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The present report identifies the significant common tasks performed by a sample of company commanders in Europe, inventorying all tasks by frequency and importance to the commander and by amount of pre-command preparation needed for the adequate performance of the tasks. It is the kind of research in which experienced psychologists provide innut toward building a data base that the Army manager is able to use to develop training programs and objectives, define jobs and duties, and determine job assignments. Other sources of information can and should be used in conjunction with such data.

The research was accomplished by personnel of the Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) under contract DAHC 19-74-C-0039, Army Project 20163101A755, and was monitored by personne1 of the Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sclences (ARI) at the ARI Field Unit in Heidelberg, Germanv. The work was done in response to requirements of the Office of the Chief of Staff, Personnel, Eurone (ODCSPER, USAREUR) and of the Office of the Chief of Staff, Operations and Plans, Europe (ODCSOPS, USAREIJR).


## BRIEF



To identify the more significant tasks involved in the company commander's job, and to prioritize such tasks for use in Company Command Schools.

Procedure:

A Job Task Inventory Questionnaire (JTIO) dealing with non-mission command duties was designed and administered to company commanders in Europe from the equivalent of two divisions, plus the equivalent of a third division comprised of combat support and combat service support units. The usable responses from 267 commanders were analyzed, and prioritized task lists were developed for the total sample and for commanders of the principal types of units stationed in Europe.

Each respondent rated the 402 task statements of the JTIO in terms of (1) the extent to which each task was a significant part of his job and (2) the amount of preparation needed, prior to his assuming command, for adequate performance of that task. Because the JTIO did not contain task statements related to the conduct of unit missions, respondents were also asked to report the percentage of time spent in each of nine major duty areas, including conducting unit missions (the tactical or technical activities of each branch).

For each task, an "average percent part of position" was calculated across all respondents. This index reflects the percentage of the total position (all tasks) represented by a given task averaged across all respondents. For the need for preparation ratings, a mean was calculated for the rating given each task by all respondents who indicated that the task was part of their position.

For the total sample and for those types of units whose numbers were large enough for analysis, task listings were developed and prioritized separately by average percent of position and mean need for preparation. Tasks common to the highest $20 \%$ of each list were identified.

## Findings:

Company commanders reported spending an average of 62 hours a week in their duty positions, yet were able to accomplish only about half of their job requirements to their own satisfaction. More than $75 \%$ indicated they spend $10 \%$ or less of their time conducting unit missions.

Correlations between types of USAREUR company commanders in terms of the rank order of the tasks on each of the two indices--nercent of position and need for preparation--were moderately high to high for both dimensions. These high correlations indicate that commanders from different types of units were quite similar in the amount of significance they attached to the tasks; it suggests that, except for technical skill specialties, the jobs of company commanders from different branches of the Army are much alike. The prioritized lists of tasks provide usable bases for the design of company commander training. The common tasks are especially relevant candidates for inclusion in leadershin training courses or as a common core curriculum which can be used by all branch company commander schools.

Utilization of Findings:
These findings can be used to improve the content of or provide a common core curriculum in leadership training courses in Army company level command schools, both in Europe and the United States. A more detailed tabulation of job tasks and frequencies broken out for each major branch is available from ARI for those users with specific needs (e.g., for field artillery).

LEADERSHIP TASKS PERFORMED BY U.S. ARMY COMPANY COMMANDERS IN EUROPE

## CONTENTS

|  | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| METHOD | 2 |
| Development and Pretesting of Questionnaire | 2 |
| Preliminary Review |  |
| Pilot Test of the JTIQ | 4 |
| Sample | 5 |
| Field Data Collection Procedures |  |
| Development of Job Task Profiles | 8 |
| RESULTS | 9 |
| Demographic and Background Characteristics of Respondents | 9 |
| Time Devoted to Major Duty Areas | 19 |
| Time and Job Requirements | 19 |
| Job Task Profiles | 32 |
| Commonality Between Unit Types | 43 |
| DISCUSSION | 47 |
| REFERENCES | 49 |
| APPENDIXES | 51 |
| TABLES |  |
| Table 1. Number of battalions surveyed in each division by Corps area | 7 |
| 2. Company commanders visited by research teams, bv branch | 7 |
| 3. Number of questionnalres returned by separate company commanders | 7 |
| 4. Pay grade (rank) of respondents by branch/type of company | 10 |

TABLES (Cont'd) Page
Table 5. Mean months in current pay grade ..... 11
6. Mean months at present duty station ..... 12
7. Mean months served in U.S. Army ..... 13
8. Mean age in years of respondents ..... 14
9. Mean years of education of respondents ..... 15
10. Respondents reporting completion of branch basic course ..... 16
11. Respondents reporting completion of Branch Officer Advanced Course ..... 17
12. Respondents reporting prior commissioned service in similar type of unit ..... 18
13. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with personnel management ..... 20
14. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with leadership ..... 21
15. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with company administration ..... 22
16. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with training ..... 23
17. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with company logistics ..... 24
18. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with maintenance ..... 25
19. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with internal security ..... 26
20. Percent of time spent performing actions associated with company health ..... 27
21. Percent of time spent conducting unit mission(s) ..... 28
22. Mean hours per week devoted to duty position ..... 29
TABLES (Cont'd) Page
Table 23. Mean responses on accomplishment of job requirements to respondents' satisfaction ..... 30
24. Mean responses on adequacy of preparation for job duties ..... 31
25. Top $20 \%$ of tasks ordered by percent of position, for all respondents ..... 33
26. Top $20 \%$ of tasks ordered by need for preparation, for all respondents ..... 37
27. Tasks common to the top $20 \%$ of both percent of position and need for preparation criteria, for all respondents ..... 42
28. Commonality among top $20 \%$ of tasks ranked by percent of position and need for preparation ..... 44
29. Correlations between percent of position and need for preparation for all tasks ..... 45
30. Intercorrelations of task rankings by mean percent of position for selected types of units ..... 46
31. Intercorrelations of task rankings by mean need for preparation for selected types of units ..... 48

## INTRODUCTION

U.S. Army company commanders serving in Europe (USARFUR) are faced with a variety of problems, many of which have their source in the unique characteristics of a European garrison environment. These problems include inadequate training facilities' and many "short-fuse" special requirements; ${ }^{2}$ among their troops, low morale is displayed in racial tensions, drug use, and an apparent reluctance to leave the barracks ${ }^{3}$ not wholly due to the economic conditions which have eroded USAREUR soldiers' affluence. ${ }^{4}$ To help commanders better cope with their problems, leadership training courses are conducted in USAREUR Company Commander Schools. This report presents results of a research profect designed to provide information to be used in developing leadership training for U.S. Army company commanders.

The main obfective of this study was to perform a modified job analysis, as outlined in U.S. TRADOC Regulation 350-100-1, for various categories of company commanders in USAREUR. This was accomplished in part by a detailed analysis of the responses of 267 USAREUR companv commanders who described their activities through the use of a Job Task Inventory Ouestionnaire (JTIO). In addition, respondents estimated percentage of time spent in connection with each of nine princinal duty areas.

[^0]
## DEVELOPMENT AND PRETESTING OF THE OUESTIONNAIRE

The final form of the JTIS was the second revision of the original instrument. Each revision was based on a preliminary review and/or pilot study. The original questionnaire and subsequent revisions contained three major sections relevant to this report. These were (1) items selected to provide data on relevant demographic and background characteristics of the sample population, (2) a section requesting the company commander to estimate the percentage of time spent in activities related to each of nine functional duty areas (Appendix A), and (3) a section requesting the company commanders to describe their present assignments by responding to detailed task statements covering all duty areas except their unit missions, which are the tactical and technical activities of their specific branches (Appendix B). The maior duty areas were (1) Personnel Management, (2) Leadership, (3) Company Administration, (4) Training, (5) Company Logistics, (6) Maintenance, (7) Internal Security, (8) Company Health, and (9) Conducting Unit Missions. The second section of the questionnaire was included to provide a frame of reference, in terms of time allocated to all major areas of responsibilitv, for the detailed task statements of the third section.

The initial job task inventory was constructed by selecting activity statements from a large compilation of such statements. The job statements, or suggestions for them, were products of a number of discrete research steps. First, 14 interviews were conducted with Infantry Officer Advanced Course students who had commanded companies in USARFUR within the previous six months. All interviews were tane-recorded and transcribed. The transcriptions were content-analyzed to identify salient contemporary problem areas, specific incidents illustrating each problem area, and factors which precipitated the incidents or contributed to the overall problem, including situational variables (e.g., separation from higher command headquarters, living conditions, proximity of civilian metropolitan areas). These data served not only to provide a basis for generating task statements, but also to better familiarize the research team with problems in the European theater.

Next, a literature search was conducted on documented problems of leadership and command at the companv level. Coordination with the research scientists of the Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) ensured that the findings of their research were taken into account when developing the task inventory.

Finally, the following schools provided inventories of tasks believed to be currently performed by company commanders of their respective branches:
U.S. Army Engineer School, Fort Belvoir, Virginia
U.S. Army Field Artillery School, Fort Sill, Oklahoma
U.S. Army Infantry School, Fort Benning, Georgia
U.S. Army Institute of Administration, Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana
U.S. Army Medical Training School, Fort Sam Houston, Texas
U.S. Army Military Police School, Fort Gordon, Georgia
U.S. Army Ordnance School, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland
U.S. Army Quartermaster School, Fort Lee, Virginia
U.S. Army Southeastern Signal School, Fort Gordon, Georgia
U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Eustis, Virginia
U.S. Women's Army Corps School, Fort McClellan, Alabama
U.S. Army Air Defense School, Fort Bliss, Texas
U.S. Army Armor School, Fort Knox, Kentucky

As task listings were received from the schools, each task statement was typed on a $3 \times 5$ index card. The task statements were then sorted into decks representing seven conceptual categories or functional duty areas. The conceptual groupings were usually quite broad. Subsequently, each grouping was examined in detail and redundancy was eliminated. Tasks were rewritten when necessary to give them a behavioral, or action, orientation.

Four criteria were used in selecting task statements which were either constructed or taken directly from the original sources. The criteria were (1) exclusion of statements dealing with technical (tactical) portions of the job; (2) avoidance of near duplications; (3) exclusion of statements lacking an action orientation; and (4) avoidance of statements so specific as to represent only a few jobs or types of companies or so general as to apply universally and to the same degree to all units or commanders to be included in the survey. Stated another way, the statements were constructed to have more or less universal applicability, but to varying degrees, depending upon the type of unit in question.

## PRELIMINARY REVIEN

The first draft of the final section of the Job Task Inventory Questionnaire contained 440 task statements. This section, together with the others, was reviewed by (1) all members of the project staff, (2) other personnel with job analysis of systems engineering and social research expertise, and (3) military specialists. The purpose was to edit the original material and review it for completeness and appropriateness of content. Thirty tasks were deleted and four were added as a result of this review.

After preliminary review, the questionnaire was administered to 12 Infantry captains who had just returned from USAREUR and were being inprocessed at Fort Benning for the Infantry Officer Advanced Course. Each officer judged how each item in the task inventory applied to his previous position as company commander and recorded his judgment according to the five-point scale shown below:

1 - Insignificant part of my job/duties
2 - Nominally significant part
3 - Moderately significant part of my job/duties
4 - Significant part
5 - Highly significant part of my job/duties
Respondents were instructed to rate each task in terms of the time devoted to it and/or importance of the task. Some items were more appropriately evaluated in terms of the frequency with which they were performed while others were more appropriately evaluated in terms of their importance, reflecting tasks performed relatively infrequently but having great significance. Achieving a balance between the frequency and significance components of the task was the responsibility of the resnondent. He was forced to continually ask himself such questions as "How much a part of my job is a frequently performed task that is relatively unimportant?" This technique, involving dual criteria for job task ratings, was chosen because it has been used successfully by others ${ }^{5}$ for many years.

Respondents also rated each task on the amount of preparation needed prior to their assumption of command. For this rating, the following scale was used:

1 - Minimal preparation needed before assuming command
$2-20-40 \%$ preparation needed
3 - $50 \%$ preparation needed
$4-60-80 \%$ preparation needed
5 - Maximal preparation needed before assuming command
This rating provided an additional criterion by which to evaluate task statements vis-a-vis their criticality for training purposes

[^1]This pilot test of the questionnaire was conducted to (1) determine the time required for company commanders to complete the instrument, a critical consideration in view of the limited time USAREUR companv commanders would have; (2) determine the clarity of instructions for each section; and (3) gather general appraisals of the instrument as a whole and specific appraisals of individual items.


#### Abstract

Averaging across test subjects, approximatelv one and a half hours was required to complete the questionnaire. This amount of time appeared to be realistic, and was compatible with the previously established schedule forwarded to USAREUR as part of the troop support request. On the basis of comments by test subjects, minor changes were made to clarifv instructions. Also, twelve tasks were deleted from the final section, either because of redundancy or because subjects considered them not part of the company commander's job. After this final revision, the last section of the JTIQ contained a total of 402 tasks distributed across seven conceptual categories.


## SAMPLE

Initially, a job identification step was planned, which would have involved identifying the jobs or duty positions to be included in a systems engineering effort according to procedures outlined in U.S. TRADOC Regulation 350-100-1. The job identification step would have defined the scope of the effort in terms of the number of positions, as well as estimates of representative proportions of incumbents, to be included in the survey. However, because of the need for expediting a troop support request to USAREUR and Seventh Army Headquarters, the decision was made to bypass this step. Instead it was decided that combat arms, combat support, and combat service support units should be surveved in representative proportions, and that types of units with only very limited representation in Europe (e.g., PSYOPS units) should be excluded from the study. It was recognized that troop availability was contingent on mission assignment and that, while a specific sample could be requested, some substitution was likelv to occur.

The participation of two divisions was requested--one from $V$ and one from VII Corps--with preference for one mechanized and one armored division. It was stipulated that battalion commanders and companv commanders must have been in command of their respective units at least 90 davs in order to participate in the survey. Since these criteria and other contingencies such as field training exercises or sickness could potentially exclude up to $30 \%$ of the approximately 200 companies within the two divisions, additional battalions were requested from the two corps:

```
3 Artillery Battalions
2 \text { Cavalry Squadrons}
2 Armored Battalions
5 \text { Air Defense Battalions}
2 Engineer Battalions
l Military Police Battalion
1 Signal Battalion
2 Ordnance (Maintenance) Battalions
1 \text { Medical Battalion}
1 \text { Transportation Battalion}
```

These types of units were selected in order to include (1) a more representative proportion of the organizations in USAREUR and (2) units which perform functions not commonly found within divisional elements.

The nondivisional battalions combined with those in the two divisions resulted in a request for participation by approximately 60 battalions, the equivalent of three divisions. This number was large enough to yield usable data from a minimum of 200 companies and provide proportional representation for all categories of units. In each cords, half the battalions in each of two divisions participated.

Table 1 shows the distribution of the 56 battalions actually surveyed ( 47 from the two corps, 6 under the USAREUR command, and 3 from the 32 nd Air Defense Command). From these 56 battalions, usable data were obtained from a total of 239 companies ( 4.26 company commanders per battalion). The number of company commanders for each tyne of unit visited by the survey teams is shown in Table 2.

In addition to the questionnaire responses obtained from the battalions, questionnaires were mailed to the "separate" company commanders of all four USAREUR divisions from the ARI Field Unit in Heidelberg, Germany. Of the 31 questionnaires returned, 28 met the requirement that company commanders must have been in command of their units at least 90 days. Table 3 shows the distribution of these commanders according to organization.

From data collected by the survey teams and data obtained by the ARI Field Unit, therefore, a total of 267 usable JTIQ's were available for analysis. Of these, 66 were from combat arms units, 79 were from combat support elements, and 122 were from combat service support organizations.

## FIELD DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Two teams of two men each collected JTIO from each of the 56 battalions that were visited. One team collected data mainly in the $V$ Corps area and canvassed 29 battalions. The other team collected data from the remaining 27 battalions, mainly in the VII Corps area. Each team surveyed one battalion a day; approximately six calendar weeks were required to cover the entire sample. Data collection began on 4 November and ended on 13 December 1974.

Table 1

Number of Battalions Surveyed in Each Division by Corps Area

| V Corps |  | VII Corps |  | Nondivisional <br> Battalions |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th Inf <br> Div | 3rd Armd <br> Div | 3rd Inf <br> Div | 1st Armd <br> Div | USAREUR | 32 ADCOM |  |
| 6 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 3 |  |
| 20 | 24 |  | 9 | 56 |  |  |

Table 2

Company Commanders Visited by
Research Teams, by Branch

| Organization | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| Air Defense | 21 |
| Armor | 60 |
| Artillery | 46 |
| Engineer | 12 |
| Infantry | 45 |
| Maintenance (Ordnance) | 20 |
| Medical | 9 |
| Military Police | 6 |
| Quartermaster | 4 |
| Signal | 10 |
| Transportation | 6 |
| Total | 239 |

Table 3
Number of Questionnaires Returned by Separate Company Commanders

| Organization | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Division Finance | 3 |
| Division Adjutant General | 3 |
| Division Aviation | 3 |
| Division Artillery Headquarters | 4 |
| Division Support Command | 4 |
| Brigade Headquarters | 10 |
| Division Headquarters | 1 |
| $\quad$ Total | $\overline{28}$ |

## development of job task profiles

A special-purpose computer program produced output from which the job task profiles were developed. This program had the capability of calculating, among other things, the following:

1. The percentage of respondents performing each task.
2. The average percent "part of position" for respondents performing each task, an index which is computed in the following fashion. First, considering only one individual, the rating given an individual task is divided by the combined ratings for all other tasks to yield a percentage of the total for that task. Then, these percentages for the given task are summed across all subjects reporting they performed the task and this sum is divided by the total number of respondents performing to yield an average percent part of position for those performing.
3. The average percent "part of position" as rated by all respondents, an index which is basically the same as the one described in paragraph 2 above. The only difference is that all respondents--regardless of whether or not they performed the task--are included when averaging the individual percent part of position scores for tasks. Thus, this index is more representative of the entire sample than the one described in paragraph 2.
4. Means and standard deviations of the "need for preparation" ratings made by subjects performing each task.
5. Summaries of the data in terms of percent of position and need for preparation ratings for the functional duty areas (e.g., Personnel Administration/Leadership, Company Administration).

The output of the program was directly analogous to that produced by the Job DECK (Job Description) and ASFACT (Analysis of Secondary Factors) subprogram in the CODAP Job Analysis Statistical Package developed at the Personnel Research Laboratory, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, by Christal, Madden and others. ${ }^{6}$

[^2]Two of the computer program outputs were selected as criteria for identifying tasks for which training would be especially relevant. These were (1) the percent of position ratings, reflecting the time spent performing a task and/or the importance of the task; and (2) the need for preparation ratings, reflecting the degree to which prior training is needed for satisfactory task accomplishment. Ordering of task statements in terms of these two criteria would provide a prioritized listing of tasks to be considered when developing training programs and materials for company commanders.

## RESULTS

## DEMOGRAPHIC AND BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

The demographic and background characteristics cited here were based upon data from the first section of the JTI?. Tables $4-12$ present the following information on respondents, bv Army branch/type of company and for the entire sample (the category of HO Companies includes 4 Division Support Command, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Division Artillery headquarters companies):

Pay grade (rank) (Table 4)
Months in current pay grade (Table 5)
Months in present duty station (Table 6)
Months served in the Army (Table 7)
Age in years (Table 8)
Years of education (Table 9)
Completion of Branch Basic Course (Table 10)
Completion of Branch Officer Advanced Course (Table 11)
Commissioned service in similar type of unit (Table 12)
The data presented in these tables indicate that the typical USAREUR company commander who has been in command for more than 90 davs is a captain with $41 / 2$ to 5 years in grade and approximately 9 years of service in the Army, has been in command of his unit for almost a year, is approximately 30 years old and a college graduate, is very likely to have completed both the Basic and Advanced Officer Courses of his branch, and is about as likely as not to have had some prior job-related experience in the type of unit which he now commands.
Pay Grade (Rank) of Respondents by Branch/Type of Company

| Pay <br> Grade | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 01 (2nd Lt) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 02 (1st Lt) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 55.5 |
| 03 (Capt.) | 21 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | 44 | 95.7 | 12 | 100.0 | 43 | 95.6 | 19 | 95.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 3 | 33.3 |
| 04 (Major) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 00 (No Resp) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Pay Grade | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HQ} \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. Finance |  | Div. AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | Tota1 Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 01 (2nd Lt) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 4 |
| 02 (1st Lt) | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 3.7 |
| 03 (Capt.) | 9 | 90.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 251 | 94.0 |
| 04 (Major) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 1.1 |
| 00 (No Resp) | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 7 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  | a This category includes Div. Arty $\mathrm{Hq}(4)$, DisCom $\mathrm{Hq}(4)$, Brigade $\mathrm{Hq}(10)$, and Div. Hq (1).

Table 5
Mean Months in Current Pay Grade

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Air Defense | 65.33 | 24.04 | 21 |
| Armor | 59.28 | 20.54 | 60 |
| Artillery | 55.20 | 22.76 | 46 |
| Engineer | 50.75 | 20.84 | 12 |
| Infantry | 57.62 | 22.97 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 49.60 | 28.64 | 20 |
| MP | 66.00 | 21.85 | 6 |
| MSC | 21.33 | 14.00 | 9 |
| Signal | 59.70 | 24.93 | 10 |
| QM | 44.75 | 35.28 | 4 |
| Transportation | 43.33 | 31.99 | 6 |
| HQ companies |  | 65.32 | 19.63 |
| Div. Finance | 57.67 | 46.20 | 19 |
| Div. AG | 85.00 | 3.00 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 48.33 | 35.30 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 56.55 | 24.26 | 3 |

a This category includes Div. Arty Hq (4), DisCom Hq (4),
Brigade Hq (10), and Div. Hq (1).

Table 6
Mean Months at Present Duty Station

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Air Defense | 10.19 | 4.80 | 21 |
| Armor | 11.62 | 6.52 | 60 |
| Artillery | 9.76 | 5.12 | 46 |
| Engineer | 13.08 | 4.14 | 12 |
| Infantry | 10.29 | 5.39 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 11.70 | 5.95 | 20 |
| MP | 15.17 | 5.04 | 6 |
| MSC | 9.78 | 5.09 | 9 |
| Signal | 14.10 | 11.92 | 10 |
| QM | 10.25 | 6.75 | 4 |
| Transportation | 9.00 | 4.76 | 6 |
| HQ companiesa | 10.53 | 5.80 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 11.67 | 5.51 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 9.33 | 6.43 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 10.33 | 7.02 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 10.95 | 5.97 | 267 |

[^3]Table 7
Mean Months Served in U.S. Army

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Air Defense | 113.19 | 48.64 | 21 |
| Armor | 96.40 | 37.63 | 60 |
| Artillery | 101.89 | 36.56 | 46 |
| Engineer | 87.17 | 18.18 | 12 |
| Infantry | 112.56 | 47.34 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 102.10 | 49.29 | 20 |
| MP | 122.17 | 27.86 | 6 |
| MSC | 51.22 | 20.08 | 9 |
| Signal | 120.70 | 43.18 | 10 |
| QM | 99.75 | 69.07 | 4 |
| Transportation | 79.50 | 25.39 | 6 |
| H? companies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110.37 | 40.41 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 115.00 | 19.05 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 165.33 | 43.62 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 151.33 | 24.79 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 103.63 | 42.51 | 267 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {This category includes Div. Arty } \mathrm{Hq} \text { (4), DisCom Hq (4), }}^{\text {(4) }}$ Brigade Hq (10), and Div. Hq (1).

Table 8
Mean Age in Years of Respondents

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Defense | 29.76 | 7.38 | 21 |
| Armor | 30.02 | 2.46 | 60 |
| Artillery | 30.13 | 2.74 | 46 |
| Engineer | 29.58 | 1.31 | 12 |
| Infantry | 30.49 | 2.79 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 30.55 | 2.84 | 20 |
| MP | 30.67 | 4.08 | 6 |
| MSC | 27.00 | 2.40 | 9 |
| Signal | 30.30 | 3.40 | 10 |
| QM | 30.50 | 5.00 | 4 |
| Transportation | 29.83 | 2.48 | 6 |
| HQ companies | 30.95 | 3.34 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 32.00 | 1.00 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 34.67 | 2.52 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 34.67 | 3.06 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 30.24 | 3.43 | 267 |

${ }^{\text {a This category }}$ includes Div. Arty Hq (4), DisCom Hq (4), Brigade Hq (10), and Div. Hq (1).

Table 9
Mean Years of Education of Respondents

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Defense | 14.76 | 3.63 | 21 |
| Armor | 15.87 | 1.05 | 60 |
| Artillery | 15.67 | 1.30 | 46 |
| Engineer | 16.83 | . 84 | 12 |
| Infantry | 16.00 | . 93 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 15.85 | 1.27 | 20 |
| MP | 15.50 | . 55 | 6 |
| MSC | 16.44 | 1.42 | 9 |
| Signal | 15.30 | 1.06 | 10 |
| QM | 16.00 | . 82 | 4 |
| Transportation | 16.00 | 1.27 | 6 |
| HQ companies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15.95 | 1.47 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 16.67 | 1.16 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 16.00 | 0.0 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 16.33 | . 58 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 15.83 | 1.51 | 267 |

Table 10

|  | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 18 | 85.7 | 53 | 88.3 | 45 | S7.8 |  | 100.0 | 41 | 91.1 | 17 | 85.0 | 4 | 66.7 | 9 | 100.0 |
| No | 2 | 9.5 | 6 | 10.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 8.9 | 3 | 15.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| No Response | 1 | 4.8 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


|  | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transpor- } \\ \text { tation } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HQ} \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. <br> Finance |  | Div.AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 5 | 83.3 | 16 | 84.2 | 3 | 100.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 3 | 100.0 | 238 | 89.1 |
| No | 1 | 10.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 3 | 15.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 10.1 |
| No Response | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 7 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |


Respondents Reporting Completion of $\operatorname{Br}$

|  | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 19 | 90.5 | 45 | 75.0 | 39 | 84.8 | 6 | 50.0 | 36 | 80.0 | 11 | 55.0 | 4 | 66.7 | 1 | 11.1 |
| No | 1 | 4.8 | 15 | 25.0 | 7 | 15.2 | 6 | 50.0 | 9 | 20.0 | 9 | 45.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 8 | 88.9 |
| No Response | 1 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


|  | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transpor- } \\ & \text { tation } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{HQ} \text { a } \\ \text { Companies } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Finance } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 7 | 70.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 14 | 73.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 3 | 100.0 | 194 | 72.7 |
| No | 3 | 30.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 5 | 26.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 72 | 27.0 |
| No Response | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 4 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This category includes Miv. Arty Hq (4), DisCom Hq (4), Brigade Hq (10), and Div. Hq (1)
Table -2
Respondents Reporting Prior Commissioned Service in Similar Type of Unit

|  | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 8 | 38.1 | 34 | 56.7 | 30 | 65.2 | 4 | 33.3 | 31 | 68.9 | 13 | 65.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 4 | 44.4 |
| No | 12 | 57.1 | 26 | 43.3 | 16 | 34.8 | 7 | 58.3 | 14 | 31.1 | 7 | 35.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 5 | 55.6 |
| No Response | 1 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


|  | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | Companies |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Finance } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div.AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | TotalSample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Yes | 5 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 5 | 26.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 145 | 54.3 |
| No | 5 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 14 | 73.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 120 | 44.9 |
| No Response | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 7 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

a This category includes Div. Arty Hq (4), DisCom Hq (4), Brigade Hq (10), and Div. Hq (1).

## TIME DEVOTED TO MAJOR DUTY AREAS

To provide a frame of reference for the detailed task ratings made in the final section of the JTIO, respondents had been asked to indicate the percentage of time they spent in actions associated with the nine functional duty areas: Personnel Management, Leadership, Companv Administration, Training, Company Logistics, Maintenance, Internal Security, Companv Health, and Conducting Unit Mission(s). The intent of this set of items was to obtain an estimate of the relative amount of time spent in conducting unit missions and thereby to derive one indication of the actual criticality of the detailed ratings that were made only for tasks associated with the other eight duty areas.

Tables 13-21 show the percentages of time respondents spent in activities associated with the various duty areas. Each table presents data for one duty area, by branch and for the total sample. For the total sample, these data indicated that company commanders devote the most time to company administration and leadership and the least time to internal security and company logistics. Activities associated with the conduct of unit missions received comparatively low ratings. More than $75 \%$ of company commanders indicated they spent $10 \%$ or less of their time conducting unit missions. The modal response for this item was zero. This finding was not unexpected in view of the predominance within the sample of units operating within garrison situations.

## TIME AND JOB REOUIREMENTS

After review of the literature, it was hypothesized that the multitude of different tasks and responsibilities might be a principal reason for the reported difficulty of the company commander's job. Accordinglv, several questions were designed to provide information about this issue. Commanders were requested to indicate the number of hours per week they devoted to job-related activities and how many of the job's reauirements could be accomplished to their satisfaction in the available time. Commanders were also requested to indicate how well thev were prepared, through military education/training, for performing the duties of their present positions. Summary data for these items appear in Tables 22, 23 and 24.

Company commanders, like most professionals, work longer than the "norma1" 40-hour week (Table 22). Estimates of hours spent by resnondents within the different types of units were quite variable with the exception of the estimates by commanders of Engineer, MP, Signal, Headauarters, Division $A G$, and Division Aviation companies. Although company commanders reported spending an average of 62 hours per week at their duty positions, they were unable to accomplish many of their job requirements to their satisfaction (Table 23). With a few exceptions, companv commanders reported they could accomplish only about half of the requirements of
Associated With Personnel Management

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 11 | 52.38 | 33 | 55.00 | 23 | 50.00 | 8 | 66.67 | 28 | 62.22 | 12 | 60.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 3 | 33.33 |
| 11-20 | 6 | 28.57 | 18 | 30.00 | 18 | 39.13 | 2 | 16.67 | 14 | 31.11 | 5 | 25.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 5 | 55.55 |
| 21-30 | 3 | 14.29 | 8 | 13.33 | 3 | 6.52 | 1 | 8.33 | 2 | 4.44 | 3 | 15.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 11.11 |
| 31-40 | 1 | 4.76 | 1 | 1.67 | 2 | 4.34 | 1 | 8.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61- 7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |
| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transpor- } \\ & \text { tation } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HQ} \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. Finance |  | Div.AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divion } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 5 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 12 | 63.16 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 1 | 33.33 | 147 | 55.06 |
| 11-20 | 1 | 10.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 3 | 15.79 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 81 | 30.33 |
| 21-30 | 3 | 30.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 3 | 15.79 | 1 | 33.33 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 31 | 11.61 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 1.37 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | . 75 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | .38 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

${ }^{\text {a This category }}$ includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies.
Table 14
Percent of Time Spent Performing
Actions Associated With Leadership

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 10 | 47.62 | 27 | 45.00 | 18 | 39.13 | 4 | 33.33 | 25 | 55.56 | 9 | 45.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 5 | 55.56 |
| 11-20 | 5 | 28.57 | 25 | 41.67 | 15 | 32.61 | 3 | 25.00 | 16 | 35.56 | 5 | 25.00 | 4 | 66.67 | 2 | 22.22 |
| 21-30 | 2 | 9.52 | 6 | 10.00 | 7 | 15.22 | 2 | 16.67 | 4 | 8.89 | 5 | 25.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 11.11 |
| 31-40 | 2 | 9.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 13.04 | 3 | 25.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 11.11 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 1 | 4.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | ${ }_{\text {Companies }}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { Finance } \end{aligned}$ |  | Div.AG |  | Div.Aviation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Sample } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frea |  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 4 | 40.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 5 | 83.33 | 12 | 63.16 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 126 | 47.19 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 4 | 21.05 | 2 | 66.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 85 | 31.84 |
| 21-30 | 3 | 30.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 35 | 3.11 |
| 31-40 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 | 5.24 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | . 75 |
| 51-60 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | . 75 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | . 75 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | . 37 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

a This category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies.
Percent of Time Spent Performing Actions
Associated With Company Administration

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 1571.43 |  | $24 \quad 40.00$ |  | $18 \quad 39.13$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}4 & 33.33\end{array}$ |  | 1533.33 |  | 945.00 |  | 116.67 |  | 2 | 22.22 |
| 11-20 | 2 | 9.52 | 22 | 36.67 | 16 | 34.78 | 4 | 33.33 | 14 | 31.11 | 7 | 35.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 2 | 22.22 |
| 21-30 | 3 | 14.29 | 8 | 13.33 | 6 | 13.04 | 3 | 25.00 | 9 | 20.00 | 3 | 15.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 3 | 33.33 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 8.33 | 3 | 6.52 | 1 | 8.33 | 4 | 8.89 | 1 | 5.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 2 | 22.22 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.67 | 1 | 2.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 1 | 4.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | . 00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transpor- } \\ \text { tation } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HQ} \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Finance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Div.AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div, } \\ \text { Aviation } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freg | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 3 | 30.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 6 | 31.58 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 66.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 101 | 37.83 |
| 11-20 | 5 | 50.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 5 | 26.32 | 1 | 33.33 | , | 33.33 | 1 | 33.33 | 83 | 31.09 |
| 21-30 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 6 | 31.58 | 2 | 66.67 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 66.67 | 48 | 17.98 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 24 | 8.99 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 10.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 3.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | . 75 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | . 37 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

aThis category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies
Table 16
Percent of Time Spent Performing Actions Associated With Training

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 13 | 61.90 | 22 | 36.67 | 26 | 56.52 | 7 | 58.33 | 15 | 33.33 | 16 | 80.00 | 6 | 100.00 | 91 | 100.00 |
| 11-20 | 6 | 28.57 | 21 | 35.00 | 15 | 32.61 | 5 | 41.67 | 19 | 42.22 | 3 | 15.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21-30 | 2 | 9.52 | 14 | 23.33 | 5 | 10.87 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 17.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.22 | 1 | 5.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 4.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | HQ a |  | Div. Finance |  | Div.AG |  | Div. <br> Aviation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Sample } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 3 | 30.00 | 4 | 100.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 15 | 78.95 | 2 | 66.67 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 100.00 | 146 | 54.68 |
| 11-20 | 6 | 60.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 2 | 10.53 | 1 | 33.33 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 81 | 30.34 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 31 | 11.61 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 1.87 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 1.50 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

aThis category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies.
Table
Percent of Time Spent Performing Actions Associated With Company Logistics

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Frea | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 1 | 100.00 | 59 | 98.33 | 42 | 91.30 | 12 | 100.00 | 43 | 95.56 | 17 | 85.00 | 6 | 100.00 | 9 | 100.00 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.67 | 4 | 8.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 4.44 | 3 | 15.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Transpor- } \\ \text { tation }}]{ }$ |  | $\underset{\mathrm{HQ}}{\mathrm{HQ}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { Finance } \end{aligned}$ |  | iv.AG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { Aviation } \end{aligned}$ |  | Tota1 <br> Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frea | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Frea | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 80.0010.00 |  | 4100.00 |  | 6100.00 |  | 1578.95 |  | 3100.00 |  | 3100.00 |  | 3 | 100.00 | 250 | 93.63 |
| 11-20 |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 78.95 15.79 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.000.00 | 15 | 5.62.37 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | ${ }_{0} 0.00$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{5.00}$ | 0 | 0.000.00 | 0 | 0.000.00 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0.00 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0 .}$ |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | ${ }_{0.00}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.000.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| 51-60 | 1 | 10.00 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 |  | 00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0.000.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.000.000 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0.000.000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 000 |  |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.000.00 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.000.00 | - |  |  |  |  | 0.000.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| otal | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 0.0 |  | . |  | 0.0 |  | 267 |  |

${ }^{\text {a This category }}$ includes 4 Discom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty $\mathrm{H} q$ companies.
Table 18
Percent of Time Spent Performing
Actions Associated With Maintenance

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 8 | 38.10 | 25 | 41.67 | 14 | 30.43 | 7 | 58.33 | 21 | 46.67 | 15 | 75.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 5 | 55.56 44.44 |
| 11-20 | 9 | 42.86 | 25 | 41.67 | 26 | 56.52 | 5 | 41.67 | 19 | 42.22 | 5 | 25.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 4 | 44.44 |
| 21- 30 | 3 | 14.29 | 7 | 11.67 | 2 | 4.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 8.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.67 | 3 | 6.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 4.76 | 2 | 3.33 | 1 | 2.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transpor- } \\ & \text { tation } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HQ } \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. Finance |  | Div.AG |  | Div,Aviation |  | Total Sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 6 | 60.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 12 | 63.16 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 100.00 | 1 | 33.33 | 128 | 47.94 |
| 11-20 | 3 | 30.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 2 | 33.33 | 6 | 31.58 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 66.67 | 110 | 41.20 |
| 21- 30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 18 | 6.74 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 5.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 2.25 |
| 41-50 | ? | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 1.87 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

aThis category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies.
Associated With Internal Security

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 20 | 95.24 | 60 | 100.00 | 46 | 100.00 | 12 | 100.00 | 45 | 100.00 | 201 | 100.00 | 6 | 100.00 | 9 | 100.00 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21-30 | 1 | 4.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HQ a } \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. <br> Finance |  | Div.AG |  | Div. <br> Aviation |  | Total Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 101 | 100.00 | 41 | 100.00 | 6 | 100.00 | 19 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 266 | 99.63 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.37 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

[^4]Table 20
Percent of Time Spent Performing
Actions Associated With Company Healt

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 21100.00 |  | $59$ | 98.33 | 46100.00 |  | 12100.00 |  | 43 | 95.56 | 20100.00 |  | 6100.00 |  | 9 | 100.00 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 1.67 | 0 | 0.00 | , | 0.00 |  | 4.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of Time Spent | Signal |  | QM |  | Transportation |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HQ}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { Companies } \end{gathered}$ |  | Div. <br> Finance |  | Div.AG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Div. } \\ \text { Aviation } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 10 | 100.00 | 41 | 00.00 | 5 | 83.33 | 191 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 263 | 98.50 |
| 11-20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 1.50 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

aThis category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companíes.
Table 21

| Percent of Time Spent | Air Defense |  | Armor |  | Artillery |  | Engineer |  | Infantry |  | Ordnance |  | MP |  | MSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 0-10 | 13 | 61.90 | 48 | 80.01 | 36 | 78.26 | 8 | 66.67 | 40 | 88.89 | 12 | 60.00 | 6 | 100.00 | 8 | 88.89 |
| 11-20 | 3 | 14.29 | 8 | 13.33 | 5 | 10.87 | 3 | 25.00 | 5 | 11.11 | 3 | 15.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 11.11 |
| 21-30 | 2 | 9.52 | 4 | 6.66 | 2 | 4.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 15.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 31-40 | 3 | 14.29 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 4.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 8.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 21 |  | 60 |  | 46 |  | 12 |  | 45 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  |


| Percent of | Signal |  | QM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transpor- } \\ \text { tation } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | HQ aCompanies |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { Finance } \end{aligned}$ |  | Div.AG |  | Aviation |  | Total Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Spent | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |


| 0-10 | 6 | 60.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 5 | 83.33 | 15 | 78.94 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 100.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 208 | 77.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-20 | 4 | 40.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 10.53 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 36 | 13.48 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 16.67 | 2 | 10.53 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 | 5.24 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 2.62 |
| 41-50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | . 37 |
| 61-70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | . 37 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {This }}$ category includes 4 DisCom, 10 Brigade, 1 Division, and 4 Div. Arty Hq companies.

Table 22
Mean Hours Per Week Devoted to Duty Position

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Air Defense | 62.71 | 21.04 | 21 |
| Armor | 59.53 | 15.65 | 60 |
| Artillery | 63.30 | 16.68 | 46 |
| Engineer | 65.00 | 7.37 | 12 |
| Infantry | 66.69 | 14.62 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 58.15 | 18.88 | 20 |
| MP | 61.67 | 9.83 | 6 |
| MSC | 55.67 | 22.34 | 9 |
| Signal | 62.00 | 9.49 | 10 |
| Quartermaster | 51.00 | 26.17 | 4 |
| Transportation | 51.50 | 22.32 | 6 |
| HQ companies | 60.11 | 7.13 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 65.00 | 13.23 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 60.00 | 0.00 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 60.00 | 10.00 | 3 |
| Total Sample | 61.73 | 15.85 | 267 |

Note. This questionnaire was: Hours per week you normally spend working in your duty position (round to nearest whole hour): $\qquad$ .

Table 23
Mean Responses on Accomplishment of Job Requirements to Respondents' Satisfaction

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Air Defense | 3.00 | 1.22 | 21 |
| Armor | 3.32 | .91 | 60 |
| Artillery | 2.80 | 1.11 | 46 |
| Engineer | 3.17 | .94 | 12 |
| Infantry | 2.89 | .91 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 3.45 | .95 | 20 |
| MP | 2.50 | 1.05 | 6 |
| MSC | 3.11 | .93 | 9 |
| Signal | 3.50 | .95 | 10 |
| Quartermaster | 2.25 | 1.41 | 4 |
| Transportation | 3.00 | 1.15 | 6 |
| HQ companies | 3.11 | .58 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 3.67 | 1.00 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 3.00 | 1.16 | 3 |
| Dív. Aviation | 3.67 |  | 3 |
| Total Sample | 3.08 |  |  |

Note. This questionnaire item was: Within the time available, how many of the requirements of your job can be accomplished to your satisfaction?
_1. Less than $1 / 4$.
-2. About 1/4.
3. About $1 / 2$.

Table 24
Mean Responses on Adequacy of Preparation for Job Duties

| Branch | Mean | SD | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Air Defense | 3.67 | 1.39 | 21 |
| Armor | 3.95 | .70 | 60 |
| Artillery | 3.78 | .89 | 46 |
| Engineer | 3.75 | .75 | 12 |
| Infantry | 3.96 | .85 | 45 |
| Ordnance | 3.90 | .72 | 20 |
| MP | 3.83 | .98 | 6 |
| MSC | 3.00 | 1.32 | 9 |
| Signal | 3.80 | 1.14 | 10 |
| Quartermaster | 3.00 | .41 | 4 |
| Transportation | 4.17 | 1.13 | 6 |
| HQ companies | 3.21 | .58 | 19 |
| Div. Finance | 4.33 | .00 | 3 |
| Div. AG | 4.00 | .00 | 3 |
| Div. Aviation | 4.00 |  | 3 |
| Total Sample | 3.79 |  | $26 \%$ |

Note. This questionnaire item was: To what extent were you prepared through military education/training for performing the duties of your present position?
$\qquad$ 1. Very Inadequately.
$\qquad$ 2. Inadequately.
4. Adequately.
3. Neither Adequately nor Inadequately.
their positions to a standard which met with their approval. On the other hand, Table 24 shows that they believed their prior military education/training prepared them fairly adequately for performing the duties of their present positions. Collectively, these findings suggest that company commanders may be deluged with commitments which cannot be accomplished effectively even though the commanders are fairlv well trained to deal with them and spend long hours trying to accomplish them.

## JOB TASK PROFILES

The final section of the JTIn consisted of 402 task statements categorized in unequal numbers according to seven nontactical, nontechnical skill areas. The statements were designed to refiect those activities which might be performed by a company commander in a peacetime garrison environment. For each statement, average percent of position and mean ratings of need for preparation were computed for the total sample and for each branch.

Data for all tasks, ordered by percent of position, are presented separately for (1) all respondents and (2) those branches whose sample size was large enough to permit meaningful analvsis. In the interpretation of these data, one caveat is especially important. Almost all of the 402 tasks were rated by most respondents as "performed." Because the number of tasks included was quite large, the percent of position for any single task must necessarily be small. With a different number of tasks, the obtained percentages would have been different. Therefore, the index average percent of position should not be internreted in an absolute sense. It is only a means for ordering the tasks according to their relative importance as rated by respondents; no additional interpretation should be placed upon it.

Table 25 presents a list of the highest $20 \%$ of the tasks ( 80 items) ordered by percent of position for all respondents. Table 26 shows the highest $20 \%$ of the tasks ( 80 items) ordered by mean need for preparation for respondents who reported performing the tasks.

A comparison of the lists presented in Tables 25 and 26 was made to identify those tasks which were rated highest in terms of both percent of position and need for preparation, for which, therefore, training would be most critical. As expected, the two criteria used in ordering the tasks were not entirely independent and there was moderate overlap ( 29 tasks, or $36.25 \%$ ) between the two lists. The rank order (rho) correlation between percent of position and need for preparation for the complete task listing covering the total sample was . 60 . Tasks common to the top $20 \%$ of both criteria are shown in Table 27 .

Table 25
Top $20 \%$ of Tasks
Ordered by Percent of Position, for
All Respondents

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Task } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Task Title | \% of Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 59 | Use chain of command to get specific tasks done. | 0.41 |
| A 124 | Help a subordinate with a personal problem. | 0.41 |
| A 36 | Plan company tasks and missions. | 0.41 |
| C 29 | Practice open door policy. | 0.41 |
| A 37 | Develop policies and proce ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ures for accomplishing company tasks and missions. | 0.40 |
| C 3 | Counsel individual enlisted men on drug/alcohol abuse matters. | 0.40 |
| A 42 | Establish priorities for accomplishing company tasks and missions. | 0.39 |
| A 94 | Train and encourage subordinate leaders to assume greater responsibility. | 0.39 |
| B 19 | Practice open door policy. | 0.39 |
| A 77 | Counsel subordinates on ways they can improve their performance. | 0.38 |
| A 60 | Inspect work upon its completion. | 0.38 |
| A 66 | Personally praise individuals who have done outstanding work. | 0.38 |
| C 20 | Brief newly assigned personnel on your policy regarding drug/alcohol abuse. | 0.38 |
| A 122 | Disseminate information and orders. | 0.38 |
| A 90 | Inform/brief subordinates about current situation or requirements. | 0.38 |
| A 137 | Make spot checks to encourage subordinates to adhere to standards of military appearance. | 0.38 |
| A 136 | Brief new men on unit mission, goals, and local policies (what you expect of them). | 0.38 |
| A 31 | Administer Military Justice under provisions of Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). | 0.38 |
| A 109 | Discuss with subordinate leaders the performance of their subordinates. | 0.38 |
| C 11 | Refer known drug/alcohol users to rehabilitation facility and/or individuals who can offer assistance. | 0.38 |
| A 108 | Support subordinate leaders' actions/decisions to own superiors. | 0.37 |
| C 12 | Follow up on each known drug/alcohol user until rehabilitated or discharged. | 0.37 |

[^5]Table 25 (Continued)

| Task Number | Task Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Position } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 140 | Check on food and living conditions of men. | 0.37 |
| 18 | Identify drug pushers in the company. | 0.37 |
| A 117 | Establish and maintain standards of selfbehavior. | 0.37 |
| A 43 | Organize resources for mission/task accomplishment. | 0.37 |
| D | Determine current level of individual proficiency within unit. | 0.37 |
| 10 | Supervise maintenance of duty rosters. | 0.37 |
| D 7 | Establish priorities for meeting individual training or performance goals. | 0.37 |
| G 11 | Inspect individual and organizational clothing and equipment to insure satisfactory standards of cleanliness and repair. | 0.37 |
| 107 | Delegate authority to subordinate leaders. | 0.37 |
| A 110 | Discuss with subordinate leaders ways they can use to improve the performance of their subordinates. | 0.37 |
| A 91 | Talk with subordinates as part of "open door" policy. | 0.37 |
| A 142 | Work on improving living and/or working conditions for men. | 0.37 |
| E 31 | Conduct dismounted drill. | 0.36 |
| C 13 | Supervise administration of Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), to eliminate known drug/alcohol users. | 0.36 |
| 106 | Delegate responsibilities to subordinates. | 0.36 |
| A 46 | Stimulate subordinates to accept and work toward mission goals and task accomplishment. | 0.36 |
| 47 | Identify operational problems. | 0.36 |
| C 2 | Advise individual noncommissioned officers and junior officers on how they can help control drug/alcohol abuse. | 0.36 |
| C 1 | Personally tour barracks/company area at random intervals during evening and early morning hours. | 0.36 |
| A 68 | Determine reasons for poor performance from subordinates. | 0.36 |
| A 105 | Discuss responsibilities with subordinate leaders that they should assume. | 0.36 |
| A 63 | Communicate expectations for generally high unit performance to subordinates. | 0.36 |
| A 29 | Select the appropriate type of action - administrative, nonjudicial, or judicial. | 0.36 |
| 34 | Evaluate unit training test results against unit training standards. | 0.35 |
| 75 | Display technical competency to earn respect of men. | 0.35 |

Table 25 (continued)

| Task Number | Task Title | \% of Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 69 | Direct/prepare tangible awards, recommendations for individuals who have done outstanding work. | 0.35 |
| A 83 | Motivate/reinforce subordinates by giving credit for good ideas they provide. | 0.35 |
| A 14 | Evaluate/recommend personnel for retention, promotion, demotion, schooling, elimination. | 0.35 |
| A 61 | Critique work performance. | 0.35 |
| D 15 | Select instructors to deliver individual training. | 0.35 |
| A 58 | Monitor the progress of work as it is being performed (supervise accomplishment of assigned tasks/missions). | 0.35 |
| G 29 | Determine the effectiveness of maintenance float. | 0.35 |
| A 72 | Discuss effective leadership methods with subordinate leaders. | 0.35 |
| A 62 | Suggest ways of improving work performance. | 0.35 |
| A 57 | Make expected performance standards clear when assigning work or tasks. | 0.35 |
| A 70 | Write letters of commendation/appreciation for individuals who have done outstanding work. | 0.35 |
| A 119 | Develop good work habits for self. | 0.35 |
| A 132 | Encourage subordinates who are working on very difficult or challengíng tasks. | 0.35 |
| A 74 | Expose self to difficult conditions or to work requirements as an example to subordinates. | 0.34 |
| A 81 | Motivate/challenge subordinates through innovative training or task assignments. | 0.34 |
| A 39 | Receive orders/instructions from superiors regarding company operations and missions. | 0.34 |
| A 131 | Identify and reduce tensions among subordinates. | 0.34 |
| F 21 | Support/coordinate Headstart Program. | 0.34 |
| E 38 | Conduct fund drives. | 0.34 |
| A 121 | Help subordinates by giving advice and planning ahead. | 0.34 |
| A 71 | Discuss rewards and punishments with subordinate leaders to assure they are administered fairly or that they are appropriate. | 0.34 |
| A 116 | Develop and maintain own physical fitness. | 0.34 |
| A 1 | Counsel enlisted personnel on reenlistment or extension of enlistment. | 0.34 |
| B 20 | Motivate junior officers and noncommissioned officers to effectively use chain of command, i.e., help enlisted men with racially related complaints or incidents. | 0.34 |

Table 25 (Continued)

| Task |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Task Title | \% of <br> Position |


| A | 51 | Inspect ongoing work to determine if existing plans can be improved. | 0.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 8 | Conduct health and welfare inspections. | 0.34 |
| D | 11 | Select instructional methods best suited to accomplishing individual training goals. | 0.34 |
| A | 67 | Administer punishment to individuals who have done poor work. | 0.34 |
| A | 64 | Ascertain who are the high performers in the company. | 0.33 |
| A | 48 | Prepare/write memorandums, directives, standing operating procedures (SOPs), fact sheets, policy letters. | 0.33 |
| D | 35 | Develop training schedules (individual and unit) | 0.33 |
| A | 44 | Ask subordinates for advice on anticipated problems in accomplishing missions/tasks. | 0.33 |
| A | 89 | Request information/advice from subordinates when making decisions. | 0.33 |


|  | ```Table 26 \\ Top 20\% of Tasks \\ Ordered by Need for Preparation, for \\ A11 Respondents``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Task ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number | Task Title | Mean | SD | Number of Responses |
| A 31 | Administer Military Justice under provisions of Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). | 3.68 | 1.31 | 265 |
| A 36 | Plan company tasks and missions. | 3.62 | 1.20 | 261 |
| A 27 | Conduct/supervise searches and seizures. | 3.56 | $1.36$ | 265 |
| A 37 | Develop policies and procedures for accomplishing company tasks and missions. | 3.51 | 1.20 | 263 |
| E 38 | Conduct fund drives. | 3.48 | 1.43 | 244 |
| E 6 | Act as Unit Fund Custodian. | 3.44 | 1.44 | 239 |
|  | Conduct searches and seizures. | 3.42 | 1.55 | 250 |
| $\text { C } 13$ | Supervise administration of Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), to eliminate known drug/alcohol users. | 3.37 | 1.47 | 253 |
| A 29 | Select the appropriate type of action - administrative, nonjudicial, or judicial. | 3.36 | 1.37 | 267 |
| A 75 | Display technical competency to earn respect of men. | 3.32 | 1.53 | 259 |
| G 35 | Initiate equipment improvement reports. | 3.28 | 1.41 | 252 |
| G 29 | Determine the effectiveness of maintenance float. | 3.27 | 1.44 | 246 |
| D 3 | Determine current level of individual proficiency within unit. | 3.24 | 1.35 | 254 |
| C 18 | Identify drug pushers in the company. | 3.24 | 1.57 | 261 |
| C 8 | Conduct health and welfare inspections. | 3.23 | 1.55 | 264 |
| C 17 | Identify drug/alcohol users using physical/physiological indicators. | 3.23 | 1.53 | 247 |
| G 23 | Supervise/direct shop or maintenance facility operations and personnel. | 3.22 | 1.47 | 218 |
| G 39 | Acquire maintenance support. | 3.20 | 1.45 | 242 |

[^6]Table 26 (Continued)

| Task Number | Task Title | Mean | SD | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D 11 | Select instructional methods best suited to accomplishing individual training goals. | 3.20 | 1.41 | 247 |
| A 32 | Prepare charge sheets and prefer charges. | 3.19 | 1.47 | 227 |
| D 7 | Establish priorities for meeting individual training or performance goals. | 3.16 | 1.43 | 253 |
| A 17 | Initiate/coordinate elimination proceedings. | 3.15 | 1.31 | 255 |
| G 28 | Inspect equipment for serviceability. | 3.15 | 1.44 | 231 |
| G 37 | Monitor/diagnose/analyze maintenance deficiencies, equipment performance, and maintenance performance data. | 3.12 | 1.46 | 238 |
| C 3 | Counsel individual enlisted men on drug/alcohol abuse matters. | 3.10 | 1.49 | 266 |
| G 43 | Evaluate/monitor equipment dispatch. | 3.10 | 1.47 | 225 |
| F 17 | Coordinate control of and issuance of ration cards. | 3.09 | 1.52 | 257 |
| G 31 | Determine the effectiveness of Technical Assistance Teams (TAP). | 3.08 | 1.44 | 244 |
| D 1 | Determine level of individual proficiency required for unit to accomplish its mission(s). | 3.08 | 1.36 | 245 |
| D 9 | Research materials/publications in order to select individual instructional methods. | 3.07 | 1.44 | 243 |
| F 6 | Prepare a Functional Files Plan. | 3.07 | 1.52 | 239 |
| F 13 | Supervise posting of bulletin boards. | 3.07 | 1.57 | 245 |
| C 26 | Plan and implement a drug/alcohol education program within the unit. | 3.07 | 1.44 | 245 |
| G 40 | Supervise performance of Equipment Serviceability Criteria (ESC) on equipment. | 3.05 | 1.45 | 195 |
| G 30 | Determine the effectiveness of Maintenance Assistance and Instruction Teams (MAIT). | 3.05 | 1.44 | 239 |
| D 34 | Evaluate unit training test results against unit training standards | 3.04 | 1.41 | 257 |

Table 26 (Continued)

| Task <br> Number | Task Title | Mean | SD | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 28 | Advise respondent/suspect of rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), and right to counsel. | 3.04 | 1.54 | 266 |
| A 81 | Motivate/challenge subordinates through innovative training or task assignments. | 3.01 | 1.51 | 256 |
| A 77 | Counsel subordinates on ways they can improve their performance. | 3.00 | 1.43 | 266 |
| F 7 | Maintain/inspect/transfer/classify functional files. | 2.99 | 1.47 | 193 |
| C 9 | Conduct informal investigations | 2.98 | 1.44 | 248 |
| C 19 | Train alcohol and drug education specialists for the company. | 2.98 | 1.51 | 209 |
| B 10 | Recognize/identify possible indicators of racial unrest. | 2.98 | 1.52 | 256 |
| G 25 | Insure proper storage/maintenance/usage/establishment of prescribed load list (PLL) items. | 2.98 | 1.43 | 242 |
| C 11 | Refer known drug/alcohol users to rehabilitation facility and/or individuals who can offer assistance. | 2.97 | 1.44 | 265 |
| D 5 | Establish long-range individual training or performance goals. | 2.97 | 1.39 | 239 |
| A 94 | Train and encourage subordinate leaders to assume greater responsibility. | 2.97 | 1.45 | 262 |
| G 9 | Supervise preparation of facilities and equipment for inspection. | 2.96 | 1.44 | 243 |
| B 1 | Periodically interview/rap with racially mixed groups of enlisted men to identify racial problems and their sources/causes. | 2.96 | 1.49 | 248 |
| F 31 | Review paperwork for overseas privately-owned vehicle licensing and registration | 2.96 | 1.51 | 248 |
| A 124 | Help a subordinate with a personal problem. | 2.95 | 1.54 | 266 |
| G 26 | Determine the quality of organization maintenance. | 2.95 | 1.44 | 186 |
| A 72 | Discuss effective leadership methods with subordinate leaders. | 2.95 | 1.47 | 260 |
| D 24 | Develop unit training scenarios/exercises. | 2.94 | 1.40 | 229 |
| F 21 | Support/coordinate Headstart Program. | 2.94 | 1.49 | 264 |

Table 26 (Continued)

| Task Number | Task Title | Mean | SD | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 48 | Prepare/write memorandums, directives, standing operating procedures (SOPs), fact sheets, policy letters. | 2.94 | 1.28 | 259 |
| A 14 | Evaluate/recommend personnel for retention, promotion, demotion, schooling, elimination. | 2.94 | 1.31 | 264 |
| D 15 | Select instructors to deliver individual training. | 2.93 | 1.43 | 251 |
| C 12 | Follow up on each known drug/alcohol user until rehabilitated or discharged. | 2.93 | 1.49 | 264 |
| C 27 | Use peer-group pressures to eliminate drug and alcohol abuse. | 2.92 | 1.55 | 243 |
| G 24 | Direct maintenance on individual and organizational equipment. | 2.92 | 1.40 | 225 |
| B 4 | Counsel enlisted men regarding racial problems/incidents. | 2.90 | 1.48 | 252 |
| G 4 | Supervise/coordinate disposal of property, supplies, and repair parts through turn-in, issue, exchange, property disposal, and distribution. | 2.89 | 1.44 | 238 |
| A 46 | Stimulate subordinates to accept and work toward mission goals and task accomplishment. | 2.88 | 1.35 | 258 |
| A 47 | Identify operational problems. | 2.88 | 1.33 | 260 |
| D 13 | Acquire training areas/facilities, aids, and publications needed to support unit training. | 2.88 | 1.37 | 241 |
| G 5 | Plan and conduct physical inventories. | 2.87 | 1.45 | 235 |
| G 27 | Determine the proficiency of maintenance personnel. | 2.86 | 1.43 | 214 |
| D 12 | Select best methods for meeting unit training goals. | 2.86 | 1.41 | 206 |
| F 8 | Procure/distribute/inventory/recommend changes to store/write publications. | 2.86 | 1.41 | 201 |
| D 40 | Maintain/supervise the maintenance of unit training records. | 2.86 | 1.35 | 239 |

Table 26 (Continued)

| Task <br> Number | Task Title | Mean | SD | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F 5 | Review requisitions for blank forms and office supplies. | 2.86 | 1.45 | 230 |
| D 23 | Develop lesson/outline to meet performance goals of individual instruction you personally deliver. | 2.85 | 1.39 | 238 |
| A 43 | Organize resources for mission/task accomplishment. | 2.83 | 1.37 | 259 |
| A 26 | Execute investigations pursuant to Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), of suspected violations. | 2.83 | 1.40 | 156 |
| G 7 | Insure adherence to supply economy concepts. | 2.83 | 1.46 | 246 |
| C 10 | Establish and maintain an intelligence network to pinpoint drug and alcohol abuse in the company. | 2.83 | 1.52 | 226 |
| D 8 | Establish priorities for meeting unit training or performance goals. | 2.82 | 1.45 | 247 |
| G 45 | Insure proper maintenance of weapons record data. | 2.79 | 1.44 | 224 |
| A 114 | Study regulations/manuals/other materials to improve own ability. | 2.78 | 1.51 | 263 |

Table 27
Tasks Common to the Top $20 \%$ of Both Percent of Position and Need for Preparation Criteria, for all Respondents

| Task Number | Task Title ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 14 | Evaluate/recommend personnel for retention, promotion, demotion, schooling, elimination. |
| A 29 | Select the appropriate type of action - administrative, nonjudicial or judicial. |
| A 31 | Administer military justice under provisions of Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). |
| A 36 | Plan company tasks and missions. |
| A 37 | Develop policies and procedures for accomplishing company tasks and missions. |
| A 43 | Organize resources for mission/task accomplishment. |
| A 46 | Stimulate subordinates to accept and work toward mission goals and task accomplishment. |
| A 47 | Identify operational problems. |
| A 48 | Prepare/write memorandums, directives, standing operating procedures (SOPs), fact sheets, policy letters. |
| A 72 | Discuss effective leadership methods with subordinate leader |
| A 75 | Display technical competency to earn respect of men. |
| A 77 | Counsel subordinates on ways they can improve their performance. |
| A 81 | Motivate/challenge subordinates through innovative training or task assignments. |
| A 94 | Train and encourage subordinate leaders to assume greater responsibility. |
| A124 | Help a subordinate with a personal problem. |
| C 3 | Counsel individual enlisted men on drug/alcohol abuse matt |
| C 8 | Conduct health and welfare inspections. |
| C 11 | Refer known drug/alcohol users to rehabilitation facility and/ or individuals who can offer assistance. |
| C 12 | Follow up on each known drug/alcohol user until rehabilitated or discharged. |
| C 13 | Supervise administration of Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), to eliminate known drug/alcohol users. |
| C 18 | Identify drug pushers in the company. |
|  | Determine current level of individual proficiency within unit |
| D 7 | Establish priorities for meeting individual training or performance goals. |
| D 11 | Select instructional methods best suited to accomplish individual training goals. |
| D 15 | Select instructors to deliver individual training. |
| D 34 | Evaluate unit training test results against unit training standards. |
| E 38 | Conduct fund drives. |
| F 21 | Support/coordinate Headstart Program. |
| G 29 | Determine the effectiveness of maintenance float. |

a Tasks are listed in the order in which they appeared in the JTIQ.

The degree of task commonality showed some variability among the several branches whose samples were large enough for meaningful analvsis. The extent of commonality among the highest $20 \%$ of tasks for each type of unit is summarized in Table 28. The greatest overlap ( $46 \%$ ) was found for Artillery respondents and the lowest (28\%) for Headauarters companies. The amount of overlap for Headquarters companies was notably lower than for any of the other tvpes of units, which may suggest that Headquarters company commanders view their jobs differently than do commanders of other types of units.

For each unit type, percent of position and need for preparation ratings on all 402 tasks were ranked, and rank order (rho) correlations were computed (Table 29).

Overall, the moderate degree of task commonality found to exist between percent of position and need for preparation rankings suggests that it will be important for individuals who select tasks for training to scrutinize the task lists and identify any extreme discrepancies between the two ratings for single tasks before making a final decision as to whether such tasks should be included.

## COMONALITV BETVEFN INIT TYPES

Full task listings for the various types of units for which sufficient data were available, with average percent of position and mean need for preparation indicated, are available on request for the Army Research Institute. For average percent of position and mean need for preparation separately, the tasks for each type of unit were ranked and rank order (rho) correlations were computed to determine the extent of apreement between the types of units.

Dercent of Position. Table 30 shows intercorrelations between the types of units for average percent of position. Overall, the correlations ranged from moderately high to high. The median correlation among the types was . 85. This indicates a moderately high level of agreement between the different types of units in terms of the relative importance attached to the tasks appearing in the JTIO. For reasons not readily apparent, Ordnance and Headquarters companies showed somewhat lower agreement with Air Defense units than did other companies, and Headquarters companies also showed lower agreement with Armor units. Among the combat arms, agreement was quite high.

The level of agreement between unit types in ranked percent of position suggests the feasibility of the various branch schools using a common core curriculum for training of company commanders. Since task rankings were quite similar among the several types of units, the development of a single course to be used by all branches in training comnanv commanders for garrison duty in Europe seems eminently reasonable.

Table 28
Commonality Among Top 20\% of Tasks Ranked by Percent of Position and Need for Preparation

| Unit Type | Number of <br> Common Tasks | Percent <br> Common |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Air Defense | 31 | 38.75 |
| Armor | 30 | 37.50 |
| Artillery | 37 | 46.25 |
| Infantry | 31 | 38.75 |
| Ordnance (Maintenance) | 30 | 37.50 |
| Headquarters Companies | 22 | 27.50 |
| Total Sample | 29 | 36.25 |

Correlations Between Percent of Position and Need for Preparation for A11 Tasks

| Unit Type | rho |
| :--- | :---: |
| Air Defense | .62 |
| Armor | .49 |
| Artillery | .66 |
| Infantry | .57 |
| Ordnance (Maintenance) | .45 |
| Headquar'ers Companies | .53 |

Table 30

| Unit Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Air } \\ \text { Defense } \end{gathered}$ | Armor | $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ \text { Artillery } \end{gathered}$ | Infantry | Ordnance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Headquarters } \\ \text { Companies } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Defense | --- | . 92 | . 89 | . 91 | . 69 | . 68 |
| Armor |  | -- | . 93 | . 93 | . 82 | . 68 |
| Field Artillery |  |  | -- | . 93 | . 86 | . 84 |
| Infantry |  |  |  | -- | . 85 | . 83 |
| Ordnance |  |  |  |  | -- | . 84 |
| Headquarters Companies |  |  |  |  |  | --- |

Need for Preparation. Rankings according to mean need for preparation for the different types of units also resulted in a moderately high level of agreement (median rho $=.78$ ). Intercorrelations among the types of units are presented in Table 31.

Overall, greatest agreement on need for preparation was found for the combat arms. Correlations of Ordnance with other types of units were uniformly lower, although still moderately high.

The findings suggest that there is moderately high agreement among company commanders within the various types of units concerning those tasks for which the greatest preparation is needed. Examination of tasks receiving the highest need for preparation ratings will indicate which should receive the most attention in course development.

## DISCUSSION

For the most part, the data presented speak for themselves, although several suggested interpretations of the results have been presented already. Accordingly, this discussion will be limited to possible implications of the findings.

The task listing for the total sample (Table 25) constitutes the prioritized task listing which is the principal product of this project. In this listing, the top $20 \%$ of company commanders' tasks are ordered according to average percent of position; this ordering permits identification of those tasks deemed the most significant parts of the job of company cominanders in Europe under garrison conditions. Respondents rated the tasks for significance in terms of both importance to the job and frequency of performance; these standards would appear to constitute reasonable bases for selection of tasks for which training might be developed. Ratings of company commanders concerning need for preparation might be a supporting consideration in selection of tasks to be included in training development.

The most important implication for training derives from comparisons of the prioritized task listings for the various types of units. The significant finding here is that, excluding technical skill specialities, the jobs of company commanders in garrison in Europe are quite similar across all types of units. The data show moderately high agreement across unit types as to which tasks constitute the most significant parts of the company commanders' jobs, and for which most commanders feel the greatest need for preparation.

Therefore, the implication is that, as regards training for garrison duty, a common core currículum applicable for all branch schools would be acceptable and, indeed, would be desirable in the interests of uniformity of training and efficiency of development. A common core curriculum would permit a single proponent for the instruction, which would also make for more efficient and more effective training.
Table 31
$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|c|c}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Unit } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Air } \\ \text { Defense }\end{array} & \text { Armor } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Field } \\ \text { Artillery }\end{array} & \text { Infantry } & \text { Ordnance }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Headquarters } \\ \text { Companies }\end{array}\right]$
a Rank Order (rho) correlations.
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## APPENDIX A TIME ALLOCATED TO MAJOR JOB AREA SECTION OF THE JOB TASK INVENTORY QUESTIONNAIRE

## INSTRUCTIONS:

In this section, you will be asked to estimate how you divide your available time among nine major job areas. As a second task, you will then be asked to show how a number of different individual actions contribute to the overall time required by the major areas. To accomplish the first task, please follow these two steps:

Step 1: Familiarize yourself with each of the first eight major areas by examining the actions comprising them. They are shown on Pages 122 through 125. The actions under the major areas will show the scope of the major job areas.

Step 2. Decide what percentage of your time is required by each, by working from most to least:
a. Find the major area which consumes most of your time, and decide on a percentage value for that area.
b. Find the major area which consumes the second greatest percentage of your time, and decide on a percentage value for that area.
c. Continue with this rocedure until you have assigned a percentage value to all the areas. The sum of all your percentages should be 100 .

MAJOR JOB AREAS \%

1. Personnel Management
2. Leadership
3. Company Administration
4. Training
5. Company Logistics
6. Maintenance
7. Internal Security
8. Company Health
9. Conducting Unit Mission(s) (The percentage of time you spend performing unit missions (as would be performed in Active Combat Theater) that was not covered by the eight categories above.)

Step 3. For each of the major job areas, please now respond to the actions listed under each to indicate how much each contributes to the total time you spend in each of the major areas. For each action, write in the number in the column indicated which corresponds to the rating scale statement which is most correct for you on that action.

NOTE TO READER:
To conserve space, only the first page of the following listing appears in its original format.

2. LEADERSHIP

All actions associated with:
a. Planning Company tasks/missions.
b. Initiating work.
c. Controlling quality of work.
d. Motivating performance.
e. Communicating with subordinates (including "open door"), supervisors, and peers.
f. Developing own and subordinates' competence.
g. Developing unit morale and esprit.
h. Maintaining discipline.
i. Solving problems/coordinating programs in areas of drugs, alcohol, and human relaíions.
j. "Looking out" for the welfare of subordinates.

## 3. COMPANY ADMINISTRATION

All actions associated with:
a. Enlisted and Officer Efficiency Reports.
b. Strength accountability.
c. Responding to general officer "grams," and maintaining prescribed commander's notebook(s).
d. Letters of indebtedness.
e. Finance and pay.
f. Investigations.
g. Issue and control of ration cards.
h. Processing Congressional inquiries and IG complaints.
i. Overseas vehicle licensing and registration.

## Rating

j. Commander/staff meetings.
k. Military correspondence and orders.

1. Responding to telephone inquiries.
m. Requests for transfers, schooling, etc.
n. Command information program.
o. Preparing for visitors/dignitaries.
p. Personnel outprocessing.
q. Accident and Exposure reports.
r. Unit safety.
s. Unit formations.
2. TRAINING

All actions associated with:
a. Identifying individual \& unit training deficiencies.
b. Planning training.
c. Acquiring training aids, facilities, areas, etc., to support training.
d. Conducting tactical training.
e. Conducting non-tactical training.
f. Evaluating training/training programs.
5. COMPANY LOGISTICS

All actions associated with:
a. Supervising company supply operations.
b. Conducting inspections of company.
c. Company mess activities including inspections for cleanliness and food preparation.

## 6. MAINTENANCE

All actions associated with:
a. Planning and implementing maintenance management programs.
b. Supervising all maintenance facility operations and maintenance personnel.
c. Conducting/preparing for maintenance inspections.
d. Preparation of maintenance requests and reports and maintenance of records.
7. INTERNAL SECURITY

All actions associated with:
a. Developing and implementing security plan(s) for handling classified information and material.
b. Supervising and evaluating physical security and security controls.
c. Briefings on security and subversion and espionage.
d. Security of weapons and ammunition.
8. COMPANY HEALTH

All actions associated with:
a. Instructing the company in the essentials of personal hygiene.
b. Providing necessary facilities, equipment, and supplies.
c. Inspecting to insure proper standards of personal hygiene are maintained.
d. Providing programs for the prevention of communicable diseases, respiratory diseases, intestinal diseases, venereal diseases, cold and heat injuries.
e. Insuring that personnel are trained in basic first aid procedures.
f. Requesting medical support when required.


## INSTRUCTIONS:

The Atmy thecis aceuzate information about the work done by Company Gommandots of all bramehes in USAKNYK. Jhis section of the questionmaite should supply stheh futormat bou in that all sommon company comanad atcas of tespoustbilfy ate represented by a mumber of fask statements fo which von ate requested to tespond. This is mot a test. The results will not be used to evaluate you of vour mit: tathot, they will indicate what yout major fob duttes ate and/or where training improvencont is most needed.

## Cenctal Direothons for This Soction

1. You ate soing to be asked to describo yout piesent assignment as Company Commandor in corms of Job fashs, stafoments descibing small potf fons of wout cotal tob, lou do bot report sashs performed by ofher petsons working wifh or for vou mhless you also do the tasks as patt of rotr regulat fob, It you are sometimes requited io tahe ver additional fasks for a fow days while somoone is sway, do not repott fhis woth as betag patt of yout fob.
$\therefore$ Koth that is mot a gatt of yout presont job wilt be toft out, no matiot how offon wou did if in the past.
2. In desctibing vout present fob, so bach as fat for time as necessary to set a true pictute You will probably need to go back hot less than one month not mote thath a veat.

Now, before soing on, vou should be vlear on what is meant by vout present, regalar fot abd what period of fime vou should recall in describing this fob. 11 you ate not, please te-tead the precoding patagtaphs.

Ditectiots for Kating Siates
On the following pages ate seven lists of tasks which may be a patt of vout fob, Some of those ate che same as the fasks you have alteady rated. though most will be diftetent, Where overlap occurs, it is fot (wo reasons. The first is fo have the tash rated in combinat fon with speciffo groups of ocher tasks for comparison purposes, The socond is that the rating scates differ, to petmit us to get a different kind of fnformation.

Please read each task, ath as you read, deedale if mour fob (all of vout dutios) mormalty requites vouto perfotm that particular task, If it does not. make no mark on vout booklet for that ifom and go on to the next task. It it docs, filt in columms a and 8 using the rating scates below.

Use Rating Scale A for Column A to select the number between 1 and 5 inclusive that best describes the extent to which that task is a significant part of your job in terms of time and/or importance.

```
Rating Scale - Column A
    1 - INSIGNIFICANT part of my job/duties
    2 - NOMINALLY SIGNIFICANT part
    3 - MODERATELY SIGNIFICANT part of my job/duties
    4 - SIGNIFICANT part
    5 - HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT part of my job/duties
```

Use Rating Scale B for Column B to select the number between 1 and 5 inclusive which best describes the extent to which the task should be prepared for as part of your education/training prior to assuming company command.

```
Rating Scale - Column B
    1 - MINIMAL PREPARATION needed before assuming command
    2 - 20-40% PREPARATION needed
    3 - 50% PREPARATION needed
    4 - 60-80% PREPARATION needed
    5 - MAXIMAL PREPARATION needed before assuming command
```

It is important to the objective of this study that you respond only to tasks that are part of your job and that you respond to all of the tasks that are part of your job.

Read each task carefully.
Decide whether or not you do it.
a. If you do not perform the task as part of your regular job duties, leave Columns $A$ and B blank.
b. If you do perform the task, provide the ratings required in Columns A and B.

After responding to all tasks in each of the seven lists, space is provided for you to write in tasks that are significantly a part of your position but were not covered in the listing. For the tasks you write in, if any, be sure to rate each as to:

1. Its significance as part of your job duties (Column A) and
2. The extent to which it should be prepared for through education/training prior to assuming command of a company (Column B).

Note: If you do not understand the meaning of a task, that task is probably not a part of your job and you should not respond to it.

Again, as in previous sections, do not write in the column marked $C C$ at the extreme right of the page.

To re-emphasize, the responses you provide here are for research purposes only. Both HumRRO and ARI guarantee the confidentiality of the information which you have been requested to provide.

NOTE TO READER:
To conserve space, only the first page of the following listing appears in its original format.

Rating
$\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{B}$
12. Interview/select/assign personnel to position vacancies. $\qquad$
13. Advise officers and enlisted personnel on U.S. Army Reserve and Regular Army appointment programs.
14. Evaluate/recommend personnel for retention, promotion, demotion, schooling, elimination.
15. Determine whether personnel should be reclassified and/or recommend that they be reclassified.
16. Participate in reclassification activities.
17. Initiate/coordinate elimination proceedings.
18. Initiate/terminate Report of Suspension of Favorable Personnel Actions.
19. Recommend/approve/disapprove/review personne1 actions.
20. Review/authenticate personnel forms, data, rosters, cards, registers, changes, strength reports.
21. Maintain Qualitative Management Program (AR 600-200).
22. Prepare manpower survey.
23. Prepare work measurement statistics.
24. Approve/disapprove leaves and passes.
25. Inform personne1 about leave and pass policies.
26. Execute investigations pursuant to Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), of suspected violations.
27. Conduct/supervise searches and seizures.
28. Advise respondent/suspect of rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), and right to counsel.
29. Select the appropriate type of action - administrative, nonjudicial or judicial.
30. Prepare Serious Incidents Reports.
31. Administer Military Justice under provisions of Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).
32. Prepare charge sheets and prefer charges.
33. Participate in court martials as a member or witness.
34. Initiate/process/review Pretrial Confinement paperwork.
35. Recommend/initiate crime prevention measures.
36. Plan company tasks and missions.
37. Develop policies and procedures for accomplishing company tasks and missions.
38. Relate importance of subordinates' jobs to mission/ task accomplishment.
39. Receive orders/instructions from superiors regarding company operations and missions.
40. Estimate manpower/resources/material requirement for accomplishing company tasks or missions.
41. Coordinate with others concerning manpower/resources/ materials necessary to accomplish company tasks or missions.
42. Establish priorities for accomplishing company tasks and missions.
43. Organize resources for mission/task accomplishment.
44. Ask subordinates for advice on anticipated problems in accomplishing missions/tasks.
45. Prepare contingency plans for accomplishing tasks/ missions.
46. Stimulate subordinates to accept and work toward mission goals and task accomplishment.
47. Identify operational problems.
48. Prepare/write memorandums, directives, standing operating procedures (SOPs), fact sheets, policy letters.
Rating
A

$\qquad$
49. Prepare and maintain work flow charts and graphs.
50. Use existing documents (field manuals and training manuals) for planning new work or tasks.
51. Inspect ongoing work to determine if existing plans can be improved.
52. Seek the advice of superiors concerning how work plans/projects can be improved.
53. Check accuracy of existing information before implementing work plans.
54. Check plans of subordinates and superiors before implementing new work plans.
55. Obtain materials, supplies, or tools required for accomplishing work tasks.
56. Develop detailed instructions concerning how and when specific tasks are to be accomplished.
57. Make expected performance standards clear when assigning work or tasks.
58. Monitor the progress of work as it is being performed (supervise accomplishment of assigned tasks/missions).
59. Use chain of command to get specific tasks done.
60. Inspect work upon its completion.
61. Critique work performance.
62. Suggest ways of improving work performance.
63. Communicate expectations for generally high unit performance to subordinates.
64. Ascertain who are the high performers in the company.
65. Maintain documentation concerning high performers in the company for promotion or other purposes.
66. Personally praise individuals who have done outstanding work.
67. Administer punishment to individuals who have done poor work.
68. Determine reasons for poor performance from subordinates.
69. Direct/prepare tangible awards, recommendations for individuals who have done outstanding work.
70. Write letters of commendation/appreciation for individuals who have done outstanding work.
71. Discuss rewards and punishments with subordinate leaders to assure they are administered fairly or that they are appropriate.
72. Discuss effective leadership methods with subordinate leaders.
73. "Take charge" to exert a stabilizing influence on subordinates under difficult conitions.
74. Expose self to difficult conditions or to work requirements as an example to suhordinates.
75. Display technical competency to earn respect of men.
76. Critique work as it is being performed to allow for improvement to occur.
77. Counsel subordinates on ways they can improve their performance.
78. Supervise work to assure it is completed in a timely fashion.
79. Supervise work to assure high quality performance.
80. Make it known that rewards and punishments are contingent upon quality and quantity of work performed.
81. Motivate/challenge subordinates through innovative training or task assignments.
82. Explain reasons for decisions concerning why work should be done as specified.
83. Motivate/reinforce subordinates by giving credit for good ideas they provide.
84. Develop competition between subordinate units and individuals in completing work.
85. Provide information/recommend courses of action to senior officers/headquarters.
86. Receive information/recommended courses of action from senior officers/headquarters.
87. Hold a bitch/rap session with subordinates.
88. Encourage subordinates to volunteer information.
89. Request information/advice from subordinates when making decisions.
90. Inform/brief subordinates about current situation or requirements.
91. Talk with subordinates as part of "open door" policy.
92. Discuss current situation/requirements with subordinates before initiating action.
93. Coordinate with adjacent headquarters.
94. Train and encourage subordinate leaders to assume greater responsibility.
95. Review subordinates' decisions before they are implemented.
96. Develop and implement methods for building better teamwork among subordinates.
97. Involve subordinate leaders in long range planning to develop their skills.
98. Spend time with subordinates/subordinate leaders in non-duty functions.
99. Identify and influence leaders among enlisted personnel. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
100. Learn the personal background of subordinates.
Rating
A
B
101. Talk with subordinates about the values and attitudes of younger soldiers.
102. Explain overall Army policies and objectives to subordinates.
103. Develop solidarity in the subordinate leader group.
104. Discuss subordinate leaders' actions/decisions with them. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
105. Discuss responsibilities with subordinate leaders that they should assume.
106. Delegate responsibilities to subordinates.
107. Delegate authority to subordinate leaders.
108. Support subordinate leaders' actions/decisions to own superiors.
109. Discuss with subordinate leaders the performance of their subordinates.
110. Discuss with subordinate leaders ways they can use to improve the performance of their subordinates.
111. Obtain resources/information needed by subordinates to complete their assigned tasks/missions.
112. Assist subordinates complete tasks when they otherwise could not meet deadlines/standards.
113. Solve difficult or unusual problems to enable subordinates to complete tasks.
114. Study regulations/manuals/other materials to improve own ability.
115. Evaluate your own attitudes and values as they relate to your superiors, subordinate leaders, and enlisted men.
116. Develop and maintain own physical fitness.
117. Establish and maintain standards of self behavior.
Rating
A ..... B
118. Acquire information/advice from superiors/subordinateson how to improve own performance.
119. Develop good work habits for self.
120. Help subordinates by doing some of their work.
121. Help subordinates by giving advice and planning ahead.
122. Disseminate information and orders.
123. Reconcile expectations of superiors and subordinates.
124. Help a subordinate with a personal problem.
125. Assist subordinate in job/duty reassignment.
126. Help a subordinate get help from an outside agency.
127. Counsel subordinates regarding dependents.
128. Resolve dependent-related problems of subordinates.
129. Counsel subordinates with complaints.
130. Investigate complaints.
131. Identify and reduce tensions among subordinates.
132. Encourage subordinates who are working on verydifficult or challenging tasks.
133. Organize unit parties.
134. Discuss with subordinates their responsibilities rela-tive to your own.
135. Interview and direct assignment of replacements.
136. Brief new men on unit mission, goals and local policies(what you expect of them).
137. Make spot checks to encourage subordinates to adhereto standards of military appearance.
138. Plan/conduct unit educational programs.
139. Check on condition of men unavailable for duty (men in stockade, hospital).
140. Check on food and living conditions of men.
141. Organize religious programs for men.
142. Work on improving living and/or working conditions for men.
143. Help men to overcome natural fears.
144. Develop learning habits in subordinates.

## B. TASKS RELATING TO HUMAN RELATIONS <br> Rate all the tasks that you perform

1. Periodically interview/rap with racially mixed groups of enlisted men to identify racial problems and their sources/causes.
2. Conduct enlisted men council meetings.
3. Organize, implement, and publicize an equal opportunity program in the unit.
4. Counse1 enlisted men regarding racial problems/incidents. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Counsel junior officers and noncommissioned officers regarciing appropriate use of chain of command.
6. Attend human relations courses.
7. Conduct human relations seminars for noncommissioned officers and junior officers.
8. Investigate equality in off-post housing rentals.
9. Identify and monitor the activity of racially prejudiced troops or agitators.
10. Recognize/identify possible indicators of racial unrest. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
11. Initiate and maintain integration in the barracks to reduce racial polarization.
12. Publicize/brief personnel on rationale for Article 15 punishment.

## Rating

## A B

13. Enforce discipline in mess hall to prevent such acts as "bucking" in the service lines.
14. Insure command presence, especially on weekends, holidays, and paydays.
15. Initiate and maintain racial integration in the mess hall.
16. Recognize and attempt to meet ethnic group preferred food needs in mess hall.
17. Investigate charges of discrimination.
18. Eliminate racial agitators.
19. Practice open door policy.
20. Motivate junior officers and noncommissioned officers to effectively use chain of command, i.e., help enlisted men with racially related complaints or incidents.
21. Post/transmit information about events or programs which may be of particular interest to different ethnic groups.
22. Develop and conduct a public information program.
23. Conduct "survey" to identify trends in German public opinion.
24. Plan and conduct a community relations program.
25. Study to broaden own background and understanding of youth and minority groups.
$\qquad$
26. Attend drug/alcohol abuse seminars or classes.
27. Conduct alcohol and drug abuse seminars for officers and noncommissioned officers.
28. Conduct rap sessions with noncommissioned officers and junior officers to determine how well they are handling drug/alcohol problems in units.
29. Conduct searches and seizures.
30. Conduct health and welfare inspections.
31. Conduct informal investigations.
32. Establish and maintain an intelligence network to pinpoint drug and alcohol abuse in the company.
33. Refer known drug/alcohol users to rehabilitation facility and/or individuals who can offer assistance.
34. Follow up on each known drug/alcohol user until rehabilitated or discharged.
35. Supervise administration of Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), to eliminate known drug/alcohol users.
36. Determine the off-duty recreational needs of subordinates and attempt to fill them.
37. Acquire and transmit/post current information on recreational programs available to subordinates during off-duty hours.
38. Acquire and transmit/post information on educational programs available to subordinates during off-duty hours. $\qquad$
39. Identify drug/alcohol users using physical/physiological indicators.
40. Identify drug pushers in the company.
41. Train alcohol and drug education specialists for the company.
42. Brief newly assigned personnel on your policy regarding drug/alcohol abuse.
43. Acquire and post alcohol and drug abuse publications.
44. Promote enlisted interaction with German civilian population.
45. Establish and monitor individual enlisted men savings programs to allow for travel in Europe and for stateside visits.
46. Develop plans for recreational programs and activity schedule for troops on holiday weekends.
47. Implement and monitor Army's exemption program for rehabilitation of drug and alcohol users.
48. Plan and implement a drug/alcohol education program within the unit.
49. Use peer group pressures to eliminate drug and alcohol abuse.
50. Maintain documentation on drug/alcohol use and/or drug/alcohol users in the company.
51. Practice open door policy.
D. TASKS RELATING TO TRAINING

Rate all the tasks that you perform.

1. Determine level of individual proficiency required for unit to accomplish its mission(s).
2. Determine level of unit proficiency required for unit to accomplish its mission(s).
3. Determine current level of individual proficiency within unit.
4. Determine current level of unit proficiency,
5. Establish long range individual training or performance goals.
6. Establish long range unit training or performance goals. $\qquad$
7. Establish priorities for meeting individual training or performance goals.
8. Establish priorities for meeting unit training or performance goals.
9. Research material/publications in order to select individual instructional methods.
10. Research materials/publications to select unit training methods.
11. Select instructional methods best suited to accomplishing individual training goals.
12. Select best methods for meeting unit training goals.
13. Acquire training areas/facilities, aids, and publications needed to support individual training.
14. Acquire training areas/facilities, aids, publications needed to support unit training.
15. Select instructors to deliver individual training.
16. Select instructors/task leaders to conduct or assist in conducting unit training.
17. Prepare instructors to deliver individual training.
18. Prepare instructors to deliver unit training.
19. Review/approve individual training prepared by subordinate leaders.
20. Review/approve unit training prepared by subordinate leaders.
21. Develop performance objectives for individual training you personally deliver.
22. Develop unit training objectives for training you will conduct or supervise.
23. Develop lesson/outline to meet performance goals of individual instruction you personally deliver.
24. Develop unit training scenarios/exercises.

25. Train, test, and/or license equipment operators.
26. Evaluate equipment operators' training, testing, and/or 1icensing.
27. Insure each Equipment Operator Qualification Record and US Government Motor Vehicle Operation Identification Card is current.
28. Develop and conduct unit physical fitness training.
29. Participate in unit physical fitness training.
30. Evaluate unit physical fitness training program.
31. Develop and conduct unit sports/athletic programs.
32. Participate in unit sports/athletics programs.
33. Evaluate unit sports/athletic programs.
34. Assist enlisted men in preparation for MOS testing.
E. TASKS ASSOCIATED WITH Rate all the tasks that COMMAND DUTIES: you perform.
35. Perform duties as a Class A Agent.
36. Coordinate the resolution of pay complaints.
37. Counsel personnel on financial matters.
38. Initiate, review, and coordinate requests for financial assistance.
39. Encourage individual savings programs.
40. Act as Unit Fund Custodian.
41. Maintain and/or inspect Unit Funds Records.
42. Inventory Unit Fund property.
43. Perform duties as a Unit Fund council member.
44. Develop security plan for handling classified information and material.

## Rating <br> A <br> $\qquad$

11. Evaluate physical security and security controls.
12. Advise subordinates on security clearance policies.
13. Initiate security clearances.
14. Process security violations.
15. Conduct security briefings and debriefings.
16. Conduct briefings on Subversion and Espionage Directed Against U.S. Army.
17. Account/downgrade/destroy classified information and/or material.
18. Insure security of personal valuables and possessions of company personnel.
19. Sponsor a reserve unit.
20. Perform as a Duty Officer.
21. Perform duties as unit voting officer.
22. Perform duties of Commander of the Guard.
23. Initiate and/or conduct Line of Duty investigations.
24. Participate in Command and Staff meetings.
25. Develop and conduct Command Information Program.
26. Prepare and/or conduct briefings.
27. Serve as a member of Promotion Board.
28. Conduct privately owned vehicles safety inspections.
29. Conduct ceremonies.
30. Conduct unit formations.
31. Conduct dismounted drill.
32. Arrange, advise, or participate in matters of protocol. $\qquad$
33. Admonish subordinates to adhere to military customs and courtesies.
34. Supervise burning and equipment operations to minimize air pollution.
35. Implement and manage an energy conservation program for the unit.
36. Supervise the disposition of toxic substances, e.g., paint and other nonserviceable petroleum products, to prevent or minimize environmental damage.
37. Supervise and/or design operations (tactical and otherwise) to minimize environmental damage.
38. Conduct fund drives.
39. Perform weapons inventory.
40. Perform ammunition inventory.
41. Prepare reports on weapons and ammunition inventories.
42. Direct actions based on results of inventories.
43. Process personal weapon storage requests.
44. Implement safety management measures/programs.
45. Implement fire prevention measures/programs.
46. Conduct fire and safety inspections and drills.
47. Prepare accident and exposure reports.
48. Respond to telephone inquiries.
49. Participate in military social functions.
50. Prepare for visitors/dignitaries.
51. Instruct company personnel on essentials of personal hygiene and cleanliness.
52. Conduct inspections to insure standards of personal hygiene and cleanliness are being met.

## Rating

A B
$\qquad$
53. Provide program(s) for the prevention and control of communicable diseases.
54. Provide program(s) for the prevention and control of respiratory diseases.
55. Provide program(s) for the prevention and control of intestinal diseases.
56. Develop and/or supervise garbage and waste disposal operations.
57. Insure availability and purity of unit's water supply.
58. Provide program(s) for the control of insects.
59. Provide program(s) for the control of rodents.
60. Implement measures to minimize cold and heat injuries.
61. Select and supervise unit field sanitation teams.
62. Develop and/or implement a preventative dentistry training program.
63. Develop and/or conduct unit first aid training program.
64. Acquire/coordinate medical support for unit personnel. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
F. TASKS RELATING TO Rate all the tasks that ADMINISTRATION: you perform.

1. Coordinate interoffice activities.
2. Supervise preparation of reports.
3. Evaluate adequacy of reports.
4. Supervise operation of office machines, printing and reproduction equipment.
5. Review requisitions for blank forms and office supplies.
6. Prepare a Functional Files Plan.
7. Maintain/inspect/transfer/classify functional files.
8. Procure/distribute/inventory/recommend changes to store/write publications.
9. Review and authenticate strength accountability reports, personnel status reports and rosters.
10. Supervise maintenance of duty rosters.
11. Review incoming correspondence.
12. Prepare/review/sign outgoing correspondence.
13. Supervise posting of bulletin boarcis.
14. Draft/review/initiate/sign unit orders.
15. Review/prepare/reply to/process Criminal Investigation Division Reports of Investigation, Armed Forces Traffic Tickets, Military Police Reports, Accident and Exposure Reports.
16. Coordinate/accomplish release of personnel from Military Police custody.
17. Coordinate control of and issuance of ration cards.
18. Maintain prescribed "command notebooks."
19. Supervise responding to/respond to letters of indebtedness.
20. Initiate/terminate flagging actions.
21. Support/coordinate Headstart Program.
22. Prepare/review material readiness reports.
23. Prepare/review Army Equipment Serviceability Criteria Reports.
24. Prepare/review Unit Readiness Report Worksheet.
25. Direct corrective action based on an analysis of Unit and/or Materiel Readiness Report(s).
26. Prepare Officer Efficiency Reports.

27. Prepare Enlisted Efficiency Reports.
28. Review Enlisted Efficiency Reports.
29. Provide guidance in the preparation of Enlisted Efficiency Reports.
30. Process Presidential and Congressional Inquiries.
31. Review paperwork for overseas privately owned vehicle licensing and registration.
32. Respond to General Officer "Grams" (communications to commander).
G. MANAGEMENT: TASKS RELATED TO UNIT MAIL, LOGISTICS, MAINTENANCE, FINANCE, AND MESS:

Rate all the tasks that you perform.

1. Supervise, monitor, and inspect unit mail systems.
2. Determine unit's logistical requirements.
3. Supervise/coordinate the acquisition and distribution of property, supplies, and repair parts.
4. Supervise/coordinate disposal of property, supplies, and repair parts through turn-in, issue, exchange, property disposal and distribution.
5. Plan and conduct physical inventories.
6. Gain relief from property responsibility for lost, damaged, or destroyed property.
7. Insure adherence to supply economy concepts.
8. Maintain, inspect, prepare, and review property accountability records.
9. Supervise preparation of facilities and equipment for inspection.
10. Inspect billets and grounds to insure satisfactory standards of safety, repair, cleanliness, and comfort.
Rating
A B
11. Inspect individual and organizational clothing and equipment to insure satisfactory standards of cleanliness and repair.
12. Acquire supply support.
13. Allocate supplies.
14. Maintain data on usage by class of supplies.
15. Plan/develop improved supply management procedures and programs.
16. Determine/establish supply priorities.
17. Review and/or sign individual laundry slips.
18. Allocate nonappropriated funds.
19. Prepare annual operating budget.
20. Plan and develop improved maintenance management procedures and programs.
21. Select and implement methods to develop proficiency of maintenance personnel.
22. Determine/establish maintenance priorities.
23. Supervise/direct shop or maintenance facility operations and personnel.
24. Direct maintenance on individual and organizational equipment.
25. Insure proper storage/maintenance/usage/establishment of prescribed load list (PLL) items.
26. Determine the quality of organizational maintenance.
27. Determine the proficiency of maintenance personnel.
28. Inspect equipment for serviceability.
29. Determine the effectiveness of maintenance float.
30. Determine the effectiveness of Maintenance Assistance and Instruction Teams (MAIT).
31. Determine the effectiveness of Technical Assistance Teams (TAP).
32. Evaluate, review, and inspect the unit's maintenance administration.
33. Encourage and insure use of technical operators and maintenance publications.
34. Monitor and evaluate the repair parts system.
35. Initiate equipment improvement reports.
36. Conduct maintenance and maintenance management inspections.
37. Monitor/diagnose/analyze maintenance deficiencies, equipment performance, and maintenance performance data. $\qquad$
38. Prepare/review/sign maintenance reports and requisitions.
39. Acquire maintenance support.
40. Supervise performance of Equipment Serviceability Criteria (ESC) on equipment. $\qquad$
41. Monitor maintenance and control of tools and test equipment.
42. Verify completion of maintenance and maintenance status reports.
43. Evaluate/monitor equipment dispatch.
44. Monitor operation of assigned equipment.
45. Ensure proper maintenance of weapons record data.
46. Direct recovery of equipment.
47. Perform duties as member of Installation Menu Board and/or Dining Facility Council.
48. Inspect mess equipment for cleanlíness and serviceability.
49. Conduct sanitation inspections in mess facilities.

Rating
$\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{B}$
50. Inspect mess administration for correctness and compliance with guidance and regulations.
51. Inspect mess personnel for cleanliness and appearance.
52. Inspect food preparation and serving for palatability and attractiveness.
53. Conduct corrective action based on results of mess inspections.
54. Issue/sign mess cards.
55. Control feeding.
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