# WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OVER-SIGHT: USACE IMPLEMENTATION OF WATER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, PROGRAMS AND PRIORITIES

# **HEARING**

BEFORE THE

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

# UNITED STATES SENATE

ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

JANUARY 12, 2022

Printed for the use of the Committee on Environment and Public Works



Available via the World Wide Web: http://www.govinfo.gov

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE  ${\bf WASHINGTON} \ : 2022$ 

49-632 PDF

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

### ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS

#### SECOND SESSION

#### THOMAS R. CARPER, Delaware, Chairman

BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, Maryland BERNARD SANDERS, Vermont SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, Rhode Island JEFF MERKLEY, Oregon EDWARD J. MARKEY, Massachusetts TAMMY DUCKWORTH, Illinois DEBBIE STABENOW, Michigan MARK KELLY, Arizona ALEX PADILLA, California SHELLEY MOORE CAPITO, West Virginia, Ranking Member

JAMES M. INHOFE, Oklahoma
KEVIN CRAMER, North Dakota
CYNTHIA M. LUMMIS, Wyoming
RICHARD SHELBY, Alabama
JOHN BOOZMAN, Arkansas
ROGER WICKER, Mississippi
DAN SULLIVAN, Alaska
JONI ERNST, Iowa
LINDSEY O. GRAHAM, South Carolina

MARY FRANCES REPKO, Democratic Staff Director ADAM TOMLINSON, Republican Staff Director

# C O N T E N T S

	Page
JANUARY 12, 2022	
OPENING STATEMENTS	
Carper, Hon. Thomas R., U.S. Senator from the State of Delaware	$\frac{1}{3}$
WITNESSES	
Connor, Hon. Michael, Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works  Prepared statement	5 7
Responses to additional questions from: Senator Carper Senator Whitehouse Response to an additional question from Senator Merkley Responses to additional questions from:	14 15 17
Senator Kelly Senator Padilla Response to an additional question from:	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 21 \end{array}$
Senator Capito Senator Cramer Responses to additional questions from:	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 24 \end{array}$
Senator Wicker Senator Sullivan Spellmon, Lieutenant General Scott, Chief of Engineers and Commanding	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 26 \end{array}$
General, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Prepared statement Responses to additional questions from:	$\begin{array}{c} 28 \\ 30 \end{array}$
Senator Carper Senator Whitehouse Senator Kelly Senator Cramer	35 36 36 39
Senator Wicker Senator Sullivan	39 43

## WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OVERSIGHT: USACE IMPLEMENTATION OF WATER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, PRO-GRAMS AND PRIORITIES

### WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2022

U.S. Senate, Committee on Environment and Public Works, Washington, DC.

The Committee, met, pursuant to notice, at 3:03 p.m. in room 106, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Thomas R. Carper (Chairman of the Committee) presiding.

Present: Senators Carper, Čapito, Čardin, Whitehouse, Markey, Stabenow, Kelly, Inhofe, Cramer, Boozman, Sullivan, and Ernst.

# OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. THOMAS R. CARPER, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF DELAWARE

Senator Carper. I am pleased to call this hearing to order. Today, we are going to continue our work on this year's Water Resources Development Act, affectionately known as WRDA. We will be hearing from our distinguished witnesses from the Army Corps of Engineers, Assistant Secretary Connor, welcome, and to also welcome General Spellmon.

We thank both of you for joining us.

I think just earlier today, I think it was earlier today, that each of you appeared before the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. Is that correct? It is like a day, I am tempted to say day and night double header like they have in baseball, but it is a morning and afternoon double header. We are grateful that you are here. It is a big day for WRDA on Capitol Hill.

Let me start by taking a moment to note our Committee's successful track record on bipartisan water legislation. I am proud of the work by all of our colleagues and our staff members. I am grateful for the partnership that I enjoy with Ranking Member Senator Capito on these issues and on so many others. This has made it possible for us to pass a Clean Drinking and Wastewater bill as part of the historic bipartisan infrastructure law last year and to pass and then enact multiple bipartisan water resources development bills in the last several years.

Those of us on this Committee know that the process of working on WRDA every 2 years presents us with an opportunity to assess the Army Corps of Engineers' operations, to see how we can better support and equip this vital infrastructure agency with the tools it needs to succeed. The Corps has an extraordinarily important and

difficult mission, as we know, with project needs that far outweigh the available resources allocated to it.

Indeed, due to years of underfunding, the backlog of authorized but not completed projects has grown to over \$100 billion. That is more than 15 times the agency's annual operating budget, 15 times.

Demand for projects so outstrips the supply of resources that the Corps is placed in an untenable position. Moreover, its decision-making process grows far more difficult as the agency struggles to address both backlogged projects and the new needs of many communities grappling with the impacts of climate change, such as sea level rise and extreme weather.

Fortunately, with the passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and several supplemental funding bills, along with what we expect will be included in the annual appropriations measures, the Corps will be looking at a total of somewhere between \$80 billion to \$100 billion in available annual funding over the next 5 years. It doesn't wipe out the backlog, but it is a great step in the right direction.

With the significant influx of funding, we now have an opportunity to take the time to review the Corps' statutory authorities and make sure the agency spends these resources wisely, fairly, and in the areas of greatest need. Many smaller, disadvantaged communities, including those in rural and tribal areas, have great infrastructure needs. Yet they are typically the last to receive assistance due in large part to outdated budgeting practices.

That is why we included several provisions in the 2020 WRDA bill directing the Corps to increase its work with disadvantaged communities. We are interested in hearing from our witnesses today about how this work is going and how our Committee can work with the Corps to better assist these vulnerable communities.

It is also imperative that we discuss how the Corps' work must adapt to the increasingly powerful storms, more devastating floods, encroaching sea levels, and the seemingly endless droughts we continue to witness across our country. To better respond to these worsening impacts of climate change, the Corps needs to update its economic assessments and engineering standards.

Assistant Secretary Connor, let me again congratulate you on your confirmation. Assistant Secretary Connor, I recently wrote to you about the need to update engineering standards in response to directives from recent WRDA bills. This includes the implementation of natural and nature based project planning requirements.

As my colleagues frequently hear me say, Delaware is the lowest lying State in our Nation. Our State is sinking, and the seas around us are rising. Other States are seeing something similar to that, particularly along our coasts. We are acutely aware of the need to develop solutions that not only work today but also will protect us well into the future.

Incorporating natural infrastructure into resilience efforts in Delaware and other States has been and continues to be a critical element of long term solutions. The Corps needs to erase and use natural infrastructure in combination with engineered solutions to mitigate the impacts of climate change. That means ensuring local

project sponsors are aware that natural infrastructure is an avail-

able option in project demand.

Moreover, the Federal Government needs to plan for the climate reality that we face. And we know that failing to do so comes at a steep cost. The seven most severe storms since 2000 cost our country a total of \$1.3 trillion. Let me say that again. The seven most severe storms since the year 2000 cost our country a total of over \$1.3 trillion, as homes and cars were destroyed, peoples' jobs and lives were uprooted, and traveling and tourism came to a halt—\$1.3 trillion.

Throughout the past 30 years, much of the Corps' funding has been provided in response to disasters, not in preparation for them. Our country must become more proactive, addressing climate change before the storms arrive and preventing these massive

losses in the first place.

So let's begin our work on WRDA this year with equity in climate in mind, along with traditional issues such as navigation and flood control. Let's keep them at the top of our minds. My hope is that today's hearing will provide us with important insights into these challenges.

General Spellmon, Assistant Secretary Connor, we look forward to hearing your insights that will better inform our work on this

next authorization bill. Welcome.

Senator Capito, I am delighted to recognize you for any comments you would like to make.

# OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. SHELLEY MOORE CAPITO, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

Senator Capito. Thank you, Chairman Carper.

Welcome to our witnesses, Assistant Secretary Connor and General Spellmon.

Last year, this Committee took the lead in the passage of the historic bipartisan infrastructure legislation, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. I am pleased to begin 2022 with a discussion of how we can build upon that success by advancing the Water Resources Development Act, which we call WRDA, and get this leg-

islation to enactment.

Since 2014, the Committee has kept to its biennial WRDA schedule authorizing water resource projects and setting national policies for the Civil Works Program of the U.S. Corps of Engineers every 2 years. I look forward to continuing this track record.

The Corps' main mission are in the areas of navigation, flood risk management, ecosystem restorations, safeguard our communities, and support economic growth. This fact was underscored just a few months ago when portions of Louisiana were protected from devastation thanks to the Corps projects constructed in the wake of Hurricane Katrina.

Moreover, recent supply chain disruptions and accompanying inflation have highlighted the continued need for investments in our Nation's ports and waterways which facilitate the movement of billions of tons of goods and commodities in the United States. These projects and activities are authorized and directed by Congress through our WRDA legislation.

The most recent iteration of WRDA in 2020 included several project specific authorizations, modifications, as well as programmatic reforms. It also provided the Corps with a number of new authorities. The Committee continues to oversee the implementation of provisions from prior WRDA legislation.

I look forward to hearing from our witnesses on the status of the implementation of WRDA 2020 as well as other outstanding policy changes. I also expect there will be project specific questions from our members. All this will inform the Committee's work on future

WRDA legislation which has begun in earnest.

I thank all of my Senate colleagues and their staff because they have submitted their proposals for the Committee's consideration. As I have previously said, it is important that any future WRDA legislation supports the timely and efficient delivery of water resource projects in communities that need them while continuing to meet our national priorities.

We must also ensure that communities have access to the requisite technical expertise to address their water resources challenges. The opacity of the Corps' process and programs is a recurring issue. We must not be overly proscriptive, however. Our Nation's water resources are diverse, and communities know more about their needs than the policymakers here in Washington, DC. We must preserve the role of our non-Federal sponsors in project

delivery processes.

We must also take care not to divert the Corps' focus away from its primary mission areas. That said, the Corps ought to work with Congress and vice versa to make sure we are spurring innovation. And now is the time to deliver those projects better or faster. And as the General and I were speaking earlier in the lead up to this that with the enormous infusion of funds, delivery of this legislation comes as the Corps manages the \$17 billion in supplement appropriations provided to it by the IIJA which includes funding for WRDA 2020 authorities. That is more than double the annual appropriations and represents a real opportunity to reduce the backlog of some Corps projects.

Prompt responses to oversight requests from the Committee regarding this funding are critical. I look forward to hearing from our witnesses about the Corps' next step to implement the legislation.

In that vein, I would like to thank the staff at Corps headquarters and the Assistant Secretary's office for their attention to Committee requests for information regarding prior WRDA legislation, and in advance for their continued assistance as we move forward.

Let me reiterate my gratitude to our witnesses for being here today. As I have said in prior hearings, the mission of the Corps are more critical than ever. The testimony we will hear today will inform the Committee as it moves into its integral role in improving our Nation's infrastructure.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back my time.

Senator CARPER. Senator Capito, thank you very much.

Now it is our witnesses' turn to share their thoughts with us today and respond to our questions. We will begin with Assistant Secretary Connor. Mr. Connor, you are recognized for your statement. Again, congratulations on your confirmation. We look forward to working with you today and beyond.

Thank you.

### STATEMENT OF HON. MICHAEL CONNOR, ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY FOR CIVIL WORKS

Mr. CONNOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Capito, members of the Committee. I appreciate the opportunity to be here with my partner, General Spellmon, to testify regarding WRDA 2022.

I am Mike Connor, as has been noted, serving as Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, a position I have been in since November 29th of last year. Thank you again to this Committee for moving my nomination forward. I have submitted my written testimony and will summarize a few highlights.

The U.S. Army Civil Works Program is the largest water resources program in the Nation. It serves three primary missions: Flood and storm damage reduction, commercial navigation, and aquatic ecosystem restoration. It also addresses a host of other water resources and infrastructure needs as directed by Congress.

The Corps of Engineers have contributed significantly toward the Nation's well being, supporting the economy with its infrastructure and protecting and improving the lives of Americans with actions to address flood risk, environmental protection needs, even drought. Today, the Army Corps is committed to the national effort to work as partners with communities to improve their resilience to extreme weather events and other challenges related to a changing climate.

As the President has made clear, this Administration is focused on increasing infrastructure and ecosystem resilience and decreasing climate risk for communities based on the best available science; promoting environmental justice in disadvantaged, underserved, and rural communities; and creating good paying jobs.

The Army Civil Works Program will continue to work within its own authorities to tackle the climate crisis at home. Of course, we participate in the whole of government effort, including the Interagency Water Subcabinet and the Coastal Resilience Interagency Working Group.

WRDA 2022 is where we can continue to ensure the authorities necessary to implement the Administration's priorities. The President has set a goal that 40 percent of the overall benefits of Federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities, the Justice40 Initiative. I am committed to working with Lieutenant General Spellmon to seek opportunities to secure environmental justice and spur economic opportunity for disadvantaged communities that disproportionately experience the adverse effects of climate change.

I should also make clear the Army's role in supporting a broad range of infrastructure and landscapes. The Army works with our Nation's coastal ports to maintain their channels; operates and maintains the inland waterways of commerce; supports State, tribal, and local flood risk management activities; restores significant aquatic ecosystems; and operates and maintains significant multipurpose dams and reservoirs that exist behind those dams.

It is a great story. But much of the water resources infrastructure that the Army Corps owns and operates was constructed over 75 years ago and will require significant investments to maintain. As noted here, there have been significant investments, and we appreciate Congress' support.

As the Army works on policy and administrative changes to improve infrastructure development and regulatory responsiveness, my staff and I are looking at authorities, policy, regulations, and procedures to identify opportunities for increased efficiency and effectiveness.

This is particularly necessary given the substantial resources provided to the Corps this past year and the importance Congress ascribes to our programs. We want to ensure that Army Civil Works is using its significant capabilities in an equitable manner, that it incorporates natural and nature based infrastructure solutions into resiliency efforts, that it reduces redundancy, and that it delegates authority for decisionmaking to the appropriate level. I am committed to working closely with the Chief of Engineers and his commanding officers to position the Civil Works Program for continued success.

With respect to specific matters of interest to the Committee, we are working with OMB to finalize a proposed rule to implement WIFIA as provided for in the 2020 Energy and Water Appropriations Act. This proposed rule would implement a new Federal credit program to support investment in non-Federal dam safety projects through credit assistance to maintain, upgrade, and repair non-Federal dams.

This new Federal credit program will provide another way for non-Federal dam owners and managers to enhance the safety of their dams while also addressing water supply, energy, and environmental needs in a changing climate.

The Army has completed 18 WRDA 2020 implementation guidance documents and made substantial progress on the remaining guidance. Certain provisions may require rulemaking. And you have my commitment that WRDA 2020 implementation will be a priority and that we will complete the remaining implementation guidance documents and rulemakings.

The Army is also making progress on key regulatory issues. Together, we are working closely with the EPA to develop a durable definition of Waters of the United States informed by science, experience, and expertise to protect all interests dependent on clean water.

The Army has also lifted the temporary pause on finalizing Section 404 permits in November 2021 and is working to resolve the vast majority of the outstanding jurisdictional determinations.

The Army is also moving forward to coordinate with certifying authorities on water quality certifications that are potentially impacted by the recent vacatur of the 2020 Clean Water Section 401 rule.

With that, I look forward to answering any questions. [The prepared statement of Mr. Connor follows:]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS

## **COMPLETE STATEMENT**

OF

# MICHAEL L. CONNOR ASSISTANT SERETARY OF THE ARMY FOR CIVIL WORKS

## **BEFORE**

# COMMITTEE ON ENVRIONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS. UNITED STATES SENATE

ON

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2022

**JANUARY 12, 2022** 

Chairman Carper, Ranking Member Capito and distinguished members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today to discuss the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works program priorities for water infrastructure needs in the proposed Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2022.

I am Michael Connor, the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works (ASA(CW). I began serving in this position on November 29, 2021.

The U.S. Army Civil Works Program is the Nation's largest water resources program. It serves three main missions: flood and storm damage reduction, commercial navigation, and aquatic ecosystem restoration. The Civil Works Program also addresses a host of other water resource and infrastructure needs as authorized and funded by Congress. Our civil works projects have contributed significantly toward the Nation's well-being, supporting the economy and protecting and improving the lives of Americans with innovative water management processes to address flood risk, environmental protection needs, even drought. As such, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is committed to the national effort to help communities improve their resilience to extreme weather events, through our technical assistance programs and our water resources projects, a mission of increasing importance with a changing climate. Much of the Army's work can only be accomplished through a foundation of partnerships between the Corps and local communities, which allow us to work together to help develop, manage, restore, and protect the Nation's water resources and the environment.

The Administration is focused on increasing infrastructure and ecosystem resilience to climate change and decreasing climate risk for communities based on the best available science; and promoting environmental justice in disadvantaged, underserved, and rural communities and creating good paying jobs that provide the free and fair chance to join a union and collectively bargain. We believe in smart investments that maximize the resiliency and durability of our water management resources; moving into a more sustainable posture by investing in infrastructure that delivers benefits all across America. This can be accomplished through authorizations that address the effects of climate change.

The President has directed each federal agency to work within its own authorities to tackle the climate crisis at home. As part of this whole of government effort and a member of the Federal family, we work with the Interagency Water Subcabinet, comprised of the Departments of Interior, Agriculture, Energy and Commerce (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), and the Environmental Protection Agency, to streamline and coordinate the Federal government's approach to managing America's water resources and work to restore and protect the environment, safeguard public health and safety, and contribute to the nation's economy. We also partner with the Coastal Resilience Interagency Working Group, which includes the Departments of Transportation and Homeland Security, to elevate, coordinate and accelerate the Federal government's efforts to increase the resilience to climate change of the Nation's coasts and coastal communities. It is a priority of the Office of the ASA(CW) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to increase resilience to the impacts of climate change;

protect and conserve our water resources; and maintain the key features of our infrastructure that support the nation's economy. WRDA 2022 is where we can continue to ensure the authorities necessary to implement these priorities.

The President has also set a goal that 40 percent of the overall benefits of Federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities – the Justice40 Initiative. The Justice40 Initiative is a critical part of the Administration's whole-of-government approach to advancing environmental justice. I am committed to working with Lieutenant General Spellmon and his team to seek opportunities to secure environmental justice and spur economic opportunity for disadvantaged communities that are experiencing adverse effects of climate change.

The Army works with our Nation's coastal ports to maintain their channels; operate and maintain the inland waterways of commerce; support state, Tribal, and local flood and coastal storm damage risk management reduction activities; restore significant aquatic ecosystems; and operate and maintain multipurpose dams, as well as the reservoirs behind them. There are about 250 million day-visits a year for recreation at Corps' lands and reservoirs, making the Corps one of the top Federal recreation providers, an important outlet for many Americans during the course of the ongoing pandemic.

The infrastructure that the Army maintains includes 13,000 miles of coastal navigation channels (including the channels of the Great Lakes), 12,000 miles of inland waterways, 715 dams, 241 locks at 195 navigation sites, 14,700 miles of levees, and hydropower

plants at 75 locations with 353 generating units. These projects help provide risk reduction from flooding in our river valleys and along our coasts, facilitate the movement of approximately two billion tons of waterborne commerce, and provide up to 24 percent of the Nation's hydropower.

Much of the water resources infrastructure that the Army Corps owns and operates was constructed over 75 years ago and will require significant investments to maintain.

As the Army continues to work on policy and administrative changes to improve infrastructure delivery and regulatory responsiveness, my staff and I are looking at the organization, authorities, policies, regulations, and procedures, in order to identify opportunities for increased efficiency and effectiveness. We want to ensure that the Army Civil Works Program is using its significant capabilities in an equitable manner and to address longstanding environmental justice concerns; incorporates natural and nature-based infrastructure solutions into resiliency efforts where appropriate; reduces redundancy; and delegates authority for decision-making to the appropriate level. I am committed to working closely with the Chief of Engineers and his commanding officers to position the Army Civil Works Program for continued success.

With respect to some specifics, we are working with the Office of Management and Budget to finalize a proposed rule to implement the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act or WIFIA, as provided for in the 2021 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act. This proposed rule would implement a new federal credit program

to support investment in non-federal dam safety projects through credit assistance to safety projects to maintain, upgrade, and repair non-federal dams. The FY2021 Appropriations Act included \$12 million for a credit subsidy, and \$2.2 million for program administration and a loan volume limit of \$950 million. The appropriations limited WIFIA funds to safety projects to maintain, upgrade, and repair dams. This new federal credit program will provide another way for non-federal dam owners and managers to enhance the safety of their dams and to improve the durability of those dams while also addressing water supply, energy, and environmental needs in a changing climate.

The Army has completed 16 WRDA 2020 implementation guidance documents and made substantial progress on the remaining documents. Certain provisions may require rulemaking. You have my commitment that WRDA 2020 implementation will be a priority and that we will complete the remaining implementation guidance documents and any potential rulemaking.

The Army is also making progress on some key Regulatory issues. Together, we are working closely with the Environmental Protection Agency to develop a durable definition of "waters of the United States" (WOTUS) that is informed by science, experience, expertise and that protects public health, the environment, and downstream communities while supporting economic opportunity, agriculture, and other industries that depend on clean water. On December 7, 2021, Army and EPA published the a proposed rule that represents the first in a two-step process to revise the definition of WOTUS. The proposed rule will support a stable implementation of the Clean Water

Act's WOTUS definition while the agencies continue to consult with states, Tribes, local governments, and stakeholders in both the implementation of WOTUS and future regulatory actions. Now, more than ever, we recognize the importance of our Nation's water resources and the role water plays in sustaining all of our communities across the nation.

The Army also lifted the temporary pause on finalizing Section 404 permit decisions in November 2021, and is working to resolve the vast majority of the outstanding jurisdictional determination decisions. The Army will also coordinate with certifying authorities on water quality certifications that are potentially impacted by the recent vacatur of the 2020 CWA Section 401 rule by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

The Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers stand ready to help in addressing the water resources challenges of the 21st Century and doing so in an equitable manner that helps all of our communities in the United States. We look forward to working with this Committee on this very important issue.

Thank you, Chairman Carper and Committee Members. This concludes my statement. I look forward to answering any questions you or other Members of the Committee may have.

Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
Hearing Entitled, "Water Resources Development Act Oversight: USACE Implementation of
Water Infrastructure Projects, Programs and Priorities"
January 12, 2022

Questions for the Record for Assistant Secretary Michael Connor

#### **Chairman Carper:**

1. As you know, the Corps has had a significant project backlog and that has led communities to explore more innovative ways to deliver infrastructure projects, including having non-federal stakeholders taking on traditional Corps responsibilities and then submitting the project for Administration approval. Unfortunately, it appears the Corps has historically given these innovative approaches less attention. As communities increasingly explore these alternative project delivery methods, how will the Corps ensure these alternatively developed projects are equally considered, evaluated and, if appropriate, elevated alongside traditionally developed projects, while still protecting the environment?

The Corps has several authorities under which a non-Federal interest may construct a Corps project or a separable element thereof on its own or may undertake a study on its own that provides the basis for the authorization of a Corps project. The Corps retains an oversight responsibility during construction and is responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable environmental requirements for these studies and projects. In allocating funding, the Army considers each of these studies and projects on its merits, as it does with traditionally developed studies and projects.

2. Recently, there has been a lot of discussion regarding the methods used to calculate the benefit to cost ratio and the omission of benefits that are "hard" to quantify. For example, the benefit to cost ratio process used by the Corps and by the Office of Management and Budget does not fully account for savings to the taxpayer that stem from avoiding emergency response costs. The benefit to cost ratio also fails to fully capture long-term environmental benefits and tertiary economic benefits. WRDA 2018 and WRDA 2020 directed the Corps to update the project formulation methodology and benefit calculations. The Committee has been informed that the coming Principles, Requirements, and Guidelines document will update a number of the operating procedures including the benefit to cost ratio, calculations and implementation for natural or nature based infrastructure projects and separable elements, disadvantaged communities and mitigation requirements. When can Congress expect the Principles, Requirements, and Guidelines document to be finalized and what major policy areas should Congress expect will be addressed?

The benefits of a flood and storm damage reduction project include any reduction in emergency response costs that would occur as a result of its construction. The benefit-cost ratio fully accounts for these savings.

In evaluating a proposed water resources investment, the Corps considers a range of alternatives. In this analysis, the Corps considers both the cost and all of the benefits to

the Nation. The Principles, Requirements and Guidelines (PR&G) reflect the overall policy guidance for Federal investments in water resources. The Corps is planning a rulemaking action to determine how specifically it will implement the PR&G.

We anticipate a notice of proposed rulemaking on the Corps' agency specific procedures to implement the PR&G in spring 2023.

3. Delaware is the lowest lying state in the nation and sea level rise and extreme weather are becoming more and more a cause for concern. Earlier this Congress, General Graham testified that the Corps accounts for climate change in project designs, however, it seems that the Corps only does this by designing projects to address climate change impacts from storm surge. Currently, the Corps only considers the effects of climate change in an ancillary analysis of the compounding effects of sea level rise and more frequent and severe storms on damages from storm surge inundation. With climate change, flooding can have more than one cause. How should the Corps project formulation process be modernized so that it results in projects that are designed to minimize the impacts of climate change by reducing the comprehensive flood risk rather than the current limited ancillary approach?

For a community that is located on the coast, in some storms the risk to public safety and property damage can result from a combination of coastal (tidal and wave action, storm surge, and sea level rise) and inland (overbank flooding) forces. In most instances the study authority has been limited to affects from riverine flooding or coastal storm impacts. On the other hand, in some large coastal storms (Hurricane Sandy, Hurricane Harvey, and some others), the combined effects of riverine flows (from a large amount of rainfall on the land) and a storm surge have increased the extent of the flooding. The Corps considers the effects of climate change in formulation, including its effects on relative mean sea level change and changes in riverine flow frequency. The Corps is working to refine its ability to estimate the probability and consequences of this compound flooding.

The Corps also has the expertise to assist a community that trying to understand and develop options to address other types of flood risk. For example, stormwater management, and the increased incidence of routine flooding in some low-lying coastal areas, are generally a local responsibility. In these cases, the Corps may be able to help a community with technical and planning assistance provided through its Planning Assistance to States or its Flood Plain Management Services programs.

### Senator Whitehouse:

1. In Rhode Island, fish passage on the Blackstone River is a priority for DEM. Enhancing and allowing for fish passage has a number of benefits, including increased survival of species and increased biomass. There are also a number of indirect and harder to quantify benefits such as river connectivity, tourism, environmental justice, ecosystem benefits, and educational opportunities. DEM has been working with the Corps to address four dams in the lower Blackstone River. Some of the optimal options for enhancing fish passage in this area will be expensive: The dams are located in an urban

area with limited space. Two of the dams are aligned with the historically significant Slater Mill, where the history of the site has to be taken into consideration. Due to this expense, the normal process for cost-benefit analysis, which involves looking at the cost-per-fish, would lead to a result where it appears that the costs exceed the benefits. That kind of analysis does not factor in the indirect and harder to quantify benefits. Is the Corps considering changes to the cost-benefit process that would better account for the full breadth of benefits that these types of projects offer?

In evaluating a proposed water resources investment, the Corps considers a range of alternatives. In this analysis, the Corps considers both the cost and all of the benefits to the Nation.

2. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) made historic investments in USACE technical assistance programs. Does USACE have the capacity to delivery technical assistance at the levels of funding that IIJA provided to Planning Assistance to States (PAS) and Flood Plain Management Services (FPMS)? Does USACE have the authority to contract with third-party organizations, such as colleges and universities, to supplement USACE staff capacity to provide technical assistance? Are there any other statutory or non-statutory barriers to USACE supplementing its technical assistance programs with third-party contracting?

The IIJA investment in the Corps Planning Assistance to States (PAS) and Flood Plain Management Services (FPMS) programs is historic. The Corps has the capacity to support this investment.

3. USACE usually provides FPMS at full federal cost, while PAS usually has a 50 percent non-federal cost share requirement. You have the authority to waive the PAS cost-share requirement for tribal nations and U.S. territories, which was initially set at \$200,000 and adjusted for inflation. What is the current maximum PAS cost-share waiver for tribal nations and U.S. territories? Does the cost-share requirement present a barrier for tribal nations and U.S. territories, small or environmental justice communities, or other eligible entities to access technical assistance?

Section 1129 of WRDA 2016 provided that the waiver of \$200,000 of the local share of the cost of a Corps study or project that Section 1156 of WRDA 1986 provided for U.S. Territories would apply as well to any assistance that the Corps provides to a Tribal Nation or U.S. territory under its Planning Assistance to States program. Section 135 of WRDA 2020 amended the Section 1156 waiver to require an annual update to the waiver amount for inflation. As so adjusted, the FY 2022 cost share waiver is \$530,000. Costs above \$530,000 are cost-shared at 50% non-Federal/50% Federal.

For Tribal Nations, U.S. territories, or communities with environmental justice concerns any cost share requirement can be a burden for those low-income communities.

#### Senator Merkley:

- 1. Jetty infrastructure on the Oregon coast, and throughout the Pacific Northwest, is deteriorating at a rapid pace. This deterioration undermines the jetty's primary function, which is to protect the federal channel and ensure efficiency in the dredging cycle, and it puts billions of dollars of current and future federal and non-federal investments at risk. Perhaps most important, deterioration of these jetties creates a very dangerous situation for commercial ships, commercial and sport fisherman and recreational boaters. Lack of funding for jetty maintenance not only puts mariners in harm's way, it impedes the U.S. Coast Guard's ability to carry out search and rescue missions. When making decisions about how to distribute money around the country, I understand the Corps puts a large amount of weight on the amount of cargo that moves across the docks. While cargo tonnage seems to be the primary driver for making investment decisions, I do understand the Corps takes factors related to life and safety very seriously. However, it is not clear how, exactly, the Corps weighs life and safety concerns against cargo interests. Only if you have a multi-part question, please put the initial question above, and use this indented lettering format for your additional question that pertains to the main question.
  - a. Can you tell me how much relative weight the Corps places on cargo tonnage versus life and safety?
    - The Corps considers the project benefits and costs, including any associated risks, in its evaluation of future funding.
  - b. Can the Army Corp of Engineers share the entire list of factors the Corps uses when making decisions about how to distributing money for dredging and jetty maintenance?

Factors that are used to evaluate Navigation projects include cargo tonnage, environmental compliance such as biological opinions and mitigation, critical harbor of refuge, risk reduction, support of a U.S. Coast Guard search and rescue operations, national security, subsistence harbors, public transportation corridor, commercial fishing, Tribal access to usual and accustomed fishing grounds, condition of assets, and multi-purpose projects when those projects are included in the minimum programs of other business lines and not a separable element.

#### **Senator Kelly:**

- 1. Can you speak briefly to how the Corps currently accounts for a project's ability to respond to drought conditions when calculating the benefit-cost ratio of a project?
  - During feasibility studies, reasonably foreseeable future conditions, to include drought conditions, are evaluated for the study area.
- As you know, Arizona, and many other western states, have a significant number of abandoned hardrock mines, many of which are on tribal lands. In fact, the Navajo Nation alone has more than 500 abandoned mine sites. While addressing these abandoned mine

sites requires work by multiple agencies, I was surprised to learn that despite extensive work around abandoned mine restoration, the RAMS program has not partnered with many tribes in Arizona. One potential barrier may be that currently, in order to receive assistance from the RAMS program, a local sponsor of an abandoned mine site on nonfederal land must provide a local match of 50 percent. I know you have extensive experience working with tribes across the country. Do you believe that all tribes who may need assistance from the RAMS program can meet a 50 percent local match?

The Restoration of Abandoned Mine Sites Program (RAMS) statute authorizes planning, design, and technical support to help assess or remediate abandoned non-coal mine sites on Federal and non-Federal lands that may impact water quality. Under this program, the Corps is now providing assistance to support local efforts to assess or remediate such sites in California, Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, and Alaska. There are two components to RAMS assistance: (i) technical, planning and design assistance, for which the non-Federal share is 50 percent, unless the project is on land owned by the United States, in which case the Federal share is 100 percent (33 U.S.C. 2336(a-c)); and (ii) technology database assistance, which is free of charge (33 U.S.C. 2336(e).

At this time, no Tribe has requested technical, planning or design assistance, but if there were any such Tribes, they would not have to pay a charge for assistance involving trust lands, as these are owned by the United States. This exemption from cost sharing would not pertain to assistance involving Tribal restricted or fee lands, as these are not owned by the United States.

There are two projects for database assistance involving Tribes, with the Navajo Nation and the Pueblo of Isleta. Under current law, the Corps pays all of the cost of this work.

- a. If the Corps had the authority to reduce the non-federal cost-share, based on need, do you believe the RAMS program may be able to provide assistance to more communities in need?
  - Under current law, a Tribe would have to pay a share of the cost only for technical, planning, and design assistance related to Tribal restricted or fee lands. The Corps does not know whether this requirement has discouraged any Tribe from seeking such assistance as no Tribe has sought such assistance. However, abandoned mine sites are located on Tribal lands and many Tribal Nations are considered low-income communities by tools such as CEJST, including the Navajo Nation. Therefore, it would be anticipated that if Corps had the authority to reduce the non-federal cost-share, based on need, that the RAMS program may be able to provide assistance to more communities in need.
- 3. I next wanted to ask about Section 118 of the 2020 water resources bill, which created a new pilot program to help rural and economically disadvantaged communities plan and fund flood protection and storm damage reduction projects in partnership with the Corps. Too often the communities that could most benefit from Army Corps assistance, are the communities that can least afford it. For example, back in 2020, following several years of working with the Corps to scope a project which both met their flood control needs

and had a reasonable local share, Pinal County made the difficult decision to back out of a flood control study with the Corps, which would have addressed regional flooding. This central Arizona community would have benefited from a program like this, which I was proud to have supported. Yet, more than a year after the 2020 water bill was signed into law, the Corps still has not explained how this critical provision will be implemented. Can you provide an update on when you expect the Corps to finalize implementation guidance for the Sec. 118 program?

I am committed to issuing the Section 118 guidance. This pilot program is very complex and will require further planning and coordination including an out-reach effort to the eligible communities.

a. What, if any, challenges have you run into as you work to finalize this guidance?

There are numerous challenges in implementing Section 118. My staff is working with the Corps to develop definitions for rural, economically disadvantaged communities and technical assistance. In addition, Section 160 of WRDA 2020, requires the Secretary of the Army to use the criteria of Section 301(a) of the Public Works and Economic Development of 1965 in defining the term "economically disadvantaged community" may unduly limit the ability of the Corps to focus this and other programs in the communities that are most in need.

4. In your testimony you highlighted the Administration's emphasis on supporting underserved and rural communities within the Corps' portfolio. I wanted to learn more about how these priorities will factor into funding decisions made by the Corps. You and I have spoken before about the Little Colorado River Flood Control project in Winslow, AZ. Because it is a small community with low property values, the benefit cost-ratio for this project is lower than others, which has made it harder for this project to compete for funding. But the non-monetary benefits of this project are significant. The entire town of Winslow lies in a flood plain, more than a quarter of the town's residents live under the poverty line, and a third of the town's population is Native American. As you develop the Corps' spend plan from the bipartisan infrastructure law, and the FY23 budget request, how are you weighing the needs of rural and underserved communities like Winslow?

Environmental justice considerations will be woven into the Civil Works programs and processes, including the budget process.

5. The water behind ACOE dams is an asset to the nation for flood control, navigation, hydropower, and water supply. Reports from the American Society of Civil Engineers and the National Reservoir Sedimentation Team have identified the increasing loss of storage capacity in federal and private reservoirs due to sedimentation. What is the status of determining reservoir sedimentation in ACOE reservoirs?

The Corps owns, operates and maintains 356 dams that are designed to impound water to decrease flooding risks and enhance low flows during drought to serve multiple purposes including navigation, hydropower, municipal and industrial water supply, irrigation, water quality, aquatic ecosystems and recreation. In addition, the Corps owns, operates

and maintains 191 dams with lock structures whose primary purpose is to support commercial navigation on the inland waterways.

Where appropriate, the Corps estimates the build-up of sediment in its reservoirs by collecting survey data to measure the change in the available storage space, in order to inform project operations consistent with the authorized purposes.

a. Do you have a sense on how much flood and water supply storage capacity has been lost due to reservoir sedimentation?

The Corps has a general sense of the lost storage capacity nationwide. Across the portfolio, storage loss due to sedimentation is seen in all pools, with most reservoirs reporting between zero and 10 percent overall storage loss. However, some of our reservoirs are approaching a 50 percent loss of storage space.

Generally, the Corps will undertake a sedimentation survey only where there is a specific need for that information. Therefore, in many cases we have not conducted a recent sedimentation survey.

b. Do you have a plan to assess and prioritize potential action at ACOE reservoirs?

The Corps is working to understand the processes through which sediment is transported downstream from upland sources and its effects on riverine and coastal systems. We also are evaluating the effects of sedimentation in some of our project studies.

6. In 2018, the ACOE published the report: Engineering with Nature: An Atlas" which identified the potential for developing alternatives to traditional concrete and rebar flood and storm surge protection. In the Senate WRDA hearing on January 12, 2022, LTG Spellmon spoke to the need to better understand how Nature Based Solutions (NBS) and traditional ACOE structural approaches can be integrated together to provide protection to communities and infrastructure. LTG Spellmon specifically spoke to the issue of needing research and flexibility in design and planning. Can you please let us know if the ACOE has integrated Nature Based approaches into your planning matrix?

Yes, to the extent practicable natural and nature-based alternatives have been integrated into feasibility studies for flood risk management, hurricane and coastal storm damage reduction, and ecosystem restoration projects. As Lieutenant General Spellmon stated during the January hearing, natural and nature-based solutions are an evolving effort that requires more research.

a. Does the ACOE have the research flexibility in Civil Works to be able to accurately assess and integrate nature-based approaches into ACOE project planning?

Yes, sufficient flexibility exists in the research and development processes to inform how to integrate nature-based solutions into the project planning process, and the Corps is actively seeking methods to improve how it is implemented.

7. Drought in the West is demanding an expanded approach to integrating traditional water supply efforts with new and innovative efforts such as flood water capture, storm water capture [flood protection], active aquifer management and improvements in water management models. Does the ACOE currently have the authorities to allow it to engage with other agencies and tribes to manage for drought more effectively?

Yes.

#### Senator Padilla:

1. The Whittier Narrows Dam Safety Project is one of the most important dam safety projects in the country and a central element of the Los Angeles County Drainage Area flood control system. With its designation as a DSAC 1 Dam, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has determined that Whittier Narrows poses a very high risk of potentially catastrophic flooding, threatening 25 cities and an estimated 1 million people. Given the urgent need to minimize the risk of structural failure at Whittier Narrows, is the Corps committed to prioritizing and completing this project by FY26, if not sooner?

Yes

a. What is the Corps' process for working with local communities to mitigate the impacts of the project on access to critical urban parks and recreation space?

Following the Whittier Narrows Dam Safety Modification project construction, the local community will have the opportunity to reestablish or redevelop recreation amenities on the outgranted lands that are not permanently impacted by construction

2. Last month, Downtown Los Angeles broke an 85-year-old rainfall record that closed major roads and caused dangerous flooding. Despite the drought, we know that California and the West will continue to face extreme precipitation events more frequently. How is USACE incorporating climate risk into its dam safety analyses?

The Corps considers climate change in its dam safety studies when assessing the benefits and cost of the available risk reduction measures. For example, the Corps will consider how the effects of climate change may intensify over time in its dam safety studies. The Corps also routinely updates its hydrologic analyses for reservoirs based on an improved period of record and estimates of future climate change impacts.

3. Thank you for the inclusion of \$28 million in the *Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* (P.L. 117-58) Work Plan for the Los Angeles River Ecosystem Project. The restoration and revitalization of the Los Angeles River is one of my top priorities. The Los Angeles River Ecosystem Restoration Project will restore hundreds of acres of habitat along the river and improve access to nature for some of the most park-poor communities in the country. Importantly, funding this project will help spur economic recovery and support vital aquatic ecosystem restoration, a key USACE mission, in the heart of the City of Los

Angeles. How can USACE projects located in cities and urbanized areas, such as the Los Angeles River Ecosystem Restoration Project, help fulfill the Administration's commitment to disadvantaged communities?

The Los Angeles River Ecosystem Restoration project will help to restore ecosystem functions to a less degraded condition and provide viewing, walking, and other passive recreational activities.

4. The Water Resources and Development Act 2020 authorized a feasibility study for a long-range solution to the Salton Sea, and the funding is included in both the House and Senate FY22 appropriations bills for USACE Los Angeles District to initiate the study with the Salton Sea Authority and the State of California as local sponsors. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (P.L. 117-58) Work Plan also includes funding to initiate and complete the feasibility study phase for the Salton Sea. Given the Biden Administration's Environmental Justice Executive Order to target 40% of federal investments for disadvantaged communities, the importance of the Salton Sea as part of the region's efforts to address drought, and the public health impacts of a receding lakebed, will you work with me to ensure this feasibility study moves forward this year?

We will consider this study for funding, along with other programs, projects, and activities across the Nation that are competing for the available Federal resources.

5. USACE has historically relied heavily on its benefit-cost ratio formula to evaluate whether to pursue projects, which has resulted in disadvantaging projects in low-income communities with lower property values than wealthier communities. The Pajaro River Flood Risk Management Project will provide critical protection and resiliency to disadvantaged communities whose per capita income is less than half the National and State averages, meet the Administration's goals of addressing equity and climate change in its funding decisions, and deliver economic and social benefits to an area that relies on the agricultural industry. How will USACE ensure that low-income communities are not penalized by Corps' methodology and have equity of access to flood control investments?

The FY 2023 Budget invests in technical assistance, studies, and the construction of projects that will help to address flood risks and other water resources challenges facing disadvantaged and tribal communities.

6. Congress passed the Fiscal Year 2018 Bipartisan Budget Act (BBA) in order to deliver urgent flood control projects nationwide, including areas affected by hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria. I am concerned that projects identified by the Corps as urgent and critical, such as the South San Francisco Bay Shoreline project are nevertheless being held up based on a Corps Headquarters legal interpretation requiring 100 percent of funds be provided by the BBA appropriation and the full amount of those funds be available prior to the start of any designated project. This interpretation does not reflect Congressional intent, and Senator Feinstein and I raised these concerns in April 2021

with the Acting Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works and the Acting Director of the Office of Management and Budget, but the issue has still not been resolved. The Senate's FY22 Energy and Water Appropriations report illustrates the flaws with the USACE interpretation and expresses frustration with the lack of urgency in completing the 2018 BBA construction projects.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (P.L. 117-58) represents a once-in-ageneration investment in our nation's infrastructure, and I am pleased that the Work Plan includes funding for the Phase II Study, but I am concerned no funds were made available for Phase I Construction. We cannot delay the delivery of promised flood protection and other benefits to disadvantaged communities like Alviso as well as the entire Silicon Valley region, while restoring wetland ecosystems in the San Francisco Bay. Local sponsors partnering with USACE on the San Francisco Shoreline project were able to contribute an additional \$90 million dollars in an effort to avert further delays in construction, despite the fact that this project is slated for 100 percent Federal funds. Not every local sponsor is able to afford this type of investment. How does USACE plan to utilize Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (P.L. 117-58) funding to fulfill its commitments to ongoing projects, including those funded by the 2018 BBA, to ensure that critical projects move expeditiously toward completion?

The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (Public Law 115-123) provided \$15.055 billion in the Corps construction account. The Corps is working to use those funds to advance the construction of the projects listed in the Corps work plan.

7. The Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (P.L. 113–121) and the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act of 2016 (P.L. 114-322) allow for non-federal cost contributions exceeding cost-sharing requirements to be transferred to other projects. This authority expires on June 10, 2024. USACE has been working with its non-federal partners to implement this authority, but it does not expect the transfer of the full amount of approved excess will be complete until 2027. The Greater Sacramento region is considered to be the most at-risk metropolitan area in the United States for catastrophic flooding, apart from New Orleans. Non-federal partners have completed improvements to the highest risk portions of the flood system on a schedule ahead of USACE, and amassed excess credits through early investment in flood risk reduction projects in the Central Valley. The State of California is depending on these excess credits to provide a portion of the non-federal cost share for the American River Common Features 2016 Project and the Lower San Joaquin River Project. Can you commit to working with me to ensure that USACE honors its commitment to partnering with State and local partners and supports their ability to utilize these cost-sharing credits?

The Corps will act in accordance with the applicable laws.

#### **Ranking Member Capito:**

1. The Inland Waterways Users Board is an independent federal advisory committee consisting of representative organizations – carriers and shippers on the inland and intracoastal waterways – appointed by the Secretary of the Army and tasked with critical functions that aid in the development of capital investment strategies for the inland waterways system. Unfortunately, the Board is currently unpopulated. The Board is unable to perform its key functions as a result. Is the Secretary planning to repopulate the Board?

#### Yes.

a. If so, when can we expect this to occur?

The Inland Waterways Users Board appointments were approved by the Secretary of Defense on January 31, 2022.

#### **Senator Cramer**

- 1. It is my understanding the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers developed draft guidance to expedite the consideration of water withdrawal requests. The guidance will examine the complete process from water withdrawal request, surplus determination, environmental assessment, surplus agreement, and easement consideration, to identify efficiencies and applicable timelines. After months of being told the guidance was forthcoming, the Corps reported back the guidance will be finalized after the new Assistant Secretary of the Army was briefed on it.
  - a. Has the briefing occurred or been scheduled to this point? Will you commit to briefing my office before it is finalized?

The Corps will brief your office on the draft procedures before it issues them in final form. These procedures will outline best practices to expedite the consideration of water withdrawal requests.

#### Senator Wicker:

1. I appreciate the Corps' efforts to finalize its first Work Plan for the bipartisan infrastructure law. However, I remain concerned that it did not support projects that are still undergoing studies and awaiting records of decision. The congressional intent of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act was to provide infrastructure support over five years. Projects that will be ready within five years should be considered for funding. Furthermore, projects that support disadvantaged communities, such as Jackson, Mississippi, should be prioritized. As the Corps works to put together additional Work Plans associated with the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, will you ensure that

congressional intent is carried out and projects that will be ready within five years and support disadvantaged communities are funded?

We will consider these projects for this funding, along with the other programs, projects, and activities across the Nation that are competing for this funding.

2. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act included a provision I championed that directs the Army Corps of Engineers and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to consider other factors in addition to the benefit-cost ratio when determining the economic impacts of projects that benefit disadvantaged communities. It is imperative that congressional intent is followed. As the Corps makes funding determinations for the bipartisan infrastructure law, will you work with OMB to ensure additional factors are considered when determining the economic benefits of projects that benefit disadvantaged communities?

#### Yes.

3. When the Bonnet Carré Spillway opened for months in 2019, Mississippi's coastal resources were devastated by the flood of freshwater into the Mississippi Sound. Businesses and livelihoods across the Mississippi coast were affected. In response, Congress authorized a comprehensive study of the Lower Mississippi River System in the 2020 WRDA. This study would provide the scientific basis to identify projects to reduce the reliance on the Bonnet Carré Spillway and protect Mississippi's coastal environment. Implementation guidance for this study was recently released, but funding is needed to move forward. Will you commit to funding this study and ensuring it is completed at an expeditious pace? This flooding is a problem that warrants a long-term solution, but if we do not move forward quickly, the area remains at risk.

We will consider this study for funding, along with the other programs, projects, and activities across the Nation that are competing for the available Federal resources.

- 4. How the Corps defines rural and economically disadvantaged communities is important to allocate funding under WRDA, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, and other programs. Will the Corps solicit public input in making this decision?
  - a. When will the Corps announce this definition?

Yes, public input will be solicited in accordance with Section 160 of WRDA 2020. I am working to expedite this effort.

5. Army Corps projects require many agencies to participate in its approval process, including the EPA. It is best if the scientists from all agencies can meet and discuss the science of each project together and determine the best outcomes for each project. However, as you know, the EPA has at times refused to meet with your scientists in the Army Corps on certain projects. How do we implement WRDA and its corresponding

projects effectively across a whole-of-government approach if agency scientists refuse to meet on Corps projects?

The Corps encourages interested Federal and State resource agencies, Tribes, and interested stakeholders and members of the public to become involved early in the project planning process. Some agencies are resource-constrained (personnel and time) and are unable to participate in the initial meetings. In those cases, the study team does its best to coordinate with the agencies while moving the study forward.

a. How can we improve this process?

We do not believe that this issue warrants further congressional action.

#### Senator Sullivan:

4. I appreciate the work that the Army Corps team, both at Headquarters and in the Alaska District, has done to advance the Arctic Deep Draft Port Project in Nome. This is a very significant project for the region around Nome, for the State of Alaska, and for our country. The U.S. Arctic, which is Alaska, is of increasing strategic importance to the U.S. as Russia and China continue to advance their geopolitical ambitions in this part of the world. The U.S. is very infrastructure poor in the region, and the port expansion project in Nome will help address this problem.

It is my understanding that the design work is moving forward in the PED phase, with the City of Nome and the Alaska District coordinating on the many details necessary to design and construct the expanded port. One issue I want to be certain on is that the port and its facilities are designed properly to accommodate U.S. military vessels, including the new heavy Polar Class icebreakers, U.S. Coast Guard National Security Cutters, and Arleigh Burke destroyers. Can you confirm that your team is making inquiries directly with the Coast Guard and Navy to get the most up-to-date vessel specifications needed for the design and construction of the Arctic Deep Draft Port in Nome?

#### Yes.

5. When I traveled to Barrow, Alaska this summer with Brigadier General Kirk Gibbs, Commander and Division Engineer of the Pacific Ocean Division, we heard from the mayor of the regional government--Mayor Harry Brower of the North Slope Borough--about the urgent need to begin construction of the Barrow Coastal Erosion Mitigation Project in order to address immediate risks to life and safety, threats to the community's only drinking water source, and risks from contamination to the environment. I am concerned that the Corps has not yet made funding available to begin construction, and I would appreciate an update on the Corps' plans to allocate the funding necessary to move this project forward.

The Corps construction work plan for the Disaster Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2022 (Public Law 117-43) included \$364,290,000 to complete the design phase of the Alaska Coastal Erosion, Barrow, Alaska project and to fully fund its construction.

- 6. Section 103(k) of Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–662, 33 U.S.C. § 2213(k)) provides the Corps broad authority to work with nonfederal sponsors to finance project costs over a term of 30 years. Does this financing authority apply to:
  - a) Storm damage protection, and shoreline erosion projects?
    Ves
  - b) Navigation projects?

Senator CARPER. Mr. Connor, thanks so much. General Spellmon, you are on. Welcome. Thanks.

## STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL SCOTT SPELLMON, CHIEF OF ENGINEERS AND COMMANDING GENERAL, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

General Spellmon. Chairman Carper, Ranking Member Capito, and distinguished members of the Committee, good afternoon. I am honored to testify before you today with Mr. Connor. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss our execution of and your oversight of the Corps' Civil Works Program.

I look forward to discussing the status of implementation of recent Water Resources Development Acts as well as questions the Committee may have regarding anticipated legislation for 2022. Most importantly, I look forward to continuing to work with the Committee, with Congress, and the Administration to address the Nation's water resources infrastructure needs.

The infrastructure authorized by the Water Resources Development Acts and implemented by Corps is critical for the Nation's economic growth and for our national security. Ultimately, they benefit all American citizens.

We greatly appreciate the Committee's continuing commitment to enacting WRDAs on a 2 year cycle. This predictability has enabled critical water resources projects to be authorized for study and construction. This succession has also provided regular updates to our authorities, modernizing our methodologies, and enhancing flexibility in the policies we utilize to execute our missions.

We maintain a dedicated commitment to our partners and value the engagement we have held with stakeholders to gain their input in shaping guidance for implementation of these authorities.

While the focus of this hearing may be on the prospective legislation being considered by the Committee, it is important for me to acknowledge the recent, significant growth in the Corps' Civil Works Program that we have experienced over the past several years. The challenge that we have for us in the Corps is that we are structured, we are organized, we are manned for what has historically been a \$20 billion to \$22 billion annual program. It is civil works, it is the work we do for the VA, certainly the work we do for the Army and the Air Force and our combatant commanders in 110 countries around the globe today.

Our current program is not \$20 billion to \$22 billion. It is \$84 billion, and it is growing. Our Civil Works Program has seen the greatest growth in these past 5 years going from a \$7 billion annual budget to more than a \$48 billion annual budget. That is when you include all of the supplemental appropriations Congress has given us.

This money provides the Corps with a once in a generation window of opportunity to deliver water resources infrastructure programs and projects that will positively impact the lives of communities across this great Nation. It is an opportunity we are taking advantage of to transform our organization and our decisionmaking processes to safely finish quality projects on time and within budget.

We are taking major steps to proactively identify risks, to execute our mandates and developing measures to reduce, resolve, or eliminate those risks, measures such as accelerating recruitment through direct hiring authorities and transforming our workplace to attract and retain the top talent that will help us in fortifying our technical expertise to effectively develop and implement infrastructure projects.

The Corps is also combining traditional and alternative delivery concepts that allow us to develop additional contracting tools and enhance our partnership efforts. By evolving our programs, our planning, and our operations, we are able to better address impacts from important drivers like global climate change.

Additionally, the Corps continues to provide meaningful engagement opportunities for overburdened and underserved communities and Native American Tribes to encourage and enable participation

in decisions that impact their communities.

The Corps does not accomplish anything by itself. We use our engineering expertise to address some of the most pressing water resource challenges we face as a Nation today. My top priorities include identifying the highest priority investments and that we safe-

ly deliver quality projects on time and within budget.

I strongly feel that to achieve this vision, we must execute a comprehensive research and development strategy to meet the challenges of the 21st century. We will accomplish this strategy with our partners in government, industry, academia, both nationally here in the United States and internationally. From climate change to war fighting, from overextended infrastructure to cyber security, there is no shortage of challenges that will require bold new research and development to solve.

Thank you again, Chairman Carper, Ranking Member Capito, and members of the Committee. I look forward to answering any

questions you may have.

[The prepared statement of General Spellmon follows:]

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

### **COMPLETE STATEMENT OF**

# LIEUTENANT GENERAL SCOTT A. SPELLMON CHIEF OF ENGINEERS

# BEFORE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

ON

DEVELOPMENT OF THE NEXT WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT

12 JANUARY 2022

Chairman Carper, Ranking Member Capito, and distinguished members of the committee. I am honored to testify before you today and I thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Army Civil Works program. I look forward to discussing the status of implementation of recent Water Resources Development Acts as well as any questions the committee may have. Most importantly, I look forward to continuing to work with this committee, the Congress, and the Administration to help address the Nation's water resources challenges.

The Army Civil Works Program is the Nation's largest water resources program, and has three main missions, which are: commercial navigation, flood and storm damage reduction, and ecosystem restoration. The Congress has authorized many of our reservoirs for multiple purposes, including ancillary purposes such as hydropower, recreation, and water supply. We implement our main missions consistent with the applicable Congressional authorizations, which include legislative initiatives and reforms, as well as the authorization of the studies and projects that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) undertakes.

The infrastructure authorized by the Water Resources Development Acts and implemented by the U.S. Army benefits the well-being of American citizens by contributing to the Nation's economic growth, restoring aquatic ecosystems, and addressing significant risks to public safety.

While the focus of this hearing may be on the prospective legislation being considered by this committee, it is important to acknowledge the recent, significant increase in funding for the Army Civil Works program over the past several years. Less than four years ago, the Army received a significant infusion of capital from the 2018 Bipartisan Budget Act (\$17.4 billion), which was followed 16 months later by the 2019 Disaster Relief Act (\$3.26 billion). These supplemental appropriations will allow the Army to help reduce flood and coastal storm risks in communities across the Nation, as well as address damages to existing projects. The program has also received several consecutive years of record-high annual appropriations in Fiscal Years 2018-2021 (spanning from \$6.830 billion to \$7.795 billion). Within the past several months, the Congress also passed the Disaster Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2022 (\$5.711 billion) and the Infrastructure Investment & Jobs Act (\$17.089 billion). representing well over \$22 billion for additional Corps investments. This funding provides the Army with a once-in-a-generation window of opportunity to deliver water resource infrastructure programs and projects that will positively impact the lives of our communities across the Nation. Additionally, these funds will be used to maintain our existing Corps infrastructure to ensure that its key features remain operational while continuing to provide benefits to the Nation.

The Corps has been providing engineering solutions to address our Nation's toughest challenges since 1775 and we fully understand the risks with executing our current workload. The Corps is being proactive in its efforts to identify major risks to execution and develop measures to reduce, resolve, or eliminate these issues. Measures to prepare the workforce include efforts toward the acceleration of recruitment actions and the execution of workplace transformation initiatives to attract and retain top talent. The Corps is actively working to fortify our Real Estate expertise across the enterprise to enhance support to our non-federal partners with identifying and acquiring the land needed to construct our projects, as well as evaluating opportunities to identify needed properties earlier and reduce the risks with initiating acquisition efforts. The Corps continues to explore expanding upon the tenets of Integrated Water Resources Management principles in formulating, evaluating, displaying, comparing, and recommending alternative plans in water and related land resources implementation studies. The Corps is also developing additional contracting tools that will allow us to establish and maintain partnerships, and look at combining our traditional delivery methods like Design-Bid-Build with alternative delivery concepts like Design-Build and Early Contractor Involvement strategies. The Corps continues to monitor supply and demand trends for building materials and other products that will be needed for construction to provide more reliable and cost-efficient project delivery.

Under leadership of the current Administration, and in alignment with the authorities provided by this committee, the Corps is moving forward, along with other Federal agencies, to help address the vast water resource challenges posed by global climate change, including water scarcity, sea level rise, and observed increases in severe weather events. The Corps continues to develop, evaluate, and implement changes to programs and projects to incorporate and enhance resilience to climate change and particularly to help disadvantaged communities to reduce their risks, and to adapt, to a changing climate. The Corps continues to provide meaningful engagement opportunities for these disadvantaged communities, including in rural areas, to encourage and enable them to adopt solutions to the impact of climate change in their communities.

Under leadership from the Administration, the Corps continues to seek opportunities to identify and document the full spectrum of economic, environmental, and other benefits to the Nation, including how we address environmental justice concerns. This focus on economically disadvantaged communities that are marginalized, underserved, or overburdened by pollution, including those in rural areas, will provide an opportunity to invest in these areas, which may have been left behind with past infrastructure development and construction. We can leverage these tools to enhance opportunities for these communities where our studies and projects can provide solutions to their water resources challenges.

Our Tribal Nations Program enables the Army to partner with Federally recognized American Indian and Alaskan Native tribal governments to identify solutions to their

water resources challenges, which will substantially benefit the people who live in Indian Country or in Alaska Native villages. The Corps reaffirms its commitment to engage in regular, meaningful, and robust consultation with Tribal officials in the development of water resources projects and on regulatory actions that have Tribal implications. The Corps works with Native American Tribes as cost-share partners on Civil Works projects through its Tribal Partnership Program, under its Planning Assistance to States Program, as well as through specifically authorized Civil Works projects. The Corps also can provide technical assistance to Native American Tribes under its Flood Plain Management Services Program. The Corps can also leverage interagency Silver Jackets teams established in each state to identify water resource challenges affecting Tribes and determine the best suited agency and program to assist Tribes, where possible, in addressing those challenges, including the aforementioned programs.

The Corps uses its engineering expertise and its relationships with project sponsors and stakeholders to develop innovative approaches to address some of the most pressing water resources challenges facing the Nation. My top priorities include identifying the highest priority potential investments for the Army Civil Works Program, starting with the maintenance of our existing infrastructure, and ensuring that we deliver studies and finish quality projects safely, on time, and within budget. I am focused on delivering projects that will contribute to the effort to enhance the Nation's resilience to climate change. These priorities will ensure a better return on taxpayer investment and improve the lives of all Americans. Under my oversight and direction, and with the leadership of Assistant Secretary Connor and his team, the Corps is committed to efficiently and effectively executing the Civil Works program.

I feel strongly that in order to achieve our vision, we will need to continue to invest in on our Research and Development (R&D) program. We are working to further inform our R&D initiatives and strengthen our partnerships with academic institutions to benefit from the enormous capacity of our Nation's scientists, so we will know how best to meet the challenges of the 21st Century. Investments in research and development help us find solutions for today's and tomorrow's challenges like those posed by extreme rainfall events and the impacts due to severe floods and coastal storms. We also look to R&D solutions to further inform the development of our sustainability strategies including Engineering With Nature (EWN). The Corps EWN initiative supports sustainable infrastructure systems and embraces the intentional and substantial use of natural systems in providing water resources solutions. Through EWN, the Corps aspires to implement nature-based solutions for civil works projects in partnership with cost-sharing sponsors.

I am committed to ensuring that the Corps continues to identify the best ways to manage, develop, restore, and protect water resources in collaboration with sponsors and partners. Our goal is to achieve a high economic, environmental, and public safety return for the Nation, which will benefit all Americans.

Mr. Chairman, this concludes my statement. I appreciate the opportunity to testify today and look forward to answering any questions you may have. Thank you.

# Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works Hearing Entitled, "Water Resources Development Act Oversight: USACE Implementation of Water Infrastructure Projects, Programs and Priorities" January 12, 2022

Questions for the Record for Lieutenant General Scott Spellmon

#### **Chairman Carper:**

1. As you know, the Corps has had a significant project backlog and that has led communities to explore more innovative ways to deliver infrastructure projects, including having non-federal stakeholders taking on traditional Corps responsibilities and then submitting the project for Administration approval. Unfortunately, it appears the Corps has historically given these innovative approaches less attention. As communities increasingly explore these alternative project delivery methods, how will the Corps ensure these alternatively developed projects are equally considered, evaluated and, if appropriate, elevated alongside traditionally developed projects, while still protecting the environment?

The Corps has several authorities under which a non-Federal interest may construct a Corps project or a separable element thereof on its own or may undertake a study on its own that provides the basis for the authorization of a Corps project. The Corps retains an oversight responsibility during construction and is responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable environmental requirements for these studies and projects. In allocating funding, the Army considers each of these studies and projects on its merits, as it does with traditionally developed studies and projects.

2. Delaware is the lowest lying state in the nation and sea level rise and extreme weather are becoming more and more a cause for concern. Earlier this Congress, General Graham testified that the Corps accounts for climate change in project designs, however, it seems that the Corps only does this by designing projects to address climate change impacts from storm surge. Currently, the Corps only considers the effects of climate change in an ancillary analysis of the compounding effects of sea level rise and more frequent and severe storms on damages from storm surge inundation. With climate change, flooding can have more than one cause. How should the Corps project formulation process be modernized so that it results in projects that are designed to minimize the impacts of climate change by reducing the comprehensive flood risk rather than the current limited ancillary approach?

For a community that is located on the coast, in some storms the risk to public safety and property damage can result from a combination of coastal (tidal and wave action, storm surge, and sea level rise) and inland (overbank flooding) forces. In most instances the study authority has been limited to affects from riverine flooding or coastal storm impacts. On the other hand, in some large coastal storms (Hurricane Sandy, Hurricane Harvey, and some others), the combined effects of riverine flows (from a large amount of rainfall on the land) and a storm surge have increased the extent of the flooding. The

Corps considers the effects of climate change in formulation, including its effects on relative mean sea level change and changes in riverine flow frequency. The Corps is working to refine its ability to estimate the probability and consequences of this compound flooding.

The Corps also has the expertise to assist a community that trying to understand and develop options to address other types of flood risk. For example, stormwater management, and the increased incidence of routine flooding in some low-lying coastal areas, are generally a local responsibility. In these cases, the Corps may be able to help a community with technical and planning assistance provided through its Planning Assistance to States or its Flood Plain Management Services programs.

#### Senator Whitehouse:

1. I am concerned that many communities, especially smaller and marginalized communities, may find it difficult to access USACE technical assistance either because they don't have a history of working with USACE or resources to navigate a complex federal bureaucracy. One way to facilitate access to technical assistance would be to have a single point of contact available to local communities. Have you identified which staff in each USACE district are tasked with overseeing delivery of technical assistance programs and how much time each person is dedicated to this function? If so, please provide that information.

Yes, each district and division have a point of contact dedicated to the Corps' technical assistance programs, such as Planning Assistance to States (PAS) and Flood Plain Management Services (FPMS). The amount of time each person dedicates to this function will vary by district.

2. Do current USACE procedures ensure that the USACE technical assistance providers take into account hazard mitigation and other plans of Federal agencies, States, local communities, and tribes in providing technical assistance and other advice?

Under the PAS and FPMS programs, the Corps will consider existing hazard mitigation and other existing plans where this information is needed to provide the requested assistance. In addition, the state-led interagency Silver Jackets teams take into consideration hazard mitigation plans, as well as interagency programs, authorities, and plans, in the process of seeking collaborative and holistic solutions to flood risk management challenges. The Corps funds its participation on the Silver Jackets teams with the funds provided to the National Flood Risk Management program. In supporting these state-led interagency teams, the Corps frequently leverages various technical assistance programs, the PAS and FPMS programs of the Corps.

## Senator Kelly:

1. I next wanted to ask about Section 118 of the 2020 water resources bill, which created a new pilot program to help rural and economically disadvantaged communities plan and fund flood protection and storm damage reduction projects in partnership with the Corps. Too often the communities that could most benefit from Army Corps assistance, are the communities that can least afford it. For example, back in 2020, following several years of working with the Corps to scope a project which both met their flood control needs and had a reasonable local share, Pinal County made the difficult decision to back out of a flood control study with the Corps, which would have addressed regional flooding. This central Arizona community would have benefited from a program like this, which I was proud to have supported. Yet, more than a year after the 2020 water bill was signed into law, the Corps still has not explained how this critical provision will be implemented. Can you provide an update on when you expect the Corps to finalize implementation guidance for the Sec. 118 program?

I am committed to issuing the Section 118 guidance. This pilot program will require further planning and coordination including an outreach effort to the eligible communities.

a. What, if any, challenges have you run into as you work to finalize this guidance?

My staff is working with the OASA (CW) staff to develop definitions for rural, economically disadvantaged communities and technical assistance. In addition, Section 160 of WRDA 2020, requires the Secretary of the Army to use the criteria of Section 301(a) of the Public Works and Economic Development of 1965 in defining the term "economically disadvantaged community" may unduly limit the ability of the Corps to focus this and other programs in the communities that are most in need.

2. The water behind ACOE dams is an asset to the nation for flood control, navigation, hydropower, and water supply. Reports from the American Society of Civil Engineers and the National Reservoir Sedimentation Team have identified the increasing loss of storage capacity in federal and private reservoirs due to sedimentation. What is the status of determining reservoir sedimentation in ACOE reservoirs?

The Corps owns, operates and maintains 356 dams whose primary purpose is to impound water to decrease flood risks. Many of these projects also serve other purposes such as commercial navigation, hydropower, municipal and industrial water supply, irrigation, fish and wildlife, and recreation, or are used to enhance low flows during droughts. In addition, the Corps owns, operates and maintains 191 dams with lock structures whose primary purpose is to support commercial navigation on the inland waterways.

Where appropriate, the Corps estimates the build-up of sediment in its reservoirs by collecting survey data to measure the change in the available storage space, in order to inform project operations consistent with the authorized purposes.

a. Do you have a sense on how much flood and water supply storage capacity has been lost due to reservoir sedimentation?

The Corps has a general sense of the lost storage capacity nationwide. Across the portfolio, storage loss due to sedimentation is seen in all pools, with most reservoirs reporting between zero and 10 percent overall storage loss. However, some of our reservoirs are approaching a 50 percent loss of storage space.

Generally, the Corps will undertake a sedimentation survey only where there is a specific need for that information. Therefore, in many cases we have not conducted a recent sedimentation survey.

b. Do you have a plan to assess and prioritize potential action at ACOE reservoirs?

The Corps is working to understand the processes through which sediment is transported downstream from upland sources and its effects on riverine and coastal systems. We also are evaluating the effects of sedimentation in some of our project studies.

3. In 2018, the ACOE published the report: Engineering with Nature: An Atlas" which identified the potential for developing alternatives to traditional concrete and rebar flood and storm surge protection. In the Senate WRDA hearing on January 12, 2022, you spoke to the need to better understand how Nature Based Solutions (NBS) and traditional ACOE structural approaches can be integrated together to provide protection to communities and infrastructure. You specifically spoke to the issue of needing research and flexibility in design and planning. Can you please let us know if the ACOE has integrated Nature Based approaches into your planning matrix?

Yes, natural and nature-based alternatives have been integrated into feasibility studies for flood risk management, hurricane and coastal storm damage reduction, and ecosystem restoration projects. Natural and nature-based solutions are an evolving effort that require more research

- a. Does the ACOE have the research flexibility in Civil Works to be able to accurately assess and integrate nature-based approaches into ACOE project planning?
  - Yes, sufficient flexibility exists in our research and development processes to inform how to integrate nature-based solutions into the project planning process, and the Corps is actively seeking methods to improve how it is implemented.
- 4. Drought in the West is demanding an expanded approach to integrating traditional water supply efforts with new and innovative efforts such as flood water capture, storm water capture [flood protection], active aquifer management and improvements in water management models. Does the ACOE currently have the authorities to allow it to engage with other agencies and tribes to manage for drought more effectively?

#### **Senator Cramer:**

- 1. Many of the western states, including North Dakota have been experiencing a drought with some of the worst conditions the state has seen in 20 years. These conditions continue to impact water levels at Corps reservoirs throughout the state and create access issues for recreation. In particular, if water levels stay low, Lake Sakakawea, a popular recreation destination in North Dakota, could face a situation this summer in which over half of its main boat ramps on the lake would be unusable and overall access to the lake could be significantly constrained. A number of low water ramps were installed during the drought of the early 2000s. These ramps have been submerged underwater for a number of years and need to be rehabilitated. It is our understanding that the local Corps has gone out and accessed what it will take to bring them up to speed.
  - a. When spring arrives and if North Dakota is looking at similar drought conditions for the rest of the summer, what is the Corps' plan to rehabilitate these low water ramps and provide adequate recreational access?
    - Should the drought in this region persist, the Corps would consider this work for funding, along with the other programs, projects, and activities across the Nation that are competing for the available Federal resources.
- 2. The Snake Creek Embankment at the eastern edge of Lake Sakakawea has issues we have discussed at great length over the past couple of years and I appreciate your efforts so far. After some back and forth between the Corps and Bureau of Reclamation, in April of 2021 the Corps reported back with a plan to address the existing concerns and develop an alternative to fix the underlying issue.
  - a. Can you please provide an update on what progress has been made and the path forward?

The Dam Safety Modification Study (DSMS) is underway. The Project Delivery Team conducted a Vertical Team Kick-Off Milestone Meeting with the Headquarters, Risk Management Center and Northwestern Division staff on January 6, 2022. The Vertical Team concurred with the team's recommended scope, schedule, and cost estimate for the DSMS.

Data collection and analysis is ongoing to further the study's development. Key January activities included conducting a full potential failure mode analysis of the embankment, hydrologic loading analyses, developing the risk management plan conceptual drawings, and water supply/economic analysis.

## Senator Wicker:

1. On average, an Army Corps deepening and widening project for a port typically takes seven to ten years to complete. Why does a deepening and widening project for a port take up to 10 years to finish?

Factors that influence the time it takes to complete a new dredging project include: mitigation requirements, environmental work windows for dredging, and availability of dredges.

a. In light of today's supply chain crisis and the need for more ports for ships to make call, what can the Corps do to improve this process?

The Corps is working with its non-Federal partners as they identify projects that may benefit from a modified channel. The Corps is also identifying potential hurdles upfront and communicating how to avoid and minimize those issues to improve the time it takes to complete a project.

b. I understand the Corps is implementing requirements to consider the social and environmental impacts when determining a Benefit to Cost Ratio for a project, such as a port deepening and widening. The consideration of these factors must have an additive effect on projects. How will the Corps quantify the social impacts and environmental impacts, and how much weight will they carry in comparison to economic benefits?

The Corps has not decided how to weigh these effects of a project but will use established scientific methods for determining the quantitative impacts.

2. There are several Corps authorized projects to help mitigate the impacts of flooding and associated erosion in DeSoto County, Mississippi. According to a Corps study, one of the main areas of concern is related to a federal impoundment and the associated river, specifically Lake Arkabutla and the Coldwater River. Given the Mississippi Delta Headwaters Program demonstrates a systems approach to addressing watershed stability problems, do you think this program or other maintenance authorities would help address the sedimentation, debris blockages, and channel degradation that has significantly reduced the conveying capacity of the Coldwater River?

Because these problems are naturally recurrent, their underlying causes, such as the adjacent channel slope stability, should be addressed before confronting the sedimentation, debris blockage, and channel degradation directly. The Upper Yazoo Project (UYP) is addressing channel conveyance issues downstream of Arkabutla Lake through the Sarah/Marks/Lambert reach in Tate and Quitman Counties. The UYP channel widening project has progressed to Highway 32 near Webb, Mississippi, on the Tallahatchie River and is improving channel capacity for areas downstream of Arkabutla Lake

a. It is my understanding that the Vicksburg District has sent two project funding requests to headquarters to alleviate the Coldwater River issues. Does the Corps have plans to allocate funding to address this matter in upcoming work plans? The Corps will consider this work for funding, along with the other programs, projects, and activities across the Nation that are competing for the available Federal resources.

3. The rigid structure of the federal acquisition process has at times made it difficult for the government to access innovation in the private sector. To address that problem, Congress has granted "Other Transaction Authority" (OTA) to the Department of Defense and many other agencies. OTA has proven to be an effective tool to contract cutting-edge solutions from entities that are not traditional federal contractors. The Corps is tasked with implementing portions of the bipartisan infrastructure law and significant disaster relief in addition to its normal workload. You should have all the acquisition tools in your toolbox necessary to leverage commercial investments in technology and accelerate the delivery of those efforts. Will you work with the Committee and me to make sure you have those tools?

#### Yes.

4. The Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway has become a vital part of our national transportation system. It has had an enormous effect on the economy of my state as well as the nation. However, it has now become part of our aging infrastructure and requires more funding each year for its operation and maintenance. The Tenn-Tom needs to modernize to conform to deeper channel depths and other improvements occurring on other waterways. I was pleased that Congress authorized such a study in the Water Resources Development Act of 2020. What steps are the Corps now undertaking to complete the study that will identify those improvements needed to enable the waterway to continue being an effective and efficient commercial mode?

The Corps completed an initial appraisal on the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway on April 30, 2020. WRDA 2020 included a provision to authorize a Section 216, Flood Control Act of 1970 feasibility study for this waterway for which initial funding was included in the FY 2022 Work Plan.

5. I also want to express my concern about the growing number of invasive species that, if not eliminated, will greatly diminish the recreational and environmental benefits of the waterway. I am especially concerned about the invasion of Asian Carp that are now prevalent in the Mississippi and Tennessee Rivers. There is evidence they have also now migrated into the northern section of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. If left unchecked, the proliferation of these fish will eliminate a great many native species of fish, such as bass and bream. What steps are being taken to inhibit the carp from overtaking the waterway and destroying its sport fishing and other environmental benefits?

The Corps is providing technical support to help in the efforts by state and Federal agencies to manage invasive carp in the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is better positioned to respond to Federal action being taken with respect to invasive carp in the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway.

6. The Committee has tried repeatedly to resolve the Corps' refusal to allow new starts for congressionally authorized Environmental Infrastructure projects with report language in WRDA 2020 and annual appropriations bills. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act includes over \$11 billion for construction, which can explicitly be used for "new starts." I was pleased the Corps included new starts in its first Work Plan for the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. Will the Corps continue to follow congressional intent and create new starts in future Work Plans associated with the bipartisan infrastructure law?

The Corps will act in accordance with the applicable laws.

7. The Continuing Authorities Section 205 Program fills a real critical need nationally for projects that are too big for a sponsor to handle alone or with emergency funding but are not big enough or able to be delayed for the normal congressional authorization process. Nationally, the Corps has a significant backlog of 205 applications, forcing delays to needed projects. How many pending CAP 205 applications were there 5 years ago and how many pending applications are there today?

There were 105 pending applications for CAP 205 projects in October 2016; and 105 pending CAP 205 applications in October 2021.

a. How many CAP 205 projects have been completed annually over the last 5 years?

The number of completed projects over the last five years is as follows:

FY 2017 - 10

FY 2018 - 11

FY 2019 - 17

FY 2020 - 27

FY 2021 - 34

b. What criteria does the Corps use to prioritize and allocate new start CAP 205 projects annually?

The Corps follows the guidance outlined in the Annual Execution Engineering Circular (EC) to allocate new start CAP 205 studies. At the beginning of a fiscal year, the Corps will provide an Initial Allocation Plan for the program based on carry-in funding and anticipated fiscal year funding, as applicable. Upon the enactment of an Appropriations Bill, the Corps will develop a Final Allocation Plan and work allowances for the program and prepare an affordability analysis to determine if it is appropriate to initiate any new studies. The specific list of CAP 205 projects will be determined based on the interest of the non-Federal sponsor, population at risk, and other factors such as whether the project would benefit an economically disadvantaged community.

c. How will the Corps allocate additional CAP 205 and feasibility study funding from the Infrastructure Improvements and Job Act to ensure that long-term applicants are not forgotten?

The Corps will allocate IIJA funds in accordance with the Annual Execution Engineering Circular. First priority is given to projects in phases for which execution of an agreement is scheduled for the applicable fiscal year or has taken place, and projects in phases for which execution of a required agreement is not scheduled for the applicable fiscal year are consider last. CAP 205 projects that have not started also will be considered for IIJA funding.

### Senator Sullivan:

3. I appreciate the work that the Army Corps team, both at Headquarters and in the Alaska District, has done to advance the Arctic Deep Draft Port Project in Nome. This is a very significant project for the region around Nome, for the State of Alaska, and for our country. The U.S. Arctic, which is Alaska, is of increasing strategic importance to the U.S. as Russia and China continue to advance their geopolitical ambitions in this part of the world. The U.S. is very infrastructure poor in the region, and the port expansion project in Nome will help address this problem.

It is my understanding that the design work is moving forward in the PED phase, with the City of Nome and the Alaska District coordinating on the many details necessary to design and construct the expanded port. One issue I want to be certain on is that the port and its facilities are designed properly to accommodate U.S. military vessels, including the new heavy Polar Class icebreakers, U.S. Coast Guard National Security Cutters, and Arleigh Burke destroyers. Can you confirm that your team is making inquiries directly with the Coast Guard and Navy to get the most up-to-date vessel specifications needed for the design and construction of the Arctic Deep Draft Port in Nome?

## Yes.

4. When I traveled to Barrow, Alaska this summer with Brigadier General Kirk Gibbs, Commander and Division Engineer of the Pacific Ocean Division, we heard from the mayor of the regional government--Mayor Harry Brower of the North Slope Borough--about the urgent need to begin construction of the Barrow Coastal Erosion Mitigation Project in order to address immediate risks to life and safety, threats to the community's only drinking water source, and risks from contamination to the environment. I am concerned that the Corps has not yet made funding available to begin construction, and I would appreciate an update on the Corps' plans to allocate the funding necessary to move this project forward.

The Corps construction work plan for the Disaster Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2022 (Public Law 117-43) included \$364,290,000 for the Alaska Coastal Erosion, Barrow, Alaska project to complete pre-construction engineering and design and fully fund its construction

- 5. Section 103(k) of Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–662, 33 U.S.C. § 2213(k)) provides the Corps broad authority to work with nonfederal sponsors to finance project costs over a term of 30 years. Does this financing authority apply to:
  - a) Storm damage protection, and shoreline erosion projects?

Yes.

b) Navigation projects?

No.

Senator CARPER. General Spellmon, thank you. Thanks for joining us, and thanks for your service over how many years of service now?

General Spellmon. Thirty-five, sir.

Senator CARPER. But who is counting? You started at what, about 12?

[Laughter.]

General Spellmon. Sir, I came on active duty in 1986.

Senator CARPER. All right. I think we are going to start voting here in a very short while in the Senate. Let me just ask our staff, would somebody just check and make sure they are going to kick it off at 3:30? OK, good.

What I might do, Senator Capito, is just head over there right now. I know you have another engagement. I will vote and come back, if you can just keep things moving. Then you can vote and

take care of that other engagement.

Senator Capito. OK.

Senator Carper. With that, why don't you lead us off in questions.

Senator Capito. Do you want to guestion first?

Senator CARPER. No. I will go vote. I am going to go so I will be there when they start voting.

Senator CAPITO. If you are asking me to go first, I am going to

yield to Chairman Inhofe to let him have my spot.

Senator CARPER. The order, I might mention the order. Senator Capito, Senator Cardin, Senator Inhofe, Senator Whitehouse, Senator Boozman, Senator Stabenow. Thank you all. I will be back shortly.

Senator Inhofe. First, let me thank Senator Capito for allowing

this to take place in this order.

I have had occasion to spend some time with both General Spellmon and Michael Connor. And we are in agreement on so many different things, and it is so important for my State of Oklahoma

I have to share with the rest of the people here how serious of a flood we had in 2019. The only ammunition that we had at that time was the Tulsa levee and the West Tulsa levee system. This actually was at a time, when it was put together, actually when I was 4 years old. It far exceeded its service life.

So we had the levee system. And when our flood came in 2019, more people, professionals, believed that we were going to be breaking the levee and having a really disastrous situation. And it did perform, and I can remember actually personally being down there when the water was coming through. Nobody thought it was going to be able to hold.

We put in emergency things right after that. Now we are in a situation which certainly Secretary Connor is familiar with, assuming that it is serious. I know you are aware of the seriousness of

this thing.

So we have this levee system, and we are hoping and doing everything we can to ensure that we are going to be able to hold this out in the event of another one. It was a close call, a very close call.

The other thing that wanted to make sure I had time to mention is our MKARNS. People don't realize that we are navigable in Oklahoma. We are the most inland navigable system in the country. And it is something that people don't realize. We have more miles of freshwater shoreline than any of the other 50 States.

Now, there is a reason for that. It is because ours are all manmade lakes. And manmade lakes, they give you a lot of shoreline because they have a dam down here and then go across. So people

are not aware, but that is something that is serious.

The other thing that is of concern is that we are navigable in terms of having the capability to take care of the things that we need. For example, our navigation way coming to the State of Oklahoma is about 98 percent 12 foot channel. But that means that we have 2 percent that is not a 12 foot channel. It is a 9 foot channel. That is one of the things that has been on our list for a long period of time. It has been authorized, and I just want to make sure that I take this opportunity, Mr. Connor, of reminding you of what you and I talked about before, and the seriousness of what we are facing now with these two projects, one being of course the levee system, that it would hold up for not another 100 years, but to start working immediately with top priority. I believe I asked that along with the deepening of the MKARNS.

Would you share your thoughts on those two projects?

Mr. CONNOR. Senator Inhofe, thank you for the conversations regarding these projects and the ongoing dialogue. I think they represent two very high priority aspects of the overall Corps of Engineers program, that is obviously with respect to the levees, our need to maintain, rehabilitate, and do any new construction with an eye toward resilience. Particularly given the 2019 flooding situation, we know what extreme events can bring. And so that brings a focus to the need to move forward with your project in West Tulsa.

Then the inland waterways issue, the 12 foot navigation channel, I think during my confirmation process, I mentioned that I had looked up the [inaudible] background in preparation for a talk with you and I saw the Port of Tulsa. I really was a little taken aback at the Port of Tulsa.

But my first trip out of the box here was on the Mississippi River and the Illinois River, seeing the dam and lock system, the navigable, talking to the folks involved in our navigable waterways, inland waterways, and moving commerce on that system and the need for reliability, the benefits that exist with efficient delivery and our need to maintain and improve that system so that it continues to be an important part of our commerce system. That is part and parcel, that is one of our priorities, the supply chain, shoring up the supply chain. And so from that standpoint, I am with you on the importance of those projects, sir.

Senator Inhofe. I appreciate that very much.

The last thing I would mention is on our lakes development, It seems like, I never knew why it was this way, but it always seemed they were always concerned with navigation and flood control but not recreation. We have so many great opportunities for recreation. And this is something we have started looking at now for the first time.

I actually was Chairman I guess of this Committee during the 2007 WRDA legislation. We made some advancements at that time,

and again in 2020. But I would like to say that we have all changed on our priorities on the lake system that we have. And we recognize recreation is a very important opportunity for us in our State of Oklahoma. I would hope you would agree that is an area we need to concentrate on for everyone's benefit.

Mr. CONNOR. Absolutely, Senator. I have a long history at the Interior Department. I was delighted to hear, when I came over in this position, to find out that we have more campgrounds within the Army Corps of Engineers system than the National Park Service.

TT - - - -1- 4 - --

Mr. CONNOR. So I understand the importance of that. Particularly during the pandemic, we have seen how people have gone to recreate at Federal facilities outdoors. It is an incredibly important part of the portfolio because it serves those communities in which we exist.

Senator INHOFE. I appreciate that.

Thank you, Senator Capito.

Senator CAPITO [presiding]. Senator Cardin.

Senator CARDIN. Thank you, Madam Chair. Let me thank both of our witnesses for their work.

Secretary Connor, as you can imagine, for a Maryland Senator, the health of the Port of Baltimore is critically important. Maintenance dredging is absolutely essential.

When I first started in the House of Representatives many years ago, probably the greatest challenge was to find locations where we could put dredged material. But since we started Poplar Island, which became an environmental restoration as well as a location for dredged materials, we have overcome that controversy.

I appreciate our conversation that we had last year about Mid-Bay which is the next scheduled location for environmental restoration, beneficial use of dredged materials and to make sure that we can maintain our channels and have a location for the dredged material.

You said very nice things about it back then. I just wanted to give you an opportunity to say, now that we are ready to start actual construction, that this is a top priority of our regional delegation. And I would hope we will receive your full support.

Mr. Connor. Senator Cardin, I am not ready to make any announcements about any work plans that may be coming out in the near future. But absolutely, my time spent here in this position has only doubled down on my views of the benefits of the program that specifically you are mentioning that is happening in the Chesapeake Bay, the beneficial use of that dredged material. The need to do more of that is something that we need to work through. We are doing it in your area. We need to take that knowledge and that partnership that we have and do that more in other places. And we need to work through those cost issues.

I think with the pilot projects that are moving forward, the 10 we have selected are only partially funded at this point in time. We can demonstrate that. But I am very impressed with the work that has been done in Maryland in the Chesapeake Bay. And it is something we absolutely want to continue, absolutely, sir.

Senator Cardin. Thank you very much for that. We are on schedule in this appropriations cycle. If the work of the committees ends up in an omnibus appropriations bill, there will be additional support there for Mid-Bay to start construction.

So I recognize your answer, and I thank you very much for your support for beneficial use of dredged materials where we are able to accomplish what we need to for dredging sites as well as in envi-

ronmental progress.

General Spellmon, I want to talk a little bit about Section 510 that was in the last WRDA Act. We substantially increased the authorization of Section 510 funding. It is a source that we looked upon as one of the most encouraging areas for restoration of the Chesapeake Bay and a lot of the work done in the Chesapeake Bay Partnership Program.

The challenge is we have to get it funded now that it is authorized to get into your work plan. Can you share with us how we can work together to try to make sure that process moves forward, con-

sistent with the intent of Congress in the last WRDA bill?

General Spellmon. Yes, sir. I just want to start out by saying we appreciate the support of Congress last year in the \$50,000 in 2021 of reprogramming. We used that to complete the feasibility phase for Plum Creek and North City Park, Maryland, projects. We would like to get that out of the work plan into a more predictable funding stream. As you just said, these are incredibly important projects for the ecosystem and for the Bay. We just think there are great opportunities out there. We will continue to make our best technical recommendations to advance these types of projects.

Senator CARDIN. Great. Let's work together because I know sometimes, we run into the bureaucracy of the budget people in trying to get these funds flowing the way we intended. Let's figure out a strategy where we can actually implement the programs that we intended in the last WRDA bill. I thank you for your support.

I want to use my remaining minutes to sort of support Senator Inhofe's point as it relates to what I will call the small harbors or where we have recreational use. These projects are numerous in our State and throughout our country. We recognize it doesn't have the same degree of priority because the economic impact isn't as direct as the major harbors are. But they are critically important to smaller communities.

I would hope as we look at the WRDA bill for 2022, Madam Chair, that we look at a way that we can make progress in dealing with some of the smaller projects, because communities really depend upon that. We can really help the economic life of communities if we deal with some of the standards that are currently being used that make it very difficult to get these projects funded.

I just mention that, and I hope we can work together, and I hope we can work with our leadership here, to figure out a way to move those projects forward. Thank you.

Senator CAPITO. Thank you.

Assistant Secretary Connor, last year we provided the Corps with more than \$22 billion in additional appropriations with the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and the disaster supplemental from Hurricane Ida. Funding obviously is critical, but if permitting approvals are not issued in a timely fashion, these projects cannot

move forward. Understanding how the Corps has used and continues to use those funds will help us to understand what we need to do in the future.

The first question is a very broad one, but the status of the spend plans for those funds and what is the Corps doing to help streamline the permitting and environmental review process so we

can get these projects moving?

Mr. Connor. I will start with part one of the question with respect to the spend plans, the work plans. I can assure you that I have been spending a good amount of my time since I have been in this position on those spend plans. I am confident that we will meet the commitments that Congress set forth in passing IIJA in particular and thinking that through in the context of the disaster supplemental as well as fiscal year 2022 and the fiscal year 2023 budgets.

I look forward to meeting those commitments. I will just express confidence that we are in a position to do that with respect to the

funding plans.

Moving on to the regulatory program, you are exactly right. There have been issues coming up in our regulatory program that I think about, and I know General Spellmon thinks about, in terms of we have a lot of work to do. So do a lot of other parties. We want to continue to promote, even in, quite frankly, a regulatory environment that is changing, we have changes in administration, we have court orders, and we have to respond and provide some regulatory certainty for potential permittees on how we can move forward and do the work that is necessary under the Clean Water Act in particular.

So we have done that. We have dealt with the court decisions that have vacated the navigable water protection rule as well as the 401 certification processes. We have figured out and are giving guidance to folks. But overall, I would say that is part of the job, figuring out what we are going to do with decisions made.

In that interim period, we have done that, too. We are not going to go back and revisit all decisions that were made. We are trying to move forward in the processes but recognize that there may be new information that may warrant revisiting some of those determinations. That is just going to have to play out. That is a con-

versation we need to have with the actual permittees.

Moving forward, we want to give clarity now so we can move forward with the business, and then we want to engage, as we talked about during my confirmation process, Waters of the U.S., the two step regulatory process, the rulemaking process that has been proposed, and let's go back to pre-2015 now. That is a familiar land-scape. And then move forward with a very rigorous process to get to a durable rule that hopefully gets us out of this litigation cycle. That is what I said during the confirmation process. I believe it even more strongly now.

Senator CAPITO. Let me ask a clarifying question. Are you saying that some of the permits that had already been granted under the

previous Administration could retroactively be revoked?

Mr. CONNOR. Not the previous Administration. We are looking at the tenure of the rule itself. We are not looking at—

Senator Capito. Under that rule, though, are you saying they could be revoked?

Mr. Connor. I think there is some legal risk that may exist not because the Corps of Engineers is going to go back and assess legal risk, but I think permittees are looking at that. The rule was va-

cated, and that is new terrain for us.

So I think we all have to think through that from the agency perspective as well as the permittee perspective. But we think, in general, we have always held to the position that decisions made under the appropriate rules at that time are valid. We are not going to go back.

Senator Capito. So you are not going to go back over those?

Mr. CONNOR. We are not.

Senator Capito. OK. I thought you were saying in some cases,

you might have to, with new information and other things.

Mr. CONNOR. Well, there may be situations where we do that in consultation with the permittees, because they may want to limit their legal risk.

Senator CAPITO. OK.

Let me ask, General Spellmon, in WRDA 2020, we talked about delivering projects. There was a provision defining economically

disadvantaged communities.

My understanding is the agency has not done that. I want to know where the development of that definition is and if you are following through with the directed language from that bill that said you would use the economic distress criteria that EDA uses. And if you are following through on that directive, that you are using that as the definition, and where you are on this specific provision in the WRDA 2020.

General Spellmon. Yes, ma'am. Thanks for the question. We are using the EPA tool to help us identify when we need data on mi-

Senator CAPITO. The EDA?

General Spellmon. I am sorry, ma'am?

Senator Capito. Did you say EPA or EDA?

General Spellmon. EPA, ma'am.

Senator Capito. Once again, the EDA or EPA?

General Spellmon. The EPA tool.

Senator Capito. So you are not using the EDA administration's statute that says that is how you use their economic distress criteria? Is that correct?

General Spellmon. I am sorry; let me go back and follow up with you on that.

Senator Capito. You are so far away I cannot tell the difference between a "D" and a "P."

General Spellmon. I have seen both tools. I have seen the application of the EPA tool on a number of regulatory actions. I will go back and follow up on the EDA.

We have been employing the Environmental Justice Executive Order since President Clinton released that in 1994. So I don't think we have a problem in identifying and going through that two part test when we do our feasibility studies and when we do our construction projects, where we are making recommendations to the Secretary. I would just briefly take you back to WRDA 2018. We were asked to provide alternative metrics to the benefit to cost ratio that would better serve underserved communities, maybe communities in middle America that frankly the BCR does not serve very well.

Senator Capito. Right.

General Spellmon. We made a number of recommendations. We came up with a community equity modifier. I just have not had the chance yet to walk Mr. Connor through the work that we did following 2018.

What I am saying is I don't think I have a staffing problem or we are not paying attention. I just think it is just the metric that is used to grade our homework. We could probably have another conversation and make a stronger argument for alternative metrics.

Senator CAPITO. I think some of these communities, and both members who have questioned talked about smaller communities that don't have capacity to be able to know how and when to work these things or are economically disadvantaged, whether it is recreational flood control or whatever. So we need you all to step in there, that is the intent. Hopefully, that is what you will move forward with.

General Spellmon. Yes, ma'am.

Senator Capito. Senator Whitehouse by Webex.

Senator Whitehouse. Yes. Thank you, Senator Capito.

Good afternoon, gentlemen. I have a general question and then two Rhode Island specific ones.

The general question has to do with the 2022 budget of the Army Corps for its Flood and Coastal Damage Reduction Fund. Do you know what that number is? I will break the silence, and I will tell you. It is \$1.72 billion. Of that \$1.72 billion, do you know how much is allocated for inland versus coastal?

Mr. CONNOR. I do not know the number specifically.

Senator Whitehouse. It is \$1.68 billion. If you do the math, the difference is \$0.04 billion for coastal flooding which is less than 3 percent of the number.

Of your \$1.72 billion, 97.7 percent will go inland. You do understand that part of the coastal damage that you are referring to, part of the climate risk that you referred to, is sea levels rising, worse coastal storms, and resulting coastal flooding, correct?

Mr. CONNOR. Yes, Senator.

Senator WHITEHOUSE. All right. So we are going to have to have a bit of a conversation about why 97.7 percent goes to inland and about 2.3 percent goes to coastal of that \$1.72 billion. May I follow up with you on that, so we are not taking all our time here?

Mr. Connor. Yes, sir, absolutely.

Senator Whitehouse. Can you defend it quickly? I am I wrong, or should we have this conversation?

Mr. CONNOR. I would just say I have begun digging into that. I know from a budgeting standpoint a little bit about why there is a discrepancy.

I also understand that you have taken matters into your own hands with respect to IIJA, BBA 2018, to ensure that there is significant resources, and appropriately so, I would say. I am applauding you for that effort given storm surge, sea level rise, and the risks attending our coasts.

Senator Whitehouse. Let me move on to two other things, and

we will keep working on that.

The two things, one is, I just want to emphasize again the continuing importance to me of the Providence River Pilings Removal Project. The Army Corps is taking out an abandoned bridge. In that project, they are looking at taking out some pilings around it.

In terms of the conversation we have had about smaller ports changing their use, the Providence Port area, the Providence River around our capital city of Providence, it is no longer industrial. The pilings are long since abandoned. There are children in sailboats out trying to sail in that area. People try to fish and swim in that area. And the pilings are dangerous.

I was told at one point by the Army Corps that you wouldn't take them out because they weren't a hazard to navigation because you could sail around them. In my view, something you have to sail around is the very definition of a hazard to navigation.

So I just want to flag that for you to keep pressing, pressing, pressing. It has been years of trying to make some progress on this. And thank you for the little bit of progress we got related to the bridge and the immediately surrounding pilings. But there are

other pilings to go.

The second has to do with the Blackstone River where it runs through Rhode Island, comes down from Massachusetts, runs through Rhode Island. In the lower Blackstone, there are four dams we are trying to fix. We need fish passage in order to keep the environment working and allow the, what do they call them, the anadromous fish to get up the river. You guys have a cost per fish analysis that is very hard for us to meet, because these are urban areas, these are downtown, valuable areas. This goes back to the original industrial revolution of colonial days.

So we have got a lot of help that we need with those four dams. Could you assign someone to help us deal with the cost per fish ratio, which seems to create a real problem for small dams, and also for dams that have been there a long time, so that fish passage is minimum? Because the dam itself has killed off the fish that would come for passage. But in time, with any luck and with proper fish passage, then that environmental resource will revive.

Those are my two Rhode Island specific concerns.

General Spellmon. Sir, I will be real quick. I think we are going to be fine on the Blackstone River in terms of the metric, the ecological outputs. I am confident we are going to make that.

The challenge we are going to have here, you mentioned it, this is on some very difficult real estate. CAP Section 206 is capped at \$10 million per project. We are probably looking, with what we know now, at about a \$20 million project on this real estate.

So what we want to do is, we will finish our homework, sir, and then we will come back to you with what options may be out there.

Senator WHITEHOUSE. OK. And don't forget those pilings. Thank you.

Senator Capito. Senator Cramer.

Senator Cramer. Thank you, Madam Chair.

General Spellmon, Assistant Secretary Connor, great to see both of you. Happy new year; thanks for being here to help us out.

Mr. Connor, you and I have had some what I thought were delightful conversations leading up to your confirmation. I am glad that you are confirmed and in front of us now. I am looking forward to working with you.

I especially respected and appreciated your expertise on western water rights issues and your understanding of them. We will be

talking about them in a little bit.

General Spellmon, likewise, thank you. I have appreciated the last couple of years working with you. You have been very attentive to North Dakota and North Dakotans and you have been very responsive to my concerns. I have appreciated that partnership.

responsive to my concerns. I have appreciated that partnership.

In particular, General Spellmon, I have appreciated the work you did previously a couple of years ago on rescinding the real estate policy guidance number 26, and of course, informally withdrawing the Corps' proposed Surplus Water Rule. These were very positive steps, as both the rule and the guidance infringed upon States' rights in complete, in my view, complete contradiction to existing law. The Water Supply Act never authorized a one size fits all rule to federalize the water appropriation authority that is specifically reserved for States.

With that in mind, as we pursue now a new WRDA, I think we should consider setting up some sort of a venue or a commission that would allow States to have a platform to discuss and sort these issues out with the Corps. It would provide North Dakota and other western States especially the forum to bring localized problems that they may be experiencing directly to you.

In our previous conversations, General, you and I discussed the potential creation of such a venue. Through your feedback, you indicated that you want to make sure that we do not recreate an-

other national policy. Believe me, I agree with you.

Would you agree there would be some value, could be some value in western States having an advisory board of some sort, or a commission to bring some of these more niche water issues to the

Corps?

General Spellmon. Sir, I absolutely always welcome feedback and engagement with States and with our partners and municipalities out on our waterways. As you know, I have been working hard to remove a number of what I have termed DC level obstacles to that conversation. You mentioned policy guidance, November 26th, we worked hard on the Water Supply Rule. We had the Secretary in the previous Administration return the surplus water reports without action, and a number of other things.

Sir, from a purely technical perspective, I would like to keep this at the local-regional level. I say that just from my experience in the Water Supply Rule. If I were to bring three States in this room today, I don't think I could get three States to agree on congressional terms like surplus water or natural flows. But I can get to

solutions at the local level.

So we are going to follow the direction of Congress. We are going to, obviously, honor State water rights. I just did not want to take a step back and start to recentralize a committee where you have a person like me at the front of the room. I did not want to return to those days, sir.

Senator CRAMER. I rarely see value in another layer of bureaucracy myself. So I am open to ideas.

Maybe Mr. Connor, you could weigh in on the possibility of some

sort of a venue.

I do think, and you are very familiar with this, western issues are unique and oftentimes, the consequence, the western States are a consequence of some other region's policies. That is my only reason for thinking about this. I am not committed to it, but I would be interested in your thoughts.

Mr. CONNOR. Absolutely, Senator. I have appreciated our discussions, and I think it is your terminology, a fellow policy geek.

[Laughter.]

Mr. CONNOR. I share that view and have enjoyed the discussions. I think that is what this gets to, is as we discussed, and I think as General Spellmon has alluded to, we need to look at every tool that we have with respect to water supply to address some of the challenges, particularly out west where there is water scarcity across many basins.

So from that standpoint, how do we best figure out, we did this a long time with the Bureau of Reclamation, we set it up from the beginning, Section 8 of the Reclamation Act, go to the States, get your permits, and work it out from there. That is not how the Corps developed its water resources project, because they weren't developed for supply.

So how do we get back to having that analysis to move forward and figure out how we can use these facilities or manage or operate these facilities in a way that enhances water supply, that is in watersheds, that is with the relevant States involved? Because they will be driven by the need for solutions.

So I completely support what General Spellmon said about the watershed and the local level. But there is an urgent need, I think, that you are trying to get at. And I think we can try to figure out how to meld the two to have this dialogue with the appropriate folks in the room.

Senator Cramer. I appreciate that. I just want to associate myself as well with some comments you made earlier in response to both Senator Inhofe and Senator Cardin about the smaller recreational opportunities. It is really quite remarkable how much recreational facility you are responsible for at the Corps.

In our water scarcity of the West, we are experiencing a drought right now. If you have been out to Sekakawea before the freeze, you know there is going to be lot of exposed infrastructure from previous droughts that needs to be rehabbed. I am looking for creative ways that we can be helpful and that you can be helpful and multiple agencies can be helpful to make sure that regardless who the landlord is that we actually grow the opportunity for enjoying the lake.

With that, I appreciate again both of you.

I yield.

Senator CAPITO. Thank you.

Senator Duckworth.

Senator DUCKWORTH. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Assistant Secretary Connor, welcome. The America's Water Infrastructure Act, which was enacted in 2018, included my provision that requires the Corps to analyze Federal policies that hinder the Corps' ability to address urban flooding. The previous Administration committed to deliver this study to Congress by 2019. Unfortunately, it failed to meet its deadline and never delivered the report.

Assistant Secretary Connor, would you commit to prioritizing the completion of this study as required under the 2018 WRDA reauthorization? And once completed, provide my office with a briefing on the results to inform our efforts to strengthen the Corps' capa-

bilities and capacity to address urban flooding?

Mr. CONNOR. Yes, Senator, I commit to following up and to prioritize giving the report and the information available to you. I think it fits within the idea that we are looking to figure out how we can address urban disadvantaged communities which are disproportionately affected. I think it fits in that area.

So I know there has been a lot of work done on the report. I don't exactly know where it is in the process. But it is on my radar screen now, and it is a priority on the commitment I just made.

screen now, and it is a priority on the commitment I just made. Senator Duckworth. OK. Well, it was due in 2019. So I hope that it is a priority item.

Do you think it could be completed and release this study by the end of this month?

Mr. Connor. I don't know that it will be available by the end of this month, but I will definitely get back with you and your staff as far as timing, and ensure that I am doing what I can to break

it free, Senator.

Senator DUCKWORTH. Thank you.

This next question is for both witnesses. It is about urban flooding and disadvantaged communities. The Corps manages a significant amount of our Nation's infrastructure, and communities depend on the Corps to implement projects that will help protect them from harmful flooding and natural disasters. We have already heard about this today. We need to do this without worsening pollution problems.

Because many Corps projects are located near or directly impact low income communities, both urban and rural, and communities of color, it is critical that the Corps have the staff capacity to achieve multiple goals for these communities. These goals include, I feel, prioritizing recovery efforts, minimizing adverse impacts of projects, and collecting and integrating input from communities, experts, and other stakeholders to improve current policies and practices.

Lieutenant General Spellmon and Assistant Secretary Connor, would each of you describe how the Corps would benefit from Congress taking action to authorize some form of an advisory committee to support the Corps' environmental justice efforts to better serve marginalized and disadvantaged communities? Also, would the Corps consider prioritizing environmental justice initiatives across the agency by elevating an official to specifically advise leadership on this topic?

How do you feel about an advisory committee, and would you elevate somebody and pin the rose on them to be in charge of environmental justice, marginalized and disadvantaged communities?

Mr. CONNOR. Yes. With respect to an advisory committee, I think the idea of getting input to ensuring that we are having communications with those communities and their representatives about how we can best address the risks they are facing, that is part of a dialogue that we should be having overall. From that standpoint, given the Administration's focus on that, I am definitely open to

the idea of an advisory committee. We can have a dialogue.

Quite frankly, we rely on that. And as we move forward and we implement the procedures and the requirements to look at how we can benefit disadvantaged, marginalized communities, we are going to look to those communities to help best inform us. But if there is an overarching dialogue that we should be part of, I am supportive of that, absolutely. We are going to need somebody, and we are going to have to socialize this throughout the organization, through the Corps. And I know General Spellmon has been working with this, because we have talked about this with respect to addressing environmental justice needs within the projects and activities that we undertake. We will certainly take under advisement the idea of elevating somebody with that specific responsibility. But even then, that is just a start. We need to integrate this

throughout the organization.

General Spellmon. Senator Duckworth, I would just add, we are never going to say no to advice, we are never going to say no to more staff expertise. We would welcome both of these initiatives.

Senator Duckworth. Thank you.

I vield back.

Senator Carper [presiding]. I think Senator Sullivan is next.

Senator Sullivan, welcome; how are you?

Senator Sullivan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Carper. Good to see you.

Senator Sullivan. I want to follow up; gentlemen, good to see both of you. Very quickly, just on this issue of environmental justice, marginalized communities, as my good friend Tammy Duckworth, Senator Duckworth, mentioned. It has not been you guys yet, it better not be, but the Biden administration continues on their actually targeting, targeting of marginalized communities

in my State.

Just on Monday, we had, Mr. Chairman, our 21st executive action targeting solely the great State of Alaska, 21st very negative for our State. The Inupiat community leaders of the North Slope put out a press release, great Americans who don't—this is a press release that in some ways is out of character. Because they are so angry, Mr. Secretary. They are so angry because they are being attacked. Native Americans, marginalized community, environmental justice BS you hear from this Administration all the time, here is just a couple of quotes.

This is when they took 50 percent of the National Petroleum Reserve of Alaska, set aside by Congress for energy development, 50 percent off the table going all the way back to President Obama.

Not based on science. Radical environmental groups.

So here is the Inupiat leadership of my State. All this baloney about environmental justice, marginalized communities, we really care about helping the most downtrodden communities; it is baloney. It is. I am sorry, Mr. Chairman. But it is.

Here is the chairman of ASRC: On multiple occasions, ASRC, the borough and the Tribe have offered to work in partnership with the Biden administration on issues affecting our region, the North Slope Borough. Bigger than California, by the way. Secretary Haaland and President Biden have chosen with this decision not only to ignore the voices of North Slope Inupiat, Native Americans, but to exclude us from the decisionmaking process on issues that impact our Inupiat communities and our culture.

Here is the Inupiat leader of the Arctic Slope region. Secretary Haaland has violated her department's consultation guidance by failing to consult with the Inupiat community of the Arctic Slope. That is a Tribe. We are a federally recognized Tribe, and this action directly impacts the livelihoods of our tribal members. This is further proof that the Biden administration prioritizes its relationships with environmental organizations over the sovereignty of

Alaska Natives.

Here is the North Slope borough mayor, Harry Brower, Inupiat leader. I have a responsibility to the people of the North Slope to protect the long term sustainability of communities through a viable economic base, a responsibility I take seriously for the Native people of my State. Secretary Haaland is failing in her responsibility to the Alaska Native people of the North Slope.

Mr. Secretary, it goes on and on and on. All this baloney about environmental justice, we want to take care of disadvantaged communities, guess what? There is a huge exception in the Biden administration. As we, not for Alaska Natives, we will target them,

we will make sure we crush their economic opportunities.

Can I get both of your commitments not to do this? Twenty-one executive actions smashing my State. There is no other State in the country that is getting this kind of focus on shutting down Alaska, ignoring the Native people, and then they go to the meeting and say, oh, we care about the disadvantaged communities of America. That is a bunch of baloney. Not in my State, they don't.

Mr. Secretary, you and I have talked about this. I am sorry, I am so angry about this. You haven't done it yet, General, you haven't done it yet. I sure hope you don't. Do you have any response? Or can I just get your commitment, don't do this to my State? If Joe Biden had a Republican administration that came in on year one and issued 21 executive actions against Delaware, he would be as mad as I am.

Mr. Connor. Senator Sullivan, I can assure you that environmental justice and working with marginalized communities is not baloney to me. I don't believe it is baloney to the Administration.

Senator SULLIVAN. But as long as they are not disadvantaged communities in Alaska. Then they get targeted. Just commit to me to not do that.

Mr. CONNOR. My commitment that I am going to work with the Native communities in Alaska, I talked to Mayor Brower a few weeks ago about some needs that are up there on the North Slope. It is an ongoing dialogue. We are going to try and serve those communities as I think they are being served across the country.

Senator Sullivan. Well, I want to work with both of you on whether it is the Port of Nome, what is going on in Barrow. We had General Gibbs, who was up in Barrow with me this summer,

who did a great job, General. I am sorry, I love the Corps, I think both of you are very qualified. This is just-

Senator Carper. The Senator's time has expired.

Senator Sullivan [continuing]. Very difficult for my constituents to have to deal with. And I think it is unfair, Mr. Chairman, and I would like to have a hearing on this, this Administration targeting one State with shutdown.

Thank you, gentlemen. I am going to submit questions for the

Senator CARPER. Very good.

My staff is going to recognize Senator Markey next, but I was just handed a note by my senior staff person on water issues that the issue being raised here regarding the Tribes, this particular issue is an issue that is under the Energy and Natural Resources Committee and under the Interior Department. Let's talk about it after.

Senator Sullivan. I acknowledge that.

Senator CARPER. Very good. Thank you. All right, Senator Markey. Your turn. Thanks for your patience.

Senator MARKEY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much.

The Sagamore and the Bourne Bridges, also known as the Cape Cod Canal Bridges, connect the nearly 250,000 residents of Cape Cod to the rest of Massachusetts. And these structures are essential routes for general transportation, tourism, and evacuations in case of an emergency, which is more important than ever as Cape Cod faces the existential threat of climate change. It is right in the crosshairs, and the only way off of Cape Cod are these two bridges

in the event that a Hurricane Sandy-like event occurs.

The Army Corps has ably owned and maintained the Cape Cod Bridges since 1935. Unfortunately, they are now more than 85 years old and are in desperate need of replacement. That is why I fought so hard to make sure that our recent bipartisan infrastructure law could provide the resources we need to replace both bridges. First, we gave Massachusetts and the Army Corps a huge increase. My State, Massachusetts, is now poised to receive more than \$9 billion in direct Federal infrastructure funding over the next 5 years, including \$1.1 billion for bridge replacement and repair. And the Army Corps has also received more than \$17 billion in new funding for the next 5 years.

Second, I also helped, working with the Chairman, to make sure that the bipartisan infrastructure law includes nearly \$35 billion in competitive grant opportunities that can now be targeted for the

Cape Cod Bridges.

Assistant Secretary Connor, we have talked extensively about this project. I appreciate your support that you have expressed in private. So I just hope at this moment, in a public hearing, that you would commit to advancing this bridge replacement effort in Massachusetts. Do you agree that the infrastructure law provides a path forward and sufficient funding opportunities to replace the Cape Cod Bridges?

Mr. CONNOR. Absolutely, Senator Markey. Thank you for the question. Thank you for the dialogue that we had over the holidays on this particular issue. I think absolutely the IIJA does provide the opportunity and the resources for us to move forward in the

bridge project.

As we discussed, I think it is going to be an interagency, interdepartmental partnership that is going to get this done. We are working with the Department of Transportation. Even with the very productive conversations we had before you and I spoke, we have had ongoing conversations. I had discussions with leadership at the Department of Transportation on this effort. So I think we are executing the game plan that we talked about. We are moving forward on those next steps that we discussed.

So absolutely, we will keep you posted on that.

Senator Markey. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, very much. And again, IIJA, for anyone listening, the other name for the—

Mr. CONNOR. The bipartisan infrastructure bill.

Senator Markey. Yes, the other name for that is Cape Cod Bridges as it is translated into English in Massachusetts.

[Laughter.]

Senator Markey. Will you commit to ensuring that the Army Corps applies all of the grant programs it can to complete the essential project, including opportunities that can be pursued in collaboration with Massachusetts?

Mr. CONNOR. Absolutely. We are looking at all opportunities. We have strong incentive to do that.

Senator Markey. I thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Another issue facing Massachusetts is the growing threat of more flooding, coastal erosion, and extreme storms, all of which are supercharged by human caused climate change. Far too many communities in my State are already experiencing the devastating impacts of coastal erosion on their beaches, homes, and businesses, from Plum Island up in Newburyport, down to Chatham, Revere, Winthrop, and more. Flooding, sea level rise, and extreme storms are also dire threats for communities like Boston and Chelsea and others that are potentially environmental justice communities.

We are fighting every day to make sure that the climate crisis is not an extinction level event for eastern Massachusetts. But we have to prepare to respond to the unavoidable and inevitable im-

pacts.

There is a program that the Army Corps has, the Storm and Hurricane Restoration and Impact Minimization Program, through which the Corps can respond. Secretary Connor, would you agree that this program's funding should be increased in order to ensure the Army Corps can keep pace with the growing threat of climate change?

Mr. Connor. Senator Markey, I am not familiar specifically with this program. Looking at the totality of the needs out there, and going through our work plan process and understanding the need, we need to invest more in resilience. There is no question about it, given all the factors that you just enunciated.

General Spellmon, with respect to the specific program, you

know about that.

General Spellmon. Exactly, sir, we would certainly support that. Also, sir, we appreciate Congress' support of the Boston Regional Coastal Storm Risk Management Study. You gave us a new start in 2021. We are going to make our best technical argument for

funding if we are offered a 2022 work plan to move out on that effort.

Senator Markey. Thank you.

That is why, Mr. Chairman, I want to work with you in the next WRDA bill, the next Water Resources bill, so that we have the capacity to provide the resources to these great public servants, so that they can provide the protection for those very valuable resources along our coastlines that otherwise are going to be in great jeopardy.

So I thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thank both of you for being

great public servants as well.

Senator Carper. Thanks, Senator Markey. Thanks a lot for joining us and for your passion on these issues. I remember that bridge, and conversation about that bridge.

Senator Stabenow is on her way back to her office to join us remotely, and Senator Kelly, why don't I recognize you.

Oh, is she here?

Senator Stabenow. Hello, Mr. Chairman. I am here.

Senator CARPER. Great. Go right ahead, then we will turn to Senator Kelly.

Senator STABENOW. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, as we are all juggling various things. Thank goodness for being able to do some of this virtually.

I am very enthused about working with you on the next WRDA bill.

To Mr. Connor and General Spellmon, thank you for your leader-

ship on these really important issues.

It is hard for me to think of a single piece of infrastructure more consequential to our economy than the Soo Locks up in the UP where as you know, right now we only have one large lock that will handle freighters, barges coming through from the St. Lawrence Seaway carrying materials, carrying finished products, and so on that go not only all around the Great Lakes region, but really all around the country.

During my recent visit, I asked the officials from the Detroit Army Corps what impact front loading all of the funding, the entire funding so they could do the long term contracts that are needed to get this thing completed so, heaven forbid, we don't have a shutdown of the one lock working and really a disaster economically. But what impact front loading would have on completing the project. And I was really pleased to hear, the good news is that if we provide all the funding up front now for certainty, and they can do the contracts, we can expedite its completion by up to 2 years, and potentially up to \$200 million.

So it seems like this would be the prudent, efficient, responsible thing to do. So following the passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, I led a letter, along with seven of our Great Lakes Senators, to the Administration supporting the portion of the Corps' new construction to fully fund the Soo Locks project. Given the importance of the project to the Great Lakes region, to the national economy as a whole, can I count on the Corps to give very serious consideration to this request?

Mr. Connor. Absolutely, giving it very, very strong consideration. Important, strategic, as I have looked into it after we had

our initial discussion about the Soo Locks, the reliance on that system and the lack of redundancy that exists, it is concerning. It is one of those things that you do wake up in the middle of the night thinking about.

So from that standpoint, strong consideration, absolutely.

Senator STABENOW. Thank you. Yes, heaven forbid we would see a Suez Canal type situation, where it is blocked and we can't move forward

Speaking of high stakes Corps projects, I want to also talk again about the Brandon Road Lock and Dam project to install comprehensive measures to stop invasive carp from entering the Great Lakes. This is of interest to a number of us on the Committee, and off the Committee. An event that would result in irreparable damage to the Great Lakes.

Despite the gravity of the threat, this project has not progressed nearly quick enough. These fish just keep coming, whether we are completing this project to stop them or not. We authorized a feasibility study as part of an award in 2007; this has been a bipartisan effort. We expedited its completion as part of the 2012 Highway Bill, and today we still have 3 years remaining on the preconstruction engineering and design. The carp are continuing to swim up the Mississippi River and the Illinois River. They are not waiting for us to get this thing done.

So while I appreciate the Corps' diligence, the pace at which it moves in adopting innovative solutions across, carries its own risks. I realize these are new solutions that you have come up with. But it has been, there has been such caution and slowness on it. I am very concerned.

How is the Corps working to become more responsive to these sorts of pressing threats, especially as we look forward to a whole slew of new and unprecedented challenges as a result of the climate crisis?

General Spellmon. Senator, this is General Spellmon. I will tell you, this has our full attention. And I acknowledge, it will never be fast enough. But as you outlined, we absolutely have to get the engineering right. We are dealing with new technologies. We have not put on a structure of this size before, CO<sub>2</sub> curtains, acoustic barriers, light deterrents. We appreciate, Congress has been generous, as has the President's budget, to give us all the money we need and can put to work with our best engineers and architects that are going very hard on the design for this project.

Ma'am, you have my commitment, we will work to get out a design as quickly as possible and get to construction.

Senator STABENOW. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator CARPER. Senator Stabenow, thank you for joining us remotely as we work through this afternoon's series of votes.

Next, I understand Senator Ernst is here; yes, she is here.

Senator Ernst, nice to see you.

Then Senator Kelly.

Senator Ernst. Thank you.

Senator CARPER. Thanks to you both for joining us.

Senator ERNST. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Thank you, gentlemen, very much for being here. General Spellmon, always great to see you. I know you have worked so hard on a number of projects throughout Iowa. We are greatly appreciative.

In December, Senator Grassley led a bipartisan letter that I had signed. It stated the importance of the Navigation and Ecosystem Sustainability Program, NESP, and asking if it gets prioritized in the course of coming work plan. Iowa has approximately 85,000 farms, 95 percent of which are family owned. As we work to make American farm exports more abundant around the world, we also need to maintain a strong and efficient inland waterways navigation system. The 20 most recent new starts for the inland waterways system, only one was in the upper Mississippi River Basin.

Šo, Mr. Secretary and General, can you make any assurances today that NESP, specifically for me, Lock and Dam 25, will be

prioritized in the upcoming Corps work plan?

Mr. CONNOR. Senator Ernst, thank you for the question, and raising the issue. It is being given strong consideration as part of the work plan process. As I noted earlier, I was out, one of my first trips out of the box was on the Mississippi, and on the Illinois River, and becoming familiar with the users of the inland waterway system. I recognized from that meeting and the explanations the importance from an agricultural perspective, the supply chain as a whole, in and out, the reliability of this system, that is certainly part of that process.

So as directed by Congress, we will be making substantial investments for following the capital investment strategy. And it is going to get strong consideration.

Senator Ernst. OK, and General Spellmon? General Spellmon. Yes, ma'am, so we are making the best use of the \$5 million that Congress gave us in the 2021 work plan to advance the design for Lock and Dam No. 25, adjacent mooring cells, and of course, there is a correlated ecosystem restoration project. We want to make sure when the Administration makes its decision that we are absolutely ready to go to construction. These are important projects.

Senator Ernst. Very important projects. I appreciate your commitment. And if we need to make any further discussion opportunities in the future, let me know. I am happy to visit with both of you. But it is a priority for us. Thank you very much for that.

Certainly one of the priorities for the WRDA 2022 would require the Corps to submit a report to Congress listing all projects that are either \$100 million over budget or 5 years behind schedule. So again, that is a priority I have for WRDA 2022.

Unlike in the private sector, there are no clear incentives for Federal agencies to deliver projects on time or on budget. General Spellmon, what kind of incentives do you think that agencies might

respond to? What do you think would be effective?

General Spellmon. Yes, ma'am. I professionally disagree that we don't have any incentives. There are firms out there that build big box stores, and they do it every day, and they get very good at it over and over. And there are firms out there that build high rise apartments, and they do it every day, every year, and they get very good at it.

I don't have two projects that are alike in the Civil Works Program. Cedar Rapids is very different from Texas coastal; it is very different from what we are dealing with down in Miami. That is the beauty of why folks want to come to the Corps, because they are working on national level infrastructure that is all different. They are not building Wal-Marts.

That is why I think that the engineers and the talent that we have in the Corps are recognized nationally throughout the year on national level awards. Many of them are recognized, ma'am, internationally for the work that they do. We work on, again, projects that are of national significance, both here in the United States

and today in 110 countries around the world.

Senator ERNST. And yes, I would agree, I think we have a phenomenal Corps that does a great job, tremendous engineers. But what we do end up with are a lot of projects that end up behind schedule, or over time. Cedar Rapids, of course, additional dollars will be needed for that particular project.

So how can we do better with that?

General Spellmon. Yes, ma'am. You absolutely have our commitment. We want to do better. So for Cedar Rapids, yes, we have some cost growth. Ma'am, I will go back to the beginning of the bipartisan Budget Act of 2018. This was not unexpected, given the level of feasibility we had done on this particular project and several others. We knew this cost growth was coming. We just didn't know where.

So we have, in this case we have adequate contingency in our non-Harvey or Memory Estates to adequately cover the cost growth. Again, it was expected. We are planning for it. And we are

committed to finishing that project.

Senator Ernst. And we are extremely pleased that you have been engaging with Cedar Rapids and so many of the other projects as well. We hope that we can continue working on this. Lock and Dam 25, let's try and get that going as well. But I really appreciate your time and your attention to so many of these projects. And hopefully, we can see many of them completed in the near future.

Thank you. I yield.

Senator Carper. Senator Ernst, great to see you.

Now, patient, long awaited Senator Kelly.

Senator Boozman, you follow on the heels of Senator Kelly.

Then I understand we are going to have another vote in the Senate later today. It is an interesting afternoon.

All right. Mark, you are up.

Senator Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you to both Secretary Connor and General Spellmon for

joining us today.

As we begin drafting the 2022 WRDA bill, it is important to me that we ensure the Army Corps of Engineers has the authorities and the resources necessary to respond to the drought that is facing Arizona and other western States. And as the bill moves through the Senate, I am hopeful that we can work together to ensure a whole government approach to respond to this severe drought. It is not like anything we have seen in our lifetime. It has been going on 22 years now. It is significant.

Secretary Connor, as a former Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation, I know you know the importance of these issues. So I would like to start my questions with you. As you know, the 2020 WRDA bill authorized a new Section 595 Western Infrastructure Program in Arizona which allows localities in Arizona to receive funding for a variety of water and wastewater projects.

What updates can you share about the Corp's work to stand up and fund this program? How do you think a program like this can

help Arizona adapt to changing drought conditions?

Mr. Connor. Senator Kelly, thank you for the question. As you and I discussed, I understand from that prior service Arizona is at the epicenter of drought in the Colorado River Basin and facing shortages to the supply that it has long relied on. And I think the Environmental Infrastructure Program, so we have already started funding. Pascua Yaqui Tribe, I believe, got about a million dollars to move forward with water supply pipeline. I think that is one aspect.

But in the dialogue that you and I have had, and with others, I also understand there is about \$70 million of identified projects already. That is a good thing. We have a whole of government approach. I know the Interior Department has a lot of responsibilities and resources that it will be applying to the Colorado River system.

But we can do our part in working with your communities. We are developing innovative strategies under that Environmental Infrastructure Program, managed aquifer recharge, re-use activity. So we will look forward to trying to work with the resources we have, and there are additional resources within IIJA for environmental infrastructure. And I know it has been part of the congressionally directed spending in past appropriations bills. So will put that to good use whenever we can to help address these resource issues.

I think even in our overall programs that we are undertaking in Arizona, looking at how we integrate flood protection and water supply, and looking for opportunities for multi-benefits is going to be a key part of what we do moving forward.

Senator Kelly. Do you think this will help us deal with the

drought situation we are facing?

Mr. CONNOR. I think it is an absolutely critical part of the drought situation. There is always going to be some reliance on the Colorado River. But having these local strategies developed through the water supply and re-use and wastewater projects that we are talking about, and flood control, I think that is the future. It needs to be.

Senator Kelly. So broadening this out a little bit, do you believe that the Corps' main authorities for flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and water supply are sufficient to allow the Corps to play its part in responding to drought conditions in the western United States?

Mr. CONNOR. I am going to have to look into that more closely. I know we have authorities to engage in projects and activities, whether they are long term enough, whether they have the right parameters to ensure we are looking at this. I think we have the discretion to do that.

But that will be an ongoing dialogue, and I will get with you and

your staff on that.

Senator Kelly. That is what I would like you to do. So if there are additional authorities which the Corps could benefit from to address water supply issues unique to the western United States, if you could get us that information, and General Spellmon, the same for you, that would be incredibly helpful. And we will work with both of you to make sure that within, if it makes sense, to get you the authorities that you need.

Mr. Connor. Absolutely.

Senator Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I yield back the remainder of my time.

Senator CARPER. All right, thanks. Thanks, Senator Kelly.

We have been rejoined by Senator Boozman.

Good to see you, my friend. You are recognized. Thanks for joining us, twice.

Senator Boozman. Thank you, as always, Mr. Chairman. We ap-

preciate the hearing today. Thank you all for being here.
Also, General Spellmon, Secretary Connor, we appreciate you, we appreciate the Administration for their support of the President's 2022 budget request for the Three Rivers Navigation Project. This is something that is essential to the river. If it fails, and it is not a question of, it is when, then it would severely make it such that essentially the Arkansas River would shut down, which would be a real impact not only to the State of Arkansas, but our entire economy.

So I do appreciate your having the foresight to address it before

it becomes a crisis.

I have a couple of questions. Congress authorized the deepening of the MKARNS in 2003, and work on the 12 foot deepening project was initiated in 2006, using funds appropriated in 2005. However, the project has been inactive since then. I understand preconstruction engineering design funds, this is something that we have been working with Senator Inhofe and the Arkansas delegation, entire Arkansas delegation, Oklahoma delegation, but I understand preconstruction engineering design funds will be required in fiscal year 2022 and 2023 for resumption of the deepening

What are the capability figures for preconstruction engineering design for the Tulsa and Little Rock Districts for fiscal year 2022

and 2023?

General Spellmon. I will take that, sir. We are going to express

capability for \$10 million. There is some more design work.

But I also want to share with you that we are thinking proactively here, we are combining some already funded O&M work for bank stabilization and rock placement that we need to do. But that is also going to serve us well when we move forward with the deepening.

Senator BOOZMAN. Very good. The final question has to do with the levee safety provision that was enacted as part of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020. Section 131 of WRDA directs the Corps to do three things with the individual levee sponsors for systems in the Federal portfolio: Identify project specific engineering and maintenance deficiencies, if any; describe recommended remedies and the associated cost of these remedies; consult closely with the non-Federal sponsor throughout the process. We were part

of leading this.

The problem is, we have a situation where we all agree, you have a levee that really isn't very well maintained. But it is a Catch-22 situation, in the sense that with your grading structure, it is not recognized as that. You say, well, how can I fix this? What can I do to get it up to the level? And there is simply no answer.

So this is something that we have to get fixed. We have excellent levees, not only in Arkansas, but throughout the country, with this

minimally acceptable rating, which is simply, it is not good.

General Spellmon. Sir, I know we are going back and working with the public on this. I know one of the pieces that we have received a lot of feedback on is how we are defining risk. It is a probability, and at times a consequence. The probability, of course, is what you described, the state of the levee, the structure of the levee, how sound is it. It is the consequence if that were to fail.

We were using that as a metric to help the Secretary make informed decisions with limited funds. You can't go out and fix every-

thing. So let's use this risk metric.

We are going to circle back on this, sir. We have more communications to do with experts in your State and throughout the country on how we can better communicate this and find a way forward.

Senator BOOZMAN. Yes, again, and we need to do that in a timely fashion.

The other problem, we have had on the Mississippi or various waterways, we have had these massive floods, these centuries floods. That is a great stress test. For areas that have held up with no problem at all, what better measurement is there that they are capable of doing. See what I am saying?

The other side is that you all could be very proud, you have designed a system that really has done well, and we can be very

proud of that. But we have some problems in administering it.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you guys, very much.

Senator CARPER. Senator Boozman, thanks as always for joining us and for your thoughtful questioning.

I have a series of questions I would like to ask of Secretary Connor and General Spellmon.

Mr. Connor, you have been in this new job for how long now?

Mr. CONNOR. Senator, I think it is 6 weeks now.

Senator Carper. Does it seem longer?

[Laughter.]

Mr. CONNOR. No, it is actually just one long day it seems like, since that time.

Senator Carper. All right. Just take a minute and reflect on your new responsibilities, what you expected, what you have found, what you are encouraged by, maybe what you are challenged by. Just take a few minutes on that. Then I have some other prepared questions.

Mr. Connor. Senator, I appreciate the question.

I am taken aback about the level of respect across, on a bipartisan basis, across regions of this country, the work that the Army Corps of Engineers does, and the importance that it has to many, many communities. There are obviously a lot of issues all the time. But I think people have made it clear to me, they take a step back, and they look at the totality of what the Corps does in their communities, and they appreciate that work. It has resonated with me how important this organization is.

The expectations are daunting with respect to the need that exists. You have identified a number of things that we should be working on, particularly in a climate impacted world, and the enor-

mity of the task ahead of us is daunting to say the least.

But I am confident, I feel very fortunate to be working with the folks that I get to work with, and to have a role in this organization and be charged with responsibilities that Congress and members like you have for this organization. And I think it is a great partnership, and I think we can do a lot of great work.

But we have the weight of expectations, of execution, too. So it is not just about thinking about it, talking about it, having good intentions. It is about execution and moving forward. And we are

working on the whole range of that portfolio.

Senator CARPER. All right, good. I think you partly answered this. When we passed the bipartisan infrastructure bill this Committee provided the foundation for the water side, drinking water, wastewater, flood issues. And on the surface transportation side, there were roads, bridges issues as well.

The first part of getting that money out to States to put it to work, it has been done. We don't come together on a lot of big issues on a bipartisan basis these days. But we did pass on a strong bipartisan basis the comprehensive bipartisan infrastructure legislation.

The second challenge is to make sure the money gets out the door, to be put to good use. I think that is beginning to be done.

The second thing is we want to make sure that people in this country know what is happening, and that we don't hide our candle under a bushel, and we let them know what we are doing, how that money is being put to good use to improve their quality of life. Also, to frankly strengthen our economic vibrancy of our country.

So thank you for those reflections. Again, we are delighted that you are interested in this job. The President nominated you, and

we are grateful to our colleagues for confirming you.

This is a question for General Spellmon, and for you, Mr. Connor. Gentlemen, as leaders of the Corps, you understand the importance of the biannual Water Resources Development Act legislation probably better than anybody around. As you know, it not only authorizes projects and spending limits for the Corps, but it sets new authorities and new priorities.

Now that we are starting development of the 2022 WRDA, I would like to take this opportunity to hear from both of you today about key changes, priorities, or authorities that the Corps needs to be a better partner with non-Federal stakeholders.

Mr. Connor, why don't you take that, lead off with that? Then

we will kick it over to General Spellmon.

Mr. CONNOR. Thank you, Senator Carper. Absolutely. Thinking about how we can best use this WRDA 2022 process, I think General Spellmon may have some specific ideas that we have discussed. I want to talk a little bit more broadly. We are going to be moving forward in the direction of WRDA 2020 and doing agency specific procedures with respect to the principal requirements and guidelines, which is going to be looking at our projects and comprehensive benefits associated with those projects, not just focused on national economic development. What are regional benefits, what are local benefits, what are environmental and social benefits, and how we integrate that into our decisionmaking as part of that. We also want to ensure we are looking at certain features of our projects, that we are looking and expecting that we at least assess natural and nature based features, and that we integrate them wherever we can.

So it is going to be a pretty significant undertaking. We are going to be moving forward with that process. And how we take those ideas and those disclosures and that discussion and turn it into practice is something that we should all be looking at as to whether that requires new authorities or modification authorities about how we assess and how we choose and select projects.

So I think that is a heads up for the process that you directed. And I think it is one that we should keep in touch on, because it

may yield the need for new authorities.

Second, as we have talked about here today, with respect to environmental infrastructure, continuing authority programs, communities across the country are making great use of those programs. I naively thought a year ago that they were underutilized programs that had a lot of capability. Now I know they are oversubscribed programs with respect to the need. You all obviously understood that in providing the resources in the bipartisan infrastructure bill. So how we make use of those authorities, thread them into the needs, and maybe expand their use is something that we ought to think about together.

Last, I would note, how we do business and how we create the efficiencies to make investments in the best use of our resources is going to be an ongoing issue, particularly with the size of the portfolio that General Spellmon mentioned in his opening statements, the expectations not just for the Civil Works Program. Our Civil

Works folks support other activities.

So contracting efficiencies, something even like the plant replacement improvement program, WRDA 2016 might have put a little bit of process in there that maybe we want to discuss about more flexibility to use at least some low dollar funds. We understand the need for oversight about how we use funds directly to address some of our plant maintenance and replacement needs.

But maybe we can talk a little bit about some flexibility with respect to that, and looking back to the changes made in the 2016 WRDA. Those are three ideas that I will throw out. I know General

Spellmon has some more.

General Spellmon. Yes, sir, first of all a general statement on your comment on partnership. I tell myself, I have a consistency problem. I have districts that do partnering and have partnerships, and they do this very well. And I have some that don't do it very well at all. We are working every day, this is one of our four priorities.

Senator CARPER. Why do you suppose some do it well, and some don't do it well? Can you generalize on that?

General Spellmon. Sir, it is younger staff, it is inexperienced commanders coming to the Corps for the first time. So we just published new guidelines. We have not published doctrine on this since 1993. So we have new guidelines out, and we are working on a partnering playbook that we will publish this year.

So there is an education component to this. There is a sharing of best practices component to this as well. We are working on this

very hard.

Sir, with respect to WRDA 2022, a couple things. First of all, again, on partnership. I would like to see a reauthorization of the Tribal Partnership Program. This came to us, it was authorized in 2000; it sunsets in 2024. We have completed a number of very good studies under this program. We completed one very good construction project up in South Dakota. I would like to see Congress reauthorize this program so we can take these studies and move them into good construction projects.

I would like to have the ability to assign warrant officers and non-commissioned officers to my civil works projects. I mentioned the massive workload. Today I have 800 military men and women in the Army Corps of Engineers. The rest are very talented civil-

ians.

But I can't bring in all those captains and majors because of other priorities for engineer officers elsewhere in the Army. But I have non-commissioned officers with degrees in project management. I have warrant officers with masters' degrees in electrical engineering. I would love to be able to assign them to civil works projects. It is the 1956 Flood Control Act; it limits me to commissioned officers only. Secretary Connor mentioned it. If we could have a discussion and maybe gain some flexibility, it is Section 160 of WRDA 2016, that puts some governance on top of my plant replacement improvement program. I have to publish a perspective each year for those projects.

So these are the types of projects where out in the field I store equipment, or I have a field office. They are generally small projects, \$7 million to \$8 million. I have not had a project approved or we have moved forward on since the implementation of this pro-

vision.

I would just like to have the ability, or maybe Secretary Connor have an approval level where I could go to him and get approval for an \$8 million project to replace a building that burned down in Portland, for example, this past summer. I would like to offer a conversation on that one, sir, and would welcome any flexibility we could get.

Senator CARPER. All right, thank you.

Aside from what you have just said, are there any other key issues you would like to see addressed that come to mind in the coming WRDA bill? You have touched on a number of them. Anything else, anything that you would like to mention?

General Spellmon. Sir, I will mention one more. We mentioned it earlier, the Hurricane, Storm, and Damage Risk Reduction System in New Orleans. It is Section 3017 from WRDA, going all the way back to WRDA 2018. That allows us to account for subsidence

and settlement in the levees and the flood walls in and around New Orleans. Sir, that authority expires in 2024. I think we would like to keep that system intact and keep it whole and for Congress to reconsider reauthorization that authority as well.

Senator CARPER. OK, good.

Next question. Again, this would be for you, Mr. Connor. It deals with collaboration, collaboration especially with stakeholders. Stakeholders and sponsored collaboration with the Army Corps of Engineers, as you know, is critical to solving today's water resources challenges. It helps to limit the cost of missed opportunities, promotes better planning, provides better transparency, and results in more fiscally and I think environmentally sound projects.

The Corps unfortunately has been limited in its outreach, particularly in disadvantaged communities. In addition, the Corps has still not completed implementation guidance on the disadvantaged

community provisions contained in WRDA 2020.

Question. First, actually, a two part question. First, when do you think we can expect the disadvantaged community guidance that Congress has required? And second, what more should the Corps be doing to collaborate with non-Federal stakeholders, including those in disadvantaged communities? Will you take on those two

questions, please?

Mr. Connor. Absolutely, Senator Carper. It is a great question. I think it is going to be a priority here now, as I committed to in my opening statement, moving forward with the guidance and getting the right definitions of disadvantaged communities, economically challenged communities. We need to just make a decision, and that will set us in a position to finish the guidance. Particularly because we have resources in the IIJA, specifically the \$30 million that was carved out to move forward with the investigations and working on projects with those marginalized, disenfranchised communities. And then the CAP program, the \$100 million that was set aside, so that we can use those ranges of authorities to work with those communities.

So we have resources now, that is always an issue. They are there; we need to put in place the guidance. So that will be a priority for me in moving forward on that in the very near future.

With respect to being able to partner and work with these communities, a couple of things I will just mention. I will go back to looking at comprehensive benefits, which the Corps is already doing, but then trying to institutionalize that with our agency specific procedures to implement the principal requirements and guidelines.

That is going to be the key. I think that has always been a strict look at benefit costs. It has great value. We should be assessing the economic costs and benefits of our projects. We should be looking at the environmental values aspect, and we should also integrate the societal values. And when you are just top heavy on the economic benefit, those marginalized communities suffer, and they don't compete as well. And we have to re-do our criteria in a way that everybody is on board with, so we can consider those other factors. We should try and quantify costs and benefits as much as possible. But sometimes it is a discussion, it is a narrative. We will

do our best to do both aspects, quantification as well as a discussion about those benefits.

Last, programs like the Tribal Partnership that General Spellmon mentioned. Those are specific programs, like the pilot projects, that allow us to go work with communities. As I said in my confirmation process, it is not about just undertaking our projects in a way that doesn't harm those communities, but we want to bring the Corps' resources, skills, capabilities, to work with those communities and ensure that they get the benefits of those activities that so many other communities have had over the years.

Senator CARPER. All right, thanks for that.

I have a third question, and I am going to address this, Mr. Connor, to you and to General Spellmon. I think I will ask General Spellmon to lead off, we will let you rest for a spell. The subject is sea level rise, something near and dear to our hearts in the First State. And frankly, a lot of places on the East Coast and the Gulf, and even on the West Coast and Great Lakes.

Gentlemen, as you know, as the Senator from the lowest lying State, I have already referenced it once today. But sea level rise and extreme weather are becoming more and more of a cause for concern. Earlier this year, I asked General Graham if the Corps accounts for climate change in project design. He answered yes.

It seems, however, that the Corps only designs projects to address damage from storm surge. And in a storm situation, flooding can have more than one cause. The Corps should comprehensively reduce the risk of all flood hazards when it designs a project, in-

cluding climate change, fuel, sea level rise.

My question, starting with you, General Spellmon, and then turning to Mr. Connor, given the Administration's priority in addressing both the impacts and the root causes of climate change, how will the Corps be working to remedy this shortfall in its project design?

Ğeneral Spellmon.

General SPELLMON. Sir, I will start, and tell you that is something that we are working very hard on. General Graham was correct; we have been using sea level rise curves in our feasibility studies and our projects for the past 12 years. And frankly, we have a great climate change tool that DOD has accepted for use on all of the installations.

I would like to see us, and we are working hard on this, I will use the example, go back to the hurricane storm damage risk reduction system in Louisiana. We used the sea level rise curves and climate science in the construction of that project. And the Corps has been credited with the performance of that structure, along with our great partners in Louisiana, for its performance during Hurricane Ida.

What I am saying here is that while concrete and steel and the compacted dirt that protected the city was very, very important, I am of the opinion that that system performed even better because all of the natural and nature based features that the State of Louisiana has been working on, the marsh restoration projects, the barrier islands. I think that has got to be an important component in our coastal work moving forward for the reasons that you said, Senator.

Senator CARPER. Thanks for that.

Mr. Connor, do you want to add to that, or take away?

Mr. CONNOR. I would simply add that in a lot of cases now, we are doing these coastal resilience assessments and studies. And I think those are great opportunities to integrate that risk, to continue to evaluate the risk, and then look at the broad array of solutions, that we can enhance the existing protections that are already

in place. I think that is going to be critical.

I absolutely agree with General Spellmon; the integration of natural and nature based features, the lessons we have learned of how it works in places where it has been done and how we can take that to other communities. And they are demanding it. We have communities that are way ahead in their views of what we should be doing with natural and nature based infrastructure features.

So these studies present a great opportunity for us to do the assessment. We have got the tools as General Spellmon noted. It is going to be an ongoing process, even where we have done resilience activity to date. We have to constantly assess given new threats,

and given new opportunities to address those threats.

Senator CARPER. All right, thank you.

I have one more question I want to ask of you, Mr. Connor. Then I will give each of you a minute or two to close. You get an opportunity to give an opening statement; I like to give the opportunity for you to give a little closing statement as well, something you want to reemphasize or something you think that has been missed that you could comment on.

Mr. Connor, my last question would be with respect to the Corps' budget. As you know, the Congress typically funds the Corps' levels above the President's request. For example, I think in 2021, the President's request was about just under \$6 billion, I think it was \$5.97 billion, to be precise. Congress provided \$7.8. So that is an increase, probably about a 25 percent increase above the Presi-

dent's request in fiscal year 2021.

While these numbers are large, the Corps has not made a significant dent in the project backlog, which is estimated, as I mentioned earlier, to be nearly over \$100 billion, maybe closer to \$110 billion. Between the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also known as the bipartisan infrastructure bill, annual appropriations added to that additional supplemental dollars that the Corps is expected to have somewhere between \$80 billion and \$100 billion to invest over the next 5 years.

With such a large backlog, how will the Corps balance work on backlogged projects while helping communities that have newly

emerging needs?

Mr. CONNOR. It is a complex set of issues that we need to deal with, addressing that backlog and moving forward with the new protections and the new demands that exist. As you note, we do have a significant backlog. I think the figure I saw for WRDA 2020 was something in the neighborhood of another \$15 billion. That goes to the ongoing need and maybe even enhanced need going forward.

So I think we have to look at those projects. There is a process to continue to look at whether some projects should move forward, particularly in the way they were originally envisioned. We want to make sure we use this influx of funds to complete projects, get them off the books, finish the work that we have started. And we want to prioritize the use of projects in the future.

We are always going to look at risk from a safety standpoint. We are always going to look at economic environmental benefits. There

are other needs out there, and other demands.

Also, with respect to the Administration, we are looking at resilience, we are looking at environmental justice, and we are looking at supply chain as priorities that we need to address. So it is going to be a balance of trying to finish projects, trying to really assess

future risks and moving forward with those priorities.

I do believe that we can make a dent with the resources we have. Congress has entrusted us with a lot of resources. I think getting back to that execution piece of this, this is going to be key, so that you can entrust us with more resources moving forward to address these concerns. Because we have a lot of work to do, and some of it we don't even know about yet, given the new challenges that we are facing.

Senator CARPER. Ok. Thanks for that reply.

My last thing, this is really giving each of you a minute or two, maybe three, to add anything else that you think you would like to reemphasize, or something that wasn't raised that you would like to leave on the table.

Mr. Connor, why don't you go first, then we will go to General

Mr. CONNOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have much more to add. I am thinking about the points I would like to make. I think from my standpoint, moving on from WRDA 2020, there is a lot of to-dos still out there. Even when we do guidance, even when we finish rulemakings, even when we get those items done, then there is the implementation phase from a policy perspective. That is going to give rise to a lot more projects and activities.

So we were a little shy here with respect to new authorities because I think you have given us lots of tools and authorities. We want to continue some of those authorities in more long term ways such as mentioned with the Tribal Partnership Program. We know that that program is really going to take off, that the outreach that has been done by the Corps, that we intend to do in the future, not only with Tribes but with other communities that haven't long been

served by the Corps. Those programs are going to take off.

So I am not suggesting a light touch at all with WRDA 2020. There are projects, there are proposals that are identified in our 7001 report that are going to be coming to you that you already have with the 2021 report. But this is an ongoing process, and a backlog will continue to build. But we want to make effective use of the resources we have and demonstrate that we can execute on

the expectations.

Senator CARPER. All right, thank you.

General Spellmon, any final thoughts you want to share?

General Spellmon. Senator Carper, first of all, thanks for your time today, and that of all the Committee members.

As Secretary Connor said, this is an historic level of investment for the Army Corps of Engineers. We certainly appreciate the trust that Congress and the Administration has placed in us. But now

we have to deliver. We absolutely have to deliver on this historic level of investment.

You have my commitment; we have every tool on the table to get these projects on the ground. So whether it is new contracting strategies, new acquisition strategies, delegations, workload sharing, which we experienced in Delaware not too long ago, non-Federal sponsor led work under the 1043 authority, or any other tool, we are an open book. We want to be completely transparent with you and the Committee to help us get this work in the ground.

Senator CARPER. Good. Well, thank you for doing double duty

today, with the House of Representatives infrastructure, the oversight committee there, and then for joining us here this afternoon.

I spent a lot of years of my life in the Navy. My dad was a chief petty officer for, gosh, over 25, close to 30 years all in. I always felt that leadership is the single most important ingredient in the success of any organization I have been a part of.

I am encouraged by the leadership we have still in the military, and with respect to the Army Corps of Engineers and your responsibilities. So thank you both for your willingness to sign up to do

this work. We look forward to working with you.

The time I spent in the Navy, I was always impressed with, some of the finest officers I ever served with were people who had been prior enlisted, we called them [indiscernible], mustangs. Some of the finest people I served with were folks who were non-commissioned officers, including chief petty officers like my dad and others. I think you asked for us to look at some of the language to see if we might give folks who enjoy those ranks some opportunities to make some greater contributions. We will take a close look at that.

This is not the end of the conversation; it is the beginning of the conversation. We look forward to continuing that conversation, making sure that all this money, all these resources that have been appropriated find a good place to go, consistent with the guidance we have provided, and that we can be proud of that, the implementation that lies ahead.

With that, we have a little bit of housekeeping, very little bit of housekeeping to do in order to close our hearing. Senators will be allowed to submit questions for the record through close of business on Wednesday, January 26th. And we will compile those questions and send them to both of you. We ask that you reply to them by Thursday, January 27th. Not really. We are going to ask you to respond, we are going to get the question back from our Committee by Wednesday, January 26th, and we are going to give you all the way until February, Wednesday, February 9th, in order to reply. We ask that you try to be timely in those responses.

This is important stuff, especially for those of us on the coasts of our country. Frankly, Senator Ernst was here talking about the needs that they have in Iowa. We have heard from folks in Michigan, Alaska, who have a huge interest in the work you do. We are anxious to be your partners. Thank you again for your leadership

and for your testimony today.

With that, this hearing is adjourned. Thanks very much. [Whereupon, at 5:03 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]