

Coefficient of variation $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$. A dimensionless dispersion parameter, determined as the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean. The coefficient of variation is used extensively in hydrology as a dimensionless regionalization parameter, as it can be used to show relative variation over an area.

Correlation. Degree of linear association of two or more random variables.
Correlation coefficient. A mathematical definition of the degree of linear association between two variables. The degree of correlation may range from zero (no correlation) to plus or minus one (total correlation). The plus or minus sign indicates whether the variables are directly (plus) or inversely (minus) related.

The correlation coefficient partly explains the total variation of a variable by the variation of other random variables involved in the regression equation. The remaining or unexplained part of the variation is due to unaccounted for or neglected random variables and errors.

Crest-stage gage. An installation at a particular site on a stream where periodic observations of gage height and discharge are obtained to determine the annual peak discharge. Peak stages only are obtained by these gages as opposed to the continuous records of stage obtained at continuous-recording gages.

Ephemeral stream. A stream that usually flows only in direct response to precipitation. Such a stream receives no water from springs and no long continued supply from melting snow or other surface source. Its channel is above the water table.

Flood plain. That part of a river valley that is covered with water when the river overflows its banks at flood stages; was built and is being reworked under the channel conditions presently prevailing. This plain has been built up by stream-deposited alluvium.

Frequency. The number of occurrences of a certain phenomenon in a given period of time.

Geometric mean of annual peak flows ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gm}}$ ). A descriptor of central tendency of the logarithmic distribution of annual peak flows. The geometric mean is defined as

$$
P_{g m}=\sqrt[N]{P_{1} * P_{2} * P_{3} \ldots P_{n}} .
$$

The geometric mean can also be determined by taking the antilog of the mean of the logarithms.

The geometric mean is useful in hydrology because the logarithms of hydrologic observations often possess a symmetrical distribution; whereas, the values themselves may show an asymmetrical distribution.

Intermittent stream. A stream or reach of a stream that flows but part of the year when it receives water from springs or from surface flows during wet weather or from melting snow.

Mean. Unless otherwise stated, mean refers to the arithmetic mean of the values.

Mean annual flow (Qa). Annual discharge expressed as an average rate, such as cubic feet per second. Annual discharge can also be expressed in units of volume, such as acre-feet.
$P_{2}, P_{5}, P_{10}, P_{25}, P_{50}, P_{100}$. Abbreviations for annual peak flows. The subscript refers to the average recurrence interval in years.

Perennial stream. A stream that flows continuously during all seasons of the year and during dry as well as wet years. Such a stream is usually fed by ground water.

Recurrence interval. The average interval of time within which the given flood will be equalled or exceeded once.

Significance. Determined by a statistical test of the hypothesis that a dependent variable is sufficiently explained by an independent variable at a certain predetermined level of significance.

Skew. Skewness coefficients are descriptors of asymmetry of a distribution. The skewness coefficients used in this study refer to the logarithmic distribution of annual peaks.

Standard deviation (S.D.). Descriptor of dispersion of values about a central value. The standard deviation is an indicator of the variability of the observed values.

Standard error of estimate. Standard deviation of data points about the regression line used to predict the dependent variable. Approximately two-thirds of the data values for the dependent variable are included within plus and minus one standard error of the estimate made by the regression equation.

Station number. Each gaging station has a station number. The complete 8 -digit number, such as 06320500, includes the part number " 06 " and a 6-digit station number. The first two digits designate the part number, which refers to the major drainage basin involved. The last six digits refer to individual station location with increasing numbers referring to locations progressively farther downstream.

Stepforward regression. A multiple regression technique that tests all independent variables for significance with respect to the dependent variable and adds the most significant variable to the regression equation. The process is then repeated with the independent variables remaining and others are likewise added to the regression equation. The significance of each variable in the regression equation changes as each new variable is added, so all variables in the regression equation are again tested and may be dropped if deemed insignificant. This process continues until an equation is derived with all variables significant at some predetermined confidence level.

Water year. The 12 -month period, October 1 through September 30. The water year is designated by the calendar year in which it ends and which includes 9 of the 12 months. Thus, the water year ending September 30, 1973, is called the "1973 water year."
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#### Abstract

This report presents relations for estimating peak flows and mean annual flow for natural streams in Wyoming. Two separate techniques for estimating flow characteristics are presented: 1) The channel-geometry method, whereby flow characteristics are related to channel dimensions; and 2) the basin-characteristics method, whereby flow characteristics are related to physiographic and climatic features of the drainage basin.

Development of the channel-geometry method showed channel width to be the most significant channel dimension for estimating flow characteristics. The principle underlying this method is that channel size is influenced by some formative or dominant discharge, to which other flow characteristics are related. Use of the channel-geometry method requires that a field measurement be made of channel width.

Development of the basin-characteristics method showed drainagearea size to be the most significant basin feature for estimating streamflow. In the mountainous areas, basin elevation also affects streamflow. Because these basin features can be determined from maps, this method would not require a field visit to the site.

An analysis of maximum observed peak flows and their seasonal occurrence showed that high peak flows are most likely to occur during the months of May, June, or July.


## INTRODUCTION

This report provides methods for estimating peak flows and mean annual flow for natural streams in Wyoming. This information is essential to planning and design activities whenever streams are involved.

Gaging stations are operated at only a few of the many sites where streamflow information is needed. Data collected at gaging stations must therefore be analyzed to provide information at ungaged sites. The specific purpose of this report is to provide methods of estimating peak flows and mean annual flow at ungaged sites. The estimating relations of this report are based upon updated data and are thus considered to be more reliable than relations for peak flows and mean annual flow of previous reports.

This report was prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Wyoming Highway Department. The opinions, findings, and conclusions presented herein are those of the U.S. Geological Survey and are not necessarily those of the cooperating agency.

For the convenience of users, the technical terms and abbreviations as used in this report are defined in the Glossary (p. vii).

## Use of Metric Units of Measurement

The analyses and compilations in this report were made with English units of measurement. The equivalent metric units are given in the text and illustrations, where appropriate. English units only are shown in tables where, because of space limitations, the dual system of English and metric units would not be practicable. For those readers who may prefer to use metric units rather than English units, conversion factors for terms used in this report are listed in the following table:

Conversion

## English units

Length in inches (in)
in feet (ft)
in miles (mi)
Area in square
miles (mi ${ }^{2}$ )
Slope in feet per mile (ft/mi)
Runoff rate in
cubic feet per
second ( $f t^{3} / s$ )
Unit runoff in cubic
feet per second
per square
mile $\left[\left(f t^{3} / s\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right]$

X $25.40=$ millimeters (mm)
$\mathrm{X} .305=$ meters (m)
$\mathrm{X} 1.609=$ kilometers (km)
X $2.590=$ square kilometers ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ )
X . $189=$ meters per kilometer (m/km)
X . $0283=$ cubic meters per second
(m3/s)
$\left[\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{km}^{2}\right.$ ]

Two separate methods of estimating streamflow characteristics are presented in this report: 1) The channel-geometry method, developed by relating channel dimensions to flow characteristics; and 2) the basincharacteristics method, developed by relating physiographic and climatic characteristics of the drainage basin to flow characteristics. The methods were analyzed and developed separately due to the inherent differences between channel-geometry features and basin characteristics. Channel-geometry features are considered to be resultant-effect variables; that is, the dimensions of a channel are the result of past flows. In contrast, basin characteristics are considered to be cause-effect variables because they produce or affect the outcomes of flows. The advantage of presenting two different methods is that the designer may select the method he feels to be most suitable for his purpose. If both methods are used, a comparison may be made of the results.

## Analytic Technique

Relations for estimating flow characteristics are presented in this report in the form of graphs and mathematical equations. These relations were developed by using a statistical technique known as multiple regression, with computations being made by a digital computer. The relations express flow characteristics (dependent variables) as being correlated to either channel-geometry features or to basin characteristics (independent variables).

All data were transformed to base 10 logarithms before developing the relations because past experience has shown that linear relations can be approached by logarithmic transformation of hydrologic variables.

After taking antilogs, the resulting equations have the form

$$
Q=a A^{b} B^{c} C^{d} \ldots .
$$

where $Q$ is the flow characteristic (a dependent variable); $A, B$, and $C$ are channel features or basin characteristics (independent variables); a is the regression constant; and $b, c$, and $d$ are regression exponents. For the convenience of the user, the equations are also presented in graphical form.

Graphical regression was used to determine the estimating relations in a few cases when only small numbers of stations were available for analysis. Relations determined by this method are based on small amounts of data, and are therefore considered to have low reliability. Estimates of streamflow from these relations should be considered to be very approximate.

Relations for the plains areas of the State were developed with the aid of results from a concurrent study of the U.S. Geological Survey conducted by Gordon S. Craig, Jr. and James G. Rank1 (written commun.). Their study of flood hydrographs on small drainage basins in Wyoming provided detailed information on peak flows from drainage areas of less than $11 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(28 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$.

## Hydrologic Regions

Certain orographic and climatic conditions cause differences in flow variabilities such that a unique set of estimating relations could not be developed on a statewide basis. Therefore, the State was divided into four regions of hydrologic homogeneity and separate relations were developed for each region. The hydrologic regions are shown in figure 1. Region 1 contains mountainous areas of the State where peak flows are primarily the result of snowmelt runoff. Region 2 is plains areas where peak flows occur primarily from rainstorm runoff. Region 3 also contains plains areas but, due to certain orographic effects, these areas are especially prone to intense thunderstorm activity. Region 4 contains subdued mountain areas where peak flows occur from both snowmelt and rainfall runoff.

## Data Used

Data from continuous-record, crest-stage, and stage-rainfall gages were used in this study. The continuous-record stations are operated by the Geological Survey in cooperation with other Federal and State agencies; they provide information concerning annual runoff as well as peak flows. The crest-stage gages are operated in cooperation with the Wyoming Highway Department with the objective of acquiring knowledge of peak flows for small streams. The stage-rainfall gages were operated during 1963-73 in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration and the Wyoming Highway Department as part of a program to determine rainfallrunoff relations for small drainage basins.

Figure 2 shows the locations and types of gaging stations from which data were used. Station data were used provided there were at least 9 years of record and the flows were virtually natural events unaffected by the works of man. It was further required that gages on ephemeral streams, which have no flow during some years, have at least 8 years of record for which nonzero flow events were recorded. Data up to and including the 1973 water year were used in the study. Records were not adjusted to a base period.

Figure 3 shows a plot of drainage area versus length of record for the 243 stations used in this study. In general, longer records are available for larger streams than for smaller streams. Unfortunately, until the crest-stage gage program was initiated in 1958, little attention had been given to gaging small streams, especially in the plains areas of the State.
Region boundary
$\oplus$
Region number

Figure 1 I,-Major streams and hydrologic regions of Wyoming.

Figure 2.-Locations and types of gaging stations used in the analyses.


Figure 3.-Distribution of drainage areas and lengths of record for the gaging stations used in the analyses.

Table 1 lists the streamflow stations used in the analyses. The table also shows: 1) For continuous-record stations, the type of stream (perennial, intermittent, or ephemeral), 2) the hydrologic region where the stream is located, 3) the years for which records are available, and 4) the maximum peak flow recorded at each site.

Table 2 lists the streamflow characteristics for the gaged sites. Mean annual flow is listed for the continuous-record stations. Peakflow data are listed for all stations. The peak-flow data include: 1) Number of years non-zero flood events were recorded; 2) peak discharges to be expected for various recurrence intervals, as determined by the log-Pearson Type III method (Water Resources Council, 1976) ; 3) statistics of the logarithmic array of annual peaks; and 4) geometric mean of annual peak flows.

Table 3 lists channel-geometry features and basin characteristics for the gaged sites. These data were considered to be the independent variables in the regression analyses.

The channel-geometry features were measured in the field during 1973 and 1974 by personnel of the Geological Survey. The basin characteristics were determined from topographic and climatologic maps. Descriptions of the variables are contained in the Glossary (p. vii).

## CHANNEL-GEOMETRY METHOD

It has long been recognized that stream channels are formed by their flows, but techniques for estimating flow characteristics from channel dimensions have been developed only recently. The principle underlying the method is that channel size is influenced by some formative or dominant discharge, to which other flow characteristics are related. Channel formation takes place mainly during high flows when the stream has high energy and is transporting large amounts of sediment. Erosion and deposition occur as the stream sculptures its channel to a size large enough to accommodate its flow.

The channel-geometry features investigated in this study include width, maximum depth, and the depth-width ratio of the main channel. Results of the regression study showed width to be the most significant variable for estimating flow characteristics; the other features were not found significant enough to warrant inclusion in the estimating relations. A summary of the regression results for peak-flow relations is given in the following table:
(Relations are presented in graphical form, p. 16-19)

| Region | Regression equation (English units) | Number of stations | Correlation coefficient | $\frac{\text { Standar }}{\text { Log units }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { error } \\ & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { (average) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Peakflows | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=2.31 \mathrm{~W}^{1.54}$ | 93 | 0.97 | 0.147 | 35 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{5}=4.34 W^{1.47}$ | 93 | . 97 | . 145 | 34 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{10}=6.02 \mathrm{~W}^{1.43}$ | 93 | . 96 | . 153 | 36 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{25}=8.53 \mathrm{~W}^{1.39}$ | 93 | . 95 | . 169 | 40 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{50}=10.7 \mathrm{~W}^{1.36}$ | 93 | . 94 | . 182 | 43 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{100}=13.1 \mathrm{~W}^{1.34}$ | 93 | . 93 | . 195 | 47 |
| 2 <br> Peak <br> flows | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=5.50 \mathrm{~W}^{1.30}$ | 33 | . 83 | . 300 | 75 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{5}=16.4 \mathrm{~W}^{1.22}$ | 33 | . 83 | . 272 | 67 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{10}=29.0 \mathrm{~W}^{1.18}$ | 33 | . 82 | . 268 | 66 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{25}=53.7 \mathrm{~W}^{1.13}$ | 33 | . 81 | . 276 | 68 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{50}=79.9 \mathrm{~W}^{1.10}$ | 33 | . 79 | . 287 | 71 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{100}=114 \quad W^{1.08}$ | 33 | . 76 | . 300 | 75 |
| 3 <br> Peak <br> flows | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=5.08 \mathrm{~W}^{1.32}$ | 19 | . 92 | . 204 | 49 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{5}=14.0 \mathrm{~W}^{1.30}$ | 19 | . 95 | . 164 | 39 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{10}=24.2 \mathrm{~W}^{1.28}$ | 19 | . 94 | . 172 | 41 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{25}=43.6 \mathrm{~W}^{1.26}$ | 19 | . 92 | . 200 | 47 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{50}=64.2 \mathrm{~W}^{1.24}$ | 19 | . 90 | . 226 | 54 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{100}=91.4 \mathrm{~W}^{1.22}$ | 19 | . 88 | . 252 | 62 |
| 4 <br> Peak <br> flows | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=2.32 \mathrm{~W}^{1.55}$ | 8 | * | * | * |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{5}=11 \mathrm{~W}^{1.32}$ | 8 | * | * | * |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{10}=23$ W ${ }^{1.23}$ | 8 | * | * | * |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{25}=50 \mathrm{~W}^{1.18}$ | 8 | * | * | * |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{50}=86 \mathrm{~W}^{1.11}$ | 8 | * | * | * |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{100}=130 \quad \mathrm{~W}^{1.06}$ | 8 | * | * | * |

* Graphical regression was performed due to the small number of stations.

Although annual runoff as well as peak flows can be related to channel dimensions, the reliability of the channel-geometry method for estimating annual runoff is dependent on the correlation of annual runoff with peak flows. This correlation is generally high because most of the annual runoff of a stream occurs during its floodflows. Figure 4 shows relations of mean annual flow to the median of the annual peak flows. Separate relations exist for perennial and for intermittent and ephemeral streams due to inherent differences in their runoff characteristics. Realizing the differences between stream types as shown by figure 4, estimating relations for mean annual flow were developed using channel width. A summary of the regression results for these relations is given in the following table:

Summary of regression equations for determining mean annual flow, using the channel-geometry method
(Relations are presented in graphical form, p. 20)

| Region | Regression equation <br> (English units) | Number <br> of <br> stations | Correlation <br> coefficient | Standard error |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1-4}$ | Qa $=0.06$ <br> (perennial streams) | $\mathrm{W}^{1.9}$ | 92 | 0.96 | 0.21 |

[^0]The use of the channel-geometry technique requires some experience, although measuring channel features is fairly simple. A field visit is necessary to obtain the channel width (W). The width measurement should be made of the main channel at the narrowest section of a straight reach. The section should have a stable appearance; that is, it should be one that has been fairly permanent for several years. It is a good practice to measure the width at several sections and average the results. The measurement is made between the tops of the banks of the main channel. The top of the bank is defined as that spot where the flood plain and channel meet, and it is distinguished by a break in slope. If a person were climbing out of a stream channel, he would generally have to dig in his toes to get up the bank, but could begin walking flat-footed when he reached the break in slope at the top of the bank.


Figure 4.-Relations of mean annual flow to median of annual peak flows.

Figure 5 is a sketch showing where the main channel should be measured. As shown in the sketch, the narrowest, most stable section of the channel often occurs just downstream from a curve. This section, which is at the end of the point bar and at the beginning of a straight reach, is sometimes referred to as the crossover (of flow), or the start of the crossover.

Figures 6-9 are photographs showing where width was measured for various channels. Due to publication limitations, it was necessary to use black and white photographs in this report. A large collection of color slides that gives a much better picture of channel features is on file in the Geological Survey office in Cheyenne. Persons who expect to use the method should view such slides, as well as make a field visit to several streams with someone who is experienced with the method.

## Limitations

There are some stream reaches where the channel-geometry method cannot be used. These include:

1. Small streams whose flows are not frequent enough to form and maintain a channel. Flow is conveyed in a grassed swale, which does not have definite banks.
2. Braided channel reaches. It may be possible to find a stable channel reach either upstream or downstream from the braided reach.
3. Potholes. On some intermittent streams the ground-water level is near the streambed elevation, but inflow to the stream channel is insufficient to cause perennial flow. During most of the year, evaporation equals or exceeds the seepage inflow; thus, although the channel contains ponded water, there is no flow in the stream. The dissolved-solids concentration of the ponded water gradually increases to the level where vegetation cannot survive. Because the bed material of the channel has been loosened by the buoyant forces of ground-water seepage, subsequent flow in the channel erodes the bed material and forms a pothole. Care should be taken that channel width is measured between banks formed by the hydraulic forces of streamflow, rather than banks formed by the above process.
4. Streams whose channels or basins have been significantly altered by the works of man (major dams, reservoirs, diversions, or urbanization).
5. Stream reaches at or near bedrock outcrops.

## Graphs

Relations for estimating peak flows and mean annual flow using the channel-geometry method are presented in graphical form in figures 10-14.


## PLAN VIEW OF STREAM



Channel with well-developed flood plain.


Meandering channel whose lateral movement causes it to be eroding the valley terrace.


Channel whose streambed has lowered in recent past due to a change in hydrologic conditions. Banks will be present if the channel has stabilized to existing conditions.


Channel whose streambed has lowered in past. The channel has stabilized and a flood plain is developing.

CROSS SECTIONS OF VARIOUS TYPES OF STREAM CHANNELS

Figure 5.-Location of main-channel section for various types of streams.


Figure 6.--Main-channel section on North Fork Crazy Woman Creek (perennial stream), looking downstream. Width $=24 \mathrm{ft}(7.3 \mathrm{~m})$.


Figure 7.--Main-channel section on Cache Creek (perennial stream), looking downstream. Width $=12 \mathrm{ft}(3.7 \mathrm{~m})$.


Figure 8.--Main-channel section on Salt Wells Creek (intermittent stream), looking downstream. Width $=48 \mathrm{ft}(14.6 \mathrm{~m})$.


Figure 9.--Main-channel section on unnamed tributary (ephemeral stream) to New Fork River, looking downstream. Width $=12 \mathrm{ft}(3.7 \mathrm{~m})$.


Figure $10 .-$ Relations for estimating peak flows in region 1 by using main-channel width.


Figure II.-Relations for estimating peak flows in region 2 by using main-channel width.


Figure 12.-Relations for estimating peak flows in region 3 - by using main-channel width.


Figure 13.-Relations for estimating peak flows in region 4 by using main-channel width.


Figure 14.-Relations for estimating mean annual flow in regions 1-4 by using main-channel width.

Multiple regression of basin characteristics versus flow characteristics is a widely used technique that has been particularly successful for developing estimating relations in humid regions. The technique is based on the assumption that certain physiographic and climatic variables produce or affect streamflow from a basin. The method has the advantage of being mainly an office technique. The basin characteristics are determined from maps of the drainage basin, and a field visit is not required.

The physiographic variables measured in this study include drainage area; slope, length, and aspect of the main channel; area of lakes and ponds; soils infiltration rate; mean basin latitude and elevation; and percent forest cover. The climatic variables include mean annual precipitation, intensity of precipitation, and average length of growing season.

Drainage area was found to be the most significant variable for estimating flows. For the mountainous areas of region 1 , elevation was also found to be significant. The other basin characteristics were not found to be significant enough to warrant inclusion in the estimating relations.

Drainage area is significant to streamflow because more precipitation is intercepted by large areas than by small areas. Elevation is significant in the mountainous areas because greater snowfalls occur at higher elevations.

Results of the regression study for peak flows and mean annual flow are summarized in the following tables:

Summary of regression equations for determining peak flows, using the basin-characteristics method
(Relations are presented in graphical form, p. 25-34)


* Regression equations given for regions 2 and 3 apply from 5 to $5,300 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ( 13 to $13,700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ). Graphical review showed curvilinear relations exist from 0.5 to $5 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ( 1.3 to $13 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ).

Summary of equations for determining mean annual flow, using the basin-characteristics methods
(Relations are presented in graphical form, p. 31-34)

| Region | Regression equation <br> (Eng1ish units) | Number <br> of <br> stations | Correlation <br> coefficient | Standard error <br> Log units | Percent <br> (average) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $Q_{a}=$$0.0036 \mathrm{~A}^{0.96} \mathrm{E}^{2.57}$ <br> (perennial streams) | 100 | 0.88 | 0.244 | 59 |



$4 \quad Q=0.162 \quad A^{0.98}$
(perennial streams)

* Graphical regression was performed due to the small number of stations.

NOTE: Insufficient data concerning mean annual flow existed for intermittent and ephemeral streams in regions 1 and 4 , and for perennial streams in regions 2 and 3; hence, no relations could be developed for these cases.

Drainage area (A) is determined by using a planimeter to measure the area from the best available topographic maps. For rough estimates, area can be determined by laying a transparent grid with squares of known size over a map and counting the number of squares within the basin outline.

Mean basin elevation (E), in thousands of feet above mean sea level, is measured by laying a transparent grid on a contour map and recording the elevations of the intersections. The grid spacing should be such that a minimum of 25 intersections fall within the basin. The arithmetic average of these altitudes is determined, and the figure is divided by 1,000 to convert it to thousands of feet.

## Limitations

The basin-characteristics method is applicable only to sites where streamflows are virtually natural. It should not be used where flows are significantly affected by manmade works (major dams, reservoirs, diversions, or urbanization).

## Graphs

Relations for estimating peak flows and mean annual flow using the basin-characteristics method are presented in graphical form in figures 15-24.

## MAXIMUM FLOODS OF RECORD

Table 1 lists the maximum peak flows that have occurred at each gaged site. In addition to the floods recorded at gaging stations, the Geological Survey makes indirect measurements of significant high-water events that occur at miscellaneous ungaged sites. Table 4 is a listing of peak flows measured at miscellaneous sites.

Figures 25-28 are graphs of maximum observed peak flows versus drainage area. The graphs include data from the miscellaneous-site measurements, as well as from the gaging stations. The regression lines for peak flows with an average recurrence interval of 100 years are shown on the figures. The points lying above the lines represent outstanding peak flows with recurrence intervals greater than 100 years. Floods of similar magnitude, as well as higher values, are expected to occur in the future. As the length of gaged record increases, the number of peak flows above the lines will also increase.


Figure 15.-Relations for estimating 2-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.


Figure 16. -Relations for estimating 5-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.


Figure 17.-Relotions for estimating 10-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.

DRAINAGE AREA, IN SQUARE KILOMETERS


Figure 18.-Relations for estimating 25-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.

DRAINAGE AREA, IN SQUARE KILOMETERS


Figure 19. -Relations for estimating 50-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.
drainage area, in square kilometers


Figure 20.-Relations for estimating 100-year peak flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.

DRAINAGE AREA, IN SQUARE KILOMETERS


Figure 21.-Relations for estimating mean annual flow in region 1 by using drainage area and mean basin elevation.

DRAINAGE AREA, IN SQUARE KILOMETERS


Figure 22.-Relations for estimating flow characteristics in region 2 by using drainage area.

DRAINAGE AREA, IN SQUARE KILOMETERS


Figure 23.-Relations for estimating flow characteristics in region 3 by using drainage area.
drainage area, in square kilometers


Figure 24.-Relations for estimoting flow characteristics in region 4 by using drainage area.


Figure 25.- Maximum observed peak flows versus drainage area for region 1 .


Figure 26.-Moximum observed peak flows versus drainage area for region 2.


Figure 27.-Moximum observed peak flows versus drainage area for region 3.


Figure 28.-Maximum observed peak flows versus drainage area for region 4.

The seasonal occurrence of the maximum observed peak flows was analyzed for each of the four regions. Figure 29 shows the relative percentage of peak flows that occurred during each month. The figures may be used to determine when high peak flows are most likely to occur. It should be realized that the figures represent peak flows, rather than floods. Floods sometimes occur as a result of backwater from ice jams. During these occurrences, the actual flow of the stream may be only moderately high.

## APPLICATION OF RELATIONS

The graphs and equations of this report should be considered as defining relations only within the range of data used for their development. The estimating relations are shown on the graphs only for the ranges of data used in the regression analyses. Extension of the relations to estimate flow characteristics outside their defined range is discouraged.

The use of relations in this report for estimating mean annual flow requires that a determination be made as to whether the stream is perennial, intermittent, or ephemeral. It is advised that a field visit be made to aid in this determination. The field visit should include an inspection of the stream, as well as discussion with local long-time residents who are familiar with the nature of the flow of the stream.

## Examples of Using Relations

Example 1.--Consider a situation where a hydraulic structure is to be built on the unnamed stream shown in figure 9. This is an ungaged stream, located in region 2 about $16 \mathrm{mi}(25.8 \mathrm{~km})$ east of Big Piney. Figure 30 is a map of the drainage basin. The channel width was measured at several sections, and the average of these measurements was 12 ft $(3.7 \mathrm{~m})$. Using the channel-geometry method, the following peak-flow characteristics are estimated from figure 11:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{2}=140 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(3.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{5}=340 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(9.62 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{10}=540 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(15.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{25}=890 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(25.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{50}=1,200 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(34.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{100}=1,700 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(48.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 29.-Seasonal occurrence of maximum peak flows for regions $1,2,3$, and 4.


Figure 30.- Unnamed tributary to New Fork River.

The drainage area of the site is $10.7 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(27.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$. Using the basin-characteristics method, the following values are determined from figure 22:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{2}=140 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(3.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{5}=330 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(9.34 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{10}=540 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(15.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{25}=890 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(25.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{50}=1,200 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(34.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{100}=1,600 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(45.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 29 shows that high peak flows are most likely to occur during the months of June or July, but a fair chance also exists for their occurrence during the months of May, August, and September.

Estimates of peak flows from the two methods are nearly identical in this example, and it makes little difference which'set of estimates is used.

Mean annual flow of the stream cannot be determined from either figure 14 or 22 because both its width and drainage area are less than the lower defined limits of the relations for intermittent and ephemeral streams.

Example 2.--A bridge is planned for construction on the stream shown in figure 31. This stream is located in region 3 about 5 mi ( 8 km ) northeast of Glenrock. The bridge will be designed on the basis of the peak flow having an average recurrence interval of 50 years ( $\mathrm{P}_{50}$ ).

A field visit to the site shows the stream to be ephemeral. There is evidence of large floods. The channel is relatively wide; many large cottonwood trees have fallen and are lying in the main channel and on the flood plain. Five stable-appearing channel sections are measured. The widths vary between 42 and 47 ft ( 12.8 and 14.3 m ) with an average of $45 \mathrm{ft}(13.7 \mathrm{~m})$. Using the channel-geometry method, figure 12 shows $\mathrm{P}_{50}=7,200 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(204 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$.

The drainage area of the site is about $65 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(168 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$. Using the basin-characteristics method, figure 23 shows $P_{50}=5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(142 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Because the field visit indicated that the stream is susceptible to large floods, a decision is made to use the higher figure provided by the channe1-geometry method.


Figure 31.-Sand Creek near Glenrock, Wyoming.

Example 3.--The drainage of a site may lie in more than one hydrologic region. When this occurs, a weighted averaging technique should be used to determine the flow characteristics. Assume the drainage is wholly contained in each of the regions and determine estimates for each region. Then compute the average by weighting each estimate with the proportion of drainage area contained in the corresponding hydrologic area.

Consider a stream with a drainage area of $30 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(78 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$, of which $20 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ( $52 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) 1ie in region 1 and $10 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(26 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$ in region 2 . Economic considerations require that the structure be designed to withstand a flood with an average recurrence interval of 100 years ( $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ ).

A field visit to the site shows that the channel is very steep and braided. The banks are very unstable, thus the channel-geometry method is not applicable.

The mean basin elevation is determined to be $8,200 \mathrm{ft}(2,500 \mathrm{~m})$, which is used in the regression relations as 8.2 thousand feet. Assuming the drainage area to be wholly contained in region 1 , figure 20 shows $P_{100}=824 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(23.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Assuming the drainage to be wholly contained in region 2 , figure 22 shows $P_{100}=2,240 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(63.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. The weighted average of $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ is determined as:

$$
20 / 30(824)+10 / 30(2,240)=1,300 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(36.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)
$$

Similar solutions may be determined for streams in other areas of the State. If both methods of estimating flows are used, an inspection of the stream drainage may be necessary to explain why possible differences occur. Many conditions, which cannot be explained through the use of mathematical relations, may affect flow from a drainage. Some examples are: Loss of flow in sinkholes when stream crosses karst limestone outcrops, reduction of flows due to storage structures, and increase in flows due to release of production waters from industry or agriculture. An accurate estimate of flow characteristics requires that an observation of these conditions be made by a field inspection.
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Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses.
Station name: (p) perennial stream; (i) intermittent stream; (e) ephemeral stream.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left.\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| 06037500 | Madison River near West Yellowstone, Mont. (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1914-17, \\ & 1919-72 \end{aligned}$ | 5-24-56 | 2,150 | 5.12 |
| 06187500 | Tower Creek at Tower Falls, Yellowstone National Park (p) | 1 | 1923-43 | 5-30-25 | 642 | 12.7 |
| 06188000 | Lamar River near Tower Falls ranger station, YNP (p) | 1 | 1922-69 | 5-25-28 | 13,600 | 20.6 |
| 06189000 | Blacktail Deer Creek near Mammoth, YNP (p) | 1 | 1938-45 | 6-1-43 | 168 | 11.2 |
| 06191000 | Gardner River near Mammoth, YNP (p) | 1 | 1938-72 | 6- 4-56 | 2,080 | 10.3 |
| 06206500 | Sunlight Creek near Painter (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1918, \\ & 1930-32, \\ & 1946-71 \end{aligned}$ | - -18 | 4,000 | 29.6 |
| 06207500 | Clarks Fork Yellowstone <br> River at Chance, Mont.(p) | ${ }^{1}$ | 1921-72 | 5-26-28 | 10,900 | 9.45 |
| 06218500 | Wind River near Dubois (p) | 1 | 1945- | 6-8-72 | 1,940 | 8.36 |
| 06218700 | Wagon Gulch near Dubois | 1 | 1961-72 | 6- 4-61 | 360 | 73.6 |
| 06221400 | Dinwoody Creek above lakes, near Burris (p) | 1 | 1918, 1956, 1958 | 6-18-71 | 1,400 | 15.0 |
| 06221500 | Dinwoody Creek near Burris | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1909, \\ & 1918-30, \\ & 1950-1958 \end{aligned}$ | 6-12-21 | 1,460 | 14.6 |
| 06222500 | Dry Creek near Burris (p) | 1 | 1921-40 | 6-12-21 | 1,400 | 26.3 |
| 06222700 | Crow Creek near Tipperary (p) | 1 | 1962- | 6-16-65 | 568 | 18.9 |
| 06223500 | Willow Creek near Crowheart (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1909, \\ & \text { 1921-23, } \\ & 1925-40 \end{aligned}$ | 5-31-39 | 1,100 | 19.9 |
| 06224000 | Bull Lake Creek above Bull Lake (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1941-53, } \\ & 1967- \end{aligned}$ | 6-18-71 | 3,420 | 18.3 |
| 06226200 | Little Dry Creek near Crowheart | 3 | 1961- | 8-29-71 | 731 | 69.6 |
| 06226300 | Dry Creek near Crowheart | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1961- \end{aligned}$ | ------ | 700 | 7.15 |
| 06229000 | North Fork Little Wind River at Fort Washakie | $(\mathrm{p})^{3}$ | 1921-40 | 7-19-26 | 2,640 | 20.8 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Records } \\ & \text { avai1- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { (years) } \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge <br> r period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ |
| 06229700 | Norkok Meadows Creek near Fort Washakie | 1 | 1965- | 4-12-69 | 186 | 12.1 |
| 06229900 | Trout Creek near Fort Washakie | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-68, } \\ & 1970- \end{aligned}$ | 5-29-71 | 260 | 16.1 |
| 06231600 | Middle Popo Agie River below The Sinks, near Lander | 1 | 1960- | 6-16-63 | 4,180 | 47.8 |
| 06232000 | North Popo Agie River near Milford (p) | 1 | 1946-63 | 6-16-63 | 4,500 | 45.7 |
| 06233000 | Little Popo Agie River near Lander (p) | 1 | 1946- | 6-16-63 | 2,010 | 16.1 |
| 06233360 | Monument Draw at lower station, near Hudson | 3 | 1965-73 | 9-10-73 | 387 | 46.2 |
| 06234700 | South Fork Hall Creek near Lander | 2 | 1960- | 5-30-71 | 185 | 47.7 |
| 06235700 | Haymaker Creek near Riverton | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-64, } \\ & \text { 1966- } \end{aligned}$ | 8-29-71 | 1,770 | 186 |
| 06236000 | Kirby Draw near Riverton | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951-53, } \\ & 1961- \end{aligned}$ | 6-2-61 | 7,390 | 57.3 |
| 06238760 | W. F. Dry Cheyenne Creek at upper station, near Riverton | 2 | 1965- | 6-5-72 | 706 | 1,020 |
| 06238780 | W. F. Dry Cheyenne Creek tributary near Riverton | 2 | 1965-73 | 6- 5-72 | 1,260 | 681 |
| 06239000 | Muskrat Creek near Shoshoni (e) | 2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1923, \\ & 1951-53, \\ & 1955-58, \\ & 1960- \end{aligned}$ | 2-10-62 | 13,300 | 18.1 |
| 06255200 | Dead Man Gulch near Moneta | 3 | 1958-69 | 9-22-62 | 1,330 | 298 |
| 06255300 | Poison Creek tributary near Shoshoni | 3 | 1959- | 8-31-63 | 165 | 423 |
| 06255500 | Poison Creek near Shoshoni | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1950-53, \\ & 1956, \\ & 1961-68 \end{aligned}$ | 6- 5-67 | 2,950 | 5.90 |
| 06256000 | Badwater Creek at Lybyer Ranch, near Lost Cabin | ${ }_{(i)}^{1}$ | 1949-67 | 6-20-67 | 708 | 5.40 |
| 06256550 | E-K Creek tributary near Arminto | 2 | 1960-68 | 4--65 | ------- |  |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2} \text { ] } \end{gathered}$ |
| 06256600 | Red Creek near Arminto | 2 | 1963- | 9-16-65 | 347 | 48.5 |
| 06256670 | Badwater Creek tributary | 3 | 1965-73 | 6-8-68 | 1,460 | 249 |
| 06256700 | South Bridger Creek near Lysite | 2 | 1960-72 | 9-22-62 | 540 | 5.4 |
| 06257000 | Badwater Creek at Bonneville (e) | 1,2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1923, \\ & 1947-49, \\ & 1951- \end{aligned}$ | 7-24-23 | 18,600 | 23.0 |
| 06257500 | Muddy Creek near Pavillion (i) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1949-53, } \\ & 1955- \end{aligned}$ | 6-5-49 | 2,300 | 8.61 |
| 06258400 | Birdseye Creek near Shoshoni | 3 | 1959- | 9-21-63 | 568 | 43.0 |
| 06260000 | South Fork Owl Creek near Anchor (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1932, \\ & 1940-43, \\ & 1959- \end{aligned}$ | 7-25-41 | 1,940 | 22.3 |
| 06262000 | North Fork Ow1 Creek near Anchor (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1941-45, } \\ & 1947-62 \end{aligned}$ | 7-23-55 | 3,200 | 58.4 |
| 06265200 | Sand Draw near Thermopolis | s 2 | 1960- | 6-9-60 | 2,490 | 393 |
| 06265600 | Tie Down Gulch near Worland | 2 | 1961- | 8-31-63 | 328 | 184 |
| 06265800 | Gooseberry Creek at Dickie (p) | 1 | 1958- | 6-15-63 | 1,130 | 11.9 |
| 06266320 | Gillies Draw tributary | 2 | 1965-73 | 5-31-67 | 103 | 79.2 |
| 06266460 | Murphy Draw near Grass Creek | 2 | 1965- | 6-11-67 | 560 | 241 |
| 06267260 | North Prong E. F. Nowater Creek near Worland | 2 | 1964 - | 9-18-67 | 394 | 104 |
| 06267270 | North Prong East Fork Nowater Creek tributary near Worland | 2 | 1965-73 | 6-6-67 | 158 | 75.2 |
| 06268500 | Fifteen Mile Creek near Worland (e) | 2 | 1951-72 | 5-22-52 | 3,300 | 637 |
| 06269700 | Spring Creek near Ten Sleep | 1 | 1961- | 6-16-65 | 265 | 4.58 |
| 06270000 | Nowood River near <br> Ten Sleep ( p ) | 1,2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1938-43, \\ & 1950-55 \end{aligned}$ | 6-16-55 | 3,330 | 4.15 |
| 06270200 | Leigh Creek near Ten Sleep | 1 | 1962- | 6-25-65 | 250 | 98.4 |
| 06270300 | Canyon Creek tributary Ten Sleep | 1 | 1961- | 6-25-65 | 28 | 53.8 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 06271000 | Tensleep Creek near Ten Sleep (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1911-12, } \\ & \text { 1915-24, } \\ & 1944-72 \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-24 | 2,890 | 11.7 |
| 06272500 | Paintrock Creek near Hyattville (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1921-26, } \\ & \text { 1941-53 } \end{aligned}$ | 6-24-45 | 8,200 | 50 |
| 06273000 | Medicine Lodge Creek near Hyattville (p) | 1 | 1943- | 6-24-45 | 1,160 | 13.4 |
| 06274200 | Nowood River tributary <br> No. 2 near Manderson | 2 | 1961-70 | 8-10-62 | 329 | 207 |
| 06274250 | E1k Creek near Basin | 2 | 1959- | 6-6-67 | 4,260 | 44.0 |
| 06274500 | Greybull River near Pitchfork (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1946-49, } \\ & 1949-71 \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-63 | 8,610 | 30.5 |
| 06275000 | Wood River at Sunshine (p) | 1 | 1946- | 6-15-63 | 5,080 | 26.2 |
| 06276500 | Greybull River at Meeteetse (p) | 1 | 1921- | 6-15-63 | 13,600 | 20.0 |
| 06277700 | Twentyfour Mile Creek near Emblem | 2 | 1960- | 8-20-65 | 1,160 | 90.6 |
| 06277750 | Dry Creek tributary near Emblem | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1960-68, \\ & 1970-72 \end{aligned}$ | 9-1-73 | 43 | 66.1 |
| 06278300 | Shell Creek above Shell Reservoir (p) | 1 | 1957- | 6-15-63 | 1,870 | 81.0 |
| 06278400 | Granite Creek near Shell Creek ranger station, near Shell | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-68, } \\ & 1970- \end{aligned}$ | 6-10-68 | 415 | 37.4 |
| 06278500 | Shell Creek near Shell (p) | 1 | 1941- | 6-24-45 | 3,020 | 20.8 |
| 06280300 | South Fork Shoshone River near Valley ( $p$ ) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1957-58, } \\ & 1960- \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-63 | 6,610 | 22.3 |
| 06289000 | Little Bighorn River at State line, near Wyola, Mont. (p) | 1 | 1939-72 | 6-3-44 | 2,730 | 14.1 |
| 06296500 | North Tongue River near Dayton (p) | 1 | 1946-57 | 5-21-48 | 560 | 17.3 |
| 06297000 | South Tongue River near Dayton (p) | 1 | 1946-72 | 6- 4-68 | 1,910 | 22.4 |
| 06298000 | Tongue River near Dayton (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1919-29, } \\ & 1940- \end{aligned}$ | 6-3-44 | 3,400 | 16.7 |
| 06298500 | Little Tongue River near Dayton (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951-53, } \\ & \text { 1955- } \end{aligned}$ | 6-9-64 | 850 | 34.0 |
| 06299500 | Wolf Creek at Wolf (p) | 1 | 1944- | 6-15-63 | 1,130 | 29.9 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge <br> for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ |
| 06300500 | East Goose Creek near Big Horn (p) | 1 | 1954- | 6-15-63 | 1,230 | 60.6 |
| 06306900 | Spring Creek near Decker, Mont. | 3 | 1958-65 | 2-14-71 | 1,400 | 38.6 |
| 06306950 | Leaf Rock Creek near Kirby, Mont. | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1958, } \\ & 1960-65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6-7-58 \\ & 6-15-63 \end{aligned}$ | 222 | 36.8 |
| 06309200 | Middle Fork Powder River near Barnum (p) | 1 | 1962- | 6-15-63 | 7,110 |  |
| 06311000 | North Fork Powder River near Hazelton (p) | 1 | 1947- | 6-15-53 | 886 | 35.4 |
| 06311500 | North Fork Powder River near Mayoworth (p) | 1 | 1941- | 8-11-41 | 1,270 | 12.0 |
| 06312700 | S. F. Powder River near Powder River | 2 | 1961- | 2-10-62 | 790 | 3.02 |
| 06312910 | Dead Horse Creek tributary near Midwest | 3 | 1965-73 | 6-20-69 | 3,020 | 2,000 |
| 06312920 | Dead Horse Creek tributary No. 2 near Midwest | 3 | 1965- | 6-4-72 | 1,470 | 1,100 |
| 06313000 | South Fork Powder River near Kaycee (i) | 2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1938-40, \\ & 1950-69 \end{aligned}$ | 5-22-62 | 35,500 | 30.9 |
| 06313020 | Bobcat Creek near Edgerton | 3 | 1965- | 9-11-73 | 1,070 | 129 |
| 06313050 | East Teapot Creek near Edgerton | 3 | 1965- | 6-10-65 | 4,450 | 818 |
| 06313100 | Coal Draw near Midwest | 3 | 1961- | 6-22-64 | 2,620 | 230 |
| 06313180 | Dugout Creek tributary near Midwest | 3 | 1965- | 7-15-64 | 1,590 | 2,240 |
| 06313200 | Hay Draw near Midwest | 3 | 1958-70 | 7-15-67 | 900 | 562 |
| 06313700 | Dead Horse Creek near Buffalo | 3 | 1959-72 | 5-26-62 | 2,300 | 14.8 |
| 06313900 | Caribou Creek near Buffalo | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-66, } \\ & 1968- \end{aligned}$ | 4-11-66 | 275 | 54.1 |
| 06314500 | N. F. Crazy Woman Creek below Spring Draw, near Buffalo | 1 | 1949-72 | 6-9-68 | 1,290 | 25.0 |
| 06315500 | Middle Fork Crazy Woman Creek near Grueb (p) | 1 | 1942-72 | 5-2-47 | 4,520 | 54.6 |
| 06316480 | Headgate Draw at upper station, near Buffalo | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1965-66, } \\ & 1968- \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-65 | 5,490 | 1,650 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge <br> r period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left.\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| 06316700 | Powder River tributary near Buffalo | 3 | 1965-72 | 6-16-65 | 2,290 | 1,400 |
| 06317000 | Powder River at Arvada(i) | $1,2,$ | 1919- | 9-29-23 | 100,000 | 16.5 |
| 06317050 | Spotted Horse Creek tributary near Spotted Horse | 3 | 1961- | 6-13-62 | 3,120 | 729 |
| 06318500 | Clear Creek near Buffalo (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1894, \\ & \text { 1896-99, } \\ & \text { 1917-27, } \\ & 1938- \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-63 | 3,420 | 28.5 |
| 06321500 | North Piney Creek near Story (p) | 1 | 1952- | 6-15-63 | 1,820 | 49.4 |
| 06324900 | Little Powder River tributary No. 2 near Gillette | 3 | 1959- | 6-22-64 | 758 | 192 |
| 06325500 | Little Powder River near Broadus, Mont. (p) | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-53, } \\ & 1957- \end{aligned}$ | 3-21-69 | 2,440 | 1.24 |
| 06332900 | North Clear Creek near Alzada, Mont. | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1951, \\ & 1956-70 \end{aligned}$ | 5-21-62 | 1,100 | 1,620 |
| 06334000 | Little Missouri River near Alzada, Mont. | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1912-25, } \\ & 1929-32, \\ & 1935-69 \end{aligned}$ | 4-4-44 | 6,000 | 6.64 |
| 06334100 | Wolf Creek near Hammond, Mont. | 3 | 1955-70 | 8-22-65 | 1,170 | 129 |
| 06378640 | Lance Creek tributary near Lance Creek | 3 | 1965- | 9- 3-68 | 1,060 | 883 |
| 06379600 | Box Creek near Bill | 3 | 1957, 1959, 1961- | 5-5-71 | 1,720 | 15.4 |
| 06382200 | Pritchard Draw near Lance Creek | 3 | 1964- | 9-3-68 | 4,050 | 794 |
| 06386000 | Lance Creek at Spencer(e) | 2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1948-54, } \\ & \text { 1957- } \end{aligned}$ | 5-24-71 | 7,410 | 358 |
| 06386500 | Cheyenne River near Spencer (e) | 2,3 | 1949- | 5-27-62 | 16,000 | 3.04 |
| 06388800 | Blacktail Creek tributary near Newcastle | 2 | 1961- | 6-17-65 | 102 | 408 |
| 06394000 | Beaver Creek near Newcastle (i) | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1943, } \\ & \text { 1945- } \end{aligned}$ | 6-1 6-62 | 11,900 | 9.02 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| 06426200 | Donkey Creek tributary near Gillette | 2 | 1960- | 7-22-66 | 165 | 589 |
| 06426500 | Belle Fourche River below Moorcroft (i) | 2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1924, } \\ & \text { 1944-1970 } \end{aligned}$ | 4-7-24 | 12,500 | 7.49 |
| 06427700 | Inyan Kara Creek near Upton | 4 | 1959- | 7-1-59 | 4,660 | 48.3 |
| 06429300 | Ogden Creek near Sundance | 4 | 1965- | 5-6-67 | 423 | 50.2 |
| 06430500 | Redwater Creek at Wyoming-S.Dakota State line (p) | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1929-31, \\ & 1936-37, \\ & 1955 \end{aligned}$ | 6-16-62 | 2,440 | 4.97 |
| 06432230 | Miller Creek near <br> Whitewood, S. Dakota | 4 | 1956-68 | 5-14-65 | 330 | 49.1 |
| 06620400 | Douglas Creek above Keystone (p) | 1 | 1956-65 | 6-7-57 | 865 | 39.1 |
| 06621000 | Douglas Creek near Foxpark (p) | 1 | 1947-72 | 6-7-57 | 1,630 | 136 |
| 06622500 | French Creek near French (p) | 1 | 1911-24 | 6-10-21 | 1,680 | 28.2 |
| 06622700 | North Brush Creek near Saratoga (p) | 1 | 1960- | 5-13-60 | 8,060 | 215 |
| 06624500 | Encampment River at Encampment (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1900, \\ & 1911-1920 \end{aligned}$ | 5-29-00 | 4,680 | 22.2 |
| 06625000 | Encampment River at mouth, near Encampment (p) | 1 | 1940- | 6- 1-43 | 4,510 | 17.0 |
| 06628900 | Pass Creek near Elk Mountain (p) | 1 | 1957- | 6-13-57 | 1,180 | 9.3 |
| 06629100 | Rattlesnake Creek near Walcott | 1 | 1962- | $\begin{aligned} & 6-10-65 \\ & 4-5-70 \end{aligned}$ | 140 | 5.75 |
| 06629150 | Coal Bank Draw tributary near Walcott | 2 | 1962- | 7-8-68 | 787 | 216 |
| 06629200 | Coal Bank Draw tributary No. 2 near Walcott | 2 | 1962- | 8-24-72 | 839 | 348 |
| 06629800 | Coal Creek near Rawlins | 2 | 1959- | 9-21-63 | 436 | 59.4 |
| 06629850 | Delaney Draw near Red Desert | 2 | 1961- | 6-10-65 | 1,260 | 38.4 |
| 06630200 | Big Ditch tributary near Hanna | 2 | 1959- | 7-21-61 | 470 | 63.3 |
| 06630800 | Bear Creek near Elk Mountain | 1 | 1962- | 4-5-70 | 141 | 15.8 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left.\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 06631100 | Wagonhound Creek near Elk Mountain | 1 | 1962- | 6-8-68 | 350 | 12.9 |
| 06631150 | Third Sand Creek near Medicine Bow | 2 | 1965- | 7-8-68 | 910 | 84.2 |
| 06631260 | Foote Creek tributary near Arlington | 1 | 1962-65 | 5-11-66 |  |  |
| 06632400 | Rock Creek above King Canyon Canal, near Arlington (p) | 1 | 1966 | 6-19-71 | 2,590 | 40.2 |
| 06632600 | Threemile Creek near Arlington | 1 | 1962- | 8-6-70 | 863 | 137 |
| 06634200 | Sheep Creek near Marshall | 1 | 1961- | 7-7-61 | 1,500 | 24.6 |
| 06634300 | Sheep Creek near Medicine Bow | 1,2 | 1961- | 7-7-61 | 1,900 | 10.9 |
| 06634910 | Medicine Bow River tributary near Hanna | 2 | 1965- | 7-23-65 | 748 | 107 |
| 06634950 | Willow Springs Draw tributary near Hanna | 2 | 1965- | 7-15-67 | 255 | 129 |
| 06637550 | Sweetwater River near South Pass City (p) | 1 | 1959- | 6-10-65 | 1,150 | 6.50 |
| 06637750 | Rock Creek above Rock Creek Reservoir (p) | 1 | 1962- | 6- 9-65 | 214 | 23 |
| 06638100 | Sweetwater River at Sweetwater Station, near Lander | 1,2 | 1965- | 5-9-65 | 1,700 | 1.54 |
| 06638300 | West Fork Crooks Creek near Jeffrey City | 1 | 1961- | 3--62 | 128 | 11.0 |
| 06641400 | Bear Springs Creek near Alcova | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1960-66, } \\ & \text { 1968- } \end{aligned}$ | 10-6-62 | 533 | 56.2 |
| 06642700 | Lawn Creek near Alcova | 2 | 1961- | 7-20-61 | 1,030 | 89.6 |
| 06642730 | Stinking Creek tributary near Alcova | 2 | 1961-65 | 7-20-61 | 561 | 419 |
| 06642760 | Stinking Creek near Alcova | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-68, } \\ & \text { 1970- } \end{aligned}$ | 8-12-63 | 2,750 | 23.5 |
| 06643300 | Coal Creek near Goose Egg | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-67, } \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | 6-12-70 | 514 | 95.4 |
| 06644200 | Clarks Gulch near Natrona | 3 | 1961-69 | 7-4-67 | 1,570 | 595 |
| 06644840 | McKenzie Draw tributary near Casper | 3 | 1965- | 9--73 | 970 | 480 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Records } \\ & \text { avail- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { (years) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ |
| 06646500 | Deer Creek at Glenrock(p) | 1,4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1924, \\ & 1928-33, \\ & 1935-60 \end{aligned}$ | 6-12-70 | 14,200 | 67.0 |
| 06646700 | E. F. Dry Creek tributary near Glenrock | 4 | 1961- | 5-14-65 | 550 | 212 |
| 06647500 | Box Elder Creek at Boxe1der (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1946-51, \\ & 1962-67 \end{aligned}$ | 5-14-65 | 4,530 | 71.7 |
| 06648720 | Frank Draw tributary, near Orpha | 3 | 1965- | 8-19-66 | 342 | 433 |
| 06648780 | Sage Creek tributary, near Orpha | 3 | 1965- | 7-25-65 | 229 | 166 |
| 06649000 | La Prele Creek near Douglas (p) | 1,4 | 1919- | 6-12-70 | 17,300 | 128 |
| 06649900 | North Platte River tributary near Douglas | 3 | 1961- | 5-22-61 | 1,400 | 164 |
| 06651800 | Sand Creek near Orin | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1955, } \\ & \text { 1961- } \end{aligned}$ | 8-7-55 | 20,700 | 745 |
| 06652400 | Watson Draw near Lost Springs | 3 | 1960- | 5-28-61 | 2,100 | 302 |
| 06661000 | Little Laramie River near Filmore (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1902-03, } \\ & \text { 1926, } \\ & \text { 1911- } \end{aligned}$ | 6-10-65 | 3,450 | 22.1 |
| 06661580 | Sevenmile Creek near Centennial | 1 | 1962- | 6-10-65 | 528 | 47.1 |
| 06664500 | Sybille Creek above Bluegrass Creek, near Wheatland (p) | 4 | 1941-50 | 7-24-63 | 4,300 | 19.1 |
| 06667500 | North Laramie River near Wheatland (p) | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1915-20, \\ & 1922, \\ & 1940- \end{aligned}$ | 7-27-51 | 9,260 | 25.0 |
| 06671000 | Rawhide Creek near Lingle | 2 | 1929- | 9-7-46 | 3,970 | 7.60 |
| 06754500 | Middle Crow Creek near Hecla | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1902-03, } \\ & \text { 1933-69 } \end{aligned}$ | 9-8-33 | 495 | 19.2 |
| 06755000 | South Crow Creek near Hecla (p) | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1933-59, \\ & 1961-69 \end{aligned}$ | 7-21-45 | 110 | 7.91 |
| 06761700 | Muddy Creek tributary near Burns | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961, } \\ & 1963, \\ & 1965- \end{aligned}$ | 9-1-66 | 1,810 | 73.0 |
| 06761900 | Lodgepole Creek tributary near Pine Bluffs | 2 | 1960- | 7-6-60 | 86 | 195 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | ```Records avail- able (years)``` | Peak discharge <br> for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right]$ |
| 06762600 | Lodgepole Creek tributary | 2 | 1960- | 5-29-67 | 528 | 92.8 |
| 09188500 | Green River at Warren Bridge, near Daniel (p) | 1 | 1932- | 6-9-72 | 4,840 | 10.3 |
| 09189500 | Horse Creek at Sherman ranger station (p) | 1 | 1955- | 6-1-56 | 1,860 | 43.2 |
| 09196500 | Pine Creek above Fremont Lake, near Pinedale (p) | 1 | 1955- | 6-16-59 | 2,550 | 33.6 |
| 09198500 | Pole Creek below Little Half Moon Lake, near Pinedale (p) | 1 | 1939-71 | 6-17-59 | 1,300 | 14.8 |
| 09199500 | Fall Creek near Pinedale (p) | 1 | 1939-71 | 6-15-53 | 707 | 19.0 |
| 09201000 | New Fork River near Boulder (p) | 1 | 1915-69 | 6-17-18 | 12,300 | 22.3 |
| 09203000 | East Fork River near Big Sandy (p) | 1 | 1939- | 6-11-65 | 1,790 | 22.6 |
| 09204000 | Silver Creek near <br> Big Sandy (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1939-45, \\ & 1947, \\ & 1949-71 \end{aligned}$ | 6-9-69 | 2,130 | 46.9 |
| 09204500 | East Fork at Newfork (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1905-06, } \\ & \text { 1915-18, } \\ & 1920-24, \\ & 1931-32 \end{aligned}$ | 6-19-17 | 2,940 | 8.45 |
| 09204700 | Sands Springs Draw tributary near Boulder | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961-62, } \\ & 1965-66, \\ & 1968- \end{aligned}$ | 7-20-73 | 82 | 15.9 |
| 09205000 | New Fork River near Big Piney (p) | 1 | 1955- | 6-10-72 | 9,170 | 7.46 |
| 09205500 | North Piney Creek near Mason (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1915-16, \\ & 1932-72 \end{aligned}$ | 6-22-71 | 747 | 12.9 |
| 09206000 | Middle Piney Creek below <br> S. F., near Big Piney (p) | $1$ | 1940-54 | 6-29-43 | 254 | 7.40 |
| 09208000 | La Barge Creek near La Barge Meadows ranger station (p) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1941-42, \\ & 1951- \end{aligned}$ | 6-16-72 | 196 | 31.1 |
| 09210500 | Fontenelle Creek near Herschler Ranch, near Fontene11e (p) | 1 | 1952- | 6-13-65 | 821 | 5.40 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| ```Station no.``` | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | ```Records avai1- able (years)``` | Peak discharge <br> for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left.\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right]$ |
| 09212500 | Big Sandy River at Leckie Ranch, near Big Sandy (p) | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1911, } \\ & \text { 1940- } \end{aligned}$ | 6-10-65 | 2,030 | 21.6 |
| 09213500 | Big Sandy River near <br> Farson (p) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1915-17, } \\ & \text { 1921-24, } \\ & \text { 1927-34, } \\ & 1953- \end{aligned}$ | 6- 9-72 | 1,480 | 4.60 |
| 09214000 | Little Sandy Creek near E1khorn (p) | 1 | 1940-71 | 6-16-63 | 425 | 20.3 |
| 09215000 | Pacific Creek near Farson (i) | 2 | 1955- | 3-27-71 | 972 | 1.94 |
| 09216550 | Deadman Wash near <br> Point of Rocks | 2 | 1961- | 8-13-63 | 1,240 | 8.16 |
| 09216560 | Bitter Creek near <br> Point of Rocks | 2 | 1961- | 3-27-62 | 1,650 | 2.17 |
| 09216600 | Salt Wells Creek tributary near Rock Springs | 2 | 1959- | --------- | ------ | -------- |
| 09216700 | Salt Wells Creek near Rock Springs | 2 | 1959 | 2-11-62 | 3,750 | 7.28 |
| 09218500 | Blacks Fork near Millburne (p) | 1 | 1940- | 6-7-57 | 2,530 | 16.2 |
| 09220000 | E. F. of Smith Fork near Robertson, Wyo. (p) | 1 | 1940- | 6-10-65 | 1,450 | 27.4 |
| 09220500 | W. F. of Smith Fork near Robertson, Wyo. (p) | 1 | 1940- | 6-10-65 | 2,100 | 56.4 |
| 09221680 | Mud Spring Hollow near Church Butte, near Lyman | 2 | 1965- | 6-11-65 | 367 | 41.6 |
| 09221700 | Mud Spring Hollow near Lyman | 2 | 1959-71 | 3-27-62 | 406 | 39.8 |
| 09223000 | Hams Fork below Pole Creek near Frontier (p) | 1 | 1953- | 5-28-71 | 1,520 | 11.9 |
| 09224000 | Hams Fork at Diamondville (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1919-32, } \\ & 1946-49 \end{aligned}$ | 5-11-23 | 3,250 | 8.42 |
| 09224600 | Blacks Fork tributary near Granger | 2 | 1959- | 8-3-66 | 390 | 77.5 |
| 09224820 | Blacks Fork tributary <br> No. 3 near Green River | 2 | 1965- | 7-23-70 | 170 | 41.8 |
| 09225200 | Squaw Hollow near Burntfork | 2 | 1965- | -------- | 83 |  |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| Station no. | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge <br> $r$ period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unit discharge $\left.\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2}\right]$ |
| 09225300 | Green River tributary <br> No. 2 near Burntfork | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1959, } \\ & \text { 1961- } \end{aligned}$ | 7-15-59 | 3,360 | 258 |
| 09226000 | Henrys Fork near Lonetree (p) | 1 | 1943- | 6-10-65 | 2,010 | 35.9 |
| 09226500 | M. F. Beaver Creek near Lonetree (p) | 1 | 1949-67 | 6-11-65 | 775 | 27.7 |
| 09227500 | W. F. Beaver Creek near Lonetree (p) | 1 | 1949-62 | 6-13-53 | 417 | 18.1 |
| 09228500 | Burnt Fork near Burntfork (p) | 1 | 1944- | 6-10-65 | 3,200 | 60.6 |
| 09229450 | Henrys Fork tributary near Manila, Utah | 2 | 1965- | 8-19-68 | 588 | 187 |
| 09251800 | N. F. Little Snake River near Encampment | 1 | 1956-65 | 6-27-67 | 628 | 65.1 |
| 09251900 | N. F. Little Snake River near Slater, Colo. (p) | 1 | 1956-63 | 6-7-57 | 628 | 21.4 |
| 09253400 | Battle Creek near Encampment (p) | 1 | 1956-63 | 5-29-58 | 670 | 52.3 |
| 09255500 | Savery Creek at upper station near Savery (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1941-42, \\ & 1944, \\ & 1953-70 \end{aligned}$ | 4-15-62 | 1,680 | 8.40 |
| 09256000 | Savery Creek near Savery (p) | 1 | 1942- | 5-4-52 | 2,670 | 8.09 |
| 09257000 | Little Snake River near Dixon (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1917, \\ & \text { 1920-23, } \\ & 1938- \end{aligned}$ | 5-26-20 | 9,600 | 9.72 |
| 09258000 | Willow Creek near Dixon(p) | 1 | 1954- | 5-6-70 | 267 | 11.1 |
| 09258900 | Muddy Creek above Baggs | 2 | 1958-71 | 2-27-62 | 2,650 | 2.25 |
| 10011500 | Bear River near <br> Utah-Wyo State line (p) | 1 | 1943- | 6-6-68 | 2,980 | 16.9 |
| 10012000 | Mill Creek at Utah-Wyo State line (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1943-48 \\ & 1950-62 \end{aligned}$ | 6-7-57 | 690 | 11.7 |
| 10015700 | Sulfur Creek above reservoir, near Evanston (p) | 1 | 1958- | 4-21-65 | 1,220 | 191 |
| 10019700 | Whitney Canyon Creek near Evanston | 1 | 1965- | 4--71 | 160 | 17.9 |
| 10032000 | Smiths Fork near Border (p) | 1 | 1942- | 6-18-71 | 1,610 | 9.76 |
| 10040000 | Thomas Fork near Geneva, Idaho (p) | 1 | 1940-51 | 5-1-65 | 776 | 17.1 |

Table 1.--Streamflow stations used in analyses--continued

| Station no. | Station name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Records } \\ \text { avail- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { (years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge for period of record |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { charge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) / \mathrm{mi}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10040500 | Salt Creek near Geneva, Idaho (p) | 1 | 1940-51 | 5-18-50 | 382 | 10.1 |
| 10041000 | Thomas Fork near Wyo-Idaho State line (p) | 1 | 1950- | 5-14-71 | 1,040 | 9.20 |
| 13011500 | Pacific Creek near Moran (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & \text { 1945- } \end{aligned}$ |  | 3,470 | 21.7 |
| 13011800 | Blackrock Creek tributary near Moran | 1 | 1964- | 6-9-72 | 66 | 82.5 |
| 13012000 | Buffalo Fork near Moran(p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & 1945-60 \end{aligned}$ | 6-27-54 | 5,960 | 15.8 |
| 13018300 | Cache Creek near Jackson (p) | 1 | 1963- | 6-24-71 | 225 | 22.5 |
| 13019210 | Rim Draw near Bondurant | 1 | 1964- | 5- -71 | 18 | 5.28 |
| 13019220 | Sour Moose Creek near Bondurant | 1 | 1964- | 5--69 | 25 | 35.7 |
| 13019400 | Cliff Creek near Bondurant | 1 | 1964- | 6-9-72 | 1,150 | 19.6 |
| 13019500 | Hoback River near Jackson (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & \text { 1945-58 } \end{aligned}$ | 6-16-18 | 6,160 | 10.9 |
| 13020000 | Fall Creek near Jackson | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & \text { 1964- } \end{aligned}$ | 5-30-71 | 580 | 12.4 |
| 13021000 | Cabin Creek near Jackson | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & \text { 1964- } \end{aligned}$ | 5-16-72 | 167 | 19.2 |
| 13022550 | Red Creek near Alpine | 1 | 1964- | 6-12-70 | 44 | 11.3 |
| 13023000 | Greys River above reservoir near Alpine (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1918, \\ & 1937-38, \\ & 1959-72 \end{aligned}$ | 6-19-71 | 7,230 | 14.8 |
| 13023800 | Fish Creek near Smoot | 1 | 1965- | 5-29-67 | 77 | 21.4 |
| 13025500 | Crow Creek near <br> Fairview (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1946-49, \\ & 1962-67 \end{aligned}$ | 2- 1-63 | 346 | 3.01 |
| 13027000 | Strawberry Creek near Bedford (p) | 1 | 1932-43 | 6-27-43 | 396 | 18.6 |
| 13027200 | Bear Canyon near Freedom | 1 | 1961- | 4-25-62 | 180 | 44.5 |
| 13030000 | Indian Creek above reservoir, near Alpine, Idaho (p) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & 1954-61 \end{aligned}$ | 6-14-18 | 350 | 9.51 |
| 13030500 | Elk Creek above reservoir near Irwin, Idaho (p) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918, } \\ & \text { 1954-61 } \end{aligned}$ | 6-15-18 | 870 | 14.7 |

Table 2.--Streamflow characteristics at gaging stations.









| 1,680 | 1,930 | 2,170 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,820 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 9,010 | 14,100 |  |
| 174 |  |  |
| 727 | 969 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 11,700 | 16,600 | 22,800 |
| 2,380 | 3,140 |  |
| 1,620 | 2,130 |  |
| 2,520 | 3,810 |  |
| 876 |  |  |
| 3,010 | 3,510 |  |






Table 2.--Streamflow characteristics at gaging stations--continued

| Station no. | Streamflow characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qa  <br> Mean Number <br> annual of non- <br> flow zero <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ events |  | Peak flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Log-Pearson Type III calculations$\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Logarithmic array of annual events |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gm}} \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ | Mean | S.D. | Skew | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$ |  |
| 06271000 | 146 | 41 | 1,640 | 2,170 | 2,510 | 2,930 | 3,230 | 3,520 | 3.212 | 0.148 | -0.08 | 0.05 | 1,630 |
| 06272500 | 149 | 19 | 2,230 | 3,380 | 4,430 | 6,170 | 7,820 |  | 3.385 | . 195 | 1.10 | . 06 | 2,430 |
| 06273000 | 39.6 | 31 | 454 | 629 | 758 | 935 | 1,080 | 1,230 | 2.669 | . 160 | . 43 | . 06 | 467 |
| 06274200 |  | 11 | 68 | 170 | 253 |  |  |  | 1.760 | . 551 | -. 79 | . 31 | 58 |
| 06274250 |  | 15 | 1,080 | 2,140 | 3,130 | 4,780 |  |  | 3.052 | . 339 | . 31 | . 11 | 1,130 |
| 06274500 | 182 | 25 | 2,030 | 3,260 | 4,320 | 6,010 | 7,540 | 9,340 | 3.331 | . 229 | . 66 | . 07 | 2,140 |
| 06275000 | 118 | 28 | 1,210 | 1,990 | 2,560 | 3,320 | 3,910 | 4,520 | 3.078 | . 261 | -. 16 | . 08 | 1,200 |
| 06276500 | 347 | 53 | 3,550 | 5,940 | 7,760 | 10,300 | 12,400 | 14,600 | 3.549 | . 267 | -. .04 | . 08 | 3,540 |
| 06277700 |  | 13 | 98 | 369 | 739 |  |  |  | 1.988 | . 688 | -. . 01 | . 35 | 97 |
| 06277750 |  | 13 | 82 | 149 | 206 |  |  |  | 1.926 | . 298 | . 21 | . 15 | 84 |
| 06278300 | 36.8 | 17 | 731 | 940 | 1,140 | 1,460 |  |  | 2.900 | . 119 | 2.00 | . 04 | 794 |
| 06278400 |  | 12 | 246 | 309 | 355 |  |  |  | 2.404 | . 110 | . 75 | . 05 | 254 |
| 06278500 | 119 | 33 | 1,360 | 1,790 | 2,120 | 2,580 | 2,950 | 3,360 | 3.149 | . 133 | . 74 | . 04 | 1,410 |
| 06280300 | 426 | 15 | 4,030 | 4,880 | 5,390 | 6,010 |  |  | 3.607 | . 101 | . 04 | . 03 | 4,050 |
| 06289000 | 150 | 33 | 1,000 | 1,420 | 1,730 | 2,150 | 2,480 | 2,850 | 3.011 | . 172 | . 34 | . 06 | 1,030 |
| 06296500 | 32.3 | 12 | 250 | 372 | 470 |  |  |  | 2.417 | . 192 | . 59 | . 08 | 261 |
| 06297000 | 78.8 | 27 | 890 | 1,190 | 1,380 | 1,610 | 1,780 | 1,950 | 2.945 | . 153 | - . 10 | . 05 | 881 |
| 06298000 | 187 | 44 | 1,680 | 2,230 | 2,550 | 2,910 | 3,150 | 3,380 | 3.214 | . 156 | - . 42 | . 05 | 1,640 |
| 06298500 | 12.9 | 22 | 124 | 239 | 341 | 502 | 648 |  | 2.093 | . 339 | . 22 | . 16 | 124 |
| 06299500 | 29.3 | 30 | 299 | 492 | 664 | 945 | 1,210 | 1,520 | 2.503 | . 238 | . 73 | . 10 | 318 |
| 06300500 | 32.6 | 20 | 491 | 699 | 875 | 1,150 | 1,400 |  | 2.719 | . 166 | 1.24 | . 06 | 524 |
| 06306900 |  | 15 | 134 | 456 | 829 | 1,500 |  |  | 2.160 | . 619 | - . 22 | . 29 | 145 |
| 06306950 |  | 14 | 66 | 200 | 322 | 498 |  |  | 1.731 | . 667 | - . 80 | . 39 | 54 |
| 06309200 | 34.3 | 11 | 650 | 1,320 | 2,310 |  |  |  | 2.926 | . 341 | 2.21 | . 12 | 843 |








| 704 | 828 | 965 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,110 | 1,300 | 1,500 |
|  |  |  |
| 28,600 | 43,800 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1,520 | 2,130 | 2,920 |
| 1,870 | 2,890 | 4,390 |
|  |  |  |
| 35,600 | 50,000 | 68,800 |
| 1,830 | 2,210 | 2,630 |
| 1,570 | 2,010 |  |
| 693 |  |  |
| 2,440 | 2,750 |  |
| 1,370 |  |  |
| 5,390 | 6,230 | 7,040 |
| 1,120 |  |  |
| 3,260 |  |  |
| 6,300 | 7,370 |  |
| 14,900 | 19,300 | 24,500 |






Table 2.--Streamflow characteristics at gaging stations--continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Streamflow characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qa  <br> Mean Number <br> annual of non- <br> flow zero <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ events |  | Peak flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Log-Pearson Type III calculations$\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Logarithmic array of annual events |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gm}} \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ | Mean | S.D. | Skew | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ |  |
| 06394000 | 32.7 | 29 | 1,020 | 2,030 | 3,190 | 5,580 | 8,370 | 12,400 | 3.075 | 0.320 | 1.26 | 0.10 | 1,190 |
| 06426200 |  | 14 | 38 | 68 | 102 | 165 |  |  | 1.660 | . 270 | 1.37 | . 16 | 45.7 |
| 06426500 | 21.0 | 28 | 898 | 2,040 | 3,050 | 4,600 | 5,950 | 7,440 | 2.934 | . 440 | -. 26 | . 15 | 859 |
| 06427700 |  | 14 | 215 | 616 | 1,220 | 2,830 |  |  | 2.427 | . 492 | 1.20 | . 20 | 267 |
| 06429300 |  | 9 | 25 | 92 | 182 |  |  |  | 1.405 | . 667 | . 01 | . 47 | 25.4 |
| 06430500 | 35.8 | 22 | 258 | 712 | 1,220 | 2,190 | 3,210 |  | 2.420 | . 517 | . 09 | . 21 | 263 |
| 06432230 |  | 12 | 133 | 157 | 310 |  |  |  | 1.859 | . 669 | -. 80 | . 36 | 72.3 |
| 06620400 | 33.0 | 10 | 544 | 697 | 804 |  |  |  | 2.745 | . 121 | . 47 | . 04 | 556 |
| 06621000 | 78.7 | 26 | 981 | 1,290 | 1,470 | 1,670 | 1,790 | 1,910 | 2.978 | . 156 | -. 52 | . 05 | 951 |
| 06622500 | 89.4 | 15 | 1,000 | 1,360 | 1,560 | 1,770 |  |  | 2.983 | . 175 | -. 65 | . 06 | 962 |
| 06622700 | 52.4 | 14 | 574 | 1,060 | 1,840 | 1,050 |  |  | 2.882 | . 319 | 2.84 | . 14 | 762 |
| 06624500 | 295 | 11 | 2,950 | 3,860 | 4,500 |  |  |  | 3.478 | . 133 | . 38 | . 04 | 3,010 |
| 06625000 | 236 | 34 | 2,150 | 2,880 | 3,370 | 4,000 | 4,460 | 4,940 | 3.336 | . 148 | . 06 | . 04 | 2,170 |
| 06628900 | 39.5 | 17 | 530 | 756 | 901 | 1,030 |  |  | 2.708 | . 199 | -. 37 | . 07 | 511 |
| 06629100 |  | 12 | 52 | 76 | 96 |  |  |  | 1.747 | . 175 | . 99 | . 10 | 55.8 |
| 06629150 |  | 12 | 121 | 338 | 590 |  |  |  | 2.095 | . 521 | . 16 | . 25 | 124 |
| 06629200 |  | 12 | 97 | 337 | 612 |  |  |  | 1.945 | . 682 | -. 37 | . 35 | 38.1 |
| 06629800 |  | 15 | 24 | 677 | 125 | 266 |  |  | 1.445 | . 489 | . 85 | . 34 | 27.9 |
| 06629850 |  | 13 | 88 | 242 | 439 |  |  |  | 1.997 | . 485 | . 64 | . 24 | 99.3 |
| 06630200 |  | 13 | 182 | 339 | 450 |  |  |  | 2.226 | . 356 | -. 60 | . 16 | 168 |
| 06630800 |  | 12 | 55 | 88 | 109 |  |  |  | 1.715 | . 270 | -. 61 | . 16 | 51.9 |
| 06631100 |  | 12 | 246 | 304 | 336 |  |  |  | 2.381 | . 120 | -. 53 | . 05 | 240 |
| 06631150 |  | 9 | 294 | 595 | 866 |  |  |  | 2.473 | . 361 | . 08 | . 15 | 297 |
| 06631260 |  | 9 | 9 | 14 | 19 |  |  |  | . 974 | . 222 | . 73 | . 23 | 9.42 |
| 06632400 | 80.9 | 8 | 1,730 | 2,430 | 2,820 |  |  |  | 3.211 | . 205 | -. 80 | . 06 | 1,630 |







Table 2，－－Streamflow characteristics at gaging stations－－continued

| Station no． | Streamflow characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qa  <br> Mean Number <br> annual of non－ <br> flow zero <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ events | Peak flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Log-Pearson Type III calculations } \\ \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Logarithmic array of annual events |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gm}}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ | Mean | S．D． | Skew | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\left(f t^{3} / s\right)$ |







| － |  | $\bigcirc$ | 앗ㅇㅇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％ | キヘNすN | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| ง์ | －ヘべベヘ |  | べべ |


| 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |
| ＊ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
0 & m N \infty & m \\
N & \cdots & n \\
\cdots & \cdots
\end{array} \\
& \text { ज }
\end{aligned}
$$







 06761900
06762600
09188500
09189500
09196500
09198500
09199500
09201000
09203000
09204000
09204500
09204700
09205000
09205500
09206000
09208000
09210500
09212500
09213500
09214000
09215000
09216550
09216560
09216600





| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Nin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{Q}{\infty} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ 88 \\ & \circ 88 \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{7}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $8$ | Ò | 응응 | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | - |
| 웅윳아 <br> - N N |  |  |  |  | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ |






| $\cdots$ - | $\bigcirc$ | $\pm \infty \sim$ | . | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 운 | ลัํ | ¢ N |  |  |


Table 2.--Streamflow characteristics at gaging stations--concluded

| Station no. | Streamflow characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qa  <br> Mean Number <br> annual of non-  <br> flow zero <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ events |  | Peak flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Log-Pearson Type III calculations$\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Logarithmic array of annual events |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gm}} \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{100}$ | Mean | S.D. | Skew | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ |  |
| 10040500 | 19.0 | 12 | 169 | 294 | 377 | 476 |  |  | 2.194 | 0.320 | -0.65 | 0.15 | 156 |
| 10041000 | 54.6 | 23 | 436 | 753 | 954 | 1,190 | 1,340 |  | 2.598 | . 326 | -. 76 | . 13 | 396 |
| 13011500 | 266 | 25 | 2,480 | 3,000 | 3,270 | 3,550 | 3,730 | 3,880 | 3.385 | . 108 | -. 58 | . 03 | 2,430 |
| 13011800 |  | 10 | 37 | 51 | 61 |  |  |  | 1.592 | . 154 | . 28 | . 10 | 39 |
| 13012000 | 597 | 17 | 4,150 | 4,870 | 5,340 | 5,910 |  |  | 3.622 | . 080 | . 35 | . 02 | 4,190 |
| 13018300 | 14.3 | 11 | 85 | 134 | 175 |  |  |  | 1.954 | . 219 | . 61 | . 11 | 90 |
| 13019210 |  | 10 | 14 | 16 | 17 |  |  |  | 1.163 | . 058 | . 28 | . 05 | 15 |
| 13019220 |  | 10 | 16 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  | 1.204 | . 147 | -. 36 | . 12 | 16 |
| 13019400 |  | 10 | 554 | 780 | 960 |  |  |  | 2.766 | . 161 | . 84 | . 06 | 583 |
| 13019500 | 706 | 15 | 3,900 | 5,030 | 5,730 | 6,580 |  |  | 3.590 | . 132 | -. 05 | . 04 | 3,890 |
| 13020000 |  | 11 | 363 | 452 | 513 |  |  |  | 2.564 | . 102 | . 68 | . 04 | 366 |
| 13021000 |  | 11 | 127 | 160 | 176 |  |  |  | 2.085 | . 138 | -. 80 | . 07 | 122 |
| 13022550 |  | 10 | 20 | 32 | 40 |  |  |  | 1.298 | . 239 | -. 03 | . 18 | 20 |
| 13023000 | 652 | 18 | 3,380 | 4,580 | 5,400 | 6,470 |  |  | 3.534 | . 153 | . 18 | . 04 | 3,420 |
| 13023800 |  | 9 | 38 | 75 | 101 |  |  |  | 1.524 | . 412 | -. 80 | . 27 | 33 |
| 13025500 | 60.4 | 10 | 230 | 294 | 330 |  |  |  | 2.349 | . 140 | -. 55 | . 06 | 223 |
| 13027000 | 62.4 | 12 | 267 | 324 | 359 | 401 |  |  | 2.428 | . 099 | . 05 | . 04 | 268 |
| 13027200 |  | 11 | 45 | 84 | 112 |  |  |  | 1.629 | . 343 | -. 44 | . 21 | 43 |
| 13030000 | 13.7 | 19 | 204 | 267 | 306 | 354 |  |  | 2.309 | . 139 | - . 07 | . 06 | 204 |
| 13030500 | 69.0 | 19 | 472 | 617 | 707 | 815 |  |  | 2.671 | . 141 | -. 13 | . 05 | 469 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Channel geometry |  |  | Physical and climatic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main channe1 |  |  | A | S | L | St | E | F | P | $\mathrm{I}_{24,2}$ | Si | Lat | Ap | AGS |
|  | W | D | ${ }^{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06037500 | 92 | 6.0 | 0.06 | 420 | 34.2 | 38.9 | 1.5 | 7.92 | 95 | 24.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 60 |
| 06187500 |  |  |  | 50.4 | 165 | 12.9 | 1.0 | 8.34 | 97 | 16.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 60 |
| 06188000 | 128 | 5.2 | . 04 | 660 | 17.2 | 42.6 | 1.0 | 7.40 | 79 | 24.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 60 |
| 06189000 | 8.5 | 2.5 | . 29 | 15.0 | 384 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 7.85 | 76 | 15.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 60 |
| 06191000 | 56 | 3.7 | . 07 | 202 | 176 | 14.9 | 1.2 | 7.94 | 80 | 19.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 60 |
| 06206500 | 53 | 5.0 | . 09 | 135 | 73.9 | 22.0 | 1.5 | 8.50 | 64 | 18.0 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 80 |
| 06207500 | 180 | 6.0 | . 03 | 1,154 | 76.3 | 75.6 | 1.5 | 7.43 | 61 | 17.0 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 95 |
| 06218500 | 54 | 4.0 | . 07 | 232 | 73.5 | 26.7 | 1.5 | 8.92 | 63 | 21.5 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 65 |
| 06218700 | 14 | 2.0 | . 14 | 4.89 | 134 | 40.0 | 1.0 | 7.60 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 70 |
| 06221400 | 74 | 3.0 | . 04 | 88.2 | 206 | 18.6 | 2.4 | 10.50 | 31 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 70 |
| 06221500 |  |  |  | 100 | 151 | 27.1 | 3.3 | 10.20 | 27 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 80 |
| 06222500 |  |  |  | 53.2 | 202 | 21.1 | 2.3 | 10.10 | 35 | 14.3 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 90 |
| 06222700 | 24 | 4.5 | . 19 | 30 | 123 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 9.95 | 48 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 85 |
| 06223500 |  |  |  | 55.4 | 277 | 17.3 | 1.0 | 8.72 | 47 | 12.4 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 110 |
| 06224000 |  |  |  | 187 | 226 | 25.2 | 2.8 | 10.30 | 27 | 19.0 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 70 |
| 06226200 | 11 | 2.5 | . 23 | 10.5 | 280 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 8.12 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 95 |
| 06226300 | 18 | 4.0 | . 22 | 97.9 | 248 | 17.6 | 1.0 | 7.67 | 12 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 110 |
| 06229000 |  |  |  | 127 | 163 | 32.1 | 4.2 | 9.62 | 32 | 17.5 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 90 |
| 06229700 |  |  |  | 15.4 | 183 | 9.3 | 1.0 | 5.92 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 130 |
| 06229900 |  |  |  | 16.1 | 416 | 10.4 | 1.0 | 8.13 | 48 | 16.0 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 110 |
| 06231600 |  |  |  | 87.5 | 299 | 15.2 | 2.5 | 9.92 | 68 | 18.0 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 75 |
| 06232000 |  |  |  | 98.4 | 220 | 21.3 | 2.3 | 9.89 | 61 | 19.4 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 75 |

Table 3．－－Channel－geometry features and characteristics of gaged drainage basins－－continued
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| n? | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \cdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \infty 0 \times n \\ & 000 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\circ}$ | $\text { 강․ } 00 \text { OOOO. }$ | 0 + $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | no | mooom | 00 | ONNOOLNOOVNOO |  |
| $\dot{m}$ | $\dot{\text { mo }}$ | $\dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim}$ | $\dot{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\dot{m} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{0} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim}$ | $\cdots \dot{\sim}$ |
| 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |
| 0 | $\stackrel{\infty}{N} \underset{\sim}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | Non으N | $\infty \odot$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ ก |
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06267260
06267270
06268500
06269700
06270000
06270200
06270300
06271000
06272500
06273000
06274200
06274250
06274500
06275000
06276500
06277700
06277750
06278300
06278400
06278500
06280300
06289000
06296500
06297000
06298000
06298500
06299500
06300500
06306900
06306950
06309200
06311000
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06312700
Table 3.--Channel-geometry features and characteristics of gaged drainage basins--continued

| Station no. | Channel geometry |  |  |  | Physical and climatic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main channel |  |  | A | S | L | St | E | F | P | $\mathrm{I}_{24,2}$ | Si | Lat | Ap | AGS |
|  | W | D. | $\mathrm{D} /{ }_{\mathrm{W}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06312910 | 11 | 4.5 | 0.41 | 1.53 | 69.1 | 3.28 | 1.0 | 5.39 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 130 |
| 06312920 |  |  |  | 1.34 | 74.0 | 2.25 | 1.0 | 5.39 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 150 |
| 06313000 | 92 | 3.0 | . 03 | 1,150 | 17.6 | 102 | 1.2 | 5.76 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 145 |
| 06313020 |  |  |  | 8.29 | 36 | 5.84 | 1.0 | 5.78 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 150 |
| 06313050 | 19 | 3.0 | . 16 | 5.44 | 89 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 5.70 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 150 |
| 06313100 | 43 | 5 | . 12 | 11.4 | 76.6 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 5.24 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 150 |
| 06313180 |  |  |  | . 71 | 95.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.04 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 150 |
| 06313200 | 13 | 2.0 | . 15 | 1.60 | 100 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.10 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 150 |
| 06313700 | 38 | 6.0 | . 16 | 155 | 38 | 24.6 | 2.0 | 4.60 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 150 |
| 06313900 |  |  |  | 5.08 | 270 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 8.40 | 95 | 16.0 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 110 |
| 06314500 | 24 | 2.5 | . 09 | 51.7 | 240 | 17.0 | 1.0 | 7.83 | 77 | 15.6 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 120 |
| 06315500 | 35 | 2.9 | . 08 | 82.7 | 269 | 13.9 | 1.0 | 8.01 | 64 | 15.6 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 110 |
| 06316480 |  |  |  | 3.32 | 96.7 | 3.66 | 1.0 | 4.14 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 150 |
| 06316700 | 20 | 4.5 | . 22 | 1.64 | 121 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 4.08 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 150 |
| 06317000 | 160 | 6.5 | . 04 | 6,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |
| 06317050 |  |  |  | 4.28 | 175 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 4.20 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 150 |
| 06318500 | 62 | 6.0 | . 10 | 120 | 211 | 23.3 | 1.7 | 8.86 | 57 | 16.0 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 110 |
| 06321500 | 46 | 4.0 | . 09 | 36.8 | 262 | 13.8 | 1.0 | 7.92 | 88 | 22.5 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 120 |
| 06324900 | 21 | 1.5 | . 07 | 3.95 | 160 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 4.30 | 14 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 145 |
| 06325500 | 30 | 2.7 | . 09 | 1,974 | 8.00 | 129 | 1.1 | 3.93 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 145 |
| 06332900 |  |  |  | . 68 | 76.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.55 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 145 |
| 06334000 | 46 | 11 | .24 | 904 | 9.27 | 86.2 | 1.1 | 3.91 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 145 |
| 06334100 |  |  |  | 9.09 | 30.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 3.71 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 145 |
| 06378640 |  |  |  | 1.2 | 165 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.30 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 145 |
| 06379600 |  |  |  | 112 | 36.6 | 20.3 | 1.0 | 5.10 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 150 |
| 06382200 |  |  |  | 5.1 | 92.4 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 4.40 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 140 |
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Table 3.--Channel-geometry features and characteristics of gaged drainage basins--continued

| Station no. | Channe1 geometry |  |  | Physical and climatic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main channel |  |  | A | S | L | St | E | F | P | $\mathrm{I}_{24,2}$ | Si | Lat | Ap | AGS |
|  | W | D | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{W}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06637750 |  |  |  | 9.2 | 169 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 8.99 | 87 | 15.0 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 90 |
| 06638100 |  |  |  | 889 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110 |
| 06638300 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 0.25 | 11.6 | 60.0 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 7.01 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 130 |
| 06641400 | 13.0 | 2.0 | . 15 | 9.48 | 194 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 6.43 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 140 |
| 06642700 | 26 | 4 | . 15 | 11.5 | 129 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 6.87 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 140 |
| 06642730 |  |  |  | 1.34 | 146 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 6.17 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 130 |
| 06642760 | 60 | 4.0 | . 07 | 117 | 56 | 19.0 | 2.0 | 6.80 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 130 |
| 06643300 | 17 | 4.0 |  | 5.39 | 206 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 5.91 | 18 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 120 |
| 06644200 |  |  |  | 2.64 | 132 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 6.15 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 150 |
| 06644840 | 8.0 | 1.5 | .19 | 2.02 | 103 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 5.85 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 150 |
| 06646500 | 58 |  |  | 212 | 64.2 | 51.4 | 1.0 | 6.79 | 2.5 | 13.5 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 90 |
| 06646700 |  |  |  | 2.60 | 246 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 5.74 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 130 |
| 06647500 |  |  |  | 63.2 | 95.2 | 18.4 | 1.0 | 7.96 | 83 | 13.8 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 80 |
| 06648720 |  |  |  | . 79 | 127 | 2.01 | 1.0 | 5.42 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 150 |
| 06648780 |  |  |  | 1.38 | 100 | 2.92 | 1.0 | 5.42 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 150 |
| 06649000 | 28 |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06649900 | 14 | 3.0 | . 21 | 8.53 | 120 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 5.24 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 125 |
| 06651800 | 46 | 2.0 | . 04 | 27.8 | 48.1 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 5.00 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 140 |
| 06652400 | 7.0 | 1.5 | . 21 | 6.95 | 86 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 5.20 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 150 |
| 06661000 | 58 | 4.0 | . 07 | 156 | 101 | 26.8 | 1.4 | 9.11 | 59 | 16.6 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 115 |
| 06661580 | 12 | 1.2 | . 10 | 11.2 | 249 | 10.3 | 2.0 | 8.79 | 46 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 125 |
| 06664500 | 38 |  |  | 225 | 63.1 | 36.5 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 15.3 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 100 |
| 06667500 | 38 | 2.3 | . 06 | 370 | 47.0 | 58.9 | 1.0 | 7.20 | 39 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 100 |
| 06671000 | 15 | 3.6 | . 24 | 522 | 25.6 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 4.70 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 145 |
| 06754500 | 10 |  |  | 25.8 | 53.6 | 24.6 | 1.2 | 8.14 | 28 | 15.0 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 100 |
| 06755000 | 7.3 | 1.5 | . 21 | 13.9 | 93.1 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 7.81 | 22 | 15.0 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 100 |
| 06761700 |  |  |  | 24.8 | 28 | 21.3 | 1.0 | 5.80 | 1.0 | 15.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 150 |
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Table 3.--Channel-geometry features and characteristics of gaged drainage basins--concluded

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Channel geometry |  |  | Physical and climatic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | A | S | L | St | E | F | P |  | Si | Lat | Ap | AGS |
|  | W | D | ${ }^{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{W}$ | A | S | L | St | E | F | P | $\mathrm{I}_{24,2}$ | Si | Lat | Ap | AGS |
| 09224600 | 10 | 1.2 | 0.12 | 5.03 | 42.8 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 6.46 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 120 |
| 09224820 |  |  |  | 3.59 | 213 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 6.57 | 1.0 | 8.8 | . 9 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 130 |
| 09225200 | 15 | 1.3 | . 09 | 6.57 | 181 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 6.61 | 21 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 130 |
| 09225300 | 13 | 2.5 | . 19 | 13.0 | 103 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 6.54 | 3.0 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 130 |
| 09226000 | 40 | 4.0 | . 10 | 56 | 160 | 17.8 | 3.0 | 10.27 | 62 | 29.1 | 1.4 | 5.5 | . 9 | 1.1 | 80 |
| 09226500 | 24 | 3.0 | . 12 | 28 | 224 | 9.9 | 3.1 | 10.48 | 69 | 30.5 | 1.5 | 5.5 | . 9 | 1.1 | 80 |
| 09227500 | 19 | 2.5 | . 13 | 23 | 252 | 11.6 | 4.0 | 10.84 | 67 | 16.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | . 9 | 1.2 | 80 |
| 09228500 |  |  |  | 52.8 | 209 | 11.1 | 2.2 | 10.30 | 70 | 29.3 | 1.6 | 6.0 | . 9 | 1.0 | 90 |
| 09229450 |  |  |  | 3.15 | 182 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 6.60 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 120 |
| 09251800 | 26 | 2.5 | . 10 | 9.64 | 342 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 9.47 | 84 | 32.0 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 90 |
| 09251900 |  |  |  | 29.3 | 192 | 10.8 | 1.0 | 9.01 | 91 | 35.0 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 90 |
| 09253400 | 26 | 2.5 | . 10 | 12.8 | 284 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 9.59 | 84 | 35.0 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 90 |
| 09255500 | 32 | 5.0 | . 16 | 200 | 50.2 | 21.8 | 1.2 | 7.79 | 21 | 14.5 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 110 |
| 09256000 | 54 | 5.0 | . 09 | 330 | 42.5 | 29.8 | 1.2 | 7.87 | 32 | 17.6 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 110 |
| 09257000 |  |  |  | 988 | 57.9 | 46.0 | 1.3 | 8.03 | 47 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 110 |
| 09258000 |  |  |  | 24 | 279 | 10.5 | 2.5 | 7.85 | 53 | 15.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | . 8 | 1.4 | 110 |
| 09258900 | 34 | 6.0 | . 18 | 1,178 | 20.6 | 52 | 2.0 | 7.00 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 110 |
| 10011500 | 69 | 5.0 | . 07 | 176 | 98.0 | 19.6 | 6.0 | 9.77 | 62 | 31.7 | 1.6 | 6.0 | . 8 | 1.1 | 70 |
| 10012000 | 30 | 3.0 | . 10 | 59 | 170 | 13.7 | 4.0 | 9.32 | 73 | 24.0 | 1.5 | 6.7 | . 9 | 1.3 | 70 |
| 10015700 | 16 | 4.0 | . 25 | 64 | 92.8 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 8.20 | 39 | 14.0 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 80 |
| 10019700 |  |  |  | 8.93 | 148 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 7.30 | 16 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 90 |
| 10032000 | 47 | 9.0 | . 19 | 165 | 79.0 | 25.2 | 2.0 | 8.27 | 64 | 32.1 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 60 |
| 10040000 |  |  |  | 45.3 | 69.0 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 7.17 | 28 | 19.0 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 60 |
| 10040500 |  |  |  | 37.6 | 148 | 11.4 | 1.0 | 7.39 | 46 | 32.9 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 60 |
| 10041000 | 38 | 4.0 | . 11 | 113 | 127 | 11.8 | 2.0 | 7.29 | 40 | 29.0 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 60 |
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| .O.n.!.!.! | ㅇ. | $\xrightarrow{\text { N }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00000000 n N 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - no. |  |  |  |
|  | ๙ู | N |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.--Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites.

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | $\left(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{t}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| YELLOWSTONE RIVER BASIN Wind River |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wiggins Fork |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand Coulee near Dubois | 2 | 13 | 7-24-34 | 515 | 40.0 |
| Popo Agie River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Popo Agie River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Devils Creek near Lander | 2 | 4.9 | 6-10-65 | 425 | 87.0 |
| Twin Creek near Lander | 2 | 84 | 6-7-69 | 2,360 | 280 |
| Twin Creek near Lander | 2 | 109 | 2-11-62 | 1,160 | 10.6 |
| Kirby Draw east of Riverton | 2 | 144 | 6- 2-61 | 7,290 | 51.0 |
| Kirby Draw east of Riverton | 2 | 89 | 2-11-62 | 2,200 | 25.0 |
| Bighorn River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coal Draw <br> tributary near Thermopolis | 2 | 3.8 | 6-16-60 | 1,740 | 458 |
| Cottonwood Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grass Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary near Grass Creek | 2 | . 25 | 7-21-70 | 201 | 804 |
| tributary near Grass Creek | 2 | . 94 | 7-21-70 | 602 | 640 |
| Little Gooseberry Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nowood River 3 20.2 1,980 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand Creek near Worland | 2 | 24.4 | 9- -63 | 3,740 | 153 |
| Dry Creek near Emblem | 2 | 249 | 9-19-61 | 2,060 | 8.27 |
| Shoshone River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whistle Creek near Emblem | 2 | 70 | 9-19-61 | 4,260 | 61.0 |
| Whistle Creek near Emblem | 2 | 82.4 | 9-12-61 | 404 | 5.00 |
| Whistle Creek near Love11 | 2 | 102 | 9-19-61 | 7,910 | 77.5 |
| Coon Creek near Emblem | 2 | 47 | 9-19-61 | 2,360 | 50.2 |
| Coon Creek near Lovell | 2 |  | 9-19-61 | 2,000 |  |
| Powder River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Creek near Sussex | 3 |  | 5-23-52 | 21,600 |  |
| Teapot Creek near Midwest | 3 | 383 | 5-21-62 | 2,360 | 6.16 |
| Bothwell Draw near Midwest | 3 |  | 8- 2-53 | 5,820 |  |
| Crazy Woman Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo Wallow near Buffalo | 3 | 30.2 | 8-23-68 | 1,980 | 65.6 |

Table 4.--Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites--continued

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Drain- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { area } \\ \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | $\left(f t^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| YELLOWSTONE RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wild Horse Creek at Arvada | 3 | 322 | 6-16-25 | 10,000 | 31.0 |
| Deadman Creek near Arvada | 3 | 12 | 6- -62 | 16,600 | 1,383 |
| Clear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Creek near Buffalo | 1 | 109 | 6-15-63 | 1,410 | 12.9 |
| Lone Tree Creek near Clearmont | 3 |  | 6-25-42 | 6,800 |  |
| Little Powder River tributary near Gillette | 2 | . 5 | 7-15-69 | 223 | 446 |
| CHEYENNE RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lance Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wyatte Creektributary near Manville |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01d Woman Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary | 2 |  | 6-28-52 | 156 |  |
| tributary | 2 |  | 6-28-52 | 1,140 |  |
| Beaver Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cambria Creek at Newcastle | 4 | 16.2 | 6-15-62 | 1,020 | 63.0 |
| Belle Fourche River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donkey Creek near Moorcroft | 2 | 520 | 5-27-62 | 2,280 | 4.38 |
| Rush Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary near Moorcroft | 2 | . 47 | 5-24-60 | 336 | 715 |
| tributary near Moorcroft | 2 | . 16 | 5-24-60 | 136 | 850 |
| Wind Creek at Thornton | 2 | 16.3 | 5- -62 | 531 | 32.6 |
| West Fork Wind Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freda Creek nr Moorcroft | 2 | 5.6 | 5- -62 | 232 | 41.4 |

Table 4.--Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites--continued

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | $\left(f t^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLATTE RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Platte River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine Bow River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threemile Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Medicine Bow River |  |  |  |  |  |
| near Shirley Basin <br> Sand Creek near | 2 | 160 | 5-3-70 | 1,070 | 6.69 |
| Shirley Basin | 2 | 3.11 | 5-3-70 | 115 | 37.0 |
| Sand Creek near 37.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shirley Basin | 2 | 56.7 | 5-3-70 | 696 | 12.3 |
| Blue Gulch near Alcova | 2 | 5.7 | 6- 6-61 | 472 | 82.8 |
| tributary near Casper | 2 | 2.0 | 7- 6-61 | 1,140 | 570 |
| Squaw Creek near Casper | 3 |  | 7-6-61 | 992 |  |
| Webb Draw near Casper | 3 | 2.96 | 7-6-61 | 8,040 | 2,716 |
| Wolf Creek near Casper | 3 | 3 | 7-6-61 | 1,090 | 363 |
| Casper Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Fork Casper Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muddy Creek near Glenrock | 3 | 122 | 6-12-70 | 2,260 | 18.5 |
| Box Elder Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| Careyhurst | 4 | 202 | 5-14-65 | 8,250 | 40.8 |
| Box Elder Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| Careyhurst | 4 | 202 | 6-12-70 | 8,000 | 39.6 |
| La Prele Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit Creek near Douglas | 4 | 8.65 | 6-12-70 | 1,330 | 154 |
| Wagonhound Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| La Bonte | 4 | 112 | 6-12-70 | 7,140 | 63.7 |
| La Bonte Creek near La Bonte | 4 | 287 | 5-22-65 | 8,770 | 31.0 |
| West Fork La Bonte Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| near Douglas | 4 | 69.8 | 6-12-70 | 4,790 | 69.1 |
| Sand Creek near Orin | 3 | 27.8 | 8-7-55 | 20,700 | 744 |
| Shawnee Creek near Orin | 3 | 110 | 8-7-55 | 10,200 | 92.7 |
| Shawnee Creek near Orin | 3 | 110 | - -59 | 865 | 7.86 |
| Indian Creek near Orin | 3 | 16.2 | 6-14-65 | 786 | 48.5 |

Table 4. --Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites--continued

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drain- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { area } \\ \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | $\left(f t^{3 / s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLATTE RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Platte River--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine Bow River--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1khorn Creek near Glendo | 3 | 27.2 | 6-7-60 | 3,670 | 135 |
| E1khorn Creek near Glendo | 3 | 35.3 | 6-14-65 | 12,600 | 357 |
| North E1khorn Cr nr Glendo | 3 | 20.1 | 6-7-60 | 9,940 | 495 |
| North Elkhorn Cr nr Glendo | 3 | 21.5 | 5-14-65 | 4,310 | 200 |
| E1khorn Creek near Glendo | 3 |  | 5-30-35 | 3,690 |  |
| Whiskey Gu1ch at Glendo | 3 | 9.53 | 6-14-65 | 16,100 | 1,690 |
| tributary near Glendo | 3 |  | 6-14-65 | 5,560 |  |
| Horseshoe Creek near Glendo | 4 | 194 | 6-7-60 | 680 | 3.50 |
| Horseshoe Creek near Glendo | 4 | 211 | 6-12-70 | 4,530 | 21.5 |
| Spring Creek near Glendo | 3 | 17.5 | 6-14-65 | 9,360 | 535 |
| Bear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Bear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Bear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary near Cassa | 3 | . 81 | 7-19-70 | 290 | 3.58 |
| South Bear Creek nr Cassa | 3 | 4.59 | 7-19-70 | 3,350 | 730 |
| tributary near Cassa | 3 | 4.59 | 7-19-70 | 3,350 | 730 |
| Cottonwood Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dwyer Junction | 3 | 48.1 | 6-12-70 | 4,900 | 102 |
| Whalen Canyon nr Guernsey | 3 | 27.2 | 6-26-55 | 5,390 | 198 |
| Whalen Canyon nr Guernsey | 3 | 27.2 | 6-9-65 | 3,150 | 116 |
| County Line Draw nr Guernsey | 3 |  | 6-26-55 | 2,820 |  |
| North Platte River over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whalen Dam |  |  | 6-26-55 | 7,640 |  |
| Cottonwood Craw near Guernsey | 3 |  | 6-26-67 | 21,600 |  |
| Molly Fork near Guernsey | 3 |  | 6-26-55 | 14,000 |  |

Table 4.--Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites--continued

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | $\left(f t^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLATTE RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Platte River--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laramie River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Laramie River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutton Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleepage Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jimmie Creek near 060 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington | 4 | 0.57 | 8-6-70 | 360 | 632 |
| West Fork JM. Cr nr |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Fork Dutton Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laramie River near Wheatland |  |  | 7-8-69 | 13,400 |  |
| North Laramie R. nr Garrett | 4 | 77.2 | 6-12-70 | 1,350 | 17.5 |
| Fish Creek nr Wheatland | 3 | 48.6 | 6-29-62 | 1,990 | 41.0 |
| tributary at Dwyer Jct. | 3 | 2.4 | 8-22-63 | 354 | 148 |
| Rock Creek at Wheatland | 3 | 10 | 5-29-38 | 2,060 | 206 |
| Chugwater Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dry Creek nr Chugwater | 2 | 5.5 | 6-25-55 | 2,660 | 484 |
| Dry Creek nr Chugwater | 3 | 5.0 | - -65 | 7,550 | 1,510 |
| Dry Creek nr Chugwater | 3 | 5.0 | 7-14-66 | 1,400 | 280 |
| tributary near Lingle | 3 |  | 6-26-55 | 1,300 |  |
| Horse Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourmile Draw nr La Grange | 3 | 12.9 | 8-10-66 | 3,260 | 253 |
| Bear Creek near La Grange | 3 | 456 | 5-29-62 | 5,460 | 120 |
| North Bear Creek near |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chugwater | 3 | 44.4 | 9- 1-66 | 790 | 17.8 |
| tributary tributary nr Chuwater | 3 | 2.4 | 7-14-66 | 1,190 | 496 |
| tributary nr Chugwater | 3 | 7.4 | 7-14-66 | 2,840 | 384 |
| South Fork Bear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary nr Chugwater | 3 | 1.0 | 7-14-66 | 408 | 408 |
| tributary nr Chugwater | 3 | 16.8 | 7-14-66 | 552 | 33.0 |
| Badger Branch nr La Grange | 2 | 8.7 | 5-29-62 | 6,550 | 753 |
| tributary nr La Grange | 2 | . 6 | 5-29-62 | 948 | 1,580 |
| tributary near <br> Hawk Springs | 2 | 2.7 | 6-10-67 | 1,100 | 407 |

Table 4. --Measurements of peak discharges made by indirect measurements at miscellaneous sites--continued

| Stream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reg- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Drain- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peak discharge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | ( $\mathrm{ft}{ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) /\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{mi}^{2}\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| MISSOURI RIVER BASIN--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLATTE RIVER BASIN--continued North Platte River--continued |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Platte River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lodgepole Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muddy Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.F. Muddy Creek near Burns | 3 | 25 | 9- 1-66 | 5,460 | 218 |
| COLORADO RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| GREEN RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bitter Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary near Rock Springs Killpecker Creek near | 2 | 8.6 | 7-31-59 | 2,290 | 2.66 |
| Rock Springs | 2 | 326 | 8-13-63 | 1,520 | 4.7 |
| Blacks Fork |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smith Fork near Lyman | 1 |  | 5-11-65 | 4,600 |  |
| Hams Fork |  |  |  |  |  |
| tributary nr Diamondville | 2 | . 55 | 6-15-59 | 114 | 207 |
| Henrys Fork tributary near McKinnon | 2 | 8.63 | 7-15-59 | 10,900 | 1,263 |
| GREAT BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| GREAT SALT LAKE BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great Salt Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear River Basin |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Creek nr Evanston | 2 | 123 | 2-11-62 | 804 | 6.53 |


[^0]:    * Graphical regression was performed due to the small number of stations.

