NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NASA TM X-62,197

66(NASA=TM=X=62197) WIND TUNNELN74=13721INVESTIGATION OF A LARGE-SCALE 25 DEGSWEPT=WING JET TRANSPORT MODEL WITH ANUnclasSWEPT=WING JET TRANSPORT MODEL WITH ANUnclasEXTERNAL BLOWING TRIPLE-SLOTTED FLAPUnclas(NASA) -59 p HC \$5 @@CSCL @1C G3/@2 26@32/00

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A LARGE-SCALE 25° SWEPT-WING JET TRANSPORT MODEL WITH AN EXTERNAL BLOWING TRIPLE-SLOTTED FLAP

Kiyoshi Aoyagi, Michael D. Falarski, and David G. Koenig

Ames Research Center and U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif. 94035



November 1973

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED SYMBOLS

b	wing span, m (ft)
c	wing chord measured parallel to the plane of symmetry, m (ft)
c _t	horizontal tail chord measured parallel to the plane of symmetry, m (ft)
°v	vertical tail chord measured parallel to the plane of symmetry, m (ft)
c	mean aerodynamic chord of the wing, $\frac{2}{S} \int_{0}^{b/2} c^2 dy$, m (ft)
C _D	drag coefficient about the wind axis, $\frac{drag}{q_{\infty}}$ S
c _{dram}	ram drag coefficient about the wind axis, $\frac{Wv}{g q_{\infty} S}$
c _L	lift coefficient about the wind axis, $\frac{\text{lift}}{q_{\infty}}$ S
с _т	gross thrust coefficient, $\frac{Fg}{q_{\infty}}$ S
с _l	rolling-moment coefficient about the stability axis, $\frac{\text{rolling moment}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{S b}{S}$
C _m	pitching-moment coefficient about the wind axis at 0.40 \overline{c} ,
	$\frac{\text{pitching moment}}{q_{\omega} S \overline{c}}$
C _n	yawing-moment coefficient about the stability axis, $\frac{yawing moment}{q_{\infty}^{}}$ S b
С _у	side-force coefficient about the stability axis, $\frac{\text{side force}}{q_{\infty}^{2}}$ S
EBF	externally blown flap
FA	static (wind off) incremental axial force due to flap deflection with
	power on, N (1b)
Fg	gross engine thrust, N (1b) (obtained statically)
F _N	static (wind off) incremental normal force due to flap deflection
	with power on, N (1b)
F _R	resultant force, $\sqrt{F_A^2 + F_N^2}$, N (1b)
g	acceleration of gravity, 9.81 m/sec^2 (32.2 ft/sec ²)

it	horizontal tail incidence, deg
N ₁	engine fan rotational speed, RPM
P _s	free-stream static pressure, N/m ² (1b/sq ft)
P _∞	free-stream total pressure, N/m ² (1b/sq ft)
P _T	total pressure, N/m^2 (lb/sq ft)
q_{∞}	free-stream dynamic pressure, N/m^2 (1b/sq ft)
R	Reynolds number
S	wing area, m ² (sq ft)
Т	free-stream absolute temperature, °K
Т	standard absolute temperature, 288.16°K
v	free-stream air velocity, m/sec (ft/sec)
W	engine inlet weight rate of flow, kg/sec (lb/sec)
WCP	wing chord plane
x	chordwise distance from the wing or horizontal tail leading edge
	parallel to the model plane of symmetry, m (ft)
У	spanwise distance perpendicular to the plane of symmetry, m (ft)
Z	perpendicular distance from wing or horizontal tail reference plane,
	m (ft). (Positive direction above reference plane.)
a	angle of attack, deg
$^{\delta}$ ail	aileron deflection, deg
^ک و	horizontal tail elevator deflection, deg
δ_{f_1}	trailing-edge first flap deflection measured parallel to the plane of
	symmetry, deg
δ _{f2}	trailing-edge second flap deflection measured parallel to the plane
	of symmetry, deg
^δ f₃	trailing-edge third flap deflection measured parallel to the plane of
	symmetry, deg
ť	jet exhaust turning angle (wind off), $\tan^{-1} \frac{F_N}{F_A}$, deg

· •

iv

 $\overset{\delta}{s}$ leading-edge slat deflection measured parallel to the plane of symmetry, deg

v

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A LARGE-SCALE 25° SWEPT-WING JET TRANSPORT MODEL WITH AN EXTERNAL BLOWING TRIPLE-SLOTTED FLAP

Kiyoshi Aoyagi, Michael D. Falarski, and David G. Koenig Ames Research Center

SUMMARY

An investigation has been conducted to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a large-scale subsonic jet transport model with an externally blown triple-slotted flap. The lift of the model was augmented by the turbofan engine exhaust impingement on the flap surface. The model had a 25° swept wing of aspect ratio 7.28 and four turbofan engines.

The model was tested with two flap extents. One extended from 0.11 to 1.00 of the wing semispan, and the other extended from 0.11 to 0.75 of the wing semispan with a single-slotted aileron from 0.75 to 1.00 of the wing semispan. The results were obtained for several flap deflections with and without the horizontal tail at gross thrust coefficients from 0 to 4.0.

Longitudinal and lateral data are presented with three and four engines operating.

INTRODUCTION

The principle of augmenting lift by directing the jet engine exhaust toward the trailing-edge flap surface has been investigated extensively. This principle is commonly referred to as the externally blown flap concept (EBF). Wind-tunnel investigations of a large-scale model using this concept have been reported in references 1 and 2. Other investigations are reported in references 3 and 4. Because of the possibility of incorporating EBF in future transport designs, a growing interest has been expressed in the noise characteristics and flap loads, in addition to the aerodynamic characteristics, of this concept using higher bypass-ratio turbofan engines.

An investigation was therefore undertaken using a large-scale EBF transport model to measure the aerodynamic and noise characteristics as well as surface pressures in the Ames 40-by-80-Foot Wind Tunnel. The model had a 25° swept wing and four turbofan engines. This report presents only the aerodynamic characteristics of the model. The noise characteristics and loads data will be reported separately. Results were obtained with several flap deflections at two spanwise extents and at gross thrust coefficients from 0 to 4.0. The data were obtained at Reynolds numbers from 1.5 \times 10⁶ to 3.8 \times 10⁶, based on a mean aerodynamic chord of 1.69 m (5.56 ft) and dynamic pressures of 143.6 to 861.8 N/m² (3.0 to 18.0 psf).

MODEL AND APPARATUS

Figure 1 is a photograph of the model in the Ames 40-by-80-Foot Wind Tunnel. Pertinent dimensions of the model are given in fig. 2(a). The wing, fuselage, and tail geometries are the same as reported in reference 5. The model was equipped with four JT15D-1 turbofan engines.

Wing

The wing had a quarter-chord sweep of 25°, an aspect ratio of 7.28, and an incidence of 0°. The airfoil had an NACA 63_2A214 section at the root and an NACA 63_2A211 section at the tip. The wing tapered linearly in thickness between these two sections. The ordinater of these sections are given in Table I.

Leading-Edge Slats

Full span leading-edge slats were installed on the wing as shown in fig. 2(b). The slats were installed throughout the investigation.

Trailing-Edge Flap System

The flap system had three segments with fixed pivots as shown in fig. 2(c). Each flap segment was deflected either full span (n = .11 to 1.0) or part-span (n = .11 to .75) during the investigation.

A .10c plain spoiler hinged at .725c formed part of the flap lip when undeflected, and extended from $\eta = .11$ to .75 with a break at .50 η . The spoiler was deflected 30° and 60° above the wing surface during the investigation.

Aileron

A single-slotted aileron extended from $\eta = .75$ to 1.0 when the part-span trailing-edge flap was investigated as shown in fig. 2(d). The aileron is the same one reported in reference 5.

Propulsion

The JT15D-1 engines were housed in nacelles as shown in fig. 2(e). The engines have a bypass ratio of 3 and a normal maximum gross thrust rating of 2200 pounds. Each nacelle centerline was coincident with the engine centerline and was parallel to the wing chord plane and model plane of symmetry. The nacelle contours are defined in fig. 2(f).

The geometry of the horizontal and vertical tails is described in fig. 2(a). These tails are the same ones used in reference 5. The horizontal tail detail is shown in fig. 2(g). The vertical tail remained installed throughout the investigation.

TESTING AND PROCEDURE

In most cases, forces and moments were measured through an angle-ofattack range of -8° to 26° . Tests were conducted at Reynolds numbers from 1.5×10^{6} to 3.8×10^{6} , based on a mean aerodynamic chord of 1.69 m (5.56 ft) and dynamic pressures of 143.6 to 861.8 N/m² (3.0 to 18.0 psf).

Tests to measure jet turning (δ_j) and turning efficiency (n_f) were obtained in the wind tunnel with zero airspeed. The measurements were recorded with two engines operating simultaneously on the same side of the wing and at the same power setting before air recirculation could be generated in the test section. An exception to this procedure was at a flap setting of $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$ where four engines were operating.

All wind-on tests were conducted by varying either angle of attack $(\alpha_y = -8^\circ \text{ to } 26^\circ)$ at 0° sideslip or sideslip ($\beta = 4^\circ \text{ to } -20^\circ$) at constant angle of attack while maintaining constant engine gross thrust setting on each engine. The thrust values were obtained by maintaining a corrected engine fan rotational speed $(N_1/\sqrt{\theta})$ which corresponded to the desired static thrust. The static thrust variation with fan speed was obtained for each engine with the flaps up at zero airspeed. Except where Reynolds number was varied, most of the tests were conducted at C_T values of 0, .5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0,

4.0 and a dynamic pressure of 287.3 N/m^2 (6.0 psf).

Model configuration variables included flap deflection, spanwise flap extent, horizontal tail on or off, aileron deflection, elevator deflection, spoiler deflection, and outboard engine out. Table II may be used as an index to the tests.

CORRECTIONS

The data were corrected for wind-tunnel wall constraints. These corrections were determined by considering only the aerodynamic lift of the model (C_L^{\prime}) that resulted after the jet reaction components had been subtracted from the data as follows:

 $C_{L}^{\prime} = C_{L} - n_{f} C_{T} [\sin (\delta_{j} + \alpha_{u})]$ $\alpha = \alpha_{u} + .4175 C_{L}^{\prime}$ $C_{D} = C_{D_{u}} + .0073 C_{L}^{\prime 2}$ $C_{m} = C_{m_{u}} + .025 C_{L}^{\prime} \text{ (horizontal-tail-on tests only)}$

The engine thrust values used to define C_T were based on the calibrations of the engine static thrust variation with engine fan rotational speed. These calibrations were obtained from wind-tunnel scale measurements with the flaps undeflected. The δ_j and η_f values obtained from static tests with flaps deflected are shown in fig. 3.

The data are presented in this report for specified gross thrust coefficients (C_T). For reference the ram drag values are given in fig. 4.

RESULTS

The static turning angles (δ_j) and static turning efficiencies (n_f) for the flap deflections investigated are shown in fig. 3. Figure 4 shows the variation of $C_{D_{RAM}}$ with C_T . The jet exhaust total pressure distributions at the engine centerline for several power settings are shown in fig. 5. The jet exhaust total pressure distributions for several values of α at the maximum power setting used during the investigation are shown in fig. 6.

The basic aerodynamic data obtained from this investigation are presented in figs. 6 through 38. An index to these data is given in Table II.

REFERENCES

- Aoyagi, Kiyoshi; and Hall, Leo P.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a Large 35° Swept-Wing Jet Transport Model with an External-Flow Jet-Augmented Double-Slotted Flap. NASA TN D-6482, August, 1971.
- Aoyagi, Kiyoshi; Hall, Leo P.; and Falarski, Michael D.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a Large-Scale 35° Swept-Wing Jet Transport Model with an External Blowing Triple-Slotted Flap. NASA TMX-2600, July, 1972.
- Parlett, Lysle P.; Greer, Douglas H.; and Henderson, Robert L.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of an External-Flow Jet-Flap Transport Configuration Having Full-Span Triple-Slotted Flap. NASA TN D-6391, August, 1971.
- 4. Parlett, Lysle P.; and Smith, Charles C., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Effects of Variations in Reynolds Number and Leading-Edge Treatment on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an Externally Blown Jet-Flap Configuration. NASA TN D-7194, Aug. 1973.

5. Aoyagi, Kiyoshi; Falarski, Michael D.; and Koenig, David G.: Wind Tunnel Investigation of a Large-Scale Upper Surface Blown-Flap Transport Model Having Two Engines. NASA TMX 62,296, August, 1973.

TABLE I

WING SECTION CONTOURS OF ROOT AND TIP SECTIONS

.

.

		Ζ,	% с	
х, % с	Section cent	at model erline	Section a	t wing tip
	Upper	Lower	Upper	Lower
0	0	0	0	0
0.55	1.356	-	1.060	-
0.88		-1.275	-	-0.998
1.00	1.748	-1.358	1.379	-1.061
3.00	2.957	-2.324	2.363	-1.785
_ 5.00	3.802	-2.962	3.055	-2.259
8.00	4.774	-3.662	3.852	-2.776
10.00	5.304	-4.032	4.288	-3.065
12.50	5.873	-4.419	4.757	-3.329
15.00	6.357	-4.741	5.157	-3.562
20.00	7.127	-5.232	5,796	-3.915
25.00	7.680	-5.558	6.258	-4.143
30.00	8.045	-5.740	6.566	-4.265
35.00	8,220	-5.772	6.721	-4.273
40.00	8.217	-5.662	6.730	-4.174
45.00	8.046	-5.422	6.604	-3.978
50.00	7.730	-5.071	6.358	-3.699
55.00	7.288	-4.633	6.010	-3.357
60.00	6.738	-4.137	5.572	-2.999
65.00	6.091	-3.643	5.053	-2.643
70.00	5.363	-3.149	4.465	-2.286
75.00	4.574	-2.655	3.824	-1.929
80.00	3.742	-2.161	3.138	-1.573
85.00	2.839	-1.667	2.382	-1.204
90.00	1.912	-1.173	1.605	-0.859
95.00	0.971	-0.679	0.814	-0.503
100.00	0	-0.185	0	-0.146

8 .

TABLE II. - LIST OF BASIC DATA FIGURES

Run	Figure	δ ₌ /δ ₌ /δ ₌	Flap span	α, deg	β,	C	L	R ×	С _т	δ _a	<u>il</u>		^δ sp	1	Horiz	. tail	Remarks
		11 12 13	η	u	laeg	N/m^2	psf	10-6	<u>т</u>	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	^δ e, deg	
192 193 194 195 196	7	0/0/0	-	-4 to 16 -4 to 20 -4 to 18 -4 to 16 -4 to 16	0	289.7 287.8 289.2 290.1 288.7	6.05 6.01 6.04 6.06 6.03	2.3	3.96 2.99 2.31 .99 0	None	None	0	0	_	off	-	Plain wing
181 182 183 184 185	8	0/20/40	.11 to 1.0	-4 to 26	-	290.1 289.2 287.8 289.2 287.3	6.06 6.04 6.01 6.04 6.00		3.95 2.97 2.32 1.00 0								Take off flap configuration
56 60 58 57 54	9	15/35/55		-8 to 26		283.4 287.3 281.1 286.3 ↓	5.92 6.00 5.87 5.98 ↓		4.05 2.99 2.38 1.00 0								Landing flap configuration
185 190 186	10(a)	0/20/40		-4 to 24		287.3 574.6 861.8	6.00 11.94 18.06	3.1 3.8	0 ↓								Reynolds number effects
184 188 189	10(Ъ)			$ \begin{array}{c} -4 \text{ to } 26 \\ -4 \text{ to } 24 \\ \downarrow \end{array} $		287.3 660.7 861.8	6.04 13.87 18.03	2.2 3.3 3.8	1.00 ↓								
183 187	10(c)	Ļ		-4 to 24		287.3 574.6	6.01 12.03	2.2 3.1	2.32 +								
53 54 55	11(a)	15/35/55		-4 to 20		143.6 287.3 574.6	3.00 5.98 11.97	1.5 2.2 3.1	0 ↓								

							··•											
	Dum	Figure	21 21 2	Flap	a doa	β,		1	R	C	δ a	i1		δsp		Horiz	. tail	Remarks
	KUN	rigure	$f_1''f_2''f_3$	span ח	u, ueg	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	Т	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	δ_{e}^{δ} , deg	Relia I KS
	59 58 61	11(b)	15/35/55	.11 to 1.0	-4 to 26	0	143.6 282.5 574.6	2.95 5.87 11.87	1.5 2.2 3.1	2.37 Į	None	None	0	0 	-	off ↓		
	4 5 6 8 12	12			-8 to 26		284.9 287.3 285.8 293.5 293.0	5.95 6.00 5.97 6.13 6.12	2.3 2.2 4 2.3 4	4.03 3.05 2.34 .99 0						0	-25	
10	41 12 42 48	13(a)			-8 to 24		143.6 293.0 574.6 861.8	3.06 6.12 11.97 18.12	1.5 2.3 3.1 3.9	o ↓								
i	52 45 44 51	13(b)	-		-8 to 26		143.6 287.3 574.6 861.8	3.00 6.01 12.05 18.01	1.5 2.2 3.1 3.8	1.16								
ъ,	6 49	13(c)			-4 to 26 -4 to 24		287.3 574.6	5.97 11.96	2.2 3.1	2.34 +				+				
	17 18 15 14 16	14(a)			-8 to 26		282.5 284.4 286.8 289.7 287.8	5.90 5.94 5.99 6.05 6.01	2.2	4.06 3.02 2.33 .99 0			20	20	.11 to .75			Symmetric spoiler deflec- tions
	34 35	14(b)	Ļ		-4 to 26		287.3 288.2	6.00 6.02	2.3 2.2	3.99 2.32			30 ↓	30 ↓	ļļ			

÷

Run	Figure	5- 18- 18-	Flap span	a deg	β,		1	R ×	С	8	<u>i1</u>		^δ sp	,	Horiz.	. tail	Remarks
		f ₁ 'f ₂ 'f ₃	η	"u'8	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	Ť	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	$\delta_{e}^{}$, deg	ReadTab
19 20 21 22 23	14(c)	15/35/55	.11 to 1.0	$ \begin{array}{c} -8 \text{ to } 26 \\ \downarrow \\ -4 \text{ to } 26 \end{array} $	0	287.3 288.2 288.7 288.2 288.7	6.00 6.02 6.03 6.02 6.03	2.3 ↓ 2.2 ↓ 2.3	3.99 2.98 2.32 .99 0	None	None	40	40	.11 to .75	0	-25	
24 25 26	14(d)			-4 to 26 + 0 to 26		287.8 287.3 287.8	6.01 6.00 6.01	2.2 ↓	3.99 2.33 0			60 ↓	60 ↓				· · ·
31 32 33	15(a)			-4 to 26 + 0 to 26		288.2 289.2 290.6	6.02 6.04 6.07	2.3 2.2 2.3	3.98 2.31 0			30 ↓	30 ↓	.11 to .50			
27 &29 30 28	15(b)			-8 to 26 ↓ -8 to 24		288.0 288.7 289.7	6.02 6.03 6.05	2.2	3.98 2.32 0			60 ↓	60 ↓ ↓				
40 37 36	16(a)			-8 to 26 -8 to 24 -8 to 16		289.2 288.7 ↓	6.04 6.03 +		0 2.32 3.97			0	0	-	7		Tail incidence changes
39 38 47	16(b)			-8 to 26 ↓ -8 to 20		289.2 288.7 286.3	6.04 6.03 5.98	2.1 2.2	0 2.32 4.01	+	Ŷ				-5 ↓	Ŷ	
175 176 177	17(a)	0/20/30	.11 to .75	-8 to 26		285.4 280.1 285.4	5.96 5.85 5.96	2.3 ↓	4.02 2.39 0	5 ↓	5 ↓				off	-	Symmetric aileron deflec- tions
178 179 180	17(b)	Ļ	+			286.3 ↓ 287.3	5.98 ↓ 6.00	2.4 2.3 +	4.01 2.34 0	20 ↓	20 ↓	Ļ					

	T.f.	21 21 2	Flap	doa	β,	q	[R	C	δai	11		^б sp		Horiz	. tail	Remarks
Run	rigure	$f_1^{\circ}f_2^{\circ}f_3$	span η	u, ueg	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	Τ	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i, deg	$\delta_{e}^{}, deg$	
171 172 173	18(a)	0/ 20 /20 ↓	.11 to .75	-8 to 26 + -4 to 24	0	287.8 288.7 ↓	6.01 6.03 ↓	2.2 ↓ 2.3	3.99 2.32 0	5	5	0	0	-	0	-25	
163 164 165 166 169	18(Ъ)	0/20/30		-8 to 26		286.8 286.3 ¥ 287.3 ¥	5.99 5.98 4 6.00	2.2	4.00 3.00 2.34 1.00 0								
69 70 71	19(a)	15/35/55		-4 to 26		286.3 287.3 289.2	5.98 6.00 6.04	2.3 ↓	4.01 2.33 0					1	off	-	
63 66 67 64 68 65	19(b)			-8 to 26		287.3 ↓ 574.6 287.3 ↓	5.99 5.98 6.02 11.90 6.02 5.98	2.2 2.3 2.2 3.0 2.2 4	4.00 3.00 2.32 2.35 .99 0	20	20						
72 73 74	19(c)			-8 to 26		286.8 288.2 289.2	5.99 6.02 6.04	2.3	4.00 2.32 0	35 . ↓	35					-	
78 79 80	20(a)					288.2 288.7 289.2	6.02 6.03 6.04	2.3	3.98 2.32 0	20	20 ↓	30	30	.11 to .75			Symmetric spoiler and aileron deflec- tions
75 76 77	20(Ъ)			0 to 26 ↓ 0 to 24		287.3 288.2 289.7	6.00 6.02 6.05	2.2	3.99 2.32 0	35 ↓	35 ↓						

12

.

TABLE II. - LIST OF BASIC DATA FIGURES - Continued

Run	Figure	8 18 18	Flap	a de	β,		1	R ×	C	δ	1		δ sp		Horiz	. tail	Remarks
	I I gui ¢	f ₁ 'f ₂ 'f ₃	η	^u u, uc	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	T	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	δ _e , deg	
143 144 145 146 150	21(a)	15/35/55	.11 to .75	-8 to 2	6 0	286.3 ↓ 287.3 288.2 ↓	5.98 ↓ 6.00 6.02 ↓	2.2	4.01 3.00 2.33 .99 0	23	23	0 ↓ ↓	0 	.11 to .75	0	-25	
81 82 83 84 93	21(Ъ)					286.8 289.2 288.2 289.7 283.9	5.99 6.04 6.02 6.05 5.93	2.3	4.00 2.97 2.32 .99 0	20	20	30 ↓	30 				
154 155 156	22(a)	15/35/45		-8 to 2	4	286.3 286.8 ↓	5.98 5.99 4		4.01 2.33 0	23	23	0	0				Third flap deflections
151 152 153	22(Ъ)	15/35/65		-8 to 2	5	282.5 + 283.9	5.90 + 5.93	2.2 2.3	4.06 2.37 0					+			
117 118 119	23(a)	15/35/55		4 to 2	6	287.3 286.8 286.3	6.00 5.99 5.98		3.99 2.33 0	20	35			-			Asymmetric aileron effects
117 118 119	23(b)			-8 to 2 + -4 to 2	5	287.3 286.8 286.3	6.00 5.99 5.98		3.99 2.33 0								
120 121 122	24(a)			-8 to 2	5	284.9 ↓ 286.3	5.95 + 5.98	2.2 ↓	4.03 2.35 0		20 ↓	30		.11 to .75			Asymmetric spoiler effects

13

.

Rup	Figure	8 18 18	Flap	a de	β,	q	[R	C	ہ at	i1		δ sp		Horiz	tail	Remarks
Kun	rigure	$f_1''f_2''f_3$	ק און	u, uc	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	т	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	^δ e, deg	ACCILITACI
120 121 122	24(Ъ)	15/35/55	.11 to .75	-8 to 2	5 0	284.9 ↓ 286.3	5.95 ↓ 5.98	2.2	4.03 2.35 0	20	20	30 ↓	0	.11 to .75	0	-25	
123 124 128	25(a)					284.9 ↓ 287.8	5.95 ↓ 6.01		4.03 2.35 0			60					
123 124 128	25(b)					284.9 ↓ 287.8	5.95 ↓ 6.01	↓ ↓	4.03 2.35 0								
129 131 130	26(a)			-4 to 2	5	284.4 286.8 285.4	5.94 5.99 5.96	2.3 2.4 2.3	4.03 2.33 0	5	35						Asymmetric spoiler and aileron effects
129 131 130	26(b)			-8 to 2 + -4 to 2	5	284.4 286.8 285.4	5.94 5.99 5.96	2.4 2.3	4.03 2.33 0								
167 168 169	27(a)	0/20/30		-8 to 2 + -4 to 2	6	287.3 286.8 288.7	6.00 5.99 6.03	2.2	2.99 1.75 0		5	0					Right hand out- board engine out effects
167 168 169	27(b)	Ļ		-8 to 2 + -4 to 2	5	287.3 286.8 288.7	6.00 5.99 6.03		2.99 1.75 0			+					
91 92 93	28(a)	15/35/55		-8 to 2 + -4 to 2	5 4	285.8 285.4 283.9	5.97 5.96 5.93	2.3	3.01 1.76 0	20	20	30	30				
91 92 93	28(b)	¢.		-8 to 2 +4 to 2	5 4 ↓	285.8 285.4 283.9	5.97 5.96 5.93		3.01 1.76 0	 ↓							

Run	Figure	δ_ /δ_ /δ_	Flap span	α, deg	β,		l 	R ×	C.	å	11		δ sp	·····	Horiz	. tail	Remarks
	0	f ₁ 'f ₂ 'f ₃	η	"u' """	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	-T	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	δ _e , deg	
94 95	29(a)	15/35/55	.11 to 75	-8 to 26	0	286.8 283.9	5.99 5.93	2.3 2.2	3.00 1.77	20	20	30	30	.11 to	0	-25 	Right hand inboard engine out effects
94 95	29(Ъ)					286.8 283.9	5.99 5.93	2.3	3.00 1.77				Ļ				
135 136 130	30(a)			-4 to 26		285.8 287.8 285.4	5.97 6.01 5.96	2.3	3.01 1.74 0	5	35	60	0				Asymmetric aile- ron and spoiler effects with an engine out
135 136 130	30(Ъ)			-8 to 26		285.8 287.8 285.4	5.97 6.01 5.96		3.01 1.74 0					-			
9 10 11	31(a)		.11 to 1.0	-8 to 26		293.0 294.0 294.0	6.12 6.14 ↓		2.94 2.19 1.71	None	None	0					Left hand out- board engine out effects
9 10 11	31(b)			 		293.0 294.0 294.0	6.12 6.14 ↓		2.94 2.19 1.71								
147 148 149	32(a)		.11 to .75	0 8 16	4 to -20	285.8 285.4 286.8	5.97 5.96 5.99	2.2 ↓	2.34	23	23						Sideslip effects
125 126 127	32(b)			0 8 16		286.8 287.3 ↓	5.99 6.00 ↓	2.3	↓ ↓			60 ↓					
105 106 107	33(a)			0 8 16		283.0 286.3 ↓	5.91 5.98 ↓		0 ↓	20 ↓	20 ↓	20 ↓	20 ↓				

	Figure	31 31 2	Flap	a doa	β,	q	[R	C	δ ai	L <u>1</u>		် sp		Horiz	. tail	Remarks
Kun	rigure	$f_1'f_2'f_3$	span n	u, ueg	deg	N/m ²	psf	10 ⁻⁶	Ϋ́T	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	δ_{e}^{δ} , deg	
88 89 90	33(b)	15/35/55	.11 to .75	0 8 16	4 to -20	284.9 286.3 +	5.95 5.98 ↓	2.4 2.3 +	2.34	20	20	20	20	.11 to .75	0	-25	
85 86 87	33(c)			0 8 16		286.8 ¥ 285.8	5.99 ↓ 5.97	2.2 ↓	4.00 ↓	Ļ							
132 133 134	34			0 8 16		286.8 287.3 ↓	5.99 6.00 ↓	2.3 ↓	2.33 ↓	5	35	60	0				
140 141 142	35(a)			0 8 16		288.2 287.8 287.3	6.02 6.01 6.00	2.2 ↓	1.74								
137 138 139	35(b)			0 8 16		287.3 287.8 289.2	6.00 6.01 6.04	2.3 ↓	2.99 ↓			 ↓					
114 115 116	36(a)			0 8 16	-	288.7 285.9 285.8	6.03 5.95 5.97	2.2	o ↓	20	5	30	30				
111 112 113	36 (Ъ)			0 8 16		289.2 288.7 291.1	6.04 6.03 6.08		2.31 ↓								
108 109 110	36(c)			0 8 16		285.8 287.8 287.3	5.97 6.01 6.00	2.3 ↓ 2.2	3.99 ↓								

TABLE II. - LIST OF BASIC DATA FIGURES - Concluded

Dur	Figure	s / s / s	Flap	a dog	β,	c	1	R		ీ a:	il		^õ sp		Horiz	. tail	Pomarke
Kun	riguie	$f_1' f_2' f_3$	η	u, ueg	deg	N/m ²	psf	10-6	Ϋ́Т	Left	Rt.	Left	Rt.	Span	i _t , deg	^δ e, deg	Remarks
102 103 104	37(a)	15/35/55	.11 to .75	0 8 16	4 to -20	288.2 288.7 283.9	6.02 6.03 5.93	2.2 ↓ 2.3	1. 7 4 ↓	20	20	30	30	.11 to .75	0	-25	
99 100 101	37(b)		+	0 8 16	ļ	289.2 287.3 ↓	6.04 6.00 ↓	2.2	2.99 ↓				+			Ļ	
43	38		.11 to 1.0	-8 0 8 16	0 	287.8	6.01		2.32	None	None	0	0	~	0 ↓	-10 to -35 ↓	Elevator effec- tiveness

.

.



Figure 1.- Photograph of the model as mounted in the Ames 40- by 80-Foot Wind Tunnel.



(a) General arrangement of the model.







(c) Trailing-edge flap section (streamwise).

4

Figure 2.-Continued.



Figure 2. -- Continued.



OUTBOARD ENGINE CENTERLINE (20,420)



INBOARD ENGINE CENTERLINE (1=.255)

(e) Engine and nacelle arrangement with the wing.





(g) Horizontal tail detail.

Figure 2.—Concluded.



Figure 3.-Trailing-edge flap static turning angle and turning efficiency.







(a) Left inboard engine centerline.

Figure 5.—Jet exhaust total pressure distribution at several power settings; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $\alpha_u = 0^{\circ}$.



⁽b) Left outboard engine centerline.

Figure 5.--Concluded.



(a) Left inboard engine centerline.

Figure 6.—Jet exhaust total pressure distribution at several α values; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$.



(b) Left outboard engine centerline.

Figure 6.--Concluded.



Figure 7.-Longitudinal characteristics of the model with the plain wing, tail off.


Figure 8.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$, tail off.





(a) $C_{T} = 0$.

Figure 10.—Effect of Reynolds number on the longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$, tail off.

CØMPLØT®

OMNIGRAPHIC[®]

HOUSTON INSTRUMENT

10a

~		CØMPLØT*	OMNIGRAPHIC*	HOUSTON INSTRUMENT Ontoin of Control Lange Bellaire, Teras
				CHART RO. FC-BD PRINTED (N U.S.A.
		<u>le the station of the person of the station</u>		
				\mathbf{X}
		2		
C. 3				
나 좀 해 봐야 할 것을 물건 것 같아.		1 R-156 9,05F		
2		84 0 2.1 604		
		188 1 3.2 13.87		
		87 O 3.7 BOB		
				-8 -72
		24		
		2		
	初期 - 月115日 (1999年) 1777年17月1日日 - 1月1日日 - 1月1日 - 1月1日日 - 1月1日 - 1月11日 -			
the second state and a definition of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the	(114日),我们的时候,你们就是你们的问题,你们的问题,你们就是你们的问题。""你们的你们,你们就是你们的?"	이야이는 아프리오 이야이는 것을 가지 않는 것 같아요. 나는 것 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것 않아요. 나는 않아	网络萨拉马科莱拉国 经正式运行时代	19636-84-5月19月19月19月19月19月19月19月19月19月19日

HOUSTON INSTRUMENT

106-

(b) $C_{T} = 1.00$.

Figure 10.—Continued.

∰#**1**'1 法进行 -tri l' H 11-

T i i 8

D.

11-11 nir: 11 10111 1 undi. **R:R** 4 pt 21 - 201 . . 8 Å 0 2 f Pan 183 30 -1¹ -- 1¹ 187 12.03 ;]+H -CHEAD ' -----1 H TE d ±.i -Ŧ ----

出出 旧莊 щан 1 THE ₩H 1.1 推開 TH T цЦ f t **k**alia 2 8 Φ

臣

(c) $C_{T} = 2.32$.

日田田

Figure 10.—Concluded.

75

4 1144 ΞĒ 計用 -44.4 μJ nga maga ba 22 Palasi talah na basili 16 Palasi talah na basili 16 Palasi talah na basili talah 日中 "理論問

COMPLOT*

1

÷

CHATT RO. FC-SD

r is

PRINTED IN U.S.A

::::::::

~	.	c	CØMPLØT [®]	OMNIGRAPHIC	HOUSTON INSTRUMENT
5		Uliningi k			
4					
2					
C_L					
4		RUN	& pst		
σ4,		53 6 15	1800		
		54 0 22	5.98		
		53 0 31	11.92		
,					
0					
•		24	32		
(4 0	-4 -3 -3
			新新新台北市市市		
		문학학을 위해 한다.			
			전 그는 그는 그는 것 같아.		
		(日本) 日本)		<u>出版提示 過度</u> 加速度	
		副会 声振り 山上			
ļ			11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-		

(a) $C_{T} = 0$.

Figure 11.—Effect of Reynolds number on the longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail off.

11(2)



Figure 11.-Concluded.

MED







(a) $C_{T} = 0$.

Figure 13.—Effect of Reynolds number on the longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.



(b) $C_{T} = 1.16$.

Figure 13.—Continued.



(c) C_T = 2.34. Figure 13.—Concluded.



(a) $\delta_{sp} = 20^{\circ}$ (n = .11 to .75).

Figure 14.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected and spoilers symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.













Figure 15.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected and part span spoilers symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, i = 0°, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.







Figure 16.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with full span flaps deflected at two tail incidences; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.



Figure 16.—Concluded.



Figure 17.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with part span flaps and ailerons symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$, tail off.



(b) $\delta_{ai1} = 20^{\circ}$.

Figure 17.—Concluded.



(a) $\delta_{f_1} / \delta_{f_2} / \delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ} / 20^{\circ} / 20^{\circ}$.

Figure 18.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with part span flaps and ailerons symmetrically deflected; tail on, $i_t = 0^\circ$, $\delta_e = -25^\circ$, $\delta_{ail} = 5^\circ$.



(b) $\delta_{f_1} / \delta_{f_2} / \delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ} / 20^{\circ} / 30^{\circ}$.





Figure 19.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with part span flaps and ailerons symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail off.



(b) $\delta_{ail} = 20^{\circ}$.





Figure 19.-Concluded.



(a) $\delta_{ai1} = 20^{\circ}$.

Figure 20.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with part span flaps and with ailerons and spoilers symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail off, $\delta_{sp} = 30^{\circ}$.



Figure 20.—Concluded.



Figure 21.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with part span flaps and with ailerons and spoilers symmetrically deflected; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.







(a)
$$\delta_{f_1} / \delta_{f_2} / \delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/45^{\circ}$$
.

Figure 22.—Longitudinal characteristics of the model with the third flap deflected; part span flaps, tail on, $i_t = 0^\circ$, $\delta_e = -25^\circ$, $\delta_{ail} = 23^\circ$.



(b) $\delta_{f_1} / \delta_{f_2} / \delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/65^{\circ}$.





(a) Longitudinal characteristics of the model.





(b) Lateral characteristics of the model.

Figure 23.—Concluded.





.

Figure 24.—Continued.








(a) Longitudinal characteristics of the model.

Figure 26.—Aerodynamic characteristics of the model with asymmetric aileron and spoiler deflections; part span flaps, $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 5^{\circ}/35^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 60^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$.



Figure 26.—Concluded.



Figure 27.—Aerodynamic characteristics of the model with the right hand outboard engine out, part span slaps, $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 0^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 5^{\circ}$.



(b) Lateral characteristics of the model.

Figure 27.-Concluded.



(a) Longitudinal characteristics of the model.

Figure 28.—Aerodynamic characteristics of the model with the right hand outboard engine out; part span flaps, $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ai1} = 20^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 30^{\circ}$.



(b) Lateral characteristics of the model.

Figure 28.—Concluded.



(a) Longitudinal characteristics of the model.

Figure 29.—Aerodynamic characteristics of the model with the right hand inboard engine out; part span flaps, $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 20^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 30^{\circ}$.











(b) Lateral characteristics of the model.

Figure 30.-Concluded.



(a) Longitudinal characteristics of the model.

Figure 31.—Aerodynamic characteristics of the model with the left hand outboard engine out; full span flaps, $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, tail on, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$.







(a)
$$\delta_{\rm sp} = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$$
.

Figure 32.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 23^{\circ}/23^{\circ}$, $C_T = 2.34$.



(b) $\delta_{sp} = 60^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$. Figure 32.—Concluded.



(a) $C_{T} = 0$.

Figure 33.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_f_3 = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$; $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ai1} = 20^{\circ}/20^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 20^{\circ}/20^{\circ}$.



(b) C_T = 2.34.
Figure 33.—Continued.



(c) C_T = 4.00 Figure 33.—Concluded.



Figure 34.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip and with 4 engine operation; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 5^{\circ}/35^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 60^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$, $C_T = 2.33$.



(a) $C_{T} = 1.74$.

Figure 35.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip and with the right hand outboard engine out; $\delta_{f_1} \delta_{f_2} \delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 5^{\circ}/35^{\circ}$, $\delta_s = 60^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$.



(b) C_T = 2.99.
Figure 35.—Concluded.



(a) $C_{T} = 0$.

Figure 36.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ail} = 20^{\circ}/5^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$



(b) C_T = 2.31.
Figure 36.—Continued.



(c) C_T = 3.99. Figure 36.—Concluded.



(a) $C_{T} = 1.74$.

Figure 37.—Variation of side force, yawing-moment, and rolling-moment coefficients with sideslip and with the right hand outboard engine out; $\delta_{f_1} / \delta_{f_2} / \delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$, $\delta_e = -25^{\circ}$, $\delta_{ai1} = 20^{\circ}/20^{\circ}$, $\delta_{sp} = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$.



(b) C_T = 2.99.
Figure 37.—Concluded.



Figure 38.—Elevator effectiveness; $\delta_{f_1}/\delta_{f_2}/\delta_{f_3} = 15^{\circ}/35^{\circ}/55^{\circ}$, $i_t = 0^{\circ}$ $C_T = 2.32$.