6TH CONGRESS, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. REPORT 1st Session. No. 662.

TULLY R. WISE, AS UNITED STATES DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

JUNE 22, 1860 .- Ordered to be printed.

Mr. NELSON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, made the following

REPORT.

The Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Tully R. Wise, praying for compensation for services rendered as United States district attorney for the northern district of California, respectfully report:

That they have carefully examined the petition, and are strongly inclined to the opinion that the petitioner's account for \$1,439 50 is reasonable, and that an appropriation for its payment should be made, if the allegations of the petition were sustained by any other evidence than that of the petitioner's unsupported stat ments. No such evidence has been submitted to the committee; not even an account supported by his own affidavit, or the certificate of the presiding judge. The committee do not wish to be understood as expressing any doubt as to the truth of petitioner's statements but, believing that it would establish a dangerous precedent to allow his account under such circumstances, they are constrained to report adversely to the petition, and to recommend that the prayer of the petitioner shall not be granted.

Mr. Nansos, from the Committee on the Jadiciary, made the following R EPORT. 2the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was regioned the pathian of Tally R. When propring for compensation for services readered as Divided States district attorney for the northern district of Colifornia trapectially report : That they have carefully examined the patition, and are strongly inclined to the apinion that the petitioner's account for \$1,433,50 for the allegations of the petition ware sestained by any other evidence if the allegations of the petition ware sestained by any other evidence than that of the petitioner's manported stat ments. No such evidence has been saturited to the committee; not aven an account supported by his own stildsvit, or the cartificate of the presiding indeg. The committee do not wish to be understool as expressing any doubt as to the truth of petitioner's statements hat, halieving that it would establish a dangerous precedent to allow his account that it would establish a dangerous precedent to allow his account