

113TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

COMMITTEE PRINT

{ No. 2

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

---

LEGISLATIVE TEXT  
AND  
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

TO ACCOMPANY

H.R. 3304

PUBLIC LAW 113-66



DECEMBER 2013

Printed for the use of the Committee on  
Armed Services of the House of Representatives

**NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014**

113TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session* }

COMMITTEE PRINT

{ No. 2

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

---

LEGISLATIVE TEXT  
AND  
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

TO ACCOMPANY

H.R. 3304

PUBLIC LAW 113-66



DECEMBER 2013

Printed for the use of the Committee on  
Armed Services of the House of Representatives

---

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

86-280

WASHINGTON : 2014

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

ONE HUNDRED THIRTEENTH CONGRESS

HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON, California, *Chairman*

MAC THORNBERRY, Texas	ADAM SMITH, Washington
WALTER B. JONES, North Carolina	LORETTA SANCHEZ, California
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia	MIKE McINTYRE, North Carolina
JEFF MILLER, Florida	ROBERT A. BRADY, Pennsylvania
JOE WILSON, South Carolina	ROBERT E. ANDREWS, New Jersey
FRANK A. LoBIONDO, New Jersey	SUSAN A. DAVIS, California
ROB BISHOP, Utah	JAMES R. LANGEVIN, Rhode Island
MICHAEL R. TURNER, Ohio	RICK LARSEN, Washington
JOHN KLINE, Minnesota	JIM COOPER, Tennessee
MIKE ROGERS, Alabama	MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO, Guam
TRENT FRANKS, Arizona	JOE COURTNEY, Connecticut
BILL SHUSTER, Pennsylvania	DAVID LOEBESACK, Iowa
K. MICHAEL CONAWAY, Texas	NIKI TSONGAS, Massachusetts
DOUG LAMBORN, Colorado	JOHN GARAMENDI, California
ROBERT J. WITTMAN, Virginia	HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, Jr., Georgia
DUNCAN HUNTER, California	COLLEEN W. HANABUSA, Hawaii
JOHN FLEMING, Louisiana	JACKIE SPEIER, California
MIKE COFFMAN, Colorado	RON BARBER, Arizona
E. SCOTT RIGELL, Virginia	ANDRÉ CARSON, Indiana
CHRISTOPHER P. GIBSON, New York	CAROL SHEA-PORTER, New Hampshire
VICKY HARTZLER, Missouri	DANIEL B. MAFFEI, New York
JOSEPH J. HECK, Nevada	DEREK KILMER, Washington
JON RUNYAN, New Jersey	JOAQUIN CASTRO, Texas
AUSTIN SCOTT, Georgia	TAMMY DUCKWORTH, Illinois
STEVEN M. PALAZZO, Mississippi	SCOTT H. PETERS, California
MO BROOKS, Alabama	WILLIAM L. ENYART, Illinois
RICHARD B. NUGENT, Florida	PETE P. GALLEGO, Texas
KRISTI L. NOEM, South Dakota	MARC A. VEASEY, Texas
PAUL COOK, California	
JIM BRIDENSTINE, Oklahoma	
BRAD R. WENSTRUP, Ohio	
JACKIE WALORSKI, Indiana	

ROBERT L. SIMMONS II, *Staff Director*  
ZACH STEACY, *Director, Legislative Operations*

#### NOTE FROM THE DIRECTOR, LEGISLATIVE OPERATIONS

This committee print consists of the enrolled text and explanatory material for the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (H.R. 3304; Public Law 113–66).

This Act and the material found in this committee print are the product of an agreement between the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services and the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services on the reconciliation of H.R. 1960, as passed by the House of Representatives on June 14, 2013, and S. 1197, as reported out of committee on June 20, 2013. The Senate began consideration of S. 1197 on November 18, 2013, but did not complete consideration of S. 1197 and therefore was unable to initiate a formal conference with the House.

In order to ensure the enactment of an annual defense bill by the end of the calendar year, the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services and the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services agreed to reconcile the provisions of H.R. 1960 and S. 1197, including a number of amendments submitted during the Senate’s consideration of its bill which were cleared by both sides, but were not adopted by the Senate due to insufficient time. The negotiated agreement was brought to the House Floor in the form of an amendment to the Senate amendments to H.R. 3304, a Medal of Honor bill referred to the Committee on Armed Services, which had previously passed in the House and was then amended and passed by the Senate. On December 12, 2013, pursuant to H. Res. 441, which provided for the concurrence by the House in the Senate amendments to H.R. 3304, with an amendment, the House considered and passed the negotiated agreement by a vote of 350–69. On December 19, 2013, the Senate agreed to the House amendment to the Senate amendment, and passed the negotiated text, by recorded vote, 84–15. The President signed the legislation on December 26, 2013, and it became Public Law 113–66.

Because the House and Senate negotiators were unable to convene a formal conference committee to reconcile the differences in the respective versions of the bill, there is no conference report and no formal “joint explanatory statement of the conference committee” for H.R. 3304. Instead, Chairman Howard P. “Buck” McKeon submitted a “Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014” in the *Congressional Record* on December 12, 2013 (pages H7894–H8037). The text of the joint explanatory statement is included in this committee print. Section 4 of H.R. 3304 specifies that this explanatory material shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this legislation as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

In this committee print, the provisions of H.R. 1960, the House-passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, are generally referred to as “the House bill.” The provisions of S. 1197, the Senate Committee on Armed Services committee-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, and selected manager’s package amendments, are generally referred to as “the Senate committee-reported bill.” The final form of the agreements reached during negotiations between the House and the Senate are referred to as “the agreement.”

The following pages are organized in the manner of a traditional conference report.

# CONTENTS

	Page
LEGISLATIVE TEXT .....	1
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 .....	509
Compliance with rules of the House of Representatives and Senate regarding earmarks and congressionally directed spending items .....	509
Summary of discretionary authorizations and budget implication .....	509
DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS .....	510
TITLE I—PROCUREMENT .....	510
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	510
Authorization of appropriations (sec. 101) .....	510
Subtitle B—Army Programs .....	510
Limitation on availability of funds for Stryker vehicle program (sec. 111) .....	510
Study on multiyear, multivehicle procurement authority for tactical vehicles (sec. 112) .....	510
Subtitle C—Navy Programs .....	510
CVN-78 class aircraft carrier program (sec. 121) .....	510
Repeal of requirements relating to procurement of future surface combatants (sec. 122) .....	511
Multiyear procurement authority for E-2D aircraft program (sec. 123) .....	511
Limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 124) .....	511
Subtitle D—Air Force Programs .....	512
Repeal of requirement for maintenance of certain retired KC-135E aircraft (sec. 131) .....	512
Multiyear procurement authority for C-130J aircraft (sec. 132) .....	512
Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft (sec. 133) .....	512
Prohibition of procurement of unnecessary C-27J aircraft by the Air Force (sec. 134) .....	513
Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters .....	513
Personal protection equipment procurement (sec. 141) .....	513
Repeal of certain F-35 reporting requirements (sec. 142) .....	514
Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of RQ-4 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems and A-10 aircraft (sec. 143) .....	514
MC-12 Liberty Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance air- craft (sec. 144) .....	515
Competition for evolved expendable launch vehicle providers (sec. 145) .....	515
Reports on personal protection equipment and health and safety risks associated with ejection seats (sec. 146) .....	516
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	516
Modification of requirements to sustain Navy airborne intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities .....	516
Multiyear procurement authority for Ground-Based Interceptors .....	518
Sense of Senate on the United States helicopter industrial base .....	518
TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION .....	518
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	518
Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201) .....	518
Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations .....	518
Modification of requirements on biennial strategic plan for the De- fense Advanced Research Projects Agency (sec. 211) .....	518

	Page
TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION—Continued	
Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations—Continued	
Limitation on availability of funds for ground combat vehicle engineering and manufacturing phase (sec. 212) .....	519
Limitation and reporting requirements for unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program (sec. 213) .....	519
Limitation on availability of funds for Air Force logistics transformation (sec. 214) .....	520
Limitation on availability of funds for defensive cyberspace operations of the Air Force (sec. 215) .....	520
Limitation on availability of funds for precision extended range munition program (sec. 216) .....	520
Long-range standoff weapon requirement; prohibition on availability of funds for noncompetitive procedures for offensive anti-surface warfare weapon contracts of the Navy (sec. 217) .....	520
Review of software development for F-35 aircraft (sec. 218) .....	521
Evaluation and assessment of the distributed common ground system (sec. 219) .....	521
Operationally responsive space (sec. 220) .....	522
Sustainment or replacement of Blue Devil intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities (sec. 221) .....	522
Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs .....	523
Improvements to acquisition accountability reports on ballistic missile defense system (sec. 231) .....	523
Prohibition on use of funds for MEADS program (sec. 232) .....	523
Prohibition on availability of funds for integration of certain missile defense systems; report on regional ballistic missile defense (sec. 233) .....	524
Availability of funds for co-production of Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system in the United States (sec. 234) .....	525
Additional missile defense radar for the protection of the United States homeland (sec. 235) .....	525
Evaluation of options for future ballistic missile defense sensor architectures (sec. 236) .....	526
Plans to improve the ground-based midcourse defense system (sec. 237) .....	526
Report on potential future homeland ballistic missile defense options (sec. 238) .....	527
Briefings on status of implementation of certain missile defense matters (sec. 239) .....	527
Sense of Congress and report on NATO and missile defense burden-sharing (sec. 240) .....	528
Sense of Congress on deployment of regional ballistic missile defense capabilities (sec. 241) .....	529
Sense of Congress on procurement of capability enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle (sec. 242) .....	529
Subtitle D—Reports .....	529
Annual Comptroller General report on the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program (sec. 251) .....	529
Annual Comptroller General of the United States report on the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter (sec. 252) .....	529
Report on strategy to improve body armor (sec. 253) .....	529
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	530
Establishment of Communications Security Review and Advisory Board (sec. 261) .....	530
Extension and expansion of mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions (sec. 262) .....	530
Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements (sec. 263) .....	530
Five-year extension of pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems (sec. 264) .....	531
Briefing on biometrics activities of the Department of Defense (sec. 265) .....	531

VII

	Page
TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION—Continued	
Subtitle E—Other Matters—Continued	
Sense of Congress on importance of aligning common missile compartment of Ohio-class replacement program with the United Kingdom's Vanguard successor program (sec. 266) .....	531
Sense of Congress on counter-electronics high power microwave missile project (sec. 267) .....	531
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	532
Conventional Prompt Global Strike program .....	532
Unmanned combat air system demonstration testing requirement .....	532
Requirement to complete individual carbine testing .....	533
Establishment of funding line and fielding plan for a Navy laser weapon system .....	533
Analysis of alternatives for successor to Precision Tracking Space System .....	534
Sense of Congress on 30th anniversary of the Strategic Defense Initiative .....	534
Sense of Congress on negotiations affecting the missile defenses of the United States .....	534
Report on main battle tank fuel efficiency .....	534
Report on powered rail system .....	535
Report on science, technology, engineering, and mathematics scholarship program .....	535
Clarification of eligibility of a State to participate in defense experimental program to stimulate competitive research .....	536
Briefing on power and energy research conducted at university-affiliated research centers .....	536
Approval of certain new uses of research, development, test, and evaluation land .....	536
Canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors .....	537
TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	538
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	538
Operation and maintenance funding (sec. 301) .....	538
Subtitle B—Energy and Environment .....	538
Deadline for submission of reports on proposed budgets for activities relating to operational energy strategy (sec. 311) .....	538
Facilitation of interagency cooperation in conservation programs of the Departments of Defense, Agriculture, and Interior to avoid or reduce adverse impacts on military readiness activities (sec. 312) .....	538
Reauthorization of Sikes Act (sec. 313) .....	539
Clarification of prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits (sec. 314) .....	539
Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels (sec. 315) .....	539
Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment .....	540
Strategic policy for prepositioned materiel and equipment (sec. 321) ..	540
Department of Defense manufacturing arsenal study and report (sec. 322) .....	540
Consideration of Army arsenals' capabilities to fulfill manufacturing requirements (sec. 323) .....	540
Strategic policy for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of operating forces used to support overseas contingency operations (sec. 324) .....	541
Littoral Combat Ship Strategic Sustainment Plan (sec. 325) .....	541
Strategy for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility (sec. 326) .....	541
Subtitle D—Reports .....	542
Additional reporting requirements relating to personnel and unit readiness (sec. 331) .....	542
Modification of authorities on prioritization of funds for equipment readiness and strategic capability (sec. 332) .....	542
Revision to requirement for annual submission of information regarding information technology capital assets (sec. 333) .....	542
Modification of annual corrosion control and prevention reporting requirements (sec. 334) .....	543

VIII

	Page
TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—Continued	
Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority .....	543
Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores (sec. 341) .....	543
Limitation on performance of Department of Defense flight dem- onstration teams outside the United States (sec. 342) .....	543
Limitation on funding for United States Special Operations Com- mand National Capital Region (sec. 343) .....	544
Limitation on availability of funds for Trans Regional Web Initiative (sec. 344) .....	544
Subtitle F—Other Matters .....	545
Gifts made for the benefit of military musical units (sec. 351) .....	545
Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms (sec. 352) .....	545
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	547
Authorization of appropriations for the Marine Corps Embassy Secu- rity Group .....	547
Authorization of appropriations for Crisis Response Force .....	547
Cooperative agreements under Sikes Act for land management re- lated to Department of Defense readiness activities .....	547
Exclusions from definition of “chemical substance” under Toxic Sub- stances Control Act .....	547
Exemption of Department of Defense from alternative fuel procure- ment requirement .....	547
Limitation on plan, design, refurbishing, or construction of biofuels refineries .....	548
Military readiness and southern sea otter conservation .....	548
Assessment of outreach for small business concerns owned and con- trolled by women and minorities required before conversion of cer- tain functions to contractor performance .....	548
Ordnance related records review and reporting requirement for Vieques and Culebra Islands, Puerto Rico .....	548
Authorization to institute a centralized, automated mail redirection system to improve the delivery of absentee ballots to military per- sonnel serving outside the United States .....	549
TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS .....	549
Subtitle A—Active Forces .....	549
End strengths for active forces (sec. 401) .....	549
Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels and in annual limitation on certain end strength reductions (sec. 402) .....	550
Subtitle B—Reserve Forces .....	551
End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411) .....	551
End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves (sec. 412) .....	551
End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413) .....	552
Fiscal year 2014 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians (sec. 414) .....	552
Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415) .....	553
Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations .....	553
Military personnel (sec. 421) .....	553
TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY .....	553
Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally .....	553
Congressional notification requirements related to increases in num- ber of general and flag officers on Active Duty or in joint duty assignments (sec. 501) .....	553
Service credit for cyberspace experience or advanced education upon original appointment as a commissioned officer (sec. 502) .....	554
Selective early retirement authority for regular officers and selective early removal of officers from reserve active-status list (sec. 503) ....	554
Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management .....	555
Suicide prevention efforts for members of the reserve components (sec. 511) .....	555
Removal of restrictions on the transfer of officers between the active and inactive National Guard (sec. 512) .....	555

	Page
TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued	
Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management—Continued	
Limitations on cancellations of deployment of certain reserve component units and involuntary mobilizations of certain Reserves (sec. 513) .....	556
Review of requirements and authorizations for reserve component general and flag officers in an active status (sec. 514) .....	556
Feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (sec. 515) .....	556
Subtitle C—General Service Authorities .....	557
Provision of information under Transition Assistance Program about disability-related employment and education protections (sec. 521) .	557
Medical examination requirements regarding post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury before administrative separation (sec. 522) .....	557
Establishment and use of consistent definition of gender-neutral occupational standard for military career designators (sec. 523) .....	557
Sense of Congress regarding the Women in Service Implementation Plan (sec. 524) .....	558
Provision of military service records to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format (sec. 525) .....	558
Review of Integrated Disability Evaluation System (sec. 526) .....	558
Subtitle D—Military Justice Matters, Other Than Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Related Reforms .....	559
Modification of eligibility for appointment as judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (sec. 531) .....	559
Enhancement of protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and chaplains of such members (sec. 532) .....	559
Inspector General investigation of Armed Forces compliance with regulations for the protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and their chaplains (sec. 533) .....	559
Survey of military chaplains views on Department of Defense policy regarding chaplain prayers outside of religious services (sec. 534) ...	560
Subtitle E—Member Education and Training .....	560
Additional requirements for approval of educational programs for purposes of certain educational assistance under laws administered by the Secretary of Defense (sec. 541) .....	560
Enhancement of mechanisms to correlate skills and training for military occupational specialties with skills and training required for civilian certifications and licenses (sec. 542) .....	561
Report on the Troops to Teachers program (sec. 543) .....	561
Secretary of Defense report on feasibility of requiring automatic operation of current prohibition on accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members of the Armed Forces (sec. 544) .....	561
Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters .....	562
Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 551) .....	562
Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 552) .....	562
Treatment of tuition payments received for virtual elementary and secondary education component of Department of Defense education program (sec. 553) .....	562
Family support programs for immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces (sec. 554) .....	563
Sense of Congress on parental rights of members of the armed forces in child custody determinations (sec. 555) .....	563
Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards .....	564
Repeal of limitation on number of Medals of Honor that may be awarded to the same member of the Armed Forces (sec. 561) .....	564
Standardization of time-limits for recommending and awarding Medal of Honor, Distinguished-Service Cross, Navy Cross, Air Force Cross, and Distinguished-Service Medal (sec. 562) .....	564
Recodification and revision of Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll requirements (sec. 563) .....	564

	Page
TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued	
Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards—Continued	
Prompt replacement of military decorations (sec. 564) .....	564
Review of eligibility for, and award of, Purple Heart to victims of the attacks at recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas (sec. 565) .....	565
Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to former members of the Armed Forces previously recommended for award of the Medal of Honor (sec. 566) .....	565
Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor for acts of valor during the Vietnam War (sec. 567) .....	566
Authorization for award of the Distinguished-Service Cross for acts of valor during the Korean and Vietnam Wars (sec. 568) .....	566
Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for acts of valor during the Civil War (sec. 569) .....	566
Subtitle H—Other Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports .....	566
Report on feasibility of expanding performance evaluation reports to include 360-degree assessment approach (sec. 571) .....	566
Report on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding mem- bers of the Armed Forces with HIV or Hepatitis B (sec. 572) .....	567
Policy on military recruitment and enlistment of graduates of sec- ondary schools (sec. 573) .....	567
Comptroller General report on use of determination of personality disorder or adjustment disorder as basis to separate members from the Armed Forces (sec. 574) .....	567
Subtitle I—Other Matters .....	568
Accounting for members of the armed forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing and related reports (sec. 581) .....	568
Expansion of privileged information authorities to debriefing reports of certain recovered persons who were never placed in a missing status (sec. 582) .....	568
Revision of specified senior military colleges to reflect consolidation of North Georgia College and State University and Gainesville State College (sec. 583) .....	569
Review of security of military installations, including barracks, tem- porary lodging facilities, and multi-family residences (sec. 584) .....	569
Authority to enter into concessions contracts at Army National Mili- tary Cemeteries (sec. 585) .....	569
Military salute during recitation of pledge of allegiance by members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and by veterans (sec. 586) .....	570
Improved climate assessments and dissemination of results (sec. 587) .....	570
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	571
Designation of state student cadet corps as Department of Defense youth organizations .....	571
National Guard Youth ChalleNGe Program .....	571
Authority for joint professional military education phase II instruc- tion and credit to be offered and awarded through senior-level course of School of Advanced Military Studies of the United States Army Command and General Staff College .....	571
Authority for Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences to support undergraduate and other medical education and train- ing programs for military medical personnel .....	572
Command responsibility and accountability for remains of members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps who die outside the United States .....	572
Expansion of eligibility for associate degree programs under the Com- munity College of the Air Force .....	572
Procedures for judicial review of military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records .....	573
Coverage of military occupational specialties relating to military in- formation technology under pilot program on receipt of civilian credentials for skills required for military occupational specialties ..	573

	Page
TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued	
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued	
Report on data and information collected in connection with Department of Defense review of laws, policies, and regulations restricting service of female members of the Armed Forces .....	573
Meetings with respect to religious liberty .....	574
Proof of period of military service for purposes of interest rate limitation under the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act .....	574
Military Hazing Prevention Oversight Panel .....	574
Department of Defense recognition of spouses of members of the Armed Forces who serve in combat zones .....	575
Treatment of relocation of members of the Armed Forces for active duty for purposes of mortgage refinancing .....	575
Transition of members of the Armed Forces and their families from military to civilian life .....	576
Mortgage protection for members of the Armed Forces, surviving spouses, and certain veterans and other improvements to the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act .....	576
Department of Defense recognition of dependents of members of the Armed Forces who serve in combat zones .....	577
Inclusion of Freely Associated States within scope of Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps Program .....	577
Requirement to continue provision of tuition assistance for members of the Armed Forces .....	577
Internet access for members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps serving in combat zones .....	577
Support for efforts to improve academic achievement and transition of military dependent students .....	577
Fraudulent representations about receipt of military decorations or medals .....	578
Retroactive award of Army Combat Action Badge .....	578
Report on Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta .....	578
Required gold content for Medal of Honor .....	578
Consideration of Silver Star Award nominations .....	578
Report on Army review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht .....	579
Commission on Military Behavioral Health and Disciplinary Issues ..	579
Commission on Service to the Nation .....	579
Sense of Congress regarding the recovery of the remains of certain members of the Armed Forces killed in Thurston Island, Antarctica .....	580
TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS .....	580
Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances .....	580
Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances (sec. 601) .	580
Recognition of additional means by which members of the National Guard called into Federal service for a period of 30 days or less may initially report for duty for entitlement to basic pay (sec. 602) .....	580
Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays .....	580
One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611) .....	580
One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612) .....	581
One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613) .....	581
One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities (sec. 614) .....	581
One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 615) .....	582
One-year extension of authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency (sec. 616) .....	582
Authority to provide bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (sec. 617) .....	582

	Page
TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS—Continued	
Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays—Continued	
Health Professions Stipend Program to obtain commissioned officers in the reserve components (sec. 618) .....	582
Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances .....	583
Technical and standardizing amendments to Department of Defense travel and transportation authorities in connection with reform of such authorities (sec. 621) .....	583
Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits .....	583
Clarification of prevention of retired pay inversion in the case of members whose retired pay is computed using high-three (sec. 631) .....	583
Periodic notice to members of the Ready Reserve on early retirement credit earned for significant periods of active Federal status or active duty (sec. 632) .....	583
Improved assistance for Gold Star spouses and other dependents (sec. 633) .....	583
Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations .....	584
Expansion of protection of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities from reprisals (sec. 641) .....	584
Modernization of titles of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities for purposes of certain civil service laws (sec. 642) .....	584
Subtitle F—Other Matters .....	585
Authority to provide certain expenses for care and disposition of human remains that were retained by the Department of Defense for forensic pathology investigation (sec. 651) .....	585
Study of the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation and other transitional benefits to dependents of members separated for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 652) .....	585
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	585
Fiscal year 2014 increase in military basic pay .....	585
Correction of citation for extension of reimbursement authority for travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance and additional one-year extension .....	586
Purchase of sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials for resale in commissary and exchange store systems .....	586
Exchange store system participation in the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh .....	586
Effect on division of retired pay of election to receive combat-related special compensation after previous election to receive concurrent retirement and disability compensation .....	586
Provision of status under law by honoring certain members of the reserve components as veterans .....	587
Survey of military pay and benefits preferences .....	587
Transportation on military aircraft on a space-available basis for disabled veterans with a service-connected, permanent disability rated as total .....	587
Preservation of retiree dependent status for certain dependents upon death or permanent incapacitation of the retired member on whom dependent status is based .....	588
Enhanced role for the Department of Justice under the Military Lending Act .....	588
Extension of ongoing pilot programs under temporary Army incentive to provide additional recruitment incentives .....	588
TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS .....	589
Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits .....	589
Future availability of TRICARE Prime for certain beneficiaries enrolled in TRICARE Prime (sec. 701) .....	589
Mental health care treatment through telemedicine (sec. 702) .....	589
Comprehensive policy on improvements to care and transition of members of the Armed Forces with urotrauma (sec. 703) .....	590
Pilot program on investigational treatment of members of the Armed Forces for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder (sec. 704) .....	590

XIII

	Page
TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS—Continued	
Subtitle B—Health Care Administration .....	591
Authority of Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to other nonprofit entities (sec. 711) .....	591
Pilot program on increased third-party collection reimbursements in military medical treatment facilities (sec. 712) .....	591
Electronic health records of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 713) .....	591
Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters .....	593
Display of budget information for embedded mental health providers of the reserve components (sec. 721) .....	593
Report on role of Department of Veterans Affairs in certain Centers of Excellence (sec. 722) .....	593
Report on memorandum regarding traumatic brain injuries (sec. 723) .....	593
Report on provision of advanced prosthetics and orthotics to members of the Armed Forces and veterans (sec. 724) .....	594
Comptroller General reports on TRICARE recovery audit program and availability of compounded pharmaceuticals (sec. 725) .....	594
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	595
Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces .....	595
Periodic mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces .....	595
Behavioral health treatment of developmental disabilities under TRICARE .....	595
Cooperative health care agreements between the military departments and non-military health care entities .....	595
Limitation on availability of funds for integrated electronic health record program .....	596
Mental health support for military personnel and families .....	596
Research regarding hydrocephalus .....	597
Traumatic brain injury research .....	597
Increased collaboration with NIH to combat triple negative breast cancer .....	597
Sense of Congress on mental health counselors for members of the Armed Forces and their families .....	597
Preliminary mental health assessments .....	598
Sense of Congress on the traumatic brain injury plan .....	598
TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS .....	598
Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management .....	598
Enhanced transfer of technology developed at Department of Defense laboratories (sec. 801) .....	598
Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services (sec. 802) .....	598
Identification and replacement of obsolete electronic parts (sec. 803) ..	599
Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations .....	599
Government-wide limitations on allowable costs for contractor compensation (sec. 811) .....	599
Inclusion of additional cost estimate information in certain reports (sec. 812) .....	599
Amendment relating to compelling reasons for waiving suspension or debarment (sec. 813) .....	600
Extension of pilot program on acquisition of military purpose non-developmental items (sec. 814) .....	600
Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs ...	600
Synchronization of cryptographic systems for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 821) .....	600
Assessment of dedicated ground control system before Milestone B approval of major defense acquisition programs constituting a space program (sec. 822) .....	601
Additional responsibility for product support managers for major weapon systems (sec. 823) .....	601
Comptroller General review of Department of Defense processes for the acquisition of weapons systems (sec. 824) .....	601

XIV

	Page
TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS—Continued	
Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan .....	602
Prohibition on contracting with the enemy (sec. 831) .....	602
Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan (sec. 832) .....	602
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	603
Modification of reporting requirement for Department of Defense business system acquisition programs when initial operating capability is not achieved within 5 years of Milestone A approval .....	603
Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law .....	603
Report on program manager training and experience .....	603
Additional contractor responsibilities in regulations relating to detection and avoidance of counterfeit electronic parts .....	604
Requirement that cost or price to the Federal Government be given at least equal importance as technical or other criteria in evaluating competitive proposals for defense contracts .....	604
Requirement to buy American flags from domestic sources .....	605
Collection of data relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan .....	606
Report on procurement supply chain vulnerabilities .....	606
Study on the impact of contracting with veteran-owned small businesses .....	607
Revisions to requirements relating to justification and approval of sole-source defense contracts .....	607
Revision of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to take into account sourcing laws .....	607
Prohibition on purchase of military coins not made in the United States .....	607
Compliance with domestic source requirements for footwear furnished to enlisted members of the Armed Forces upon their initial entry into the Armed Forces .....	607
Implementation by Department of Defense of certain recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States on oversight of pensions offered by Department contractors .....	608
Report on the elimination of improper payments .....	609
Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform Act .....	609
TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT .....	610
Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management .....	610
Revisions to composition of transition plan for defense business enterprise architecture (sec. 901) .....	610
Comptroller General report on potential relocation of Federal Government tenants onto military installations in the United States (sec. 902) .....	610
Clarification of authority for the command acquisition executive of the United States Special Operations Command (sec. 903) .....	610
Streamlining of Department of Defense management headquarters (sec. 904) .....	611
Update of statutory statement of functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff relating to doctrine, training, and education (sec. 905) .....	612
Modification of reference to major Department of Defense headquarters activities instruction (sec. 906) .....	612
Personnel security (sec. 907) .....	612
Subtitle B—Space Activities .....	613
National security space satellite reporting policy (sec. 911) .....	613
National security space defense and protection (sec. 912) .....	614
Space acquisition strategy (sec. 913) .....	614
Space control mission report (sec. 914) .....	615
Responsive launch (sec. 915) .....	615
Limitation on use of funds for Space Protection Program (sec. 916) .....	615
Eagle Vision system (sec. 917) .....	616
Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities .....	616

	Page
TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT—Continued	
Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities—Continued	
Revision of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities (sec. 921) ..	616
Department of Defense intelligence priorities (sec. 922) ..	617
Defense Clandestine Service (sec. 923) ..	617
Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation (sec. 924) ..	618
Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters ..	618
Modification of requirement for inventory of Department of Defense tactical data link systems (sec. 931) ..	618
Authorities, capabilities, and oversight of the United States Cyber Command (sec. 932) ..	618
Mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense (sec. 933) ..	620
Modification of requirement for Report on Department of Defense Progress in Defending the Department and the Defense Industrial Base from Cyber Events (sec. 934) ..	620
Additional requirements relating to the software licenses of the Department of Defense (sec. 935) ..	620
Cyber outreach and threat awareness for small businesses (sec. 936) ..	621
Joint Federated Centers for Trusted Defense Systems for the Department of Defense (sec. 937) ..	621
Supervision of the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities (sec. 938) ..	622
Cyber vulnerabilities of Department of Defense weapon systems and tactical communications systems (sec. 939) ..	623
Control of the proliferation of cyber weapons (sec. 940) ..	623
Integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace (sec. 941) ..	623
National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education matters (sec. 942) ..	623
Subtitle E—Total Force Management ..	624
Reviews of appropriate manpower performance (sec. 951) ..	624
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted ..	625
Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps ..	625
Under Secretary of Defense for Management ..	625
Report on strategic importance of United States military installation of the U.S. Pacific Command ..	625
Transfer of administration of Ocean Research Advisory Panel from Department of the Navy to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ..	626
Navy broad-area maritime surveillance aircraft ..	626
Limitation on availability of funds for collaborative cybersecurity activities with China ..	626
Small business cybersecurity solutions office ..	626
Requirement to ensure sufficient levels of government oversight of functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions ..	627
TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS ..	627
Budget Item ..	627
Funding for New START Treaty preparatory activities ..	627
Subtitle A—Financial Matters ..	627
General transfer authority (sec. 1001) ..	627
Budgetary effects of this Act (sec. 1002) ..	628
Audit of Department of Defense fiscal year 2018 financial statements (sec. 1003) ..	628
Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization (sec. 1004) ..	628
Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities ..	628
Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counter-terrorism campaign in Colombia (sec. 1011) ..	628
Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1012) ..	629

	Page
TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued	
Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities—Continued	
Extension and expansion of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1013) .....	629
Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards .....	630
Modification of requirements for annual long-range plan for the construction of naval vessels (sec. 1021) .....	630
Clarification of sole ownership resulting from ship donations at no cost to the Navy (sec. 1022) .....	630
Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships (sec. 1023) .....	630
Extension and remediation of Navy contracting actions (sec. 1024) .....	631
Report comparing costs of DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III ships (sec. 1025) .....	631
Report on naval vessels and the Force Structure Assessment (sec. 1026) .....	631
Modification of policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the Navy (sec. 1027) .....	632
Subtitle D—Counterterrorism .....	632
Clarification of procedures for use of alternate members on military commissions (sec. 1031) .....	632
Modification of Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program reporting requirement (sec. 1032) .....	632
Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1033) .....	633
Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1034) .....	633
Transfers to foreign countries of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1035) .....	633
Report on information relating to individuals detained at Parwan, Afghanistan (sec. 1036) .....	634
Grade of chief prosecutor and chief defense counsel in military commissions established to try individuals detained at Guantanamo (sec. 1037) .....	634
Report on capability of Yemeni government to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen (sec. 1038) .....	635
Report on attachment of rights to individuals detained at Guantanamo if transferred to the United States (sec. 1039) .....	635
Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations .....	636
Congressional notification of sensitive military operations (sec. 1041) .....	636
Counterterrorism operational briefings (sec. 1042) .....	636
Report on process for determining targets of lethal or capture operations (sec. 1043) .....	636
Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces .....	637
Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe (sec. 1051) .....	637
Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System (sec. 1052) .....	637
Modification of responsibilities and reporting requirements of Nuclear Weapons Council (sec. 1053) .....	638
Modification of deadline for report on plan for nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, nuclear weapons delivery systems, and nuclear weapons command and control system (sec. 1054) .....	638
Prohibition on elimination of nuclear triad (sec. 1055) .....	638
Implementation of New START Treaty (sec. 1056) .....	639
Retention of capability to redeploy multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles (sec. 1057) .....	640
Report on New START Treaty (sec. 1058) .....	640
Report on implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report (sec. 1059) .....	641
Sense of Congress on further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation (sec. 1060) .....	641

	Page
TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued	
Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces—Continued	
Sense of Congress on compliance with nuclear arms control treaty obligations (sec. 1061) .....	641
Senses of Congress on ensuring the modernization of the nuclear forces of the United States (sec. 1062) .....	642
Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations .....	642
Enhancement of capacity of the United States Government to analyze captured records (sec. 1071) .....	642
Strategic plan for the management of the electromagnetic spectrum (sec. 1072) .....	642
Extension of authority to provide military transportation services to certain other agencies at the Department of Defense reimbursement rate (sec. 1073) .....	643
Notification of modifications to Army force structure (sec. 1074) .....	643
Aircraft joint training (sec. 1075) .....	644
Subtitle H—Studies and Reports .....	644
Online availability of reports submitted to Congress (sec. 1081) .....	644
Oversight of combat support agencies (sec. 1082) .....	644
Inclusion in annual report of description of interagency coordination relating to humanitarian demining technology (sec. 1083) .....	644
Repeal and modification of reporting requirements (sec. 1084) .....	645
Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General assessment of Department of Defense efficiencies (sec. 1085) .....	645
Review and assessment of United States Special Operations Forces and United States Special Operations Command (sec. 1086) .....	645
Reports on unmanned aircraft systems (sec. 1087) .....	645
Report on foreign language support contracts for the Department of Defense (sec. 1088) .....	646
Civil Air Patrol (sec. 1089) .....	646
Subtitle I—Other Matters .....	646
Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1091) .....	646
Reduction in costs to report critical changes to major automated information system programs (sec. 1092) .....	646
Extension of authority of Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance (sec. 1093) .....	646
Extension of Ministry of Defense Advisor Program and authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for certain nongovernmental personnel (sec. 1094) .....	647
Amendments to certain national commissions (sec. 1095) .....	647
Strategy for future military information operations capabilities (sec. 1096) .....	648
Sense of Congress on collaboration on border security (sec. 1097) .....	648
Transfer of aircraft to other departments for wildfire suppression and other purposes; tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force (sec. 1098) .....	648
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	649
Department of Defense Readiness Restoration Fund .....	649
Sense of Congress regarding the National Guard Counter-Narcotic Program .....	649
Repair of vessels in foreign shipyards .....	649
Authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States for emergency or critical medical treatment .....	650
Assessment of affiliates and adherents of Al-Qaeda outside the United States .....	650
Designation of Department of Defense senior official for facilitating the transfer of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba .....	651
Summary of information relating to individuals detained at Guantanamo who became leaders of foreign terrorist groups .....	651
Procedures governing United States citizens apprehended inside the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force .....	651
Prohibition on the use of funds for recreational facilities for individuals detained at Guantanamo .....	651
Prohibition on transfer or release of individuals detained at Guantanamo to Yemen .....	651

XVIII

	Page
TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued	
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued	
Department of Defense representation in dispute resolution regarding surrender of Department of Defense bands of electromagnetic frequencies .....	652
Assessment of nuclear weapons program of the People's Republic of China .....	652
Cost estimates for nuclear weapons .....	652
Report on plans for the disposition of the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicle fleet .....	653
Limitation on use of funds for public-private cooperation activities .....	653
Matters for inclusion in the assessment of the 2013 Quadrennial Defense Review .....	653
Provision of defense planning guidance and contingency operation plan information to Congress .....	654
Report on U.S. citizens subject to military detention .....	654
Report on long-term costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom .....	654
Report on air transportation of supplies for the United States .....	654
Transportation of supplies to members of the Armed Forces from nonprofit organizations .....	655
Protection of tier one task critical assets from electromagnetic pulse and high-powered microwave systems .....	655
Compliance of military departments with minimum safe staffing standards .....	656
Determination and disclosure of transportation costs incurred by Secretary of Defense for congressional trips outside the United States ..	657
Transfer to the Department of Homeland Security of the Tethered Aerostat Radar System .....	657
Sale or donation of excess personal property for border security activities .....	657
Unmanned aircraft systems and National Airspace .....	658
Days on which the POW/MIA flag is displayed on certain federal property .....	658
Sense of Congress on improvised explosive devices .....	658
Sense of Congress to maintain a strong National Guard and Military Reserve force .....	659
Access of employees of congressional support offices to Department of Defense facilities .....	659
Cost of wars .....	659
Sense of Congress regarding consideration of foreign languages and cultures in the building of partner capacity .....	660
Sense of Congress regarding preservation of second amendment rights of active duty military personnel stationed or residing in the District of Columbia .....	660
TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS .....	660
One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1101) .....	660
One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone (sec. 1102) .....	661
Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority for civilian employees of the Department of Defense (sec. 1103) .....	661
Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments to Department of Defense employees (sec. 1104) .....	661
Revision to amount of financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program and assessment of STEM and other programs (sec. 1105) .....	661
Extension of program for exchange of information-technology personnel (sec. 1106) .....	662
Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities (sec. 1107) .....	662
Compliance with law regarding availability of funding for civilian personnel (sec. 1108) .....	663

XIX

	Page
TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS—Continued	
Extension of enhanced appointment and compensation authority for civilian personnel for care and treatment of wounded and injured members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1109) .....	663
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	663
Flexibility in employment and compensation of civilian faculty at certain additional Department of Defense schools .....	663
TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS	664
Subtitle A—Assistance and Training .....	664
Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces (sec. 1201) .....	664
Global Security Contingency Fund (sec. 1202) .....	665
Training of general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces with military and other security forces of friendly foreign countries (sec. 1203) .....	666
Authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction (sec. 1204) .....	666
Authorization of National Guard State Partnership Program (sec. 1205) .....	667
United States security and assistance strategies in Africa (sec. 1206) .....	668
Assistance to the Government of Jordan for border security operations (sec. 1207) .....	668
Support of foreign forces participating in operations to disarm the Lord's Resistance Army (sec. 1208) .....	669
Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq	670
Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan (sec. 1211) .....	670
One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan (sec. 1212) .....	670
Extension of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1213) .....	670
Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (sec. 1214) ..	671
One-year extension and modification of authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan (sec. 1215) .....	672
Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 100 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan (sec. 1216) .....	672
Extension of certain authorities for support of foreign forces supporting or participating with the United States Armed Forces (sec. 1217) .....	673
Extension and improvement of the Iraqi special immigrant visa program (sec. 1218) .....	673
Improvement of the Afghan special immigrant visa program (sec. 1219) .....	673
Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014 .....	674
Report on plans to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances (sec. 1221) .....	674
Completion of accelerated transition of security responsibility from United States Armed Forces to the Afghan National Security Forces (sec. 1222) .....	674
Defense Intelligence Plan (sec. 1223) .....	674
Limitation on availability of funds for certain authorities for Afghanistan (sec. 1224) .....	675
Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran .....	675
Report on United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries (sec. 1231) .....	675
Additional elements in annual report on military power of Iran (sec. 1232) .....	676
Integrated air and missile defense programs at training locations in Southwest Asia (sec. 1233) .....	676
Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters .....	676

	Page
TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS—Continued	
Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters—Continued	
Two-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted re- covery capabilities (sec. 1241) .....	676
Element on 5th generation fighter program in annual report on mili- tary and security developments involving the People's Republic of China (sec. 1242) .....	677
Report on posture and readiness of the Armed Forces to respond to an attack or other contingency against United States diplomatic facilities overseas (sec. 1243) .....	677
Limitation on establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (sec. 1244) .....	678
Additional reports on military and security developments involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (sec. 1245) .....	678
Sense of Congress on missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation and limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation (sec. 1246) .....	679
Amendments to annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act (sec. 1247) .....	679
Report on actions to reduce support for ballistic missile proliferation (sec. 1248) .....	679
Reports on international agreements relating to the Department of Defense (sec. 1249) .....	680
Revision of statutory references to former NATO support organiza- tions and related NATO agreements (sec. 1250) .....	680
Executive agreements with the Russian Federation relating to bal- listic missile defense (sec. 1251) .....	680
Rule of construction (sec. 1252) .....	681
Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty (sec. 1253) .....	681
Report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation (sec. 1254) .....	681
Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or agreements with Rosoboronexport (sec. 1255) .....	682
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	682
Monitoring and evaluation of overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs of the Department of Defense .....	682
Special Immigrant Visas for certain Iraqi and Afghan allies .....	683
Sense of Congress on commencement of new long-term nation build- ing or large-scale infrastructure development projects in Afghani- stan .....	683
Sense of Congress .....	683
Limitation on funds to establish permanent military installations or bases in Afghanistan .....	683
Sense of Congress on the defense of the Arabian Gulf .....	684
Statement of policy on condemning the Government of Iran for its state-sponsored persecution of its Baha'i minority .....	684
Technical correction relating to funding for NATO Special Operations Headquarters .....	684
Role of the Government of Egypt to United States national security ..	684
Sense of Congress on the military developments on the Korean penin- sula .....	685
Statement of Congress on defense cooperation with Georgia .....	685
Sense of Congress on the conflict in Syria .....	685
Limitation on availability of funds for Threat Reduction Engagement activities and United States contributions to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty .....	685
Sense of Congress on military-to-military cooperation between the United States and Burma .....	685
Sense of Congress on the stationing of United States forces in Eu- rope .....	686
Sense of Congress on military capabilities of the People's Republic of China .....	686
Sense of Congress regarding relations with Taiwan .....	687
Sense of Congress on the threat posed by Hezbollah .....	687
Combating crime through intelligence capabilities .....	687
War Powers of Congress .....	687

	Page
TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS—Continued	
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued	
Prohibition on use of drones to kill United States citizens .....	688
Sale of F-16 fighter aircraft to Taiwan .....	688
Statement of policy and report on the inherent right of Israel to self-defense .....	688
Report on collective and national security implications of Central Asian and South Caucasus energy development .....	688
Limitation on assistance to provide tear gas or other riot control items .....	689
Report on certain financial assistance to Afghan military .....	689
Israel's right to self-defense .....	689
Sense of Congress strongly supporting the full implementation of United States and international sanctions on Iran and urging the President to continue to strengthen enforcement of sanctions legislation .....	689
Sense of Congress on the illegal nuclear weapons programs of Iran and North Korea .....	690
TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....	690
Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs and funds (sec. 1301) .....	690
Funding allocations (sec. 1302) .....	690
Extension of authority for utilization of contributions to the cooperative threat reduction program (sec. 1303) .....	691
Strategy to modernize Cooperative Threat Reduction and prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region (sec. 1304) .....	691
TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....	691
Subtitle A—Military Programs .....	691
Working capital funds (sec. 1401) .....	691
National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402) .....	691
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (sec. 1403) .....	692
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1404) .....	692
Defense Inspector General (sec. 1405) .....	692
Defense Health Program (sec. 1406) .....	692
Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile .....	692
Use of National Defense Stockpile for the conservation of a strategic and critical materials supply (sec. 1411) .....	692
Authority to acquire additional materials for the National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1412) .....	693
Subtitle C—Other Matters .....	693
Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois (sec. 1421) .....	693
Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1422) .....	693
Cemeterial expenses (sec. 1423) .....	693
TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	693
Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations .....	693
Purpose (sec. 1501) .....	693
Procurement (sec. 1502) .....	694
Research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 1503) .....	694
Operation and maintenance (sec. 1504) .....	694
Military personnel (sec. 1505) .....	694
Working capital funds (sec. 1506) .....	694
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1507) .....	695
Defense Inspector General (sec. 1508) .....	695
Defense Health Program (sec. 1509) .....	695
Subtitle B—Financial Matters .....	695
Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1521) .....	695
Special transfer authority (sec. 1522) .....	695
Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters .....	695
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1531) .....	695

	Page
TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued	
Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters—Continued	
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1532) .....	696
Future role of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (sec. 1533) .....	696
Extension of authority for Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan (sec. 1534) .....	697
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	697
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	697
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	697
Limitation on intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass .....	697
Report on U.S. force levels and costs of military operations in Af- ghanistan .....	698
Limitation on funds for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund to acquire certain aircraft, vehicles, and equipment .....	698
TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS .....	698
Subtitle A—Defense Industrial Base Matters .....	698
Periodic audits of contracting compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense (sec. 1601) .....	698
Foreign space activities (sec. 1602) .....	698
Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program (sec. 1603) .....	699
Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Small Business Concerns .....	699
Advancing small business growth (sec. 1611) .....	699
Amendments relating to Procurement Technical Assistance Coopera- tive Agreement Program (sec. 1612) .....	700
Reporting on goals for procurement contracts awarded to small busi- ness concerns (sec. 1613) .....	700
Credit for certain small business subcontractors (sec. 1614) .....	700
Inapplicability of requirement to review and justify certain contracts (sec. 1615) .....	700
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	701
Strategic plan for requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat .....	701
Program to provide federal contracts to early stage small businesses .	701
GAO study on subcontracting reporting systems .....	701
TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS .....	702
Subtitle A—Reform of Uniform Code of Military Justice .....	702
Extension of crime victims' rights to victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1701) .....	702
Revision of Article 32 and Article 60, Uniform Code of Military Jus- tice (sec. 1702) .....	702
Elimination of five-year statute of limitations on trial by court-mar- tial for additional offenses involving sex-related crimes (sec. 1703) .	703
Defense counsel interview of victim of an alleged sex-related offense in presence of trial counsel, counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate (sec. 1704) .....	703
Discharge or dismissal for certain sex-related offenses and trial of such offenses by general courts-martial (sec. 1705) .....	703
Participation by victim in clemency phase of courts-martial process (sec. 1706) .....	704
Repeal of the offense of consensual sodomy under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1707) .....	704
Modification of Manual for Courts-Martial to eliminate factor relating to character and military service of the accused in rule on initial disposition of offenses (sec. 1708) .....	705
Prohibition of retaliation against members of the Armed Forces for reporting a criminal offense (sec. 1709) .....	705
Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code .....	705
Prohibition on service in the Armed Forces by individuals who have been convicted of certain sexual offenses (sec. 1711) .....	705
Issuance of regulations applicable to the Coast Guard regarding con- sideration of request for permanent change of station or unit trans- fer by victim of sexual assault (sec. 1712) .....	705

	Page
TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS—Continued	
Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code—Continued	
Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member of the Armed Forces on active duty who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense (sec. 1713) .....	706
Expansion and enhancement of authorities relating to protected communications of members of the Armed Forces and prohibited retaliatory actions (sec. 1714) .....	706
Inspector General investigation of allegations of retaliatory personnel actions taken in response to making protected communications regarding sexual assault (sec. 1715) .....	707
Designation and availability of Special Victims' Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses (sec. 1716) .....	707
Subtitle C—Amendments to Other Laws .....	707
Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults (sec. 1721) .....	707
Advancement of submittal deadline for report of independent panel on assessment of military response systems to sexual assault (sec. 1722) .....	708
Retention of certain forms in connection with Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports on sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1723) .....	708
Timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves (sec. 1724) .....	708
Qualifications and selection of Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response personnel and required availability of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (sec. 1725) .....	709
Additional responsibilities of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office for Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program (sec. 1726) .....	709
Subtitle D—Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports .....	711
Independent reviews and assessments of Uniform Code of Military Justice and judicial proceedings of sexual assault cases (sec. 1731) ..	711
Review and policy regarding Department of Defense investigative practices in response to allegations of Uniform Code of Military Justice violations (sec. 1732) .....	712
Review of training and education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response (sec. 1733) .....	712
Report on implementation of Department of Defense policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1734) .....	713
Review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases (sec. 1735) .....	713
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	714
Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training (sec. 1741) .....	714
Commanding officer action on reports on sexual offenses involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1742) .....	714
Eight-day incident reporting requirement in response to unrestricted report of sexual assault in which the victim is a member of the Armed Forces (sec. 1743) .....	715
Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial (sec. 1744) .....	715
Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1745) .....	715
Prevention of sexual assault at military service academies (sec. 1746) .....	716
Required notification whenever members of the Armed Forces are completing Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions (sec. 1747) .....	716
Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions .....	717

	Page
TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS—Continued	
Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions—Continued	
Sense of Congress on commanding officer responsibility for command climate free of retaliation (sec. 1751) .....	717
Sense of Congress on disposition of charges involving certain sexual misconduct offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice through courts-martial (sec. 1752) .....	717
Sense of Congress on the discharge in lieu of court-martial of members of the Armed Forces who commit sex-related offenses (sec. 1753) .....	717
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	718
Servicemembers' accountability, rights, and responsibilities training	718
Inspector General of the Department of Defense review of separation of members of the Armed Forces who made unrestricted reports of sexual assault .....	718
Secretary of Defense report on role of commanders in military justice process .....	718
Enhancement to requirements for availability of information on sexual assault prevention and response resources .....	718
Health welfare inspections .....	719
DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS .....	719
Summary and explanation of funding tables .....	719
Legislative Provisions Adopted .....	719
Short title (sec. 2001) .....	719
Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002) .....	719
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	720
Effective date .....	720
TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION .....	720
Summary .....	720
Legislative Provisions Adopted .....	720
Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101) .....	720
Family housing (sec. 2102) .....	720
Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2103) .....	721
Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York (sec. 2104) .....	721
Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project (sec. 2105) .....	721
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project (sec. 2106) .....	721
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2107) .....	722
Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2010 projects (sec. 2108) .....	722
Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2109) .....	722
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	722
Transfer of Administrative Jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill, Dahlonaga, Georgia .....	722
Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project .....	723
TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION .....	723
Summary .....	723
Legislative Provisions Adopted .....	723
Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201) .....	723
Family housing (sec. 2202) .....	724
Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203) .....	724
Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204) .....	724
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2205) .....	724
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project (sec. 2206) .....	724
Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2207) .....	725
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	725

	Page
TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued	
Legislative Provision Not Adopted—Continued	
Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project .....	725
TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION .....	725
Summary .....	725
Legislative Provisions Adopted .....	726
Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301) .....	726
Family housing (sec. 2302) .....	726
Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303) .....	727
Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2304) .....	727
Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2305) .....	727
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project (sec. 2306) .....	727
Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2307) .....	728
TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION .....	728
Budget Items .....	728
Summary .....	728
U.S. Special Operations Command Military Construction Require- ments .....	729
Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations .....	730
Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401) .....	730
Authorized energy conservation projects (sec. 2402) .....	730
Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies (sec. 2403) .....	731
Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations .....	731
Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construc- tion, defense-wide (sec. 2411) .....	731
TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM .....	731
Summary .....	731
Legislative Provisions Adopted .....	731
Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501) .....	731
Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502) .....	732
TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES .....	732
Summary .....	732
Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations ...	732
Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601) .....	732
Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602) .....	732
Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603) .....	733
Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604) .....	733
Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605) .....	733
Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606) .....	733
Subtitle B—Other Matters .....	734
Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project (sec. 2611) .....	734
Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2612) .....	734
TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES .....	734
Summary .....	734
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	734
Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure ac- tivities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Ac- count (sec. 2701) .....	734
Subtitle B—Other Matters .....	735
Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round (sec. 2711) .....	735

	Page
TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES—Continued	
Subtitle B—Other Matters—Continued	
Elimination of quarterly certification requirement regarding availability of military health care in National Capital Region (sec. 2712) .....	735
Report on 2005 base closure and realignment joint basing initiative (sec. 2713) .....	735
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	735
Consideration of the value of services provided by a local community to the Armed Forces as part of the economic analysis in making base realignment or closure decisions .....	735
TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	736
Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes .....	736
Modification and extension of authority to utilize unspecified minor military construction authority for laboratory revitalization projects (sec. 2801) .....	736
Repeal of separate authority to enter into limited partnerships with private developers of housing (sec. 2802) .....	736
Military construction standards to improve force protection (sec. 2803) .....	737
Application of cash payments received for utilities and services (sec. 2804) .....	737
Repeal of advance notification requirement for use of military housing investment authority (sec. 2805) .....	737
Additional element for annual report on military housing privatization projects (sec. 2806) .....	737
Policies and requirements regarding overseas military construction and closure and realignment of United States military installations in foreign countries (sec. 2807) .....	737
Extension and modification of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States (sec. 2808) .....	738
Limitation on construction projects in European Command area of responsibility (sec. 2809) .....	739
Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration .....	739
Development of master plans for major military installations (sec. 2811) .....	739
Authority for acceptance of funds to cover administrative expenses associated with real property leases and easements (sec. 2812) .....	739
Modification of authority to enter into long-term contracts for receipt of utility services as consideration for utility systems conveyances (sec. 2813) .....	739
Report on efficient utilization of Department of Defense real property (sec. 2814) .....	740
Conditions on Department of Defense expansion of Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site, Fort Carson, Colorado (sec. 2815) .....	740
Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment .....	740
Change from previous calendar year to previous fiscal year for period covered by annual report of Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment (sec. 2821) .....	740
Realignment of Marine Corps forces in Asia-Pacific Region (sec. 2822) .....	740
Subtitle D—Land Conveyances .....	741
Real property acquisition, Naval Base Ventura County, California (sec. 2831) .....	741
Land conveyance, former Oxnard Air Force Base, Ventura County, California (sec. 2832) .....	742
Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii (sec. 2833) .....	742
Land conveyance, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (sec. 2834) .....	742
Land conveyance, Camp Williams, Utah (sec. 2835) .....	742
Conveyance, Air National Guard radar site, Francis Peak, Wasatch Mountains, Utah (sec. 2836) .....	742

	Page
TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS— Continued	
Subtitle D—Land Conveyances—Continued	
Land conveyances, former United States Army Reserve Centers, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Pennsylvania (sec. 2837) .....	743
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	743
Repeal of annual Economic Adjustment Committee reporting requirement (sec. 2841) .....	743
Establishment of military divers memorial (sec. 2842) .....	743
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	743
Repeal of requirements for local comparability of room patterns and floor areas for military family housing and submission of net floor area information .....	743
Department of Defense report on Military Housing Privatization Initiative .....	744
Continuation of limitation on use of funds for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold or platinum certification ...	744
Land conveyance, former Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia .....	744
Naming Provisions .....	744
Renaming site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio .....	745
Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California .....	745
Inclusion of emblems of belief as part of military memorials .....	745
TITLE XXIX—WITHDRAWAL, RESERVATION, AND TRANSFER OF PUBLIC LANDS TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY .....	746
Short title (sec. 2901) .....	746
Definitions (sec. 2902) .....	746
Subtitle A—General Provisions .....	746
General applicability; definitions (sec. 2911) .....	746
Maps and legal descriptions (sec. 2912) .....	746
Access restrictions (sec. 2913) .....	746
Changes in use (sec. 2914) .....	746
Brush and range fire prevention and suppression (sec. 2915) .....	746
Ongoing decontamination (sec. 2916) .....	746
Water rights (sec. 2917) .....	747
Hunting, fishing, and trapping (sec. 2918) .....	747
Limitation on extensions and renewals (sec. 2919) .....	747
Application for renewal of a withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2920) ...	747
Limitation on subsequent availability of land for appropriation (sec. 2921) .....	747
Relinquishment (sec. 2922) .....	748
Immunity of the United States (sec. 2923) .....	748
Subtitle B—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana .....	748
Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2931) .....	748
Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2932) .....	748
Special rules governing minerals management (sec. 2933) .....	748
Grazing (sec. 2934) .....	749
Payments in lieu of taxes (sec. 2935) .....	749
Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2936) .....	749
Subtitle C—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California .....	749
Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2941) .....	749
Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2942) .....	749
Public access (sec. 2943) .....	750
Resource management group (sec. 2944) .....	750
Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area (sec. 2945) .....	750
Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2946) .....	750
Subtitle D—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, and Fort Bliss, Texas .....	750
Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2951) .....	750
Grazing (sec. 2952) .....	750
Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California .....	750
Transfer of administrative jurisdiction of public land (sec. 2961) .....	750
Management and use of transferred land (sec. 2962) .....	751
Effect of termination of military use (sec. 2963) .....	751
Temporary extension of existing withdrawal period (sec. 2964) .....	751

XXVIII

	Page
TITLE XXIX—WITHDRAWAL, RESERVATION, AND TRANSFER OF PUBLIC LANDS TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY—Continued	
Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California—Continued	
Water rights (sec. 2965) .....	751
Realignment of range boundary and related transfer of title (sec. 2966) .....	752
Subtitle F—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California .....	752
Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2971) .....	752
Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2972) .....	752
Assignment of management responsibility to Secretary of the Navy (sec. 2973) .....	752
Geothermal resources (sec. 2974) .....	752
Wild horses and burros (sec. 2975) .....	753
Continuation of existing agreement (sec. 2976) .....	753
Management plans (sec. 2977) .....	753
Termination of prior withdrawals (sec. 2978) .....	753
Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2979) .....	753
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	753
Water rights .....	753
Withdrawal .....	753
Water rights .....	754
Withdrawal .....	754
Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Southern Study Area, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California ..	754
Water rights .....	754
DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....	754
TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	754
Overview .....	754
Budget Item .....	756
Project 99-D-143, mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility .....	756
Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations .....	756
National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101) .....	756
Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102) .....	757
Other defense activities (sec. 3103) .....	757
Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations .....	757
Clarification of principles of National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3111) .....	757
Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3112) .....	757
Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk (sec. 3113) .....	758
Limitation on availability of funds for National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3114) .....	759
Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security (sec. 3115) .....	760
Establishment of Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing (sec. 3116) .....	760
Authorization of modular building strategy as an alternative to the replacement project for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico (sec. 3117) ..	760
Comparative analysis of warhead life extension options (sec. 3118) ..	761
Extension of authority of Secretary of Energy to enter into transactions to carry out certain research projects (sec. 3119) .....	761
Increase in construction design threshold (sec. 3120) .....	761
Subtitle C—Plans and Reports .....	762
Annual report and certification on status of security of atomic energy defense facilities (sec. 3121) .....	762
Modifications to annual reports regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile (sec. 3122) .....	762
Inclusion of integrated plutonium strategy in nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan (sec. 3123) ..	763
Modifications to cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts (sec. 3124) .....	763

	Page
TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PRO- GRAMS—Continued	
Subtitle C—Plans and Reports—Continued	
Modification of deadlines for certain reports relating to program on scientific engagement for nonproliferation (sec. 3125) .....	763
Modification of certain reports on cost containment for uranium capa- bilities replacement project (sec. 3126) .....	764
Plan for tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation (sec. 3127) .....	764
Plan for improvement and integration of financial management of nuclear security enterprise (sec. 3128) .....	764
Plan for developing exascale computing and incorporating such com- puting into the stockpile stewardship program (sec. 3129) .....	765
Study and plan for extension of certain pilot program principles (sec. 3130) .....	766
Study of potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries (sec. 3131) .....	766
Repeal of certain reporting requirements (sec. 3132) .....	766
Subtitle D—Other Matters .....	767
Clarification of role of Secretary of Energy (sec. 3141) .....	767
Modification of deadlines for Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise (sec. 3142) .....	767
Department of Energy land conveyances (sec. 3143) .....	767
Technical amendment to Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (sec. 3144) .....	768
Technical corrections to the National Nuclear Security Administra- tion Act (sec. 3145) .....	768
Technical corrections to the Atomic Energy Defense Act (sec. 3146) ....	768
Sense of Congress on B61–12 life extension program (sec. 3147) .....	768
Sense of Congress on establishment of an advisory board on toxic substances and worker health (sec. 3148) .....	769
Legislative Provisions Not Adopted .....	769
Energy security and assurance .....	769
Termination of Department of Energy Employees to Protect National Security .....	769
Assessment of nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nu- clear Security Administration .....	770
Government Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Extension .....	771
Manhattan Project National Historic Park .....	772
TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD .....	772
Authorization (sec. 3201) .....	772
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	772
Improvements to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board .....	772
TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES .....	774
Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401) .....	774
TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION .....	774
Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2014 (sec. 3501) .....	774
5-year reauthorization of vessel war risk insurance program (sec. 3502) .....	774
Sense of Congress (sec. 3503) .....	774
Treatment of funds for intermodal transportation maritime facility, Port of Anchorage, Alaska (sec. 3504) .....	774
Strategic seaports (sec. 3505) .....	775
Legislative Provision Not Adopted .....	775
Maritime Administration .....	775
DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES .....	775
Authorization of amounts in funding tables (sec. 4001) .....	775
Summary of National Defense Authorizations for Fiscal Year 2014 ....	775
National Defense Budget Authority Implication .....	780
TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT .....	782
Procurement (sec. 4101) .....	782
Procurement for Overseas Contingency Operations (sec. 4102) .....	816
TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION .....	824
Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (sec. 4201) .....	824
Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation for Overseas Contin- gency Operations (sec. 4202) .....	859
TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	861
Operation and Maintenance (sec. 4301) .....	861

XXX

	Page
TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—Continued	
Operation and Maintenance for Overseas Contingency Operations (sec. 4302) .....	881
TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL .....	892
Military Personnel (sec. 4401) .....	892
Military Personnel for Overseas Contingency Operations (sec. 4402) ..	893
TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....	894
Other Authorizations (sec. 4501) .....	894
Other Authorizations for Overseas Contingency Operations (sec. 4502) .....	898
TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION .....	900
Military Construction (sec. 4601) .....	900
TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PRO- GRAMS .....	917
Department of Energy National Security Programs (sec. 4701) .....	917

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR  
FISCAL YEAR 2014

LEGISLATIVE TEXT

*[Note from the Director, Legislative Operations: The following is the enrolled legislative text of H.R. 3304, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal year 2014, as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate]*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

*This Act may be cited as the “National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014”.*

**SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

(a) **DIVISIONS.**—*This Act is organized into four divisions as follows:*

- (1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.*
- (2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.*
- (3) Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations and Other Authorizations.*
- (4) Division D—Funding Tables.*

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—*The table of contents for this Act is as follows:*

- Sec. 1. Short title.*
- Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.*
- Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees.*
- Sec. 4. Explanatory statement.*

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE I—PROCUREMENT**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Army Programs*

- Sec. 111. Limitation on availability of funds for Stryker vehicle program.*
- Sec. 112. Study on multiyear, multivehicle procurement authority for tactical vehicles.*

*Subtitle C—Navy Programs*

- Sec. 121. CVN-78 class aircraft carrier program.*
- Sec. 122. Repeal of requirements relating to procurement of future surface combatants.*
- Sec. 123. Multiyear procurement authority for E-2D aircraft program.*
- Sec. 124. Limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.*

*Subtitle D—Air Force Programs*

- Sec. 131. Repeal of requirement for maintenance of certain retired KC-135E aircraft.*
- Sec. 132. Multiyear procurement authority for C-130J aircraft.*
- Sec. 133. Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft.*
- Sec. 134. Prohibition of procurement of unnecessary C-27J aircraft by the Air Force.*

*Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters*

- Sec. 141. Personal protection equipment procurement.*
- Sec. 142. Repeal of certain F-35 reporting requirements.*
- Sec. 143. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of RQ-4 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems and A-10 aircraft.*
- Sec. 144. MC-12 Liberty Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance aircraft.*
- Sec. 145. Competition for evolved expendable launch vehicle providers.*
- Sec. 146. Reports on personal protection equipment and health and safety risks associated with ejection seats.*

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations*

- Sec. 211. Modification of requirements on biennial strategic plan for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.*
- Sec. 212. Limitation on availability of funds for ground combat vehicle engineering and manufacturing phase.*
- Sec. 213. Limitation and reporting requirements for unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program.*
- Sec. 214. Limitation on availability of funds for Air Force logistics transformation.*
- Sec. 215. Limitation on availability of funds for defensive cyberspace operations of the Air Force.*
- Sec. 216. Limitation on availability of funds for precision extended range munition program.*
- Sec. 217. Long-range standoff weapon requirement; prohibition on availability of funds for noncompetitive procedures for offensive anti-surface warfare weapon contracts of the Navy.*
- Sec. 218. Review of software development for F-35 aircraft.*
- Sec. 219. Evaluation and assessment of the distributed common ground system.*
- Sec. 220. Operationally responsive space.*
- Sec. 221. Sustainment or replacement of Blue Devil intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities.*

*Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs*

- Sec. 231. Improvements to acquisition accountability reports on ballistic missile defense system.*
- Sec. 232. Prohibition on use of funds for MEADS program.*
- Sec. 233. Prohibition on availability of funds for integration of certain missile defense systems; report on regional ballistic missile defense.*
- Sec. 234. Availability of funds for co-production of Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system in the United States.*
- Sec. 235. Additional missile defense radar for the protection of the United States homeland.*
- Sec. 236. Evaluation of options for future ballistic missile defense sensor architectures.*
- Sec. 237. Plans to improve the ground-based midcourse defense system.*
- Sec. 238. Report on potential future homeland ballistic missile defense options.*
- Sec. 239. Briefings on status of implementation of certain missile defense matters.*
- Sec. 240. Sense of Congress and report on NATO and missile defense burden-sharing.*
- Sec. 241. Sense of Congress on deployment of regional ballistic missile defense capabilities.*
- Sec. 242. Sense of Congress on procurement of capability enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle.*

*Subtitle D—Reports*

- Sec. 251. Annual Comptroller General report on the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.*
- Sec. 252. Annual Comptroller General of the United States report on the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter.*
- Sec. 253. Report on strategy to improve body armor.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 261. Establishment of Communications Security Review and Advisory Board.*

- Sec. 262. *Extension and expansion of mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions.*
- Sec. 263. *Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements.*
- Sec. 264. *Five-year extension of pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems.*
- Sec. 265. *Briefing on biometrics activities of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 266. *Sense of Congress on importance of aligning common missile compartment of Ohio-class replacement program with the United Kingdom's Vanguard successor program.*
- Sec. 267. *Sense of Congress on counter-electronics high power microwave missile project.*

### TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

#### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 301. *Operation and maintenance funding.*

#### Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

- Sec. 311. *Deadline for submission of reports on proposed budgets for activities relating to operational energy strategy.*
- Sec. 312. *Facilitation of interagency cooperation in conservation programs of the Departments of Defense, Agriculture, and Interior to avoid or reduce adverse impacts on military readiness activities.*
- Sec. 313. *Reauthorization of Sikes Act.*
- Sec. 314. *Clarification of prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits.*
- Sec. 315. *Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels.*

#### Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

- Sec. 321. *Strategic policy for prepositioned materiel and equipment.*
- Sec. 322. *Department of Defense manufacturing arsenal study and report.*
- Sec. 323. *Consideration of Army arsenals' capabilities to fulfill manufacturing requirements.*
- Sec. 324. *Strategic policy for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of operating forces used to support overseas contingency operations.*
- Sec. 325. *Littoral Combat Ship Strategic Sustainment Plan.*
- Sec. 326. *Strategy for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility.*

#### Subtitle D—Reports

- Sec. 331. *Additional reporting requirements relating to personnel and unit readiness.*
- Sec. 332. *Modification of authorities on prioritization of funds for equipment readiness and strategic capability.*
- Sec. 333. *Revision to requirement for annual submission of information regarding information technology capital assets.*
- Sec. 334. *Modification of annual corrosion control and prevention reporting requirements.*

#### Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

- Sec. 341. *Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores.*
- Sec. 342. *Limitation on performance of Department of Defense flight demonstration teams outside the United States.*
- Sec. 343. *Limitation on funding for United States Special Operations Command National Capital Region.*
- Sec. 344. *Limitation on availability of funds for Trans Regional Web Initiative.*

#### Subtitle F—Other Matters

- Sec. 351. *Gifts made for the benefit of military musical units.*
- Sec. 352. *Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms.*

### TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

#### Subtitle A—Active Forces

- Sec. 401. *End strengths for active forces.*
- Sec. 402. *Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels and in annual limitation on certain end strength reductions.*

*Subtitle B—Reserve Forces*

- Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.*  
*Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.*  
*Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).*  
*Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2014 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.*  
*Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.*

*Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 421. Military personnel.*

**TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**

*Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally*

- Sec. 501. Congressional notification requirements related to increases in number of general and flag officers on active duty or in joint duty assignments.*  
*Sec. 502. Service credit for cyberspace experience or advanced education upon original appointment as a commissioned officer.*  
*Sec. 503. Selective early retirement authority for regular officers and selective early removal of officers from reserve active-status list.*

*Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management*

- Sec. 511. Suicide prevention efforts for members of the reserve components.*  
*Sec. 512. Removal of restrictions on the transfer of officers between the active and inactive National Guard.*  
*Sec. 513. Limitations on cancellations of deployment of certain reserve component units and involuntary mobilizations of certain Reserves.*  
*Sec. 514. Review of requirements and authorizations for reserve component general and flag officers in an active status.*  
*Sec. 515. Feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.*

*Subtitle C—General Service Authorities*

- Sec. 521. Provision of information under Transition Assistance Program about disability-related employment and education protections.*  
*Sec. 522. Medical examination requirements regarding post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury before administrative separation.*  
*Sec. 523. Establishment and use of consistent definition of gender-neutral occupational standard for military career designators.*  
*Sec. 524. Sense of Congress regarding the Women in Service Implementation Plan.*  
*Sec. 525. Provision of military service records to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.*  
*Sec. 526. Review of Integrated Disability Evaluation System.*

*Subtitle D—Military Justice Matters, Other Than Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Related Reforms*

- Sec. 531. Modification of eligibility for appointment as Judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.*  
*Sec. 532. Enhancement of protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and chaplains of such members.*  
*Sec. 533. Inspector General investigation of Armed Forces compliance with regulations for the protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and their chaplains.*  
*Sec. 534. Survey of military chaplains views on Department of Defense policy regarding chaplain prayers outside of religious services.*

*Subtitle E—Member Education and Training*

- Sec. 541. Additional requirements for approval of educational programs for purposes of certain educational assistance under laws administered by the Secretary of Defense.*  
*Sec. 542. Enhancement of mechanisms to correlate skills and training for military occupational specialties with skills and training required for civilian certifications and licenses.*  
*Sec. 543. Report on the Troops to Teachers program.*

Sec. 544. *Secretary of Defense report on feasibility of requiring automatic operation of current prohibition on accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members of the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters*

Sec. 551. *Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.*

Sec. 552. *Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.*

Sec. 553. *Treatment of tuition payments received for virtual elementary and secondary education component of Department of Defense education program.*

Sec. 554. *Family support programs for immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.*

Sec. 555. *Sense of Congress on parental rights of members of the Armed Forces in child custody determinations.*

*Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards*

Sec. 561. *Repeal of limitation on number of medals of honor that may be awarded to the same member of the Armed Forces.*

Sec. 562. *Standardization of time-limits for recommending and awarding Medal of Honor, Distinguished-Service Cross, Navy Cross, Air Force Cross, and Distinguished-Service Medal.*

Sec. 563. *Recodification and revision of Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll requirements.*

Sec. 564. *Prompt replacement of military decorations.*

Sec. 565. *Review of eligibility for, and award of, Purple Heart to victims of the attacks at recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas.*

Sec. 566. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to former members of the Armed Forces previously recommended for award of the Medal of Honor.*

Sec. 567. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.*

Sec. 568. *Authorization for award of the Distinguished-Service Cross for acts of valor during the Korean and Vietnam Wars.*

Sec. 569. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for acts of valor during the Civil War.*

*Subtitle H—Other Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports*

Sec. 571. *Report on feasibility of expanding performance evaluation reports to include 360-degree assessment approach.*

Sec. 572. *Report on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding members of the Armed Forces with HIV or Hepatitis B.*

Sec. 573. *Policy on military recruitment and enlistment of graduates of secondary schools.*

Sec. 574. *Comptroller General report on use of determination of personality disorder or adjustment disorder as basis to separate members from the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle I—Other Matters*

Sec. 581. *Accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing and related reports.*

Sec. 582. *Expansion of privileged information authorities to debriefing reports of certain recovered persons who were never placed in a missing status.*

Sec. 583. *Revision of specified senior military colleges to reflect consolidation of North Georgia College and State University and Gainesville State College.*

Sec. 584. *Review of security of military installations, including barracks, temporary lodging facilities, and multi-family residences.*

Sec. 585. *Authority to enter into concessions contracts at Army National Military Cemeteries.*

Sec. 586. *Military salute during recitation of pledge of allegiance by members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and by veterans.*

Sec. 587. *Improved climate assessments and dissemination of results.*

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

*Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances*

- Sec. 601. *Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances.*  
 Sec. 602. *Recognition of additional means by which members of the National Guard called into Federal service for a period of 30 days or less may initially report for duty for entitlement to basic pay.*

*Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays*

- Sec. 611. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.*  
 Sec. 612. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.*  
 Sec. 613. *One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.*  
 Sec. 614. *One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.*  
 Sec. 615. *One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.*  
 Sec. 616. *One-year extension of authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.*  
 Sec. 617. *Authority to provide bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.*  
 Sec. 618. *Health Professions Stipend Program to obtain commissioned officers in the reserve components.*

*Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances*

- Sec. 621. *Technical and standardizing amendments to Department of Defense travel and transportation authorities in connection with reform of such authorities.*

*Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits*

- Sec. 631. *Clarification of prevention of retired pay inversion in the case of members whose retired pay is computed using high-three.*  
 Sec. 632. *Periodic notice to members of the Ready Reserve on early retirement credit earned for significant periods of active Federal status or active duty.*  
 Sec. 633. *Improved assistance for Gold Star spouses and other dependents.*

*Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations*

- Sec. 641. *Expansion of protection of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities from reprisals.*  
 Sec. 642. *Modernization of titles of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities for purposes of certain civil service laws.*

*Subtitle F—Other Matters*

- Sec. 651. *Authority to provide certain expenses for care and disposition of human remains that were retained by the Department of Defense for forensic pathology investigation.*  
 Sec. 652. *Study of the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation and other transitional benefits to dependents of members separated for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

*Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits*

- Sec. 701. *Future availability of TRICARE Prime for certain beneficiaries enrolled in TRICARE Prime.*  
 Sec. 702. *Mental health care treatment through telemedicine.*  
 Sec. 703. *Comprehensive policy on improvements to care and transition of members of the Armed Forces with urotrauma.*  
 Sec. 704. *Pilot program on investigational treatment of members of the Armed Forces for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder.*

*Subtitle B—Health Care Administration*

- Sec. 711. Authority of Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to other nonprofit entities.*  
*Sec. 712. Pilot program on increased third-party collection reimbursements in military medical treatment facilities.*  
*Sec. 713. Electronic health records of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.*

*Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters*

- Sec. 721. Display of budget information for embedded mental health providers of the reserve components.*  
*Sec. 722. Report on role of Department of Veterans Affairs in certain Centers of Excellence.*  
*Sec. 723. Report on memorandum regarding traumatic brain injuries.*  
*Sec. 724. Report on provision of advanced prosthetics and orthotics to members of the Armed Forces and veterans.*  
*Sec. 725. Comptroller General reports on TRICARE recovery audit program and availability of compounded pharmaceuticals.*

**TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS**

*Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management*

- Sec. 801. Enhanced transfer of technology developed at Department of Defense laboratories.*  
*Sec. 802. Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services.*  
*Sec. 803. Identification and replacement of obsolete electronic parts.*

*Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations*

- Sec. 811. Government-wide limitations on allowable costs for contractor compensation.*  
*Sec. 812. Inclusion of additional cost estimate information in certain reports.*  
*Sec. 813. Amendment relating to compelling reasons for waiving suspension or debarment.*  
*Sec. 814. Extension of pilot program on acquisition of military purpose nondevelopmental items.*

*Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs*

- Sec. 821. Synchronization of cryptographic systems for major defense acquisition programs.*  
*Sec. 822. Assessment of dedicated ground control system before Milestone B approval of major defense acquisition programs constituting a space program.*  
*Sec. 823. Additional responsibility for product support managers for major weapon systems.*  
*Sec. 824. Comptroller General review of Department of Defense processes for the acquisition of weapon systems.*

*Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan*

- Sec. 831. Prohibition on contracting with the enemy.*  
*Sec. 832. Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.*

**TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT**

*Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management*

- Sec. 901. Revisions to composition of transition plan for defense business enterprise architecture.*  
*Sec. 902. Comptroller General report on potential relocation of Federal Government tenants onto military installations in the United States.*  
*Sec. 903. Clarification of authority for the command acquisition executive of the United States Special Operations Command.*  
*Sec. 904. Streamlining of Department of Defense management headquarters.*

- Sec. 905. *Update of statutory statement of functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff relating to doctrine, training, and education.*
- Sec. 906. *Modification of reference to major Department of Defense headquarters activities instruction.*
- Sec. 907. *Personnel security.*

*Subtitle B—Space Activities*

- Sec. 911. *National security space satellite reporting policy.*
- Sec. 912. *National security space defense and protection.*
- Sec. 913. *Space acquisition strategy.*
- Sec. 914. *Space control mission report.*
- Sec. 915. *Responsive launch.*
- Sec. 916. *Limitation on use of funds for Space Protection Program.*
- Sec. 917. *Eagle Vision system.*

*Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities*

- Sec. 921. *Revision of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities.*
- Sec. 922. *Department of Defense intelligence priorities.*
- Sec. 923. *Defense Clandestine Service.*
- Sec. 924. *Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation.*

*Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters*

- Sec. 931. *Modification of requirement for inventory of Department of Defense tactical data link systems.*
- Sec. 932. *Authorities, capabilities, and oversight of the United States Cyber Command.*
- Sec. 933. *Mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 934. *Modification of requirement for Report on Department of Defense Progress in Defending the Department and the Defense Industrial Base from Cyber Events.*
- Sec. 935. *Additional requirements relating to the software licenses of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 936. *Cyber outreach and threat awareness for small businesses.*
- Sec. 937. *Joint Federated Centers for Trusted Defense Systems for the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 938. *Supervision of the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities.*
- Sec. 939. *Cyber vulnerabilities of Department of Defense weapon systems and tactical communications systems.*
- Sec. 940. *Control of the proliferation of cyber weapons.*
- Sec. 941. *Integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace.*
- Sec. 942. *National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education matters.*

*Subtitle E—Total Force Management*

- Sec. 951. *Reviews of appropriate manpower performance.*

**TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

*Subtitle A—Financial Matters*

- Sec. 1001. *General transfer authority.*
- Sec. 1002. *Budgetary effects of this Act.*
- Sec. 1003. *Audit of Department of Defense fiscal year 2018 financial statements.*
- Sec. 1004. *Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization.*

*Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities*

- Sec. 1011. *Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.*
- Sec. 1012. *Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.*
- Sec. 1013. *Extension and expansion of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.*

*Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards*

- Sec. 1021. *Modification of requirements for annual long-range plan for the construction of naval vessels.*

- Sec. 1022. *Clarification of sole ownership resulting from ship donations at no cost to the Navy.*
- Sec. 1023. *Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships.*
- Sec. 1024. *Extension and remediation of Navy contracting actions.*
- Sec. 1025. *Report comparing costs of DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III ships.*
- Sec. 1026. *Report on naval vessels and the Force Structure Assessment.*
- Sec. 1027. *Modification of policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the Navy.*

*Subtitle D—Counterterrorism*

- Sec. 1031. *Clarification of procedures for use of alternate members on military commissions.*
- Sec. 1032. *Modification of Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program reporting requirement.*
- Sec. 1033. *Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1034. *Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1035. *Transfers to foreign countries of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1036. *Report on information relating to individuals detained at Parwan, Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1037. *Grade of chief prosecutor and chief defense counsel in military commissions established to try individuals detained at Guantanamo.*
- Sec. 1038. *Report on capability of Yemeni government to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen.*
- Sec. 1039. *Report on attachment of rights to individuals detained at Guantanamo if transferred to the United States.*

*Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations*

- Sec. 1041. *Congressional notification of sensitive military operations.*
- Sec. 1042. *Counterterrorism operational briefings.*
- Sec. 1043. *Report on process for determining targets of lethal or capture operations.*

*Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces*

- Sec. 1051. *Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe.*
- Sec. 1052. *Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System.*
- Sec. 1053. *Modification of responsibilities and reporting requirements of Nuclear Weapons Council.*
- Sec. 1054. *Modification of deadline for report on plan for nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, nuclear weapons delivery systems, and nuclear weapons command and control system.*
- Sec. 1055. *Prohibition on elimination of nuclear triad.*
- Sec. 1056. *Implementation of New START Treaty.*
- Sec. 1057. *Retention of capability to redeploy multiple independently targetable re-entry vehicles.*
- Sec. 1058. *Report on New START Treaty.*
- Sec. 1059. *Report on implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report.*
- Sec. 1060. *Sense of Congress on further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1061. *Sense of Congress on compliance with nuclear arms control treaty obligations.*
- Sec. 1062. *Senses of Congress on ensuring the modernization of the nuclear forces of the United States.*

*Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations*

- Sec. 1071. *Enhancement of capacity of the United States Government to analyze captured records.*
- Sec. 1072. *Strategic plan for the management of the electromagnetic spectrum.*
- Sec. 1073. *Extension of authority to provide military transportation services to certain other agencies at the Department of Defense reimbursement rate.*

- Sec. 1074. Notification of modifications to Army force structure.  
 Sec. 1075. Aircraft joint training.

*Subtitle H—Studies and Reports*

- Sec. 1081. Online availability of reports submitted to Congress.  
 Sec. 1082. Oversight of combat support agencies.  
 Sec. 1083. Inclusion in annual report of description of interagency coordination relating to humanitarian demining technology.  
 Sec. 1084. Repeal and modification of reporting requirements.  
 Sec. 1085. Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General assessment of Department of Defense efficiencies.  
 Sec. 1086. Review and assessment of United States Special Operations Forces and United States Special Operations Command.  
 Sec. 1087. Reports on unmanned aircraft systems.  
 Sec. 1088. Report on foreign language support contracts for the Department of Defense.  
 Sec. 1089. Civil Air Patrol.

*Subtitle I—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1091. Technical and clerical amendments.  
 Sec. 1092. Reduction in costs to report critical changes to major automated information system programs.  
 Sec. 1093. Extension of authority of Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance.  
 Sec. 1094. Extension of Ministry of Defense Advisor Program and authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for certain nongovernmental personnel.  
 Sec. 1095. Amendments to certain national commissions.  
 Sec. 1096. Strategy for future military information operations capabilities.  
 Sec. 1097. Sense of Congress on collaboration on border security.  
 Sec. 1098. Transfer of aircraft to other departments for wildfire suppression and other purposes; tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force.

**TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS**

- Sec. 1101. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.  
 Sec. 1102. One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone.  
 Sec. 1103. Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority for civilian employees of the Department of Defense.  
 Sec. 1104. Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments to Department of Defense employees.  
 Sec. 1105. Revision to amount of financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program and assessment of STEM and other programs.  
 Sec. 1106. Extension of program for exchange of information-technology personnel.  
 Sec. 1107. Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities.  
 Sec. 1108. Compliance with law regarding availability of funding for civilian personnel.  
 Sec. 1109. Extension of enhanced appointment and compensation authority for civilian personnel for care and treatment of wounded and injured members of the Armed Forces.

**TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS**

*Subtitle A—Assistance and Training*

- Sec. 1201. Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces.  
 Sec. 1202. Global Security Contingency Fund.  
 Sec. 1203. Training of general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces with military and other security forces of friendly foreign countries.  
 Sec. 1204. Authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.  
 Sec. 1205. Authorization of National Guard State Partnership Program.  
 Sec. 1206. United States security and assistance strategies in Africa.

- Sec. 1207. *Assistance to the Government of Jordan for border security operations.*  
 Sec. 1208. *Support of foreign forces participating in operations to disarm the Lord's Resistance Army.*

*Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq*

- Sec. 1211. *Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan.*  
 Sec. 1212. *One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan.*  
 Sec. 1213. *Extension of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.*  
 Sec. 1214. *Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*  
 Sec. 1215. *One-year extension and modification of authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan.*  
 Sec. 1216. *Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 100 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan.*  
 Sec. 1217. *Extension of certain authorities for support of foreign forces supporting or participating with the United States Armed Forces.*  
 Sec. 1218. *Extension and improvement of the Iraqi special immigrant visa program.*  
 Sec. 1219. *Improvement of the Afghan special immigrant visa program.*

*Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014*

- Sec. 1221. *Report on plans to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances.*  
 Sec. 1222. *Completion of accelerated transition of security responsibility from United States Armed Forces to the Afghan National Security Forces.*  
 Sec. 1223. *Defense intelligence plan.*  
 Sec. 1224. *Limitation on availability of funds for certain authorities for Afghanistan.*

*Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran*

- Sec. 1231. *Report on United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries.*  
 Sec. 1232. *Additional elements in annual report on military power of Iran.*  
 Sec. 1233. *Integrated air and missile defense programs at training locations in Southwest Asia.*

*Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters*

- Sec. 1241. *Two-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.*  
 Sec. 1242. *Element on 5th generation fighter program in annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.*  
 Sec. 1243. *Report on posture and readiness of the Armed Forces to respond to an attack or other contingency against United States diplomatic facilities overseas.*  
 Sec. 1244. *Limitation on establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.*  
 Sec. 1245. *Additional reports on military and security developments involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.*  
 Sec. 1246. *Sense of Congress on missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation and limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.*  
 Sec. 1247. *Amendments to annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act.*  
 Sec. 1248. *Report on actions to reduce support for ballistic missile proliferation.*  
 Sec. 1249. *Reports on international agreements relating to the Department of Defense.*  
 Sec. 1250. *Revision of statutory references to former NATO support organizations and related NATO agreements.*  
 Sec. 1251. *Executive agreements with the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense.*  
 Sec. 1252. *Rule of construction.*  
 Sec. 1253. *Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty.*  
 Sec. 1254. *Report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.*

- Sec. 1255. *Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or agreements with Rosoboronexport.*

**TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION**

- Sec. 1301. *Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs and funds.*  
 Sec. 1302. *Funding allocations.*  
 Sec. 1303. *Extension of authority for utilization of contributions to the cooperative threat reduction program.*  
 Sec. 1304. *Strategy to modernize cooperative threat reduction and prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region.*

**TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

*Subtitle A—Military Programs*

- Sec. 1401. *Working capital funds.*  
 Sec. 1402. *National Defense Sealift Fund.*  
 Sec. 1403. *Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.*  
 Sec. 1404. *Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*  
 Sec. 1405. *Defense Inspector General.*  
 Sec. 1406. *Defense Health Program.*

*Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile*

- Sec. 1411. *Use of National Defense Stockpile for the conservation of a strategic and critical materials supply.*  
 Sec. 1412. *Authority to acquire additional materials for the National Defense Stockpile.*

*Subtitle C—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1421. *Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois.*  
 Sec. 1422. *Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.*  
 Sec. 1423. *Cemeterial expenses.*

**TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations*

- Sec. 1501. *Purpose.*  
 Sec. 1502. *Procurement.*  
 Sec. 1503. *Research, development, test, and evaluation.*  
 Sec. 1504. *Operation and maintenance.*  
 Sec. 1505. *Military personnel.*  
 Sec. 1506. *Working capital funds.*  
 Sec. 1507. *Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*  
 Sec. 1508. *Defense Inspector General.*  
 Sec. 1509. *Defense Health Program.*

*Subtitle B—Financial Matters*

- Sec. 1521. *Treatment as additional authorizations.*  
 Sec. 1522. *Special transfer authority.*

*Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters*

- Sec. 1531. *Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*  
 Sec. 1532. *Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.*  
 Sec. 1533. *Future role of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.*  
 Sec. 1534. *Extension of authority for Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan.*

**TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS**

*Subtitle A—Defense Industrial Base Matters*

- Sec. 1601. *Periodic audits of contracting compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense.*  
 Sec. 1602. *Foreign space activities.*  
 Sec. 1603. *Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program.*

*Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Small Business Concerns*

- Sec. 1611. *Advancing small business growth.*  
 Sec. 1612. *Amendments relating to Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.*  
 Sec. 1613. *Reporting on goals for procurement contracts awarded to small business concerns.*  
 Sec. 1614. *Credit for certain small business subcontractors.*  
 Sec. 1615. *Inapplicability of requirement to review and justify certain contracts.*

**TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS**

*Subtitle A—Reform of Uniform Code of Military Justice*

- Sec. 1701. *Extension of crime victims' rights to victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*  
 Sec. 1702. *Revision of Article 32 and Article 60, Uniform Code of Military Justice.*  
 Sec. 1703. *Elimination of five-year statute of limitations on trial by court-martial for additional offenses involving sex-related crimes.*  
 Sec. 1704. *Defense counsel interview of victim of an alleged sex-related offense in presence of trial counsel, counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.*  
 Sec. 1705. *Discharge or dismissal for certain sex-related offenses and trial of such offenses by general courts-martial.*  
 Sec. 1706. *Participation by victim in clemency phase of courts-martial process.*  
 Sec. 1707. *Repeal of the offense of consensual sodomy under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*  
 Sec. 1708. *Modification of Manual for Courts-Martial to eliminate factor relating to character and military service of the accused in rule on initial disposition of offenses.*  
 Sec. 1709. *Prohibition of retaliation against members of the Armed Forces for reporting a criminal offense.*

*Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code*

- Sec. 1711. *Prohibition on service in the Armed Forces by individuals who have been convicted of certain sexual offenses.*  
 Sec. 1712. *Issuance of regulations applicable to the Coast Guard regarding consideration of request for permanent change of station or unit transfer by victim of sexual assault.*  
 Sec. 1713. *Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member of the Armed Forces on active duty who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense.*  
 Sec. 1714. *Expansion and enhancement of authorities relating to protected communications of members of the Armed Forces and prohibited retaliatory actions.*  
 Sec. 1715. *Inspector General investigation of allegations of retaliatory personnel actions taken in response to making protected communications regarding sexual assault.*  
 Sec. 1716. *Designation and availability of Special Victims' Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses.*

*Subtitle C—Amendments to Other Laws*

- Sec. 1721. *Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults.*  
 Sec. 1722. *Advancement of submittal deadline for report of independent panel on assessment of military response systems to sexual assault.*  
 Sec. 1723. *Retention of certain forms in connection with Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports on sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.*  
 Sec. 1724. *Timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves.*  
 Sec. 1725. *Qualifications and selection of Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response personnel and required availability of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners.*  
 Sec. 1726. *Additional responsibilities of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office for Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program.*

*Subtitle D—Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports*

- Sec. 1731. Independent reviews and assessments of Uniform Code of Military Justice and judicial proceedings of sexual assault cases.*
- Sec. 1732. Review and policy regarding Department of Defense investigative practices in response to allegations of Uniform Code of Military Justice violations.*
- Sec. 1733. Review of training and education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response.*
- Sec. 1734. Report on implementation of Department of Defense policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1735. Review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1741. Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training.*
- Sec. 1742. Commanding officer action on reports on sexual offenses involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1743. Eight-day incident reporting requirement in response to unrestricted report of sexual assault in which the victim is a member of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1744. Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial.*
- Sec. 1745. Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1746. Prevention of sexual assault at military service academies.*
- Sec. 1747. Required notification whenever members of the Armed Forces are completing Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions.*

*Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions*

- Sec. 1751. Sense of Congress on commanding officer responsibility for command climate free of retaliation.*
- Sec. 1752. Sense of Congress on disposition of charges involving certain sexual misconduct offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice through courts-martial.*
- Sec. 1753. Sense of Congress on the discharge in lieu of court-martial of members of the Armed Forces who commit sex-related offenses.*

**DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

- Sec. 2001. Short title.*
- Sec. 2002. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.*

**TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

- Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2102. Family housing.*
- Sec. 2103. Authorization of appropriations, Army.*
- Sec. 2104. Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York.*
- Sec. 2105. Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project.*
- Sec. 2106. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project.*
- Sec. 2107. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.*
- Sec. 2108. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2010 projects.*
- Sec. 2109. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

**TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

- Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2202. Family housing.*
- Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.*
- Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.*
- Sec. 2205. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.*
- Sec. 2206. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project.*
- Sec. 2207. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

**TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

- Sec. 2301. *Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2302. *Family housing.*  
 Sec. 2303. *Improvements to military family housing units.*  
 Sec. 2304. *Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.*  
 Sec. 2305. *Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*  
 Sec. 2306. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*  
 Sec. 2307. *Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.*

**TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

*Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations*

- Sec. 2401. *Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2402. *Authorized energy conservation projects.*  
 Sec. 2403. *Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.*

*Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations*

- Sec. 2411. *Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.*

**TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

- Sec. 2501. *Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2502. *Authorization of appropriations, NATO.*

**TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**

*Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 2601. *Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2602. *Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2603. *Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2604. *Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2605. *Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*  
 Sec. 2606. *Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.*

*Subtitle B—Other Matters*

- Sec. 2611. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*  
 Sec. 2612. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

**TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 2701. *Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account.*

*Subtitle B—Other Matters*

- Sec. 2711. *Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.*  
 Sec. 2712. *Elimination of quarterly certification requirement regarding availability of military health care in National Capital Region.*  
 Sec. 2713. *Report on 2005 base closure and realignment joint basing initiative.*

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**

*Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes*

- Sec. 2801. *Modification and extension of authority to utilize unspecified minor military construction authority for laboratory revitalization projects.*  
 Sec. 2802. *Repeal of separate authority to enter into limited partnerships with private developers of housing.*  
 Sec. 2803. *Military construction standards to improve force protection.*  
 Sec. 2804. *Application of cash payments received for utilities and services.*  
 Sec. 2805. *Repeal of advance notification requirement for use of military housing investment authority.*

- Sec. 2806. *Additional element for annual report on military housing privatization projects.*
- Sec. 2807. *Policies and requirements regarding overseas military construction and closure and realignment of United States military installations in foreign countries.*
- Sec. 2808. *Extension and modification of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States.*
- Sec. 2809. *Limitation on construction projects in European Command area of responsibility.*

*Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration*

- Sec. 2811. *Development of master plans for major military installations.*
- Sec. 2812. *Authority for acceptance of funds to cover administrative expenses associated with real property leases and easements.*
- Sec. 2813. *Modification of authority to enter into long-term contracts for receipt of utility services as consideration for utility systems conveyances.*
- Sec. 2814. *Report on efficient utilization of Department of Defense real property.*
- Sec. 2815. *Conditions on Department of Defense expansion of Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site, Fort Carson, Colorado.*

*Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment*

- Sec. 2821. *Change from previous calendar year to previous fiscal year for period covered by annual report of Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment.*
- Sec. 2822. *Realignment of Marines Corps forces in Asia-Pacific Region.*

*Subtitle D—Land Conveyances*

- Sec. 2831. *Real property acquisition, Naval Base Ventura County, California.*
- Sec. 2832. *Land conveyance, former Oxnard Air Force Base, Ventura County, California.*
- Sec. 2833. *Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii.*
- Sec. 2834. *Land conveyance, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.*
- Sec. 2835. *Land conveyance, Camp Williams, Utah.*
- Sec. 2836. *Conveyance, Air National Guard radar site, Francis Peak, Wasatch Mountains, Utah.*
- Sec. 2837. *Land conveyances, former United States Army Reserve Centers, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Pennsylvania.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 2841. *Repeal of annual Economic Adjustment Committee reporting requirement.*
- Sec. 2842. *Establishment of military divers memorial.*

**TITLE XXIX—WITHDRAWAL, RESERVATION, AND TRANSFER OF PUBLIC LANDS TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY**

- Sec. 2901. *Short title.*
- Sec. 2902. *Definitions.*

*Subtitle A—General Provisions*

- Sec. 2911. *General applicability; definitions.*
- Sec. 2912. *Maps and legal descriptions.*
- Sec. 2913. *Access restrictions.*
- Sec. 2914. *Changes in use.*
- Sec. 2915. *Brush and range fire prevention and suppression.*
- Sec. 2916. *Ongoing decontamination.*
- Sec. 2917. *Water rights.*
- Sec. 2918. *Hunting, fishing, and trapping.*
- Sec. 2919. *Limitation on extensions and renewals.*
- Sec. 2920. *Application for renewal of a withdrawal and reservation.*
- Sec. 2921. *Limitation on subsequent availability of land for appropriation.*
- Sec. 2922. *Relinquishment.*
- Sec. 2923. *Immunity of the United States.*

*Subtitle B—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana*

- Sec. 2931. Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*
- Sec. 2932. Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*
- Sec. 2933. Special rules governing minerals management.*
- Sec. 2934. Grazing.*
- Sec. 2935. Payments in lieu of taxes.*
- Sec. 2936. Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

*Subtitle C—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California*

- Sec. 2941. Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*
- Sec. 2942. Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*
- Sec. 2943. Public access.*
- Sec. 2944. Resource management group.*
- Sec. 2945. Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.*
- Sec. 2946. Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

*Subtitle D—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, and Fort Bliss, Texas*

- Sec. 2951. Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*
- Sec. 2952. Grazing.*

*Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California*

- Sec. 2961. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction of public land.*
- Sec. 2962. Management and use of transferred land.*
- Sec. 2963. Effect of termination of military use.*
- Sec. 2964. Temporary extension of existing withdrawal period.*
- Sec. 2965. Water rights.*
- Sec. 2966. Realignment of range boundary and related transfer of title.*

*Subtitle F—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California*

- Sec. 2971. Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*
- Sec. 2972. Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*
- Sec. 2973. Assignment of management responsibility to Secretary of the Navy.*
- Sec. 2974. Geothermal resources.*
- Sec. 2975. Wild horses and burros.*
- Sec. 2976. Continuation of existing agreement.*
- Sec. 2977. Management plans.*
- Sec. 2978. Termination of prior withdrawals.*
- Sec. 2979. Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY  
AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS***Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations*

- Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.*
- Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.*

*Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations*

- Sec. 3111. Clarification of principles of National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3112. Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3113. Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.*
- Sec. 3114. Limitation on availability of funds for National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3115. Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security.*
- Sec. 3116. Establishment of Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing.*
- Sec. 3117. Authorization of modular building strategy as an alternative to the replacement project for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico.*
- Sec. 3118. Comparative analysis of warhead life extension options.*
- Sec. 3119. Extension of authority of Secretary of Energy to enter into transactions to carry out certain research projects.*
- Sec. 3120. Increase in construction design threshold.*

*Subtitle C—Plans and Reports*

- Sec. 3121. Annual report and certification on status of security of atomic energy defense facilities.*
- Sec. 3122. Modifications to annual reports regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile.*
- Sec. 3123. Inclusion of integrated plutonium strategy in nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan.*
- Sec. 3124. Modifications to cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts.*
- Sec. 3125. Modification of deadlines for certain reports relating to program on scientific engagement for nonproliferation.*
- Sec. 3126. Modification of certain reports on cost containment for uranium capabilities replacement project.*
- Sec. 3127. Plan for tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.*
- Sec. 3128. Plan for improvement and integration of financial management of nuclear security enterprise.*
- Sec. 3129. Plan for developing exascale computing and incorporating such computing into the stockpile stewardship program.*
- Sec. 3130. Study and plan for extension of certain pilot program principles.*
- Sec. 3131. Study of potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries.*
- Sec. 3132. Repeal of certain reporting requirements.*

*Subtitle D—Other Matters*

- Sec. 3141. Clarification of role of Secretary of Energy.*
- Sec. 3142. Modification of deadlines for Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.*
- Sec. 3143. Department of Energy land conveyance.*
- Sec. 3144. Technical amendment to Atomic Energy Act of 1954.*
- Sec. 3145. Technical corrections to the National Nuclear Security Administration Act.*
- Sec. 3146. Technical corrections to the Atomic Energy Defense Act.*
- Sec. 3147. Sense of Congress on B61–12 life extension program.*
- Sec. 3148. Sense of Congress on establishment of an advisory board on toxic substances and worker health.*

*TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD*

- Sec. 3201. Authorization.*

*TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES*

- Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.*

*TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION*

- Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2014.*
- Sec. 3502. 5-year reauthorization of vessel war risk insurance program.*
- Sec. 3503. Sense of Congress.*
- Sec. 3504. Treatment of funds for intermodal transportation maritime facility, Port of Anchorage, Alaska.*
- Sec. 3505. Strategic seaports.*

*DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES*

- Sec. 4001. Authorization of amounts in funding tables.*

*TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT*

- Sec. 4101. Procurement.*
- Sec. 4102. Procurement for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION*

- Sec. 4201. Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
- Sec. 4202. Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE*

- Sec. 4301. Operation and maintenance.*
- Sec. 4302. Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.*

**TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL**

- Sec. 4401. *Military personnel.*  
 Sec. 4402. *Military personnel for overseas contingency operations.*

**TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

- Sec. 4501. *Other authorizations.*  
 Sec. 4502. *Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.*

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

- Sec. 4601. *Military construction.*

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

- Sec. 4701. *Department of energy national security programs.*

**SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.**

*For purposes of this Act, the term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 4. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.**

*The explanatory statement regarding this Act, printed in the House section of the Congressional Record on or about December 11, 2013, by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.*

## **DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

### **TITLE I—PROCUREMENT**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 101. *Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Army Programs*

- Sec. 111. *Limitation on availability of funds for Stryker vehicle program.*  
 Sec. 112. *Study on multiyear, multivehicle procurement authority for tactical vehicles.*

*Subtitle C—Navy Programs*

- Sec. 121. *CVN-78 class aircraft carrier program.*  
 Sec. 122. *Repeal of requirements relating to procurement of future surface combatants.*  
 Sec. 123. *Multiyear procurement authority for E-2D aircraft program.*  
 Sec. 124. *Limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.*

*Subtitle D—Air Force Programs*

- Sec. 131. *Repeal of requirement for maintenance of certain retired KC-135E aircraft.*  
 Sec. 132. *Multiyear procurement authority for C-130J aircraft.*  
 Sec. 133. *Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft.*  
 Sec. 134. *Prohibition of procurement of unnecessary C-27J aircraft by the Air Force.*

*Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters*

- Sec. 141. *Personal protection equipment procurement.*  
 Sec. 142. *Repeal of certain F-35 reporting requirements.*  
 Sec. 143. *Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of RQ-4 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems and A-10 aircraft.*

Sec. 144. *MC-12 Liberty Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance aircraft.*

Sec. 145. *Competition for evolved expendable launch vehicle providers.*

Sec. 146. *Reports on personal protection equipment and health and safety risks associated with ejection seats.*

## **Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

### **SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.*

## **Subtitle B—Army Programs**

### **SEC. 111. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR STRYKER VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

(a) *LIMITATION.*—*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for weapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army, for the procurement or upgrade of Stryker vehicles, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Army submits the report under subsection (b).*

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—*The Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the Stryker vehicle spare parts inventory located in Auburn, Washington, cited in the report of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (number 2013-025) dated November 30, 2012. The report submitted under this subsection shall include the following:*

(1) *The status of the implementation by the Secretary of the recommendations specified on pages 30 to 34 of the report by the Inspector General.*

(2) *The value of the parts remaining in warehouse that may still be used by the Secretary for the repair, upgrade, or reset of Stryker vehicles.*

(3) *The value of the parts remaining in the warehouse that are no longer usable by the Secretary for the repair, upgrade, or reset of Stryker vehicles.*

(4) *A cost estimate of the monthly cost of maintaining the inventory of such parts that are no longer usable by the Secretary.*

(5) *Any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.*

### **SEC. 112. STUDY ON MULTIYEAR, MULTIVEHICLE PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TACTICAL VEHICLES.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *budget uncertainty and reduced defense procurements have had negative impacts on the tactical vehicle industrial base; and*

(2) *in such environment, the Army should consider innovative contracting and acquisition strategies to maximize cost savings, improve the sustainment of the tactical vehicle industrial base, and reduce risk during this downturn in defense procurement.*

**(b) STUDY REQUIRED.—**

(1) *STUDY.*—The Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall conduct a study of the desirability and feasibility of requesting legislative authority, in accordance with section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, to enter into one or more multiyear, multivehicle contracts for the procurement of tactical vehicles beginning in fiscal year 2015 or thereafter.

(2) *REPORT.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the possible multiyear, multivehicle contracting options and other innovative contracting options considered in the study under paragraph (1). Such report should include the following:

(A) A business case analysis of a multiyear, multivehicle contract for tactical vehicles, including any potential increases in cost, savings, or risk that may derive from such a contract in comparison to standard contracting methods.

(B) An evaluation of whether the Secretary requires legislative action to enter into such a multiyear, multivehicle contract.

(C) Any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

## **Subtitle C—Navy Programs**

### **SEC. 121. CVN-78 CLASS AIRCRAFT CARRIER PROGRAM.**

(a) *COST LIMITATION BASELINE FOR LEAD SHIP.*—Subsection (a) of section 122 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2104) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) *LIMITATION.*—

“(1) *LEAD SHIP.*—The total amount obligated from funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, or for any other procurement account, for the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-78 may not exceed \$12,887,000,000 (as adjusted pursuant to subsection (b)).

“(2) *FOLLOW-ON SHIPS.*—The total amount obligated from funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, or for any other procurement account, for the construction of any ship that is constructed in the CVN-78 class of aircraft carriers after the lead ship of that class may not exceed \$11,498,000,000 (as adjusted pursuant to subsection (b)).”.

(b) *HULL NUMBER; ADDITIONAL FACTOR FOR ADJUSTMENT OF LIMITATION AMOUNT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “CVN-21” and inserting “CVN-78”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “2006” and inserting “2013”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:  
 “(7) With respect to the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-78, the amounts of increases or decreases in costs of that ship that are attributable solely to an urgent and unforeseen requirement identified as a result of the shipboard test program.”

(2) LIMITATION ON ADJUSTMENT.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) LIMITATION ON SHIPBOARD TEST PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENT.—With respect to using the authority under subsection (b)(7) to adjust the amount set forth in subsection (a)(1) for the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-78 for reasons relating to an urgent and unforeseen requirement identified as a result of the shipboard test program, the Secretary may only use such authority if—

“(1) the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees, that such requirement was not known before the date of the submittal to Congress of the budget for fiscal year 2014 (as submitted pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code);

“(2) the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees, that waiting on an action by Congress to raise the cost cap specified in such subsection (a)(1) to account for such requirement will result in a delay in the delivery of that ship or a delay in the date of initial operating capability of that ship; and

“(3) the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a description of such requirement before the obligation of additional funds pursuant to such authority.”

(c) REQUIREMENTS FOR CVN-79.—Such section is further amended by adding after subsection (e), as added by subsection (b)(2), the following new subsection:

“(f) REQUIREMENTS FOR CVN-79.—

“(1) QUARTERLY COST ESTIMATE.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees on a quarterly basis a report setting forth the most current cost estimate for the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-79 (as estimated by the program manager). Each cost estimate shall include the current percentage of completion of the program, the total costs incurred, and an estimate of costs at completion for ship construction, Government-furnished equipment, and engineering and support costs.

“(2) DIRECTION FOR NEGOTIATING CERTAIN CONTRACTS.—The Secretary shall ensure that each prime contract for the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-79 includes an incentive fee structure that will, throughout the period of performance of the contract, provide incentives for each contractor to meet the portion of the cost of the ship, as limited by subsection (a)(2) and adjusted pursuant to subsection (b), for which the contractor is responsible.”

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 122. ADHERENCE TO NAVY COST ESTIMATES FOR CVN-78 CLASS OF AIRCRAFT CARRIERS.”**

*(e) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 122 and inserting the following:*

*“Sec. 122. Adherence to Navy cost estimates for CVN-78 class of aircraft carriers.”*

**SEC. 122. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PROCUREMENT OF FUTURE SURFACE COMBATANTS.**

*Section 125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2214; 10 U.S.C. 7291 note) is repealed.*

**SEC. 123. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR E-2D AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.**

*(a) AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of E-2D aircraft.*

*(b) CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.*

**SEC. 124. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.**

*(a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for construction or advanced procurement of materials for the Littoral Combat Ships designated as LCS 25 or LCS 26 may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy submits to the congressional defense committees each of the following:*

*(1) The report required by subsection (b)(1).*

*(2) A coordinated determination by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics that successful completion of the test evaluation master plan for both seaframes and each mission module will demonstrate operational effectiveness and operational suitability.*

*(3) A certification that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council—*

*(A) has reviewed the capabilities of the legacy systems that the Littoral Combat Ship is planned to replace and has compared such capabilities to the capabilities to be provided by the Littoral Combat Ship;*

*(B) has assessed the adequacy of the current capabilities development document for the Littoral Combat Ship to meet the requirements of the combatant commands and to address future threats as reflected in the latest assessment by the defense intelligence community; and*

*(C) has either validated the current capabilities development document or directed the Secretary to update the current capabilities development document based on the performance of the Littoral Combat Ship and mission modules to date.*

(4) A report on the expected performance of each seaframe variant and mission module against the current or updated capabilities development document.

(5) Certification that a capability production document will be completed for each mission module before operational testing.

(b) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Naval Operations, in coordination with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the current concept of operations and expected survivability attributes of each of the Littoral Combat Ship seaframes.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall set forth the following:

(A) A review of the current concept of operations of the Littoral Combat Ship and a comparison of such concept of operations with the original concept of operations of the Littoral Combat Ship.

(B) An assessment of the ability of the Littoral Combat Ship to carry out the core missions of the Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower of the Navy.

(C) A comparison of the combat capabilities for the three missions assigned to the Littoral Combat Ship seaframes (anti-surface warfare, mine countermeasures, and anti-submarine warfare) with the combat capabilities for each of such missions of the systems the Littoral Combat Ship is replacing.

(D) An assessment of expected survivability of the Littoral Combat Ship seaframes in the context of the planned employment of the Littoral Combat Ship as described in the concept of operations.

(E) The current status of operational testing for the seaframes and the mission modules of the Littoral Combat Ship.

(F) An updated test and evaluation master plan for the Littoral Combat Ship.

(G) A review of survivability testing, modeling, and simulation conducted to date on the two seaframes of the Littoral Combat Ship.

(H) An updated assessment of the endurance of the Littoral Combat Ship at sea with respect to maintenance, fuel use, and sustainment of crew and mission modules.

(I) An assessment of the adequacy of current ship manning plans for the Littoral Combat Ship and an assessment of the impact that increased manning has on design changes and the endurance of the Littoral Combat Ship.

(J) A list of the casualty reports to date on each Littoral Combat Ship, including a description of the impact of such casualties on the design or ability of that Littoral Combat Ship to perform assigned missions.

(3) *FORM.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in classified form and unclassified form.

## **Subtitle D—Air Force Programs**

### **SEC. 131. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN RETIRED KC-135E AIRCRAFT.**

Section 135 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2114), as amended by section 131 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4377), is amended—

- (1) by striking “(a) LIMITATION.—”; and
- (2) by striking subsection (b).

### **SEC. 132. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR C-130J AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.**—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Air Force may enter into one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of C-130J aircraft for the Department of the Air Force and the Department of the Navy.

(b) **CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.**—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

### **SEC. 133. PROHIBITION ON CANCELLATION OR MODIFICATION OF AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM FOR C-130 AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Air Force may be used to—

- (1) take any action to cancel or modify the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft; or
- (2) initiate an alternative communication, navigation, surveillance, and air traffic management program for C-130 aircraft that is designed or intended to replace the avionics modernization program described in paragraph (1).

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.**—Not later than April 1, 2014, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a sufficiency review of the cost-benefit analysis conducted under section 143(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1662), including any findings and recommendations relating to such review.

### **SEC. 134. PROHIBITION OF PROCUREMENT OF UNNECESSARY C-27J AIRCRAFT BY THE AIR FORCE.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81) for aircraft procurement, Air Force, that remain available to the Secretary of the Air Force on or after the date of the enactment of this Act may be obligated or expended for the procurement of additional C-27J aircraft that are not on contract as of June 1, 2013.

## **Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters**

### **SEC. 141. PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT.**

(a) *CONSOLIDATED BUDGET JUSTIFICATION DISPLAY.*—Chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 235 the following new section:

#### **“§236. Personal protection equipment procurement: display of budget information**

“(a) *BUDGET JUSTIFICATION DISPLAY.*—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress, as a part of the defense budget materials for each fiscal year after fiscal year 2014, a consolidated budget justification display that covers all programs and activities associated with the procurement of personal protection equipment during the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted in that fiscal year under section 221.

“(b) *REQUIREMENTS FOR BUDGET DISPLAY.*—The consolidated budget justification display under subsection (a) for a fiscal year shall include the following:

“(1) The amount for personal protection equipment included in both the base budget of the President and any overseas contingency operations budget of the President.

“(2) A brief description of each category of personal protection equipment for each military department planned to be procured and developed.

“(3) For each category planned to be procured using funds made available for operation and maintenance (whether under the base budget or any overseas contingency operations budget)—

“(A) the relevant appropriations account, budget activity, and subactivity group for the category; and

“(B) the funding profile for the fiscal year as requested, including cost and quantities, and an estimate of projected investments or procurements for each of the subsequent five fiscal years.

“(4) For each category planned to be developed using funds made available for research, development, test, and evaluation (whether under the base budget or any overseas contingency operations budget)—

“(A) the relevant appropriations account, program, project or activity; program element number, and line number; and

“(B) the funding profile for the fiscal year as requested and an estimate of projected investments for each of the subsequent five fiscal years.

“(c) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

“(1) The terms ‘budget’ and ‘defense budget materials’ have the meaning given those terms in section 234 of this title.

“(2) The term ‘category of personal protection equipment’ means the following:

“(A) Body armor components.

“(B) Combat helmets.

“(C) Combat protective eyewear.

“(D) Other items as determined appropriate by the Secretary.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—*The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 235 the following new item:*

“236. *Personal protection equipment procurement: display of budget information.*”.

**SEC. 142. REPEAL OF CERTAIN F-35 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

*Section 122 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4157) is amended—*

(1) *by striking subsection (b); and*

(2) *by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).*

**SEC. 143. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF RQ-4 GLOBAL HAWK UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS AND A-10 AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to make significant changes to manning levels with respect to covered aircraft or to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage a covered aircraft.*

(2) **COVERED AIRCRAFT.**—*In this subsection, the term “covered aircraft” means the following:*

(A) *A-10 aircraft (except for such aircraft that the Secretary of the Air Force, as of April 9, 2013, plans to retire).*

(B) *RQ-4 Block 30 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems.*

(b) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF CERTAIN A-10 AIRCRAFT.**—*In addition to the limitation in subsection (a)(1), during the period preceding December 31, 2014, the Secretary of the Air Force may not retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage A-10 aircraft (except for such aircraft that the Secretary, as of April 9, 2013, plans to retire).*

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on all high-altitude airborne intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems operated, or planned for future operation, by the Department of Defense.*

(2) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—*The report under paragraph (1) shall include—*

(A) *the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities of each high-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance system covered by the report;*

(B) *the plans to upgrade such capabilities in the future;*

(C) *the fully-burdened cost-per-flight-hour of each such system;*

(D) *the number of requests for each such system made by commanders of the combatant commands during the five-*

year period prior to the report, including the percentage of such requests that have been fulfilled to meet the requirements of such commanders;

(E) a description of the assumptions used by the Secretary in carrying out this subsection; and

(F) any other information that the Secretary considers appropriate with respect to the analysis of high-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems.

(3) *FORM.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(4) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(d) *CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect the requirement to maintain the operational capability of RQ-4 Block 30 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems under section 154(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1666).

**SEC. 144. MC-12 LIBERTY INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT.**

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Beginning on the date that is 60 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report under subsection (d)(1), the Secretary may transfer MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft from the Air Force to the Army in accordance with the plan developed under subsection (b)(1).

(b) *PLAN.*—

(1) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for the potential transfer of MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft from the Air Force to the Army pursuant to subsection (a).

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall—

(A) ensure that any transfer described in such paragraph does not adversely affect ongoing intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance operations, including such operations in Afghanistan;

(B) identify the appropriate size, composition, and configuration of the fleet of MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft required by the Army;

(C) identify the appropriate size, composition, configuration, and disposition of the remaining fleet of MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft required by the Air Force;

(D) provide for the modification of the MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft that are transferred to the Army pursuant to the plan in order to meet the long-term needs of the Army; and

(E) for any aircraft that are so transferred, include a time line for the orderly transfer of the aircraft in a manner consistent with subparagraph (A).

(c) **EFFECT ON OTHER PROGRAMS.**—

(1) **PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PROCUREMENT.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Army may be obligated or expended to procure additional aircraft under the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System program during fiscal year 2014.

(2) **CONVERSION OF AIRCRAFT.**—The Secretary of the Army shall convert aircraft described in paragraph (3) to the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System program configuration to meet the requirements of the Army. The Secretary shall carry out this paragraph using funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2013 or 2014 for the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System program.

(3) **AIRCRAFT DESCRIBED.**—The aircraft described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) MC-12 Liberty intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft of the Air Force that are transferred to the Army pursuant to subsection (a).

(B) Army Medium Altitude Multi-Intelligence intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance C-12 Quick Reaction Capability aircraft.

(d) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than the date on which the budget of the President for fiscal year 2015 is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the plan required by subsection (b)(1).

(2) **FORM.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(3) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 145. COMPETITION FOR EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROVIDERS.**

(a) **PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a plan to implement the new acquisition strategy for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program described in the acquisition decision memorandum dated November 27, 2012.

(2) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The plan to implement the new acquisition strategy for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program under paragraph (1) shall include a general description

of how the Secretary will conduct competition with respect to awarding a contract to certified evolved expendable launch vehicle providers. Such description may include the following with respect to such acquisition strategy:

(A) The proposed cost, schedule, and performance.

(B) Mission assurance activities.

(C) The manner in which the contractor will operate under the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(D) The effect of other contracts in which the contractor is entered into with the Federal Government, including the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch capability contract, the space station commercial resupply services contracts, and other relevant contracts regarding national security space and strategic programs.

(E) Any other areas the Secretary determines appropriate.

(b) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—At the same time that the Secretary issues a draft of the request for proposals with respect to a contract for the evolved expendable launch vehicle provider, the Secretary shall—

(A) submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes the plan under subsection (a)(1); or

(B) provide to such committees a briefing on such plan.

(2) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(C) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

**SEC. 146. REPORTS ON PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EJECTION SEATS.**

(a) **STUDY ON PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct a study to identify and assess cost-effective and efficient alternative means for the procurement and research and development of personal protection equipment that supports and promotes competition and innovation in the personal protection equipment industrial base.

(2) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 120 days after the date on which the contract is entered into under paragraph (1), the federally funded research and development center conducting the study under such paragraph shall submit to the Secretary the study, including any findings and recommendations.

(3) **REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary receives the study under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense

committees a report that includes the study under paragraph (1), the matters described in subparagraph (B), and any related findings, recommendations, comments, and plans of the Secretary.

(B) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The report under subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

(i) *The findings and recommendations of the federally funded research and development center submitted to the Secretary under paragraph (2).*

(ii) *An assessment of current and future technologies that could markedly improve body armor, including by decreasing weight, increasing survivability, and making other relevant improvements.*

(iii) *An analysis of the capability of the personal protection equipment industrial base to leverage such technologies to produce the next generation body armor.*

(iv) *An assessment of alternative body armor acquisition models, including different types of contracting and budgeting practices of the Department of Defense.*

(4) *PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT.*—In this subsection, the term “personal protection equipment” includes—

(A) *body armor components;*

(B) *combat helmets;*

(C) *combat protective eyewear;*

(D) *environmental and fire-resistant clothing; and*

(E) *other individual equipment items as determined appropriate by the Secretary.*

(b) *REPORT ON HEALTH AND SAFETY RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EJECTION SEATS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the risks to the health and safety of members of the Armed Forces of the ejection seats currently in operational use by the Air Force.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) *An assessment of whether aircrew members wearing advanced helmets, night vision systems, helmet-mounted cueing system, or other helmet-mounted devices or attachments are at increased risk of serious injury or death during a high-speed ejection sequence.*

(B) *An analysis of how ejection seats currently in operational use provide protection against head, neck, and spinal cord injuries during an ejection sequence.*

(C) *An analysis of initiatives to decrease the risk of death or serious injury during an ejection sequence.*

(D) *The status of any testing or qualifications on upgraded ejection seats that may reduce the risk of death or serious injury during an ejection sequence.*

## **TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

### *Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.*

### *Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations*

- Sec. 211. Modification of requirements on biennial strategic plan for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.*
- Sec. 212. Limitation on availability of funds for ground combat vehicle engineering and manufacturing phase.*
- Sec. 213. Limitation and reporting requirements for unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program.*
- Sec. 214. Limitation on availability of funds for Air Force logistics transformation.*
- Sec. 215. Limitation on availability of funds for defensive cyberspace operations of the Air Force.*
- Sec. 216. Limitation on availability of funds for precision extended range munition program.*
- Sec. 217. Long-range standoff weapon requirement; prohibition on availability of funds for noncompetitive procedures for offensive anti-surface warfare weapon contracts of the Navy.*
- Sec. 218. Review of software development for F-35 aircraft.*
- Sec. 219. Evaluation and assessment of the distributed common ground system.*
- Sec. 220. Operationally responsive space.*
- Sec. 221. Sustainment or replacement of Blue Devil intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities.*

### *Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs*

- Sec. 231. Improvements to acquisition accountability reports on ballistic missile defense system.*
- Sec. 232. Prohibition on use of funds for MEADS program.*
- Sec. 233. Prohibition on availability of funds for integration of certain missile defense systems; report on regional ballistic missile defense.*
- Sec. 234. Availability of funds for co-production of Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system in the United States.*
- Sec. 235. Additional missile defense radar for the protection of the United States homeland.*
- Sec. 236. Evaluation of options for future ballistic missile defense sensor architectures.*
- Sec. 237. Plans to improve the ground-based midcourse defense system.*
- Sec. 238. Report on potential future homeland ballistic missile defense options.*
- Sec. 239. Briefings on status of implementation of certain missile defense matters.*
- Sec. 240. Sense of Congress and report on NATO and missile defense burden-sharing.*
- Sec. 241. Sense of Congress on deployment of regional ballistic missile defense capabilities.*
- Sec. 242. Sense of Congress on procurement of capability enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle.*

### *Subtitle D—Reports*

- Sec. 251. Annual Comptroller General report on the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.*
- Sec. 252. Annual Comptroller General of the United States report on the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter.*
- Sec. 253. Report on strategy to improve body armor.*

### *Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 261. Establishment of Communications Security Review and Advisory Board.*
- Sec. 262. Extension and expansion of mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions.*
- Sec. 263. Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements.*

- Sec. 264. *Five-year extension of pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems.*
- Sec. 265. *Briefing on biometrics activities of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 266. *Sense of Congress on importance of aligning common missile compartment of Ohio-class replacement program with the United Kingdom's Vanguard successor program.*
- Sec. 267. *Sense of Congress on counter-electronics high power microwave missile project.*

## **Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

### **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified in the funding table in section 4201.*

## **Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations**

### **SEC. 211. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS ON BIENNIAL STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY.**

*(a) ELEMENTS OF STRATEGIC PLAN.—Subsection (b) of section 2352 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:*

*“(1) The strategic objectives of that agency, and the linkage between such objectives and the missions of the armed forces.”;*

*(2) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “goals” and inserting “objectives”;*

*(3) by striking paragraph (3);*

*(4) by redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively; and*

*(5) in paragraph (3), as redesignated by paragraph (4) of this subsection, by striking “for the programs of that agency” and inserting “for programs demonstrating military systems to one or more of the armed forces”.*

*(b) RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUBMISSION OF PLAN.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “Secretary of Defense shall” and inserting “Director shall, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics,”.*

*(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to biennial strategic plans submitted under section 2352 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by this section, after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

### **SEC. 212. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR GROUND COMBAT VEHICLE ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING PHASE.**

*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Army may be obligated or expended for post-Milestone B engineering and manufacturing phase development activities for the ground combat vehicle program until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date*

on which the Secretary of the Army submits to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) An independent assessment of the draft milestone B documentation for the ground combat vehicle that—

(A) is performed by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, or other similar official; and

(B) analyzes whether there is a sufficient business case to proceed with the engineering and manufacturing development phase for the ground combat vehicle using only one contractor.

(2) A certification by the Secretary that the ground combat vehicle program has—

(A) feasible, fully defined, and stable requirements;

(B) been demonstrated in a relevant environment in accordance with section 2366b(a)(3)(D) of title 10, United States Code, and achieved technology readiness or maturity;

(C) independent and high-confidence cost estimates;

(D) sufficient funding available during fiscal year 2014 and sufficient funding planned for the period covered by the current future-years defense plan; and

(E) a realistic and achievable schedule.

**SEC. 213. LIMITATION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR UNMANNED CARRIER-LAUNCHED SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

(a) **LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF AIR VEHICLES.**—The Secretary of Defense may not acquire more than six air vehicles of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system prior to receiving milestone B approval (as defined in section 2366(e)(7) of title 10, United States Code) for engineering and manufacturing development and low-rate initial production.

(b) **QUARTERLY COST REPORTS.**—Beginning 90 days after the date on which the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system receives milestone A approval, and each 90-day period thereafter until such system receives milestone B approval, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes, at a minimum—

(1) the current cost estimate and schedule, as of the date of the report, for all segments of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program;

(2) any changes to such cost estimate or schedule from the previous report; and

(3) an explanation for any changes to the cost estimate or schedule or to the key performance parameters or key system attributes used for such program.

(c) **BUDGET DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENT.**—In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2015, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall include individual project lines for each program segment of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system, within program element 0604404N, that articulate all costs, contractual actions, and

other information associated with technology development for each such program segment.

(d) ANNUAL GAO REVIEW.—

(1) REVIEW.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall annually conduct a review of the acquisition program for the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than March 1 of each year, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review under paragraph (1).

(3) ELEMENTS.—Each report under paragraph (2) shall include such matters as the Comptroller General considers appropriate to fully inform the congressional defense committees of the status of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program. Such matters should include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) The extent to which the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program is meeting cost, schedule, and performance goals.

(B) The progress and results of developmental testing.

(C) An assessment of the acquisition strategy for the program, including whether the strategy is consistent with acquisition management best practices identified by the Comptroller General for the purposes of the program.

(4) SUNSET.—The Comptroller General shall carry out this subsection until the earlier of—

(A) the date on which the Secretary of the Navy awards a contract for the full-rate production of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system; or

(B) the date on which the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program is terminated.

**SEC. 214. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR AIR FORCE LOGISTICS TRANSFORMATION.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for procurement, Air Force, or research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for logistics information technology, including for the expeditionary combat support system, not more than 85 percent may be obligated or expended until the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary will modernize and update the logistics information technology systems of the Air Force following the cancellation of the expeditionary combat support system. Such report shall include—

(1) a detailed strategy and timeline for implementing the recommendations from the Expeditionary Combat Support System Acquisition Investigation Review Team Final Report; and

(2) a description of the near-term options for maintaining or incrementally modernizing the logistics information technology systems of the Air Force until a replacement for the expeditionary combat support system can be determined.

**SEC. 215. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for pro-

curement, Air Force, or research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for Defensive Cyberspace Operations (Program Element 0202088F), not more than 90 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of how the Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence is used to support the software assurance activities of the Air Force and other elements of the Department of Defense, including pursuant to section 933 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 2224 note).

(2) A description of the resources used to support the Center of Excellence from the beginning of the Center through fiscal year 2014.

(3) The plan of the Secretary for sustaining the Center of Excellence during the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted in 2013 under section 221 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 216. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PRECISION EXTENDED RANGE MUNITION PROGRAM.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended for the precision extended range munition program until the date on which the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff submits to the congressional defense committees written certification that—

(1) such program is necessary to meet a valid operational need that cannot be met by the existing precision guided mortar munition of the Army, other indirect fire weapons, or aerial-delivered joint fires; and

(2) a sufficient business case exists to proceed with the development and production of such program.

**SEC. 217. LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON REQUIREMENT; PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR NONCOMPETITIVE PROCEDURES FOR OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON CONTRACTS OF THE NAVY.**

(a) **LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a follow-on air-launched cruise missile to the AGM–86 that—

(A) achieves initial operating capability for conventional missions prior to the retirement of the conventionally armed AGM–86;

(B) achieves initial operating capability for nuclear missions prior to the retirement of the nuclear-armed AGM–86; and

(C) is capable of internal carriage and employment for both conventional and nuclear missions on the next-generation long-range strike bomber.

(2) **CONSECUTIVE DEVELOPMENT.**—In developing a follow-on air-launched cruise missile to the AGM–86 in accordance with

paragraph (1), the Secretary may carry out development and production activities with respect to nuclear missions prior to carrying out such activities with respect to conventional missions if the Secretary determines such consecutive order of development and production activities to be cost effective.

(b) **OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON CONTRACTS OF THE NAVY.**—

(1) **PROHIBITION.**—Except as provided by paragraph (2), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the offensive anti-surface warfare weapon may be used to enter into or modify a contract using procedures other than competitive procedures (as defined in section 2302(2) of title 10, United States Code).

(2) **EXEMPTION; WAIVER.**—

(A) **EXEMPTED ACTIVITIES.**—The prohibition in paragraph (1) shall not apply to funds specified in such paragraph that are made available for the development, testing, and fielding of aircraft-launched offensive anti-surface warfare weapons capabilities.

(B) **NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition in paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

**SEC. 218. REVIEW OF SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT FOR F-35 AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **REVIEW.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall establish an independent team consisting of subject matter experts to review the development of software for the F-35 aircraft program (in this subsection referred to as the “software development program”), including by reviewing the progress made with respect to—

(A) managing the software development program; and

(B) delivering critical software capability in accordance with current program milestones.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 3, 2014, the Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review under paragraph (1). Such report shall include the following:

(A) An assessment by the independent team with respect to whether the software development program—

(i) has been successful in meeting the key milestone dates occurring before the date of the report; and

(ii) will be successful in meeting the established program schedule.

(B) Any recommendations of the independent team with respect to improving the software development program to ensure that, in support of the start of initial operational testing, the established program schedule is met on time.

(C) If the independent team determines that the software development program will be unable to deliver the full complement of software within the established program schedule, any potential alternatives that the independent team considers appropriate to deliver such software within such schedule.

(b) *AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM SUSTAINMENT REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary, in consultation with the Joint Strike Fighter Joint Program Office, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on current plans, as of the date of the report, for long-term sustainment of the autonomic logistics information system of F-35 aircraft. Such report shall include the following:

(1) Current plans for acquisition of technical data rights to autonomic logistics information system software and the potential competitive sustainment of elements of the autonomic logistics information system.

(2) How sustainment of the autonomic logistics information system may take advantage of public-private partnerships authorized by section 2474 of title 10, United States Code, including schedules for actions necessary for such sustainment.

(3) Any current plan to select, designate, and activate any Government-owned and Government-operated site to serve as the autonomic logistics operating unit.

(4) Current plans to ensure that the autonomic logistics information system provides total asset visibility and accountability, including asset valuation and tracking, and for potential integration with other automated logistics systems.

**SEC. 219. EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEM.**

(a) *PROJECT CODES FOR BUDGET SUBMISSIONS.*—In the budget submitted by the President to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year, each capability component within the distributed common ground system program shall be set forth as a separate project code within the program element line, and each covered official shall submit supporting justification for the project code within the program element descriptive summary.

(b) *ANALYSIS.*—

(1) *REQUIREMENT.*—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall conduct an analysis of capability components that are compliant with the intelligence community data standards and could be used to meet the requirements of the distributed common ground system program.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The analysis required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Revalidation of the distributed common ground system program requirements based on current program needs, recent operational experience, and the requirement for nonproprietary solutions that adhere to open-architecture principles.

(B) Market research of current commercially available tools to determine whether any such tools could potentially satisfy the requirements described in subparagraph (A).

(C) Analysis of the competitive acquisition options for any tools identified in subparagraph (B).

(3) *SUBMISSION.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary shall submit to

*the congressional defense committees the results of the analysis conducted under paragraph (1).*

(c) **COVERED OFFICIAL DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “covered official” means the following:*

(1) *The Secretary of the Army, with respect to matters concerning the Army.*

(2) *The Secretary of the Navy, with respect to matters concerning the Navy.*

(3) *The Secretary of the Air Force, with respect to matters concerning the Air Force.*

(4) *The Commandant of the Marine Corps, with respect to matters concerning the Marine Corps.*

(5) *The Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, with respect to matters concerning the United States Special Operations Command.*

**SEC. 220. OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE.**

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *it remains the policy of the United States, as expressed in section 913(a) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2355), to demonstrate, acquire, and deploy an effective capability for operationally responsive space to support military users and operations from space, which shall consist of—*

(A) *responsive satellite payloads and busses built to common technical standards;*

(B) *low-cost space launch vehicles and supporting range operations that facilitate the timely launch and on-orbit operations of satellites;*

(C) *responsive command and control capabilities; and*

(D) *concepts of operations, tactics, techniques, and procedures that permit the use of responsive space assets for combat and military operations other than war; and*

(2) *the Operationally Responsive Space Program Office has demonstrated through multiple launches since 2009 an ability to accomplish many of the policy objectives of the Operationally Responsive Space Program through specific missions, but has not executed a mission that leverages all policy objectives of such Program in a single mission.*

(b) **LIMITATION.**—*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for the space-based infrared systems space modernization initiative wide-field-of-view testbed, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until the Executive Agent for Space of the Department of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary of Defense is carrying out the Operationally Responsive Space Program Office in accordance with section 2273a of title 10, United States Code.*

(c) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Executive Agent for Space of the Department of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report regarding a potential mission that would seek to leverage all policy objectives of the Operationally Responsive Space Program in a single mission.*

**SEC. 221. SUSTAINMENT OR REPLACEMENT OF BLUE DEVIL INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE CAPABILITIES.**

(a) *PLAN TO RETAIN CAPABILITY.*—*The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a plan to sustain the operational capabilities of the Blue Devil 1 Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Systems (in this section referred to as “Blue Devil 1 system”), including precision signal geolocation, by—*

- (1) *procuring the existing Blue Devil 1 system;*
- (2) *developing a new system; or*
- (3) *basing a new system on capabilities that are adapted and integrated from existing programs and programs being developed.*

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on—*

- (1) *the potential cost of procuring, operating, and sustaining current Blue Devil 1 systems for fiscal years 2014 through 2019, including costs relating to procurement, research and development, personnel, operation and maintenance, and military construction;*
- (2) *the ability of other current platforms and subsystems as of the date of the report to provide intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support similar to the support provided by the current Blue Devil 1 system; and*
- (3) *a listing of programs of the Air Force and other programs of the Department of Defense in development as of the date of the report that could provide such similar support in the future.*

(c) *REQUIREMENT TO COORDINATE.*—*In preparing the report under subsection (b), the Secretary shall—*

- (1) *coordinate with the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command regarding the operational needs of the United States Special Operations Command; and*
- (2) *coordinate with the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency with respect to information regarding the transfer to the Air Force of the technology developed under the wide-area network detection program for operational integration of wide-area motion imagery and near-vertical direction-finding data for effective target detection, identification, and tracking for potential incorporation, as practical and appropriate, into other platforms.*

(d) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—*In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

- (1) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*
- (2) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

## **Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs**

### **SEC. 231. IMPROVEMENTS TO ACQUISITION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS ON BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.**

(a) *IMPROVEMENT TO OPERATIONS AND SUSTAINMENT COST ESTIMATES.*—*In preparing the acquisition accountability reports on the ballistic missile defense system required by section 225 of title 10, United States Code, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall improve the quality of cost estimates relating to operations and sustainment that are included in such reports under subsection (b)(3)(A) of such section, including with respect to the confidence levels of such cost estimates.*

(b) *OPERATIONS AND SUSTAINMENT RESPONSIBILITY.*—*Section 225 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*“(e) OPERATIONS AND SUSTAINMENT COST ESTIMATES.—The Director shall ensure that each life-cycle cost estimate included in an acquisition baseline pursuant to subsection (b)(3)(A) includes—*

*“(1) all of the operations and sustainment costs for which the Director is responsible; and*

*“(2) a description of the operations and sustainment functions and costs for which a military department is responsible.”.*

(c) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report outlining the plans of the Director to improve the quality of cost estimates pursuant to subsection (a).*

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—*The report under paragraph (1) shall include—*

*(A) a description of the actions planned to improve the quality of cost estimates included in the acquisition accountability reports on the ballistic missile defense system required by section 225 of title 10, United States Code;*

*(B) the schedule for such planned actions, including the planned schedule for meeting the requirements of subsection (e) of such section 225, as added by subsection (b);*

*(C) a description of any steps taken during the previous year to improve the quality of such cost estimates;*

*(D) an assessment of how the planned improvements compare to the best practices and cost-estimation guidelines recommended by the Comptroller General of the United States for cost estimates of the ballistic missile defense system;*

*(E) any other matters the Director considers appropriate; and*

*(F) the views of the Comptroller General of the United States with respect to the contents of the report.*

(3) *FORM.*—*The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form.*

### **SEC. 232. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR MEADS PROGRAM.**

*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of*

*Defense may be obligated or expended for the medium extended air defense system.*

**SEC. 233. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INTEGRATION OF CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS; REPORT ON REGIONAL BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.**

**(a) PROHIBITION ON INTEGRATION OF CERTAIN SYSTEMS.—**

*(1) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that missile defense systems of the People’s Republic of China should not be integrated into the missile defense systems of the United States or the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*

*(2) PROHIBITION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to integrate missile defense systems of the People’s Republic of China into missile defense systems of the United States.*

**(b) REPORT ON REGIONAL BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.—**

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status and progress of regional missile defense programs and efforts.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) A description of the overall risk assessment from the most recent Global Ballistic Missile Defense Assessment of regional missile defense capabilities relative to meeting the operational needs of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands, including the need for force protection of forward-deployed forces and capabilities of the United States and for the defense of allies and partners of the United States.*

*(B) An assessment of whether and how the currently planned phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe and other planned regional missile defense approaches and capabilities of the United States meet the integrated priorities of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands to achieve the operational requirements of the commanders to defend against the ballistic missile threat to deployed forces of the United States and allies of the United States, including a description of planned force structure deployment options to increase missile defense capabilities in the area of responsibility of a commander, if needed, in the event of warning of an imminent ballistic missile attack.*

*(C) A detailed explanation of the current and planned concept of operations for the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe, including—*

*(i) arrangements for allocating the command of assets of such approach between the Commander of the United States European Command and the Supreme Allied Commander, Europe;*

*(ii) an explanation of the circumstances under which such command would be allocated to each commander; and*

(iii) a description of the prioritization of defense of both the deployed forces of the United States and the territory of the member states of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization using available missile defense interceptor inventory.

(D) A description of the progress made in the development and testing of elements of systems intended for deployment in phases 2 and 3 of the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe, including the standard missile-3 block IB, the standard missile-3 block IIA interceptors, and the Aegis Ashore system, and any areas where work remains to ensure such phases are ready for deployment as specified in the 2010 Ballistic Missile Defense Review.

(E) A description of the manner in which elements of regional missile defense architectures, such as forward-based X-band radars in Japan, Israel, Turkey, and the area of responsibility of the Commander of the United States Central Command, contribute to the enhancement of the homeland defense of the United States.

(F) A description of the manner in which enhanced integration of offensive military capabilities and defensive missile defense capabilities, including the potential for improved intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance, will fit into regional missile defense planning and force structure assessments.

(G) A description of how the contributions of allies and partners of the United States that have purchased missile defense technology of the United States could aid in reducing the costs of deployment of regional missile defense capabilities of the United States, and how the systems of such allies and partners could be better networked and integrated to provide mutual force multiplication benefits.

(H) A description of how the Secretary of Defense is working with allies and partners of the United States that have purchased air and missile defense technology of the United States to integrate the capabilities of such allies and partners provided by such technology with the air and missile defense systems and networks of the United States to provide mutual benefit.

(I) Any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

(3) *FORM.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 234. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CO-PRODUCTION OF IRON DOME SHORT-RANGE ROCKET DEFENSE SYSTEM IN THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*— Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, for the Missile Defense Agency, not more than \$15,000,000 may be obligated or expended for nonrecurring engineering costs in con-

nection with the establishment of a capacity for co-production in the United States by industry of the United States of parts and components for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program. Such obligation or expenditure shall be made pursuant to an agreement described in paragraph (2).

(2) *AGREEMENT DESCRIBED.*—An agreement described in this paragraph is an agreement entered into by the Government of the United States and the Government of Israel with respect to the co-production in the United States of parts and components for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program.

(b) *REPORT ON CO-PRODUCTION.*—Not later than 30 days after obligating or expending funds specified in subsection (a), the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan to implement an agreement described in paragraph (2) of such subsection, including the following:

(1) A description of the estimated cost of implementing the agreement, including the costs to be paid by industry.

(2) The expected schedule to implement the agreement.

(3) A description of any efforts to minimize the costs of the agreement to the Government of the United States.

(c) *REPORT ON MISSILE DEFENSE COOPERATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of missile defense cooperation between the United States and Israel.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the current program of ballistic missile defense cooperation between the United States and Israel, including the objectives and results of such cooperation as of the date of the report.

(B) A description of steps taken during the year prior to the report, and steps planned to be taken during the year following the report, by the governments of the United States and Israel to improve the coordination, interoperability, and integration of the missile defense capabilities of the United States and Israel.

(C) A description of joint missile defense exercises and training that have been conducted by the United States and Israel, and the lessons learned from such exercises.

(D) A description of joint efforts of the United States and Israel to develop ballistic missile defense technologies and capabilities.

(E) Any other matters that the Secretary considers appropriate.

(d) *CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or affect the procurement schedule, or anticipated procurement numbers, under the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program.

(e) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) second-source production of parts and components of the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program that is based in

*the United States is in the national security interest of both Israel and the United States; and*

*(2) the move towards such a second-source capacity in the United States for integration and assembly of all-up rounds of the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program will further enhance the security of Israel by ensuring added production capability of such vital program.*

**SEC. 235. ADDITIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE UNITED STATES HOMELAND.**

**(a) DEPLOYMENT OF LONG-RANGE DISCRIMINATING RADAR.—**

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall deploy a long-range discriminating radar against long-range ballistic missile threats from the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea. Such radar shall be located at a location optimized to support the defense of the homeland of the United States.*

*(2) FUNDING.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, for the Missile Defense Agency for BMD Sensors (PE 63884C), as specified in the funding table in section 4201, \$30,000,000 shall be available for initial costs toward the deployment of the radar required by paragraph (1).*

**(b) ADDITIONAL SENSOR COVERAGE FOR THREATS FROM IRAN.—**

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Secretary is able to deploy additional tracking and discrimination sensor capabilities to support the defense of the homeland of the United States from future long-range ballistic missile threats that emerge from Iran.*

*(2) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that details what sensor capabilities of the United States, including re-locatable land- and sea-based capabilities, are or will become available to support the defense of the homeland of the United States from future long-range ballistic missile threats that emerge from Iran. Such report shall include the following:*

*(A) With respect to the capabilities included in the report, an identification of such capabilities that can be located on the Atlantic-side of the United States by not later than 2019, or sooner if long-range ballistic missile threats from Iran are successfully flight-tested prior to 2019.*

*(B) A description of the manner in which the United States will maintain such capabilities so as to ensure the deployment of the capabilities in time to support the missile defense of the United States from long-range ballistic missile threats from Iran.*

**SEC. 236. EVALUATION OF OPTIONS FOR FUTURE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR ARCHITECTURES.**

**(a) EVALUATION REQUIRED.—**

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall conduct an evaluation of options and alternatives for future sensor architectures for ballistic missile defense in order to en-*

hance the ballistic missile defense capabilities of the United States.

(2) *CONSULTATION.*—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with the heads of departments and agencies of the Federal Government that the Secretary determines appropriate.

(3) *SCOPE OF EVALUATION.*—In conducting the evaluation under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider the following:

(A) A wide range of options for a future sensor architecture for ballistic missile defense, including—

(i) options regarding the future development, integration, exploitation, and deployment of existing or new missile defense sensor systems and assets; and

(ii) options regarding using capabilities of the Federal Government that exist or are planned as of the date of the evaluation that are not primarily focused on missile defense, including such capabilities that may require modification to be used for missile defense.

(B) The potential costs, advantages, and feasibility of using such future sensor architecture for purposes other than missile defense, including for technical intelligence collection or space situational awareness.

(C) Whether and how such future sensor architectures could be designed and employed to fulfill missions other than missile defense when not required for such missile defense missions.

(4) *OBJECTIVE.*—The objective of the evaluation shall be to identify one or more future sensor architectures for ballistic missile defense that will result in an improvement of the performance of the ballistic missile defense system in a cost-effective, operationally effective, timely, and affordable manner.

(b) *ELEMENTS TO BE EVALUATED.*—The evaluation required by subsection (a) shall include a consideration of the following:

(1) *SENSOR TYPES.*—At a minimum, the types of sensors as follows:

- (A) Radar.
- (B) Infrared.
- (C) Optical and electro-optical.
- (D) Directed energy.

(2) *SENSOR MODES.*—Deployment modes of sensors as follows:

- (A) Ground-based sensors.
- (B) Sea-based sensors.
- (C) Airborne sensors.
- (D) Space-based sensors.

(3) *SENSOR FUNCTIONS.*—At a minimum, missile defense-related sensor functions as follows:

- (A) Detection.
- (B) Tracking.
- (C) Characterization.
- (D) Classification.
- (E) Discrimination.
- (F) Debris mitigation.
- (G) Kill assessment.

(4) *SENSOR ARCHITECTURE CAPABILITIES.*—At a minimum, maximization or improvement of sensor-related capabilities as follows:

- (A) Handling of increasing raid sizes.
- (B) Precision tracking of threat missiles.
- (C) Providing fire-control quality tracks of evolving threat missiles.
- (D) Enabling launch-on-remote and engage-on-remote capabilities.
- (E) Discriminating lethal objects (warheads) from other objects.
- (F) Effectively assessing the results of engagements.
- (G) Enabling enhanced shot doctrine.
- (H) Other capabilities that the Secretary of Defense determines appropriate.

(c) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the results of the evaluation required by subsection (a).

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Secretary with respect to—

- (A) future sensor architectures evaluated under subsection (a)(3)(A)(i).
- (B) existing or planned capabilities of the Federal Government evaluated under subsection (a)(3)(A)(ii);
- (C) using future sensor architecture for additional purposes as described in subsection (a)(3)(B); and
- (D) the design and employment of future sensor architectures to fulfill missions other than missile defense as described in subsection (a)(3)(C).

(3) *FORM.*—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) *CONFORMING REPEAL.*—Section 224 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1675) is repealed.

**SEC. 237. PLANS TO IMPROVE THE GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SYSTEM.**

(a) *IMPROVED KILL ASSESSMENT CAPABILITY.*—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command and the Commander of the United States Northern Command, shall develop—

(1) options to achieve an improved kill assessment capability for the ground-based midcourse defense system that can be developed as soon as practicable with acceptable acquisition risk, with the objective of achieving initial operating capability by not later than December 31, 2019, including by improving—

- (A) the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the ground-based interceptor;
- (B) the command, control, battle management, and communications system; and
- (C) the sensor and communications architecture of the ballistic missile defense system; and

(2) a plan to carry out such options that gives priority to including such improved capabilities in at least some of the 14 ground-based interceptors that will be procured by the Director, as announced by the Secretary of Defense on March 15, 2013.

(b) *IMPROVED HIT ASSESSMENT.*—The Director, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command and the Commander of the United States Northern Command, shall take appropriate steps to develop an interim capability for improved hit assessment for the ground-based midcourse defense system that can be integrated into near-term exo-atmospheric kill vehicle upgrades and refurbishment.

(c) *REPORT ON IMPROVED CAPABILITIES.*—Not later than April 1, 2014, the Director, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, and the Commander of the United States Northern Command shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report on—

(1) the development of an improved kill assessment capability under subsection (a), including the plan developed under paragraph (2) of such subsection; and

(2) the development of an interim capability for improved hit assessment under subsection (b).

(d) *PLAN FOR UPGRADED ENHANCED EXO-ATMOSPHERIC KILL VEHICLE.*—

(1) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to use covered funding to develop, test, and deploy an upgraded enhanced exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the ground-based midcourse defense system that—

(A) is tested under a test program coordinated with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation; and

(B) following such test program, is capable of being deployed during fiscal year 2018 or thereafter.

(2) *PRIORITY.*—In developing the plan for an upgraded enhanced exo-atmospheric kill vehicle under paragraph (1), the Director shall give priority to the following attributes:

(A) Cost effectiveness and high reliability, testability, producibility, modularity, and maintainability.

(B) Capability across the midcourse battle space.

(C) Ability to leverage ballistic missile defense system data with kill vehicle on-board capability to discriminate lethal objects.

(D) Reliable on-demand communications.

(E) Sufficient flexibility to ensure that the potential for future enhancements, including ballistic missile defense system interceptor commonality and multiple and volume kill capability, is maintained.

(3) *COVERED FUNDING DEFINED.*—In this subsection, the term “covered funding” means—

(A) funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Missile Defense Agency, as specified in the funding table in section 4201; and

*(B) funds authorized to be appropriated by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2013 that are available to the Director to carry out the plan under paragraph (1).*

**SEC. 238. REPORT ON POTENTIAL FUTURE HOMELAND BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE OPTIONS.**

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 240 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on potential future options for enhancing the ballistic missile defense of the homeland of the United States.*

*(b) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall prepare the report under subsection (a) in consultation with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, the Commander of the United States Northern Command, and the Director of the Missile Defense Agency.*

*(c) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) A description of the current assessment of the threat to the United States from limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate), particularly from countries such as North Korea and Iran, and an assessment of the projected future threat through 2022, including a discussion of confidence levels and uncertainties in such threat assessment.*

*(2) A description of the current capability of the ballistic missile defense of the homeland of the United States to defend against the current threat of limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate), particularly from countries such as North Korea and Iran.*

*(3) A description of the status of efforts to correct the problems that caused the flight test failures of the ground-based midcourse defense system in December 2010 and July 2013 and plans for future efforts, including additional flight testing, to demonstrate that the problems have been successfully corrected.*

*(4) A description of planned improvements to the current ballistic missile defense system of the homeland of the United States, and the enhancements to the capability of such system that would result from such planned improvements, including—*

*(A) deployment of 14 additional ground-based interceptors at Fort Greely, Alaska;*

*(B) missile defense upgrades of early warning radars at Clear, Alaska, and Cape Cod, Massachusetts;*

*(C) deployment of an in-flight interceptor communications system data terminal at Fort Drum, New York; and*

*(D) improvements to the effectiveness and reliability of the ground-based interceptors and the overall ground-based midcourse defense system.*

*(5) In accordance with subsection (d), a description of potential additional future options for the ballistic missile defense of the homeland of the United States, in addition to the improvements described in paragraph (4), if future ballistic missile threats warrant deployment of such options to increase the capabilities of such ballistic missile defense, including—*

(A) deployment of a missile defense interceptor site on the East Coast;

(B) deployment of a missile defense interceptor site in another location in the United States, other than on the East Coast;

(C) expansion of Missile Field-1 at Fort Greely, Alaska, to an operationally available 20-silo configuration, to permit further interceptor deployments;

(D) deployment of additional ground-based interceptors for the ground-based midcourse defense system at Fort Greely, Alaska, or Vandenberg Air Force Base, California, or both;

(E) deployment of additional missile defense sensors, including at a site in Alaska as well as an X-band radar on or near the East Coast or elsewhere, to enhance system tracking and discrimination, including various sensor options;

(F) enhancements to the operational effectiveness, cost effectiveness, and overall performance of the ground-based midcourse defense system through improvements to system reliability, discrimination, battle management, exo-atmospheric kill vehicle capability, and related functions;

(G) the potential for future enhancement and deployment of the standard missile-3 block IIA interceptor to augment the ballistic missile defense of the homeland of the United States;

(H) missile defense options to defend the homeland of the United States against ballistic missiles that could be launched from vessels on the seas around the United States, including the Gulf of Mexico, or other ballistic missile threats that could approach the United States from the south, should such a threat arise in the future; and

(I) any other options the Secretary considers appropriate.

(d) *EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS.*—For each option described under subsection (c)(5), the Secretary shall provide an evaluation of the advantages and disadvantages of such option. The evaluation of each such option shall include consideration of the following:

(1) *Technical feasibility.*

(2) *Operational effectiveness and utility against the projected future threat.*

(3) *Cost, cost effectiveness, and affordability.*

(4) *Schedule considerations.*

(5) *Agility to respond to changes in future threat evolution.*

(e) *CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.*—Based on the evaluations required by subsection (d), the Secretary shall include in the report under subsection (a) such findings, conclusions, and recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate for potential future options for the ballistic missile defense of the homeland of the United States.

(f) *FORM.*—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 239. BRIEFINGS ON STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE MATTERS.**

Not later than 180 days after the completion of the site evaluation study required by subsection (a) of section 227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1678), and again one year after such date, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a detailed briefing on the current status of efforts and plans to implement the requirements of such section, including—

(1) the progress and plans toward preparation of the environmental impact statement required by subsection (b) of such section; and

(2) the development of the contingency plan under subsection (d) of such section for deployment of an additional homeland missile defense interceptor site in case the President determines to proceed with such an additional deployment.

**SEC. 240. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND REPORT ON NATO AND MISSILE DEFENSE BURDEN-SHARING.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that as defense budget resources continue to decline in the United States, including by reason of funding reductions under the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112-25), and the sequestration in effect by reason of such Act, the importance of burden-sharing among members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization for missile defense is increasing.

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the cost of missile defense for members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (in this section referred to as “NATO”), including the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe, and the contributions made by members of NATO for such missile defense.

(c) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The report under subsection (b) shall include the following:

(1) The total estimated cost directly attributable to the various phases of the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe, including costs relating to research, development, testing, and evaluation, procurement, and military construction.

(2) With respect to the cost of missile defense for NATO, including the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe, a description of the level of burden-sharing among members of NATO as of the date of the report, including through contributions made by a member in the form of hosting elements of such approach to missile defense in the territory of the member.

(3) An assessment of, and recommendations for, areas where the Secretary determines that NATO and the members of NATO could improve the burden-sharing among members with respect to the cost of missile defense for NATO described in paragraph (2), including through the possible pooling of missile defense interceptors.

(d) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 241. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DEPLOYMENT OF REGIONAL BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE CAPABILITIES.**

*It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the United States develops and deploys regional ballistic missile defense capabilities to protect the forward-deployed forces, allies, and partners of the United States against regional ballistic missile threats, consistent with the security obligations of the United States and as part of the broader theater security and military plans of the geographic combatant commanders of the United States;*

*(2) in deciding on the deployment of regional missile defense assets and capabilities of the United States, the Secretary of Defense should give priority consideration to the capabilities needed to deter and defend against the ballistic missile threat, including the recommendations of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the priorities of the geographic combatant commanders for meeting the operational needs of the commanders for ballistic missile defense;*

*(3) such deployment decisions should take into account all of the ballistic missile threats to the forces, allies, and partners of the United States in each region;*

*(4) the United States should encourage the allies and partners of the United States to acquire and contribute to integrated and complementary regional ballistic missile defense capabilities—including coordination, data sharing, and networking arrangements—and such allied and partner capabilities should be taken into account in deciding on the deployment of regional missile defense capabilities of the United States; and*

*(5) the United States should cooperate closely with the allies and partners of the United States, including such allies and partners in East Asia, on missile defense deployments and cooperation that enhance the mutual security of the United States and such allies and partners.*

**SEC. 242. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PROCUREMENT OF CAPABILITY ENHANCEMENT II EXOATMOSPHERIC KILL VEHICLE.**

*It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should not procure a Capability Enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle for deployment until after the date on which a successful intercept flight test of the Capability Enhancement II ground-based interceptor has occurred, unless such procurement is for test assets or to maintain a warm line for the industrial base.*

## **Subtitle D—Reports**

**SEC. 251. ANNUAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON THE AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE ACQUISITION PROGRAM.**

*(a) ANNUAL GAO REVIEW.—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on March 1, 2018, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an annual review of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.*

*(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1 of each year beginning in 2014 and ending in 2018, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on*

*the review of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program conducted under subsection (a).*

(2) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—*Each report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

(A) *The extent to which the program is meeting development and procurement cost, schedule, performance, and risk mitigation goals.*

(B) *With respect to meeting the desired initial operational capability and full operational capability dates for the amphibious combat vehicle, the progress and results of—*

(i) *developmental and operational testing of the vehicle; and*

(ii) *plans for correcting deficiencies in vehicle performance, operational effectiveness, reliability, suitability, and safety.*

(C) *An assessment of procurement plans, production results, and efforts to improve manufacturing efficiency and supplier performance.*

(D) *An assessment of the acquisition strategy of the amphibious combat vehicle, including whether such strategy is in compliance with acquisition management best-practices and the acquisition policy and regulations of the Department of Defense.*

(E) *An assessment of the projected operations and support costs and the viability of the Marine Corps to afford to operate and sustain the amphibious combat vehicle.*

(3) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.**—*In submitting to the congressional defense committees the first report under paragraph (1) and a report following any changes made by the Secretary of the Navy to the baseline documentation of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program, the Comptroller General shall include, with respect to such program, an assessment of the sufficiency and objectivity of—*

(A) *the analysis of alternatives;*

(B) *the initial capabilities document; and*

(C) *the capabilities development document.*

**SEC. 252. ANNUAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORT ON THE ACQUISITION PROGRAM FOR THE VXX PRESIDENTIAL HELICOPTER.**

(a) **ANNUAL GAO REVIEW.**—*The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct annually a review of the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter aircraft.*

(b) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than March 1 each year, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review conducted under subsection (a) during the preceding year.*

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—*Each report under paragraph (1) shall include such matters as the Comptroller General considers appropriate to fully inform the congressional defense committees of the stage of the acquisition process for the VXX Presidential Helicopter aircraft covered by the review described in such report. Such matters may include the following:*

- (A) *The extent to which the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter aircraft is meeting cost, schedule, and performance goals.*
- (B) *The progress and results of developmental testing.*
- (C) *An assessment of the acquisition strategy for the program, including whether the strategy is consistent with acquisition management best practices identified by the Comptroller General for purposes of the program.*
- (c) **SUNSET.**—*The requirements in this section shall terminate upon the earlier of—*
  - (1) *the date on which the Navy awards a contract for full-rate production for the VXX Presidential Helicopter aircraft; or*
  - (2) *the date on which the acquisition program for such aircraft is terminated.*

**SEC. 253. REPORT ON STRATEGY TO IMPROVE BODY ARMOR.**

(a) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the comprehensive research and development strategy of the Secretary to achieve significant reductions in the weight of body armor.*

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—*The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

- (1) *A brief description of each solution for body armor weight reduction that is being developed as of the date of the report.*
- (2) *For each such solution—*
  - (A) *the costs, schedules, and performance requirements;*
  - (B) *the research and development funding profile;*
  - (C) *a description of the materials being used in the solution; and*
  - (D) *the feasibility and technology readiness levels of the solution and the materials.*
- (3) *A strategy to provide resources for future research and development of body armor weight reduction.*
- (4) *An explanation of how the Secretary is using a modular or tailorable solution to approach body armor weight reduction.*
- (5) *A description of how the Secretary coordinates the research and development of body armor weight reduction being carried out by the military departments.*
- (6) *Any other matter the Secretary considers appropriate.*
- (c) **FORM.**—*The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

## **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 261. ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY REVIEW AND ADVISORY BOARD.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Chapter 7 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

**“§ 189. Communications Security Review and Advisory Board**

*“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There shall be in the Department of Defense a Communications Security Review and Advisory Board (in this section referred to as the ‘Board’) to review and assess the com-*

communications security, cryptographic modernization, and related key management activities of the Department and provide advice to the Secretary with respect to such activities.

“(b) MEMBERS.—(1) The Secretary shall determine the number of members of the Board.

“(2) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall serve as chairman of the Board.

“(3) The Secretary shall appoint officers in the grade of general or admiral and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the Senior Executive Service to serve as members of the Board.

“(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Board shall—

“(1) monitor the overall communications security, cryptographic modernization, and key management efforts of the Department, including activities under major defense acquisition programs (as defined in section 139c of this title), by—

“(A) requiring each Chief Information Officer of each military department to report the communications security activities of the military department to the Board;

“(B) tracking compliance of each military department with respect to communications security modernization efforts;

“(C) validating lifecycle communications security modernization plans for major defense acquisition programs;

“(2) validate the need to replace cryptographic equipment based on the expiration dates of the equipment and evaluate the risks of continuing to use cryptographic equipment after such expiration dates;

“(3) convene in-depth program reviews for specific cryptographic modernization developments with respect to validating requirements and identifying programmatic risks;

“(4) develop a long-term roadmap for communications security to identify potential issues and ensure synchronization with major planning documents; and

“(5) advise the Secretary on the cryptographic posture of the Department, including budgetary recommendations.

“(d) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN PROGRAMS.—The Board shall not include the consideration of programs funded under the National Intelligence Program (as defined in section 3(6) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(6))) in carrying out this section.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 188 the following new item:

“189. Communications Security Review and Advisory Board”.

**SEC. 262. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF MECHANISMS TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR DEFENSE LABORATORIES FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGIES FOR MILITARY MISSIONS.**

(a) CLARIFICATION OF AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Section 219 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(D), by striking “and recapitalization” through the period at the end and inserting “recapitalization, or minor military construction of the laboratory infrastructure, in accordance with subsection (b).”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the provisions of this subsection, funds available under a mechanism under subsection (a)(1)(D) that are solely intended to carry out a laboratory infrastructure project shall be available for such project until expended.

“(2) **PRIOR NOTICE OF COSTS OF PROJECTS.**—Funds shall be available in accordance with paragraph (1) for a project referred to in such paragraph only if the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees of the total cost of the project before the date on which the Secretary uses a mechanism under subsection (a)(1)(D) for such project.

“(3) **ACCUMULATION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.**—Funds may accumulate under a mechanism under subsection (a) for a project referred to in paragraph (1) for not more than five years.

“(4) **COST LIMIT COMPLIANCE.**—The Secretary shall ensure that a project referred to in paragraph (1) for which funds are made available in accordance with such paragraph complies with the applicable cost limitations in the following provisions of law:

“(A) Section 2805(d) of title 10, United States Code, with respect to revitalization and recapitalization projects.

“(B) Section 2811 of such title, with respect to repair projects.”

(b) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(2) of this section, is amended by striking “September 30, 2016” and inserting “September 30, 2020”.

(c) **APPLICATION.**—Subsection (b) of such section 219, as added by subsection (a)(3), shall apply with respect to funds made available under such section on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 263. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO AWARD PRIZES FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ACHIEVEMENTS.**

Section 2374a(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “September 30, 2018”.

**SEC. 264. FIVE-YEAR EXTENSION OF PILOT PROGRAM TO INCLUDE TECHNOLOGY PROTECTION FEATURES DURING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN DEFENSE SYSTEMS.**

Section 243(d) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by striking “October 1, 2015” and inserting “October 1, 2020”.

**SEC. 265. BRIEFING ON BIOMETRICS ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **BRIEFING REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on an assessment of the future program structure for biometrics oversight and execution and architectural requirements for biometrics-enabling capability.

(b) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The briefing under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) *An assessment of the roles and responsibilities of the principal staff assistant for biometrics, the program manager for biometrics, and the Defense Forensics and Biometrics Agency, including—*

(A) *the roles and responsibilities of each element of the Department of Defense, including each military department, with responsibility for biometrics and each such element that is responsible for requirements and testing regarding biometrics; and*

(B) *whether the executive management responsibilities of the Department of Defense program manager for biometrics should be retained by the Army or transferred to another element of the Department.*

(2) *An assessment of the current requirements for biometrics-enabling capability, including with respect to—*

(A) *a governance process for capturing, vetting, and validating requirements and business processes across military department, interagency, and international partners; and*

(B) *a process to determine resourcing business rules to establish and sustain such capabilities.*

(3) *An evaluation of the most appropriate element of the Department to take responsibility for defining and managing the end-to-end performance of the biometric enterprise, beginning and ending at the point of biometric encounter, as described in the report of the Comptroller General of the United States titled “Defense Biometrics: Additional Training for Leaders and More Timely Transmission of Data Could Enhance the Use of Biometrics in Afghanistan”, numbered 12–442.*

**SEC. 266. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPORTANCE OF ALIGNING COMMON MISSILE COMPARTMENT OF OHIO-CLASS REPLACEMENT PROGRAM WITH THE UNITED KINGDOM’S VANGUARD SUCCESSOR PROGRAM.**

*It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy should make every effort to ensure that the common missile compartment associated with the Ohio-class ballistic missile submarine replacement program stays on schedule and is aligned with the Vanguard-successor program of the United Kingdom in order for the United States to fulfill its longstanding commitment to our ally and partner in sea-based strategic deterrence.*

**SEC. 267. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COUNTER-ELECTRONICS HIGH POWER MICROWAVE MISSILE PROJECT.**

*It is the sense of the Congress that—*

(1) *in carrying out the non-kinetic counter-electronics developmental planning effort of the Air Force, the Secretary of Defense should consider the results of the successful joint technology capability demonstration that the counter-electronics high power microwave missile project conducted in 2012;*

(2) *an analysis of alternatives is an important step in the long-term development of a non-kinetic counter-electronic system;*

(3) *the Secretary should pursue both near- and far-term joint non-kinetic counter-electronic systems; and*

(4) *the counter-electronics high power microwave missile project (or a variant thereof) should be considered among the options for a possible materiel solution in response to any near-term joint urgent operational need, joint emergent operational need, or combatant command integrated priority for a non-kinetic counter-electronic system.*

## **TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

### *Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.*

### *Subtitle B—Energy and Environment*

*Sec. 311. Deadline for submission of reports on proposed budgets for activities relating to operational energy strategy.*

*Sec. 312. Facilitation of interagency cooperation in conservation programs of the Departments of Defense, Agriculture, and Interior to avoid or reduce adverse impacts on military readiness activities.*

*Sec. 313. Reauthorization of Sikes Act.*

*Sec. 314. Clarification of prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits.*

*Sec. 315. Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels.*

### *Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment*

*Sec. 321. Strategic policy for prepositioned materiel and equipment.*

*Sec. 322. Department of Defense manufacturing arsenal study and report.*

*Sec. 323. Consideration of Army arsenals' capabilities to fulfill manufacturing requirements.*

*Sec. 324. Strategic policy for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of operating forces used to support overseas contingency operations.*

*Sec. 325. Littoral Combat Ship Strategic Sustainment Plan.*

*Sec. 326. Strategy for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility.*

### *Subtitle D—Reports*

*Sec. 331. Additional reporting requirements relating to personnel and unit readiness.*

*Sec. 332. Modification of authorities on prioritization of funds for equipment readiness and strategic capability.*

*Sec. 333. Revision to requirement for annual submission of information regarding information technology capital assets.*

*Sec. 334. Modification of annual corrosion control and prevention reporting requirements.*

### *Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority*

*Sec. 341. Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores.*

*Sec. 342. Limitation on performance of Department of Defense flight demonstration teams outside the United States.*

*Sec. 343. Limitation on funding for United States Special Operations Command National Capital Region.*

*Sec. 344. Limitation on availability of funds for Trans Regional Web Initiative.*

### *Subtitle F—Other Matters*

*Sec. 351. Gifts made for the benefit of military musical units.*

*Sec. 352. Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms.*

## **Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

### **SEC. 301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.*

## **Subtitle B—Energy and Environment**

### **SEC. 311. DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF REPORTS ON PROPOSED BUDGETS FOR ACTIVITIES RELATING TO OPERATIONAL ENERGY STRATEGY.**

*Section 138c(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “Not later than 30 days after the date on which the budget for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the proposed budgets for that fiscal year” and inserting “The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the proposed budgets for a fiscal year”; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(6) The report required by paragraph (4) for a fiscal year shall be submitted by the later of the following dates:*

*“(A) The date that is 30 days after the date on which the budget for that fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31.*

*“(B) March 31 of the previous fiscal year.”.*

### **SEC. 312. FACILITATION OF INTERAGENCY COOPERATION IN CONSERVATION PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF DEFENSE, AGRICULTURE, AND INTERIOR TO AVOID OR REDUCE ADVERSE IMPACTS ON MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITIES.**

*(a) USE OF FUNDS UNDER CERTAIN AGREEMENTS.—Section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (g) the following new subsection (h):*

*“(h) INTERAGENCY COOPERATION IN CONSERVATION PROGRAMS TO AVOID OR REDUCE ADVERSE IMPACTS ON MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITIES.—In order to facilitate interagency cooperation and enhance the effectiveness of actions that will protect both the environment and military readiness, the recipient of funds provided pursuant an agreement under this section or under the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. et seq.) may, with regard to the lands and waters within the scope of the agreement, use such funds to satisfy any matching funds or cost-sharing requirement of any conservation program of the Department of Agriculture or the Department of the Interior notwithstanding any limitation of such program on the source of matching or cost-sharing funds.”.*

(b) *SUNSET.*—This section and subsection (h) of section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, as added by this section, shall expire on October 1, 2019, except that any agreement referred to in such subsection that is entered into on or before September 30, 2019, shall continue according to its terms and conditions as if this section has not expired.

**SEC. 313. REAUTHORIZATION OF SIKES ACT.**

Section 108 of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670f) is amended by striking “fiscal years 2009 through 2014” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal years 2014 through 2019”.

**SEC. 314. CLARIFICATION OF PROHIBITION ON DISPOSING OF WASTE IN OPEN-AIR BURN PITS.**

Section 317(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2249; 10 U.S.C. 2701 note) is amended—

- (1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and”;
  - (2) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (Q);
- and
- (3) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:
    - “(C) tires;
    - “(D) treated wood;
    - “(E) batteries;
    - “(F) plastics, except insignificant amounts of plastic remaining after a good-faith effort to remove or recover plastic materials from the solid waste stream;
    - “(G) munitions and explosives, except when disposed of in compliance with guidance on the destruction of munitions and explosives contained in the Department of Defense Ammunition and Explosives Safety Standards, DoD Manual 6055.09-M;
    - “(H) compressed gas cylinders, unless empty with valves removed;
    - “(I) fuel containers, unless completely evacuated of its contents;
    - “(J) aerosol cans;
    - “(K) polychlorinated biphenyls;
    - “(L) petroleum, oils, and lubricants products (other than waste fuel for initial combustion);
    - “(M) asbestos;
    - “(N) mercury;
    - “(O) foam tent material;
    - “(P) any item containing any of the materials referred to in a preceding paragraph; and”.

**SEC. 315. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PROCUREMENT OF DROP-IN FUELS.**

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to make a bulk purchase of a drop-in fuel for operational purposes unless the cost of that drop-in fuel is cost-competitive with the cost of a traditional fuel available for the same purpose.

(b) *WAIVER.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to the requirements of paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation under subparagraph (a) with respect to a purchase.

(2) *NOTICE REQUIRED.*—Not later than 30 days after issuing a waiver under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees notice of the waiver. Any such notice shall include each of the following:

(A) The rationale of the Secretary for issuing the waiver

(B) A certification that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States.

(C) The expected cost of the purchase for which the waiver is issued.

(c) *DEFINITIONS.*—For the purposes of this section—

(1) The term “drop-in fuel” means a neat or blended liquid hydrocarbon fuel designed as a direct replacement for a traditional fuel with comparable performance characteristics and compatible with existing infrastructure and equipment

(2) The term “traditional fuel” means a liquid hydrocarbon fuel derived or refined from petroleum.

(3) The term “operational purposes” means for the purposes of conducting military operations, including training, exercises, large scale demonstrations, and moving and sustaining military forces and military platforms. Such term does not include research, development, testing, evaluation, fuel certification, or other demonstrations.

## **Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment**

### **SEC. 321. STRATEGIC POLICY FOR PREPOSITIONED MATERIEL AND EQUIPMENT.**

(a) *MODIFICATIONS TO STRATEGIC POLICY.*—Section 2229(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) *POLICY REQUIRED.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall maintain a strategic policy on the programs of the Department of Defense for prepositioned materiel and equipment. Such policy shall take into account national security threats, strategic mobility, service requirements, and the requirements of the combatant commands, and shall address how the Department’s prepositioning programs, both ground and afloat, align with national defense strategies and departmental priorities.

“(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The strategic policy required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

“(A) Overarching strategic guidance concerning planning and resource priorities that link the Department of Defense’s current and future needs for prepositioned stocks, such as desired responsiveness, to evolving national defense objectives.

“(B) A description of the Department’s vision for prepositioning programs and the desired end state.

“(C) Specific interim goals demonstrating how the vision and end state will be achieved.

“(D) A description of the strategic environment, requirements for, and challenges associated with, prepositioning.

“(E) Metrics for how the Department will evaluate the extent to which prepositioned assets are achieving defense objectives.

“(F) A framework for joint departmental oversight that reviews and synchronizes the military services’ prepositioning strategies to minimize potentially duplicative efforts and maximize efficiencies in prepositioned materiel and equipment across the Department of Defense.

“(3) JOINT OVERSIGHT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish joint oversight of the military services’ prepositioning efforts to maximize efficiencies across the Department of Defense.”

(b) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for implementation of the prepositioning strategic policy required under section 2229(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a).

(2) ELEMENTS.—The implementation plan required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) Detailed guidance for how the Department of Defense will achieve the vision, end state, and goals outlined in the strategic policy.

(B) A comprehensive list of the Department’s prepositioned materiel and equipment programs.

(C) A detailed description of how the plan will be implemented.

(D) A schedule with milestones for the implementation of the plan.

(E) An assignment of roles and responsibilities for the implementation of the plan.

(F) A description of the resources required to implement the plan.

(G) A description of how the plan will be reviewed and assessed to monitor progress.

(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Comptroller General of the United States shall review the implementation plan submitted under subsection (b) and the prepositioning strategic policy required under section 2229(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), and submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the findings of such review and including any additional information relating to the prepositioning strategic policy and plan that the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

**SEC. 322. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANUFACTURING ARSENAL STUDY AND REPORT.**

(a) REVIEW.—

(1) MANUFACTURING REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the military services and Defense Agencies, shall review—

(A) current and expected manufacturing requirements across the military services and Defense Agencies to identify

*critical manufacturing competencies and supplies, components, end items, parts, assemblies, and sub-assemblies for which there is no or limited domestic commercial source and which are appropriate for manufacturing within an arsenal owned by the United States in order to support critical manufacturing capabilities;*

*(B) how the Department of Defense can more effectively use and manage public-private partnerships to preserve critical industrial capabilities at such arsenals for future national security requirements while providing to the Department of the Army a return on its investment;*

*(C) the effectiveness of the strategy of the Department of Defense to assign workload to each of the arsenals and the potential for alternative strategies that could better identify workload for each arsenal;*

*(D) the impact of the rate structure driven by the Department of the Army working-capital funds on public-private partnerships at each such arsenal;*

*(E) the extent to which operations at each such arsenal can be streamlined, improved, or enhanced; and*

*(F) the effectiveness of the implementation by the Department of the Army of cooperative agreements authorized at manufacturing arsenals under section 4544 of title 10, United States Code.*

**(2) MECHANISMS FOR DETERMINING MANUFACTURING CAPABILITIES.**—*The Secretary shall review mechanisms within the Department of Defense for ensuring that appropriate consideration is given to the unique manufacturing capabilities of arsenals owned by the United States to fulfill manufacturing requirements of the Department of Defense for which there is no or limited domestic commercial capability.*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.*—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the results of the reviews conducted under subsection (a) and a description of actions planned to support critical manufacturing capabilities within arsenals owned by the United States.*

*(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.*—*Not later than one year after the date on which the report required under subsection (b) is submitted, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an assessment of the report together with the recommendations of the Comptroller General to improve the strategy of the Department of Defense to assign workload.*

**SEC. 323. CONSIDERATION OF ARMY ARSENALS' CAPABILITIES TO FULFILL MANUFACTURING REQUIREMENTS.**

*(a) CONSIDERATION OF CAPABILITY OF ARSENALS.*—*When undertaking a make-or-buy analysis, a program executive officer or program manager of a military service or Defense Agency shall consider the capability of arsenals owned by the United States to fulfill a manufacturing requirement.*

*(b) NOTIFICATION OF SOLICITATIONS.*—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish and begin implementation of a system for ensuring*

that the arsenals owned by the United States are notified of any solicitation that fulfills a manufacturing requirement for which there is no or limited domestic commercial source and which may be appropriate for manufacturing within an arsenal owned by the United States.

**SEC. 324. STRATEGIC POLICY FOR THE RETROGRADE, RECONSTITUTION, AND REPLACEMENT OF OPERATING FORCES USED TO SUPPORT OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a policy setting forth the programs and priorities of the Department of Defense for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of units and materiel used to support overseas contingency operations. The policy shall take into account national security threats, the requirements of the combatant commands, the current readiness of the operating forces of the military departments, and risk associated with strategic depth and the time necessary to reestablish required personnel, equipment, and training readiness in such operating forces.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The policy required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) Establishment and assignment of responsibilities and authorities within the Department for oversight and execution of the planning, organization, and management of the programs to reestablish the readiness of redeployed operating forces.

(B) Guidance concerning priorities, goals, objectives, timelines, and resources to reestablish the readiness of redeployed operating forces in support of national defense objectives and combatant command requirements.

(C) Oversight reporting requirements and metrics for the evaluation of Department of Defense and military department progress on restoring the readiness of redeployed operating forces in accordance with the policy required under paragraph (1).

(D) A framework for joint departmental reviews of military services' annual budgets proposed for retrograde, reconstitution, or replacement activities, including an assessment of the strategic and operational risk assumed by the proposed levels of investment across the Department of Defense.

**(b) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for implementation of the policy required under this section.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The implementation plan required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) The assignment of responsibilities and authorities for oversight and execution of the planning, organization, and management of the programs to reestablish the readiness of redeployed operating forces.

(B) Establishment of priorities, goals, objectives, timelines, and resources to reestablish the readiness of re-

*deployed operating forces in support of national defense objectives and combatant command requirements.*

*(C) A description of how the plan will be implemented, including a schedule with milestones to meet the goals of the plan.*

*(D) An estimate of the resources by military service and by year required to implement the plan, including an assessment of the risks assumed in the plan.*

*(3) UPDATES.—Not later than one year after submitting the plan required under paragraph (1), and annually thereafter for two years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an update on progress toward meeting the goals of the plan.*

*(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually after the submittal of each update to the implementation plan under subsection (b), the Comptroller General of the United States shall review the implementation plan submitted under subsection (b) and the policy required by subsection (a), and submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the findings of such review and progress made toward meeting the goals of the plan and including any additional information relating to the policy and plan that the Comptroller General determines appropriate.*

**SEC. 325. LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP STRATEGIC SUSTAINMENT PLAN.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees and to the Comptroller General of the United States a strategic sustainment plan for the Littoral Combat Ship. Such plan shall include each of the following:*

*(1) An estimate of the cost and schedule of implementing the plan.*

*(2) An identification of the requirements and planning for the long-term sustainment of the Littoral Combat Ship and its mission modules in accordance with section 2366b of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1482).*

*(3) A description of the current and future operating environments of the Littoral Combat Ship, as specified or referred to in strategic guidance and planning documents of the Department of Defense.*

*(4) The facility, supply, and logistics systems requirements, including contractor support, of the Littoral Combat Ship when forward deployed, and an estimate of the cost and personnel required to conduct the necessary maintenance activities.*

*(5) Any required updates to host-nation agreements to facilitate the forward-deployed maintenance requirements of the Littoral Combat Ship, including a discussion of overseas management of Ship ordnance and hazardous materials and delivery of equipment and spare parts needed for emergent repair.*

*(6) An evaluation of the forward-deployed maintenance requirements of the Littoral Combat Ship and a schedule of pier-side maintenance timelines when forward-deployed, including requirements for multiple ships and variants.*

(7) *An assessment of the total quantity of equipment, spare parts, permanently forward-stationed personnel, and size of fly away teams required to support forward-deployed maintenance requirements for the U.S.S. Freedom while in Singapore, and estimates for follow-on deployments of Littoral Combat Ships of both variants.*

(8) *A detailed description of the continuity of operations plans for the Littoral Combat Ship Squadron and of any plans to increase the number of Squadron personnel.*

(9) *An identification of mission critical single point of failure equipment for which a sufficient number spare parts are necessary to have on hand, and determination of Littoral Combat Ship forward deployed equipment and spare parts locations and levels.*

(b) *FORM.—The plan required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may have a classified annex.*

**SEC. 326. STRATEGY FOR IMPROVING ASSET TRACKING AND IN-TRANSIT VISIBILITY.**

**(a) STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLANS.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive strategy for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility across the Department of Defense, together with the plans of the military departments for implementing the strategy.*

(2) *ELEMENTS.—The strategy and implementation plans required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

(A) *The overarching goals and objectives desired from implementation of the strategy.*

(B) *A description of steps to achieve those goals and objectives, as well as milestones and performance measures to gauge results.*

(C) *An estimate of the costs associated with executing the plan, and the sources and types of resources and investments, including skills, technology, human capital, information, and other resources, required to meet the goals and objectives.*

(D) *A description of roles and responsibilities for managing and overseeing the implementation of the strategy, including the role of program managers, and the establishment of mechanisms for multiple stakeholders to coordinate their efforts throughout implementation and make necessary adjustments to the strategy based on performance.*

(E) *A description of key factors external to the Department of Defense and beyond its control that could significantly affect the achievement of the long-term goals contained in the strategy.*

(F) *A detailed description of asset marking requirements and how automated information and data capture technologies could improve readiness, cost effectiveness, and performance.*

(G) A defined list of all categories of items that program managers are required to identify for the purposes of asset marking.

(H) A description of steps to improve asset tracking and in-transit visibility for classified programs.

(I) Steps to be undertaken to facilitate collaboration with industry designed to capture best practices, lessons learned, and any relevant technical matters.

(J) A description of how improved asset tracking and in-transit visibility could enhance audit readiness, reduce counterfeit risk, enhance logistical processes, and otherwise benefit the Department of Defense.

(K) An operational security assessment designed to ensure that all Department of Defense assets are appropriately protected during the execution of the strategy and implementation plan.

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the strategy is submitted under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the extent to which the strategy and accompanying implementation plans—

(1) include the elements set forth under subsection (a)(2);

(2) align to achieve the overarching asset tracking and in-transit visibility goals and objectives of the Department of Defense;

(3) incorporate, as appropriate, industry best practices related to automated information and data capture technologies for asset tracking and in-transit visibility;

(4) effectively execute the policies prescribed in Department of Defense Instruction 8320.04; and

(5) have been implemented.

## **Subtitle D—Reports**

### **SEC. 331. ADDITIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PERSONNEL AND UNIT READINESS.**

(a) **ASSESSMENT OF ASSIGNED MISSIONS AND CONTRACTOR SUPPORT.**—Section 482 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “The report for a quarter” and inserting “Each report”; and

(B) by striking “(e), and (f)” and inserting “(f), (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k), and the reports for the second and fourth quarters of a calendar year shall also contain the information required by subsection (e)”;

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “, including the extent” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “, including an assessment of the manning of units (authorized versus assigned numbers of personnel) for units not scheduled for deployment and the timing of the arrival of personnel into units preparing for deployments.”; and

- (ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “unit” before “personnel strength”;
- (B) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:
- “(2) PERSONNEL TURBULENCE.—
- “(A) Recruit quality.
- “(B) Personnel assigned to a unit but not trained for the level of assigned responsibility or mission.
- “(C) Fitness for deployment.
- “(D) Recruiting and retention status.”;
- (C) by striking paragraph (3) and redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (3); and
- (D) in paragraph (3), as redesignated by subparagraph (C), by striking “Training commitments” and inserting “Mission rehearsals”;
- (3) by redesignating subsections (e), (f), and (g), as subsections (f), (g), and (l), respectively;
- (4) by inserting after subsection (d)(3), as redesignated by paragraph (1)(C), the following new subsection:
- “(e) LOGISTICS INDICATORS.—The reports for the second and fourth quarters of a calendar year shall also include information regarding the active components of the armed forces (and an evaluation of such information) with respect to each of the following logistics indicators:”;
- (5) in subsection (e), as designated by paragraph (4)—
- (A) by redesignating paragraphs (5), (6), and (7) as paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively;
- (B) in paragraph (1), as redesignated by subparagraph (A), by striking subparagraph (E); and
- (C) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—
- (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “Maintenance” and inserting “Depot maintenance”; and
- (ii) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:
- “(B) Equipment not available due to a lack of supplies or parts.”; and
- (6) by inserting after subsection (g), as redesignated by paragraph (3), the following new subsections:
- “(h) COMBATANT COMMAND ASSIGNED MISSION ASSESSMENTS.—
- (1) Each report shall also include an assessment by each commander of a geographic or functional combatant command of the ability of the command to successfully execute each of the assigned missions of the command. Each such assessment for a combatant command shall also include a list of the mission essential tasks for each assigned mission of the command and an assessment of the ability of the command to successfully complete each task within prescribed timeframes.
- “(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘assigned mission’ means any contingency response program plan, theater campaign plan, or named operation that is approved and assigned by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
- “(i) RISK ASSESSMENT OF DEPENDENCE ON CONTRACTOR SUPPORT.—Each report shall also include an assessment by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the level of risk incurred by using contract support in contingency operations as required under De-

partment of Defense Instruction 1100.22, 'Policies and Procedures for Determining Workforce Mix'.

"(j) **COMBAT SUPPORT AGENCIES ASSESSMENT.**—(1) Each report shall also include an assessment by the Secretary of Defense of the military readiness of the combat support agencies, including, for each such agency—

"(A) a determination with respect to the responsiveness and readiness of the agency to support operating forces in the event of a war or threat to national security, including—

"(i) a list of mission essential tasks and an assessment of the ability of the agency to successfully perform those tasks;

"(ii) an assessment of how the ability of the agency to accomplish the tasks referred to in subparagraph (A) affects the ability of the military departments and the unified and geographic combatant commands to execute operations and contingency plans by number;

"(iii) any readiness deficiencies and actions recommended to address such deficiencies; and

"(iv) key indicators and other relevant information related to any deficiency or other problem identified;

"(B) any recommendations that the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'combat support agency' means any of the following Defense Agencies:

"(A) The Defense Information Systems Agency.

"(B) The Defense Intelligence Agency.

"(C) The Defense Logistics Agency.

"(D) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (but only with respect to combat support functions that the agencies perform for the Department of Defense).

"(E) The Defense Contract Management Agency.

"(F) The Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

"(G) The National Reconnaissance Office.

"(H) The National Security Agency (but only with respect to combat support functions that the agencies perform for the Department of Defense) and Central Security Service.

"(I) Any other Defense Agency designated as a combat support agency by the Secretary of Defense.

"(k) **MAJOR EXERCISE ASSESSMENTS.**—(1) Each report shall also include an after-action assessment of each major exercise by the commander of the geographic or functional combatant command concerned or the chief of the military service concerned, as appropriate, that includes—

"(A) a brief description of the exercise;

"(B) planned training objectives for the exercise;

"(C) a full summary of cost associated with the exercise, including in-kind and direct contributions to allies and partners; and

"(D) an executive summary of the lessons learned and training objectives met by conducting the exercise.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'major exercise' means a named major training event, an integrated or joint exercise, or a unilateral major exercise."

**SEC. 332. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITIES ON PRIORITIZATION OF FUNDS FOR EQUIPMENT READINESS AND STRATEGIC CAPABILITY.**

(a) *INCLUSION OF MARINE CORPS IN REQUIREMENTS.*—Section 323 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (10 U.S.C. 229 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) the Secretary of the Army to meet the requirements of the Army, and the Secretary of the Navy to meet the requirements of the Marine Corps, for that fiscal year, in addition to the requirements under paragraph (1), for the reconstitution of equipment and materiel in prepositioned stocks in accordance with requirements under the policy or strategy implemented under the guidelines in section 2229 of title 10, United States Code.”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following new subparagraph (B):

“(B) the Army and the Marine Corps for the reconstitution of equipment and materiel in prepositioned stocks.”.

(b) *REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL ARMY REPORT AND GAO REVIEW.*—Such section is further amended by striking subsections (c) through (f) and inserting the following new subsection (c):

“(c) *CONTINGENCY OPERATION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘contingency operation’ has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code.”.

**SEC. 333. REVISION TO REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION REGARDING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL ASSETS.**

Section 351(a)(1) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) is amended by striking “in excess of \$30,000,000” and all that follows and inserting “(as computed in fiscal year 2000 constant dollars) in excess of \$32,000,000 or an estimated total cost for the future-years defense program for which the budget is submitted (as computed in fiscal year 2000 constant dollars) in excess of \$378,000,000, for all expenditures, for all increments, regardless of the appropriation and fund source, directly related to the assets definition, design, development, deployment, sustainment, and disposal.”.

**SEC. 334. MODIFICATION OF ANNUAL CORROSION CONTROL AND PREVENTION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

Section 903(b)(5) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2228 note) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” after “(5)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) The report required under subparagraph (A) shall—

“(i) provide a clear linkage between the corrosion control and prevention program of the military department and the overarching goals and objectives of the long-term corrosion control and prevention strategy developed and implemented by the Sec-

retary of Defense under section 2228(d) of title 10, United States Code; and

“(ii) include performance measures to ensure that the corrosion control and prevention program is achieving the goals and objectives described in clause (i).”.

## **Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority**

### **SEC. 341. CERTIFICATION FOR REALIGNMENT OF FORCES AT LAJES AIR FORCE BASE, AZORES.**

*The Secretary of Defense shall certify to the congressional defense committees, prior to taking any action to realign forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, that the action is supported by a European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment initiated by the Secretary of Defense on January 25, 2013. The certification shall include a specific assessment of the efficacy of Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, in support of the United States overseas force posture.*

### **SEC. 342. LIMITATION ON PERFORMANCE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION TEAMS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

*If, during fiscal year 2014 or 2015, any performance by a flight demonstration team under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense that is scheduled for a location within the United States is cancelled by reason of budget reductions made pursuant to an order for sequestration issued by the President under section 251A of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, then no such flight demonstration team may perform at any location outside the United States during such fiscal year.*

### **SEC. 343. LIMITATION ON FUNDING FOR UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended for the United States Special Operations Command National Capital Region (USSOCOM–NCR) until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the USSOCOM–NCR.*

(b) **REPORT ELEMENTS.**—*The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:*

(1) *A description of the purpose of the USSOCOM–NCR.*

(2) *A description of the activities to be performed by the USSOCOM–NCR.*

(3) *An explanation of the impact of the USSOCOM–NCR on existing activities at United States Special Operations Command headquarters.*

(4) *A detailed, by fiscal year, breakout of the staffing and other costs associated with the USSOCOM–NCR over the future-years defense program.*

(5) *A description of the relationship between the USSOCOM–NCR and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict.*

(6) A description of the role of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict in providing oversight of USSOCOM-NCR activities.

(7) Any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

**SEC. 344. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR TRANS REGIONAL WEB INITIATIVE.**

(a) *LIMITATION.*—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended for the Trans Regional Web Initiative.

(b) *EXCEPTION.*—Notwithstanding subsection (a), of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, not more than \$2,000,000 may be obligated or expended for—

(1) the termination of the Trans Regional Web Initiative as managed by Special Operations Command; or

(2) transitioning appropriate capabilities of such Initiative to other agencies.

## **Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 351. GIFTS MADE FOR THE BENEFIT OF MILITARY MUSICAL UNITS.**

Section 974 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following:

“(d) *PRIVATE DONATIONS.*—(1) The Secretary concerned may accept contributions of money, personal property, or services on the condition that such money, property, or services be used for the benefit of a military musical unit under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

“(2) Any contribution of money under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the appropriation or account providing the funds for such military musical unit. Any amount so credited shall be merged with amounts in the appropriation or account to which credited, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such appropriation or account.

“(3) Not later than January 30 of each year, the Secretary concerned shall submit to Congress a report on any contributions of money, personal property, and services accepted under paragraph (1) during the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year during which the report is submitted.”

**SEC. 352. REVISED POLICY ON GROUND COMBAT AND CAMOUFLAGE UTILITY UNIFORMS.**

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.*—It is the policy of the United States that the Secretary of Defense shall eliminate the development and fielding of Armed Force-specific combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms in order to adopt and field a common combat and camouflage utility uniform or family of uniforms for specific combat environments to be used by all members of the Armed Forces.

(b) *PROHIBITION.*—Except as provided in subsection (c), after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of a military department may not adopt any new camouflage pattern design or uniform fabric for any combat or camouflage utility uniform or family of uniforms for use by an Armed Force, unless—

(1) the new design or fabric is a combat or camouflage utility uniform or family of uniforms that will be adopted by all Armed Forces;

(2) the Secretary adopts a uniform already in use by another Armed Force; or

(3) the Secretary of Defense grants an exception based on unique circumstances or operational requirements.

(c) *EXCEPTIONS.*—Nothing in subsection (b) shall be construed as—

(1) prohibiting the development of combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms for use by personnel assigned to or operating in support of the unified combatant command for special operations forces described in section 167 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) prohibiting engineering modifications to existing uniforms that improve the performance of combat and camouflage utility uniforms, including power harnessing or generating textiles, fire resistant fabrics, and anti-vector, anti-microbial, and anti-bacterial treatments;

(3) prohibiting the Secretary of a military department from fielding ancillary uniform items, including headwear, footwear, body armor, and any other such items as determined by the Secretary;

(4) prohibiting the Secretary of a military department from issuing vehicle crew uniforms;

(5) prohibiting cosmetic service-specific uniform modifications to include insignia, pocket orientation, closure devices, inserts, and undergarments; or

(6) prohibiting the continued fielding or use of pre-existing service-specific or operation-specific combat uniforms as long as the uniforms continue to meet operational requirements.

(d) *REGISTRATION REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of a military department shall formally register with the Joint Clothing and Textiles Governance Board all uniforms in use by an Armed Force under the jurisdiction of the Secretary and all such uniforms planned for use by such an Armed Force.

(e) *LIMITATION ON RESTRICTION.*—The Secretary of a military department may not prevent the Secretary of another military department from authorizing the use of any combat or camouflage utility uniform or family of uniforms.

(f) *GUIDANCE REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance to implement this section.

(2) *CONTENT.*—At a minimum, the guidance required by paragraph (1) shall require the Secretary of each of the military departments—

(A) in cooperation with the commanders of the combatant commands, including the unified combatant command for

special operations forces, to establish, by not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, joint criteria for combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms, which shall be included in all new requirements documents for such uniforms;

(B) to continually work together to assess and develop new technologies that could be incorporated into future combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms to improve war fighter survivability;

(C) to ensure that new combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms meet the geographic and operational requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands; and

(D) to ensure that all new combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms achieve interoperability with all components of individual war fighter systems, including body armor, organizational clothing and individual equipment, and other individual protective systems.

(g) **REPEAL OF POLICY.**—Section 352 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84, 123 Stat. 2262; 10 U.S.C. 771 note) is repealed.

## **TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS**

### *Subtitle A—Active Forces*

Sec. 401. *End strengths for active forces.*

Sec. 402. *Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels and in annual limitation on certain end strength reductions.*

### *Subtitle B—Reserve Forces*

Sec. 411. *End strengths for Selected Reserve.*

Sec. 412. *End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.*

Sec. 413. *End strengths for military technicians (dual status).*

Sec. 414. *Fiscal year 2014 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.*

Sec. 415. *Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.*

### *Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations*

Sec. 421. *Military personnel.*

## **Subtitle A—Active Forces**

### **SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.**

*The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 2014, as follows:*

(1) *The Army, 520,000.*

(2) *The Navy, 323,600.*

(3) *The Marine Corps, 190,200.*

(4) *The Air Force, 327,600.*

**SEC. 402. REVISIONS IN PERMANENT ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTH MINIMUM LEVELS AND IN ANNUAL LIMITATION ON CERTAIN END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.**

(a) **PERMANENT ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTH MINIMUM LEVELS.**—Section 691(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (4) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

- “(1) For the Army, 510,000.
- “(2) For the Navy, 323,600.
- “(3) For the Marine Corps, 188,000.
- “(4) For the Air Force, 327,600.”.

(b) **ANNUAL MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED REDUCTION IN END STRENGTHS.**—

(1) **ARMY END STRENGTHS.**—Subsection (a) of section 403 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1708) is amended by striking “15,000 members” and inserting “25,000 members”.

(2) **MARINE CORPS END STRENGTHS.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking “5,000 members” and inserting “7,500 members”.

## **Subtitle B—Reserve Forces**

**SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 2014, as follows:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 354,200.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 205,000.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 59,100.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 105,400.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 70,400.
- (7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 9,000.

(b) **END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.**—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—

- (1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and
- (2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

(c) **END STRENGTH INCREASES.**—Whenever units or individual members of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be increased proportionately by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

**SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.**

*Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 2014, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:*

- (1) *The Army National Guard of the United States, 32,060.*
- (2) *The Army Reserve, 16,261.*
- (3) *The Navy Reserve, 10,159.*
- (4) *The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261.*
- (5) *The Air National Guard of the United States, 14,734.*
- (6) *The Air Force Reserve, 2,911.*

**SEC. 413. END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY TECHNICIANS (DUAL STATUS).**

*The minimum number of military technicians (dual status) as of the last day of fiscal year 2014 for the reserve components of the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:*

- (1) *For the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210.*
- (2) *For the Army Reserve, 8,395.*
- (3) *For the Air National Guard of the United States, 21,875.*
- (4) *For the Air Force Reserve, 10,429.*

**SEC. 414. FISCAL YEAR 2014 LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.**

**(a) LIMITATIONS.—**

**(1) NATIONAL GUARD.—***Within the limitation provided in section 10217(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed the following:*

- (A) *For the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600.*
- (B) *For the Air National Guard of the United States, 350.*

**(2) ARMY RESERVE.—***The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Army Reserve as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed 595.*

**(3) AIR FORCE RESERVE.—***The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Air Force Reserve as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed 90.*

**(b) NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS DEFINED.—***In this section, the term “non-dual status technician” has the meaning given that term in section 10217(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 415. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESERVE PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.**

*During fiscal year 2014, the maximum number of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who may be serving at any time on full-time operational support duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, is the following:*

- (1) *The Army National Guard of the United States, 17,000.*
- (2) *The Army Reserve, 13,000.*
- (3) *The Navy Reserve, 6,200.*
- (4) *The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,000.*

- (5) *The Air National Guard of the United States, 16,000.*  
 (6) *The Air Force Reserve, 14,000.*

## **Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations**

### **SEC. 421. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4401.*

(b) *CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 2014.*

## **TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**

### *Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally*

- Sec. 501. Congressional notification requirements related to increases in number of general and flag officers on active duty or in joint duty assignments.*  
*Sec. 502. Service credit for cyberspace experience or advanced education upon original appointment as a commissioned officer.*  
*Sec. 503. Selective early retirement authority for regular officers and selective early removal of officers from reserve active-status list.*

### *Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management*

- Sec. 511. Suicide prevention efforts for members of the reserve components.*  
*Sec. 512. Removal of restrictions on the transfer of officers between the active and inactive National Guard.*  
*Sec. 513. Limitations on cancellations of deployment of certain reserve component units and involuntary mobilizations of certain Reserves.*  
*Sec. 514. Review of requirements and authorizations for reserve component general and flag officers in an active status.*  
*Sec. 515. Feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.*

### *Subtitle C—General Service Authorities*

- Sec. 521. Provision of information under Transition Assistance Program about disability-related employment and education protections.*  
*Sec. 522. Medical examination requirements regarding post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury before administrative separation.*  
*Sec. 523. Establishment and use of consistent definition of gender-neutral occupational standard for military career designators.*  
*Sec. 524. Sense of Congress regarding the Women in Service Implementation Plan.*  
*Sec. 525. Provision of military service records to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.*  
*Sec. 526. Review of Integrated Disability Evaluation System.*

### *Subtitle D—Military Justice Matters, Other Than Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Related Reforms*

- Sec. 531. Modification of eligibility for appointment as Judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.*  
*Sec. 532. Enhancement of protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and chaplains of such members.*  
*Sec. 533. Inspector General investigation of Armed Forces compliance with regulations for the protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and their chaplains.*

Sec. 534. *Survey of military chaplains views on Department of Defense policy regarding chaplain prayers outside of religious services.*

*Subtitle E—Member Education and Training*

Sec. 541. *Additional requirements for approval of educational programs for purposes of certain educational assistance under laws administered by the Secretary of Defense.*

Sec. 542. *Enhancement of mechanisms to correlate skills and training for military occupational specialties with skills and training required for civilian certifications and licenses.*

Sec. 543. *Report on the Troops to Teachers program.*

Sec. 544. *Secretary of Defense report on feasibility of requiring automatic operation of current prohibition on accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members of the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters*

Sec. 551. *Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.*

Sec. 552. *Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.*

Sec. 553. *Treatment of tuition payments received for virtual elementary and secondary education component of Department of Defense education program.*

Sec. 554. *Family support programs for immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.*

Sec. 555. *Sense of Congress on parental rights of members of the Armed Forces in child custody determinations.*

*Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards*

Sec. 561. *Repeal of limitation on number of medals of honor that may be awarded to the same member of the Armed Forces.*

Sec. 562. *Standardization of time-limits for recommending and awarding Medal of Honor, Distinguished-Service Cross, Navy Cross, Air Force Cross, and Distinguished-Service Medal.*

Sec. 563. *Recodification and revision of Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll requirements.*

Sec. 564. *Prompt replacement of military decorations.*

Sec. 565. *Review of eligibility for, and award of, Purple Heart to victims of the attacks at recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas.*

Sec. 566. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to former members of the Armed Forces previously recommended for award of the Medal of Honor.*

Sec. 567. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.*

Sec. 568. *Authorization for award of the Distinguished-Service Cross for acts of valor during the Korean and Vietnam Wars.*

Sec. 569. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for acts of valor during the Civil War.*

*Subtitle H—Other Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports*

Sec. 571. *Report on feasibility of expanding performance evaluation reports to include 360-degree assessment approach.*

Sec. 572. *Report on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding members of the Armed Forces with HIV or Hepatitis B.*

Sec. 573. *Policy on military recruitment and enlistment of graduates of secondary schools.*

Sec. 574. *Comptroller General report on use of determination of personality disorder or adjustment disorder as basis to separate members from the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle I—Other Matters*

Sec. 581. *Accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing and related reports.*

Sec. 582. *Expansion of privileged information authorities to debriefing reports of certain recovered persons who were never placed in a missing status.*

- Sec. 583. Revision of specified senior military colleges to reflect consolidation of North Georgia College and State University and Gainesville State College.
- Sec. 584. Review of security of military installations, including barracks, temporary lodging facilities, and multi-family residences.
- Sec. 585. Authority to enter into concessions contracts at Army National Military Cemeteries.
- Sec. 586. Military salute during recitation of pledge of allegiance by members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and by veterans.
- Sec. 587. Improved climate assessments and dissemination of results.

## **Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally**

### **SEC. 501. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO INCREASES IN NUMBER OF GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY OR IN JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENTS.**

(a) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIRED; BASELINES.—Section 526 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsections (d) through (h) as subsections (c) through (g), respectively; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(h) ACTIVE-DUTY BASELINE.—

“(1) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT.—If the Secretary of a military department proposes an action that would increase above the baseline the number of general officers or flag officers of an armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary who would be on active duty and would count against the statutory limit applicable to that armed force under subsection (a), the action shall not take effect until after the end of the 60-calendar day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary provides notice of the proposed action, including the rationale for the action, to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

“(2) BASELINE DEFINED.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘baseline’ for an armed force means the lower of—

“(A) the statutory limit of general officers or flag officers of that armed force under subsection (a); or

“(B) the actual number of general officers or flag officers of that armed force who, as of January 1, 2014, counted toward the statutory limit of general officers or flag officers of that armed force under subsection (a).

“(3) LIMITATION.—If, at any time, the actual number of general officers or flag officers of an armed force who count toward the statutory limit of general officers or flag officers of that armed force under subsection (a) exceeds such statutory limit, then no increase described in paragraph (1) for that armed force may occur until the general officer or flag officer total for that armed force is reduced below such statutory limit.

“(i) JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENT BASELINE.—

“(1) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT.—If the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of a military department, or the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff proposes an action that would increase above the baseline the number of general officers and flag officers of the armed forces in joint duty assignments who

count against the statutory limit under subsection (b)(1), the action shall not take effect until after the end of the 60-calendar day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary or Chairman, as the case may be, provides notice of the proposed action, including the rationale for the action, to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

“(2) **BASELINE DEFINED.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘baseline’ means the lower of—

“(A) the statutory limit on general officer and flag officer positions that are joint duty assignments under subsection (b)(1); or

“(B) the actual number of general officers and flag officers who, as of January 1, 2014, were in joint duty assignments counted toward the statutory limit under subsection (b)(1).

“(3) **LIMITATION.**—If, at any time, the actual number of general officers and flag officers in joint duty assignments counted toward the statutory limit under subsection (b)(1) exceeds such statutory limit, then no increase described in paragraph (1) may occur until the number of general officers and flag officers in joint duty assignments is reduced below such statutory limit.”.

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than February 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report specifying—

(A) the numbers of general officers and flag officers who, as of January 1, 2014, counted toward the service-specific limits of subsection (a) of section 526 of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) the number of general officers and flag officers in joint duty assignments who, as of January 1, 2014, counted toward the statutory limit under subsection (b)(1) of such section.

(2) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—Section 526 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended by inserting after subsection (i), as added by subsection (a)(2) of this section, the following new subsection:

“(j) **ANNUAL REPORT ON GENERAL OFFICER AND FLAG OFFICER NUMBERS.**—Not later than March 1, 2015, and each March 1 thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report specifying—

“(1) the numbers of general officers and flag officers who, as of January 1 of the calendar year in which the report is submitted, counted toward the service-specific limits of subsection (a); and

“(2) the number of general officers and flag officers in joint duty assignments who, as of such January 1, counted toward the statutory limit under subsection (b)(1).”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2014.

**SEC. 502. SERVICE CREDIT FOR CYBERSPACE EXPERIENCE OR ADVANCED EDUCATION UPON ORIGINAL APPOINTMENT AS A COMMISSIONED OFFICER.**

Section 533 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsections (a)(2) and (c), by inserting “or (g)” after “subsection (b)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, if the Secretary of a military department determines that the number of commissioned officers with cyberspace-related experience or advanced education serving on active duty in an armed force under the jurisdiction of such Secretary is critically below the number needed, such Secretary may credit any person receiving an original appointment with a period of constructive service for the following:

“(A) Special experience or training in a particular cyberspace-related field if such experience or training is directly related to the operational needs of the armed force concerned.

“(B) Any period of advanced education in a cyberspace-related field beyond the baccalaureate degree level if such advanced education is directly related to the operational needs of the armed force concerned.

“(2) Constructive service credited an officer under this subsection shall not exceed one year for each year of special experience, training, or advanced education, and not more than three years total constructive service may be credited.

“(3) Constructive service credited an officer under this subsection is in addition to any service credited that officer under subsection (a) and shall be credited at the time of the original appointment of the officer.

“(4) The authority to award constructive service credit under this subsection expires on December 31, 2018.”.

**SEC. 503. SELECTIVE EARLY RETIREMENT AUTHORITY FOR REGULAR OFFICERS AND SELECTIVE EARLY REMOVAL OF OFFICERS FROM RESERVE ACTIVE-STATUS LIST.**

(a) **REGULAR OFFICERS ON THE ACTIVE-DUTY LIST CONSIDERED FOR SELECTIVE EARLY RETIREMENT.**—

(1) **LIEUTENANT COLONELS AND COMMANDERS.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 638a(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “would be subject to” and all that follows through “two or more times)” and inserting “have failed of selection for promotion at least one time and whose names are not on a list of officers recommended for promotion”.

(2) **COLONELS AND NAVY CAPTAINS.**—Subparagraph (B) of such section is amended by striking “would be subject to” and all that follows through “not less than two years)” and inserting “have served on active duty in that grade for at least two years and whose names are not on a list of officers recommended for promotion”.

(b) **OFFICERS CONSIDERED FOR SELECTIVE EARLY REMOVAL FROM RESERVE ACTIVE-STATUS LIST.**—Section 14704 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” before “Whenever”;

(B) by striking “all officers on that list” and inserting “officers on the reserve active-status list”;

(C) by striking “the reserve active-status list, in the number specified by the Secretary by each grade and competitive category.” and inserting “that list.”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:  
 “(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), the list of officers in a reserve component whose names are submitted to a board under paragraph (1) shall include each officer on the reserve active-status list for that reserve component in the same grade and competitive category whose position on the reserve active-status list is between—

“*(A) that of the most junior officer in that grade and competitive category whose name is submitted to the board; and*

“*(B) that of the most senior officer in that grade and competitive category whose name is submitted to the board.*

“(3) A list submitted to a board under paragraph (1) may not include an officer who—

“*(A) has been approved for voluntary retirement; or*

“*(B) is to be involuntarily retired under any provision of law during the fiscal year in which the board is convened or during the following fiscal year.*”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) SPECIFICATION OF NUMBER OF OFFICERS WHO MAY BE RECOMMENDED FOR SEPARATION.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall specify the number of officers described in subsection (a)(1) that a board may recommend for separation under subsection (c).”.

## **Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management**

### **SEC. 511. SUICIDE PREVENTION EFFORTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

(a) IMPROVED OUTREACH UNDER SUICIDE PREVENTION AND RESILIENCE PROGRAM.—Section 10219 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

“(f) OUTREACH FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.—(1) Upon the request of an adjutant general of a State, the Secretary may share with the adjutant general the contact information of members described in paragraph (2) who reside in such State in order for the adjutant general to include such members in suicide prevention efforts conducted under this section.

“(2) Members described in this paragraph are—

“*(A) members of the Individual Ready Reserve; and*

“*(B) members of a reserve component who are individual mobilization augmentees.*”.

(b) INCLUSION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 706 of the National Defense

*Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1800; 10 U.S.C. 10101 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsections (a) and (e), by striking “and substance use disorders and traumatic brain injury” and inserting “, substance use disorders, traumatic brain injury, and suicide prevention”; and*

*(2) in subsection (c)(3), by striking “and substance use disorders and traumatic brain injury described in paragraph (1)” and inserting “, substance use disorders, traumatic brain injury, and suicide prevention”.*

**SEC. 512. REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS ON THE TRANSFER OF OFFICERS BETWEEN THE ACTIVE AND INACTIVE NATIONAL GUARD.**

*(a) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.—During the period ending on December 31, 2016, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Army:*

*(1) An officer of the Army National Guard who fills a vacancy in a federally recognized unit of the Army National Guard may be transferred from the active Army National Guard to the inactive Army National Guard.*

*(2) An officer of the Army National Guard transferred to the inactive Army National Guard pursuant to paragraph (1) may be transferred from the inactive Army National Guard to the active Army National Guard to fill a vacancy in a federally recognized unit.*

*(b) AIR NATIONAL GUARD.—During the period ending on December 31, 2016, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Air Force:*

*(1) An officer of the Air National Guard who fills a vacancy in a federally recognized unit of the Air National Guard may be transferred from the active Air National Guard to the inactive Air National Guard.*

*(2) An officer of the Air National Guard transferred to the inactive Air National Guard pursuant to paragraph (1) may be transferred from the inactive Air National Guard to the active Air National Guard to fill a vacancy in a federally recognized unit.*

**SEC. 513. LIMITATIONS ON CANCELLATIONS OF DEPLOYMENT OF CERTAIN RESERVE COMPONENT UNITS AND INVOLUNTARY MOBILIZATIONS OF CERTAIN RESERVES.**

*(a) LIMITATION ON CANCELLATION OF DEPLOYMENT OF CERTAIN UNITS WITHIN 180 DAYS OF SCHEDULED DEPLOYMENT.—*

*(1) LIMITATION.—The deployment of a unit of a reserve component of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2) may not be cancelled during the 180-day period ending on the date on which the unit is otherwise scheduled for deployment without the approval, in writing, of the Secretary of Defense.*

*(2) COVERED DEPLOYMENTS.—A deployment of a unit of a reserve component described in this paragraph is a deployment whose cancellation as described in paragraph (1) is due to the deployment of a unit of a regular component of the Armed Forces to carry out the mission for which the unit of the reserve component was otherwise to be deployed.*

(3) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS AND GOVERNORS ON APPROVAL OF CANCELLATION OF DEPLOYMENT.*—On approving the cancellation of deployment of a unit under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Governor concerned a notice on the approval of cancellation of deployment of the unit.

(b) *ADVANCE NOTICE TO CERTAIN RESERVES ON INVOLUNTARY MOBILIZATION.*—

(1) *ADVANCE NOTICE REQUIRED.*—The Secretary concerned may not provide less than 120 days advance notice of an involuntary mobilization to a member of the reserve component of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2) without the approval, in writing, of the Secretary of Defense.

(2) *COVERED RESERVES.*—A member of a reserve component described in this paragraph is a member as follows:

(A) A member who is not assigned to a unit organized to serve as a unit.

(B) A member who is to be mobilized apart from the member's unit.

(3) *COMMENCEMENT OF APPLICABILITY.*—This subsection shall apply with respect to members who are mobilized on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) *SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.*—In this subsection, the term “Secretary concerned” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(9) of title 10, United States Code.

(5) *SUNSET.*—This subsection shall cease to apply as of the date of the completion of the withdrawal of United States combat forces from Afghanistan.

(c) *NONDELEGATION OF APPROVAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may not delegate the approval of cancellations of deployments of units under subsection (a) or the approval of mobilization of Reserves without advance notice under subsection (b).

**SEC. 514. REVIEW OF REQUIREMENTS AND AUTHORIZATIONS FOR RESERVE COMPONENT GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS IN AN ACTIVE STATUS.**

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the general officer and flag officer requirements for members of the reserve component in an active status.

(b) *PURPOSE OF REVIEW.*—The purpose of the review is to ensure that the authorized strengths provided in section 12004 of title 10, United States Code, for reserve general officers and reserve flag officers in an active status—

(1) are based on an objective requirements process and are sufficient for the effective management, leadership, and administration of the reserve components;

(2) provide a qualified, sufficient pool from which reserve component general and flag officers can continue to be assigned on active duty in joint duty and in-service military positions;

(3) reflect a review of the appropriateness and number of exemptions provided by subsections (b), (c), and (d) of section 12004 of title 10, United States Code;

(4) reflect the efficiencies that can be achieved through downgrading or elimination of reserve component general or flag officer positions, including through the conversion of certain re-

*serve component general or flag officer positions to senior civilian positions; and*

*(5) are subjected to periodic review, control, and adjustment.*

*(c) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review, including such recommendations for changes in law and policy related to authorized reserve general and flag officers strengths as the Secretary considers to be appropriate.*

**SEC. 515. FEASIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING A UNIT OF THE NATIONAL GUARD IN AMERICAN SAMOA AND IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.**

*(a) DETERMINATION REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall determine the feasibility of establishing—*

*(1) a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa; and*

*(2) a unit of the National Guard in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.*

*(b) FORCE STRUCTURE ELEMENTS.—In making the feasibility determination under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consider the following:*

*(1) The allocation of National Guard force structure and manpower to American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in the event of the establishment of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the impact of this allocation on existing National Guard units in the 50 States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and the District of Columbia.*

*(2) The Federal funding that would be required to support pay, benefits, training operations, and missions of members of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, based on the allocation derived from paragraph (1), and the equipment, including maintenance, required to support such force structure.*

*(3) The presence of existing infrastructure to support a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the requirement for additional infrastructure, including information technology infrastructure, to support such force structure, based on the allocation derived from paragraph (1).*

*(4) How a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Island would accommodate the National Guard Bureau's "Essential Ten" homeland defense capabilities (i.e., aviation, engineering, civil support teams, security, medical, transportation, maintenance, logistics, joint force headquarters, and communications) and reflect regional needs.*

*(5) The manpower cadre, both military personnel and full-time support, including National Guard technicians, required to establish, maintain, and sustain a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the ability of American Samoa and of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to*

support demographically a unit of the National Guard at each location.

(6) *The ability of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to maintain unit readiness and the logistical challenges associated with transportation, communications, supply/resupply, and training operations and missions.*

(c) **SUBMISSION OF CONCLUSION.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of the results of the feasibility determination made under subsection (a). If the Secretary determines that establishment of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa or the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (or both) is feasible, the Secretary shall include in the notification the following:*

(1) *A determination of whether the executive branch of American Samoa and of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands has enacted and implemented statutory authorization for an organized militia as a prerequisite for establishing a unit of the National Guard, and a description of any other steps that such executive branches must take to request and carry out the establishment of a National Guard unit.*

(2) *A list of any amendments to titles 10, 32, and 37, United States Code, that would have to be enacted by Congress to provide for the establishment of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.*

(3) *A description of any required Department of Defense actions to establish a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.*

(4) *A suggested timeline for completion of the steps and actions described in the preceding paragraphs.*

## **Subtitle C—General Service Authorities**

### **SEC. 521. PROVISION OF INFORMATION UNDER TRANSITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ABOUT DISABILITY-RELATED EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION PROTECTIONS.**

(a) **ADDITIONAL ELEMENT OF PROGRAM.**—*Section 1144(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(9) Provide information about disability-related employment and education protections.”.*

(b) **DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.**—*The program carried out under section 1144 of title 10, United States Code, shall comply with the requirements of subsection (b)(9) of such section, as added by subsection (a), by not later than April 1, 2015.*

### **SEC. 522. MEDICAL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS REGARDING POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER OR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATION.**

*Section 1177(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “honorable” the following: “, including an administrative separation in lieu of court-martial,”.*

**SEC. 523. ESTABLISHMENT AND USE OF CONSISTENT DEFINITION OF GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARD FOR MILITARY CAREER DESIGNATORS.**

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFINITIONS.*—Section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

“(1) *GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARD.*—The term ‘gender-neutral occupational standard’, with respect to a military career designator, means that all members of the Armed Forces serving in or assigned to the military career designator must meet the same performance outcome-based standards for the successful accomplishment of the necessary and required specific tasks associated with the qualifications and duties performed while serving in or assigned to the military career designator.

“(2) *MILITARY CAREER DESIGNATOR.*—The term ‘military career designator’ refers to—

“(A) in the case of enlisted members and warrant officers of the Armed Forces, military occupational specialties, specialty codes, enlisted designators, enlisted classification codes, additional skill identifiers, and special qualification identifiers; and

“(B) in the case of commissioned officers (other than commissioned warrant officers), officer areas of concentration, occupational specialties, specialty codes, additional skill identifiers, and special qualification identifiers.”.

(b) *USE OF DEFINITIONS.*—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “military occupational career field” and inserting “military career designator”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “common, relevant performance standards” and inserting “an occupational standard”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “any military occupational specialty” and inserting “any military career designator”; and

(ii) by striking “requirements for members in that specialty and shall ensure (in the case of an occupational specialty)” and inserting “requirements as part of the gender-neutral occupational standard for members in that career designator and shall ensure (in the case of a career designator”); and

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “an occupational specialty” and inserting “a military career designator”;

(ii) by striking “that occupational specialty” and inserting “that military career designator”;

(iii) by striking “that specialty” and inserting “that military career designator”;

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “the occupational standards for a military occupational field” and inserting “the gender-neutral occupational standard for a military career designator”; and

(B) by striking “that occupational field” and inserting “that military career designator”.

**SEC. 524. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE WOMEN IN SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**

*It is the sense of Congress that the Secretaries of the military departments—*

(1) *no later than September 2015, should develop, review, and validate individual occupational standards, using validated gender-neutral occupational standards, so as to assess and assign members of the Armed Forces to units, including Special Operations Forces; and*

(2) *no later than January 1, 2016, should complete all assessments.*

**SEC. 525. PROVISION OF MILITARY SERVICE RECORDS TO THE SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS IN AN ELECTRONIC FORMAT.**

(a) **PROVISION IN ELECTRONIC FORMAT.**—*In accordance with subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall make the covered records of each member of the Armed Forces available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.*

(b) **DEADLINE FOR PROVISION OF RECORDS.**—*With respect to a member of the Armed Forces who is discharged or released from the Armed Forces on or after January 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the covered records of the member are made available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs not later than 90 days after the date of the member’s discharge or release.*

(c) **SHARING OF PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION.**—*For purposes of the regulations promulgated under section 264(c) of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–191; 42 U.S.C. 1320d–2 note), making medical records available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under subsection (a) shall be treated as a permitted disclosure.*

(d) **RECORDS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE TO SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.**—*The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall ensure that the covered records of members of the Armed Forces that are available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs as of the date of the enactment of this Act are made electronically accessible and available as soon as practicable after that date to the Veterans Benefits Administration.*

(e) **COVERED RECORDS DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “covered records” means, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces—*

(1) *service treatment records;*

(2) *accompanying personal records;*

(3) *relevant unit records; and*

(4) *medical records created by reason of treatment or services received pursuant to chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 526. REVIEW OF INTEGRATED DISABILITY EVALUATION SYSTEM.**

(a) *REVIEW.*—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall conduct a review of—

(1) the backlog of pending cases in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System with respect to members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces for the purpose of addressing the matters specified in paragraph (1) of subsection (b); and

(2) the improvements to the Integrated Disability Evaluation System specified in paragraph (2) of such subsection.

(b) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the review conducted under subsection (a). Such report shall include the following:

(1) With respect to the reserve components of the Armed Forces—

(A) the number of pending cases that exist as of the date of the report, listed by military department, component, and, with respect to the National Guard, State;

(B) as of the date of the report, the average time it takes the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to process a case through each phase or step of the Integrated Disability Evaluation System under that Department's control;

(C) a description of the measures the Secretary has taken, and will take, to resolve the backlog of cases in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System; and

(D) the date by which the Secretary plans to resolve such backlog for each military department.

(2) With respect to the regular components and reserve components of the Armed Forces—

(A) a description of the progress being made by both the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to transition the Integrated Disability Evaluation System to an integrated and readily accessible electronic format that a member of the Armed Forces may access to see the status of the member during each phase or step of the system;

(B) an estimate of the cost to complete the transition to an integrated and readily accessible electronic format; and

(C) an assessment of the feasibility of improving in-transit visibility of pending cases, including by establishing a method of tracking a pending case when—

(i) a military treatment facility is assigned a packet and pending case for action regarding a member; and

(ii) a packet is at the Veterans Tracking Application and Disability Rating Activity Site of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(c) *PENDING CASE DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “pending case” means a case involving a member of the Armed Forces who, as of the date of the review under subsection (a), is within the Integrated Disability Evaluation System and has been referred to a medical evaluation board.

**Subtitle D—Military Justice Matters, Other  
Than Sexual Assault Prevention and Re-  
sponse and Related Reforms**

**SEC. 531. MODIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR APPOINTMENT AS  
JUDGE ON THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR  
THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **MODIFICATION.**—Paragraph (4) of section 942(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 142(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

“(4) A person may not be appointed as a judge of the court within seven years after retirement from active duty as a commissioned officer of a regular component of an armed force.”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to appointments to the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces that occur on or after that date.

**SEC. 532. ENHANCEMENT OF PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF CONSCIENCE  
OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CHAPLAINS OF  
SUCH MEMBERS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a)(1) of section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1727; 10 U.S.C. prec. 1030 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Armed Forces shall accommodate the beliefs” and inserting “Unless it could have an adverse impact on military readiness, unit cohesion, and good order and discipline, the Armed Forces shall accommodate individual expressions of belief”;

(2) by inserting “sincerely held” before “conscience”; and

(3) by striking “use such beliefs” and inserting “use such expression of belief”.

(b) **REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the implementing regulations required by subsection (c) of such section. In prescribing such regulations, the Secretary shall consult with the official military faith-group representatives who endorse military chaplains.

**SEC. 533. INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATION OF ARMED FORCES  
COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS FOR THE PROTECTION  
OF RIGHTS OF CONSCIENCE OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED  
FORCES AND THEIR CHAPLAINS.**

(a) **INVESTIGATION INTO COMPLIANCE; REPORT.**—Not later than 18 months after the date on which regulations are issued implementing the protections afforded by section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1727; 10 U.S.C. prec. 1030 note), as amended by section 532, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report—

(1) setting forth the results of an investigation by the Inspector General during that 18-month period into the compliance by the Armed Forces with the elements of such regulations on adverse personnel actions, discrimination, or denials of promotion, schooling, training, or assignment for members of the Armed

*Forces based on conscience, moral principles, or religious beliefs; and*

*(2) identifying the number of times during the investigation period that the Inspector General of the Department of Defense or the Inspector General of a military department was contacted regarding an incident involving the conscience, moral principles, or religious beliefs of a member of the Armed Forces.*

*(b) CONSULTATION.—In conducting any analysis, investigation, or survey for purposes of this section, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall consult with the Armed Forces Chaplains Board, as appropriate.*

**SEC. 534. SURVEY OF MILITARY CHAPLAINS VIEWS ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY REGARDING CHAPLAIN PRAYERS OUTSIDE OF RELIGIOUS SERVICES.**

*(a) SURVEY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a survey among a statistically valid sample of military chaplains of the regular and reserve components of the Armed Forces, to be selected at random, to assess whether—*

*(1) restrictions placed on prayers offered in a public or non-religious setting have prevented military chaplains from exercising the tenets of their faith as prescribed by their endorsing faith group; and*

*(2) those restrictions have had an adverse impact on the ability of military chaplains to fulfill their duties to minister to members of the Armed Forces and their dependents.*

*(b) DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION.—The Secretary of Defense shall complete the survey required by subsection (a) within one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(c) SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.—Not later than 90 days after completing the survey required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing—*

*(1) the survey questionnaire; and*

*(2) the results of the survey.*

## **Subtitle E—Member Education and Training**

**SEC. 541. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR APPROVAL OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOR PURPOSES OF CERTAIN EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE UNDER LAWS ADMINISTERED BY THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2006 the following new section:*

**“§ 2006a. Assistance for education and training: availability of certain assistance for use only for certain programs of education**

*“(a) IN GENERAL.—Effective as of August, 1, 2014, an individual eligible for assistance under a Department of Defense educational assistance program or authority covered by this section may, except as provided in subsection (b), only use such assistance for educational expenses incurred for a program as follows:*

“(1) An eligible program (as defined in section 481 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1088)) that is offered by an institution of higher education that has entered into, and is complying with, a program participation agreement under section 487 of such Act (20 U.S.C. 1094).

“(2) In the case of a program designed to prepare individuals for licensure or certification in any State, if the program meets the instructional curriculum licensure or certification requirements of such State.

“(3) In the case of a program designed to prepare individuals for employment pursuant to standards developed by a State board or agency in an occupation that requires approval or licensure for such employment, if the program is approved or licensed by such State board or agency.

“(b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may, by regulation, authorize the use of educational assistance under a Department of Defense educational assistance program or authority covered by this chapter for educational expenses incurred for a program of education that is not described in subsection (a) if the program—

“(1) is accredited and approved by a nationally or regionally recognized accrediting agency or association recognized by the Department of Education;

“(2) was not an eligible program described in subsection (a) at any time during the most recent two-year period;

“(3) is a program that the Secretary determines would further the purposes of the educational assistance programs or authorities covered by this chapter, or would further the education interests of students eligible for assistance under the such programs or authorities; and

“(4) the institution providing the program does not provide any commission, bonus, or other incentive payment based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid to any persons or entities engaged in any student recruiting or admission activities or in making decisions regarding the award of student financial assistance, except for the recruitment of foreign students residing in foreign countries who are not eligible to receive Federal student assistance.

“(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘Department of Defense educational assistance programs and authorities covered by this section’ means the programs and authorities as follows:

“(A) The programs to assist military spouses in achieving education and training to expand employment and portable career opportunities under section 1784a of this title.

“(B) The authority to pay tuition for off-duty training or education of members of the armed forces under section 2007 of this title.

“(C) The program of educational assistance for members of the Selected Reserve under chapter 1606 of this title.

“(D) The program of educational assistance for reserve component members supporting contingency operations and certain other operations under chapter 1607 of this title.

“(E) Any other program or authority of the Department of Defense for assistance in education or training carried out

*under the laws administered by the Secretary of Defense that is designated by the Secretary, by regulation, for purposes of this section.*

*“(2) The term ‘institution of higher education’ has the meaning given that term in section 102 of the Higher Education Act for 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002).”*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 101 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2006 the following new item:*

*“2006a. Assistance for education and training; availability of certain assistance for use only for certain programs of education.”*

*(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2014.*

**SEC. 542. ENHANCEMENT OF MECHANISMS TO CORRELATE SKILLS AND TRAINING FOR MILITARY OCCUPATIONAL SPECIALTIES WITH SKILLS AND TRAINING REQUIRED FOR CIVILIAN CERTIFICATIONS AND LICENSES.**

*(a) IMPROVEMENT OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ABOUT CORRELATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretaries of the military departments, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall, to the maximum extent practicable, make information on civilian credentialing opportunities available to members of the Armed Forces beginning with, and at every stage of, training of members for military occupational specialties, in order to permit members—*

*(A) to evaluate the extent to which such training correlates with the skills and training required in connection with various civilian certifications and licenses; and*

*(B) to assess the suitability of such training for obtaining or pursuing such civilian certifications and licenses.*

*(2) COORDINATION WITH TRANSITION GOALS PLANS SUCCESS PROGRAM.—Information shall be made available under paragraph (1) in a manner consistent with the Transition Goals Plans Success (GPS) program.*

*(3) TYPES OF INFORMATION.—The information made available under paragraph (1) shall include, but not be limited to, the following:*

*(A) Information on the civilian occupational equivalents of military occupational specialties (MOS).*

*(B) Information on civilian license or certification requirements, including examination requirements.*

*(C) Information on the availability and opportunities for use of educational benefits available to members of the Armed Forces, as appropriate, corresponding training, or continuing education that leads to a certification exam in order to provide a pathway to credentialing opportunities.*

*(4) USE AND ADAPTATION OF CERTAIN PROGRAMS.—In making information available under paragraph (1), the Secretaries of the military departments may use and adapt appropriate portions of the Credentialing Opportunities On-Line (COOL) programs of the Army and the Navy and the Credentialing and Educational Research Tool (CERT) of the Air Force.*

(b) *IMPROVEMENT OF ACCESS OF ACCREDITED CIVILIAN CREDENTIALING AND RELATED ENTITIES TO MILITARY TRAINING CONTENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretaries of the military departments, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall, to the maximum extent practicable consistent with national security and privacy requirements, make available to entities specified in paragraph (2), upon request of such entities, information such as military course training curricula, syllabi, and materials, levels of military advancement attained, and professional skills developed.*

(2) *ENTITIES.*—*The entities specified in this paragraph are the following:*

(A) *Civilian credentialing agencies.*

(B) *Entities approved by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or by State approving agencies, for purposes of the use of educational assistance benefits under the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

(3) *CENTRAL REPOSITORY.*—*The actions taken pursuant to paragraph (1) may include the establishment of a central repository of information on training and training materials provided members in connection with military occupational specialities that is readily accessible by entities specified in paragraph (2) in order to meet requests described in paragraph (1).*

**SEC. 543. REPORT ON THE TROOPS TO TEACHERS PROGRAM.**

*Not later than March 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the Troops to Teachers program that includes each of the following:*

(1) *An evaluation of whether there is a need to broaden eligibility to allow service members and veterans without a bachelor's degree admission into the program and whether the program can be strengthened.*

(2) *An evaluation of whether a pilot program should be established to demonstrate the potential benefit of an institutional-based award for troops to teachers, as long as any such pilot program maximizes benefits to service members and minimizes administrative and other overhead costs at the participating academic institutions.*

**SEC. 544. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT ON FEASIBILITY OF REQUIRING AUTOMATIC OPERATION OF CURRENT PROHIBITION ON ACCRUAL OF INTEREST ON DIRECT STUDENT LOANS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with relevant Federal agencies, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report addressing—*

(1) *the feasibility of automatic application of the benefits provided under section 455(o) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(o)) for members of the Armed Forces eligible for the benefits; and*

(2) *if the Secretary determines automatic application of such benefits is feasible, how the Department of Defense would implement the automatic operation of the current prohibition on*

*the accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members, including the Federal agencies with which the Department of Defense would coordinate.*

### ***Subtitle F—Defense Dependents’ Education and Military Family Readiness Matters***

**SEC. 551. CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.**

*(a) ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$25,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (a) of section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).*

*(b) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term “local educational agency” has the meaning given that term in section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9)).*

**SEC. 552. IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES.**

*Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 pursuant to section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$5,000,000 shall be available for payments under section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 114 Stat. 1654A–77; 20 U.S.C. 7703a).*

**SEC. 553. TREATMENT OF TUITION PAYMENTS RECEIVED FOR VIRTUAL ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COMPONENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.**

*(a) CREDITING OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(3) Any payments received by the Secretary of Defense under this subsection shall be credited to the account designated by the Secretary for the operation of the virtual educational program under this subsection. Payments so credited shall be merged with other funds in the account and shall be available, to the extent provided in advance in appropriation Acts, for the same purposes and the same period as other funds in the account.”*

*(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to tuition payments received under section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, for enrollments authorized by such section, after the date of the enactment of this Act, in the virtual elementary and secondary education program of the Department of Defense education program.*

**SEC. 554. FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS FOR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ASSIGNED TO SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.**

(a) *PILOT PROGRAMS AUTHORIZED.*—Consistent with such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe to carry out this section, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command may conduct up to three pilot programs to assess the feasibility and benefits of providing family support activities for the immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces. In selecting and conducting any pilot program under this subsection, the Commander shall coordinate with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.

(b) *SELECTION OF PROGRAMS.*—In selecting the pilot programs to be conducted under subsection (a), the Commander shall—

(1) identify family support activities that have a direct and concrete impact on the readiness of special operations forces, but that are not being provided by the Secretary of a military department to the immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces; and

(2) conduct a cost-benefit analysis of each family support activity proposed to be included in a pilot program.

(c) *EVALUATION.*—The Commander shall develop outcome measurements to evaluate the success of each family support activity included in a pilot program under subsection (a).

(d) *ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.*—The Commander may expend up to \$5,000,000 during each fiscal year specified in subsection (f) to carry out the pilot programs under subsection (a).

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “Commander” means the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command.

(2) The term “immediate family members” has the meaning given that term in section 1789(c) of title 10, United States Code.

(3) The term “special operations forces” means those forces of the Armed Forces identified as special operations forces under section 167(i) of such title.

(f) *DURATION OF PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORITY.*—The authority provided by subsection (a) is available to the Commander during fiscal years 2014 through 2016.

(g) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after completing a pilot program under subsection (a), the Commander shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the results of the pilot program. The Commander shall prepare the report in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.

(2) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT.*—The report shall include the following:

(A) A description of the pilot program to address family support requirements not being provided by the Secretary of a military department to immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.

(B) *An assessment of the impact of the pilot program on the readiness of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.*

(C) *A comparison of the pilot program to other programs conducted by the Secretaries of the military departments to provide family support to immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces.*

(D) *Recommendations for incorporating the lessons learned from the pilot program into family support programs conducted by the Secretaries of the military departments.*

(E) *Any other matters considered appropriate by the Commander or the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.*

**SEC. 555. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PARENTAL RIGHTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES IN CHILD CUSTODY DETERMINATIONS.**

*It is the sense of Congress that State courts should not consider a military deployment, including past, present, or future deployment, as the sole factor in determining child custody in a State court proceeding involving a parent who is a member of the Armed Forces. The best interest of the child should always prevail in custody cases, but members of the Armed Forces should not lose custody of their children based solely upon service in the Armed Forces in defense of the United States.*

## **Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards**

**SEC. 561. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF MEDALS OF HONOR THAT MAY BE AWARDED TO THE SAME MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) *ARMY.—Section 3744(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of honor, distinguished-service cross,” and inserting “distinguished-service cross”.*

(b) *NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.—Section 6247 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of honor,”.*

(c) *AIR FORCE.—Section 8744(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of honor, Air Force cross,” and inserting “Air Force Cross”.*

**SEC. 562. STANDARDIZATION OF TIME-LIMITS FOR RECOMMENDING AND AWARDED MEDAL OF HONOR, DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS, NAVY CROSS, AIR FORCE CROSS, AND DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE MEDAL.**

(a) *ARMY.—Section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “three years” and inserting “five years”; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”; and*

*(2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”.*

(b) *AIR FORCE.—Section 8744 of such title is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)—*

- (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “three years” and inserting “five years”; and  
 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”; and  
 (2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”.

**SEC. 563. RECODIFICATION AND REVISION OF ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND COAST GUARD MEDAL OF HONOR ROLL REQUIREMENTS.**

- (a) **AUTOMATIC ENROLLMENT AND FURNISHING OF CERTIFICATE.**—  
 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1134 the following new section:

**“§ 1134a. Medal of honor: Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll**

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There shall be in the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force, and the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating a roll designated as the ‘Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll’.

“(b) **ENROLLMENT.**—The Secretary concerned shall enter and record on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll the name of each person who has served on active duty in the armed forces and who has been awarded a medal of honor pursuant to section 3741, 6241, or 8741 of this title or section 491 of title 14.

“(c) **ISSUANCE OF ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATE.**—Each living person whose name is entered on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll shall be issued a certificate of enrollment on the roll.

“(d) **ENTITLEMENT TO SPECIAL PENSION; NOTICE TO SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.**—The Secretary concerned shall deliver to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a certified copy of each certificate of enrollment issued under subsection (c). The copy of the certificate shall authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to pay the special pension provided by section 1562 of title 38 to the person named in the certificate.”

- (2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1134 the following new item:

“1134a. Medal of honor: Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll.”

- (b) **SPECIAL PENSION.**—

(1) **AUTOMATIC ENTITLEMENT.**—Subsection (a) of section 1562 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “each person” and inserting “each living person”;

(B) by striking “Honor roll” and inserting “Honor Roll”;

(C) by striking “subsection (c) of section 1561 of this title” and inserting “subsection (d) of section 1134a of title 10”; and

(D) by striking “date of application therefor under section 1560 of this title” and inserting “date on which the person’s

name is entered on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll under subsection (b) of such section”.

(2) **ELECTION TO DECLINE SPECIAL PENSION.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) A person who is entitled to special pension under subsection (a) may elect not to receive special pension by notifying the Secretary of such election in writing.

“(2) Upon receipt of an election made by a person under paragraph (1) not to receive special pension, the Secretary shall cease payments of special pension to the person.”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **REPEAL OF RECODIFIED PROVISIONS.**—Sections 1560 and 1561 of title 38, United States Code, are repealed.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 15 of such title is amended by striking the items relating to sections 1560 and 1561.

(d) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to Medals of Honor awarded on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 564. PROMPT REPLACEMENT OF MILITARY DECORATIONS.**

Section 1135 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **PROMPT REPLACEMENT REQUIRED.**—When a request for the replacement of a military decoration is received under this section or section 3747, 3751, 6253, 8747, or 8751 of this title, the Secretary concerned shall ensure that—

“(1) all actions to be taken with respect to the request, including verification of the service record of the recipient of the military decoration, are completed within one year; and

“(2) the replacement military decoration is mailed to the person requesting the replacement military decoration within 90 days after verification of the service record.”.

**SEC. 565. REVIEW OF ELIGIBILITY FOR, AND AWARD OF, PURPLE HEART TO VICTIMS OF THE ATTACKS AT RECRUITING STATION IN LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS, AND AT FORT HOOD, TEXAS.**

(a) **REVIEW REGARDING SPECIFIED ATTACKS.**—

(1) **REVIEW AND AWARD REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall—

(A) review the circumstances of the attacks that occurred at the recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, on June 1, 2009, and at Fort Hood, Texas, on November 5, 2009, in which members of the Armed Forces were killed and wounded; and

(B) award the Purple Heart to each member determined pursuant to such review to be eligible for the award of the Purple Heart in connection with the death or wounding of the member in the attacks.

(2) **CONSIDERATION OF CERTAIN EVIDENCE.**—In reviewing all the evidence related to the incidents described in paragraph (1)

and the criteria established under Executive Order 11016 (Authorizing the Award of the Purple Heart), the Secretary of the military department concerned shall specifically, but not exclusively, assess whether the members of the Armed Forces killed or wounded at Fort Hood and Little Rock qualify for award of the Purple Heart under the criteria as members of the Armed Forces who were killed or wounded as a result of an act of an enemy of the United States.

(3) *SUBMISSION.*—The results of the review shall be provided to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) *EXCEPTION.*—A Purple Heart may not be awarded pursuant to paragraph (1)(B) to a member of the Armed Forces whose death or wound in an attack described in paragraph (1)(A) was the result of the willful misconduct of the member.

(b) *REVIEW OF THE CRITERIA FOR AWARDING PURPLE HEART.*—

(1) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the criteria used to determine the eligibility of members of the Armed Forces for the award of the Purple Heart. The review shall include the policies and procedures for determining eligibility for the award of the Purple Heart to members who sustain injuries through acts of violence. The purpose of the review is to determine whether those criteria remain relevant for the broad range of circumstances in and outside the United States in which members are killed or wounded.

(2) *SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review. The report shall include the findings of the review and any recommendations the Secretary considers appropriate regarding modifying the criteria for eligibility for the Purple Heart.

**SEC. 566. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR TO FORMER MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES PREVIOUSLY RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR.**

Section 552(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 10 U.S.C. 3741 note) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “HONOR.—”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) In addition to the authority provided by paragraph (1), a Medal of Honor may be awarded to a veteran of the Armed Forces who, although not a Jewish-American war veteran or Hispanic-American war veteran described in subsection (b), was identified during the review of service records conducted under subsection (a) and regarding whom the Secretary of Defense submitted, before January 1, 2014, a recommendation to the President that the President award the Medal of Honor to that veteran.”

**SEC. 567. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE VIETNAM WAR.**

(a) *SERGEANT FIRST CLASS BENNIE G. ADKINS.*—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—*Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President may award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to Bennie G. Adkins of the United States Army for the acts of valor during the Vietnam War described in paragraph (2).*

(2) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—*The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of then Sergeant First Class Bennie G. Adkins of the United States Army serving with Special Forces Detachment A-102 from March 9 to 12, 1966, during the Vietnam War for which he was originally awarded the Distinguished-Service Cross.*

(b) **SPECIALIST FOUR DONALD P. SLOAT.**—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—*Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President may award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to Donald P. Sloat of the United States Army for the acts of valor during the Vietnam War described in paragraph (2).*

(2) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—*The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of then Specialist Four Donald P. Sloat of the United States Army serving with 3rd Platoon, Delta Company, 2nd Battalion, 1st Infantry, 196th Light Infantry Brigade, Americal Division on January 17, 1970, during the Vietnam War.*

**SEC. 568. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE KOREAN AND VIETNAM WARS.**

(a) **SERGEANT FIRST CLASS ROBERT F. KEISER.**—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—*Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army may award the Distinguished-Service Cross under section 3742 of such title to Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser for the acts of valor described in paragraph (2) during the Korean War.*

(2) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—*The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of Robert F. Keiser's on November 30, 1950, as a member of the 2d Military Police Company, 2d Infantry Division, United States Army, during the Division's successful withdrawal from the Kunuri-Sunchon Pass.*

(b) **SERGEANT FIRST CLASS PATRICK N. WATKINS, JR.**—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—*Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army may award the Distinguished-Service Cross under section 3742 of that title to Patrick N. Watkins, Jr., for the acts of valor described in paragraph (2).*

(2) *ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.*—The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of Sergeant First Class Patrick N. Watkins, Jr., from August 22 to August 23, 1968, as a member of the United States Army serving in the grade of Sergeant First Class in the Republic of Vietnam while serving with Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 5th Special Forces Group (Airborne), 1st Special Forces Regiment.

(c) *SPECIALIST FOUR ROBERT L. TOWLES.*—

(1) *WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.*—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army may award the Distinguished Service Cross under section 3742 of that title to Robert L. Towles for the acts of valor described in paragraph (2).

(2) *ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.*—The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of Specialist Four Robert L. Towles, on November 17, 1965, as a member of the United States Army serving in the grade of Specialist Four during the Vietnam War while serving in Company D, 2d Battalion, 7th Cavalry, 1st Cavalry Division, for which he was originally awarded the Bronze Star with “V” Device.

**SEC. 569. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR TO FIRST LIEUTENANT ALONZO H. CUSHING FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE CIVIL WAR.**

(a) *AUTHORIZATION.*—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President may award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to then First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for conspicuous acts of gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of life and beyond the call of duty in the Civil War, as described in subsection (b).

(b) *ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.*—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of then First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing while in command of Battery A, 4th United States Artillery, Army of the Potomac, at Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, on July 3, 1863, during the Civil War.

## **Subtitle H—Other Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports**

**SEC. 571. REPORT ON FEASIBILITY OF EXPANDING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORTS TO INCLUDE 360-DEGREE ASSESSMENT APPROACH.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of an assessment of the feasibility of including a 360-degree assessment approach, modeled after the current Department of the Army Multi-Source Assessment and Feedback (MSAF) Program, as part of performance evaluation reports.

**SEC. 572. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PERSONNEL POLICIES REGARDING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WITH HIV OR HEPATITIS B.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding members of the Armed Forces infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or Hepatitis B. The report shall include the following:

(1) A description of policies addressing the enlistment or commissioning of individuals with these conditions and retention policies, deployment policies, discharge policies, and disciplinary policies regarding individuals with these conditions.

(2) An assessment of these policies, including an assessment of whether the policies reflect an evidence-based, medically accurate understanding of how these conditions are contracted, how these conditions can be transmitted to other individuals, and the risk of transmission.

**SEC. 573. POLICY ON MILITARY RECRUITMENT AND ENLISTMENT OF GRADUATES OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS.**

(a) **CONDITIONS ON USE OF TEST, ASSESSMENT, OR SCREENING TOOLS.**—In the case of any test, assessment, or screening tool utilized under the policy on recruitment and enlistment required by subsection (b) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1403; 10 U.S.C. 503 note) for the purpose of identifying persons for recruitment and enlistment in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) implement a means for ensuring that graduates of a secondary school (as defined in section 9101(38) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801(38)), including all persons described in subsection (a)(2) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, are required to meet the same standard on the test, assessment, or screening tool; and

(2) use uniform testing requirements and grading standards.

(b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in section 532(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 or this section shall be construed to permit the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department to create or use a different grading standard on any test, assessment, or screening tool utilized for the purpose of identifying graduates of a secondary school (as defined in section 9101(38) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801(38)), including all persons described in subsection (a)(2) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, for recruitment and enlistment in the Armed Forces.

**SEC. 574. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON USE OF DETERMINATION OF PERSONALITY DISORDER OR ADJUSTMENT DISORDER AS BASIS TO SEPARATE MEMBERS FROM THE ARMED FORCES.**

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report evaluating—

(1) *the use by the Secretaries of the military departments, since January 1, 2007, of the authority to separate members of the Armed Forces from the Armed Forces due of unfitness for duty because of a mental condition not amounting to disability, including separation on the basis of a personality disorder or adjustment disorder and the total number of members separated on such basis;*

(2) *the extent to which the Secretaries failed to comply with regulatory requirements in separating members of the Armed Forces on the basis of a personality or adjustment disorder; and*

(3) *the impact of such a separation on the ability of veterans so separated to access service-connected disability compensation, disability severance pay, and disability retirement pay.*

### ***Subtitle I—Other Matters***

**SEC. 581. ACCOUNTING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES LISTED AS MISSING AND RELATED REPORTS.**

(a) **SYSTEM FOR ACCOUNTING FOR MISSING PERSONS.**—Section 1501(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) *in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;*

(2) *in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and*

(3) *by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*“(D) the dissemination of appropriate information on the status of missing persons to authorized family members.”.*

(b) **REPORT ON ACCOUNTING FOR POW/MIAS.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on accounting for missing persons from covered conflicts.*

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—*The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

(A) *The total number of missing persons in all covered conflicts and in each covered conflict.*

(B) *The total number of missing persons in all covered conflicts, and in each covered conflict, that are considered unrecoverable, including—*

(i) *the total number in each conflict that are considered unrecoverable by being lost at sea or in inaccessible terrain;*

(ii) *the total number from the Korean War that are considered to be located in each of China, North Korea, and Russia.*

(C) *The total number of missing persons in all covered conflicts, and in each covered conflict, that were interred without identification, including the locations of interment.*

(D) *The number of remains in the custody of the Department of Defense that are awaiting identification, and the number of such remains estimated by the Department to be likely to be identified using current technology.*

(E) *The total number of identifications of remains that have been made since January 1, 1970, for all covered conflicts and for each covered conflict.*

(F) *The number of instances where next of kin have refused to provide a DNA sample for the identification of recovered remains, for each covered conflict.*

(3) *DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:*

(A) *The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—*

*(i) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and*

*(ii) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives.*

(B) *The term “covered conflicts” means the conflicts specified in or designated under section 1509(a) of title 10, United States Code, as of the date of the report required by paragraph (1).*

(C) *The term “missing persons” has the meaning given that term in section 1513(1) of such title.*

(c) *REPORT ON POW/MIA ACCOUNTING COMMUNITY.—*

(1) *REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the POW/MIA accounting community.*

(2) *ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

(A) *A description and assessment of the current structure of the POW/MIA accounting community.*

(B) *A description of how the Secretary of Defense will ensure increased oversight of the POW/MIA accounting mission regardless of changes to the POW/MIA accounting community.*

(C) *An assessment of the feasibility and advisability of reorganizing the community into a single, central command, including—*

*(i) an identification of the elements that could be organized into such command; and*

*(ii) an assessment of cost-savings, advantages, and disadvantages of—*

*(I) transferring the command and control of the Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command (JPAC) and the Central Identification Laboratory (CIL) from the United States Pacific Command to the Office of the Secretary of Defense;*

*(II) merging the Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command and the Central Identification Laboratory with the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office (DPMO); and*

*(III) merging the Central Identification Laboratory with the Armed Forces DNA Identification Lab (AF-DIL).*

(D) A recommendation on the element of the Department of Defense to be responsible for directing POW/MIA accounting activities, and on whether all elements of the POW/MIA accounting community should report to that element.

(E) An estimate of the costs to be incurred, and the cost savings to be achieved—

(i) by relocating central POW/MIA accounting activities to the continental United States;

(ii) by closing or consolidating existing Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command facilities; and

(iii) through any actions with respect to the POW/MIA accounting community and POW/MIA accounting activities that the Secretary considers advisable for purposes of the report.

(F) An assessment of the feasibility and advisability of the use by the Department of university anthropology or archaeology programs to conduct field work, particularly in politically sensitive environments, including an assessment of—

(i) the potential cost of the use of such programs;

(ii) whether the use of such programs would result in a greater number of identifications; and

(iii) whether the use of such programs would be consistent with requirements to preserve the integrity of the identification process.

(G) A survey of the manner in which other countries conduct accounting for missing persons, and an assessment whether such practices can be used by the United States to enhance programs to recover and identify missing members of the United States Armed Forces.

(H) A recommendation as to the advisability of continuing to use a military model for recovery operations, including the impact of the use of such model on diplomatic relations with countries in which the United States seeks to conduct recovery operations.

(I) Such recommendations for the reorganization of the POW/MIA accounting community as the Secretary considers appropriate in light of the other elements of the report, including an estimate of the additional numbers of recoveries and identifications anticipated to be made by the accounting community as a result of implementation of the reorganization.

(3) BASIS IN PREVIOUS RECOMMENDATIONS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall take into account recommendations previously made by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, and the Comptroller General of the United States regarding the organization of the POW/MIA accounting community.

(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(i) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and

(ii) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives.

(B) The term “POW/MIA accounting community” has the meaning given that term in section 1509(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 582. EXPANSION OF PRIVILEGED INFORMATION AUTHORITIES TO DEBRIEFING REPORTS OF CERTAIN RECOVERED PERSONS WHO WERE NEVER PLACED IN A MISSING STATUS.**

(a) *EXPANSION OF COVERED REPORTS.*—Section 1506 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) The Secretary concerned shall withhold from personnel files under this section, as privileged information, any survival, evasion, resistance, and escape debriefing report provided by a person described in section 1501(c) of this title who is returned to United States control which is obtained under a promise of confidentiality made for the purpose of ensuring the fullest possible disclosure of information.”; and

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “paragraphs (2) and (3)” and inserting “paragraphs (3) and (4)”.

(b) *DEFINITION APPLICABLE TO COVERED REPORTS.*—Section 1513 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) The term ‘survival, evasion, resistance, and escape debriefing’ means an interview conducted with a person described in section 1501(c) of this title who is returned to United States control in order to record the person’s experiences while surviving, evading, resisting interrogation or exploitation, or escaping.”.

**SEC. 583. REVISION OF SPECIFIED SENIOR MILITARY COLLEGES TO REFLECT CONSOLIDATION OF NORTH GEORGIA COLLEGE AND STATE UNIVERSITY AND GAINESVILLE STATE COLLEGE.**

Paragraph (6) of section 2111a(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(6) The University of North Georgia.”.

**SEC. 584. REVIEW OF SECURITY OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS, INCLUDING BARRACKS, TEMPORARY LODGING FACILITIES, AND MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCES.**

(a) *REVIEW OF SECURITY MEASURES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of security measures on United States military installations, specifically with regard to access to barracks, temporary lodging facilities, and multi-family residences on military installations, for the purpose of ensuring the safety of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents who reside on military installations.

(b) *ELEMENTS OF STUDY.*—In conducting the review under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

- (1) identify security gaps on military installations; and
- (2) evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of using 24-hour electronic monitoring or other security measures to protect members and their dependents.

(c) *SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), including proposed security measures and an estimate of the costs—

- (1) to eliminate all security gaps identified under subsection (b)(1); and
- (2) to provide 24-hour security monitoring or other security measures as evaluated under subsection (b)(2).

**SEC. 585. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CONCESSIONS CONTRACTS AT ARMY NATIONAL MILITARY CEMETERIES.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 446 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 4727. Cemetery concessions contracts**

“(a) *CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Army may enter into a contract with an appropriate entity for the provision of transportation, interpretative, or other necessary or appropriate concession services to visitors at the Army National Military Cemeteries.

“(b) *SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS.*—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall establish and include in each concession contract such requirements as the Secretary determines are necessary to ensure the protection, dignity, and solemnity of the cemetery at which services are provided under the contract.

“(2) A concession contract shall not include operation of the gift shop at Arlington National Cemetery without the specific prior authorization by an Act of Congress.

“(c) *FRANCHISE FEES.*—A concession contract shall provide for payment to the United States of a franchise fee or such other monetary consideration as determined by the Secretary of the Army. The Secretary shall ensure that the objective of generating revenue for the United States is subordinate to the objectives of honoring the service and sacrifices of the deceased members of the armed forces and of providing necessary and appropriate services for visitors to the Cemeteries at reasonable rates.

“(d) *SPECIAL ACCOUNT.*—All franchise fees (and other monetary consideration) collected by the United States under subsection (c) shall be deposited into a special account established in the Treasury of the United States. The funds deposited in such account shall be available for expenditure by the Secretary of the Army, to the extent authorized and in such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriations Acts, to support activities at the Cemeteries. The funds deposited into the account shall remain available until expended.

“(e) *CONCESSION CONTRACT DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘concession contract’ means a contract authorized and entered into under this section.”

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—*The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*“4727. Cemetery concessions contracts.”.*

**SEC. 586. MILITARY SALUTE DURING RECITATION OF PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES NOT IN UNIFORM AND BY VETERANS.**

*Section 4 of title 4, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and veterans may render the military salute in the manner provided for persons in uniform.”.*

**SEC. 587. IMPROVED CLIMATE ASSESSMENTS AND DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS.**

(a) *IMPROVED DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS IN CHAIN OF COMMAND.*—*The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the results of command climate assessments are provided to the relevant individual commander and to the next higher level of command.*

(b) *EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE.*—*The Secretary of each military department shall require in the performance evaluations and assessments used by each Armed Force under the jurisdiction of the Secretary a statement by the commander regarding whether the commander has conducted the required command climate assessments.*

(c) *EFFECT OF FAILURE TO CONDUCT ASSESSMENT.*—*The failure of a commander to conduct the required command climate assessments shall be noted in the commander’s performance evaluation.*

## **TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS**

### *Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances*

*Sec. 601. Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances.*

*Sec. 602. Recognition of additional means by which members of the National Guard called into Federal service for a period of 30 days or less may initially report for duty for entitlement to basic pay.*

### *Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays*

*Sec. 611. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.*

*Sec. 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.*

*Sec. 613. One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.*

*Sec. 614. One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.*

*Sec. 615. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.*

*Sec. 616. One-year extension of authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.*

*Sec. 617. Authority to provide bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers’ Training Corps.*

*Sec. 618. Health Professions Stipend Program to obtain commissioned officers in the reserve components.*

### *Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances*

*Sec. 621. Technical and standardizing amendments to Department of Defense travel and transportation authorities in connection with reform of such authorities.*

*Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits*

- Sec. 631. Clarification of prevention of retired pay inversion in the case of members whose retired pay is computed using high-three.
- Sec. 632. Periodic notice to members of the Ready Reserve on early retirement credit earned for significant periods of active Federal status or active duty.
- Sec. 633. Improved assistance for Gold Star spouses and other dependents.

*Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations*

- Sec. 641. Expansion of protection of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities from reprisals.
- Sec. 642. Modernization of titles of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities for purposes of certain civil service laws.

*Subtitle F—Other Matters*

- Sec. 651. Authority to provide certain expenses for care and disposition of human remains that were retained by the Department of Defense for forensic pathology investigation.
- Sec. 652. Study of the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation and other transitional benefits to dependents of members separated for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

**Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances****SEC. 601. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY INCREASE IN RATES OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.**

Section 403(b)(7)(E) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 602. RECOGNITION OF ADDITIONAL MEANS BY WHICH MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL GUARD CALLED INTO FEDERAL SERVICE FOR A PERIOD OF 30 DAYS OR LESS MAY INITIALLY REPORT FOR DUTY FOR ENTITLEMENT TO BASIC PAY.**

Subsection (c) of section 204 of title 37, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(c)(1) A member of the National Guard who is called into Federal service for a period of 30 days or less is entitled to basic pay from the date on which the member, in person or by authorized telephonic or electronic means, contacts the member’s unit.

“(2) Paragraph (1) does not authorize any expenditure to be paid for a period before the date on which the unit receives the member’s contact provided under such paragraph.

“(3) The Secretary of the Army, with respect to the Army National Guard, and the Secretary of the Air Force, with respect to the Air National Guard, shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this subsection.”.

**Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays****SEC. 611. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR RESERVE FORCES.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 308b(g), relating to Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus.

(2) Section 308c(i), relating to Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus.

(3) Section 308d(c), relating to special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units.

(4) Section 308g(f)(2), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service.

(5) Section 308h(e), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(6) Section 308i(f), relating to Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(7) Section 478a(e), relating to reimbursement of travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance.

(8) Section 910(g), relating to income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

**SEC. 612. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.**

(a) TITLE 10 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 2130a(a)(1), relating to nurse officer candidate accession program.

(2) Section 16302(d), relating to repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve.

(b) TITLE 37 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 302c–1(f), relating to accession and retention bonuses for psychologists.

(2) Section 302d(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for registered nurses.

(3) Section 302e(a)(1), relating to incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.

(4) Section 302g(e), relating to special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties.

(5) Section 302h(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for dental officers.

(6) Section 302j(a), relating to accession bonus for pharmacy officers.

(7) Section 302k(f), relating to accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties.

(8) Section 302l(g), relating to accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

**SEC. 613. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES FOR NUCLEAR OFFICERS.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 312(f), relating to special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service.

(2) Section 312b(c), relating to nuclear career accession bonus.

(3) Section 312c(d), relating to nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

**SEC. 614. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO TITLE 37 CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY, INCENTIVE PAY, AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 331(h), relating to general bonus authority for enlisted members.

(2) Section 332(g), relating to general bonus authority for officers.

(3) Section 333(i), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers.

(4) Section 334(i), relating to special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers.

(5) Section 335(k), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions.

(6) Section 351(h), relating to hazardous duty pay.

(7) Section 352(g), relating to assignment pay or special duty pay.

(8) Section 353(i), relating to skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus.

(9) Section 355(h), relating to retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

**SEC. 615. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER TITLE 37 BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 301b(a), relating to aviation officer retention bonus.

(2) Section 307a(g), relating to assignment incentive pay.

(3) Section 308(g), relating to reenlistment bonus for active members.

(4) Section 309(e), relating to enlistment bonus.

(5) Section 324(g), relating to accession bonus for new officers in critical skills.

(6) Section 326(g), relating to incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage.

(7) Section 327(h), relating to incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces.

(8) Section 330(f), relating to accession bonus for officer candidates.

**SEC. 616. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE INCENTIVE PAY FOR MEMBERS OF PRECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMS PURSUING FOREIGN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY.**

Section 316a(g) of title 37, United States Code is amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 617. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE BONUS TO CERTAIN CADETS AND MIDSHIPMEN ENROLLED IN THE SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS.**

(a) *BONUS AUTHORIZED.*—Chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 335 the following new section:

**“§336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps**

“(a) *CONTRACTING BONUS AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary concerned may pay a bonus under this section to a cadet or midshipman enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps who executes a written agreement described in subsection (c).

“(b) *AMOUNT OF BONUS.*—The amount of a bonus under subsection (a) may not exceed \$5,000.

“(c) *AGREEMENT.*—A written agreement referred to in subsection (a) is a written agreement by the cadet or midshipman—

“(1) to complete field training or a practice cruise under section 2104(b)(6)(A)(ii) of title 10;

“(2) to complete advanced training under chapter 103 of title 10;

“(3) to accept a commission or appointment as an officer of the armed forces; and

“(4) to serve on active duty.

“(d) *PAYMENT METHOD.*—Upon acceptance of a written agreement under subsection (a) by the Secretary concerned, the total amount of the bonus payable under the agreement becomes fixed. The agreement shall specify when the bonus will be paid and whether the bonus will be paid in a lump sum or in installments.

“(e) *REPAYMENT.*—A person who, having received all or part of a bonus under subsection (a), fails to fulfill the terms of the written agreement required by such subsection for receipt of the bonus shall be subject to the repayment provisions of section 373 of this title.

“(f) *REGULATIONS.*—The Secretary concerned shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section.

“(g) *TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.*—No agreement under this section may be entered into after December 31, 2014.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 335 the following new item:

“336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.”.

**SEC. 618. HEALTH PROFESSIONS STIPEND PROGRAM TO OBTAIN COMMISSIONED OFFICERS IN THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

(a) *AVAILABILITY OF STIPEND FOR REGISTERED NURSES IN CRITICAL SPECIALTIES.*—Subsection (d) of section 16201 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following new subparagraph:

“(B) is eligible for appointment as a Reserve officer for service in a reserve component in a Nurse Corps or as a nurse; and”;

and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following new subparagraph:

“(B) the participant shall not be eligible to receive such stipend before being appointed as a Reserve officer for service in the Ready Reserve in a Nurse Corps or as a nurse;”.

(b) **SERVICE REQUIRED IN SELECTED RESERVE.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “the Ready Reserve” and inserting “the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve”;

(2) in subsection (c)(2), by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following new subparagraph:

“(D) the participant shall agree to serve, upon successful completion of the program, one year in the Selected Reserve for each six months, or part thereof, for which the stipend is provided.”;

(3) in subsection (d)(2), by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following new subparagraph:

“(D) the participant shall agree to serve, upon successful completion of the program, one year in the Selected Reserve for each six months, or part thereof, for which the stipend is provided.”; and

(4) in subsection (e)(2)(D), by striking “the Ready Reserve” and inserting “the Selected Reserve”.

(c) **AMOUNT OF STIPEND.**—Subsection (g) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(g) **AMOUNT OF STIPEND.**—The amount of a stipend under an agreement under subsection (b), (c), (d), or (f) shall be the stipend rate in effect for participants in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program under section 2121(d) of this title.”.

## **Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances**

### **SEC. 621. TECHNICAL AND STANDARDIZING AMENDMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITIES IN CONNECTION WITH REFORM OF SUCH AUTHORITIES.**

(a) **ESCORTS OF DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS.**—

(1) **INCORPORATION OF ESCORTS OF DEPENDENTS UNDER GENERAL AUTHORITY.**—Section 451(a)(2)(C) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period the following: “or as an escort or attendant for dependents of a member for necessary travel performed not later than one year after the member is unable to accompany the dependents who are incapable of traveling alone”.

(2) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.**—(A) Section 1036 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 53 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1036.

(b) **TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION OF DEPENDENT PATIENTS.**—Section 1040 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “round-trip transportation” and all that follows through “may be paid at the expense of the United States” and inserting “travel and transpor-

*tation allowances may be furnished to necessary attendants. The dependents and any attendants shall be furnished such travel and transportation allowances as specified in regulations prescribed under section 464 of title 37.”; and*

*(2) by striking subsection (d).*

**(c) TRAVEL IN CONNECTION WITH LEAVE CANCELLED DUE TO CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—**

**(1) INCORPORATION OF EXPENSES UNDER GENERAL AUTHORITY.—**Section 453 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

*“(g) REIMBURSEMENT FOR TRAVEL IN CONNECTION WITH LEAVE CANCELLED DUE TO CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.—A member may be reimbursed as specified in regulations prescribed under section 464 of this title for travel and related expenses incurred by the member as a result of the cancellation of previously approved leave when the leave is cancelled in conjunction with the member’s participation in a contingency operation and the cancellation occurs within 48 hours of the time the leave would have commenced. The settlement for reimbursement under this subsection is final and conclusive.”.*

**(2) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.—(A)** Section 1053a of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

**(B)** The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 53 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1053a.

**(d) TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION FOR TRAVEL FOR SPECIALTY HEALTH CARE.—**Section 1074i of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking “reimbursement for reasonable travel expenses” and inserting “travel and transportation allowances as specified in regulations prescribed under section 464 of title 37”; and*

*(2) in subsection (b), striking “REIMBURSEMENT FOR TRAVEL UNDER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.—The Secretary of Defense may provide reimbursement for reasonable travel expenses of” and inserting “ALLOWABLE TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION UNDER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.—The Secretary of Defense may provide travel and transportation allowances as specified in the regulations referred to in subsection (a) for”.*

**(e) TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISPOSITION OF REMAINS OF MEMBERS.—**Section 1482(a)(8) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “and roundtrip transportation and prescribed allowances” and inserting “and travel and transportation allowances as specified in regulations prescribed under section 464 of title 37”.

**(f) TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION IN CONNECTION WITH FUNERAL HONORS FUNCTIONS AT FUNERALS FOR VETERANS.—**Section 1491(d)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “transportation (or reimbursement for transportation) and expenses” and inserting “travel and transportation allowances as specified in regulations prescribed under section 464 of title 37”.

**(g) REPEAL OF REDUNDANT AUTHORITY ON MOTOR VEHICLE TRANSPORTATION OR STORAGE FOR MEMBERS UNDERGOING PCS OR EXTENDED DEPLOYMENT.—**

(1) *REPEAL.*—Section 2634 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 157 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2634.

(h) *CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON TRANSPORTATION OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS.*—Section 453(c)(3) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “(including packing, crating, and household goods in temporary storage)” and inserting “(including household goods in temporary storage, but excluding packing and crating)”.

## **Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits**

### **SEC. 631. CLARIFICATION OF PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSION IN THE CASE OF MEMBERS WHOSE RETIRED PAY IS COMPUTED USING HIGH-THREE.**

(a) *CLARIFICATION.*—Subsection (f) of section 1401a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the” and inserting “PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSIONS FOR MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING FINAL BASIC PAY.—The”; and

(B) by inserting “who first became a member of a uniformed service before September 8, 1980, and” after “of an armed force”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) *PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSIONS FOR MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING HIGH-THREE.*—Subject to subsections (d) and (e), the monthly retired pay of a member or former member of an armed force who first became a member of a uniformed service on or after September 8, 1980, may not be less, on the date on which the member or former member initially becomes entitled to such pay, than the monthly retired pay to which the member or former member would be entitled on that date if the member or former member had become entitled to retired pay on an earlier date, adjusted to reflect any applicable increases in such pay under this section. However, in the case of a member or former member whose retired pay is computed subject to section 1407(f) of this title, paragraph (1) (rather than the preceding sentence) shall apply in the same manner as if the member or former member first became a member of a uniformed service before September 8, 1980, but only with respect to a calculation as of the date on which the member or former member first became entitled to retired pay.”.

(b) *CROSS-REFERENCE AMENDMENTS.*—Such section is further amended by striking “subsection (f)(2)” in subsections (c)(1), (c)(2), (d), and (e) and inserting “subsection (f)(3)”.

(c) *APPLICABILITY.*—Paragraph (2) of section 1401a(f) of title 10, United States Code, as added by the amendment made by subsection (a)(3), applies to the computation of retired pay or retainer pay of any person who first became a member of a uniformed service on or after September 8, 1980, regardless of when the member first becomes entitled to retired or retainer pay.

**SEC. 632. PERIODIC NOTICE TO MEMBERS OF THE READY RESERVE ON EARLY RETIREMENT CREDIT EARNED FOR SIGNIFICANT PERIODS OF ACTIVE FEDERAL STATUS OR ACTIVE DUTY.**

Section 12731(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) The Secretary concerned shall periodically notify each member of the Ready Reserve described by paragraph (2) of the current eligibility age for retired pay of such member under this section, including any reduced eligibility age by reason of the operation of that paragraph. Notice shall be provided by such means as the Secretary considers appropriate taking into account the cost of provision of notice and the convenience of members.”.

**SEC. 633. IMPROVED ASSISTANCE FOR GOLD STAR SPOUSES AND OTHER DEPENDENTS.**

(a) *ADVOCATES FOR GOLD STAR SPOUSES AND OTHER DEPENDENTS.*—Each Secretary of a military department shall designate for each Armed Force under the jurisdiction of such Secretary a member of such Armed Force or civilian employee of such military department to assist spouses and other dependents of members of such Armed Force (including reserve components thereof) who die on active duty through the provision of the following services:

(1) Addressing complaints by spouses and other dependents of deceased members regarding casualty assistance or receipt of benefits authorized by law for such spouses and dependents.

(2) Providing support to such spouses and dependents regarding such casualty assistance or receipt of such benefits.

(3) Making reports to appropriate officers or officials in the Department of Defense or the military department concerned regarding resolution of such complaints, including recommendations regarding the settlement of claims with respect to such benefits, as appropriate.

(4) Performing such other actions as the Secretary of the military department concerned considers appropriate.

(b) *TRAINING FOR CASUALTY ASSISTANCE PERSONNEL.*—

(1) *TRAINING PROGRAM REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall implement a standardized comprehensive training program on casualty assistance for the following personnel of the Department of Defense:

(A) Casualty assistance officers.

(B) Casualty assistance calls officers.

(C) Casualty assistance representatives.

(2) *GENERAL ELEMENTS.*—The training program required by paragraph (1) shall include training designed to ensure that the personnel specified in that paragraph provide the spouse and other dependents of a deceased member of the Armed Forces with accurate information on the benefits to which they are entitled and other casualty assistance available to them when the member dies while serving on active duty in the Armed Forces.

(3) *SERVICE-SPECIFIC ELEMENTS.*—The Secretary of the military department concerned may, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, provide for the inclusion in the training program required by paragraph (1) that is provided to casualty assistance personnel of such military department such elements of training that are specific or unique to the requirements or particulars of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of such military department as the Secretary of the military department concerned considers appropriate.

(4) *FREQUENCY OF TRAINING.*—Training shall be provided under the program required by paragraph (1) not less often than annually.

### **Subtitle E—Commissary and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations**

#### **SEC. 641. EXPANSION OF PROTECTION OF EMPLOYEES OF NON-APPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES FROM REPRISALS.**

Section 1587(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “take or fail to take” the following: “, or threaten to take or fail to take.”

#### **SEC. 642. MODERNIZATION OF TITLES OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES FOR PURPOSES OF CERTAIN CIVIL SERVICE LAWS.**

Section 2105(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “Army and Air Force Motion Picture Service, Navy Ship’s Stores Ashore” and inserting “Navy Ships Stores Program”.

### **Subtitle F—Other Matters**

#### **SEC. 651. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE CERTAIN EXPENSES FOR CARE AND DISPOSITION OF HUMAN REMAINS THAT WERE RETAINED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR FORENSIC PATHOLOGY INVESTIGATION.**

(a) *DISPOSITION OF REMAINS OF PERSONS WHOSE DEATH IS INVESTIGATED BY THE ARMED FORCES MEDICAL EXAMINER.*—

(1) *COVERED DECEDENTS.*—Section 1481(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) To the extent authorized under section 1482(g) of this title, any person not otherwise covered by the preceding paragraphs whose remains (or partial remains) have been retained by the Secretary concerned for purposes of a forensic pathology investigation by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of this title.”

(2) *AUTHORIZED EXPENSES RELATING TO CARE AND DISPOSITION OF REMAINS.*—Section 1482 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) The payment of expenses incident to the recovery, care, and disposition of the remains of a decedent covered by section

1481(a)(10) of this title is limited to those expenses that, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, would not have been incurred but for the retention of those remains for purposes of a forensic pathology investigation by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of this title.

“(2) In a case covered by paragraph (1), if the person designated under subsection (c) to direct disposition of the remains of a decedent does not direct disposition of the remains that were retained for the forensic pathology investigation, the Secretary may pay for the transportation of those remains to, and interment or inurnment of those remains in, an appropriate place selected by the Secretary, in lieu of the transportation authorized to be paid under paragraph (8) of subsection (a).

“(3) In a case covered by paragraph (1), expenses that may be paid do not include expenses with respect to an escort under paragraph (8) of subsection (a), whether or not on a reimbursable basis.

“(4) The Secretary concerned may pay any other expenses relating to the remains of such a decedent that are authorized to be paid under this section on a reimbursable basis. Amounts reimbursed to the Secretary concerned under this subsection shall be credited to appropriations available at the time of reimbursement for the payment of such expenses.”

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF COVERAGE OF INURNMENT.**—Section 1482(a)(9) of such title is amended by inserting “or inurnment” after “Interment”.

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—Section 1482(f) of such title is amended by striking the third sentence and inserting the following new sentence: “The Secretary concerned may pay any other expenses relating to the remains of such a decedent that are authorized to be paid under this section only on a reimbursable basis.”

**SEC. 652. STUDY OF THE MERITS AND FEASIBILITY OF PROVIDING TRANSITIONAL COMPENSATION AND OTHER TRANSITIONAL BENEFITS TO DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS SEPARATED FOR VIOLATION OF THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study regarding the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation and other transitional benefits to dependents or former dependents of members of the Armed Forces who are separated from the Armed Forces for a violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice under the circumstances described in subsection (b).

(b) **COVERED MEMBERS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.**—The scope of the study required by subsection (a) is limited to those circumstances in which members of the Armed Forces—

(1) are convicted by court-martial of an offense under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice);

(2) are separated from active duty pursuant to the sentence of the court-martial; and

(3) forfeit all pay and allowances pursuant to such sentence.

(c) **STUDY ELEMENTS.**—In conducting the study required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consider the following:

(1) *The appropriateness of providing transitional compensation and other benefits, including commissary and exchange benefits, to dependents or former dependents of members described in subsection (b), particularly in situations in which such dependents or former dependents would be entitled, or soon be entitled, to such benefits on account of the years of service of a member.*

(2) *Whether there may be instances in which the provision of such transitional compensation would not be appropriate.*

(3) *Whether such transitional compensation should be limited to dependent children of members described in subsection (b).*

(4) *The appropriate duration of such transitional compensation for such dependents or former dependents.*

(5) *The potential duplication of such transitional compensation with benefits otherwise available for such dependents or former dependents under title 10, United States Code, or other laws.*

(d) *SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the study required by subsection (a), including the Secretary's determination regarding the need for transitional compensation.*

## **TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS**

### *Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits*

*Sec. 701. Future availability of TRICARE Prime for certain beneficiaries enrolled in TRICARE Prime.*

*Sec. 702. Mental health care treatment through telemedicine.*

*Sec. 703. Comprehensive policy on improvements to care and transition of members of the Armed Forces with urotrauma.*

*Sec. 704. Pilot program on investigational treatment of members of the Armed Forces for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder.*

### *Subtitle B—Health Care Administration*

*Sec. 711. Authority of Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to other nonprofit entities.*

*Sec. 712. Pilot program on increased third-party collection reimbursements in military medical treatment facilities.*

*Sec. 713. Electronic health records of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.*

### *Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters*

*Sec. 721. Display of budget information for embedded mental health providers of the reserve components.*

*Sec. 722. Report on role of Department of Veterans Affairs in certain Centers of Excellence.*

*Sec. 723. Report on memorandum regarding traumatic brain injuries.*

*Sec. 724. Report on provision of advanced prosthetics and orthotics to members of the Armed Forces and veterans.*

*Sec. 725. Comptroller General reports on TRICARE recovery audit program and availability of compounded pharmaceuticals.*

## **Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits**

### **SEC. 701. FUTURE AVAILABILITY OF TRICARE PRIME FOR CERTAIN BENEFICIARIES ENROLLED IN TRICARE PRIME.**

Section 732 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1816) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and
- (2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **ACCESS TO TRICARE PRIME.**—

“(1) **ONE-TIME ELECTION.**—Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary shall ensure that each affected eligible beneficiary who is enrolled in TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, may make a one-time election to continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime, notwithstanding that a contract described in subsection (a)(2)(A) does not allow for such enrollment based on the location in which such beneficiary resides. The beneficiary may continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime so long as the beneficiary resides in the same ZIP code as the ZIP code in which the beneficiary resided at the time of such election.

“(2) **ENROLLMENT IN TRICARE STANDARD.**—If an affected eligible beneficiary makes the one-time election under paragraph (1), the beneficiary may thereafter elect to enroll in TRICARE Standard at any time in accordance with a contract described in subsection (a)(2)(A).

“(3) **RESIDENCE AT TIME OF ELECTION.**—An affected eligible beneficiary may not make the one-time election under paragraph (1) if, at the time of such election, the beneficiary does not reside—

“(A) in a ZIP code that is in a region described in subsection (c)(1)(B); and

“(B) within 100 miles of a military medical treatment facility.

“(4) **NETWORK.**—In continuing enrollment in TRICARE Prime pursuant to paragraph (1), the Secretary may determine whether to maintain a TRICARE network of providers in an area that is between 40 and 100 miles of a military medical treatment facility.”.

### **SEC. 702. MENTAL HEALTH CARE TREATMENT THROUGH TELEMEDICINE.**

(a) **PROVISION OF MENTAL HEALTH CARE VIA TELEMEDICINE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out the Transitional Assistance Management Program, the Secretary of Defense may extend the coverage of such program for covered individuals for an additional 180 days for mental health care provided through telemedicine.

(2) **REPORT.**—If the Secretary extends coverage under paragraph (1), by not later than one year after the date of carrying out such extension, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(A) The rate at which individuals are using the extended coverage provided pursuant to paragraph (1).

(B) A description of the mental health care provided pursuant to such subsection.

(C) An analysis of how the Secretary and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs coordinate the continuation of care with respect to veterans who are no longer eligible for the Transitional Assistance Management Program.

(D) Any other factors the Secretary of Defense determines necessary with respect to extending coverage of the Transitional Assistance Management Program.

(3) **TERMINATION.**—The authority of the Secretary to carry out subsection (a) shall terminate on December 31, 2018.

(b) **REPORT ON USE OF TELEMEDICINE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use of telemedicine to improve the diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injuries, and mental health conditions.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall address the following:

(A) The current status, as of the date of the report, of telemedicine initiatives within the Department of Defense to diagnose and treat post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injuries, and mental health conditions.

(B) Plans for integrating telemedicine into the military health care system, including in health care delivery, records management, medical education, public health, and private sector partnerships.

(C) The status of the integration of the telemedicine initiatives of the Department with the telemedicine initiatives of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(D) A description and assessment of challenges to the use of telemedicine as a means of in-home treatment, outreach in rural areas, and in settings that provide group treatment or therapy in connection with treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injuries, and mental health conditions, and a description and assessment of efforts to address such challenges.

(E) A description of privacy issues related to the use of telemedicine for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injuries, and mental health conditions, and recommendations for mechanisms to remedy any privacy concerns relating to such use of telemedicine.

(F) A description of professional licensing issues with respect to licensed medical providers who provide treatment using telemedicine.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “covered individual” means an individual who—

(A) during the initial 180-day period of being enrolled in the Transitional Assistance Management Program, received any mental health care; or

(B) during the one-year period preceding separation or discharge from the Armed Forces, received any mental health care.

(2) The term “telemedicine” means the use by a health care provider of telecommunications to assist in the diagnosis or treatment of a patient’s medical condition.

**SEC. 703. COMPREHENSIVE POLICY ON IMPROVEMENTS TO CARE AND TRANSITION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WITH UROTRAUMA.**

(a) **COMPREHENSIVE POLICY REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly develop and implement a comprehensive policy on improvements to the care, management, and transition of recovering members of the Armed Forces with urotrauma.

(2) **SCOPE OF POLICY.**—The policy shall cover each of the following:

(A) The care and management of the specific needs of members who are urotrauma patients, including eligibility for the Recovery Care Coordinator Program pursuant to the Wounded Warrior Act (10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(B) The return of members who have recovered to active duty when appropriate.

(C) The transition of recovering members from receipt of care and services through the Department of Defense to receipt of care and services through the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after implementing the policy under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes—

(A) a review that identifies gaps in the care of members who are urotrauma patients; and

(B) suggested options to respond to such gaps.

(2) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(B) The Committees on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 704. PILOT PROGRAM ON INVESTIGATIONAL TREATMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY AND POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program under which the Secretary shall establish a process for randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials of investigational treatments (including diagnostic testing) of traumatic brain injury or post-traumatic stress disorder received by members of the Armed Forces in health care facilities other than military treatment facilities.

(b) **CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL.**—The approval by the Secretary for a treatment pursuant to subsection (a) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) Any drug or device used in the treatment must be approved, cleared, or made subject to an investigational use exemption by the Food and Drug Administration, and the use of the drug or device must comply with rules of the Food and Drug Administration applicable to investigational new drugs or investigational devices.

(2) The treatment must be approved by the Secretary following approval by an institutional review board operating in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in addition to regulations issued by the Secretary of Defense regarding institutional review boards.

(3) The patient receiving the treatment may not be a retired member of the Armed Forces who is entitled to benefits under part A, or eligible to enroll under part B, of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(c) **ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary may establish additional restrictions or conditions as the Secretary determines appropriate to ensure the protection of human research subjects, appropriate fiscal management, and the validity of the research results.

(d) **DATA COLLECTION AND AVAILABILITY.**—The Secretary shall develop and maintain a database containing data from each patient case involving the use of a treatment under this section. The Secretary shall ensure that the database preserves confidentiality and that any use of the database or disclosures of such data are limited to such use and disclosures permitted by law and applicable regulations.

(e) **REPORTS TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 30 days after the last day of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of this section and any available results on investigational treatment clinical trials authorized under this section during such fiscal year.

(f) **TERMINATION.**—The authority of the Secretary to carry out the pilot program authorized by subsection (a) shall terminate on December 31, 2018.

## **Subtitle B—Health Care Administration**

### **SEC. 711. AUTHORITY OF UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS AND MAKE GRANTS TO OTHER NONPROFIT ENTITIES.**

Section 2113(g)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “, or any other nonprofit entity” after “Military Medicine”; and

(B) by inserting “, or nonprofit entity,” after “such Foundation”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by inserting “, or any other nonprofit entity,” after “Military Medicine”; and

(B) by inserting “, or nonprofit entity,” after “such foundation”.

**SEC. 712. PILOT PROGRAM ON INCREASED THIRD-PARTY COLLECTION REIMBURSEMENTS IN MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

**(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall carry out a pilot program to demonstrate and assess the feasibility of implementing processes described in paragraph (2) to increase the amounts collected under section 1095 of title 10, United States Code, from a third-party payer for charges for health care services incurred by the United States at a military medical treatment facility.

(2) *PROCESSES DESCRIBED.*—The processes described in this paragraph are commercially available enhanced recovery practices for medical payment collection, including revenue-cycle management together with rates and percentages of collection in accordance with industry standards for such practices.

**(b) REQUIREMENTS.**—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall—

(1) identify and analyze the best practice option, including commercial best practices, with respect to the processes described in subsection (a)(2) that are used in nonmilitary health care facilities; and

(2) conduct a cost-benefit analysis to assess measurable results of the pilot program, including an analysis of—

(A) the different processes used in the pilot program;

(B) the amount of third-party collections that resulted from such processes;

(C) the cost to implement and sustain such processes; and

(D) any other factors the Secretary determines appropriate to assess the pilot program.

**(c) LOCATIONS.**—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1)—

(1) at military installations that have a military medical treatment facility with inpatient and outpatient capabilities; and

(2) at a number of such installations of different military departments that the Secretary determines sufficient to fully assess the results of the pilot program.

**(d) DURATION.**—The Secretary shall commence the pilot program under subsection (a)(1) by not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall carry out such program for three years.

**(e) REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after completing the pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the results of the program, including—

(1) a comparison of—

(A) the processes described in subsection (a)(2) that were used in the military medical treatment facilities participating in the program; and

(B) the third-party collection processes used by military medical treatment facilities not included in the program;

(2) a cost analysis of implementing the processes described in subsection (a)(2) for third-party collections at military medical treatment facilities;

(3) an assessment of the program, including any recommendations to improve third-party collections; and

(4) an analysis of the methods employed by the military departments prior to the program with respect to collecting charges from third-party payers incurred at military medical treatment facilities, including specific data with respect to the dollar amount of third-party collections that resulted from each method used throughout the military departments.

**SEC. 713. ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs have failed to implement a solution that allows for seamless electronic sharing of medical health care data; and

(2) despite the significant amount of read-only information shared between the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs, most of the information shared as of the date of the enactment of this Act is not standardized or available in real time to support all clinical decisions.

(b) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs—

(1) shall each ensure that the electronic health record systems of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs are interoperable with an integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, by complying with the national standards and architectural requirements identified by the Interagency Program Office of the Departments (in this section referred to as the “Office”), in collaboration with the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology of the Department of Health and Human Services; and

(2) shall each deploy modernized electronic health record software supporting clinicians of the Departments by no later than December 31, 2016, while ensuring continued support and compatibility with the interoperability platform and full standards-based interoperability.

(c) *DESIGN PRINCIPLES.*—The interoperable electronic health records with integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, established under subsection (b) shall adhere to the following principles:

(1) To the extent practicable, efforts to establish such records shall be based on objectives, activities, and milestones established by the Joint Executive Committee Joint Strategic Plan Fiscal Years 2013–2015, as well as future addendums or revisions.

(2) Transition the current data exchanges between the Departments and private sector health care providers where practical to modern, open-architecture frameworks that use computable data mapped to national standards to make data available for determining medical trends and for enhanced clinician decision support.

(3) *Principles with respect to open architecture standards, including—*

(A) *adoption of national data standards;*

(B) *if such national standards do not exist as of the date on which the record is being established, adoption of the articulation of data of the Health Data Dictionary until such national standards are established;*

(C) *use of enterprise investment strategies that maximize the use of commercial best practices to ensure robust competition and best value;*

(D) *aggressive life-cycle sustainment planning that uses proven technology insertion strategies and product upgrade techniques;*

(E) *enforcement of system design transparency, continuous design disclosure and improvement, and peer reviews that align with the requirements of the Federal Acquisition Regulation; and*

(F) *strategies for data management rights to ensure a level competitive playing field and access to alternative solutions and sources across the life-cycle of the programs.*

(4) *By the point of deployment, such record must be at a generation 3 level or better for a health information technology system.*

(5) *To the extent the Secretaries consider feasible and advisable, principles with respect to—*

(A) *the creation of a health data authoritative source by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs that can be accessed by multiple providers and standardizes the input of new medical information;*

(B) *the ability of patients of both the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to download, or otherwise receive electronically, the medical records of the patient; and*

(C) *the feasibility of establishing a secure, remote, network-accessible computer storage system to provide members of the Armed Forces and veterans the ability to upload the health care records of the member or veteran if the member or veteran elects to do so and allow medical providers of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to access such records in the course of providing care to the member or veteran.*

(d) *PROGRAMS PLAN.—Not later than January 31, 2014, the Secretaries shall prepare and brief the appropriate congressional committees with a detailed programs plan for the oversight and execution of the interoperable electronic health records with an integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, established under subsection (b). This briefing and supporting documentation shall include—*

(1) *programs objectives;*

(2) *organization;*

(3) *responsibilities of the Departments;*

(4) *technical objectives and design principles;*

(5) milestones, including a schedule for the development, acquisition, or industry competitions for capabilities needed to satisfy the technical system requirements;

(6) data standards being adopted by the programs;

(7) outcome-based metrics proposed to measure the performance and effectiveness of the programs; and

(8) the level of funding for fiscal years 2014 through 2017.

(e) *LIMITATION ON FUNDS.*—Not more than 25 percent of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for development, procurement, modernization, or enhancement of the interoperable electronic health records with an integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, established under subsection (b) for the Department of Defense or the Department of Veterans Affairs may be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretaries brief the appropriate congressional committees of the programs plan under subsection (d).

(f) *REPORTING.*—

(1) *QUARTERLY REPORTING.*—On a quarterly basis, the Secretaries shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a detailed financial summary.

(2) *NOTIFICATION.*—The Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees written notification prior to obligating funds for any contract or task order for electronic health record system modernization efforts that is in excess of \$5,000,000.

(g) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than October 1, 2014, all health care data contained in the Department of Defense AHLTA and the Department of Veterans Affairs VistA systems shall be computable in real time and comply with the existing national data standards and have a process in place to ensure data is standardized as national standards continue to evolve. On a quarterly basis, the Secretaries shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees updates on the progress of data sharing.

(2) *CERTIFICATION.*—At such time as the operational capability described in subsection (b)(1) is achieved, the Secretaries shall jointly certify to the appropriate congressional committees that the Secretaries have complied with such data standards described in paragraph (1).

(3) *RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL.*—The Secretaries shall each identify a senior official to be responsible for the modern platforms supporting an interoperable electronic health record with an integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, established under subsection (b). The Secretaries shall also each identify a senior official to be responsible for modernizing the electronic health record software of the respective Department. Such official shall have included within their performance evaluation performance metrics related to the execution of the responsibilities under this paragraph. Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the name of each senior official selected under this paragraph.

(4) *COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.*—If both Secretaries do not meet the requirements under paragraph (1), the Comp-

troller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees an assessment of the performance of the compliance of both Secretaries of such requirements.

(h) **EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretaries shall jointly establish an executive committee to support the development and validation of adopted standards, required architectural platforms and structure, and the capacity to enforce such standards, platforms, and structure as the Secretaries execute requirements and develop programmatic assessment as needed by the Secretaries to ensure interoperable electronic health records with an integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, are established pursuant to the requirements of subsection (b). The Executive Committee shall annually certify to the appropriate congressional committees that such record meets the definition of “integrated” as specified in subsection (k)(4).

(2) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Executive Committee established under paragraph (1) shall consist of not more than 6 members, appointed by the Secretaries as follows:

(A) Two co-chairs, one appointed by each of the Secretaries.

(B) One member from the technical community of the Department of Defense appointed by the Secretary of Defense.

(C) One member from the technical community of the Department of Veterans Affairs appointed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(D) One member from the clinical community of the Department of Defense appointed by the Secretary of Defense.

(E) One member from the clinical community of the Department of Veterans Affairs appointed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(3) **REPORTING.**—Not later than June 1, 2014, and on a quarterly basis thereafter, the Executive Committee shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the activities of the Committee.

(i) **INDEPENDENT REVIEW.**—The Secretary of Defense shall request the Defense Science Board to conduct an annual review of the progress of the Secretary toward achieving the requirements in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b). The Defense Science Board shall submit to the Secretary a report of the findings of the review. Not later than 30 days after receiving the report, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the report with any comments considered appropriate by the Secretary.

(j) **DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HEALTHCARE ARTIFACT AND IMAGE MANAGEMENT SOLUTION PROGRAM.**—

(1) **DEADLINE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall complete the implementation of the Healthcare Artifact and Image Management Solution program of the Department of Defense by not later than the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **REPORT.**—Upon completion of the implementation of the Healthcare Artifact and Image Management Solution program,

*the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the extent of the interoperability between the Healthcare Artifact and Image Management Solution program and the Veterans Benefits Management System of the Department of Veterans Affairs.*

(k) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

*(A) the congressional defense committees; and*

*(B) the Committees on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives.*

(2) **GENERATION 3.**—*The term “generation 3” means, with respect to an electronic health system, a system that has the technical capability to bring evidence-based medicine to the point of care and provide functionality for multiple care venues.*

(3) **INTEROPERABLE.**—*The term “interoperable” refers to the ability of different electronic health records systems or software to meaningfully exchange information in real time and provide useful results to one or more systems.*

(4) **INTEGRATED.**—*The term “integrated” refers to the integration of health data from the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs and outside providers to provide clinicians with a comprehensive medical record that allows data existing on disparate systems to be shared or accessed across functional or system boundaries in order to make the most informed decisions when treating patients.*

## **Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters**

### **SEC. 721. DISPLAY OF BUDGET INFORMATION FOR EMBEDDED MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 236, as added by section 141 of this Act, the following new section:*

#### **“§ 237. Embedded mental health providers of the reserve components: display of budget information**

*“The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress, as a part of the documentation that supports the President’s annual budget for the Department of Defense, a budget justification display with respect to embedded mental health providers within each reserve component, including the amount requested for each such component.”.*

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—*The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*“237. Embedded mental health providers of the reserve components: display of budget information.”.*

### **SEC. 722. REPORT ON ROLE OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS IN CERTAIN CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.**

(a) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on covered centers*

of excellence. Such report shall include the following with respect to each covered center of excellence:

(1) The amount of resources obligated by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in support of the center beginning on the date on which the center was established, including the amount of funds, personnel, time, and functions provided in support of the center.

(2) An estimate of the amount of resources the Secretary plans to dedicate to the center during each of fiscal years 2014 through 2018.

(3) A description of the role of the Secretary.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The Committees on Armed Services and Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(B) The Committees on Armed Services and Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate.

(2) The term “covered centers of excellence” means the following:

(A) The centers established under sections 1621, 1622, and 1623 of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(B) The center established under section 721 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(C) The center established under section 723 of such Act (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4508).

**SEC. 723. REPORT ON MEMORANDUM REGARDING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURIES.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary identifies, refers, and treats traumatic brain injuries with respect to members of the Armed Forces who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom before the effective date in June 2010 of directive type memorandum 09–033 titled “Policy Guidance for Management of Concussion/Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in the Deployed Setting”, regarding using a 50-meter distance from an explosion as a criterion to properly identify, refer, and treat members for potential traumatic brain injury.

**SEC. 724. REPORT ON PROVISION OF ADVANCED PROSTHETICS AND ORTHOTICS TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND VETERANS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the plans of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, respectively, to ensure that the most clinically appropriate prosthetics and orthotics are made available to injured members of the Armed Forces and veterans using technological advances as appropriate. Such report shall include a description of the processes of each Secretary with respect to coordinating and identifying care in the Department of Veterans

*Affairs for an injured member of the Armed Forces who, prior to the member being discharged or released from the Armed Forces, has an advanced technology prosthetic.*

(b) *COVERED PROSTHETICS AND ORTHOTICS.—The prosthetics and orthotics to be covered by the report under subsection (a) shall include powered prosthetics and orthotics that will enable members of the Armed Forces and veterans who have suffered amputation and, in the case of orthotics wearers, other injuries with limb salvage, to restore functionality to the maximum extent practicable.*

(c) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

**SEC. 725. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORTS ON TRICARE RECOVERY AUDIT PROGRAM AND AVAILABILITY OF COMPOUNDED PHARMACEUTICALS.**

(a) *RECOVERY AUDIT PROGRAM.—*

*(1) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that evaluates the similarities and differences of Medicare and the TRICARE program with respect to identifying and recovering improper payments.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report shall contain an evaluation of the following:*

*(A) Claims processing efforts of both Medicare and the TRICARE program to prevent improper payments by denying claims prior to payment.*

*(B) Claims processing efforts of both Medicare and the TRICARE program to correct improper payments post-payment.*

*(C) The effectiveness of post-payment audit programs of both Medicare and the TRICARE program to identify and correct improper payments that are returned to Medicare or the TRICARE program, respectively.*

(b) *COMPOUNDED PHARMACEUTICALS.—*

*(1) REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 2014, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the availability of compounded pharmaceuticals in the military health care system.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) A description of the number of prescriptions for compounded pharmaceuticals processed, and the types of compounded pharmaceuticals dispensed, during fiscal year 2013 in pharmacy venues.*

*(B) A description of the categories of eligible beneficiaries who received compounded pharmaceuticals in each pharmacy venue during fiscal year 2013.*

*(C) A description of the claims reimbursement methodology used by the manager of the TRICARE pharmacy benefits program to reimburse pharmacy providers for com-*

*pounded pharmaceuticals, and an assessment of the manner in which such methodology compares with reimbursement methodologies used by other health programs of the Federal Government.*

*(D) A review of the existing accreditation standards, as of the date of the report, intended to assure the safety and efficacy of compounded pharmaceuticals available through the military health care system.*

*(3) PHARMACY VENUE DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “pharmacy venue” means facilities of the uniformed services, retail pharmacies, and the national mail-order pharmacy program, as described in section 1074g(a)(2)(E) of title 10, United States Code.*

## **TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS**

### *Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management*

- Sec. 801. Enhanced transfer of technology developed at Department of Defense laboratories.*
- Sec. 802. Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services.*
- Sec. 803. Identification and replacement of obsolete electronic parts.*

### *Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations*

- Sec. 811. Government-wide limitations on allowable costs for contractor compensation.*
- Sec. 812. Inclusion of additional cost estimate information in certain reports.*
- Sec. 813. Amendment relating to compelling reasons for waiving suspension or debarment.*
- Sec. 814. Extension of pilot program on acquisition of military purpose nondevelopmental items.*

### *Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs*

- Sec. 821. Synchronization of cryptographic systems for major defense acquisition programs.*
- Sec. 822. Assessment of dedicated ground control system before Milestone B approval of major defense acquisition programs constituting a space program.*
- Sec. 823. Additional responsibility for product support managers for major weapon systems.*
- Sec. 824. Comptroller General review of Department of Defense processes for the acquisition of weapon systems.*

### *Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan*

- Sec. 831. Prohibition on contracting with the enemy.*
- Sec. 832. Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.*

## **Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management**

### **SEC. 801. ENHANCED TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPED AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LABORATORIES.**

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—As used in this section:

(1) The term “military department” has the meaning provided in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “DOD laboratory” or “laboratory” means any facility or group of facilities that—

(A) is owned, leased, operated, or otherwise used by the Department of Defense; and

(B) meets the definition of “laboratory” as provided in subsection (d)(2) of section 12 of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3710a).

(b) **AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of a military department each may authorize the heads of DOD laboratories to grant nonexclusive, exclusive, or partially exclusive licenses, royalty free or for royalties or for rights to other intellectual property, for computer software and its related documentation developed at a DOD laboratory, but only if—

(A) the computer software and related documentation would be a trade secret under the meaning of section 552(b)(4) of title 5, United States Code, if the information had been obtained from a non-Federal party;

(B) the public is notified of the availability of the software and related documentation for licensing and interested parties have a fair opportunity to submit applications for licensing;

(C) such licensing activities and licenses comply with the requirements under section 209 of title 35, United States Code; and

(D) the software originally was developed to meet the military needs of the Department of Defense.

(2) **PROTECTIONS AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of a military department each shall provide appropriate precautions against the unauthorized disclosure of any computer software or documentation covered by paragraph (1)(A), including exemption from section 552 of title 5, United States Code, for a period of up to 5 years after the development of the computer software by the DOD laboratory.

(c) **ROYALTIES.**—

(1) **USE OF ROYALTIES.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any royalties or other payments received by the Department of Defense or a military department from licensing computer software or documentation under paragraph (b)(1) shall be retained by the Department of Defense or the military department and shall be disposed of as follows:

(A)(i) The Department of Defense or the military department shall pay each year the first \$2,000, and thereafter at least 15 percent, of the royalties or other payments, to be di-

vided among the employees who developed the computer software.

(ii) The Department of Defense or the military department may provide appropriate lesser incentives, from the royalties or other payments, to laboratory employees who are not developers of such computer software but who substantially increased the technical value of the software.

(iii) The Department of Defense or the military department shall retain the royalties and other payments received until it makes payments to employees of a DOD laboratory under clause (i) or (ii).

(iv) The Department of Defense or the military department may retain an amount reasonably necessary to pay expenses incidental to the administration and distribution of royalties or other payments under this section by an organizational unit of the Department of Defense or military department other than its laboratories.

(B) The balance of the royalties or other payments shall be transferred by the Department of Defense or the military department to its laboratories, with the majority share of the royalties or other payments going to the laboratory where the development occurred. The royalties or other payments so transferred to any DOD laboratory may be used or obligated by that laboratory during the fiscal year in which they are received or during the 2 succeeding fiscal years—

(i) to reward scientific, engineering, and technical employees of the DOD laboratory, including developers of sensitive or classified technology, regardless of whether the technology has commercial applications;

(ii) to further scientific exchange among the laboratories of the agency;

(iii) for education and training of employees consistent with the research and development missions and objectives of the Department of Defense, military department, or DOD laboratory, and for other activities that increase the potential for transfer of the technology of the DOD laboratory;

(iv) for payment of expenses incidental to the administration and licensing of computer software or other intellectual property made at the DOD laboratory, including the fees or other costs for the services of other agencies, persons, or organizations for intellectual property management and licensing services; or

(v) for scientific research and development consistent with the research and development missions and objectives of the DOD laboratory.

(C) All royalties or other payments retained by the Department of Defense, military department, or DOD laboratory after payments have been made pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B) that are unobligated and unexpended at the end of the second fiscal year succeeding the fiscal year in which the royalties and other payments were received shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States.

(2) EXCEPTION.—If, after payments under paragraph (1)(A), the balance of the royalties or other payments received by the

*Department of Defense or the military department in any fiscal year exceed 5 percent of the funds received for use by the DOD laboratory for research, development, engineering, testing, and evaluation or other related administrative, processing, or value-added activities for that year, 75 percent of such excess shall be paid to the Treasury of the United States and the remaining 25 percent may be used or obligated under paragraph (1)(B). Any funds not so used or obligated shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States.*

(3) *STATUS OF PAYMENTS TO EMPLOYEES.—Any payment made to an employee under this section shall be in addition to the regular pay of the employee and to any other awards made to the employee, and shall not affect the entitlement of the employee to any regular pay, annuity, or award to which the employee is otherwise entitled or for which the employee is otherwise eligible or limit the amount thereof except that the monetary value of an award for the same project or effort shall be deducted from the amount otherwise available under this paragraph. Payments, determined under the terms of this paragraph and made to an employee developer as such, may continue after the developer leaves the DOD laboratory or the Department of Defense or military department. Payments made under this section shall not exceed \$75,000 per year to any one person, unless the President approves a larger award (with the excess over \$75,000 being treated as a Presidential award under section 4504 of title 5, United States Code).*

(d) *INFORMATION IN REPORT.—The report required by section 2515(d) of title 10, United States Code, shall include information regarding the implementation and effectiveness of this section.*

(e) *EXPIRATION.—The authority provided in this section shall expire on December 31, 2017.*

**SEC. 802. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON AGGREGATE ANNUAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR CONTRACT SERVICES.**

*Section 808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1489) is amended—*

(1) *in subsections (a) and (b), by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2012, 2013, or 2014”;*

(2) *in subsection (c)—*

(A) *by striking “during fiscal years 2012 and 2013” in the matter preceding paragraph (1);*

(B) *by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively; and*

(C) *in paragraph (3), as so redesignated, by striking “fiscal years 2012 and 2013” and inserting “fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014”;*

(3) *in subsection (d)(4), by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2012, 2013, or 2014”; and*

(4) *by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*“(e) CARRYOVER OF REDUCTIONS REQUIRED.—If the reductions required by subsection (c)(2) for fiscal years 2012 and 2013 are not implemented, the amounts remaining for those reductions in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 shall be implemented in fiscal year 2014.”.*

**SEC. 803. IDENTIFICATION AND REPLACEMENT OF OBSOLETE ELECTRONIC PARTS.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall implement a process for the expedited identification and replacement of obsolete electronic parts included in acquisition programs of the Department of Defense.

(b) *ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED.*—At a minimum, the expedited process established pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

(1) include a mechanism pursuant to which contractors, or other sources of supply, may provide to appropriate Department of Defense officials information that identifies—

(A) obsolete electronic parts that are included in the specifications for an acquisition program of the Department of Defense; and

(B) suitable replacements for such electronic parts;

(2) specify timelines for the expedited review and validation of information submitted by contractors, or other sources of supply, pursuant to paragraph (1);

(3) specify procedures and timelines for the rapid submission and approval of engineering change proposals needed to accomplish the substitution of replacement parts that have been validated pursuant to paragraph (2);

(4) provide for any incentives for contractor participation in the expedited process that the Secretary may determine to be appropriate; and

(5) provide that, in addition to the responsibilities under section 2337 of title 10, United States Code, a product support manager for a major weapon system shall work to identify obsolete electronic parts that are included in the specifications for an acquisition program of the Department of Defense and approve suitable replacements for such electronic parts.

(c) *ADDITIONAL MATTERS.*—For the purposes of this section—

(1) an electronic part is obsolete if—

(A) the part is no longer in production; and

(B) the original manufacturer of the part and its authorized dealers do not have sufficient parts in stock to meet the requirements of such an acquisition program; and

(2) an electronic part is a suitable replacement for an obsolete electronic part if—

(A) the part could be substituted for an obsolete part without incurring unreasonable expense and without degrading system performance; and

(B) the part is or will be available in sufficient quantity to meet the requirements of such an acquisition program.

## ***Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations***

### **SEC. 811. GOVERNMENT-WIDE LIMITATIONS ON ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR CONTRACTOR COMPENSATION.**

(a) **AMENDMENT RELATING TO CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES UNDER DEFENSE CONTRACTS.**—Subparagraph (P) of section 2324(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(P) Costs of compensation of any contractor employee for a fiscal year, regardless of the contract funding source, to the extent that such compensation exceeds \$625,000 adjusted annually for the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost Index for total compensation for private industry workers, by occupational and industry group not seasonally adjusted, except that the Secretary of Defense may establish exceptions for positions in the science, technology, engineering, mathematics, medical, and cybersecurity fields and other fields requiring unique areas of expertise upon a determination that such exceptions are needed to ensure that the Department of Defense has continued access to needed skills and capabilities.”.

(b) **AMENDMENT RELATING TO CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES UNDER CIVILIAN AGENCY CONTRACTS.**—Paragraph (16) of section 4304(a) of title 41, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(16) Costs of compensation of any contractor employee for a fiscal year, regardless of the contract funding source, to the extent that such compensation exceeds \$625,000 adjusted annually for the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost Index for total compensation for private industry workers, by occupational and industry group not seasonally adjusted, except that the executive agency may establish exceptions for positions in the science, technology, engineering, mathematics, medical, and cybersecurity fields and other fields requiring unique areas of expertise upon a determination that such exceptions are needed to ensure that the executive agency has continued access to needed skills and capabilities.”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Chapter 11 of title 41, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking section 1127; and

(2) by striking the item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of such chapter.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to costs of compensation incurred under contracts entered into on or after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

### **SEC. 812. INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION IN CERTAIN REPORTS.**

(a) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE INCLUDED IN SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORTS.**—Section 2432(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) as subparagraphs (E), (F), and (G), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D):

“(B) for each major defense acquisition program or designated major subprogram included in the report—

“(i) the Baseline Estimate (as that term is defined in section 2433(a)(2) of this title), along with the associated risk and sensitivity analysis of that estimate;

“(ii) the original Baseline Estimate (as that term is defined in section 2435(d)(1) of this title), along with the associated risk and sensitivity analysis of that estimate;

“(iii) if the original Baseline Estimate was adjusted or revised pursuant to section 2435(d)(2) of this title, such adjusted or revised estimate, along with the associated risk and sensitivity analysis of that estimate; and

“(iv) the primary risk parameters associated with the current procurement cost for the program (as that term is used in section 2432(e)(4) of this title);

“(C) a summary of the history of significant developments from the date each major defense acquisition program or designated major subprogram included in the report was first included in a Selected Acquisition Report and program highlights since the last Selected Acquisition Report;

“(D) the significant schedule and technical risks for each such program or subprogram, identified at each major milestone and as of the quarter for which the current report is submitted;”;

(3) in subparagraph (E), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “major defense acquisition program or designated major subprogram” and inserting “such program or subprogram”;

(B) by inserting “program acquisition cost and” after “current”;

(C) by striking “that cost” and inserting “those costs”; and

(D) by striking “date the program or subprogram was first included in a Selected Acquisition Report” and inserting “December 2001 reporting period”; and

(4) in subparagraph (F), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “major defense acquisition program or designated major subprogram” and inserting “such program or subprogram”; and

(B) by striking “date the program or subprogram was first included in a Selected Acquisition Report” and inserting “December 2001 reporting period”.

(b) PHASE-IN OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2432(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), shall apply to Selected Acquisition Reports after the date of the enactment of this Act as follows:

(1) For the December 2014 reporting period, to Selected Acquisition Reports for five major defense acquisition programs or designated major subprograms, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) For the December 2019 reporting period and each reporting period thereafter, to Selected Acquisition Reports for all major defense acquisition programs or designated major subprograms.

(c) **ADDITIONAL DUTIES OF DIRECTOR OF COST ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAM EVALUATION WITH RESPECT TO SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORTS.**—

(1) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—Section 2334(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (6);

(B) by striking the period and inserting “; and” at the end of paragraph (7); and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph (8):

“(8) annually review the cost and associated information required to be included, by section 2432(c)(1) of this title, in the Selected Acquisition Reports required by that section.”.

(2) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED IN ANNUAL REPORT.**—Section 2334(f)(1) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking “report, an assessment of—” and inserting “report—”;

(B) in each of subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), by inserting “an assessment of” before the first word of the text;

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(D) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(E) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) a summary of the cost and associated information reviewed under subsection (a)(8), an identification of any trends in that information, an aggregation of the cumulative risk of the portfolio of systems reviewed under that subsection, and recommendations for improving cost estimates on the basis of the review under that subsection.”.

**SEC. 813. AMENDMENT RELATING TO COMPELLING REASONS FOR WAIVING SUSPENSION OR DEBARMENT.**

Section 2393(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking “in a file available for public inspection” and inserting “on a publicly accessible website to the maximum extent practicable”.

**SEC. 814. EXTENSION OF PILOT PROGRAM ON ACQUISITION OF MILITARY PURPOSE NONDEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS.**

Section 866(f)(1) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4296; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by striking “the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.” and inserting “December 31, 2019.”.

## **Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs**

**SEC. 821. SYNCHRONIZATION OF CRYPTOGRAPHIC SYSTEMS FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2366b(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (F), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (G) as subparagraph (H);  
and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following new subparagraph (G):

“(G) there is a plan to mitigate and account for any costs in connection with any anticipated de-certification of cryptographic systems and components during the production and procurement of the major defense acquisition program to be acquired; and”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to major defense acquisition programs which are subject to Milestone B approval on or after the date occurring six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 822. ASSESSMENT OF DEDICATED GROUND CONTROL SYSTEM BEFORE MILESTONE B APPROVAL OF MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS CONSTITUTING A SPACE PROGRAM.**

(a) *COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS REQUIRED.*—Section 2366b(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) in the case of a space system, performs a cost benefit analysis for any new or follow-on satellite system using a dedicated ground control system instead of a shared ground control system, except that no cost benefit analysis is required to be performed under this paragraph for any Milestone B approval of a space system after December 31, 2019.”

(b) *REQUIREMENT FOR PLAN AND BRIEFING.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) develop a Department of Defense-wide long-term plan for satellite ground control systems, including the Department’s Air Force Satellite Control Network; and

(2) brief the congressional defense committees on such plan.

**SEC. 823. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR PRODUCT SUPPORT MANAGERS FOR MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.**

Section 2337(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (G), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (H), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) ensure that product support arrangements for the weapon system describe how such arrangements will ensure efficient procurement, management, and allocation of Government-owned parts inventories in order to prevent unnecessary procurements of such parts.”

**SEC. 824. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROCESSES FOR THE ACQUISITION OF WEAPON SYSTEMS.**

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Comptroller General of the United States shall carry out a comprehensive review of the processes and

procedures of the Department of Defense for the acquisition of weapon systems.

(b) **OBJECTIVE OF REVIEW.**—The objective of the review required by subsection (a) shall be to identify processes and procedures for the acquisition of weapon systems that provide little or no value added or for which any value added is outweighed by cost or schedule delays without adding commensurate value.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than January 31, 2015, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the review required by subsection (a) and based on the objective set forth in subsection (b). The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) A statement of any processes, procedures, organizations, or layers of review that are recommended by the Comptroller General for modification or elimination, including the rationale for the modification or elimination recommended based on the objective set forth in subsection (b).

(2) Such other findings and recommendations, including recommendations for legislative or administrative action, as the Comptroller General considers appropriate in light of the review required by subsection (a) and the objective set forth in subsection (b).

## **Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan**

### **SEC. 831. PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH THE ENEMY.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE OR VOID CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND TO RESTRICT FUTURE AWARD.**—

(1) **IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONS AND ENTITIES.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish in each covered combatant command a program to identify persons or entities, within the area of responsibility of such covered combatant command, that—

(A) provide funds received under a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of the Department of Defense directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity; or

(B) fail to exercise due diligence to ensure that none of the funds received under a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of the Department of Defense are provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(2) **NOTICE OF PERSONS OR ENTITIES IDENTIFIED.**—Upon the identification of a person or entity as meeting subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1), the commander of the combatant command concerned, and any deputies of the commander specified by the commander for purposes of this section, shall be notified in writing of such identification of such person or entity.

(3) **RESPONSIVE ACTIONS.**—Upon receipt of a notice under paragraph (2), the commander of the combatant command concerned may, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, and the appropriate Chief of Mis-

sion, notify the heads of appropriate contracting activities, in writing, of such identification and request that the heads of such contracting activities exercise the authorities provided pursuant to paragraph (4) and the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation, as revised, with respect to any contract, grant, or cooperative agreement that provides funding directly or indirectly to the person or entity covered by the notice.

(4) *AUTHORITIES.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to authorize the head of a contracting activity in each covered combatant command, pursuant to a request from the commander of a covered combatant command under paragraph (3)—

(A) to prohibit, limit, or otherwise place restrictions on the award of any Department of Defense contract, grant, or cooperative agreement to a person or entity identified pursuant to paragraph (1)(A);

(B) to terminate for default any Department contract, grant, or cooperative agreement awarded to a person or entity identified pursuant to paragraph (1)(B); or

(C) to void in whole or in part any Department contract, grant, or cooperative agreement awarded to a person or entity identified pursuant to paragraph (1)(A).

(b) *CONTRACT CLAUSE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised to require that—

(A) the clause described in paragraph (2) shall be included in each covered contract, grant, and cooperative agreement of the Department of Defense that is awarded on or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, each covered contract, grant, and cooperative agreement of the Department of Defense that is awarded before the date of the enactment of this Act shall be modified to include the clause described in paragraph (2).

(2) *CLAUSE DESCRIBED.*—The clause described in this paragraph is a clause that—

(A) requires the contractor, or the recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, to exercise due diligence to ensure that none of the funds received under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity; and

(B) notifies the contractor, or the recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, of the authority of the head of the contracting activity to terminate or void the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement, in whole or in part.

(3) *COVERED CONTRACT, GRANT, OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.*—In this subsection, the term “covered contract, grant, or cooperative agreement” means a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement with an estimated value in excess of \$50,000.

(4) *TREATMENT AS VOID.*—For purposes of subsection (a)(4) and the exercise under subsection (a)(3) of the authorities in the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to this subsection:

(A) A contract, grant, or cooperative agreement that is void is unenforceable as contrary to public policy.

(B) A contract, grant, or cooperative agreement that is void in part is unenforceable as contrary to public policy with regard to a segregable task or effort under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement.

(c) *REQUIREMENTS FOLLOWING CONTRACT ACTIONS.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised as follows:

(1) To require that any head of contracting activity taking an action pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4) to terminate, void, or restrict a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement notify in writing the contractor or recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, as applicable, of the action.

(2) To permit, in such manner as the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation as so revised shall provide, the contractor or recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement subject to an action taken pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4) to terminate or void the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement, as the case may be, an opportunity to challenge the action by requesting administrative review within 30 days after receipt of notice of the action.

(d) *ANNUAL REVIEW.*—The commanders of the covered combatant commands shall, on an annual basis, review the lists of persons and entities previously identified pursuant to subsection (a)(1) in order to determine whether or not such persons and entities continue to warrant identification pursuant to that subsection. If a commander determines pursuant to such a review that a person or entity no longer warrants identification pursuant to subsection (a)(1), the commander shall notify the heads of contracting activities of the Department of Defense in writing of such determination.

(e) *PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.*—Classified information relied upon to make an identification pursuant to subsection (a)(1) may not be disclosed to a contractor or a recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement with respect to which an action is taken pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4) or to their representatives, in the absence of a protective order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction established under Article I or Article III of the Constitution of the United States that specifically addresses the conditions upon which such classified information may be so disclosed.

(f) *DELEGATION.*—

(1) *RESPONSIBILITIES RELATING TO IDENTIFICATION AND REVIEW.*—The commander of a covered combatant command may delegate the responsibilities in subsection (a)(3) to any deputies of the commander specified by the commander pursuant to that subsection. The commander may delegate any responsibilities under subsection (d) to the deputy commander of the combatant command. Any delegation of responsibilities under this paragraph shall be made in writing.

(2) *NONDELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONTRACT ACTIONS.*—The authority provided by subsections (a)(3) and (a)(4) to terminate, void, or restrict contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements may not be delegated below the level of head of contracting activity.

(g) *INCLUSION OF INFORMATION ON CONTRACT ACTIONS IN FAPIIS.*—Upon the termination, voiding, or restriction of a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4), the head of contracting activity concerned shall provide for the inclusion in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), or other formal system of records on contractors or entities, of appropriate information on the termination, voiding, or restriction of the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement.

(h) *REPORTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than March 1 each year through 2019, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use of the authorities in this section in the preceding calendar year, including the following:

(A) For each instance in which a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement was terminated or voided, or entry into contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements was restricted, pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4), the following:

(i) An explanation of the basis for the action taken.

(ii) The value of the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement terminated or voided.

(iii) The value of all contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements of the Department of Defense in force with the person or entity concerned at the time the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement was terminated or voided.

(iv) Information on how the goods or services covered by the terminated or voided contract, grant, or cooperative agreement were otherwise obtained by the commander of the combatant command concerned.

(B) For each instance in which a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of a person or entity identified pursuant to subsection (a)(1) was not terminated or voided pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4), or the future award of contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements to such person or entity was not restricted pursuant to subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4), an explanation why such action was not taken.

(2) *FORM.*—Any report under this subsection may be submitted in classified form.

(i) *OTHER DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “covered combatant command” means United States Central Command, United States European Command, United States Africa Command, United States Southern Command, or United States Pacific Command.

(2) The term “head of contracting activity” has the meaning given that term in subpart 601 of part 1 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(3) The term “covered person or entity” means a person or entity that is actively opposing United States or coalition forces involved in a contingency operation in which members of the armed forces are actively engaged in hostilities.

(j) *SUNSET*.—The provisions of this section shall cease to be effective on December 31, 2018.

**SEC. 832. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) *EXTENSION*.—Subsection (f) of section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2399), as amended by section 841(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1845), is further amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

(b) *CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY*.—Subsection (b)(1)(B) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “and the NATO International Security Assistance Force” and inserting “or NATO forces”; and

(2) by striking “to Afghanistan” and inserting “to or from Afghanistan”.

## **TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT**

### *Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management*

- Sec. 901. Revisions to composition of transition plan for defense business enterprise architecture.
- Sec. 902. Comptroller General report on potential relocation of Federal Government tenants onto military installations in the United States.
- Sec. 903. Clarification of authority for the command acquisition executive of the United States Special Operations Command.
- Sec. 904. Streamlining of Department of Defense management headquarters.
- Sec. 905. Update of statutory statement of functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff relating to doctrine, training, and education.
- Sec. 906. Modification of reference to major Department of Defense headquarters activities instruction.
- Sec. 907. Personnel security.

### *Subtitle B—Space Activities*

- Sec. 911. National security space satellite reporting policy.
- Sec. 912. National security space defense and protection.
- Sec. 913. Space acquisition strategy.
- Sec. 914. Space control mission report.
- Sec. 915. Responsive launch.
- Sec. 916. Limitation on use of funds for Space Protection Program.
- Sec. 917. Eagle Vision system.

### *Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities*

- Sec. 921. Revision of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities.
- Sec. 922. Department of Defense intelligence priorities.
- Sec. 923. Defense Clandestine Service.
- Sec. 924. Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation.

### *Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters*

- Sec. 931. Modification of requirement for inventory of Department of Defense tactical data link systems.

- Sec. 932. *Authorities, capabilities, and oversight of the United States Cyber Command.*
- Sec. 933. *Mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 934. *Modification of requirement for Report on Department of Defense Progress in Defending the Department and the Defense Industrial Base from Cyber Events.*
- Sec. 935. *Additional requirements relating to the software licenses of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 936. *Cyber outreach and threat awareness for small businesses.*
- Sec. 937. *Joint Federated Centers for Trusted Defense Systems for the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 938. *Supervision of the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities.*
- Sec. 939. *Cyber vulnerabilities of Department of Defense weapon systems and tactical communications systems.*
- Sec. 940. *Control of the proliferation of cyber weapons.*
- Sec. 941. *Integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace.*
- Sec. 942. *National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education matters.*

*Subtitle E—Total Force Management*

- Sec. 951. *Reviews of appropriate manpower performance.*

## ***Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management***

**SEC. 901. REVISIONS TO COMPOSITION OF TRANSITION PLAN FOR DEFENSE BUSINESS ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE.**

*Section 2222(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “defense business enterprise architecture” and inserting “target defense business systems computing environment described in subsection (d)(3)”;*

*(2) in paragraph (2)—*

*(A) by striking “existing as of September 30, 2011 (known as ‘legacy systems’) that will not be part of the defense business enterprise architecture” and inserting “that will be phased out of the defense business systems computing environment within three years after review and certification as ‘legacy systems’ by the investment management process established under subsection (g)”;* and

*(B) by striking “that provides for reducing the use of those legacy systems in phases”;* and

*(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “legacy systems (referred to in subparagraph (B)) that will be a part of the target defense business systems computing environment described in subsection (d)(3)” and inserting “existing systems that are part of the target defense business systems computing environment”.*

**SEC. 902. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON POTENTIAL RELOCATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TENANTS ONTO MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES.**

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of a review of the potential for and obstacles to Federal agencies other than the Department of Defense relocating onto military installations to save costs or enhance security. At a minimum, the Comptroller General shall answer the following questions in the report:*

(1) *What opportunities exist to permit non-Department of Defense Federal agencies to locate operations onto military installations having excess facilities adequate for the tenant agencies' mission needs?*

(2) *What factors would the Department of Defense and the potential tenant agencies need to consider in determining whether such tenancy would be viable?*

(3) *What obstacles exist to the consolidation of non-Department of Defense Federal agencies onto military installations having adequate excess capacity?*

(4) *What non-Federal organizations are tenants on the installations (such as those under the enhanced use leasing program)?*

(b) **SPECIFIC CONSIDERATION OF INSTALLATIONS THAT SUPPORT ARCTIC MISSIONS.**—*The report required under subsection (a) shall specifically evaluate the potential for and obstacles to consolidation of Federal tenants on installations that support Arctic missions, focusing on Federal entities with homeland security, defense, international trade, commerce, and other national security-related functions that are compatible with the missions of the military installations, or can be used to protect national interests in the Arctic region.*

**SEC. 903. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR THE COMMAND ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE OF THE UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.**

*Section 167(e)(4)(C)(ii) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “shall be” the following: “responsible to the commander for rapidly delivering acquisition solutions to meet validated special operations-peculiar requirements, subordinate to the Defense Acquisition Executive in matters of acquisition, subject to the same oversight as the service acquisition executives, and”.*

**SEC. 904. STREAMLINING OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS.**

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for streamlining Department of Defense management headquarters by changing or reducing the size of staffs, eliminating tiers of management, cutting functions that provide little or no added value, and consolidating overlapping and duplicative programs and offices.*

(b) **ELEMENTS OF PLAN.**—*The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following for each covered organization:*

(1) *A description of the planned changes or reductions in staffing and services provided by military personnel, civilian personnel, and contractor personnel.*

(2) *A description of the planned changes or reductions in management, functions, and programs and offices.*

(3) *The estimated cumulative savings to be achieved over a 10-fiscal-year period beginning with fiscal year 2015, and estimated savings to be achieved for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2024.*

(c) **COVERED ORGANIZATION.**—*In this section, the term “covered organization” includes each of the following:*

(1) *The Office of the Secretary of Defense.*

- (2) *The Joint Staff.*
- (3) *The Defense Agencies.*
- (4) *The Department of Defense field activities.*
- (5) *The headquarters of the combatant commands.*
- (6) *Headquarters, Department of the Army, including the Office of the Secretary of the Army, the Office of the Chief of Staff of the Army, and the Army Staff.*
- (7) *The major command headquarters of the Army.*
- (8) *The Office of the Secretary of the Navy, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, and Headquarters, United States Marine Corps.*
- (9) *The major command headquarters of the Navy and the Marine Corps.*
- (10) *Headquarters, Department of the Air Force, including the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, the Office of the Air Force Chief of Staff, and the Air Staff.*
- (11) *The major command headquarters of the Air Force.*
- (12) *The National Guard Bureau.*

(d) **REPORTS.—**

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the plan required by subsection (a).*

(2) **STATUS REPORT.**—*The Secretary shall include with the Department of Defense materials submitted to Congress with the budget of the President for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2024 (as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code) a report describing the implementation of the plan required by subsection (a) during the preceding fiscal year and any modifications to the plan required due to changing circumstances. Each such report shall include the following:*

(A) *A summary of savings achieved for each covered organization in the fiscal year covered by such report.*

(B) *A description of the savings through changes or reductions in staffing and services provided by military personnel, civilian personnel, and contractor personnel in the fiscal year covered by such report.*

(C) *A description of the savings through changes or reductions in management, functions, and programs and offices in the fiscal year covered by such report.*

(D) *In any case in which savings under the plan fall short of the objective of the plan for the fiscal year covered by such report, an explanation of the reasons for the shortfall.*

(E) *A description of any modifications to the plan made during the fiscal year covered by such report, and an explanation of the reasons for such modifications.*

**SEC. 905. UPDATE OF STATUTORY STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONS OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF RELATING TO DOCTRINE, TRAINING, AND EDUCATION.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Paragraph (5) of section 153(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “and technical standards, and executing actions,” after “policies”;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and training”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(D) Formulating policies for concept development and experimentation for the joint employment of the armed forces.

“(E) Formulating policies for gathering, developing, and disseminating joint lessons learned for the armed forces.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of such paragraph is amended by striking “DOCTRINE, TRAINING, AND EDUCATION” and inserting “JOINT FORCE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES”.

**SEC. 906. MODIFICATION OF REFERENCE TO MAJOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HEADQUARTERS ACTIVITIES INSTRUCTION.**

Section 194(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Directive 5100.73” and all that follows and inserting “Instruction 5100.73, titled ‘Major DoD Headquarters Activities’.”.

**SEC. 907. PERSONNEL SECURITY.**

(a) **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, acting through the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation and in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a comprehensive analysis comparing the quality, cost, and timeliness of personnel security clearance investigations and reinvestigations for employees and contractor personnel of the Department of Defense that are conducted by the Office of Personnel Management with the quality, cost, and timeliness of personnel security clearance investigations and reinvestigations for such personnel that are conducted by components of the Department of Defense.

(2) **ELEMENTS OF ANALYSIS.**—The analysis under paragraph (1) shall do the following:

(A) Determine and compare, for each of the Office of Personnel Management and the components of the Department that conduct personnel security investigations as of the date of the analysis, the quality, cost, and timeliness associated with personnel security investigations and reinvestigations of each type and level of clearance, and identify the elements that contribute to such cost, schedule, and performance.

(B) Identify mechanisms for permanently improving the transparency of the cost structure of personnel security investigations and reinvestigations.

(b) **PERSONNEL SECURITY FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EMPLOYEES AND CONTRACTORS.**—If the Secretary of Defense determines that the current approach for obtaining personnel security investigations and reinvestigations for employees and contractor personnel of the Department of Defense is not the most efficient and effective approach for the Department, the Secretary shall develop a plan, by not later than October 1, 2014, for the transition of personnel security investigations and reinvestigations to the approach preferred by the Secretary.

(c) *STRATEGY FOR MODERNIZING PERSONNEL SECURITY.*—

(1) *STRATEGY REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall jointly develop, implement, and provide to the appropriate committees of Congress a strategy to modernize all aspects of personnel security for the Department of Defense with the objectives of improving quality, providing for continuous monitoring, decreasing unauthorized disclosures of classified information, lowering costs, increasing efficiencies, and enabling and encouraging reciprocity.

(2) *CONSIDERATION OF ANALYSIS.*—In developing the strategy under paragraph (1), the Secretary and the Directors shall consider the results of the analysis required by subsection (a) and the results of any ongoing reviews of recent unauthorized disclosures of national security information.

(3) *METRICS.*—

(A) *METRICS REQUIRED.*—In developing the strategy required by paragraph (1), the Secretary and the Directors shall jointly establish metrics to measure the effectiveness of the strategy in meeting the objectives specified in that paragraph.

(B) *REPORT.*—At the same time the budget of the President for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2019 is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary and the Directors shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the metrics established under paragraph (1), including an assessment using the metrics of the effectiveness of the strategy in meeting the objectives specified in paragraph (1).

(4) *ELEMENTS.*—In developing the strategy required by paragraph (1), the Secretary and the Directors shall address issues including but not limited to the following:

(A) *Elimination of manual or inefficient processes in investigations and reinvestigations for personnel security, wherever practicable, and automating and integrating the elements of the investigation and adjudication processes, including in the following:*

(i) *The clearance application process.*

(ii) *Investigation case management.*

(iii) *Adjudication case management.*

(iv) *Investigation methods for the collection, analysis, storage, retrieval, and transfer of data and records from investigative sources and between any case management systems.*

(v) *Records management for hiring and clearance decisions.*

(B) *Elimination or reduction, where possible, of the use of databases and information sources that cannot be accessed and processed automatically electronically, or modification of such databases and information sources, if appropriate and cost-effective, to enable electronic access and processing.*

(C) Access and analysis of government, publically available, and commercial data sources, including social media, that provide independent information pertinent to adjudication guidelines and termination standards to improve quality and timeliness, and reduce costs, of investigations and reinvestigations.

(D) Use of government-developed and commercial technology for continuous monitoring and evaluation of government and commercial data sources that can identify and flag information pertinent to hiring and clearance determinations.

(E) Standardization of forms used for routine reporting required of cleared personnel (such as travel, foreign contacts, and financial disclosures) and use of continuous monitoring technology to access databases containing such reportable information to independently obtain and analyze reportable data and events.

(F) Establishment of an authoritative central repository of personnel security information that is accessible electronically at multiple levels of classification and eliminates technical barriers to rapid access to information necessary for eligibility determinations and reciprocal recognition thereof, including the ability to monitor the status of an individual and any events related to the continued eligibility of such individual for employment or clearance during intervals between investigations.

(G) Elimination or reduction of the scope of, or alteration of the schedule for, periodic reinvestigations of cleared personnel, when such action is appropriate in light of the information provided by continuous monitoring or evaluation technology.

(H) Electronic integration of personnel security processes and information systems with insider threat detection and monitoring systems, and pertinent law enforcement, counterintelligence and intelligence information, for threat detection and correlation, including those processes and systems operated by components of the Department of Defense for purposes of local security, workforce management, or other related purposes.

(5) **RISK-BASED MONITORING.**—The strategy required by paragraph (1) shall—

(A) include the development of a risk-based approach to monitoring and reinvestigation that prioritizes which cleared individuals shall be subject to frequent reinvestigations and random checks, such as the personnel with the broadest access to classified information or with access to the most sensitive classified information, including information technology specialists or other individuals with such broad access commonly known as “super users”;

(B) ensure that if the system of continuous monitoring for all cleared individuals described in paragraph (4)(D) is implemented in phases, such system shall be implemented on a priority basis for the individuals prioritized under subparagraph (A); and

(C) ensure that the activities of individuals prioritized under subparagraph (A) shall be monitored especially closely.

(d) *RECIPROCITY OF CLEARANCES.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly ensure the reciprocity of personnel security clearances among positions requiring personnel holding secret, top secret, or sensitive compartmented information clearances, to the maximum extent feasible consistent with national security requirements.

(e) *COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.*—

(1) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—Not later than 150 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall carry out a review of the personnel security process.

(2) *OBJECTIVE OF REVIEW.*—The objective of the review required by paragraph (1) shall be to identify the following:

(A) Differences between the metrics used by the Department of Defense and other departments and agencies that grant security clearances in granting reciprocity for security clearances, and the manner in which such differences can be harmonized.

(B) The extent to which existing Federal Investigative Standards are relevant, complete, and sufficient for guiding agencies and individual investigators as they conduct their security clearance background investigations.

(C) The processes agencies have implemented to ensure quality in the security clearance background investigation process.

(D) The extent to which agencies have developed and implemented outcome-focused performance measures to track the quality of security clearance investigations and any insights from these measures.

(E) The processes agencies have implemented for resolving incomplete or subpar investigations, and the actions taken against government employees and contractor personnel who have demonstrated a consistent failure to abide by quality assurance measures.

(3) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the results of the review required by paragraph (1).

(f) *TASK FORCE ON RECORDS ACCESS FOR SECURITY CLEARANCE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—The Suitability and Security Clearance Performance Accountability Council, as established by Executive Order No. 13467, shall convene a task force to examine the different policies and procedures that determine the level of access to public records provided by State and local authorities in response to investigative requests by Federal Government employees or contracted employees carrying out background investigations to determine an individual's suitability for access to classified information or secure government facilities.

(2) *MEMBERSHIP.*—The members of the task force shall include, but need not be limited to, the following:

(A) *The Chair of the Suitability and Security Clearance Performance and Accountability Council, who shall serve as chair of the task force.*

(B) *A representative from the Office of Personnel Management.*

(C) *A representative from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.*

(D) *A representative from the Department of Defense responsible for administering security clearance background investigations.*

(E) *Representatives from Federal law enforcement agencies within the Department of Justice and the Department of Homeland Security involved in security clearance background investigations.*

(F) *Representatives from State and local law enforcement agencies, including—*

*(i) agencies in rural areas that have limited resources and less than 500 officers; and*

*(ii) agencies that have more than 1,000 officers and significant technological resources.*

(G) *A representative from Federal, State, and local law enforcement associations involved with security clearance background administrative actions and appeals.*

(H) *Representatives from Federal, State, and local judicial systems involved in the sharing of records to support security clearance background investigations.*

(3) *INITIAL MEETING.—The task force shall convene its initial meeting not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

(4) *DUTIES.—The task force shall do the following:*

(A) *Analyze the degree to which State and local authorities comply with investigative requests made by Federal Government employees or contractor employees carrying out background investigations to determine an individual's suitability for access to classified information or secure government facilities, including the degree to which investigative requests are required but never formally requested.*

(B) *Analyze limitations on the access to public records provided by State and local authorities in response to investigative requests by Federal Government employees and contractor employees described in subparagraph (A), including, but not be limited to, limitations relating to budget and staffing constraints on State and local authorities, any procedural and legal obstacles impairing Federal access to State and local law enforcement records, or inadequate investigative procedural standards for background investigators.*

(C) *Provide recommendations for improving the degree of cooperation and records-sharing between State and local authorities and Federal Government employees and contractor employees described in subparagraph (A).*

(5) *REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the task force shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a detailed*

*statement of the findings and conclusions of the task force pursuant to this subsection, together with the recommendations of the task force for such legislative or administrative action as the task force considers appropriate.*

(g) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

## **Subtitle B—Space Activities**

### **SEC. 911. NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE SATELLITE REPORTING POLICY.**

*(a) NOTIFICATION OF FOREIGN INTERFERENCE OF NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE.—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

#### **“§2278. Notification of foreign interference of national security space**

*“(a) NOTICE REQUIRED.—The Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall, with respect to each intentional attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a United States national security space capability, provide to the appropriate congressional committees—*

*“(1) not later than 48 hours after the Commander determines that there is reason to believe such attempt occurred, notice of such attempt; and*

*“(2) not later than 10 days after the date on which the Commander determines that there is reason to believe such attempt occurred, a notification described in subsection (b) with respect to such attempt.*

*“(b) NOTIFICATION DESCRIPTION.—A notification described in this subsection is a written notification that includes—*

*“(1) the name and a brief description of the national security space capability that was impacted by an attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a United States national security space capability;*

*“(2) a description of such attempt, including the foreign actor, the date and time of such attempt, and any related capability outage and the mission impact of such outage; and*

*“(3) any other information the Commander considers relevant.*

*“(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—*

*“(1) the congressional defense committees; and*

*“(2) with respect to a notice or notification related to an attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a*

*United States national security space capability that is intelligence-related, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.”*

(b) **TABLE OF SECTIONS AMENDMENT.**—*The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following item:*

*“2278. Notification of foreign interference of national security space.”.*

**SEC. 912. NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE DEFENSE AND PROTECTION.**

(a) **REVIEW.**—*The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly enter into an arrangement with the National Research Council to respond to the near-term and long-term threats to the national security space systems of the United States by—*

(1) *conducting a review of—*

(A) *the range of options available to address such threats, in terms of deterring hostile actions, defeating hostile actions, and surviving hostile actions until such actions conclude;*

(B) *strategies and plans to counter such threats, including resilience, reconstitution, disaggregation, and other appropriate concepts; and*

(C) *existing and planned architectures, warfighter requirements, technology development, systems, workforce, or other factors related to addressing such threats; and*

(2) *recommending architectures, capabilities, and courses of action to address such threats and actions to address the affordability, technology risk, and any other potential barriers or limiting factors in implementing such courses of action.*

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the National Research Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a report containing the results of the review conducted pursuant to the arrangement under subsection (a) and the recommended courses of action identified pursuant to such arrangement.*

(2) **FORM.**—*The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

(c) **SPACE PROTECTION STRATEGY.**—*Section 911(f)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended by striking “including each of the matters required by subsection (c).” and inserting the following: “including—*

*“(A) each of the matters required by subsection (c); and*

*“(B) a description of how the Department of Defense and the intelligence community plan to provide necessary national security capabilities, through alternative space, airborne, or ground systems, if a foreign actor degrades, denies access to, or destroys United States national security space capabilities.”.*

**SEC. 913. SPACE ACQUISITION STRATEGY.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *commercial satellite services, particularly communications, are needed to satisfy Department of Defense requirements;*

(2) *the Department predominately uses one-year leases to obtain commercial satellite services, which are often the most expensive and least strategic method to acquire necessary commercial satellite services; and*

(3) *consistent with the required authorization and appropriations, Congress encourages the Department to pursue a variety of methods to reduce cost and meet the necessary military requirements, including multi-year leases and procurement of Government-owned payloads on commercial satellites.*

(b) *STRATEGY REQUIRED.*—*The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall establish a strategy to enable the multi-year procurement of commercial satellite services.*

(c) *BASIS.*—*The strategy required under subsection (b) shall include and be based on—*

(1) *an analysis of financial or other benefits to acquiring satellite services through multi-year acquisition approaches;*

(2) *an analysis of the risks associated with such acquisition approaches;*

(3) *an identification of methods to address planning, programming, budgeting, and execution challenges to such approaches, including methods to address potential termination liability or cancellation costs generally associated with multi-year contracts;*

(4) *an identification of any changes needed in the requirements development and approval processes of the Department of Defense to facilitate effective and efficient implementation of such strategy, including an identification of any consolidation of requirements for such services across the Department that may achieve increased buying power and efficiency; and*

(5) *an identification of any necessary changes to policies, procedures, regulations, or statutes.*

(d) *BRIEFINGS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing regarding the strategy required under subsection (b), including the elements required under subsection (c).*

(2) *INTERIM BRIEFING.*—*At the same time that the budget for fiscal year 2015 is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall provide to the congressional defense committees an interim briefing regarding the strategy required under subsection (b).*

**SEC. 914. SPACE CONTROL MISSION REPORT.**

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the space control mission of the Department of Defense. Such report shall include—*

- (1) an identification of existing offensive and defensive space control systems, policies, and technical possibilities of future systems;*
- (2) an identification of any gaps or risks in existing space control system architecture and possibilities for improvement or mitigation of such gaps or risks;*
- (3) a description of existing and future sensor coverage and ground processing capabilities for space situational awareness;*
- (4) an explanation of the extent to which all relevant and available information is being utilized for space situational awareness to detect, track, and identify objects in space;*
- (5) a description of existing space situational awareness data sharing practices, including what information is being shared and what the benefits and risks of such sharing are to the national security of the United States; and*
- (6) plans for the future space control mission, including force levels and structure.*

**SEC. 915. RESPONSIVE LAUNCH.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:*

- (1) United States Strategic Command has identified three needs as a result of dramatically increased demand and dependence on space capabilities as follows:*
  - (A) To rapidly augment existing space capabilities when needed to expand operational capability.*
  - (B) To rapidly reconstitute or replenish critical space capabilities to preserve continuity of operations capability.*
  - (C) To rapidly exploit and infuse space technological or operational innovations to increase the advantage of the United States.*

*(2) Operationally responsive low cost launch could assist in addressing such needs of the combatant commands.*

*(b) STUDY.—The Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space shall conduct a study on responsive, low-cost launch efforts. Such study shall include—*

- (1) a review of existing and past operationally responsive, low-cost launch efforts by domestic or foreign governments or industry;*
- (2) an identification of the conditions or requirements for responsive launch that would provide the necessary military value, including the requisite payload capacity, timelines for responsiveness, and the target launch costs;*
- (3) a technology assessment of various methods to develop an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability; and*
- (4) an assessment of the viability of greater utilization of innovative methods, including the use of secondary payload adapters on existing launch vehicles.*

*(c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense Executive Agent for*

*Space shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—*

- (1) the results of the study conducted under subsection (b); and*
- (2) a consolidated plan for development within the Department of Defense of an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability.*

*(d) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the report required under subsection (c) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of such report and any related findings or recommendations that the Comptroller General considers appropriate.*

**SEC. 916. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM.**

*Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 201 for the Department of Defense for research, test, development, and evaluation, Air Force, and available for the Space Protection Program (PE# 0603830F) as specified in the funding table in section 4201, \$10,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a copy of the study conducted at the direction of the Deputy Secretary of Defense on the counter space strategy of the Department of Defense that resulted in significant revisions to that strategy by the Department.*

**SEC. 917. EAGLE VISION SYSTEM.**

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Eagle Vision system.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include a description and assessment of the various commands, components of the Armed Forces, and Defense Agencies to which control of the Eagle Vision system could be transferred from the Headquarters of the Air Force, including the actions to be completed before transfer, potential schedules for transfer, and the effects of transfer on the capabilities of the system or use of the system by other elements of the Department.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not undertake any changes to the organization or control of the Eagle Vision system until 90 days after the date of the submittal to the congressional defense committees of the report required by subsection (a).*

## **Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities**

### **SEC. 921. REVISION OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY TO EN- GAGE IN COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AS SECURITY FOR IN- TELLIGENCE COLLECTION ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **CONGRESSIONAL SUBMISSION FOR REQUIRED AUDITS.**—*The second sentence of section 432(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “the intelligence committees” and all that follows and inserting “the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees (as defined in section 437(c) of this title).”.*

(b) **REPEAL OF DESIGNATION OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY AS REQUIRED OVERSIGHT AUTHORITY WITHIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**—*Section 436(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *by striking “Defense Intelligence Agency” and inserting “Department of Defense”; and*

(2) *by striking “management and supervision” and inserting “oversight”.*

(c) **CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT.**—*Section 437 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *in subsection (a), by striking “the intelligence committees” and inserting “congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees”;*

(2) *in subsection (b)—*

(A) *by striking “Consistent with” and all that follows through “the Secretary” and insert “The Secretary”; and*

(B) *by striking “the intelligence committees” and inserting “congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees”; and*

(3) *by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

“(c) **CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term ‘congressional intelligence committees’ has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).”.*

### **SEC. 922. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PRIORITIES.**

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall—*

(1) *establish a written policy governing the internal coordination and prioritization of intelligence priorities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments to improve identification of the intelligence needs of the Department of Defense;*

(2) *identify any significant intelligence gaps of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments; and*

(3) *provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing on the policy established under paragraph (1) and the gaps identified under paragraph (2).*

**SEC. 923. DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERVICE.**

(a) *CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.*—Not more than 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense for the Defense Clandestine Service for fiscal year 2014 may be obligated or expended for the Defense Clandestine Service until such time as the Secretary of Defense certifies to the covered congressional committees that—

(1) the Defense Clandestine Service is designed primarily to—

(A) fulfill priorities of the Department of Defense that are unique to the Department of Defense or otherwise unmet; and

(B) provide unique capabilities to the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4))); and

(2) the Secretary of Defense has designed metrics that will be used to ensure that the Defense Clandestine Service is employed as described in paragraph (1).

(b) *ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for five years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the covered congressional committees a detailed assessment of Defense Clandestine Service employment and performance based on the metrics referred to in subsection (a)(2).

(c) *NOTIFICATION OF FUTURE CHANGES TO DESIGN.*—Following the submittal of the certification referred to in subsection (a), in the event that any significant change is made to the Defense Clandestine Service, the Secretary shall promptly notify the covered congressional committees of the nature of such change.

(d) *QUARTERLY BRIEFINGS.*—The Secretary of Defense shall quarterly provide to the covered congressional committees a briefing on the deployments and collection activities of personnel of the Defense Clandestine Service.

(e) *COVERED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “covered congressional committees” means the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

**SEC. 924. PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.**

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to execute—

(1) the separation of the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget;

(2) the consolidation of the National Intelligence Program budget within the Department of Defense budget; or

(3) the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for the National Intelligence Program budget.

(b) *BRIEFING REQUIREMENT.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intel-

ligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing regarding any planning relating to the future execution of the activities described in subsection (a) that has occurred during the two-year period ending on such date and any anticipated future planning relating to such execution or related efforts.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.**—*The term “National Intelligence Program” has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).*

(2) **NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDGET.**—*The term “National Intelligence Program budget” means the portions of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program.*

## **Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters**

### **SEC. 931. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR INVENTORY OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TACTICAL DATA LINK SYSTEMS.**

*Section 934(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1885; 10 U.S.C. 2225 note) is amended by inserting “and an assessment of vulnerabilities to such systems in anti-access or area-denial environments” before the semicolon.*

### **SEC. 932. AUTHORITIES, CAPABILITIES, AND OVERSIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND.**

(a) **PROVISION OF CERTAIN OPERATIONAL CAPABILITIES.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall take such actions as the Secretary considers appropriate to provide the United States Cyber Command operational military units with infrastructure and equipment enabling access to the Internet and other types of networks to permit the United States Cyber Command to conduct the peacetime and wartime missions of the Command.*

(b) **CYBER RANGES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary shall review existing cyber ranges and adapt one or more such ranges, as necessary, to support training and exercises of cyber units that are assigned to execute offensive military cyber operations.*

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—*Each range adapted under paragraph (1) shall have the capability to support offensive military operations against targets that—*

(A) *have not been previously identified and prepared for attack; and*

(B) *must be compromised or neutralized immediately without regard to whether the adversary can detect or attribute the attack.*

(c) **PRINCIPAL ADVISOR ON MILITARY CYBER FORCE MATTERS.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION.**—*The Secretary shall designate, from among the personnel of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, a Principal Cyber Advisor to act as the principal advisor to the Secretary on military cyber forces and activities. The Secretary may only designate an official under this paragraph if such official was appointed to the position in*

which such official serves by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

(2) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Principal Cyber Advisor shall be responsible for the following:

(A) Overall supervision of cyber activities related to offensive missions, defense of the United States, and defense of Department of Defense networks, including oversight of policy and operational considerations, resources, personnel, and acquisition and technology.

(B) Such other matters relating to offensive military cyber forces as the Secretary shall specify for purposes of this subsection.

(3) **CROSS-FUNCTIONAL TEAM.**—The Principal Cyber Advisor shall—

(A) integrate the cyber expertise and perspectives of appropriate organizations within the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, military departments, Defense Agencies, and combatant commands, by establishing and maintaining a full-time cross-functional team of subject matter experts from those organizations; and

(B) select team members, and designate a team leader, from among those personnel nominated by the heads of such organizations.

(d) **TRAINING OF CYBER PERSONNEL.**—The Secretary shall establish and maintain training capabilities and facilities in the Armed Forces and, as the Secretary considers appropriate, at the United States Cyber Command, to support the needs of the Armed Forces and the United States Cyber Command for personnel who are assigned offensive and defensive cyber missions in the Department of Defense.

**SEC. 933. MISSION ANALYSIS FOR CYBER OPERATIONS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **MISSION ANALYSIS REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a mission analysis of the cyber operations of the Department of Defense.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The mission analysis under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) The concept of operations and concept of employment for cyber operations forces.

(2) An assessment of the manpower needs for cyber operations forces, including military requirements for both active and reserve components and civilian requirements.

(3) An assessment of the mechanisms for improving recruitment, retention, and management of cyber operations forces, including through focused recruiting; educational, training, or certification scholarships; bonuses; or the use of short-term or virtual deployments without the need for permanent relocation.

(4) A description of the alignment of the organization and reporting chains of the Department, the military departments, and the combatant commands.

(5) An assessment of the current, as of the date of the analysis, and projected equipping needs of cyber operations forces.

(6) *An analysis of how the Secretary, for purposes of cyber operations, depends upon organizations outside of the Department, including industry and international partners.*

(7) *Methods for ensuring resilience, mission assurance, and continuity of operations for cyber operations.*

(8) *An evaluation of the potential roles of the reserve components in the concept of operations and concept of employment for cyber operations forces required under paragraph (1), including—*

*(A) in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the Commander of the United States Cyber Command, an identification of the Department of Defense cyber mission requirements that could be discharged by members of the reserve components;*

*(B) in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, consideration of ways to ensure that the Governors of the several States, through the Council of Governors, as appropriate, have an opportunity to provide the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security an independent evaluation of State cyber capabilities, and State cyber needs that cannot be fulfilled through the private sector;*

*(C) an identification of the existing capabilities, facilities, and plans for cyber activities of the reserve components, including—*

*(i) an identification of current positions in the reserve components serving Department cyber missions;*

*(ii) an inventory of the existing cyber skills of reserve component personnel, including the skills of units and elements of the reserve components that are transitioning to cyber missions;*

*(iii) an inventory of the existing infrastructure of the reserve components that contributes to the cyber missions of the United States Cyber Command, including the infrastructure available to units and elements of the reserve components that are transitioning to such missions; and*

*(iv) an assessment of the manner in which the military departments plan to use the reserve components to meet total force resource requirements, and the effect of such plans on the potential ability of members of the reserve components to support the cyber missions of the United States Cyber Command;*

*(D) an assessment of whether the National Guard, when activated in a State status (either State Active Duty or in a duty status under title 32, United States Code) can operate under unique and useful authorities to support domestic cyber missions and requirements of the Department or the United States Cyber Command;*

*(E) an assessment of the appropriateness of hiring on a part-time basis non-dual status technicians who possess appropriate cyber security expertise for purposes of assisting the National Guard in protecting critical infrastructure and carrying out cyber missions;*

(F) *an assessment of the current and potential ability of the reserve components to—*

(i) *attract and retain personnel with substantial, relevant cyber technical expertise who use those skills in the private sector;*

(ii) *organize such personnel into units at the State, regional, or national level under appropriate command and control arrangements for Department cyber missions;*

(iii) *meet and sustain the training standards of the United States Cyber Command; and*

(iv) *establish and manage career paths for such personnel;*

(G) *a determination of how the reserve components could contribute to total force solutions to cyber operations requirements of the United States Cyber Command; and*

(H) *development of an estimate of the personnel, infrastructure, and training required, and the costs that would be incurred, in connection with implementing a strategy for integrating the reserve components into the total force for support of the cyber missions of the Department and United States Cyber Command, including by taking into account the potential savings under the strategy through use of personnel referred to in subparagraph (C)(i), provided that for specific cyber units that exist or are transitioning to a cyber mission, the estimate shall examine whether there are misalignments in existing plans between unit missions and facility readiness to support such missions.*

(c) **LIMITATIONS ON CERTAIN ACTIONS.—**

(1) **REDUCTION IN PERSONNEL OF AIR NATIONAL GUARD CYBER UNITS.—***No reduction in personnel of a cyber unit of the Air National Guard of the United States may be implemented or carried out in fiscal year 2014 before the submittal of the report required by subsection (d).*

(2) **REDUCTION IN PERSONNEL AND CAPACITY OF AIR NATIONAL GUARD RED TEAMS.—***No reduction in the personnel or capacity of a Red Team of the Air National Guard of the United States may be implemented or carried out unless the report required by subsection (d) includes a certification that the personnel or capacity to be reduced is directly related to Red Team capabilities that are no longer required.*

(d) **REPORT REQUIRED.—***Not later than 30 days after the completion of the mission analysis under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—*

(1) *the results of the mission analysis;*

(2) *recommendations for improving or changing the roles, organization, missions, concept of operations, or authorities related to the cyber operations of the Department; and*

(3) *any other matters concerning the mission analysis that the Secretary considers appropriate.*

(e) **NATIONAL GUARD ASSESSMENT.—***Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required under subsection (d), the Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall submit*

to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the role of the National Guard in supporting the cyber operations mission of the Department of Defense as such mission is described in such report.

(f) *FORM.*—The report under subsection (d) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 934. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRESS IN DEFENDING THE DEPARTMENT AND THE DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE FROM CYBER EVENTS.**

Section 935(b)(3) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4339) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “capabilities.” and inserting “capabilities, including estimated economic impacts.”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “remediation.” and inserting “remediation and estimates of economic losses resulting from such event.”.

**SEC. 935. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE SOFTWARE LICENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) *UPDATED PLAN.*—

(1) *UPDATE.*—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of the Defense shall, in consultation with the chief information officers of the military departments and the Defense Agencies, update the plan for the inventory of selected software licenses of the Department of Defense required under section 937 of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 2223 note) to include a plan for the inventory of all software licenses of the Department of Defense for which a military department spends more than \$5,000,000 annually on any individual title, including a comparison of licenses purchased with licenses in use.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The update required under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) include plans for implementing an automated solution capable of reporting the software license compliance position of the Department and providing a verified audit trail, or an audit trail otherwise produced and verified by an independent third party;

(B) include details on the process and business systems necessary to regularly perform reviews, a procedure for validating and reporting deregistering and registering new software, and a mechanism and plan to relay that information to the appropriate chief information officer; and

(C) a proposed timeline for implementation of the updated plan in accordance with paragraph (3).

(3) *SUBMISSION.*—Not later than September 30, 2015, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the updated plan required under paragraph (1).

(b) *PERFORMANCE PLAN.*—If the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense determines through the implementation of the process and business systems in the updated plan required by subsection (a) that the number of software licenses of the Depart-

ment for an individual title for which a military department spends greater than \$5,000,000 annually exceeds the needs of the Department for such software licenses, or the inventory discloses that there is a discrepancy between the number of software licenses purchased and those in actual use, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall implement a plan to bring the number of such software licenses into balance with the needs of the Department and the terms of any relevant contract.

**SEC. 936. CYBER OUTREACH AND THREAT AWARENESS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a briefing on options for strengthening outreach and threat awareness programs for small businesses (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) that are awarded contracts by the Department of Defense to assist such businesses to—

- (1) understand the gravity and scope of cyber threats;
- (2) develop a plan to protect intellectual property; and
- (3) develop a plan to protect the networks of such businesses.

**SEC. 937. JOINT FEDERATED CENTERS FOR TRUSTED DEFENSE SYSTEMS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **FEDERATION REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the establishment of a joint federation of capabilities to support the trusted defense system needs of the Department of Defense (in this section referred to as the “federation”).

(2) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the federation shall be to serve as a joint, Department-wide federation of capabilities to support the trusted defense system needs of the Department to ensure security in the software and hardware developed, acquired, maintained, and used by the Department, pursuant to the trusted defense systems strategy of the Department and supporting policies related to software assurance and supply chain risk management.

(b) **DISCHARGE OF ESTABLISHMENT.**—In providing for the establishment of the federation, the Secretary shall consider whether the purpose of the federation can be met by existing centers in the Department. If the Department determines that there are capabilities gaps that cannot be satisfied by existing centers, the Department shall devise a strategy for creating and providing resources for such capabilities to fill such gaps.

(c) **CHARTER.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue a charter for the federation. The charter shall—

(1) be established pursuant to the trusted defense systems strategy of the Department and supporting policies related to software assurance and supply chain risk management; and

(2) set forth—

(A) the role of the federation in supporting program of offices in implementing the trusted defense systems strategy of the Department;

(B) the software and hardware assurance expertise and capabilities of the federation, including policies, standards,

requirements, best practices, contracting, training, and testing;

(C) the requirements for the discharge by the federation, in coordination with the Center for Assured Software of the National Security Agency, of a program of research and development to improve automated software code vulnerability analysis and testing tools;

(D) the requirements for the federation to procure, manage, and distribute enterprise licenses for automated software vulnerability analysis tools; and

(E) the requirements for the discharge by the federation, in coordination with the Defense Microelectronics Activity, of a program of research and development to improve hardware vulnerability, testing, and protection tools.

(d) *REPORT.*—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, at the time of the submittal to Congress of the budget of the President for fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, a report on the funding and management of the federation. The report shall set forth such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate regarding the optimal placement of the federation within the organizational structure of the Department, including responsibility for the funding and management of the federation.

**SEC. 938. SUPERVISION OF THE ACQUISITION OF CLOUD COMPUTING CAPABILITIES.**

(a) *SUPERVISION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, and the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, supervise the following:

(A) Review, development, modification, and approval of requirements for cloud computing solutions for data analysis and storage by the Armed Forces and the Defense Agencies, including requirements for cross-domain, enterprise-wide discovery and correlation of data stored in cloud and non-cloud computing databases, relational and non-relational databases, and hybrid databases.

(B) Review, development, modification, approval, and implementation of plans for the competitive acquisition of cloud computing systems or services to meet requirements described in subparagraph (A), including plans for the transition from current computing systems to systems or services acquired.

(C) Development and implementation of plans to ensure that the cloud systems or services acquired pursuant to subparagraph (B) are interoperable and universally accessible and usable through attribute-based access controls.

(D) Integration of plans under subparagraphs (B) and (C) with enterprise-wide plans of the Armed Forces and the Department of Defense for the Joint Information Environment and the Defense Intelligence Information Environment.

(2) *DIRECTION.*—The Secretary shall provide direction to the Armed Forces and the Defense Agencies on the matters covered by paragraph (1) by not later than March 15, 2014.

(b) *INTEGRATION WITH INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY EFFORTS.*—The Secretary shall coordinate with the Director of National Intelligence to ensure that activities under this section are integrated with the Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise in order to achieve interoperability, information sharing, and other efficiencies.

(c) *LIMITATION.*—The requirements of subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (a)(1) shall not apply to a contract for the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities in an amount less than \$1,000,000.

(d) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or affect the authorities or responsibilities of the Director of National Intelligence under section 102A of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3024).

**SEC. 939. CYBER VULNERABILITIES OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WEAPON SYSTEMS AND TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.**

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the status of the capability of each military department to operate in non-permissive and hostile cyber environments.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description and assessment of potential cyber threats or threat systems to major weapon systems and tactical communications systems that could emerge in the next five years.

(2) A description and assessment of cyber vulnerabilities of current major weapon and tactical communications systems.

(3) A detailed description of the current strategy to detect, deter, and defend against cyber attacks on current and planned major weapon systems and tactical communications systems.

(4) An estimate of the costs anticipated to be incurred in addressing cyber vulnerabilities to Department of Defense weapon systems and tactical communications systems over the next five years.

(c) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 940. CONTROL OF THE PROLIFERATION OF CYBER WEAPONS.**

(a) *INTERAGENCY PROCESS FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.*—The President shall establish an interagency process to provide for the establishment of an integrated policy to control the proliferation of cyber weapons through unilateral and cooperative law enforcement activities, financial means, diplomatic engagement, and such other means as the President considers appropriate.

(b) *INDUSTRY PARTICIPATION.*—The President shall include, to the extent practicable, private industry participation in the process established under subsection (a).

(c) *OBJECTIVES.*—The objectives of the interagency process established under subsection (a) shall be as follows:

(1) *To identify the intelligence, law enforcement, and financial sanctions tools that can and should be used to suppress the trade in cyber tools and infrastructure that are or can be used for criminal, terrorist, or military activities while preserving the ability of governments and the private sector to use such tools for legitimate purposes of self-defense.*

(2) *To establish a statement of principles to control the proliferation of cyber weapons, including principles for controlling the proliferation of cyber weapons that can lead to expanded cooperation and engagement with international partners.*

(d) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—*The interagency process established under subsection (a) shall develop, by not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, recommendations on means for the control of the proliferation of cyber weapons, including a draft statement of principles and a review of applicable legal authorities.*

**SEC. 941. INTEGRATED POLICY TO DETER ADVERSARIES IN CYBERSPACE.**

(a) **INTEGRATED POLICY.**—*The President shall establish an interagency process to provide for the development of an integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace.*

(b) **OBJECTIVE.**—*The objective of the interagency process established under subsection (a) shall be to develop a deterrence policy for reducing cyber risks to the United States and our allies.*

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the integrated policy developed pursuant to subsection (a).*

(2) **FORM.**—*The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

**SEC. 942. NATIONAL CENTERS OF ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE IN INFORMATION ASSURANCE EDUCATION MATTERS.**

(a) **PRESERVATION OF DESIGNATION DURING ACADEMIC YEARS 2013–2014 AND 2014–2015.**—*Each institution of higher education that was designated by the National Security Agency and the Department of Homeland Security as a National Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education as of January 1, 2013, shall continue to be designated as such a Center through June 30, 2015, provided that such institution maintains the standards by which such institution was originally designated as such a Center.*

(b) **ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION OF ACCREDITATION OR DESIGNATION PROCESS.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of the National Security Agency, and other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government and non-Federal organizations, shall—*

(1) *assess the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education program strengths and weaknesses, including processes and criteria used to develop curricula and designate an institution of higher education as a National Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education;*

(2) assess the maturity of information assurance as an academic discipline;

(3) assess the role the Federal Government should play in the future development of curricula and other criteria for designating or accrediting information assurance education programs of institutions of higher education as National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education;

(4) assess the advantages and disadvantages of broadening the governance structure of such Centers;

(5) assess the extent to which existing and emerging curricula and other criteria for designation as such a Center is aligned with the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education and will provide the knowledge and skills needed by the information assurance workforce for existing and future employment;

(6) make recommendations for improving and evolving the mechanisms and processes for developing the curricula and other criteria for accrediting or designating information assurance programs of institutions of higher education as Centers; and

(7) make recommendations on transitioning the responsibility for developing the curricula and other criteria for accrediting or designating information assurance programs of institutions of higher education as Centers from the sole administration of the National Security Agency.

(c) **ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COLLABORATION WITH CENTERS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall assess the collaboration of the Department of Defense with the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education. Such assessment shall include—

(1) the extent to which the information security scholarship program of the Department of Defense established under chapter 112 of title 10, United States Code, contributes to—

(A) building the capacity to educate the information assurance and cybersecurity workforce needed for the future; and

(B) employing exceptional information assurance and cybersecurity workers in the Department; and

(2) mechanisms for increasing Department employment of graduates of such Centers.

(d) **PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of the National Security Agency, and other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government and non-Federal organizations, shall submit to Congress—

(A) a plan for implementing the recommendations made pursuant to subsection (b) on improving and evolving the mechanisms and processes for developing the curricula and other criteria for accrediting or designating the information assurance programs of institutions of higher education as National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education;

(B) the results of the assessments conducted under subsections (b) and (c); and

(C) the recommendations made under subsection (b).

(2) *CONSULTATION.*—In developing the plan under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with appropriate representatives of information assurance interests in departments and agencies of the Federal Government, State and local governments, academia, and the private sector.

(e) *INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “institution of higher education” has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

## **Subtitle E—Total Force Management**

### **SEC. 951. REVIEWS OF APPROPRIATE MANPOWER PERFORMANCE.**

(a) *REPORTS REQUIRED.*—Section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsections (g) and (h):

“(g) *INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT.*—Not later than May 1 of each year, beginning with 2014 and ending with 2016, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the Inspector General’s assessment of—

“(1) the efforts by the Department of Defense to compile the inventory pursuant to subsection (c); and

“(2) the reviews conducted under subsection (e), including the actions taken to resolve the findings of the reviews in accordance with section 2463 of this title.

“(h) *COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.*—Not later than September 30 of each year, beginning with 2014 and ending with 2016, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the Comptroller General’s assessment of the efforts by the Department of Defense to implement subsections (e) and (f).”.

(b) *EXTENSION OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON INVENTORY.*—Section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2402) is amended by striking “2011 and 2012” and inserting “2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015”.

## **TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### *Subtitle A—Financial Matters*

Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.

Sec. 1002. Budgetary effects of this Act.

Sec. 1003. Audit of Department of Defense fiscal year 2018 financial statements.

Sec. 1004. Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization.

*Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities*

- Sec. 1011. Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.*
- Sec. 1012. Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.*
- Sec. 1013. Extension and expansion of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.*

*Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards*

- Sec. 1021. Modification of requirements for annual long-range plan for the construction of naval vessels.*
- Sec. 1022. Clarification of sole ownership resulting from ship donations at no cost to the Navy.*
- Sec. 1023. Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships.*
- Sec. 1024. Extension and remediation of Navy contracting actions.*
- Sec. 1025. Report comparing costs of DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III ships.*
- Sec. 1026. Report on naval vessels and the Force Structure Assessment.*
- Sec. 1027. Modification of policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the Navy.*

*Subtitle D—Counterterrorism*

- Sec. 1031. Clarification of procedures for use of alternate members on military commissions.*
- Sec. 1032. Modification of Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program reporting requirement.*
- Sec. 1033. Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1034. Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1035. Transfers to foreign countries of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1036. Report on information relating to individuals detained at Parwan, Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1037. Grade of chief prosecutor and chief defense counsel in military commissions established to try individuals detained at Guantanamo.*
- Sec. 1038. Report on capability of Yemeni government to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen.*
- Sec. 1039. Report on attachment of rights to individuals detained at Guantanamo if transferred to the United States.*

*Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations*

- Sec. 1041. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations.*
- Sec. 1042. Counterterrorism operational briefings.*
- Sec. 1043. Report on process for determining targets of lethal or capture operations.*

*Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces*

- Sec. 1051. Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe.*
- Sec. 1052. Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System.*
- Sec. 1053. Modification of responsibilities and reporting requirements of Nuclear Weapons Council.*
- Sec. 1054. Modification of deadline for report on plan for nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, nuclear weapons delivery systems, and nuclear weapons command and control system.*
- Sec. 1055. Prohibition on elimination of nuclear triad.*
- Sec. 1056. Implementation of New START Treaty.*
- Sec. 1057. Retention of capability to redeploy multiple independently targetable re-entry vehicles.*
- Sec. 1058. Report on New START Treaty.*
- Sec. 1059. Report on implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report.*

- Sec. 1060. *Sense of Congress on further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1061. *Sense of Congress on compliance with nuclear arms control treaty obligations.*
- Sec. 1062. *Senses of Congress on ensuring the modernization of the nuclear forces of the United States.*

*Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations*

- Sec. 1071. *Enhancement of capacity of the United States Government to analyze captured records.*
- Sec. 1072. *Strategic plan for the management of the electromagnetic spectrum.*
- Sec. 1073. *Extension of authority to provide military transportation services to certain other agencies at the Department of Defense reimbursement rate.*
- Sec. 1074. *Notification of modifications to Army force structure.*
- Sec. 1075. *Aircraft joint training.*

*Subtitle H—Studies and Reports*

- Sec. 1081. *Online availability of reports submitted to Congress.*
- Sec. 1082. *Oversight of combat support agencies.*
- Sec. 1083. *Inclusion in annual report of description of interagency coordination relating to humanitarian demining technology.*
- Sec. 1084. *Repeal and modification of reporting requirements.*
- Sec. 1085. *Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General assessment of Department of Defense efficiencies.*
- Sec. 1086. *Review and assessment of United States Special Operations Forces and United States Special Operations Command.*
- Sec. 1087. *Reports on unmanned aircraft systems.*
- Sec. 1088. *Report on foreign language support contracts for the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1089. *Civil Air Patrol.*

*Subtitle I—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1091. *Technical and clerical amendments.*
- Sec. 1092. *Reduction in costs to report critical changes to major automated information system programs.*
- Sec. 1093. *Extension of authority of Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance.*
- Sec. 1094. *Extension of Ministry of Defense Advisor Program and authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for certain nongovernmental personnel.*
- Sec. 1095. *Amendments to certain national commissions.*
- Sec. 1096. *Strategy for future military information operations capabilities.*
- Sec. 1097. *Sense of Congress on collaboration on border security.*
- Sec. 1098. *Transfer of aircraft to other departments for wildfire suppression and other purposes; tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force.*

## ***Subtitle A—Financial Matters***

**SEC. 1001. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—**

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 2014 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed \$5,000,000,000.

(3) *EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.*—A transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted toward the dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

(b) *LIMITATIONS.*—The authority provided by subsection (a) to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) *EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.*—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(d) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).

**SEC. 1002. BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF THIS ACT.**

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purposes of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on the conference report or amendment between the Houses.

**SEC. 1003. AUDIT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FISCAL YEAR 2018 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

(a) *AUDIT OF DOD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*—In addition to the requirement under section 1003(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2222 note) that the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan describe specific actions to be taken and the costs associated with ensuring that the financial statements of the Department of Defense are validated as ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017, upon the conclusion of fiscal year 2018, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that a full audit is performed on the financial statements of the Department of Defense for such fiscal year. The Secretary shall submit to Congress the results of that audit by not later than March 31, 2019.

(b) *INCLUSION OF AUDIT IN FINANCIAL IMPROVEMENT AUDIT READINESS PLAN.*—Section 1003(a)(2)(A) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2222 note) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in clause (ii), by inserting “and” after the semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) ensuring the audit of the financial statements of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2018 occurs by not later than March 31, 2019.”

**SEC. 1004. AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS TO THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION TO SUSTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION.**

(a) *TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.*—If the amount authorized to be appropriated for the weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration under section 3101 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 is less than \$8,400,000,000 (the amount projected to be required for such activities in fiscal year 2014 as specified in the report under section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2549)), the Secretary of Defense may transfer, from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 pursuant to this Act, to the Secretary of Energy an amount, not to exceed \$150,000,000, to be available only for weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(b) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—In the event of a transfer under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify Congress of the transfer, and shall include in such notice the Department of Defense account or accounts from which funds are transferred.

(c) *TRANSFER MECHANISM.*—Any funds transferred under this section shall be transferred in accordance with established procedures for reprogramming under section 1001 or successor provisions of law.

(d) *CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORITY.*—The transfer authority provided under subsection (a) is in addition to any other transfer authority provided under this Act.

## **Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities**

**SEC. 1011. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT UNIFIED COUNTER-DRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOMBIA.**

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1010 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1907), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

(b) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON ASSISTANCE.*—Not later than 15 days before providing assistance under section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (as amended by subsection (a)) using funds available for fiscal year 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice setting forth the assistance to be provided, including the types of such assistance, the budget for such assistance, and the anticipated completion date and duration of the provision of such assistance.

**SEC. 1012. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT TASK FORCES TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONDUCTING COUNTER-TERRORISM ACTIVITIES.**

Section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1594; 10 U.S.C. 371

note), as most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1907) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2015”.

**SEC. 1013. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.**

(a) *EXTENSION*.—Subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by section 1006 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1557), is further amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2016”.

(b) *MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SUPPORT*.—Subsection (e)(2) of such section 1033, as so amended, is further amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2016”.

(c) *ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENTS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE SUPPORT*.—Subsection (b) of such section 1033, as so amended, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(36) Government of Chad.

“(37) Government of Libya.

“(38) Government of Mali.

“(39) Government of Niger.”.

## **Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards**

**SEC. 1021. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR ANNUAL LONG-RANGE PLAN FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF NAVAL VESSELS.**

(a) *ANNUAL NAVAL VESSEL CONSTRUCTION PLAN*.—Subsection (b) of section 231 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “should be designed” both places it appears and inserting “shall be designed”; and

(B) by striking “is capable of supporting” both places it appears and inserting “supports”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “and capabilities” after “naval vessel force structure”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) The estimated total cost of construction for each vessel used to determine estimated levels of annual funding under subparagraph (C).”.

(b) *ASSESSMENT WHEN CONSTRUCTION PLAN DOES NOT MEET FORCE STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS*.—Such section is further amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following new subsection (c):

“(c) *ASSESSMENT WHEN ANNUAL NAVAL VESSEL CONSTRUCTION PLAN DOES NOT MEET FORCE STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS*.—If the annual naval vessel construction plan for a fiscal year under subsection (b) does not result in a force structure or capabilities that meet the requirements identified in subsection (b)(2)(B), the Secretary shall include with the defense budget materials for that fiscal year an assessment of the extent of the strategic and operational risk

to national security associated with the reduced force structure of naval vessels over the period of time that the required force structure or capabilities are not achieved. Such assessment shall include an analysis of whether the risks are acceptable, and plans to mitigate such risks. Such assessment shall be coordinated in advance with the commanders of the combatant commands and the Nuclear Weapons Council under section 179 of this title.”.

**SEC. 1022. CLARIFICATION OF SOLE OWNERSHIP RESULTING FROM SHIP DONATIONS AT NO COST TO THE NAVY.**

(a) **CLARIFICATION OF TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 7306 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE TRANSFER.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey, by donation, all right, title, and interest to any vessel stricken from the Naval Vessel Register or any captured vessel, for use as a museum or memorial for public display in the United States, to—

“(1) any State, the District of Columbia, any Commonwealth or possession of the United States, or any municipal corporation or political subdivision thereof; or

“(2) any nonprofit entity.”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATIONS ON LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(b) **LIMITATIONS ON LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY.**—(1) The United States and all departments and agencies thereof, and their officers and employees, shall not be liable at law or in equity for any injury or damage to any person or property occurring on a vessel donated under this section.

“(2) Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Defense, and the officers and employees of the Department of Defense, shall have no responsibility or obligation to make, engage in, or provide funding for, any improvement, upgrade, modification, maintenance, preservation, or repair to a vessel donated under this section.”.

(c) **CLARIFICATION THAT TRANSFERS TO BE MADE AT NO COST TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(A) by inserting after “under this section” the following: “; the maintenance and preservation of that vessel as a museum or memorial, and the ultimate disposal of that vessel, including demilitarization of Munitions List items at the end of the useful life of the vessel as a museum or memorial.”; and

(B) by striking “the United States” and inserting “the Department of Defense”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The heading for subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “UNITED STATES” and inserting “DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE”.

(d) **APPLICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS; DEFINITIONS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(e) **APPLICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.**—Nothing in this section shall affect the applicability of Federal, State, interstate, and local environmental laws and regulations, including the Toxic Sub-

stances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), to the Department of Defense or to a donee.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘nonprofit entity’ means any entity qualifying as an exempt organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(2) The term ‘Munitions List’ means the United States Munitions List created and controlled under section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).

“(3) The term ‘donee’ means any entity receiving a vessel pursuant to subsection (a).”

(e) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 7306. Vessels stricken from Naval Vessel Register; captured vessels: conveyance by donation”.**

(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 633 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“7306. Vessels stricken from Naval Vessel Register; captured vessels: conveyance by donation.”

**SEC. 1023. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OR INACTIVATION OF TICONDEROGA CLASS CRUISERS OR DOCK LANDING SHIPS.**

(a) LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, inactivate, or place in storage a cruiser or dock landing ship.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the funds referred to in such subsection may be obligated or expended to retire the U.S.S. Denver, LPD9.

**SEC. 1024. EXTENSION AND REMEDIATION OF NAVY CONTRACTING ACTIONS.**

(a) AUTHORITY FOR SHORT-TERM EXTENSION OR RENEWAL OF LEASES FOR VESSELS SUPPORTING THE TRANSIT PROTECTION SYSTEM ESCORT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may extend or renew the lease of not more than four blocking vessels supporting the Transit Protection System Escort Program after the date of the expiration of the lease of such vessels, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act. Such an extension shall be for a term that is the shorter of—

(A) the period beginning on the date of the expiration of the lease in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date on which the Secretary determines that a substitute is available for the capabilities provided by the lease, or that the capabilities provided by the vessel are no longer required; or

(B) 180 days.

(2) *FUNDING.*—Amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance, Navy, as specified in the funding tables in section 4301, may be available for the extension or renewal of a lease under paragraph (1).

(3) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—Prior to extending or renewing a lease under paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees notification of the proposed extension or renewal. Such notification shall include—

(A) a detailed description of the term of the proposed contract for the extension or renewal of the lease and a justification for extending or renewing the lease rather than obtaining the capability provided for by the lease, charter, or services involved through purchase of the vessel; and

(B) a plan for meeting the capability provided for by the lease upon the completion of the term of the lease contract, as extended or renewed under paragraph (1).

(b) *AUTHORITY FOR ACCEPTANCE OF PAYMENT IN KIND IN SETTLEMENT OF A-12 AIRCRAFT LITIGATION.*—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during fiscal year 2014 and any subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to accept and retain the following consideration in lieu of a monetary payment for purposes of the settlement of A-12 aircraft litigation arising from the default termination of Contract No. N00019-88-C-0050:

(1) From General Dynamics Corporation, credit in an amount not to exceed \$198,000,000 toward the design, construction, and delivery of the steel deckhouse, hangar, and aft missile launching system for the DDG 1002.

(2) From the Boeing Company, three EA-18G Growler aircraft, with installed Airborne Electric Attack kits, valued at an amount not to exceed \$198,000,000, at no cost to the Department of the Navy.

**SEC. 1025. REPORT COMPARING COSTS OF DDG 1000 AND DDG 51 FLIGHT III SHIPS.**

Not later than March 15, 2014, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report providing an updated comparison of the costs and risks of acquiring DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III vessels equipped for enhanced ballistic missile defense capability. The report shall include each of the following:

(1) An updated estimate of the total cost to develop, procure, operate, and support ballistic missile defense capable DDG 1000 destroyers equipped with the air and missile defense radar.

(2) The estimate of the Secretary of the total cost of the current plan to develop, procure, operate, and support Flight III DDG 51 destroyers.

(3) Details on the assumed ballistic missile defense requirements and construction schedules for both the DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III destroyers referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

(4) An updated comparison of the program risks and the resulting ship capabilities in all dimensions (not just ballistic missile defense) of the options referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(5) Any other information the Secretary determines appropriate.

**SEC. 1026. REPORT ON NAVAL VESSELS AND THE FORCE STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the submittal of the annual naval vessel construction plan required under section 231 of title 10, United States Code, for fiscal year 2015, the Chief of Naval Operations shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the current requirements for combatant vessels of the Navy and the anticipated requirements for such vessels during the 30-year period following the submittal of the report.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of the naval capability requirements identified by the combatant commands in developing the Force Structure Assessment in 2005 and revalidating that Assessment in 2010.

(2) The capabilities for each class of vessel that was assumed in the Force Structure Assessment.

(3) An assessment of the capabilities of the current fleet of combatant vessels of the Navy to meet current and anticipated requirements.

(4) An assessment of how the Navy is currently managing deployment schedules to meet combatant commander requirements with a smaller force than specified in the Force Structure Assessment of 2005, including the impact on—

(A) the material condition of the naval force due to longer deployment times; and

(B) long-term retention rates, especially in critical specialties.

(5) An assessment of the capabilities of the anticipated fleet of combatant vessels of the Navy to meet emerging threats over the next 30 years.

(6) An assessment of how the Navy will meet combatant command requirements for forward-deployed naval capabilities with a smaller number of ships and submarines.

(7) An assessment of how the Navy will manage the risk of massing a greater set of capabilities on a smaller number of ships while facing an expanding range of asymmetrical threats, including—

(A) anti-access/area-denial capabilities;

(B) diesel-electric submarines;

(C) mines; and

(D) anti-ship cruise and ballistic missiles.

(8) The assessment of the Commandant of the Marine Corps of—

(A) the operational risk associated with the current and the planned number of ships of the amphibious assault force, including vessels designated as LHA, LHD, LPD, or LSD; and

(B) the capabilities required to meet the needs of the Marine Corps for future ships of the amphibious assault force.

(c) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 1027. MODIFICATION OF POLICY RELATING TO MAJOR COMBATANT VESSELS OF THE STRIKE FORCES OF THE NAVY.**

Section 1012 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 7291 note) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively; and

(2) in subsection (a), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “the request shall be for” and inserting “the request shall include a specific assessment of”; and

(B) by inserting “in the analysis of alternatives” after “nuclear power system”.

### **Subtitle D—Counterterrorism**

**SEC. 1031. CLARIFICATION OF PROCEDURES FOR USE OF ALTERNATE MEMBERS ON MILITARY COMMISSIONS.**

(a) *PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE MEMBERS.*—

(1) *NUMBER OF MEMBERS.*—Subsection (a) of section 948m of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “at least five members” and inserting “at least five primary members and as many alternate members as the convening authority shall detail”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Alternate members shall be designated in the order in which they will replace an excused primary member.”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “primary” after “the number of”.

(2) *GENERAL RULES.*—Such section is further amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (b) and (c) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsections (b) and (c):

“(b) *PRIMARY MEMBERS.*—Primary members of a military commission under this chapter are voting members.

“(c) *ALTERNATE MEMBERS.*—(1) A military commission may include alternate members to replace primary members who are excused from service on the commission.

“(2) Whenever a primary member is excused from service on the commission, an alternate member, if available, shall replace the excused primary member and the trial may proceed.”.

(3) *EXCUSE OF MEMBERS.*—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by paragraph (2)(A), is amended—

(A) in the matter before paragraph (1), by inserting “primary or alternate” before “member”;

(B) by striking “or” at the end of paragraph (2);

(C) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting “; or”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) in the case of an alternate member, in order to reduce the number of alternate members required for service on the commission, as determined by the convening authority.”.

(4) **ABSENT AND ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.**—Subsection (e) of such section, as redesignated by paragraph (2)(A), is amended—

(A) in the first sentence—

(i) by inserting “the number of primary members of” after “Whenever”;

(ii) by inserting “primary” before “members required by”; and

(iii) by inserting “and there are no remaining alternate members to replace the excused primary members” after “subsection (a)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “An alternate member who was present for the introduction of all evidence shall not be considered to be a new or additional member.”.

(b) **CHALLENGES.**—Section 949f of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting “primary or alternate” before “members”; and

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the following new sentence: “Nothing in this section prohibits the military judge from awarding to each party such additional peremptory challenges as may be required in the interests of justice.”.

(c) **NUMBER OF VOTES REQUIRED.**—Section 949m of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting “primary” before “members” each place it appears; and

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the following new paragraph:

“(4) The primary members present for a vote on a sentence need not be the same primary members who voted on the conviction if the requirements of section 948m(d) of this title are met.”.

**SEC. 1032. MODIFICATION OF REGIONAL DEFENSE COMBATING TERRORISM FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2249c(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by inserting “, including engagement activities for program alumni,” after “subsection (a)”; and

(2) in paragraph (4), by inserting after “program” the following: “, including a list of any unfunded or unmet training requirements and requests”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) A discussion and justification of how the program fits within the theater security priorities of each of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to a report submitted for a fiscal year beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1033. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to construct or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house any individual detained at Guantanamo for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense unless authorized by Congress.

(b) *EXCEPTION.*—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

(c) *INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “individual detained at Guantanamo” has the meaning given that term in section 1035(e)(2).

**SEC. 1034. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

(1) is not a United States citizen or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

(2) is or was held on or after January 20, 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

**SEC. 1035. TRANSFERS TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

(a) *AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.*—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo to the individual’s country of origin, or any other foreign country, if—

(1) the Secretary determines, following a review conducted in accordance with the requirements of section 1023 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 801 note) and Executive Order No. 13567, that the individual is no longer a threat to the national security of the United States; or

(2) such transfer or release outside the United States is to effectuate an order affecting disposition of the individual by a court or competent tribunal of the United States having jurisdiction.

(b) *DETERMINATION REQUIRED PRIOR TO TRANSFER.*—Except as provided in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may transfer an individual detained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individual’s country origin, or any other foreign country, only if the Secretary determines that—

(1) actions that have been or are planned to be taken will substantially mitigate the risk of such individual engaging or re-engaging in any terrorist or other hostile activity that threatens the United States or United States persons or interests; and

(2) the transfer is in the national security interest of the United States.

(c) **FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED IN MAKING DETERMINATION.**—In making the determination specified in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall specifically evaluate and take into consideration the following factors:

(1) The recommendations of the Guantanamo Detainee Review Task Force established pursuant to Executive Order No. 13492 and the recommendations of the Periodic Review Boards established pursuant to No. Executive Order 13567, as applicable.

(2) The security situation in the foreign country to which the individual is to be transferred, including whether or not the country is a state sponsor of terrorism, the presence of foreign terrorist groups, and the threat posed by such groups to the United States.

(3) Any confirmed case in which an individual transferred to the foreign country to which the individual is to be transferred subsequently engaged in terrorist or other hostile activity that threatened the United States or United States persons or interests.

(4) Any actions taken by the United States or the foreign country to which the individual is to be transferred, or change in circumstances in such country, that reduce the risk of re-engagement of the type described in paragraph (3).

(5) Any assurances provided by the government of the foreign country to which the individual is to be transferred, including that—

(A) such government maintains control over any facility at which the individual is to be detained if the individual is to be housed in a government-controlled facility; and

(B) such government has taken or agreed to take actions to substantially mitigate the risk of the individual engaging or reengaging in any terrorist or other hostile activity that threatens the United States or United States persons or interests.

(6) An assessment of the capacity, willingness, and past practices (if applicable) of the foreign country described in paragraph (5) in meeting any assurances it has provided, including assurances under paragraph (5) regarding its capacity and willingness to mitigate the risk of reengagement.

(7) Any record of cooperation by the individual to be transferred with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, while in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense, and any agreements and effective mechanisms that may be in place, to the extent relevant and necessary, to provide continued cooperation with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities.

(8) *In the case of an individual who has been tried in a court or competent tribunal of the United States having jurisdiction on charges based on the same conduct that serves as a basis for the determination that the individual is an enemy combatant, whether or not the individual has been acquitted of such charges or has been convicted and has completed serving the sentence pursuant to the conviction.*

(d) *NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the appropriate committees of Congress of a determination of the Secretary under subsection (a) or (b) not later than 30 days before the transfer or release of the individual under such subsection. Each notification shall include, at a minimum, the following:*

(1) *A detailed statement of the basis for the transfer or release.*

(2) *An explanation of why the transfer or release is in the national security interests of the United States.*

(3) *A description of any actions taken to mitigate the risks of reengagement by the individual to be transferred or released, including any actions taken to address factors relevant to a prior case of reengagement described in subsection (c)(3).*

(4) *A copy of any Periodic Review Board findings relating to the individual.*

(5) *A description of the evaluation conducted pursuant to subsection (c), including a summary of the assessment required by paragraph (6) of such subsection.*

(e) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

(1) *The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—*

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

(2) *The term “individual detained at Guantanamo” means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

(A) *is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

(B) *is—*

(i) *in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

(ii) *otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

(f) *REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITIES.—The following provisions of law are repealed:*

(1) *Section 1028 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1567; 10 U.S.C. 801 note).*

(2) *Section 1028 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1914; 10 U.S.C. 801 note).*

**SEC. 1036. REPORT ON INFORMATION RELATING TO INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT PARWAN, AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) *CLASSIFIED REPORT.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a classified report on information relating to the individuals detained by the Department of Defense at the Detention Facility at Parwan, Afghanistan, pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) who have been determined to represent an enduring security threat to the United States. Such report shall cover any individual detained at such facility as of the date of the enactment of this Act. Such report shall include for each such covered individual—

(1) a description of the relevant organization or organizations with which the individual is affiliated;

(2) whether the individual had ever been in the custody or under the effective control of the United States at any time before being detained at such facility and, if so, where the individual had been in such custody or under such effective control; and

(3) whether the individual has been directly linked to the death of any member of the United States Armed Forces or any United States Government employee.

(b) *DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW.*—Upon submittal of the classified report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a declassification review of such report to determine what information, if any, may be made publicly available in an unclassified summary of the information contained in the report. In conducting such declassification review, the Secretary shall make such summary information publicly available to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with national security.

**SEC. 1037. GRADE OF CHIEF PROSECUTOR AND CHIEF DEFENSE COUNSEL IN MILITARY COMMISSIONS ESTABLISHED TO TRY INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—For purposes of any military commission established under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, to try an alien unprivileged enemy belligerent (as such terms are defined in section 948a of such title) who is detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the chief defense counsel and the chief prosecutor shall have the same grade (as that term is defined in section 101(b)(7) of such title).

(b) *WAIVER.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may temporarily waive the requirement specified in subsection (a), if the Secretary determines that compliance with such subsection would—

(A) be infeasible due to a non-availability of qualified officers of the same grade to fill the billets of chief defense counsel and chief prosecutor; or

(B) cause a significant disruption to proceedings established under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code.

(2) *REPORTS.*—Not later than 30 days after the Secretary issues a waiver under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit

to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the following:

(A) A copy of the waiver and the determination of the Secretary to issue the waiver.

(B) A statement of the basis for the determination, including an explanation of the non-availability of qualified officers or the significant disruption concerned.

(C) Notice of the time period during which the waiver is in effect.

(c) **GUIDANCE.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance to ensure that the office of the chief defense counsel and the office of the chief prosecutor receive equitable resources, personnel support, and logistical support for conducting their respective duties in connection with any military commission established under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, to try an alien unprivileged enemy belligerent (as such terms are defined in section 948a of such title) who is detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

**SEC. 1038. REPORT ON CAPABILITY OF YEMENI GOVERNMENT TO DETAIN, REHABILITATE, AND PROSECUTE INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO WHO ARE TRANSFERRED TO YEMEN.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on the capability of the government of Yemen to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen. Such report shall include an assessment of any humanitarian issues that may be encountered in transferring individuals detained at Guantanamo to Yemen.

(b) **INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “individual detained at Guantanamo” has the meaning given such term in section 1035(e)(2).

**SEC. 1039. REPORT ON ATTACHMENT OF RIGHTS TO INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO IF TRANSFERRED TO THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report on the legal rights, if any, for which an individual detained at Guantanamo (as such term is defined in section 1035(e)(2)), if transferred to the United States, may become eligible, by reason of such transfer.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) An assessment of the extent to which an individual detained at Guantanamo, if transferred to the United States, could become eligible, by reason of such transfer, for—

(A) relief from removal from the United States, including pursuant to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;

(B) any required release from immigration detention, including pursuant to the decision of the Supreme Court in *Zadvydas v. Davis*;

(C) asylum or withholding of removal; or

(D) any additional constitutional right.

(2) For any right referred to in paragraph (1) for which the Attorney General determine such an individual could become eligible if so transferred, a description of the reasoning behind such determination and an explanation of the nature of the right.

(3) An analysis of the extent to which legislation or other steps could address any legal rights described in paragraph (1).

## **Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations**

### **SEC. 1041. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

(a) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

#### **“§ 130f. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall promptly submit to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of any sensitive military operation conducted under this title following such operation. Department of Defense support to operations conducted under the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.) is addressed in the classified annex prepared to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

“(b) PROCEDURES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish and submit to the congressional defense committees procedures for complying with the requirements of subsection (a) consistent with the national security of the United States and the protection of operational integrity.

“(2) The congressional defense committees shall ensure that committee procedures designed to protect from unauthorized disclosure classified information relating to national security of the United States are sufficient to protect the information that is submitted to the committees pursuant to this section.

“(c) BRIEFING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall periodically brief the congressional defense committees on Department of Defense personnel and equipment assigned to sensitive military operations.

“(d) SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATION DEFINED.—The term ‘sensitive military operation’ means a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the armed forces outside the United States and outside a theater of major hostilities pursuant to—

“(1) the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note); or

“(2) any other authority except—

“(A) a declaration of war; or

“(B) a specific statutory authorization for the use of force other than the authorization referred to in paragraph (1).

“(e) *EXCEPTION.*—The notification requirement under subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to a sensitive military operation executed within the territory of Afghanistan pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note).

“(f) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to provide any new authority or to alter or otherwise affect the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1541 et seq.), the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), or any requirement under the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.).”

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 130e the following new item:

“130f. Congressional notification regarding sensitive military operations.”

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—Section 130f of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to any sensitive military operation (as defined in subsection (d) of such section) executed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) *DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROCEDURES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the procedures required under section 130f(b) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), by not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1042. COUNTERTERRORISM OPERATIONAL BRIEFINGS.**

(a) *BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 23 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 484 the following new section:

**“§ 485. Quarterly counterterrorism operations briefings**

“(a) *BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees quarterly briefings outlining Department of Defense counterterrorism operations and related activities.

“(b) *ELEMENTS.*—Each briefing under subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

“(1) A global update on activity within each geographic combatant command and how such activity supports the respective theater campaign plan.

“(2) An overview of authorities and legal issues, including limitations.

“(3) An overview of interagency activities and initiatives.

“(4) Any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.”

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 484 the following new item:

“485. Quarterly counterterrorism operations briefings.”

(b) *CONFORMING REPEAL.*—Section 1031 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1570; 10 U.S.C. 167 note) is hereby repealed.

**SEC. 1043. REPORT ON PROCESS FOR DETERMINING TARGETS OF LETHAL OR CAPTURE OPERATIONS.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an explanation of the legal and policy considerations and approval processes used in determining whether an individual or group of individuals could be the target of a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States outside the United States and outside of Afghanistan.

## **Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces**

**SEC. 1051. NOTIFICATION REQUIRED FOR REDUCTION OR CONSOLIDATION OF DUAL-CAPABLE AIRCRAFT BASED IN EUROPE.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that the President should not reduce or consolidate the basing of dual-capable aircraft of the United States that are based in Europe unless—

(1) the President takes into account whether the Russian Federation has carried out similar reductions or consolidations with respect to dual-capable aircraft of Russia;

(2) the Secretary of Defense has consulted with the member states of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) with respect to the planned reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft of the United States; and

(3) there is a consensus among such member states that the nuclear posture of NATO is not adversely affected by such reduction or consolidation.

(b) *NOTIFICATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 24 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 497 the following new section:

**“§ 497a. Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe**

“(a) *NOTIFICATION.*—Not less than 90 days before the date on which the Secretary of Defense reduces or consolidates the dual-capable aircraft of the United States that are based in Europe, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification of such planned reduction or consolidation, including the following:

“(1) The reasons for such planned reduction or consolidation.

“(2) Any effects of such planned reduction or consolidation on the extended deterrence mission of the United States.

“(3) The manner in which the military requirements of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) will continue to be met in light of such planned reduction or consolidation.

“(4) A statement by the Secretary on the response of NATO to such planned reduction or consolidation.

“(5) Whether there is any change in the force posture of the Russian Federation as a result of such planned reduction or consolidation, including with respect to the nonstrategic nuclear weapons of Russia that are within range of the member states of NATO.

“(b) **DUAL-CAPABLE AIRCRAFT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘dual-capable aircraft’ means aircraft that can perform both conventional and nuclear missions.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 497 the following new item:

“497a. Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe.”.

**SEC. 1052. COUNCIL ON OVERSIGHT OF THE NATIONAL LEADERSHIP COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 7 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 171 the following new section:

**“§ 171a. Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System**

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is within the Department of Defense a council to be known as the ‘Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Council’).

“(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The members of the Council shall be as follows:

“(1) The Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

“(2) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

“(3) The Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

“(4) The Commander of the United States Strategic Command.

“(5) The Director of the National Security Agency.

“(6) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense.

“(7) Such other officers of the Department of Defense as the Secretary may designate.

“(c) **CO-CHAIR.**—The Council shall be co-chaired by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

“(d) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—(1) The Council shall be responsible for oversight of the command, control, and communications system for the national leadership of the United States, including nuclear command, control, and communications.

“(2) In carrying out the responsibility for oversight of the command, control, and communications system as specified in paragraph (1), the Council shall be responsible for the following:

“(A) Oversight of performance assessments (including interoperability).

“(B) Vulnerability identification and mitigation.

“(C) Architecture development.

“(D) Resource prioritization.

*“(E) Such other responsibilities as the Secretary of Defense shall specify for purposes of this section.*

*“(e) ANNUAL REPORTS.—At the same time each year that the budget of the President is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, the Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities of the Council. Each report shall include the following:*

*“(1) A description and assessment of the activities of the Council during the previous fiscal year.*

*“(2) A description of the activities proposed to be undertaken by the Council during the period covered by the current future-years defense program under section 221 of this title.*

*“(3) Any changes to the requirements of the command, control, and communications system for the national leadership of the United States made during the previous year, along with an explanation for why the changes were made and a description of the effects of the changes to the capability of the system.*

*“(4) A breakdown of each program element in such budget that relates to the system, including how such program element relates to the operation and sustainment, research and development, procurement, or other activity of the system.*

*“(f) BUDGET AND FUNDING MATTERS.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the President submits to Congress the budget for a fiscal year under section 1105(a) of title 31, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff an assessment of—*

*“(A) whether such budget allows the Federal Government to meet the required capabilities of the command, control, and communications system for the national leadership of the United States during the fiscal year covered by the budget and the four subsequent fiscal years; and*

*“(B) if the Commander determines that such budget does not allow the Federal Government to meet such required capabilities, a description of the steps being taken to meet such required capabilities.*

*“(2) Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff receives the assessment of the Commander of the United States Strategic Command under paragraph (1), the Chairman shall submit to the congressional defense committees—*

*“(A) such assessment as it was submitted to the Chairman; and*

*“(B) any comments of the Chairman.*

*“(3) If a House of Congress adopts a bill authorizing or appropriating funds for the activities of the command, control, and communications system for the national leadership of the United States that, as determined by the Council, provides insufficient funds for such activities for the period covered by such bill, the Council shall notify the congressional defense committees of the determination.*

*“(g) NOTIFICATION OF ANOMALIES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees written notification of an anomaly in the nuclear command, control, and communications system for the national leadership of the United States that is reported to the Secretary or the Council by not later than 14*

days after the date on which the Secretary or the Council learns of such anomaly, as the case may be.

“(2) In this subsection, the term ‘anomaly’ means any unplanned, irregular, or abnormal event, whether unexplained or caused intentionally or unintentionally by a person or a system.

“(h) NATIONAL LEADERSHIP OF THE UNITED STATES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘national leadership of the United States’ means the following:

“(1) The President.

“(2) The Vice President.

“(3) Such other civilian officials of the United States Government as the President shall designate for purposes of this section.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 171 the following new item:

“171a. Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System.”.

(3) REPORT ON ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System established by section 171a of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), including the following:

(A) The charter and organizational structure of the Council.

(B) Such recommendations for legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate to improve the authorities relating to the Council.

(C) A funding plan over the period of the current future-years defense program under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, to ensure a robust and modern nuclear command, control, and communications capability.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 491 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (c).

**SEC. 1053. MODIFICATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS COUNCIL.**

(a) RESPONSIBILITIES.—Subsection (d) of section 179 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (10); and

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (11) and (12) as paragraphs (10) and (11), respectively.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) A description and assessment of the joint efforts of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy to develop common security practices that improve the security of the nuclear weapons and facilities of the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy.”.

(c) *TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.*—Such subsection (g) is further amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “on the following” and inserting “that includes the following”.

**SEC. 1054. MODIFICATION OF DEADLINE FOR REPORT ON PLAN FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE, NUCLEAR WEAPONS COMPLEX, NUCLEAR WEAPONS DELIVERY SYSTEMS, AND NUCLEAR WEAPONS COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.**

Section 1043(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1576) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “ON THE PLAN” and all that follows through “CONTROL SYSTEM” and inserting “REQUIRED”;

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “Together with the budget of the President submitted to Congress” and inserting “Not later than 30 days after the submission to Congress of the budget of the President”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) *EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR REPORT.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to subparagraph (B), if the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy jointly determine that a report required by paragraph (1) for a fiscal year will not be able to be transmitted to the committees specified in that paragraph by the time required under that paragraph, such Secretaries shall—

“(i) promptly, and before the submission to Congress of the budget of the President for that fiscal year under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, notify those committees of the expected date for the transmission of the report; and

“(ii) not later than 30 days after the submission of that budget to Congress, provide a briefing to those committees on the content of the report.

“(B) *LIMITATION.*—In no case may the President transmit a report required by paragraph (1) for a fiscal year to the committees specified in that paragraph later than 60 days after the submission to Congress of the budget of the President for that fiscal year.”.

**SEC. 1055. PROHIBITION ON ELIMINATION OF NUCLEAR TRIAD.**

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to reduce, convert, or decommission any strategic delivery system if such reduction, conversion, or decommissioning would eliminate a leg of the nuclear triad.

(b) *NUCLEAR TRIAD DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “nuclear triad” means the nuclear deterrent capabilities of the United States composed of the following:

- (1) Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles.
- (2) Submarine-launched ballistic missiles and associated ballistic missile submarines.
- (3) Nuclear-certified strategic bombers.

**SEC. 1056. IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW START TREATY.**

(a) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—

(1) *FISCAL YEAR 2014 ACTIVITIES.*—With respect to reductions to the nuclear forces of the United States necessary to meet the New START Treaty levels, the Secretary of Defense may only use funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 to carry out activities to prepare for such reductions. Subject to the limitation in subsection (b), such activities may include the preparation of any documents needed to support an environmental assessment process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) that may be required to support such reductions.

(2) *CONSOLIDATED BUDGET DISPLAY.*—The Secretary shall include with the defense budget materials for each fiscal year specified in paragraph (3) a consolidated budget justification display that individually covers each program and activity associated with the implementation of the New START Treaty for the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, at or about the time as such defense budget materials are submitted.

(3) *FISCAL YEAR SPECIFIED.*—A fiscal year specified in this paragraph is each fiscal year that occurs during the period beginning with fiscal year 2015 and ending on the date on which the New START Treaty is no longer in force.

(b) *LIMITATION.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for environmental assessment activities to support reductions to the nuclear forces of the United States, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until—

(1) the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress the plan required by subsection (a) of section 1042 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1575), including a description of various options for the nuclear force structure of the United States under the New START Treaty, including the preferred force structure option of the Secretary (such plan and options may be subject to modification based on the results of the environmental assessment and other subsequent developments);

(2) the Commander of the United States Strategic Command submits to the congressional defense committees a report providing the assessment of the Commander with respect to the options contained in the plan described in paragraph (1), including the preferred force structure option of the Secretary; and

(3) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff certifies to the congressional defense committees that conducting such environmental assessment activities will not imperil the ability of the military to comply with the New START Treaty levels by February 2018.

(c) *MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF B–52 AIRCRAFT.*—

(1) *COMMON CONVENTIONAL CAPABILITY CONFIGURATION.*—Subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 131 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2111), as added by section 137(a)(1)(C) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008

(Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 32), is amended by striking “common capability configuration” and inserting “common conventional capability configuration”.

(2) *CONVERSION*.—Notwithstanding such section 131 or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may not convert a B–52 aircraft described in subsection (a)(1)(C) of such section 131 to a configuration that does not allow the aircraft to perform nuclear missions unless the Secretary has submitted to Congress the information required under subsection (b).

(d) *REPORT ON COLLABORATION AMONG THE STRATEGIC FORCES OF THE ARMED FORCES*.—

(1) *REPORT REQUIRED*.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on collaboration among the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force on activities related to strategic systems to provide efficiencies, improve technology sharing, and yield other potential benefits.

(2) *ELEMENTS*.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of current collaboration among the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force on strategic system programs, including strategic missiles systems, conventional prompt global strike, and other strategic forces as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(B) A description and assessment of any additional opportunities for such collaboration, including the benefits that may be realized by such efforts, the risks and costs to existing programs, and potential effects on the defense industrial base that supports strategic systems.

(e) *SENSE OF CONGRESS*.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the successful implementation of the New START Treaty requires the partnership of the President and Congress;

(2) the force structure required by the New START Treaty should preserve Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile silos that contain a deployed missile as of the date of the enactment of this Act in, at a minimum, a warm status that enables such silo to be made fully operational with a deployed missile and remain a fully functioning element of the interconnected and redundant command and control system of the missile field; and

(3) the distribution of any such warm-status silos should not disproportionately affect the force structure of any one operational intercontinental ballistic missile wing.

(f) *DEFINITIONS*.—In this section:

(1) The term “defense budget materials” has the meaning given that term in section 231(f) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “New START Treaty” means the Treaty between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.

**SEC. 1057. RETENTION OF CAPABILITY TO REDEPLOY MULTIPLE INDEPENDENTLY TARGETABLE REENTRY VEHICLES.**

(a) **DEPLOYMENT CAPABILITY.**—*The Secretary of the Air Force shall ensure that the Air Force is capable of—*

(1) *deploying multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles to Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles; and*

(2) *commencing such deployment not later than 180 days after the date on which the President determines such deployment necessary.*

(b) **WARHEAD CAPABILITY.**—*The Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code, shall ensure that—*

(1) *the nuclear weapons stockpile contains a sufficient number of nuclear warheads that are capable of being deployed as multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles with respect to Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles; and*

(2) *such deployment is capable of being commenced not later than 180 days after the date on which the President determines such deployment necessary.*

**SEC. 1058. REPORT ON NEW START TREATY.**

*Not later than January 15, 2014, the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on whether the New START Treaty (as defined in section 494(a)(2)(D)(ii) of title 10, United States Code) is in the national security interests of the United States.*

**SEC. 1059. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PALOMARES NUCLEAR WEAPONS ACCIDENT REVISED DOSE EVALUATION REPORT.**

*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report released by the Air Force in April 2001.*

**SEC. 1060. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON FURTHER STRATEGIC NUCLEAR ARMS REDUCTIONS WITH THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*It is the sense of Congress that, if the United States seeks further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation that are below the levels of the New START Treaty, such reductions should—*

(1) *be pursued through a mutually negotiated agreement with Russia;*

(2) *be verifiable;*

(3) *be made pursuant to the treaty-making power of the President as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution; and*

(4) *take into account the full range of nuclear weapon capabilities that threaten the United States and the forward-deployed forces and allies of the United States, including such capabilities relating to nonstrategic nuclear weapons.*

(b) **NEW START TREATY DEFINED.**—*The term “New START Treaty” means the Treaty between the United States of America and the*

*Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.*

**SEC. 1061. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COMPLIANCE WITH NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL TREATY OBLIGATIONS.**

*It is the sense of Congress that, if the President determines that a foreign nation is in substantial noncompliance with its obligations under a nuclear arms control treaty to which the United States is a party in a manner that adversely affects the national security of the United States or its allies or alliances, the President should—*

*(1) conduct an assessment of the effect of such noncompliance on the national security interests of the United States and its allies;*

*(2) determine what further actions are warranted by the United States in response to such noncompliance;*

*(3) determine whether such noncompliance threatens the viability of such treaty;*

*(4) take appropriate steps to resolve the noncompliance issue;*

*(5) keep Congress informed of developments relating to such noncompliance issue;*

*(6) inform Congress of the assessment and plan of the President to resolve such noncompliance issue, including any plans to address the issue diplomatically with the government of the noncompliant nation and the affected allies and alliances;*

*(7) consider if the United States should, in light of such noncompliance, engage in future nuclear arms control negotiations with the government of the noncompliant nation; and*

*(8) consider the potential effect of such noncompliance on the consideration by the Senate of a future nuclear arms reduction treaty involving the government of the noncompliant nation.*

**SEC. 1062. SENSES OF CONGRESS ON ENSURING THE MODERNIZATION OF THE NUCLEAR FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES.**

*(a) POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States to—*

*(1) modernize or replace the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems;*

*(2) proceed with a robust stockpile stewardship program;*

*(3) maintain and modernize the nuclear weapons production capabilities that will ensure the safety, security, reliability, and performance of the nuclear forces of the United States at the levels required by the New START Treaty; and*

*(4) underpin deterrence by meeting the requirements for hedging against possible international developments or technical problems, in accordance with the policies of the United States.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MODERNIZATION OF NUCLEAR FORCES.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) Congress is committed to providing the resources needed to achieve the objectives stated in subsection (a) at a minimum at the level set forth in the 10-year plan provided to Congress on an annual basis pursuant to section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1576), as amended;*

*(2) Congress supports the modernization or replacement of the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems consisting of—*

*(A) a heavy bomber and air-launched cruise missile;*

- (B) *an intercontinental ballistic missile; and*  
 (C) *a ballistic missile submarine and submarine-launched ballistic missile; and*  
 (3) *the President and Congress should work together to meet the objectives stated in subsection (a) in the most cost-efficient manner possible.*
- (b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS ON LONG-RANGE STRIKE BOMBER AIRCRAFT.**—*It is the sense of Congress that—*
- (1) *advancements in air-to-air and surface-to-air weapons systems by foreign powers will require increasingly sophisticated long-range strike capabilities;*  
 (2) *upgrading the existing bomber aircraft fleet of the United States consisting of B-1B, B-2, and B-52 bomber aircraft must remain a high budget priority in order to maintain the combat effectiveness of such fleet; and*  
 (3) *the Air Force should continue to prioritize development and acquisition of the long-range strike bomber program.*

## **Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations**

### **SEC. 1071. ENHANCEMENT OF CAPACITY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO ANALYZE CAPTURED RECORDS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 21 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 426 the following new section:

#### **“§ 427. Conflict Records Research Center**

“(a) **CENTER AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense may establish a center to be known as the ‘Conflict Records Research Center’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Center’).

“(b) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of the Center shall be the following:

“(1) To establish a digital research database, including translations, and to facilitate research and analysis of records captured from countries, organizations, and individuals, now or once hostile to the United States, with rigid adherence to academic freedom and integrity.

“(2) Consistent with the protection of national security information, personally identifiable information, and intelligence sources and methods, to make a significant portion of these records available to researchers as quickly and responsibly as possible while taking into account the integrity of the academic process and risks to innocents or third parties.

“(3) To conduct and disseminate research and analysis to increase the understanding of factors related to international relations, counterterrorism, and conventional and unconventional warfare and, ultimately, enhance national security.

“(4) To collaborate with members of academic and broad national security communities, both domestic and international, on research, conferences, seminars, and other information exchanges to identify topics of importance for the leadership of the United States Government and the scholarly community.

“(c) *CONCURRENCE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.*—The Secretary of Defense shall seek the concurrence of the Director of National Intelligence to the extent the efforts and activities of the Center involve the entities referred to in subsection (b)(4).

“(d) *SUPPORT FROM OTHER UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES.*—The head of any non-Department of Defense department or agency of the United States Government may—

“(1) provide to the Secretary of Defense services, including personnel support, to support the operations of the Center; and

“(2) transfer funds to the Secretary of Defense to support the operations of the Center.

“(e) *ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND DONATIONS.*—(1) Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary of Defense may accept from any source specified in paragraph (2) any gift or donation for purposes of defraying the costs or enhancing the operations of the Center.

“(2) The sources specified in this paragraph are the following:

“(A) The government of a State or a political subdivision of a State.

“(B) The government of a foreign country.

“(C) A foundation or other charitable organization, including a foundation or charitable organization that is organized or operates under the laws of a foreign country.

“(D) Any source in the private sector of the United States or a foreign country.

“(3) The Secretary may not accept a gift or donation under this subsection if acceptance of the gift or donation would compromise or appear to compromise—

“(A) the ability of the Department of Defense, any employee of the Department, or any member of the armed forces to carry out the responsibility or duty of the Department in a fair and objective manner; or

“(B) the integrity of any program of the Department or of any person involved in such a program.

“(4) The Secretary shall provide written guidance setting forth the criteria to be used in determining the applicability of paragraph (3) to any proposed gift or donation under this subsection.

“(f) *CREDITING OF FUNDS TRANSFERRED OR ACCEPTED.*—Funds transferred to or accepted by the Secretary of Defense under this section shall be credited to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for the Center, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as the appropriations with which merged. Any funds so transferred or accepted shall remain available until expended.

“(g) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘captured record’ means a document, audio file, video file, or other material captured during combat operations from countries, organizations, or individuals, now or once hostile to the United States.

“(2) The term ‘gift or donation’ means any gift or donation of funds, materials (including research materials), real or personal property, or services (including lecture services and faculty services).”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 426 the following new item:

“427. Conflict Records Research Center.”.

**SEC. 1072. STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 488 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “other year, and in time for submission to Congress under subsection (b),” and inserting “three years”;

(B) by inserting after “Secretary of Defense” the following: “, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Commerce,”;

(C) by striking “the mission of the Department of Defense.” and inserting “the national security of the United States. Each such strategic plan shall include each of the following.”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:  
“(1) An inventory of the uses of the electromagnetic spectrum for national security purposes and other purposes.

“(2) An estimate of the need for electromagnetic spectrum for national security and other purposes over each of the periods specified in subsection (b).

“(3) Any other matters that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Commerce, considers appropriate for the strategic plan.”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c) and inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) *PERIODS COVERED BY STRATEGIC PLAN.*—Each strategic plan prepared under subsection (a) shall cover each of the following periods (counting from the date of the issuance of the plan):

“(1) Zero to five years.

“(2) Five to ten years.

“(3) Ten to thirty years.”;

(3) in subsection (c), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “(1) The Secretary”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:  
“(2) Each strategic plan submitted under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.*—

(1) *HEADING.*—The section heading for section 488 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “: **biennial strategic plan**”.

(2) *TABLE OF SECTIONS.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 23 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 488 and inserting the following new item:

“488. Management of electromagnetic spectrum.”.

**SEC. 1073. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE MILITARY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TO CERTAIN OTHER AGENCIES AT THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REIMBURSEMENT RATE.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (a) of section 2642 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “airlift” each place it appears and inserting “transportation”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “October 28, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2019”;

(B) by inserting and “military transportation services provided in support of foreign military sales” after “Department of Defense”; and

(C) by striking “air industry” and inserting “transportation industry”.

(b) *TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.*—The heading for such section is amended by striking “Airlift” and inserting “Transportation”.

(c) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 157 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2642 and inserting the following new item:

“2642. Transportation services provided to certain other agencies: use of Department of Defense reimbursement rates.”.

**SEC. 1074. NOTIFICATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO ARMY FORCE STRUCTURE.**

(a) *CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE.*—The Secretary of the Army shall certify to the congressional defense committees that Army force structure modifications, reductions, and additions authorized as of the date of the enactment of this Act that will utilize funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of the Army are compliant with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(b) *NOTIFICATION OF NECESSARY ASSESSMENTS OR STUDIES.*—The Secretary of the Army, when making a congressional notification in accordance with section 993 of title 10, United States Code, shall include the Secretary’s assessment of whether or not the changes covered by the notification require an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and, if an assessment or study is required, the plan for conducting such assessment or study.

**SEC. 1075. AIRCRAFT JOINT TRAINING.**

(a) *UNMANNED AIRCRAFT JOINT TRAINING AND USAGE PLAN.*—

(1) *METHODS.*—The Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall jointly develop and implement plans and procedures to review the potential of joint testing and evaluation of unmanned aircraft equipment and systems with other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government that may serve the dual purpose of providing capabilities to the Department of Defense to meet the future requirements of combatant commanders and domestically to strengthen international border security.

(2) *REPORT.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall jointly submit to Congress a report on the status of the development of the plans and procedures required under paragraph (1), including a cost-benefit analysis of the shared expenses between the Department of Defense and other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government to support such plans.

(b) *AIRCRAFT SIMULATOR TRAINING.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the use of aircraft simulators offers cost savings and provides members of the Armed Forces cost-effective preparation for combat; and

(2) existing synergies between the Department of Defense and entities in the private sector should be maintained and cultivated to provide members of the Armed Forces with the most cost-effective aircraft simulation capabilities possible.

## **Subtitle H—Studies and Reports**

### **SEC. 1081. ONLINE AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (a) of section 122a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—To the maximum extent practicable, on or after the date on which each report described in subsection (b) is submitted to Congress, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs, shall ensure that the report is made available to the public by—

“(1) posting the report on a publicly accessible Internet website of the Department of Defense; and

“(2) upon request, transmitting the report by other means, as long as such transmission is at no cost to the Department.”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to reports submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act.

### **SEC. 1082. OVERSIGHT OF COMBAT SUPPORT AGENCIES.**

Section 193(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by inserting “and the congressional defense committees” after “the Secretary of Defense”.

### **SEC. 1083. INCLUSION IN ANNUAL REPORT OF DESCRIPTION OF INTERAGENCY COORDINATION RELATING TO HUMANITARIAN DEMINING TECHNOLOGY.**

Section 407(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) a description of interagency efforts to coordinate and improve research, development, test, and evaluation for humanitarian demining technology and mechanical clearance methods, including the transfer of relevant counter-improvised explosive

*device technology with potential humanitarian demining applications.”.*

**SEC. 1084. REPEAL AND MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) **TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.**—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1)(A) Section 483 is repealed.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 23 is amended by striking the item relating to section 483.

(2) Section 2216 is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (i); and

(B) by redesignating subsections (j) and (k) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively.

(3) Section 2885(a)(3) is amended by striking “If a project” and inserting “In the case of a project for new construction, if the project”.

(b) **ANNUAL NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACTS.**—

(1) **FISCAL YEAR 2009.**—Section 903(b)(5) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 10 U.S.C. 2228 note), as amended by section 334, is further amended by striking subparagraph (A), as designated by such section, and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) Not later than December 31 of each year, the corrosion control and prevention executive of a military department shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report containing recommendations pertaining to the corrosion control and prevention program of the military department. Such report shall include recommendations for the funding levels necessary for the executive to carry out the duties of the executive under this section.”.

(2) **FISCAL YEAR 2008.**—The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 1074(b)(6) (10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended—  
 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “Except as provided in subparagraph (D), the Secretary”; and  
 (ii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Subparagraph (A) does not apply to determinations made with respect to the following individuals:

“(i) An individual described in paragraph (2)(C) who is otherwise sponsored by the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

“(ii) An individual described in paragraph (2)(E).”.

(B) Section 2864 (10 U.S.C. 2911 note) is repealed.

(3) **FISCAL YEAR 2007.**—Section 226 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2131) is repealed.

**SEC. 1085. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EFFICIENCIES.**

*Section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1582) is repealed.*

**SEC. 1086. REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES AND UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the United States Special Operations Forces organization, capabilities, structure, and oversight.*

(b) *REPORT.*—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review conducted under subsection (a). Such report shall include an analysis and, where appropriate, an assessment of the adequacy of each of the following:*

(1) *The organizational structure of the United States Special Operations Command and each subordinate component, as in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act.*

(2) *The policy and civilian oversight structures for Special Operations Forces within the Department of Defense, as in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act, including the statutory structures and responsibilities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict and the alignment of resources, including human capital, with regard to such responsibilities within the Department.*

(3) *The roles and responsibilities of United States Special Operations Command and Special Operations Forces under section 167 of title 10, United States Code.*

(4) *Current and future special operations peculiar requirements of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands and Theater Special Operations Commands.*

(5) *Command relationships between United States Special Operations Command, its subordinate component commands, and the geographic combatant commands.*

(6) *The funding authorities, uses, acquisition processes, and civilian oversight mechanisms of Major Force Program–11.*

(7) *Changes to structure, authorities, acquisition processes, oversight mechanisms, Major Force Program–11 funding, roles, and responsibilities assumed in the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review.*

(8) *Any other matters the Secretary of Defense determines are appropriate to ensure a comprehensive review and assessment.*

(c) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 60 days after the date on which the report required by subsection (b) is submitted, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a review of the report. Such review shall include an assessment of—*

(1) *United States Special Operations Forces organization, force structure, capabilities, authorities, acquisition processes, and civilian oversight mechanisms;*

(2) *how the special operations force structure is aligned with conventional force structures and national military strategies; and*

(3) any other matters the Comptroller General determines are relevant.

**SEC. 1087. REPORTS ON UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.**

(a) *REPORT ON COLLABORATION, DEMONSTRATION, AND USE CASES AND DATA SHARING.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, shall submit jointly to the appropriate congressional committees a report setting forth the following:

(1) The collaboration, demonstrations, and initial fielding of unmanned aircraft systems at test sites within and outside of restricted airspace.

(2) The progress being made to develop public and civil sense-and-avoid and command-and-control technology.

(3) An assessment on the sharing of operational, programmatic, and research data relating to unmanned aircraft systems operations by the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Defense, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to help the Federal Aviation Administration establish civil unmanned aircraft systems certification standards, pilot certification and licensing, and air traffic control procedures, including identifying the locations selected to collect, analyze, and store the data.

(b) *REPORT ON RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS NEEDED FOR UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS DESCRIBED IN THE 5-YEAR ROADMAP.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report setting forth the resource requirements needed to meet the milestones for unmanned aircraft systems integration described in the 5-year roadmap under section 332(a)(5) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act (Public Law 112–95; 49 U.S.C. 40101 note).

(c) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “UAS Executive Committee” means the Department of Defense-Federal Aviation Administration executive committee described in section 1036(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4596) established by the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration.

**SEC. 1088. REPORT ON FOREIGN LANGUAGE SUPPORT CONTRACTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the current approach of the Department of Defense to managing foreign language support contracts for the Department.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description and analysis of the spending by the Department on all types of foreign language support services and products acquired by the components of the Department.

(2) An assessment, in light of the analysis under paragraph (1), of whether any adjustment is needed in the management of foreign language support contracts for the Department in order to obtain efficiencies in contracts for all types of foreign language support for the Department.

**SEC. 1089. CIVIL AIR PATROL.**

(a) *REPORT.*—The Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Civil Air Patrol fleet.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of whether the current number of aircraft, operating locations, and types of aircraft in the Civil Air Patrol fleet are suitable for each of the following:

(A) Emergency missions in support of the Air Force, the Federal Emergency Management Agency, State and local governments, and others.

(B) Other operational missions in support of the Air Force, other Federal agencies, State and local governments, and others.

(C) Flight proficiency, flight training, and operational mission training and support for cadet orientation and cadet flight training programs in every State Civil Air Patrol wing.

(2) An assessment of the ideal overall size of the Civil Air Patrol aircraft fleet, including a description of the factors used in determining that size.

(3) An assessment of the process used by the Civil Air Patrol and the Air Force to determine aircraft operating locations, and whether State wing commanders are appropriately involved in that process.

(4) An assessment of the process used by the Civil Air Patrol, the Air Force, the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and others to determine the type of aircraft and number of aircraft to be needed to support emergency, operational, and training missions.

## **Subtitle I—Other Matters**

### **SEC. 1091. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**

(a) *TITLE 10.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:*

(1) *The table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part I of such subtitle, are each amended by striking the item relating to chapter 24 and inserting the following:*

**“24. Nuclear Posture ..... 491”.**

(2) *The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 is amended by striking the item relating to section 130e and inserting the following new item:*

*“130e. Treatment under Freedom of Information Act of critical infrastructure security information.”.*

(3) *Section 179(a)(5) is amended by striking “commander” and inserting “Commander”.*

(4) *The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 9 is amended by striking the item relating to section 231 and inserting the following new item:*

*“231. Budgeting for construction of naval vessels: annual plan and certification.”.*

(5) *Section 231a(a) is amended by striking “fiscal year of Defense” and inserting “fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense”.*

(6) *Chapter 24 is amended by adding a period at the end of the enumerator of section 498.*

(7) *Section 494(c) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of this Act” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.*

(8) *Section 673(a) is amended by inserting “of the Uniform Code of Military Justice” after “120c”.*

(9) *Section 1401a is amended by striking “before the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” in subsections (d) and (e) and inserting “before January 28, 2008”.*

(10) *Section 2359b(k)(4)(B) is amended by adding a period at the end.*

(11) *Section 2461(a)(5)(E)(i) is amended by striking “the a” and inserting “the”.*

(b) *NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.—Effective as of January 2, 2013, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) is amended as follows:*

(1) *Section 322(e)(2) (126 Stat. 1695) is amended by striking “Section 2366b(A)(3)(F)” and inserting “Section 2366b(a)(3)(F)”.*

(2) *Section 371(a)(1) (126 Stat. 1706) is amended by striking “subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively” and inserting “subsection (f) as subsection (g)”.*

(3) *Section 611(7) (126 Stat. 1776) is amended by striking “Section 408a(e)” and inserting “Section 478a(e)”.*

(4) *Section 822(b) (126 Stat. 1830) is amended by striking “such Act” and inserting “such section”.*

(5) Section 1031(b)(3)(B) (126 Stat. 1918) is amended by striking the subclause (III) immediately below clause (iv).

(6) Section 1031(b)(4) (126 Stat. 1919) is amended by striking “Section 1031(b)” and inserting “Section 1041(b)”.

(7) Section 1086(d)(1) (126 Stat. 1969) is amended by striking “paragraph (1)” and inserting “paragraph (2)”.

(8) Section 1221(a)(2) (126 Stat. 1992) is amended by striking “FISCAL” both places it appears and inserting “FISCAL”.

(9) Section 1804 (126 Stat. 2111) is amended—

(A) in subsection (h)(1)(B), by striking “inserting ‘; and’;” and inserting “inserting a semicolon;”; and

(B) in subsection (i), by inserting after “it appears” the following: “(except in those places in which ‘Administrator of FEMA’ already appears)”.

(c) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012.—Effective as of December 31, 2011, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 312(b)(6)(F) (125 Stat. 1354) is amended by striking “subsection (D)” and inserting “subsection (d)”.

(2) Section 585(a)(1) (125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by striking “experts sexual” and inserting “experts in sexual”.

(d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004.—Section 338(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 5013 note), as most recently amended by section 321 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1694), is amended by striking “subsection 4703” and inserting “section 4703”.

(e) AMENDMENT TO TITLE 41.—Section 4712(i) is amended by inserting before “the enactment” the following: “that is 180 days after the date”.

(f) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amendments made by provisions of this Act other than this section, the amendments made by this section shall be treated as having been enacted immediately before any amendment made by other provisions of this Act.

**SEC. 1092. REDUCTION IN COSTS TO REPORT CRITICAL CHANGES TO MAJOR AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM PROGRAMS.**

(a) EXTENSION OF A PROGRAM DEFINED.—Section 2445a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) EXTENSION OF A PROGRAM.—In this chapter, the term ‘extension of a program’ means, with respect to a major automated information system program or other major information technology investment program, the further deployment or planned deployment to additional users of the system which has already been found operationally effective and suitable by an independent test agency or the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, beyond the scope planned in the original estimate or information originally submitted on the program.”

(b) REPORTS ON CRITICAL CHANGES IN MAIS PROGRAMS.—Subsection (d) of section 2445c of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraph (3)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) **CERTIFICATION WHEN VARIANCE DUE TO EXTENSION OF PROGRAM.**—If an official with milestone decision authority for a program who, following receipt of a quarterly report described in paragraph (1) and making a determination described in paragraph (3), also determines that the circumstances resulting in the determination described in paragraph (3) (A) is primarily due to an extension of a program, and (B) involves minimal developmental risk, the official may, in lieu of carrying out an evaluation and submitting a report in accordance with paragraph (1), submit to the congressional defense committees, within 45 days after receiving the quarterly report, a certification that the official has made those determinations. If such a certification is submitted, the limitation in subsection (g)(1) does not apply with respect to that determination under paragraph (3).”.

(c) **CONFORMING CROSS-REFERENCE AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (g)(1) of such section is amended by striking “subsection (d)(2)” and inserting “subsection (d)(3)”.

(d) **TOTAL ACQUISITION COST INFORMATION.**—Title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) in section 2445b(b)(3), by striking “development costs” and inserting “total acquisition costs”; and

(2) in section 2445c—

(A) in subparagraph (B) of subsection (c)(2), by striking “program development cost” and inserting “total acquisition cost”; and

(B) in subparagraph (C) of subsection (d)(3) (as redesignated by subsection (b)(2)), by striking “program development cost” and inserting “total acquisition cost”.

(e) **CLARIFICATION OF CROSS-REFERENCE.**—Section 2445c(g)(2) of such title is amended by striking “in compliance with the requirements of subsection (d)(2)” and inserting “under subsection (d)(1)(B)”.

**SEC. 1093. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION TO ISSUE NON-PREMIUM AVIATION INSURANCE.**

Section 44310 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a) **IN GENERAL.**—” before “The authority”;

(2) by striking “this chapter” and inserting “any provision of this chapter other than section 44305”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) **INSURANCE OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PROPERTY.**—The authority of the Secretary of Transportation to provide insurance and reinsurance for a department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government under section 44305 is not effective after December 31, 2018.”.

**SEC. 1094. EXTENSION OF MINISTRY OF DEFENSE ADVISOR PROGRAM AND AUTHORITY TO WAIVE REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF ACTIVITIES FOR CERTAIN NONGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL.**

(a) **EXTENSION OF MINISTER OF DEFENSE ADVISOR PROGRAM AUTHORITY.**—

(1) *Subsection (b) of section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1599; 10 U.S.C. 168 note) is amended—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2017”; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “fiscal year 2012, 2013, or 2014” and inserting “a fiscal year ending on or before that date”.*

(2) **UPDATE OF POLICY GUIDANCE ON AUTHORITY.**—*The Under Secretary of Defense for Policy shall issue an update of the policy of the Department of Defense for assignment of civilian employees of the Department as advisors to foreign ministries of defense under the authority in section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, as amended by this section.*

(3) **ADDITIONAL ANNUAL REPORTS.**—*Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2017”.*

(4) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—*Subsection (c)(4) of such section is amended by striking “carried out such by such” and inserting “carried out by such”.*

(5) **DATE FOR SUBMITTAL OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORT.**—*Subsection (d) of such section is amended by striking “December 30, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”*

(b) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF ACTIVITIES FOR NONGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REGIONAL CENTERS FOR SECURITY STUDIES.**—*Section 941(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 184 note) is amended by striking “through 2013” and inserting “through 2014”.*

**SEC. 1095. AMENDMENTS TO CERTAIN NATIONAL COMMISSIONS.**

(a) **NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE AIR FORCE.**—

(1) **REVISION OF MEMBERS COMPENSATION.**—*Section 365(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1705) is amended—*

*(A) by striking “shall be compensated” and inserting “may be compensated”;*

*(B) by striking “equal to” and inserting “not to exceed”;*  
and

*(C) by inserting “of \$155,400” after “annual rate”.*

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—*The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall apply with respect to compensation for a duty performed on or after April 2, 2013.*

(b) **MILITARY COMPENSATION AND RETIREMENT MODERNIZATION COMMISSION.**—

(1) **SCOPE OF MILITARY COMPENSATION SYSTEM.**—*Section 671(c)(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal*

*Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1788) is amended by inserting before the period the following “, and includes any other laws, policies, or practices of the Federal Government that result in any direct payment of authorized or appropriated funds to the persons specified in subsection (b)(1)(A)”.*

(2) *COMMISSION AUTHORITIES.—Section 673 of such Act (126 Stat. 1790) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:*

*“(g) USE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION.—The Commission may secure directly from any department or agency of the Federal Government such information as the Commission considers necessary to carry out its duties. Upon such request of the Chair of the Commission, the head of such department or agency shall furnish such information to the Commission.*

*“(h) POSTAL SERVICES.—The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies of the United States.*

*“(i) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT GIFTS.—The Commission may accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services, goods, and property from non-Federal entities for the purposes of aiding and facilitating the work of the Commission. The authority in this subsection does not extend to gifts of money.*

*“(j) PERSONAL SERVICES.—*

*“(1) AUTHORITY TO PROCURE.—The Commission may—*

*“(A) procure the services of experts or consultants (or of organizations of experts or consultants) in accordance with the provisions of section 3109 of title 5, United States Code; and*

*“(B) pay in connection with such services travel expenses of individuals, including transportation and per diem in lieu of subsistence, while such individuals are traveling from their homes or places of business to duty stations.*

*“(2) LIMITATION.—The total number of experts or consultants procured pursuant to paragraph (1) may not exceed five experts or consultants.*

*“(3) MAXIMUM DAILY PAY RATES.—The daily rate paid an expert or consultant procured pursuant to paragraph (1) may not exceed the daily rate paid a person occupying a position at level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.”.*

(3) *COMMISSION REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—Section 674(f) of such Act (126 Stat. 1792) is amended—*

*(A) in paragraph (1)—*

*(i) by striking “15 months” and inserting “24 months”; and*

*(ii) by inserting “and recommendations for administrative actions” after “legislative language”; and*

*(B) in paragraph (6), by inserting “, and shall publish a copy of that report on an Internet website available to the public,” after “its report to Congress”.*

(4) *PRESIDENTIAL CONSIDERATION OF COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS.—Section 675 of such Act (126 Stat. 1793) is amended by striking subsection (d).*

(5) *COMMISSION STAFF.—*

(A) *DETAILEES RECEIVING MILITARY RETIRED PAY.*—Subsection (b)(3) of section 677 of such Act (126 Stat. 1794) is amended—

(i) in the paragraph heading, by striking “ELIGIBLE FOR” and inserting “RECEIVING”; and

(ii) by striking “eligible for or receiving military retired pay” and inserting “who are receiving military retired pay or who, but for being under the eligibility age applicable under section 12731 of title 10, United States Code, would be eligible to receive retired pay”.

(B) *PERFORMANCE REVIEWS.*—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “other than a member of the uniformed services or officer or employee who is detailed to the Commission,” after “executive branch department,”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2), by inserting “(other than for administrative accuracy)” before the semicolon.

(6) *TERMINATION OF COMMISSION.*—Section 679 of such Act (126 Stat. 1795) is amended by striking “26 months” and inserting “35 months”.

(7) *FUNDING.*—Section 680 of such Act (126 Stat. 1795) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Amounts made available under this section after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 shall be derived from fiscal year 2013 balances that remain available for obligation on that date.”.

**SEC. 1096. STRATEGY FOR FUTURE MILITARY INFORMATION OPERATIONS CAPABILITIES.**

(a) *STRATEGY REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a strategy for developing and sustaining through fiscal year 2020 information operations capabilities for future contingencies. The Secretary shall submit such strategy to the congressional defense committees by not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) *CONTENTS OF STRATEGY.*—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A plan for the sustainment of existing capabilities that have been developed during the ten-year period prior to the date of the enactment of this Act, including such capabilities developed using funds authorized to be appropriated for overseas contingency operations determined to be of enduring value for continued sustainment.

(2) A discussion of how the capabilities referred to in paragraph (1) are integrated into policy, doctrine, and operations.

(3) An assessment of the force structure that is required to sustain operational planning and potential contingency operations, including the integration across the active and reserve components.

(4) *Estimates of the steady-state resources needed to support the force structure referred to in paragraph (3), as well as estimates for resources that might be needed based on selected operational plans, contingency plans, and named operations.*

(5) *An assessment of the impact of how new and emerging technologies can be incorporated into policy, doctrine, and operations.*

(6) *A description of ongoing research into new capabilities that may be needed to fill any identified gaps and programs that might be required to develop such capabilities.*

(7) *Potential policy implications or legal challenges that may prevent the integration of new and emerging technologies into the projected force structure.*

(8) *Potential policy implications or challenges to the better leveraging of capabilities from interagency partners.*

**SEC. 1097. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COLLABORATION ON BORDER SECURITY.**

*It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security should, consistent with existing law and authorities, seek to collaborate on enhanced United States border security, including by identifying excess property of the Department of Defense, if any, that may be suitable for use by the Department of Homeland Security to support border security efforts.*

**SEC. 1098. TRANSFER OF AIRCRAFT TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS FOR WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION AND OTHER PURPOSES; TACTICAL AIRLIFT FLEET OF THE AIR FORCE.**

**(a) TRANSFER OF HC-130H AIRCRAFT.—**

**(1) TRANSFER BY DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.—**

**(A) IN GENERAL.—***Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and subject to the certification requirement under subsection (f), the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Defense, shall begin transfer, without reimbursement, of—*

*(i) the seven demilitarized HC-130H aircraft specified in subparagraph (C) to the Secretary of the Air Force; and*

*(ii) initial spares and necessary ground support equipment for HC-130H aircraft to the Secretary of Agriculture for use by the Director of Aviation and Fire Management of the Forest Service.*

**(B) CALCULATION OF INITIAL SPARES.—***For purposes of clause (ii) of subparagraph (A), initial spares shall be calculated based on shelf stock support for seven aircraft and each aircraft flying 400 hours each year.*

**(C) AIRCRAFT SPECIFIED.—***The aircraft specified in this subparagraph are the HC-130H Coast Guard aircraft with serial numbers 1706, 1708, 1709, 1713, 1714, 1719, and 1721.*

**(2) AIR FORCE ACTIONS.—**

**(A) IN GENERAL.—***The Secretary of the Air Force shall accept the HC-130H aircraft transferred by the Secretary of Homeland Security under paragraph (1) and, subject to the*

availability of funds as supplemented by transfers under paragraph (4), shall—

(i) at the first available opportunity, promptly schedule and serially synchronize with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of Agriculture the induction of HC-130H aircraft to minimize maintenance induction on-ramp wait time of HC-130H aircraft;

(ii) except as provided in subparagraph (B), perform center and outer wing-box replacement modifications, programmed depot-level maintenance, and modifications necessary to procure and integrate a gravity-drop aerial fire retardant dispersal system in each such HC-130H aircraft; and

(iii) after modifications described in clause (ii) are completed for each such HC-130H aircraft, transfer each such aircraft, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Agriculture for use by the Director of Aviation and Fire Management of the Forest Service.

(B) *EXCEPTIONS.*—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the Secretary of the Air Force may not—

(i) perform center wing-box replacement modifications on the HC-130H aircraft with serial numbers 1706, 1708, 1714, and 1721; or

(ii) perform an outer wing-box replacement modification on the HC-130H aircraft with serial number 1721.

(C) *LIMITATIONS ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may not obligate more than—

(i) \$5,000,000 per each HC-130H aircraft transferred under paragraph (1) to perform the modifications necessary to procure and integrate a gravity-drop aerial fire retardant dispersal system in each such HC-130H aircraft unless, by reimbursable order, the Secretary of Agriculture provides the additional funding necessary to the Secretary of the Air Force to complete such modifications; and

(ii) \$130,000,000 to perform all programmed depot-level maintenance and modifications described in subparagraph (A)(ii) for all such aircraft unless, by reimbursable order, the Secretary of Agriculture provides the additional funding necessary to the Secretary of the Air Force to complete such modifications.

(3) *COAST GUARD ACTIONS.*—In the case of any HC-130 aircraft that is identified for transfer to the Secretary of the Air Force and requires induction into depot-level maintenance, the Commandant of the Coast Guard may utilize, on a limited basis, such aircraft prior to depot-level maintenance to fulfill high-priority maritime patrol mission requirements of the Coast Guard. The authority under this paragraph does not include aircraft that are modified under paragraph (2)(A)(ii).

(4) *TRANSFER OF FUNDS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may use any appropriations or funds of the Department of Defense available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this

Act, and shall make transfers as necessary to supplement accounts of the Department of the Air Force, to perform the HC-130H modifications described under paragraph (2).

(B) *RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER AUTHORITY.*—Transfer authority provided under this paragraph is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2014.

(C) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 15 days after making a transfer pursuant to this paragraph, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of such transfer.

(b) *TRANSFER OF C-23B+ SHERPA AIRCRAFT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and subject to the certification requirement under subsection (f), the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall begin transfer, without reimbursement, of—

(A) not more than 15 demilitarized C-23B+ Sherpa aircraft to the Secretary of Agriculture, subject to the quantity of C-23B+ Sherpa aircraft that the Director of Aviation and Fire Management of the Forest Service determines are required to meet fire-fighting requirements; and

(B) initial spares and necessary ground support equipment for operation of C-23B+ Sherpa aircraft to the Secretary of Agriculture for use by the Director of Aviation and Fire Management of the Forest Service.

(2) *CALCULATION OF INITIAL SPARES.*—For purposes of paragraph (1), initial spares shall be calculated based on shelf stock support for the quantity of aircraft the Director of Aviation and Fire Management of the Forest Service determines necessary to meet fire-fighting requirements and each aircraft flying 300 hours each year.

(c) *CONDITIONS OF TRANSFERS.*—Aircraft transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture under this section—

(1) may be used only for wildfire suppression purposes;

(2) may not be flown outside of, or otherwise removed from, the United States unless dispatched by the National Interagency Fire Center in support of an international agreement to assist in wildfire suppression efforts or for other purposes approved by the Secretary of Agriculture in writing in advance; and

(3) may not be sold by the Secretary of Agriculture after transfer.

(d) *COSTS AFTER TRANSFER.*—Any costs of operation, maintenance, sustainment, and disposal of excess aircraft, initial spares, and ground support equipment transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture under this section that are incurred after the date of transfer shall be borne by the Secretary of Agriculture.

(e) *TRANSFER OF C-27J AIRCRAFT.*—Promptly following the completion of the certification requirement under subsection (f) and notwithstanding section 1091 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1971; 10

U.S.C. 2576 note), the Secretary of Defense shall begin transfer, without reimbursement, of—

(1) 14 C-27J aircraft to the Secretary of Homeland Security; and

(2) excess initial spares and necessary ground support equipment for 14 C-27J aircraft to the Secretary of Homeland Security for use by the Commandant of the Coast Guard as maritime patrol aircraft.

(f) **CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may not transfer any aircraft to either the Secretary of Agriculture or the Secretary of Homeland Security until the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget submit, by not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense committees certification that adequate funding has been transferred to the Department of the Air Force for the purpose of modifying HC-130H aircraft identified for transfer pursuant to subsection (a).

(g) **TRANSFER OF CERTAIN C-23 AIRCRAFT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—

(A) **OFFER OF TRANSFER.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Defense shall extend to the chief executive officer of the State of Alaska the opportunity to take title to not more than eight C-23 aircraft with tail numbers specified in subparagraph (B).

(B) **TAIL NUMBERS.**—The tail numbers of the C-23 aircraft subject to transfer under subparagraph (A) are as follows: 93-01319, 93-01329, 94-00308, 94-00309, 88-01869, 90-07015, 90-07016, and 90-07012.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 112 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1318) shall apply with respect to the transfer of any C-23 aircraft under this subsection in the same manner as the transfer of aircraft under such section.

(h) **TACTICAL AIRLIFT FLEET OF THE AIR FORCE.**—

(1) **CONSIDERATION OF UPGRADES OF CERTAIN AIRCRAFT IN RECAPITALIZATION OF FLEET.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall consider, as part of the recapitalization of the tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force, upgrades to C-130H aircraft designed to help such aircraft meet the fuel efficiency goals of the Department of the Air Force and retention of such aircraft, as so upgraded, in the tactical airlift fleet.

(2) **MANNER OF UPGRADES.**—The Secretary shall ensure that upgrades to the C-130H aircraft fleet are made in a manner that is proportional to the number of C-130H aircraft in the force structure of the regular Air Force, the Air Force Reserve, and the Air National Guard.

## **TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS**

- Sec. 1101. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.*
- Sec. 1102. One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone.*
- Sec. 1103. Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority for civilian employees of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1104. Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments to Department of Defense employees.*
- Sec. 1105. Revision to amount of financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program and assessment of STEM and other programs.*
- Sec. 1106. Extension of program for exchange of information-technology personnel.*
- Sec. 1107. Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities.*
- Sec. 1108. Compliance with law regarding availability of funding for civilian personnel.*
- Sec. 1109. Extension of enhanced appointment and compensation authority for civilian personnel for care and treatment of wounded and injured members of the Armed Forces.*

**SEC. 1101. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WORKING OVERSEAS.**

*Effective January 1, 2014, section 1101(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4615), as most recently amended by section 1101 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1973), is further amended by striking “through 2013” and inserting “through 2014”.*

**SEC. 1102. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY TO GRANT ALLOWANCES, BENEFITS, AND GRATUITIES TO PERSONNEL ON OFFICIAL DUTY IN A COMBAT ZONE.**

*Paragraph (2) of section 1603(a) of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109–234; 120 Stat. 443), as added by section 1102 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4616) and most recently amended by section 1104 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 125 Stat. 1973), is further amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”.*

**SEC. 1103. EXTENSION OF VOLUNTARY REDUCTION-IN-FORCE AUTHORITY FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

*Section 3502(f)(5) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2018”.*

**SEC. 1104. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE LUMP-SUM SEVERANCE PAYMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EMPLOYEES.**

*Section 5595(i)(4) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “October 1, 2014” and inserting “October 1, 2018”.*

**SEC. 1105. REVISION TO AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND RESEARCH FOR TRANSFORMATION (SMART) DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM AND ASSESSMENT OF STEM AND OTHER PROGRAMS.**

**(a) REVISION TO FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR SMART PROGRAM.—**

**(1) REVISION.**—Paragraph (2) of section 2192a(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “the amount determined” and all that follows through “room and board” and inserting “an amount determined by the Secretary of Defense”.

**(2) BRIEFING REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a briefing that assesses the impacts of the rising costs of higher education tuition on the number of students that the Department of Defense can accept into the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program under section 2192a of title 10, United States Code.

**(b) ASSESSMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATHEMATICS PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—**

**(1) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—**

**(A)** The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of each program as follows:

**(i)** The Army Educational Outreach Program (AEOP).

**(ii)** The STEM2Stern program of the Navy.

**(iii)** The DoD STARBASE program carried out by the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.

**(iv)** Prekindergarten through 12th grade activities of the National Defense Education Program.

**(B)** The Secretary of Defense shall conduct assessments under this paragraph in consultation with the Secretary of Education and the heads of other appropriate Federal agencies.

**(2) ELEMENTS.**—The assessment of a program under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

**(A)** An assessment of the current status of the program.

**(B)** A determination to retain, terminate, or transfer the program to another agency, together with a justification for the determination.

**(C)** For a program determined under subparagraph (B) to be terminated, a justification why the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education requirements of the program are no longer required.

**(D)** For a program determined under subparagraph (B) to be transferred to the jurisdiction of another agency—

**(i)** the name of such agency;

**(ii)** the funding anticipated to be provided the program by such agency during the five-year period beginning on the date of transfer; and

(iii) mechanisms to ensure that education under the program will continue to meet the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education requirements of the Department of Defense, including requirements for the dependents covered by the program.

(E) Metrics to assess whether a program under subparagraph (C) or (D) is meeting the requirements applicable to such program under such subparagraph.

(3) **LIMITATION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS ON PROGRAMS PENDING SUBMITTAL OF ASSESSMENT.**—A program specified in paragraph (1)(A) may not be terminated or transferred to the jurisdiction of another agency until 30 days after the date on which the report required by that paragraph is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

(c) **ASSESSMENT OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FACULTY FELLOWSHIP.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees, within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a briefing that assesses the National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (in this subsection referred to as the “Fellowship”). The briefing shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The return on investment and qualitative impact of the research funded by Fellowship awardees.

(2) Distribution of researcher awards from the past three years, including identification of researchers (if any) that have not done research with the Department of Defense in the past five years.

(3) The number of new and continuing students supported by Fellowship funding, as well as the number of those students that later receive employment by the Department of Defense, Department of Defense contractors, or other academic institutions supported by Department of Defense grants.

(4) A description of Fellowship awards and the use of the award funds.

(5) Recommendations for improving the effectiveness or efficiency of the Fellowship.

**SEC. 1106. EXTENSION OF PROGRAM FOR EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION-TECHNOLOGY PERSONNEL.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1110(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (5 U.S.C. 3702 note) is amended by striking “2013.” and inserting “2018.”

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**—Section 1110(i) of such Act is amended by striking “2015,” and inserting “2019.”

**SEC. 1107. TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES FOR CERTAIN POSITIONS AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FACILITIES.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE DIRECT APPOINTMENTS.**—

(1) **CANDIDATES FOR SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING POSITIONS AT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY REINVENTION LABORATORIES.**—The director of any Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratory (hereinafter in this section referred to as an “STRL”) may appoint qualified candidates possessing a bachelor’s degree to positions described in paragraph (1) of subsection (b) as an employee in a laboratory described in that paragraph without

regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code (other than sections 3303 and 3328 of such title).

(2) *VETERAN CANDIDATES FOR SIMILAR POSITIONS AT RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FACILITIES.*—The director of any STRL may appoint qualified veteran candidates to positions described in paragraph (2) of subsection (b) as an employee at a laboratory, agency, or organization specified in that paragraph without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) *COVERED POSITIONS.*—

(1) *CANDIDATES FOR SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING POSITIONS.*—The positions described in this paragraph are scientific and engineering positions that may be temporary, term, or permanent in any laboratory designated by section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2486; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) as a Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratory.

(2) *QUALIFIED VETERAN CANDIDATES.*—The positions described in this paragraph are scientific, technical, engineering, and mathematics positions, including technicians, in the following:

(A) Any laboratory referred to in paragraph (1).

(B) Any other Department of Defense research and engineering agency or organization designated by the Secretary for purposes of subsection (a)(2).

(c) *LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF APPOINTMENTS ALLOWABLE IN A CALENDAR YEAR.*—The authority under subsection (a) may not, in any calendar year and with respect to any laboratory, agency, or organization described in subsection (b), be exercised with respect to a number of candidates greater than the following:

(1) In the case of a laboratory described in subsection (b)(1), with respect to appointment authority under subsection (a)(1), the number equal to 3 percent of the total number of scientific and engineering positions in such laboratory that are filled as of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the start of such calendar year.

(2) In the case of a laboratory, agency, or organization described in subsection (b)(2), with respect to appointment authority under subsection (a)(2), the number equal to 1 percent of the total number of scientific, technical, engineering, mathematics, and technician positions in such laboratory, agency, or organization that are filled as of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the start of such calendar year.

(d) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “employee” has the meaning given that term in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) The term “veteran” has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.

(e) *SUNSET.*—Appointments under subsection (a) may not be made after December 31, 2019.

(f) *SENIOR SCIENTIFIC TECHNICAL MANAGERS.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—*There is hereby established in each STRL a category of senior professional scientific and technical positions, the incumbents of which shall be designated as “senior scientific technical managers” and which shall be positions classified above GS–15 of the General Schedule, notwithstanding section 5108(a) of title 5, United States Code. The primary functions of such positions shall be—*

*(A) to engage in research and development in the physical, biological, medical, or engineering sciences, or another field closely related to the mission of such STRL; and*

*(B) to carry out technical supervisory responsibilities.*

(2) *APPOINTMENTS.*—*The positions described in paragraph (1) may be filled, and shall be managed, by the director of the STRL involved, under criteria established pursuant to section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2721), relating to personnel demonstration projects at laboratories of the Department of Defense, except that the director of the laboratory involved shall determine the number of such positions at such laboratory, not to exceed 1 percent of the number of scientists and engineers employed at such laboratory as of the close of the last fiscal year before the fiscal year in which any appointments subject to that numerical limitation are made.*

(3) *SUNSET.*—*Appointments under this subsection may not be made after December 31, 2019.*

(g) *REPORTING REQUIREMENT.*—*The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on the operation of this section. Each such report shall include, for the period covered by such report—*

*(1) the total number of individuals appointed under subsection (a)(1) during such period;*

*(2) the total number of individuals appointed under subsection (a)(2) during such period; and*

*(3) the total number of senior scientific technical managers at each STRL as of the end of such period.*

(h) *EXCLUSION FROM PERSONNEL LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The director of an STRL shall manage the workforce strength, structure, positions, and compensation of such STRL—*

*(A) without regard to any limitation on appointments, positions, or funding with respect to such STRL, subject to subparagraph (B); and*

*(B) in a manner consistent with the budget available with respect to such STRL.*

(2) *EXCEPTIONS.*—*Paragraph (1) shall not apply to Senior Executive Service positions (as defined in section 3132(a) of title 5, United States Code) or scientific and professional positions authorized under section 3104 of such title.*

**SEC. 1108. COMPLIANCE WITH LAW REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL.**

(a) *REGULATIONS.*—*No later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations implementing the authority in subsection (a) of section 1111*

of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 1580 note prec.).

(b) **COORDINATION.**—The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall be responsible for coordinating the preparation of the regulations required under subsection (a).

(c) **LIMITATIONS.**—The regulations required under subsection (a) shall not be restricted by any civilian full-time equivalent or end-strength limitation, nor shall such regulations require offsetting civilian pay funding, civilian full-time equivalents, or civilian end-strengths.

**SEC. 1109. EXTENSION OF ENHANCED APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION AUTHORITY FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL FOR CARE AND TREATMENT OF WOUNDED AND INJURED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (c) of section 1599c of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2015” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2020”.

(b) **REPEAL OF FULFILLED REQUIREMENT.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (b); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (c), as amended by subsection (a), as subsection (b).

(c) **REPEAL OF REFERENCES TO CERTAIN TITLE 5 AUTHORITIES.**—Subsection (a)(2)(A) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “sections 3304, 5333, and 5753 of title 5” and inserting “section 3304 of title 5”; and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking “the authorities in such sections” and inserting “the authority in such section”.

## **TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS**

### *Subtitle A—Assistance and Training*

Sec. 1201. *Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces.*

Sec. 1202. *Global Security Contingency Fund.*

Sec. 1203. *Training of general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces with military and other security forces of friendly foreign countries.*

Sec. 1204. *Authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.*

Sec. 1205. *Authorization of National Guard State Partnership Program.*

Sec. 1206. *United States security and assistance strategies in Africa.*

Sec. 1207. *Assistance to the Government of Jordan for border security operations.*

Sec. 1208. *Support of foreign forces participating in operations to disarm the Lord’s Resistance Army.*

### *Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq*

Sec. 1211. *Commanders’ Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan.*

Sec. 1212. *One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan.*

Sec. 1213. *Extension of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.*

Sec. 1214. *Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*

Sec. 1215. *One-year extension and modification of authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan.*

- Sec. 1216. Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 100 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1217. Extension of certain authorities for support of foreign forces supporting or participating with the United States Armed Forces.
- Sec. 1218. Extension and improvement of the Iraqi special immigrant visa program.
- Sec. 1219. Improvement of the Afghan special immigrant visa program.

*Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014*

- Sec. 1221. Report on plans to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances.
- Sec. 1222. Completion of accelerated transition of security responsibility from United States Armed Forces to the Afghan National Security Forces.
- Sec. 1223. Defense intelligence plan.
- Sec. 1224. Limitation on availability of funds for certain authorities for Afghanistan.

*Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran*

- Sec. 1231. Report on United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries.
- Sec. 1232. Additional elements in annual report on military power of Iran.
- Sec. 1233. Integrated air and missile defense programs at training locations in Southwest Asia.

*Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters*

- Sec. 1241. Two-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.
- Sec. 1242. Element on 5th generation fighter program in annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 1243. Report on posture and readiness of the Armed Forces to respond to an attack or other contingency against United States diplomatic facilities overseas.
- Sec. 1244. Limitation on establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.
- Sec. 1245. Additional reports on military and security developments involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.
- Sec. 1246. Sense of Congress on missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation and limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.
- Sec. 1247. Amendments to annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act.
- Sec. 1248. Report on actions to reduce support for ballistic missile proliferation.
- Sec. 1249. Reports on international agreements relating to the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1250. Revision of statutory references to former NATO support organizations and related NATO agreements.
- Sec. 1251. Executive agreements with the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense.
- Sec. 1252. Rule of construction.
- Sec. 1253. Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty.
- Sec. 1254. Report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.
- Sec. 1255. Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or agreements with Rosoboronexport.

## ***Subtitle A—Assistance and Training***

### **SEC. 1201. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROGRAM TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY FORCES.**

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (a) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3456), as most recently amended by section 1206 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal

Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4625), is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) To build the capacity of a foreign country’s security forces to conduct counterterrorism operations.”

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Subsection (c)(5) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as most recently amended by section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1979), is further amended—

(1) by striking “not more than \$75,000,000 may be used during fiscal year 2010, not more than \$75,000,000 may be used during fiscal year 2011, and”; and

(2) by striking “each of fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014” and inserting “each fiscal year through fiscal year 2017”.

(c) LIMITATION ON FISCAL YEAR 2015 FUNDS.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to carry out section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015, not more than \$262,500,000 may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the proposed planning and execution of programs intended to be conducted or supported under subsection (a)(3) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as added by subsection (a), during fiscal year 2015, including a description of the proposed planning and execution of the amount of funds to be made available for such programs.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the scope of counterterrorism operations for which assistance is authorized to be provided under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The report shall include the following:

(1) A statement of the purposes for which assistance may be provided under the authority of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, consistent with the Presidential Policy Directive on United States Security Sector Assistance issued on April 5, 2013.

(2) A description of the types of activities that are appropriately within the scope of capacity building assistance under such authority.

(3) A description and assessment of the monitoring and evaluation procedures for such assistance, including measures of effectiveness applicable to counterterrorism capacity building activities under such authority.

(4) A prioritized list and discussion of the primary security threats as of the date of the report against which counterterrorism capacity building under such authority is or may be directed, in light of the end of combat operations in Iraq and the expected completion of combat operations by coalition forces in Afghanistan by December 2014.

(e) TERMINATION OF PROGRAM.—Subsection (g) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as most recently amended by section 1201 of the National Defense Au-

thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, is further amended by striking “2014” each place it appears and inserting “2017”.

**SEC. 1202. GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY FUND.**

(a) *AUTHORITY*.—Subsection (b) of section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1625; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “or regions” after “countries”; and

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “and other national security forces” and inserting “or other national security forces”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “and counterterrorism operations” and inserting “or counterterrorism operations”; and

(ii) by striking “and” at the end and inserting “or”.

(b) *NOTICES TO CONGRESS*.—Subsection (l) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(l) *NOTICES TO CONGRESS*.—Not less than 30 days before initiating an activity under a program of assistance under subsection (b), the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit to the specified congressional committees a notification that includes the following:

“(1) A notification of the intent to transfer funds into the Fund under subsection (f) or any other authority, including the original source of the funds.

“(2) A detailed justification for the total anticipated program for each country, including total anticipated costs and the specific activities contained therein.

“(3) The budget, execution plan and timeline, and anticipated completion date for the activity.

“(4) A list of other security-related assistance or justice sector and stabilization assistance that the United States is currently providing the country concerned and that is related to or supported by the activity.

“(5) Such other information relating to the program or activity as the Secretary of State or Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.”.

(c) *TRANSITIONAL AUTHORITIES; GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY*.—Such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (n);

(2) by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (l), as so amended, the following new subsection (m):

“(m) *GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY*.—Not later than 15 days after the date on which guidance and processes for implementation of the authority in subsection (b) have been issued, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit a report to the specified congressional committees on such guidance and processes. The Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit additional reports not later than 15 days after the date on which any future modifications to the guid-

ance and processes for implementation of the authority in subsection (b) are issued.”.

(d) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—Subsection (n) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (c)(2) of this section, is amended—

(1) by striking “October 30, 2012, and annually thereafter” and inserting “October 30 each year”; and

(2) by striking “subsection (q)” and inserting “subsection (p)”.

(e) **FUNDING.**—Such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (o); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (p) and (q) as subsections (o) and (p), respectively.

**SEC. 1203. TRAINING OF GENERAL PURPOSE FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES WITH MILITARY AND OTHER SECURITY FORCES OF FRIENDLY FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

(a) **TRAINING AUTHORIZED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Under regulations prescribed under subsection (f), general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces may train with the military forces or other security forces of a friendly foreign country if the Secretary of Defense determines that it is in the national security interests of the United States to do so. Training may be conducted under this section only with the prior approval of the Secretary of Defense.

(2) **CONCURRENCE.**—Before conducting a training event in or with a foreign country under this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall seek the concurrence of the Secretary of State in such training event.

(b) **TYPES OF TRAINING AUTHORIZED.**—Any training conducted by the United States Armed Forces pursuant to subsection (a) shall, to the maximum extent practicable—

(1) support the mission essential tasks for which the training unit providing such training is responsible;

(2) be with a foreign unit or organization with equipment that is functionally similar to such training unit; and

(3) include elements that promote—

(A) observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms; and

(B) respect for legitimate civilian authority within the foreign country or countries concerned.

(c) **AUTHORITY TO PAY EXPENSES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of a military department or the commander of a combatant command may pay, or authorize payment for, the incremental expenses incurred by a friendly foreign country as the direct result of training with general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces pursuant to subsection (a).

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The amount of incremental expenses payable under paragraph (1) in any fiscal year may not exceed \$10,000,000.

(d) **NOTICE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF TRAINING.**—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 15 days before the commencement of any training event pursuant to subsection (a). The notice on a training event shall include a descrip-

tion of the event and the foreign country or countries involved in the event.

(e) ANNUAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—Not later than April 1 of each year following a fiscal year in which training is conducted pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the training conducted pursuant to that subsection. Each report shall specify the following:

(1) For the fiscal year covered by such report, the following:

(A) Each country in which training was conducted.

(B) The type of training conducted, the duration of such training, and the number of members of the United States Armed Forces involved in such training.

(C) The extent of participation in such training by foreign military forces and other security forces, including the number and service affiliation of foreign military and other security force personnel involved and the physical and financial contribution of each country specified in subparagraph (A) in such training.

(D) The relationship of such training to other overseas training programs conducted by the United States Armed Forces, such as military exercise programs sponsored by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, military exercise programs sponsored by a combatant command, and military training activities sponsored by a military department (including deployments for training, short duration exercises, and other similar unit training events).

(E) A summary of the expenditures under subsection (c) in connection with such training.

(F) A description and assessment of the unique military training benefits for members of the United States Armed Forces involved in such training.

(2) A list of the training events to be conducted during the 12-month period beginning on April 1 of the year in which such report is submitted.

(f) REGULATIONS.—Any training conducted pursuant to subsection (a) shall be conducted under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for the administration of this section. The regulations shall be prescribed not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “incremental expenses”, with respect to a friendly foreign country, means the reasonable and proper costs of rations, fuel, training ammunition, transportation, and other goods and services consumed by such country as a direct result of that country’s participation in training conducted pursuant to subsection (a), except that such term does not include pay, al-

lowances, and other normal costs of such country's military or security force personnel.

(3) The term "other security forces" includes national security forces that conduct border and maritime security, but does not include civilian police.

(h) EXPIRATION.—The authority under this section may not be exercised after September 30, 2017.

**SEC. 1204. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPABILITY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RESPOND TO INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, provide assistance to the military and civilian first responder organizations of countries that share a border with Syria in order to enhance the capability of such countries to respond effectively to potential incidents involving weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the surrounding region.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF AUTHORITY FOR OTHER COUNTRIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary of Defense determines, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, that the Department of Defense should provide the assistance authorized in subsection (a) to countries other than the countries described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may provide such assistance to such other countries.

(2) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of Defense may not provide assistance under paragraph (1) until the Secretary provides written notification to the congressional defense committees of the Secretary's intention to provide such assistance, together with an explanation of the scope of the assistance and the reasons for providing the assistance.

(c) AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS.—Assistance provided under this section may include training, equipment, and supplies.

(d) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—

(1) FUNDS AVAILABLE.—Amounts for assistance under this section in a fiscal year shall be derived from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, and available for the Defense Threat Reduction Agency for such fiscal year.

(2) AVAILABILITY ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.—Amounts available under paragraph (1) may be available for assistance that begins in a fiscal year and ends in the next fiscal year.

(e) NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON CERTAIN ASSISTANCE.—If the amount of assistance to be provided under this section in a fiscal year is anticipated to exceed \$4,000,000, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing of that fact.

(f) INTERAGENCY COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary of Defense shall comply with all applicable requirements for coordination and consultation within the Executive Branch.

(g) REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the authority in subsection (a) is first exercised and 60 days after the end of any fiscal year in which the authority under this section is exercised, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the following:

(A) A list of the countries to which the assistance has been or is being provided under the authority in this section, and a description of the assistance provided to each country under such authority.

(B) A description of how such assistance advances the national security interests of the United States and is consistent with broader United States national security policy and strategy in each country provided assistance and within the applicable region.

(C) The amount of funds used to provide such assistance to each country during the fiscal year covered by the report.

(D) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(2) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(h) **EXPIRATION.**—The authority to provide assistance under this section may not be exercised after September 30, 2017.

**SEC. 1205. AUTHORIZATION OF NATIONAL GUARD STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized to establish a program of exchanges of members of the National Guard of a State or territory and the military forces, or security forces or other government organizations whose primary functions include disaster response or emergency response, of a foreign country.

(2) **STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**—Each program established under this subsection shall be known as a “State Partnership Program”.

(b) **LIMITATION.**—An activity under a program established under subsection (a) that involves the security forces or other government organizations whose primary functions include disaster response or emergency response of a foreign country, or an activity that the Secretary of Defense determines is a matter within the core competencies of the National Guard of a State or territory, may be carried out only if the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, determines and notifies the appropriate congressional committees not less than 15 days before initiating such activity that the activity is in the national security interests of the United States.

(c) **REGULATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations shall establish accounting procedures to ensure that expenditures of funds to carry out this section are accounted for and appropriate.

(2) *NOTIFICATION.*—Not later than 15 days after the date on which such regulations have been prescribed, the Secretary of Defense—

(A) shall notify the appropriate congressional committees that the regulations have been prescribed; and

(B) shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a copy of the regulations.

(d) *AVAILABILITY OF AUTHORIZED FUNDS FOR PROGRAM.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense, including funds authorized to be appropriated for the Army National Guard and Air National Guard, are authorized to be available—

(A) for payment of costs incurred by the National Guard of a State or territory to conduct activities under a program established under subsection (a); and

(B) for payment of incremental expenses of a foreign country to conduct activities under a program established under subsection (a).

(2) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(A) *ACTIVE DUTY REQUIREMENT.*—Funds shall not be available under paragraph (1) for the participation of a member of the National Guard of a State or territory in activities in a foreign country unless the member is on active duty in the Armed Forces at the time of such participation

(B) *INCREMENTAL EXPENSES.*—The total amount of payments for incremental expenses of foreign countries as authorized under paragraph (1)(B) for activities under programs established under subsection (a) in any fiscal year may not exceed \$10,000,000.

(e) *REPORTS AND NOTIFICATIONS.*—

(1) *REVIEW AND REPORT OF EXISTING PROGRAMS.*—

(A) *REVIEW.*—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, shall conduct a comprehensive review of each program under the State Partnership Program as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on—

(i) the findings of the review conducted under subparagraph (A); and

(ii) any recommendations with respect to the review conducted under subparagraph (A).

(2) *ANNUAL REPORT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than January 31 of each year following a fiscal year in which activities under a program established under subsection (a) are carried out, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on such activities under the program.

(B) *MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.*—Each report shall specify, for the fiscal year covered by such report, the following:

(i) *Each foreign country in which the activities were conducted.*

(ii) *The type of activities conducted, the duration of the activities, and the number of members of the National Guard of each State or territory involved in such activities.*

(iii) *The extent of participation in the activities by the military forces and security forces of such foreign country.*

(iv) *A summary of expenditures to conduct the activities, including the annual cost of the activities, with a breakdown of such expenditures by geographic combatant command.*

(v) *With respect to activities described in subsection (b), the objective of the activities, and a description of how the activities support the theater campaign plan of the commander of the geographic combatant command with responsibility for the country or countries in which the training occurred.*

(f) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—*Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede any authority under title 10, United States Code, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

(g) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

(2) **INCREMENTAL EXPENSES.**—*The term “incremental expenses”, with respect to a foreign country—*

(A) *means the reasonable and proper costs of rations, fuel, training ammunition, transportation, and other goods and services consumed by the country as a direct result of the country’s participation in activities conducted under subsection (a); and*

(B) *does not include—*

(i) *any form of lethal assistance (excluding training ammunition); or*

(ii) *pay, allowances, and other normal costs of the personnel of the country.*

(h) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.**—*Section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2517; 32 U.S.C. 107 note) is repealed.*

(i) **TERMINATION.**—*The authority granted under subsection (a) shall terminate on September 30, 2016.*

**SEC. 1206. UNITED STATES SECURITY AND ASSISTANCE STRATEGIES IN AFRICA.**

(a) **STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR COUNTERTERRORISM ASSISTANCE AND COOPERATION IN THE SAHEL AND THE MAGHREB REGIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State, develop a strategic framework for United States counterterrorism assistance and cooperation in the Sahel and Maghreb regions of Africa, including for pro-*

grams conducted under the Trans-Sahara Counter Terrorism Partnership, Operation Enduring Freedom—Trans Sahara, and related security assistance authorities.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The strategic framework required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An evaluation of the threat of terrorist organizations operating in the Sahel and Maghreb regions to the national security of the United States.

(B) An identification on a regional basis of the primary objectives, priorities, and desired end-states of United States counterterrorism assistance and cooperation programs in the region, and of the resources required to achieve such objectives, priorities, and end-states.

(C) A methodology for assessing the effectiveness of United States counterterrorism assistance and cooperation programs in the region in making progress towards the objectives and desired end-states identified pursuant to subparagraph (B), including an identification of key benchmarks of such progress.

(D) Criteria for bilateral and multilateral partnerships in the region.

(E) Plans for enhancing coordination among United States and international agencies for planning and implementation of United States counterterrorism assistance and cooperation programs for the region on a regional basis, rather than a country-by-country basis, in order to improve coordination among United States regional and bilateral counterterrorism assistance and cooperation programs in the region.

(3) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report that includes the following:

(A) A comprehensive description of the strategic framework required by paragraph (1).

(B) A description of lessons learned regarding the organization and implementation of United States counterterrorism assistance and cooperation programs for the Sahel and Maghreb regions of Africa, including an evaluation of the performance and commitment of regional partners in the Sahel and Maghreb regions, including Mali in particular, in 2012 and 2013.

(b) *STRATEGY TO SUPPORT CONSOLIDATION OF SECURITY AND GOVERNANCE GAINS IN SOMALIA.*—

(1) *REQUIREMENT FOR STRATEGY.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a strategy to guide future United States policy and programs in Somalia to counter armed threats and support regional security, and in support of Somali and international efforts to foster economic growth and opportunity, counter armed threats to stability, and develop credible, transparent, and representative government systems and institutions.

(2) *CONTENT OF STRATEGY.*—The strategy required under paragraph (1) should include the following elements:

(A) An interagency framework to plan, coordinate and review diplomatic, military, intelligence, development, and humanitarian elements of the United States policy regarding Somalia.

(B) Plans and benchmarks for strengthening efforts, as appropriate, of the Government of Somalia, the African Union, and regional governments to stabilize the security situation within Somalia and further degrade al-Shabaab's capabilities, in order to enable the eventual transfer of security operations to Somali security forces capable of—

(i) maintaining and expanding security and stability within Somalia;

(ii) confronting transnational security threats; and

(iii) preventing human rights abuses.

(C) A plan to support the development and professionalization of credible, civilian led, Somali security forces that are representative of the population, including the infrastructure and procedures required to ensure chain of custody and the safe storage of military equipment and an assessment of the benefits and risks of the provision of weaponry to the Somali security forces by the United States.

(D) A description of United States national security objectives addressed through military-to-military cooperation activities with Somali security forces.

(E) A description of security risks to any United States personnel conducting security cooperation activities within Somalia and plans to assist the Somali security forces in preventing infiltration and insider attacks, including through the application of lessons learned in United States military training efforts in Afghanistan.

(F) A description of United States tools for monitoring and responding to violations of the United Nations Security Council arms embargo, charcoal ban, and other international agreements affecting the stability of Somalia.

(G) A description of mechanisms for coordinating United States military and non-military assistance with other international donors, regional governments, and relevant multilateral organizations.

(H) A plan to support the consolidation of political gains at the national level, while also encouraging and supporting complementary processes at the local and regional levels and encouraging improved collaboration among Somali national and regional administrations.

(I) Any plans to increase United States diplomatic engagement with Somalia, including through the future establishment of an embassy or other diplomatic posts in Mogadishu.

(J) Any other element the President determines appropriate.

(3) *REPORTS.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the submission of the strategy required under paragraph (1), and

annually thereafter for three years, the President shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress an update on implementation of the strategy and progress made in Somalia and associated benchmarks for security, stability, development, and governance.

(4) *FORM.*—The strategy required under paragraph (1) and the reports required under paragraph (3) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) *INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT AND REPORT ON AL-SHABAAB.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a classified intelligence assessment of the terrorist organization known as al-Shabaab. Such assessment shall include the following:

(1) A description of organizational structure, operational objectives, and funding sources for al-Shabaab.

(2) An assessment of the extent to which al-Shabaab threatens security and stability within Somalia and surrounding countries.

(3) An assessment of the extent to which al-Shabaab threatens the security of United States citizens or the national security or interests of the United States.

(4) The description of the relationship between al-Shabaab and al-Qaeda and al-Qaeda affiliates.

(5) An assessment of the capacity of the Government of Somalia to counter the threat posed by al-Shabaab.

(6) An assessment of the capacity of regional countries and organizations, including the African Union, to counter the threat posed by al-Shabaab.

(d) *DESIGNATION OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL FOR AFRICA EXPORT POLICY.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and for the following three years, the President shall designate an existing senior United States Government official with existing interagency authority for export policy for Africa to coordinate among various United States Government agencies existing export strategies with the goal of significantly increasing United States exports to Africa in real dollar value.

(e) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1207. ASSISTANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF JORDAN FOR BORDER SECURITY OPERATIONS.**

(a) *AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, provide assistance on a reimbursement basis to the Government of Jordan for purposes of supporting and maintaining efforts of the armed forces of Jor-

dan to increase security and sustain increased security along the border between Jordan and Syria.

(2) *FREQUENCY.*—Assistance under this subsection may be provided on a quarterly basis.

(3) *CERTIFICATION.*—Assistance may be provided under this subsection only if the Secretary of Defense certifies to the specified congressional committees that the Government of Jordan is continuing to support and maintain efforts of the armed forces of Jordan to increase security or sustain increased security along the border between Jordan and Syria.

(b) *FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR ASSISTANCE.*—Amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by title XV and available for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations pursuant to section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) as specified in the funding table in section 4302 may be used to provide assistance under the authority in subsection (a).

(c) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.*—The total amount of assistance provided under the authority in subsection (a) may not exceed \$150,000,000.

(2) *PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS.*—The Secretary of Defense may not enter into any contractual obligation to provide assistance under the authority in subsection (a).

(d) *NOTICE BEFORE EXERCISE.*—Not later than 15 days before providing assistance under the authority in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the specified congressional committees a report setting forth a full description of the assistance to be provided, including the amount of assistance to be provided, and the timeline for the provision of such assistance.

(e) *SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.*—In this section, the term “specified congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(f) *EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.*—No assistance may be provided under the authority in subsection (a) after December 31, 2015.

**SEC. 1208. SUPPORT OF FOREIGN FORCES PARTICIPATING IN OPERATIONS TO DISARM THE LORD’S RESISTANCE ARMY.**

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Pursuant to the policy established by the Lord’s Resistance Army Disarmament and Northern Uganda Recovery Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–172; 124 Stat. 1209), the Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of Secretary of State, provide logistic support, supplies, and services, and intelligence support, to foreign forces participating in operations to mitigate and eliminate the threat posed by the Lord’s Resistance Army as follows:

(1) The national military forces of Uganda.

(2) The national military forces of any other country determined by the Secretary of Defense to be participating in such operations.

(b) *FUNDING.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year for the Department of Defense for oper-

ation and maintenance, not more than \$50,000,000 may be used in such fiscal year to provide support under subsection (a).

(2) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.*—Amounts available under this subsection for a fiscal year for support under the authority in subsection (a) may be used for support under that authority that begins in such fiscal year but ends in the next fiscal year.

(c) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to provide any type of support that is otherwise prohibited by any provision of law.

(2) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.*—Of the amount available under subsection (b) for fiscal year 2014, not more than \$37,500,000 may be obligated or expended to provide support under subsection (a) until the Secretary submits to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on Operation Observant Compass, including the specific goals of the campaign to counter the Lord's Resistance Army, the precise metrics used to measure progress in the campaign, and the actions that will be taken to transition the campaign if it is determined that it is no longer necessary for the United States to support the mission of the campaign.

(d) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON SUPPORT TO BE PROVIDED.*—Not less than 15 days before the date on which funds are obligated to provide support under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a notice setting forth the following:

- (1) The type of support to be provided.
- (2) The national military forces to be supported.
- (3) The objectives of such support.
- (4) The estimated cost of such support.
- (5) The intended duration of such support.

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “logistic support, supplies, and services” has the meaning given that term in section 2350(1) of title 10, United States Code.

(f) *EXPIRATION.*—The authority provided under this section may not be exercised after September 30, 2017.

(g) *REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.*—Section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is repealed.

## **Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq**

### **SEC. 1211. COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.**

**(a) ONE YEAR EXTENSION.—**

**(1) IN GENERAL.—**Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as amended by section 1221 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1992), is further amended by striking “fiscal year 2013” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal year 2014”.

**(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—**The heading of subsection (a) of such section is amended by striking “FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013”.

**(b) FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014.—**Subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, is further amended by striking “\$200,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

**(c) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR QUARTERLY BRIEFINGS.—**Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

**(1)** in the subsection heading, by striking “AND BRIEFINGS”; and

**(2)** by striking paragraph (3).

**(d) REVIEW REQUIRED.—**Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General, the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, and the Government Accountability Office, shall submit to Congress a comprehensive report on lessons learned and best practices from execution of the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP) from Iraq and Afghanistan.

**(e) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—**The report required by subsection (d) shall include the following:

**(1)** A description of any modifications to CERP since the commencement of the program.

**(2)** A description of CERP best practices and lessons learned related to the following:

**(A)** Requirements, training, and certifications for CERP managers in the field and headquarters.

**(B)** Project planning, execution, management, closeout, sustainability, and transfer to host government.

**(C)** Project approval process, including appropriate approval levels for higher-value projects.

**(D)** Project monitoring and evaluation.

**(E)** Control and accountability of funds.

**(F)** Procurement procedures, including local procurement.

**(G)** Processes to maintain flexibility and rapid implementation of funds, but retain accountability of CERP projects.

**(H)** Reporting requirements to the Department of Defense and Congress.

**(I)** Recommendations for the use of CERP in future contingency operations.

(J) Recommendations for developing a CERP handbook for use by future CERP administrators.

(3) A description and assessment of the application of CERP practices in the success of reconstruction efforts and of commanders' pursuit of their missions.

**SEC. 1212. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS FOR REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES IN AFGHANISTAN.**

Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4392), as most recently amended by section 1218 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1990), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”; and

(B) by striking “for fiscal year 2013” and inserting “for fiscal year 2014”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 1213. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

(a) *EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (a) of section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2000), is further amended by striking “fiscal year 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”.

(b) *LIMITATION ON AMOUNT AVAILABLE.*—Subsection (d)(1) of such section 1233, as so amended, is further amended by striking “during fiscal year 2013 may not exceed \$1,650,000,000” and inserting “during fiscal year 2014 may not exceed \$1,500,000,000”.

(c) *EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.*—Section 1232(b)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1213(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1630), is further amended by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “September 30, 2014”.

(d) *EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.*—Subsection (d) of section 1227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 2000) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “IN FISCAL YEAR 2013”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “Effective as of the date of the enactment of this Act,” and all that follows through “remain available for obligation” and inserting “No amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 or any prior fiscal year”.

**SEC. 1214. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**

(a) *EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (f) of section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “(f)” and all that follows through “fiscal year 2013,” and inserting the following:

“(f) *ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY FOR ACTIVITIES OF OSCI.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—During fiscal year 2014,”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) *REQUIRED ELEMENTS OF TRAINING.*—The training conducted under paragraph (1) shall include elements that promote the following:

“(A) *Observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.*

“(B) *Military professionalism.*

“(C) *Respect for legitimate civilian authority within Iraq.*”.

(b) *LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.*—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “2012” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “2014 may not exceed \$209,000,000.”.

(c) *SOURCE OF FUNDS.*—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”; and

(2) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013, as the case may be,” and inserting “that fiscal year”.

(d) *UPDATES OF REPORT ON ACTIVITIES OF OSCI.*—Section 1211(d)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1983) is amended—

(1) by striking “UPDATE REQUIRED.—Not later than September 30, 2013,” and inserting “UPDATES REQUIRED.—Not later than September 30, 2013, and every 180 days thereafter until the authority in section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 expires,”; and

(2) by striking “including” and all that follows and inserting “including the following:

“(A) *A description of any changes to the specific element or process described in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of paragraph (2).*

“(B) *An evaluation of the activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq based on the measures of effectiveness described in paragraph (2)(F) and a discussion of any determinations to expand, alter, or terminate specific activities of the Office based on those measures.*

“(C) *An evaluation of the effectiveness of the training provided pursuant to section 1215(f)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 in promoting respect for human rights, military professionalism, and respect for legitimate civilian authority in Iraq.*”.

**SEC. 1215. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR PROGRAM TO DEVELOP AND CARRY OUT INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) *EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.*—Section 1217(f) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4393), as most recently amended by section 1219 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1991), is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) Up to \$250,000,000 made available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance for fiscal year 2014.”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “, or phase of a project,” after “each project”;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D); and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) An assessment of the capability of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to provide security for such project after January 1, 2015, including an estimate of the ANSF force levels, if any, required to secure such project. Such assessment should include the estimated costs of providing security and whether or not the Government of Afghanistan is committed to providing such security.”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) In the case of funds for fiscal year 2014, until September 30, 2015.”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2013.

(c) *REPORT ON TRANSITION OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *REPORT.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the transition to the Government of Afghanistan, or a utility entity owned by the Government of Afghanistan, of the project management of projects funded with amounts authorized by this Act for the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund. Such transition shall be planned to be completed by not later December 31, 2014.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the projects to be transitioned as described in that paragraph, the cost of such projects, and the timelines for completion and other key implementation milestones for such projects.

(B) For each such project, the following:

(i) An estimate of the financial and other requirements necessary to manage such project, and sustain the infrastructure developed through such project, on an annual basis after the completion of such project.

(ii) *An assessment of the capacity of the Government of Afghanistan or such utility entity to manage such project, and maintain and use the infrastructure developed through such project, after the completion of such project.*

(iii) *A description of any arrangements, and an estimate of associated costs, to support the Government of Afghanistan or such utility entity if the Government of Afghanistan or such utility entity, as the case may be, lacks the capacity (in either financial or human resources) to manage such project, or sustain the infrastructure developed through such project, after the completion of such project.*

(C) *An assessment of the ministries or organizations of Afghanistan that will be responsible for the management of such projects after transition, including an assessment of any critical institutional shortfalls of such ministries and organizations that must be addressed for such ministries and organization to acquire the capacity required to assume project management responsibilities for such projects.*

**SEC. 1216. REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE TO AFGHANISTAN IN AMOUNT EQUIVALENT TO 100 PERCENT OF ALL TAXES ASSESSED BY AFGHANISTAN TO EXTENT SUCH TAXES ARE NOT REIMBURSED BY AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) **REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD ASSISTANCE TO AFGHANISTAN.**—*An amount equivalent to 100 percent of the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2013 by the Government of Afghanistan on all Department of Defense assistance shall be withheld by the Secretary of Defense from obligation from funds appropriated for such assistance for fiscal year 2014 to the extent that the Secretary of Defense certifies and reports in writing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that such taxes have not been reimbursed by the Government of Afghanistan to the Department of Defense or the grantee, contractor, or subcontractor concerned.*

(b) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—*The Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement in subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is necessary to achieve United States goals in Afghanistan.*

(c) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2013 by the Government of Afghanistan on all Department of Defense assistance.*

(d) **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “Department of Defense assistance” means funds provided during fiscal year 2013 to Afghanistan by the Department of Defense, either directly or through grantees, contractors, or subcontractors.*

(e) **TERMINATION.**—*This section shall terminate at the close of the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a notification that the United States and Afghanistan have signed*

a bilateral security agreement and such agreement has entered into force.

**SEC. 1217. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN AUTHORITIES FOR SUPPORT OF FOREIGN FORCES SUPPORTING OR PARTICIPATING WITH THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR COALITION FORCES SUPPORTING UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN.**—Section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 394), as most recently amended by section 1216(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1989), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “fiscal year 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”;

(2) in subsection (d), by striking “in fiscal year 2013” and inserting “during the period beginning on October 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2014,”; and

(3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “of fiscal year 2013” and inserting “through December 31, 2014”.

(b) **USE OF ACQUISITION AND CROSS-SERVICING AGREEMENTS TO LEND CERTAIN MILITARY EQUIPMENT TO CERTAIN FOREIGN FORCES FOR PERSONNEL PROTECTION AND SURVIVABILITY.**—Section 1202(e) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2413), as most recently amended by section 1202(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1621), is further amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 1218. EXTENSION AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE IRAQI SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISA PROGRAM.**

The Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act of 2007 (8 U.S.C. 1157 note) is amended—

(1) in section 1242, by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) **IMPROVED APPLICATION PROCESS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall improve the efficiency by which applications for special immigrant visas under section 1244(a), are processed so that all steps under the control of the respective departments incidental to the issuance of such visas, including required screenings and background checks, should be completed not later than 9 months after the date on which an eligible alien submits all required materials to complete an application for such visa.

“(2) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of a Secretary referred to in paragraph (1) to take longer than 9 months to complete those steps incidental to the issuance of such visas in high-risk cases for which satisfaction of national security concerns requires additional time.

“(d) REPRESENTATION.—An alien applying for admission to the United States pursuant to this subtitle may be represented during the application process, including at relevant interviews and examinations, by an attorney or other accredited representative. Such representation shall not be at the expense of the United States Government.”;

(2) in section 1244—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (4)—

(I) by striking “A recommendation” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under subparagraph (B), a recommendation”; and

(II) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) REVIEW PROCESS FOR DENIAL BY CHIEF OF MISSION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An applicant who has been denied Chief of Mission approval required by subparagraph (A) shall—

“(I) receive a written decision that provides, to the maximum extent feasible, information describing the basis for the denial, including the facts and inferences underlying the individual determination; and

“(II) be provided not more than one written appeal—

“(aa) that shall be submitted not more than 120 days after the date that the applicant receives such decision in writing; and

“(bb) that may request reopening of such decision and provide additional information, clarify existing information, or explain any unfavorable information.

“(ii) IRAQI SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISA COORDINATOR.—The Secretary of State shall designate, in the Embassy of the United States in Baghdad, Iraq, an Iraqi Special Immigrant Visa Coordinator responsible for overseeing the efficiency and integrity of the processing of special immigrant visas under this section, who shall be given—

“(I) sufficiently high security clearance to review information supporting Chief of Mission denials if an appeal of a denial is filed;

“(II) responsibility for ensuring that an applicant described in clause (i) receives the information described in clause (i)(I); and

“(III) responsibility for ensuring that every applicant is provided a reasonable opportunity to provide additional information, clarify existing information, or explain any unfavorable information pursuant to clause (i)(II).”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) EVIDENCE OF SERIOUS THREAT.—A credible sworn statement depicting dangerous country conditions, together with offi-

*cial evidence of such country conditions from the United States Government, should be considered as a factor in determination of whether the alien has experienced or is experiencing an ongoing serious threat as a consequence of the alien's employment by the United States Government for purposes of paragraph (1)(D)."; and*

*(B) in subsection (c)(3), by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:*

*“(C) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF VISAS.—*

*“(i) IN GENERAL.—The total number of principal aliens who may be provided special immigrant status under this section after January 1, 2014, shall be not more than 2500.*

*“(ii) EMPLOYMENT PERIOD.—The 1-year period during which the principal alien is required to have been employed by or on behalf of the United States Government in Iraq under subsection (b)(1)(B) shall begin on or after March 20, 2003, and end on or before September 30, 2013.*

*“(iii) APPLICATION DEADLINE.—The principal alien seeking special immigrant status under this subparagraph shall apply to the Chief of Mission in accordance with subsection (b)(4) not later than September 30, 2014.”; and*

*(3) in section 1248, by adding at the end the following:*

*“(f) REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS.—*

*“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall submit a report, with a classified annex, if necessary, to—*

*“(A) the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate; and*

*“(B) the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.*

*“(2) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall describe the implementation of improvements to the processing of applications for special immigrant visas under section 1244(a), including information relating to—*

*“(A) enhancing existing systems for conducting background and security checks of persons applying for special immigrant status, which shall—*

*“(i) support immigration security; and*

*“(ii) provide for the orderly processing of such applications without significant delay;*

*“(B) the financial, security, and personnel considerations and resources necessary to carry out this subtitle;*

*“(C) the number of aliens who have applied for special immigrant visas under section 1244 during each month of the preceding fiscal year;*

“(D) the reasons for the failure to process any applications that have been pending for longer than 9 months;

“(E) the total number of applications that are pending due to the failure—

“(i) to receive approval from the Chief of Mission;

“(ii) of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services to complete the adjudication of the Form I-360;

“(iii) to conduct a visa interview; or

“(iv) to issue the visa to an eligible alien;

“(F) the average wait times for an applicant at each of the stages described in subparagraph (E);

“(G) the number of denials or rejections at each of the stages described in subparagraph (E); and

“(H) the reasons for denials by the Chief of Mission based on the categories already made available to denied special immigrant visa applicants in the denial letter sent to them by the Chief of Mission.

“(g) PUBLIC QUARTERLY REPORTS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, and every 3 months thereafter, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall publish a report on the website of the Department of State that describes the efficiency improvements made in the process by which applications for special immigrant visas under section 1244(a) are processed, including information described in subparagraphs (C) through (H) of subsection (f)(2).

“(h) SENIOR COORDINATING OFFICIALS.—

“(1) REQUIREMENT TO DESIGNATE.—The Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Defense shall each designate a senior coordinating official, with sufficient expertise, authority, and resources, to carry out the duties described in paragraph (2), with regard to the issuance of special immigrant visas under this subtitle and the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note).

“(2) DUTIES.—Each senior coordinating official designated under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) develop proposals to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the process for issuing special immigrant visas under this subtitle and the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009;

“(B) coordinate and monitor the implementation of such proposals;

“(C) include such proposals in the report required by subsection (f) and in each quarterly report required by subsection (g); and

“(D) implement appropriate actions as authorized by law to carry out the improvements described in the report required by subsection (f).

“(3) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Defense shall each submit to the committees set out in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (f)(1) the name and title of the senior coordinating

*official designated under paragraph (1) by each such Secretary, along with a description of the relevant expertise, authority, and resources of such official.”.*

**SEC. 1219. IMPROVEMENT OF THE AFGHAN SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISA PROGRAM.**

*Section 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (2)—*

*(A) in subparagraph (D)—*

*(i) by striking “A recommendation” and inserting the following:*

*“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under clause (ii), a recommendation”; and*

*(ii) by adding at the end the following:*

*“(ii) REVIEW PROCESS FOR DENIAL BY CHIEF OF MISSION.—*

*“(I) IN GENERAL.—An applicant who has been denied Chief of Mission approval shall—*

*“(aa) receive a written decision that provides, to the maximum extent feasible, information describing the basis for the denial, including the facts and inferences underlying the individual determination; and*

*“(bb) be provided not more than one written appeal—*

*“(AA) that shall be submitted not more than 120 days after the date that the applicant receives such decision in writing; and*

*“(BB) that may request reopening of such decision and provide additional information, clarify existing information, or explain any unfavorable information.*

*“(II) AFGHAN SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISA COORDINATOR.—The Secretary of State shall designate, in the Embassy of the United States in Kabul, Afghanistan, an Afghan Special Immigrant Visa Coordinator responsible for overseeing the efficiency and integrity of the processing of special immigrant visas under this section, who shall be given—*

*“(aa) sufficiently high security clearance to review information supporting Chief of Mission denials if an appeal of a denial is filed;*

*“(bb) responsibility for ensuring that an applicant described in subclause (I) receives the information described in subclause (I)(aa); and*

*“(cc) responsibility for ensuring that every applicant is provided a reasonable opportunity to provide additional information, clarify existing information, or explain any unfavorable information pursuant to clause (I)(bb).”; and*

*(B) by adding at the end the following:*

“(E) *EVIDENCE OF SERIOUS THREAT.*—A credible sworn statement depicting dangerous country conditions, together with official evidence of such country conditions from the United States Government, should be considered as a factor in determination of whether the alien has experienced or is experiencing an ongoing serious threat as a consequence of the alien’s employment by the United States Government for purposes of subparagraph (A)(iv).

“(F) *REPRESENTATION.*—An alien applying for admission to the United States pursuant to this title may be represented during the application process, including at relevant interviews and examinations, by an attorney or other accredited representative. Such representation shall not be at the expense of the United States Government.”;

(2) in paragraph (4)—

(A) in the heading, by striking “*PROHIBITION ON FEES.*—” and inserting “*APPLICATION PROCESS.*—”; and

(B) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall improve the efficiency by which applications for special immigrant visas under paragraph (1), are processed so that all steps under the control of the respective departments incidental to the issuance of such visas, including required screenings and background checks, should be completed not later than 9 months after the date on which an eligible alien submits all required materials to complete an application for such visa.

“(B) *CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of a Secretary referred to in subparagraph (A) to take longer than 9 months to complete those steps incidental to the issuance of such visas in high-risk cases for which satisfaction of national security concerns requires additional time.

“(C) *PROHIBITION ON FEES.*—The Secretary”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(12) *REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS.*—

“(A) *REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report, with a classified annex, if necessary.

“(B) *CONTENTS.*—The report required by subparagraph (A) shall describe the implementation of improvements to the processing of applications for special immigrant visas under this subsection, including information relating to—

“(i) enhancing existing systems for conducting background and security checks of persons applying for special immigrant status, which shall—

“(I) support immigration security; and

“(II) provide for the orderly processing of such applications without significant delay;

“(ii) the financial, security, and personnel considerations and resources necessary to carry out this section;

“(iii) the number of aliens who have applied for special immigrant visas under this subsection during each month of the preceding fiscal year;

“(iv) the reasons for the failure to process any applications that have been pending for longer than 9 months;

“(v) the total number of applications that are pending due to the failure—

“(I) to receive approval from the Chief of Mission;

“(II) of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services to complete the adjudication of the Form I-360;

“(III) to conduct a visa interview; or

“(IV) to issue the visa to an eligible alien;

“(vi) the average wait times for an applicant at each of the stages described in clause (v);

“(vii) the number of denials or rejections at each of the stages described in clause (v); and

“(viii) the reasons for denials by the Chief of Mission based on the categories already made available to denied special immigrant visa applicants in the denial letter sent to them by the Chief of Mission.

“(13) **PUBLIC QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, and every 3 months thereafter, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall publish a report on the website of the Department of State that describes the efficiency improvements made in the process by which applications for special immigrant visas under this subsection are processed, including information described in clauses (iii) through (viii) of paragraph (12)(B).”

### **Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014**

**SEC. 1221. REPORT ON PLANS TO DISRUPT AND DEGRADE HAQQANI NETWORK ACTIVITIES AND FINANCES.**

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) disrupting and degrading the Haqqani Network should be a high priority; and

(2) the Administration should use the full extent of its authority to deny the organization the finances required to carry out its activities.

(b) **REPORT ON ACTIVITIES AND PLAN TO DISRUPT AND DEGRADE HAQQANI NETWORK ACTIVITIES AND FINANCES.**—

(1) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than nine months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall report to the appropriate committees of Congress on activities and the plan to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances.

(2) *COORDINATION.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be prepared by the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Attorney General, and the Director of National Intelligence, and any other department or agency of the United States Government that has lead responsibility for activities directed at disrupting and degrading the Haqqani Network.

(3) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the current activities of the Department of Defense, the Department of State, the Department of the Treasury, the Department of Justice, and the elements of the intelligence community to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities, finances, and resources.

(B) An assessment of the intelligence community—

(i) of the operations of the Haqqani Network in Afghanistan and Pakistan, and its activities outside the region; and

(ii) of the relationships, networks, and vulnerabilities of the Haqqani Network, including with Pakistan's military, intelligence services, and government officials, including provincial and district officials.

(C) A review of the plans and intentions of the Haqqani Network with respect to the continued drawdown of United States and coalition troops.

(D) A review of the current United States policies, activities, and funding, and a description of a plan, for applying sustained and systemic pressure against the Haqqani Network's financial infrastructure, including—

(i) identification of the agencies that would participate in implementing the plan;

(ii) a description of the legal authorities under which the plan would be conducted;

(iii) a description of the objectives and desired outcomes of the plan, including specific steps to achieve these objectives and outcomes;

(iv) metrics to measure the success of the plan; and

(v) the identity of the agency or office to be designated as the lead agency in implementing the plan.

(E) An examination of the extent, if any, to which current United States and coalition contracting processes have furthered the financial interests of the Haqqani Network, and how the activities and plans specified in paragraph (1) would mitigate the unintended consequences of such processes.

(F) An assessment of formal and informal business sectors penetrated by the Haqqani Network in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and other countries, particularly in the Persian

Gulf region, and a description of steps to counter these activities.

(G) An estimate of costs associated with the implementation of the plan to disrupt and degrade the Haqqani Network's financial activities.

(H) A description of how activities and plans specified in paragraph (1) fit in the broader United States efforts to stabilize Afghanistan and prevent the region from being a safe haven for al Qaeda and its affiliates.

(4) **UPDATE OF REPORT ON ACTIVITIES AND PLAN.**—Not later than 180 days after the submission of the report required by paragraph (1), the President shall submit an update of the report to the appropriate committees of Congress.

(5) **FORM.**—The report required by paragraph (1) and the update required by paragraph (4) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.**—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(2) **INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.**—The term “intelligence community” has the meaning given that term in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

**SEC. 1222. COMPLETION OF ACCELERATED TRANSITION OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY FROM UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES TO THE AFGHAN NATIONAL SECURITY FORCES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—It is the policy of the United States, in coordination with the Government of Afghanistan, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) member countries, and other allies in Afghanistan, that—

(1) the accelerated transition of security responsibility from United States Armed Forces to the Afghan National Security Forces and the associated draw down of United States Armed Forces from Afghanistan shall be completed by not later than December 31, 2014;

(2) the United States shall support an Afghan-led and Afghan-owned peace negotiation process leading to a political settlement of the conflict in Afghanistan, with the goal of establishing a secure and independent Afghanistan and promoting regional security and stability; and

(3) any political settlement resulting from such peace negotiations must result in insurgent groups breaking ties with al Qaeda, renouncing violence, and accepting the Afghanistan constitution, including its protections for women and minorities.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that, before making a public announcement regarding a decision on a United States military presence in Afghanistan after December 31, 2014, the President should consult with Congress regarding the size, mission, and estimated duration of such a presence.

(c) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed so as to limit or prohibit any authority of the President to modify the military strategy, tactics, and operations of United States Armed Forces as such Armed Forces draw down from Afghanistan.

**SEC. 1223. DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PLAN.**

(a) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a Department of Defense plan regarding covered defense intelligence assets in relation to the drawdown of the United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan. Such plan shall include—

(1) a description of the covered defense intelligence assets;

(2) a description of any such assets to remain in Afghanistan after December 31, 2014, to continue to support military operations;

(3) a description of any such assets that will be or have been reallocated to other locations outside of the United States in support of the Department of Defense;

(4) the defense intelligence priorities that will be or have been addressed with the reallocation of such assets from Afghanistan;

(5) the necessary logistics, operations, and maintenance plans to operate in the locations where such assets will be or have been reallocated, including personnel, basing, and any host country agreements; and

(6) a description of any such assets that will be or have been returned to the United States.

(b) *COVERED DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ASSETS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “covered defense intelligence assets” means Department of Defense intelligence assets and personnel supporting military operations in Afghanistan at any time during the one-year period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1224. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN AUTHORITIES FOR AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) *LIMITATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 to carry out each of the provisions of law described in paragraph (2), not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the specified congressional committees the certification described in subsection (b).

(2) *PROVISIONS OF LAW.*—The provisions of law referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619; relating to the Commanders’ Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan).

(B) Section 1217 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383;

124 Stat. 4393; relating to authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan).

(C) Section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 428; relating to the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund).

(b) **CERTIFICATION DESCRIBED.**—The certification referred to in subsection (a) is a certification of the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, that the United States and Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement that is in the national security interests of the United States.

(c) **NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the applicability of the limitation in subsection (a)(1) if the Secretary determines that the waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

(d) **SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—In this section, the term “specified congressional committees” means—

- (1) the congressional defense committees; and
- (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

## **Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran**

### **SEC. 1231. REPORT ON UNITED STATES MILITARY PARTNERSHIP WITH GULF COOPERATION COUNCIL COUNTRIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An explanation of the steps that the Department of Defense has taken and is planning to take to improve the coordination, effectiveness, and interoperability of the regional missile defense systems and capabilities of the United States and Gulf Cooperation Council countries, both bilaterally and multilaterally.

(2) An outline of the defense agreements with Gulf Cooperation Council countries, including caveats and restrictions on United States operations.

(3) An outline of United States efforts in Gulf Cooperation Council countries that are funded by overseas contingency operations funding, an explanation of overseas contingency operations funding for such efforts, and a plan to transition overseas contingency operations funding for such efforts to long-term, sustainable funding sources.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form.

### **SEC. 1232. ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS IN ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1245(b)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2542) is amended—

- (1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at the end;
- (2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:  
“(E) a description of the structure of Iran’s global network of terrorist and criminal groups and an analysis of the capability of such network of groups and how such network of groups operates to support and reinforce Iran’s grand strategy.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to reports required to be submitted under section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, as so amended, on or after that date.

**SEC. 1233. INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS AT TRAINING LOCATIONS IN SOUTHWEST ASIA.**

Section 544(c)(1) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2347c(c)(1)) is amended—

- (1) in the first sentence, by inserting after “programs” the following: “and integrated air and missile defense programs”; and
- (2) in the second sentence, by adding at the end before the period the following: “and integrated air and missile defense training”.

## **Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters**

**SEC. 1241. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION FOR NON-CONVENTIONAL ASSISTED RECOVERY CAPABILITIES.**

Section 943(h) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4579), as amended by section 1205(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624), is further amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2015”.

**SEC. 1242. ELEMENT ON 5TH GENERATION FIGHTER PROGRAM IN ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.**

Section 1202(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

- “(20) The status of the 5th generation fighter program of the People’s Republic of China, including an assessment of each individual aircraft type, estimated initial and full operational capability dates, and the ability of such aircraft to provide air superiority.”.

**SEC. 1243. REPORT ON POSTURE AND READINESS OF THE ARMED FORCES TO RESPOND TO AN ATTACK OR OTHER CONTINGENCY AGAINST UNITED STATES DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES OVERSEAS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than April 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the posture and readiness of the United States Armed Forces to respond to a request by the Department of State to supplement or support existing embassy se-

curity assets in the case of an attack or other contingency against a United States diplomatic facility overseas.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description and assessment of the posture and readiness of the United States Armed Forces that are expected or available to be tasked to supplement or support United States embassy security, including an assessment of the following:

(A) Forward deployed assets that are capable of responding to an attack or other contingency against a United States diplomatic facility overseas.

(B) Department of Defense support of the efforts of the Department of State to improve diplomatic security at United States diplomatic facilities overseas (in terms of both personnel and installations).

(C) Potential enhancements of intelligence support to ensure that the United States Armed Forces in the vicinity of high threat, high risk United States diplomatic facilities overseas are in an appropriate posture to respond to an attack or other contingency against such facilities.

(2) A description of any unfulfilled Marine Security Detachment requirements with respect to high threat, high risk United States diplomatic facilities overseas, a description and assessment of mitigation efforts to meet such requirements, and a schedule for meeting such requirements.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form.

**SEC. 1244. LIMITATION ON ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COORDINATION CENTERS.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to establish Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (RSCCs).

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional committees specified in subsection (c) a report on the following:

(1) A detailed description of the intent and purpose of the RSCCs concept.

(2) Defined and validated requirements justifying the establishment of RSCCs or similar entities within each geographic combatant command, to include how such RSCCs or similar entities have been coordinated and de-conflicted with existing regional and multilateral frameworks or approaches.

(3) The relevance to and coordination with other multilateral engagement activities and academic institutions supported by the geographic combatant commanders and the Department of State.

(4) Cost estimates across the Future Years Defense Program for RSCCs or similar entities, to include estimates of contributions of participating nations.

(5) Any legislative authorities that may be needed to establish RSCCs or similar entities.

(6) Any other matters that the Secretary of Defense or Secretary of State determines appropriate.

(c) **SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The congressional committees referred to in subsection (b) are—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1245. ADDITIONAL REPORTS ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA.**

(a) **REPORT.**—Subsection (a) of section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1641), as amended by section 1292 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2042), is further amended by striking “November 1, 2012, and November 1, 2013,” and inserting “November 1, 2013, November 1, 2015, and November 1, 2017.”

(b) **UPDATE.**—Section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

“(c) **UPDATE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall revise or supplement the most recent report submitted pursuant to subsection (a) if, in the Secretary’s estimation, interim events or developments occurring in a period between reports required under subsection (a) warrant revision or supplement.”

**SEC. 1246. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MISSILE DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION AND LIMITATIONS ON PROVIDING CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE INFORMATION TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

(a) **FINDING.**—Congress finds that the President certified to the Senate on February 2, 2011, pursuant to condition (5) of the resolution of the Senate giving the advice and consent of the Senate to the ratification of the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (commonly referred to as the “New START Treaty”), signed in Prague on April 8, 2010, the following: “The New START Treaty does not require, at any point during which it will be in force, the United States to provide to the Russian Federation telemetric information under Article IX of the New START Treaty, Part Seven of the Protocol, and the Annex on Telemetric Information to the Protocol for the launch of (a) any missile defense interceptor, as defined in paragraph 44 of Part One of the Protocol to the New START Treaty; (b) any satellite launches, missile defense sensor targets, and missile defense intercept targets, the launch of which uses the first stage of an existing type of United States intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) or submarine-launched ballistic missile (SLBM) listed in paragraph 8 of Article III of the New START Treaty; or (c) any missile described in clause (a) of paragraph 7 of Article III of the New START Treaty.”

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) as stated in declaration (1) of the resolution of the Senate giving the advice and consent of the Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty—

(A) “further limitations on the missile defense capabilities of the United States are not in the national security interest of the United States”; and

(B) “[t]he New START Treaty and the April 7, 2010, unilateral statement of the Russian Federation on missile defense do not limit in any way, and shall not be interpreted as limiting, activities that the United States Government currently plans or that might be required over the duration of the New START Treaty to protect the United States pursuant to the National Missile Defense Act of 1999, or to protect United States Armed Forces and United States allies from limited ballistic missile attack, including further planned enhancements to the Ground-based Midcourse Defense system and all phases of the Phased Adaptive Approach to missile defense in Europe.”;

(2) as stated in declaration (2) of the resolution of the Senate giving the advice and consent of the Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty, “the United States will welcome steps by the Russian Federation also to adopt a fundamentally defensive strategic posture that no longer views robust strategic defensive capabilities as undermining the overall strategic balance, and stands ready to cooperate with the Russian Federation on strategic defensive capabilities, as long as such cooperation is aimed at fostering and in no way constrains the defensive capabilities of both sides”;

(3) any missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation should not in any way limit United States’ or NATO’s missile defense capabilities, and should be mutually beneficial and reciprocal in nature;

(4) the United States should not provide the Russian Federation with sensitive missile defense information that would in any way compromise United States national security, including “hit-to-kill” technology and telemetry data for missile defense interceptors or target vehicles; and

(5) the sovereignty of the United States and its ability to unilaterally pursue its own missile defense program shall be protected.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON PROVIDING CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE INFORMATION TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.—

(1) CERTAIN “HIT-TO-KILL” TECHNOLOGY AND TELEMETRY DATA.—No funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 for the Department of Defense may be used to provide the Russian Federation with “hit-to-kill” technology and telemetry data for missile defense interceptors or target vehicles.

(2) OTHER SENSITIVE MISSILE DEFENSE INFORMATION.—No funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be used to provide the Russian Federation with sensitive missile defense information that would in any way compromise United States national security.

(3) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—If the Secretary of Defense intends to provide the Russian Federation with any sensitive missile defense information that the Secretary determines will not compromise United States national security, the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of the Secretary's intent to provide such information not less than 7 days prior to the provision of such information, including an explanation of the reasons for providing the information and the reasons why providing the information will not compromise United States national security.

**SEC. 1247. AMENDMENTS TO ANNUAL REPORT UNDER ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT ACT.**

(a) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.*—Section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “the Speaker of the House of Representatives and to the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate” and inserting “the appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “Congress” and inserting “appropriate congressional committees”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(1) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

“(2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.”.

(b) *CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.*—Section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a), as amended by subsection (a) of this section, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

“(e) *CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.*—Not later than May 15 of each year, the President shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the most-recent report required by this section.”.

**SEC. 1248. REPORT ON ACTIONS TO REDUCE SUPPORT FOR BALLISTIC MISSILE PROLIFERATION.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States Government should develop a plan to reduce the spread of technology and expertise that could support the ballistic missile development programs of Iran, North Korea, and Syria, as well as any other nation determined by the United States Government to be a ballistic missile proliferation risk; and

(2) such plan should include efforts to secure the cooperation of the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China to help reduce the spread of such ballistic missile technology and expertise.

*(b) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 240 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with appropriate Federal departments and agencies, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on steps that have been taken, and that are planned to be taken, to reduce the spread of technology and expertise that could support the ballistic missile development programs of Iran, North Korea, and Syria, as well as any other nation the Secretary determines to be a ballistic missile proliferation risk.*

*(2) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

*(A) the congressional defense committees;*

*(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and*

*(C) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

*(3) FORM.—The report required by this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex, if necessary.*

**SEC. 1249. REPORTS ON INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS RELATING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

*(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall semi-annually submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on agreements described in subsection (b) which have entered into force, have been amended, or have been terminated during the previous 6-month period and with respect to which such agreements were previously notified by the Secretary of State to the Congress pursuant to section 112b of title 1, United States Code (commonly known as the “Case-Zablocki Act”).*

*(b) AGREEMENTS DESCRIBED.—Agreements referred to in subsection (a) are agreements relating to matters primarily or significantly related to or involving the Department of Defense, including, but not limited to—*

*(1) matters such as where the Department of Defense will carry out activities under the agreement; and*

*(2) matters such as where Department of Defense personnel are able to be present in a foreign country in light of the status protections, exemptions, and responsibilities afforded by the agreement.*

*(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede the requirements of section 112b of title 1, United States Code.*

*(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to an agreement described in subsection (b) on or after that date.*

*(e) TERMINATION.—The section shall terminate at the close of December 31, 2019.*

**SEC. 1250. REVISION OF STATUTORY REFERENCES TO FORMER NATO SUPPORT ORGANIZATIONS AND RELATED NATO AGREEMENTS.**

(a) *TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.*—Section 2350d of title 10, *United States Code*, is amended—

(1) by striking “NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization” each place it appears and inserting “NATO Support Organization and its executive agencies”;

(2) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking “Weapon System Partnership Agreements” and inserting “Support Partnership Agreements”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a specific weapon system” and inserting “activities”; and

(3) in subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e), by striking “Weapon System Partnership Agreement” each place it appears and inserting “Support Partnership Agreement”.

(b) *ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.*—Section 21(e)(3) of the *Arms Export Control Act* (22 U.S.C. 2761(e)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraphs (A) and (C)(i), by striking “Maintenance and Supply Agency of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization” and inserting “North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Support Organization and its executive agencies”;

(2) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking “weapon system partnership agreement” and inserting “support partnership agreement”; and

(3) in subparagraph (C)(i)(II), by striking “a specific weapon system” and inserting “activities”.

**SEC. 1251. EXECUTIVE AGREEMENTS WITH THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION RELATING TO BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that any executive agreement between the United States and the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense should not limit the development or deployment of ballistic missile defense systems or capabilities of the United States or of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

(b) *BRIEFING.*—Prior to signing an executive agreement with the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense, the President, or the President’s designee, shall brief the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives on the objectives and contents of the executive agreement.

**SEC. 1252. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**

Nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing the use of force against Syria or Iran.

**SEC. 1253. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT THE ARMS TRADE TREATY.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, or to make any change to existing programs, projects, or activities as approved by Congress in furtherance of, pursuant to, or otherwise to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, unless the Arms Trade Treaty has received the advice and

consent of the Senate and has been the subject of implementing legislation, as required, by the Congress.

(b) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude the Department of Defense from assisting foreign countries in bringing their laws and regulations up to United States standards.

**SEC. 1254. REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

(a) *REPORT.*—Not later than June 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the specified congressional committees a report on the security and military strategy of the Russian Federation.

(b) *MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the security priorities and objectives of Russia.

(2) The goals and factors shaping Russian security and military strategy, including military spending and investment priorities.

(3) An assessment of the Russian military's force structure.

(4) Recent developments in Russian military doctrine and training.

(5) The current state of United States military-to-military cooperation with Russia's armed forces, which shall include the following:

(A) A comprehensive and coordinated strategy for such military-to-military cooperation.

(B) A summary of all such military-to-military cooperation during the one-year period preceding the report, including a summary of topics discussed.

(C) A description of such military-to-military cooperation planned for the 12-month period following such report.

(D) The Secretary's assessment of the benefits the Russians expect to gain from such military-to-military cooperation.

(E) The Secretary's assessment of the benefits the Department of Defense expects to gain from such military-to-military cooperation, and any concerns regarding such cooperation.

(F) The Secretary's assessment of how such military-to-military cooperation fit into the larger security relationship between the United States and the Russian Federation.

(6) A description of Russia's key military-to-military relationships with other countries, and how these relationships fit into Russia's larger security and military strategy.

(7) Other military and security developments involving Russia that the Secretary of Defense considers relevant to United States national security.

(c) *FORM.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) *DEFINITION.*—In this section the term "specified congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.*

**SEC. 1255. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS OR AGREEMENTS WITH ROSOBORONEXPORT.**

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 may be used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, to make a grant, to, or to provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport.

(b) **NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the applicability of subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

(c) **REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO USE OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO WAIVER.**—

(1) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS BEFORE OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.**—Not later than 30 days before obligating funds pursuant to the waiver under subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a notice on the obligation of funds pursuant to the waiver.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than 15 days after the submittal of the notice under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report setting forth the following:

(A) An assessment of the number, if any, of S-300 advanced anti-aircraft missiles that Rosoboronexport has delivered to the Assad regime in Syria.

(B) A list of the known contracts, if any, that Rosoboronexport has signed with the Assad regime since January 1, 2013.

(d) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to prohibit the use of funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense to enter into a contract or other agreement with Rosoboronexport for the purpose of supplying spare parts for the sustained maintenance of helicopters operated by the Afghan National Security Forces.

## **TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION**

*Sec. 1301. Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs and funds.*

*Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.*

*Sec. 1303. Extension of authority for utilization of contributions to the cooperative threat reduction program.*

*Sec. 1304. Strategy to modernize cooperative threat reduction and prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region.*

**SEC. 1301. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS AND FUNDS.**

(a) **SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS.**—For purposes of section 301 and other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction programs are the programs specified in section 1501 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

(b) *FISCAL YEAR 2014 COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS DEFINED.*—As used in this title, the term “fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds” means the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs.

(c) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs shall be available for obligation for fiscal years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

**SEC. 1302. FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

(a) *FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.*—Of the \$528,455,000 authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

- (1) For strategic offensive arms elimination, \$5,700,000.
- (2) For chemical weapons destruction, \$13,000,000.
- (3) For global nuclear security, \$32,808,000.
- (4) For cooperative biological engagement, \$306,325,000.
- (5) For proliferation prevention, \$136,072,000.
- (6) For threat reduction engagement, \$6,375,000.
- (7) For activities designated as Other Assessments/Administrative Costs, \$28,175,000.

(b) *REPORT ON OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR OTHER PURPOSES.*—No fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated or expended for a purpose other than a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) until 15 days after the date that the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a report on the purpose for which the funds will be obligated or expended and the amount of funds to be obligated or expended. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall be construed as authorizing the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expenditure of such funds is specifically prohibited under this title or any other provision of law.

(c) *LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to paragraph (2), in any case in which the Secretary of Defense determines that it is necessary to do so in the national interest, the Secretary may obligate amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for that purpose.

(2) *NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIRED.*—An obligation of funds for a purpose stated in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for such purpose may be made using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only after—

(A) the Secretary submits to Congress notification of the intent to do so together with a complete discussion of the justification for doing so; and

(B) 15 days have elapsed following the date of the notification.

(d) *ENHANCED AUTHORITY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The percentage limitation specified in subsection (a) of section 1305 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5965) shall not apply with respect to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or 2015 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense to the extent that amounts expended in excess of such percentage limitation for either such fiscal year are expended for activities undertaken under that section with respect to Syria.

(2) *QUARTERLY BRIEFINGS.*—

(A) *INITIAL BRIEFING.*—Not later than April 15, 2014, the Secretary shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on activities described in subsection (a) that includes the following:

(i) A comprehensive assessment of the chemical weapons stockpiles in Syria, including names, types, and quantities of chemical weapons agents, types of munitions, and location and form of storage, production, and research and development facilities.

(ii) An assessment of undeclared chemical weapons stockpiles, munitions, and facilities.

(iii) A detailed plan for carrying out such activities.

(iv) Estimated costs, timelines, and milestones for carrying out the plan, including accounting of funds expended between September 27, 2013, and the date of the initial briefing.

(v) A discussion of the planned final disposition of equipment and facilities procured using funds authorized for such activities.

(vi) A detailed list of pledges made and funds received by foreign nations and multilateral organizations.

(vii) Any other issues or events that reflect the current status of the efforts to remove and destroy Syria's chemical weapons.

(B) *SUBSEQUENT BRIEFINGS.*—Not later than 90 days after providing the briefing required by subparagraph (A), and each 90-day period thereafter, the Secretary shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the activities carried out under subsection (a) that includes the following:

(i) An accounting of the funds expended as of the date of the briefing to carry out such activities.

(ii) An estimate of the funds that are expected to be expended for such activities in the 90-day period following the briefing.

(iii) An identification of recipients of assistance pursuant to such activities.

(iv) A description of the types of equipment and services procured in carrying out such activities.

(v) A detailed list of pledges made and funds received by foreign nations and multilateral organizations.

(vi) Any other issues or events that reflect the current status of the efforts to remove and destroy Syria's chemical weapons.

(3) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1303. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR UTILIZATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.**

Section 1303(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2557; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note) is amended by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2018”.

**SEC. 1304. STRATEGY TO MODERNIZE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION AND PREVENT THE PROLIFERATION OF WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION AND RELATED MATERIALS IN THE MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA REGION.**

(a) **STRATEGY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, shall establish a comprehensive and broad nonproliferation strategy to advance cooperative efforts with the governments of countries in the Middle East and North Africa to reduce the threat from the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall—

(1) build upon the current activities of the nonproliferation programs of the Department of Defense, the Department of State, the Department of Energy, and other departments and agencies of the Federal Government designed to mitigate the range of threats posed by weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region;

(2) review issues relating to the threat from the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region on a regional basis as well as on a country-by-country basis;

(3) review the activities and achievements in the Middle East and North Africa region of—

(A) the Cooperative Threat Reduction program of the Department of Defense;

(B) the nonproliferation programs of the Department of State and the Department of Energy; and

(C) programs of other departments and agencies of the Federal Government designed to address nuclear, chemical, and biological safety and security issues;

(4) ensure the continued coordination of cooperative nonproliferation efforts within the Federal Government;

(5) mobilize and leverage additional resources from countries that cooperate with the United States with respect to nonproliferation efforts, nongovernmental and multilateral organizations, and international institutions;

(6) include an assessment of what countries are financially, materially, or technologically supporting proliferation in the Middle East and North Africa region and how the strategy will prevent, stop, or interdict such support;

(7) include an estimate of associated costs required to plan and execute the proposed cooperative threat reduction activities under the strategy; and

(8) include a discussion of the metrics to measure the success of the strategy and such activities in reducing the regional threat of the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

(c) INTEGRATION AND COORDINATION.—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an assessment of gaps in current cooperative efforts to reduce the threat from the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region;

(2) an articulation of the priorities of the United States with respect to reducing such threat;

(3) the establishment of appropriate metrics for determining success with respect to reducing such threat; and

(4) methods for ensuring that the strategy conforms to broader efforts by the United States to reduce the threat from weapons of mass destruction.

(d) CONSULTATIONS.—In establishing the strategy required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consult with governmental and nongovernmental experts in matters relating to non-proliferation that present a diverse set of views.

(e) SUBMISSION OF STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 31, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the strategy required by subsection (a) and a plan for the implementation of the strategy.

(2) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(3) FORM.—The strategy and plan required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

## **TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

### *Subtitle A—Military Programs*

Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.

Sec. 1402. National Defense Sealift Fund.

Sec. 1403. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.

Sec. 1404. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.

Sec. 1405. Defense Inspector General.

Sec. 1406. Defense Health Program.

*Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile*

- Sec. 1411. *Use of National Defense Stockpile for the conservation of a strategic and critical materials supply.*
- Sec. 1412. *Authority to acquire additional materials for the National Defense Stockpile.*

*Subtitle C—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1421. *Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois.*
- Sec. 1422. *Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.*
- Sec. 1423. *Cemeterial expenses.*

**Subtitle A—Military Programs****SEC. 1401. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1402. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the National Defense Sealift Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1403. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

(b) *USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a) are authorized for—*

(1) *the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and*

(2) *the destruction of chemical warfare materiel of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.*

**SEC. 1404. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1405. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1406. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the Armed Forces and other activi-*

ties and agencies of the Department of Defense in providing for the health of eligible beneficiaries.

## **Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile**

### **SEC. 1411. USE OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE FOR THE CONSERVATION OF A STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MATERIALS SUPPLY.**

(a) **PRESIDENTIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONSERVATION OF STOCKPILE MATERIALS.**—Section 6(a) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98e(a)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (5) and (6) as paragraphs (6) and (7), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):

“(5) provide for the appropriate recovery of any strategic and critical materials under section 3(a) that may be available from excess materials made available for recovery purposes by other Federal agencies;”.

(b) **USES OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND.**—Section 9(b)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 98h(b)(2)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (L) as subparagraphs (E) through (M), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph (D):

“(D) Encouraging the appropriate conservation of strategic and critical materials.”.

(c) **DEVELOPMENT OF DOMESTIC SOURCES.**—Section 15(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 98h–6(a)) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “and appropriate conservation” after “development”.

### **SEC. 1412. AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE ADDITIONAL MATERIALS FOR THE NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.**

(a) **ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.**—Using funds available in the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund, the National Defense Stockpile Manager may acquire the following materials determined to be strategic and critical materials required to meet the defense, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States:

(1) Ferroniobium.

(2) Dysprosium Metal.

(3) Yttrium Oxide.

(4) Cadmium Zinc Tellurium Substrate Materials.

(5) Lithium Ion Precursors.

(6) Triamino-Trinitrobenzene and Insensitive High Explosive Molding Powders.

(b) **AMOUNT OF AUTHORITY.**—The National Defense Stockpile Manager may use up to \$41,000,000 of the National Stockpile Transaction Fund for acquisition of the materials specified in subsection (a).

(c) **FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION.**—The authority under this section is available for purchases during fiscal year 2014 through fiscal year 2019.

## **Subtitle C—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1421. AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND FOR CAPTAIN JAMES A. LOVELL HEALTH CARE CENTER, ILLINOIS.**

(a) *AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 1406 and available for the Defense Health Program for operation and maintenance, \$143,087,000 may be transferred by the Secretary of Defense to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund established by subsection (a)(1) of section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2571). For purposes of subsection (a)(2) of such section 1704, any funds so transferred shall be treated as amounts authorized and appropriated specifically for the purpose of such a transfer.

(b) *USE OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.*—For the purposes of subsection (b) of such section 1704, facility operations for which funds transferred under subsection (a) may be used are operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center, the Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility under an operational agreement covered by section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4500).

**SEC. 1422. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of \$67,800,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

**SEC. 1423. CEMETERIAL EXPENSES.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of the Army for fiscal year 2014 for cemeterial expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the amount of \$45,800,000.

## **TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations*

- Sec. 1501. Purpose.*
- Sec. 1502. Procurement.*
- Sec. 1503. Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
- Sec. 1504. Operation and maintenance.*
- Sec. 1505. Military personnel.*
- Sec. 1506. Working capital funds.*
- Sec. 1507. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
- Sec. 1508. Defense Inspector General.*
- Sec. 1509. Defense Health Program.*

*Subtitle B—Financial Matters*

- Sec. 1521. Treatment as additional authorizations.*  
*Sec. 1522. Special transfer authority.*

*Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters*

- Sec. 1531. Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*  
*Sec. 1532. Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.*  
*Sec. 1533. Future role of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.*  
*Sec. 1534. Extension of authority for Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan.*

## ***Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations***

**SEC. 1501. PURPOSE.**

*The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces.*

**SEC. 1502. PROCUREMENT.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4102.*

**SEC. 1503. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4202.*

**SEC. 1504. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4302.*

**SEC. 1505. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.*

**SEC. 1506. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

**SEC. 1507. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise pro-*

vided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**SEC. 1508. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**SEC. 1509. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

## **Subtitle B—Financial Matters**

**SEC. 1521. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS.**

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

**SEC. 1522. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2014 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this subsection may not exceed \$4,000,000,000.

(b) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—Transfers under this section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as transfers under section 1001.

(c) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.**—The transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001.

## **Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters**

**SEC. 1531. AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.**

(a) **CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON USE OF FUNDS IN FUND.**—Funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2014 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

(b) *REVISION OF PLAN FOR USE OF AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.*—

(1) *REVISION AND PURPOSE.*—*The Secretary of Defense shall revise the plan required by section 1531(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2056) regarding use of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund through September 30, 2017, to ensure that an office or official of the Department of Defense is identified as responsible for each program or activity supported using funds available to the Department of Defense through the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*

(2) *SUBMISSION.*—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees the plan as revised pursuant to paragraph (1).*

(c) *PROMOTION OF RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION OF WOMEN.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Of the funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2014, no less than \$25,000,000 shall be available to be used for programs and activities to support the recruitment, integration, retention, training, and treatment of women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF).*

(2) *TYPES OF PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES.*—*Such programs and activities may include, but are not limited to—*

(A) *efforts to recruit women into the ANSF, including the special operations forces;*

(B) *programs and activities of the Afghan Ministry of Defense Directorate of Human Rights and Gender Integration and the Afghan Ministry of Interior Office of Human Rights, Gender and Child Rights;*

(C) *development and dissemination of gender and human rights educational and training materials and programs within the Afghan Ministry of Defense and the Afghan Ministry of Interior;*

(D) *efforts to address harassment and violence against women within the ANSF;*

(E) *efforts to increase female security personnel in connection with elections in Afghanistan; and*

(F) *improvements to infrastructure that address the requirements of women serving in the ANSF.*

(d) *EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL.*—

(1) *ACCEPTANCE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT.*—*The Secretary of Defense may accept equipment procured using funds authorized under prior Acts that was transferred to the security forces of Afghanistan and returned by such forces to the United States if the Secretary provides written notification to the congressional defense committees of the Secretary's intention to accept such equipment.*

(2) *TREATMENT AS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STOCKS.*—*The equipment described in paragraph (1), and equipment not yet transferred to the security forces of Afghanistan that is determined by the Commander, Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (or the Commander's designee) to no longer be required for transfer to such forces, may be treated as stocks*

of the Department of Defense upon notification to the congressional defense committees of such treatment.

(3) REPORTS.—

(A) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that details all equipment that was transferred to the security forces of Afghanistan and returned by such forces to the United States, including type of equipment and reason for its return.

(B) SUBSEQUENT REPORTS.—Not later than 30 days after the end of the first two fiscal year quarters of fiscal year 2014, and not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal half-year thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the equipment accepted under paragraph (1) during such fiscal year quarter or half-year, as the case may be. Each report shall include, for the period covered by such report, a list of all equipment accepted under paragraph (1) that was treated as the stocks of the Department pursuant to paragraph (2).

**SEC. 1532. JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND.**

(a) USE AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2439), as in effect before the amendments made by section 1503 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4649), shall apply to the funds made available to the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund for fiscal year 2014.

(b) TERMINATION OF NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—Effective December 31, 2014, paragraph (4) of subsection (c) of section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2439), as amended by section 1503(c) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4649), is repealed.

(c) EXTENSION OF INTERDICTION OF IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE PRECURSOR CHEMICALS AUTHORITY.—Section 1532(c)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2057) is amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

(d) SEMIANNUAL OBLIGATIONS AND EXPENDITURE REPORTS.—Not later April 15 and October 15, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a report on the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund explaining commitments, obligations, and expenditures by line of operation during the preceding six months.

**SEC. 1533. FUTURE ROLE OF JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the future plans of the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device

*Defeat Organization (JIEDDO). The Secretary shall prepare the report in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.*

(b) **REQUIRED ELEMENTS.**—*The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following elements:*

(1) *The operational and enduring requirements considered in determining the future plans for JIEDDO.*

(2) *If the Secretary of Defense plans to discontinue JIEDDO—*

(A) *a description of how JIEDDO's major programs, capabilities, and lines of operations will be integrated into other components within the Department of Defense or discontinued; and*

(B) *a statement of the estimated costs to other components of the Department for any JIEDDO program, capability, or line of operations reassigned to such components.*

(3) *If the Secretary of Defense plans to continue JIEDDO—*

(A) *a statement of the expected mission of JIEDDO;*

(B) *a description of the expected organizational structure for JIEDDO, including the reporting structure and lines of operation within the Department and personnel strength, including contractors; and*

(C) *a statement of the estimated costs and budgetary impacts related to implementing any changes to the mission of JIEDDO and its organizational structure.*

(4) *A timeline for implementation of the selected alternative described in paragraph (2) or (3).*

(5) *A description of how the Department will identify and incorporate lessons learned from establishing and managing JIEDDO and its programs.*

**SEC. 1534. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR TASK FORCE FOR BUSINESS AND STABILITY OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) **EXTENSION.**—*Subsection (a) of section 1535 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4426), as most recently amended by section 1533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2058), is further amended—*

(1) *in paragraph (6), by striking “and October 31, 2011, October 31, 2012, and October 31, 2013” and inserting “October 31 of each of 2011 through 2014”; and*

(2) *in paragraph (8), by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.*

(b) **FUNDING.**—*Subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) of such subsection, as so amended, is further amended—*

(1) *in clause (i), by striking “and” at the end;*

(2) *in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and*

(3) *by adding at the end the following new clause:*

*“(iii) may not exceed \$63,800,000 for fiscal year 2014.”*

(c) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—*Paragraph (4) of such subsection is further amended—*

(1) *by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D);*

(2) *by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):*

“(C) *LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.*—None of the funds available for fiscal year 2014 pursuant to subparagraph (B)(iii) may be obligated to assist the Government of Afghanistan in the purchase of equipment, supplies, or materials for mining and oil and gas resources during fiscal year 2014 or the installation of such equipment, supplies, or materials, until the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that the Government of Afghanistan has agreed to reimburse the Government of the United States for the amount of any such funds, from royalties received from mining or oil and gas contracts awarded by the Government of Afghanistan.”; and

(3) in subparagraph (D), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by inserting “OF FUNDS ACROSS FISCAL YEARS” after “AVAILABILITY”.

(d) *CONVERSION OF UPDATE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSITION ACTION PLAN FROM QUARTERLY TO BIANNUALLY.*—Paragraph (7)(B) of such subsection, as so amended, is further amended by striking “90 days” and inserting “180 days”.

## **TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS**

### *Subtitle A—Defense Industrial Base Matters*

- Sec. 1601. *Periodic audits of contracting compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense.*  
 Sec. 1602. *Foreign space activities.*  
 Sec. 1603. *Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program.*

### *Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Small Business Concerns*

- Sec. 1611. *Advancing small business growth.*  
 Sec. 1612. *Amendments relating to Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.*  
 Sec. 1613. *Reporting on goals for procurement contracts awarded to small business concerns.*  
 Sec. 1614. *Credit for certain small business subcontractors.*  
 Sec. 1615. *Inapplicability of requirement to review and justify certain contracts.*

## **Subtitle A—Defense Industrial Base Matters**

### **SEC. 1601. PERIODIC AUDITS OF CONTRACTING COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) *REQUIREMENT FOR PERIODIC AUDITS OF CONTRACTING COMPLIANCE.*—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct periodic audits of contracting practices and policies related to procurement under section 2533a of title 10, United States Code.

(b) *REQUIREMENT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN SEMIANNUAL REPORTS.*—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall ensure that findings and other information resulting from audits conducted pursuant to subsection (a) are included in the semiannual report transmitted to congressional committees under section 8(f)(1) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.).

**SEC. 1602. FOREIGN SPACE ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **CONTRACTS WITH CERTAIN FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 911(a) of this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 2279. Foreign commercial satellite services**

“(a) **PROHIBITION.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract for satellite services with a foreign entity if the Secretary reasonably believes that—

“(1) the foreign entity is an entity in which the government of a covered foreign country has an ownership interest that enables that government to affect satellite operations; or

“(2) the foreign entity plans to or is expected to provide launch or other satellite services under the contract from a covered foreign country.

“(b) **NOTICE AND EXCEPTION.**—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to a contract if—

“(1) the Secretary determines it is in the national security of the United States to enter into such contract; and

“(2) not later than 7 days before entering into such contract, the Secretary, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, submits to the congressional defense committees a national security assessment for such contract that includes the following:

“(A) The projected period of performance (including any period covered by options to extend the contract), the financial terms, and a description of the services to be provided under the contract.

“(B) To the extent practicable, a description of the ownership interest that a covered foreign country has in the foreign entity providing satellite services to the Department of Defense under the contract and the launch or other satellite services that will be provided in a covered foreign country under the contract.

“(C) A justification for entering into a contract with such foreign entity and a description of the actions necessary to eliminate the need to enter into such a contract with such foreign entity in the future.

“(D) A risk assessment of entering into a contract with such foreign entity, including an assessment of mission assurance and security of information and a description of any measures necessary to mitigate risks found by such risk assessment.

“(c) **DELEGATION OF NOTICE AND EXCEPTION AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may only delegate the authority under subsection (b) to enter into a contract subject to the prohibition under subsection (a) to the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, or the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and such authority may not be further delegated.

“(d) **FORM OF ASSESSMENTS.**—Each assessment under subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

“(e) *COVERED FOREIGN COUNTRY DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘covered foreign country’ means a country described in section 1261(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2019).”.

(2) *TABLE OF SECTIONS AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter, as amended by section 911(b) of this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following item:

“2279. *Foreign commercial satellite services.*”.

(b) *LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION ON UNITED STATES TERRITORY OF SATELLITE POSITIONING GROUND MONITORING STATIONS OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.*—

(1) *CERTIFICATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The President may not authorize or permit the construction of a global navigation satellite system ground monitoring station directly or indirectly controlled by a foreign government (including a ground monitoring station owned, operated, or controlled on behalf of a foreign government) in the territory of the United States unless the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence jointly certify to the appropriate congressional committees that such ground monitoring station will not possess the capability or potential to be used for the purpose of gathering intelligence in the United States or improving any foreign weapon system.

(B) *FORM.*—Each certification under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(2) *NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence may jointly waive the certification requirement in paragraph (1) for a ground monitoring station if—

(A) the Secretary and the Director jointly determine that the waiver is in the vital interests of the national security of the United States; and

(B) the Secretary and the Director ensure that—

(i) all data collected or transmitted from ground monitoring stations covered by the waiver are not encrypted;

(ii) all persons involved in the construction, operation, and maintenance of such ground monitoring stations are United States persons;

(iii) such ground monitoring stations are not located in geographic proximity to sensitive United States national security sites;

(iv) the United States approves all equipment to be located at such ground monitoring stations;

(v) appropriate actions are taken to ensure that any such ground monitoring stations do not pose a cyber espionage or other threat, including intelligence or counterintelligence, to the national security of the United States; and

(vi) any improvements to such ground monitoring stations do not reduce or compete with the advantages of Global Positioning System technology for users.

(3) **WAIVER REPORT.**—For each waiver under paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing—

(A) the reason why it is not possible to provide the certification under paragraph (1) for the ground monitoring stations covered by such waiver;

(B) an assessment of the impact of the exercise of authority under paragraph (2) with respect to such ground monitoring stations on the national security of the United States;

(C) a description of the means to be used to mitigate any such impact to the United States for the duration that such ground monitoring stations are operated in the territory of the United States; and

(D) any other information in connection with the waiver that the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of State, consider appropriate.

(4) **NOTICE.**—Not later than 30 days before the exercise of the authority to waive under paragraph (2) the certification requirement under paragraph (1) for a ground monitoring station, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly provide to the appropriate congressional committees notice of the exercise of such authority and the report required under paragraph (3) with respect to such ground monitoring station.

(5) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(6) **SUNSET.**—Effective on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act, paragraphs (1) through (5) are repealed.

**SEC. 1603. PROOF OF CONCEPT COMMERCIALIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, may establish and implement a pilot program, to be known as the “Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program”, in accordance with this section.

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the pilot program is to accelerate the commercialization of basic research innovations from qualifying institutions.

(c) **AWARDS.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Under the pilot program, the Secretary shall make financial awards to qualifying institutions in accordance with this subsection.

(2) *COMPETITIVE, MERIT-BASED PROCESS.*—An award under the pilot program shall be made using a competitive, merit-based process.

(3) *ELIGIBILITY.*—A qualifying institution shall be eligible for an award under the pilot program if the institution agrees to—

(A) use funds from the award for the uses specified in paragraph (5); and

(B) oversee the use of the funds through—

(i) a rigorous, diverse review board comprised of experts in translational and proof of concept research, including industry, start-up, venture capital, technical, financial, and business experts and university technology transfer officials;

(ii) technology validation milestones focused on market feasibility;

(iii) simple reporting on program progress; and

(iv) a process to reallocate funding from poor performing projects to those with more potential.

(4) *CRITERIA.*—An award may be made under the pilot program to a qualifying institution in accordance with the following criteria:

(A) The extent to which a qualifying institution—

(i) has an established and proven technology transfer or commercialization office and has a plan for engaging that office in the program's implementation or has outlined an innovative approach to technology transfer that has the potential to increase or accelerate technology transfer outcomes and can be adopted by other qualifying institutions;

(ii) can assemble a project management board comprised of industry, start-up, venture capital, technical, financial, and business experts;

(iii) has an intellectual property rights strategy or office; and

(iv) demonstrates a plan for sustainability beyond the duration of the funding from the award.

(B) Such other criteria as the Secretary determines necessary.

(5) *USE OF AWARD.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to subparagraph (B), the funds from an award may be used to evaluate the commercial potential of existing discoveries, including activities that contribute to determining a project's commercialization path, including technical validations, market research, clarifying intellectual property rights, and investigating commercial and business opportunities.

(B) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(i) The amount of an award may not exceed \$500,000 a year.

(ii) Funds from an award may not be used for basic research, or to fund the acquisition of research equip-

ment or supplies unrelated to commercialization activities.

(d) *REPORT.*—Not later than one year after the establishment of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees and to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report evaluating the effectiveness of the activities of the pilot program. The report shall include—

- (1) a detailed description of the pilot program, including incentives and activities undertaken by review board experts;
- (2) an accounting of the funds used in the pilot program;
- (3) a detailed description of the institutional selection process;
- (4) a detailed compilation of results achieved by the pilot program; and
- (5) an analysis of the program's effectiveness, with data supporting the analysis.

(e) *QUALIFYING INSTITUTION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “qualifying institution” means a nonprofit institution, as defined in section 4(3) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3703(3)), or a Federal laboratory, as defined in section 4(4) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3703(4)).

(f) *LIMITATION.*—Not more than \$5,000,000 may be obligated or expended to conduct the pilot program under this section.

(g) *TERMINATION.*—The pilot program conducted under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2018.

## **Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Small Business Concerns**

### **SEC. 1611. ADVANCING SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.**

(a) *ADVANCING SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating section 2419 as section 2420; and

(B) by inserting after section 2418 the following new section 2419:

#### **“§2419. Advancing small business growth**

“(a) *CONTRACT CLAUSE REQUIRED.*—(1) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall require the clause described in paragraph (2) to be included in each covered contract awarded by the Department of Defense.

“(2) The clause described in this paragraph is a clause that—

“(A) requires the contractor to acknowledge that acceptance of the contract may cause the business to exceed the applicable small business size standards (established pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act) for the industry concerned and that the contractor may no longer qualify as a small business concern for that industry; and

“(B) encourages the contractor to develop capabilities and characteristics typically desired in contractors that are competitive as an other-than-small business in that industry.

“(b) AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE.—Covered small businesses may be provided assistance as part of any procurement technical assistance furnished pursuant to this chapter.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered contract’ means a contract—

“(A) awarded to a qualified small business concern as defined pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act; and

“(B) with an estimated annual value—

“(i) that will exceed the applicable receipt-based small business size standard; or

“(ii) if the contract is in an industry with an employee-based size standard, that will exceed \$70,000,000.

“(2) The term ‘covered small business’ means a qualified small business concern as defined pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act that has entered into a contract with the Department of Defense that includes a contract clause described in subsection (a)(2).”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 2419 and inserting the following:

“2419. Advancing small business growth.

“2420. Regulations.”.

(b) EXCEPTION TO LIMITATION ON FUNDING.—Section 2414 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “The value” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the value”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection (c):

“(c) EXCEPTION.—The value of the assistance provided in accordance with section 2419(b) of this title is not subject to the limitations in subsection (a).”.

(c) REVISIONS TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

(1) FULL FUNDING ALLOWED FOR CERTAIN ASSISTANCE.—Section 2413(b) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking “except that in the case” and inserting: “except that—

“(1) in the case”;

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) in the case of a program sponsored by such an entity that provides assistance for covered small businesses pursuant to section 2419(b) of this title, the Secretary may agree to furnish the full cost of such assistance.”.

(2) ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.—Section 2413 of such title is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) In determining the level of funding to provide under an agreement under subsection (b), the Secretary shall consider the forecast by the eligible entity of demand for procurement technical assist-

ance, and, in the case of an established program under this chapter, the outlays and receipts of such program during prior years of operation.”.

(3) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2413(d) of such title is amended by striking “and in determining the level of funding to provide under an agreement under subsection (b),”.

(d) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 15, of 2015, 2016, and 2017, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the amendments made by this section, along with any recommendations for improving the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.

**SEC. 1612. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) **INCREASE IN GOVERNMENT SHARE.**—Section 2413(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “one-half” both places it appears and inserting “65 percent”; and

(2) by striking “three-fourths” and inserting “75 percent”.

(b) **INCREASE IN LIMITATIONS ON VALUE OF ASSISTANCE.**—Section 2414(a) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (1) and (4), by striking “\$600,000” and inserting “\$750,000”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$300,000” and inserting “\$450,000”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “\$150,000” and inserting “\$300,000”.

**SEC. 1613. REPORTING ON GOALS FOR PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS AWARDED TO SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.**

Subsection (h)(1) of section 15 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B);

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) a remediation plan with proposed new practices to better meet such goals, including analysis of factors leading to any failure to achieve such goals.”.

**SEC. 1614. CREDIT FOR CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTORS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6)(D), by adding before the semicolon at the end the following: “, and assurances at a minimum that the offeror or bidder, and all subcontractors required to maintain subcontracting plans pursuant to this paragraph, will—

“(i) review and approve subcontracting plans submitted by their subcontractors;

“(ii) monitor subcontractor compliance with their approved subcontracting plans;

“(iii) ensure that subcontracting reports are submitted by their subcontractors when required;

“(iv) acknowledge receipt of their subcontractors’ reports;

“(v) compare the performance of their subcontractors to subcontracting plans and goals; and

“(vi) discuss performance with subcontractors when necessary to ensure their subcontractors make a good faith effort to comply with their subcontracting plans”;

(2) in paragraph (6)(F), by striking “and” at the end;

(3) by redesignating subparagraph (G) of paragraph (6) as subparagraph (H), and inserting after subparagraph (F) of paragraph (6) the following new subparagraph (G):

“(G) a recitation of the types of records the successful offeror or bidder will maintain to demonstrate procedures which have been adopted to ensure subcontractors at all tiers comply with the requirements and goals set forth in the plan established in accordance with subparagraph (D) of this paragraph, including—

“(i) the establishment of source lists of small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans, small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, qualified HUBZone small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women; and

“(ii) efforts to identify and award subcontracts to such small business concerns; and”;

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(16) CREDIT FOR CERTAIN SUBCONTRACTORS.—

“(A) For purposes of determining whether or not a prime contractor has attained the percentage goals specified in paragraph (6)—

“(i) if the subcontracting goals pertain only to a single contract with the executive agency, the prime contractor shall receive credit for small business concerns performing as first tier subcontractors or subcontractors at any tier pursuant to the subcontracting plans required under paragraph (6)(D) in an amount equal to the dollar value of work awarded to such small business concerns; and

“(ii) if the subcontracting goals pertain to more than one contract with one or more executive agencies, or to one contract with more than one executive agency, the prime contractor may only count first tier subcontractors that are small business concerns.

“(B) Nothing in this paragraph shall abrogate the responsibility of a prime contractor to make a good-faith effort to achieve the first tier small business subcontracting goals negotiated under paragraph (6)(A), or the requirement for subcontractors with further opportunities for subcontracting to make a good-faith effort to achieve the goals established under paragraph (6)(D).”.

(b) DEFINITIONS PERTAINING TO SUBCONTRACTING.—Section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(dd) DEFINITIONS PERTAINING TO SUBCONTRACTING.—In this Act:

“(1) *SUBCONTRACT.*—The term ‘subcontract’ means a legally binding agreement between a contractor that is already under contract to another party to perform work, and a third party, hereinafter referred to as the subcontractor, for the subcontractor to perform a part, or all, of the work that the contractor has undertaken.

“(2) *FIRST TIER SUBCONTRACTOR.*—The term ‘first tier subcontractor’ means a subcontractor who has a subcontract directly with the prime contractor.

“(3) *AT ANY TIER.*—The term ‘at any tier’ means any subcontractor other than a subcontractor who is a first tier subcontractor.”.

(c) *IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVE DATE.*—

(1) *REQUIREMENT FOR PLAN.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, the Secretary of Defense, and the Administrator of General Services shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a plan to implement this section and the amendments made by this section. The plan shall contain assurances that the appropriate tracking mechanisms are in place to enable transparency of subcontracting activities at all tiers.

(2) *COMPLETION OF PLAN ACTIONS.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, the Secretary of Defense, and the Administrator of General Services shall complete the actions required by the plan.

(3) *REGULATIONS.*—No later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration shall promulgate any regulations necessary, and the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised, to implement this section and the amendments made by this section.

(4) *APPLICABILITY.*—Any regulations promulgated pursuant to paragraph (3) shall apply to contracts entered into after the last day of the fiscal year in which the regulations are promulgated.

**SEC. 1615. INAPPLICABILITY OF REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW AND JUSTIFY CERTAIN CONTRACTS.**

*In the case of a contract to which the provisions of section 46 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657s) apply, the requirements under section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1824; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note) do not apply.*

## **TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS**

### *Subtitle A—Reform of Uniform Code of Military Justice*

- Sec. 1701. Extension of crime victims' rights to victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*
- Sec. 1702. Revision of Article 32 and Article 60, Uniform Code of Military Justice.*
- Sec. 1703. Elimination of five-year statute of limitations on trial by court-martial for additional offenses involving sex-related crimes.*
- Sec. 1704. Defense counsel interview of victim of an alleged sex-related offense in presence of trial counsel, counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.*
- Sec. 1705. Discharge or dismissal for certain sex-related offenses and trial of such offenses by general courts-martial.*
- Sec. 1706. Participation by victim in clemency phase of courts-martial process.*
- Sec. 1707. Repeal of the offense of consensual sodomy under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*
- Sec. 1708. Modification of Manual for Courts-Martial to eliminate factor relating to character and military service of the accused in rule on initial disposition of offenses.*
- Sec. 1709. Prohibition of retaliation against members of the Armed Forces for reporting a criminal offense.*

### *Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code*

- Sec. 1711. Prohibition on service in the Armed Forces by individuals who have been convicted of certain sexual offenses.*
- Sec. 1712. Issuance of regulations applicable to the Coast Guard regarding consideration of request for permanent change of station or unit transfer by victim of sexual assault.*
- Sec. 1713. Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member of the Armed Forces on active duty who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense.*
- Sec. 1714. Expansion and enhancement of authorities relating to protected communications of members of the Armed Forces and prohibited retaliatory actions.*
- Sec. 1715. Inspector General investigation of allegations of retaliatory personnel actions taken in response to making protected communications regarding sexual assault.*
- Sec. 1716. Designation and availability of Special Victims' Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses.*

### *Subtitle C—Amendments to Other Laws*

- Sec. 1721. Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults.*
- Sec. 1722. Advancement of submittal deadline for report of independent panel on assessment of military response systems to sexual assault.*
- Sec. 1723. Retention of certain forms in connection with Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports on sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1724. Timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves.*
- Sec. 1725. Qualifications and selection of Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response personnel and required availability of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners.*
- Sec. 1726. Additional responsibilities of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office for Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program.*

### *Subtitle D—Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports*

- Sec. 1731. Independent reviews and assessments of Uniform Code of Military Justice and judicial proceedings of sexual assault cases.*

- Sec. 1732. *Review and policy regarding Department of Defense investigative practices in response to allegations of Uniform Code of Military Justice violations.*
- Sec. 1733. *Review of training and education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response.*
- Sec. 1734. *Report on implementation of Department of Defense policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1735. *Review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 1741. *Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training.*
- Sec. 1742. *Commanding officer action on reports on sexual offenses involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1743. *Eight-day incident reporting requirement in response to unrestricted report of sexual assault in which the victim is a member of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1744. *Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial.*
- Sec. 1745. *Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1746. *Prevention of sexual assault at military service academies.*
- Sec. 1747. *Required notification whenever members of the Armed Forces are completing Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions.*

*Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions*

- Sec. 1751. *Sense of Congress on commanding officer responsibility for command climate free of retaliation.*
- Sec. 1752. *Sense of Congress on disposition of charges involving certain sexual misconduct offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice through courts-martial.*
- Sec. 1753. *Sense of Congress on the discharge in lieu of court-martial of members of the Armed Forces who commit sex-related offenses.*

## ***Subtitle A—Reform of Uniform Code of Military Justice***

### **SEC. 1701. EXTENSION OF CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS TO VICTIMS OF OFFENSES UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

**(a) VICTIMS' RIGHTS.—**

**(1) IN GENERAL.—***Subchapter I of chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by adding at the end the following new section (article):*

**“§ 806b. Art. 6b. Rights of the victim of an offense under this chapter**

**“(a) RIGHTS OF A VICTIM OF AN OFFENSE UNDER THIS CHAPTER.—***A victim of an offense under this chapter has the following rights:*

- “(1) The right to be reasonably protected from the accused.**
- “(2) The right to reasonable, accurate, and timely notice of any of the following:**
- “(A) A public hearing concerning the continuation of confinement prior to trial of the accused.**

“(B) A preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32) relating to the offense.

“(C) A court-martial relating to the offense.

“(D) A public proceeding of the service clemency and parole board relating to the offense.

“(E) The release or escape of the accused, unless such notice may endanger the safety of any person.

“(3) The right not to be excluded from any public hearing or proceeding described in paragraph (2) unless the military judge or investigating officer, as applicable, after receiving clear and convincing evidence, determines that testimony by the victim of an offense under this chapter would be materially altered if the victim heard other testimony at that hearing or proceeding.

“(4) The right to be reasonably heard at any of the following:

“(A) A public hearing concerning the continuation of confinement prior to trial of the accused.

“(B) A sentencing hearing relating to the offense.

“(C) A public proceeding of the service clemency and parole board relating to the offense.

“(5) The reasonable right to confer with the counsel representing the Government at any proceeding described in paragraph (2).

“(6) The right to receive restitution as provided in law.

“(7) The right to proceedings free from unreasonable delay.

“(8) The right to be treated with fairness and with respect for the dignity and privacy of the victim of an offense under this chapter.

“(b) VICTIM OF AN OFFENSE UNDER THIS CHAPTER DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘victim of an offense under this chapter’ means a person who has suffered direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of the commission of an offense under this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(c) LEGAL GUARDIAN FOR CERTAIN VICTIMS.—In the case of a victim of an offense under this chapter who is under 18 years of age, incompetent, incapacitated, or deceased, the military judge shall designate a legal guardian from among the representatives of the estate of the victim, a family member, or other suitable person to assume the victim’s rights under this section. However, in no event may the person so designated be the accused.

“(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section (article) shall be construed—

“(1) to authorize a cause of action for damages; or

“(2) to create, to enlarge, or to imply any duty or obligation to any victim of an offense under this chapter or other person for the breach of which the United States or any of its officers or employees could be held liable in damages.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 47 of such title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“806b. Art. 6b. Rights of the victim of an offense under this chapter.”.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) *ISSUANCE.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act—

(A) the Secretary of Defense shall recommend to the President changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial to implement section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a); and

(B) the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Homeland Security (with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy) shall prescribe such regulations as each such Secretary considers appropriate to implement such section.

(2) *MECHANISMS FOR AFFORDING RIGHTS.*—The recommendations and regulations required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Mechanisms for ensuring that victims are notified of, and accorded, the rights specified in section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a).

(B) Mechanisms for ensuring that members of the Armed Forces and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense and the Coast Guard make their best efforts to ensure that victims are notified of, and accorded, the rights specified in such section.

(C) Mechanisms for the enforcement of such rights, including mechanisms for application for such rights and for consideration and disposition of applications for such rights.

(D) The designation of an authority within each Armed Force to receive and investigate complaints relating to the provision or violation of such rights.

(E) Disciplinary sanctions for members of the Armed Forces and other personnel of the Department of Defense and Coast Guard who willfully or wantonly fail to comply with requirements relating to such rights.

**SEC. 1702. REVISION OF ARTICLE 32 AND ARTICLE 60, UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

(a) *USE OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 832 of title 10, United States Code (article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 832. Art. 32. Preliminary hearing**

“(a) *PRELIMINARY HEARING REQUIRED.*—(1) No charge or specification may be referred to a general court-martial for trial until completion of a preliminary hearing.

“(2) The purpose of the preliminary hearing shall be limited to the following:

“(A) Determining whether there is probable cause to believe an offense has been committed and the accused committed the offense.

“(B) Determining whether the convening authority has court-martial jurisdiction over the offense and the accused.

“(C) Considering the form of charges.

*“(D) Recommending the disposition that should be made of the case.*

*“(b) HEARING OFFICER.—(1) A preliminary hearing under subsection (a) shall be conducted by an impartial judge advocate certified under section 827(b) of this title (article 27(b)) whenever practicable or, in exceptional circumstances in which the interests of justice warrant, by an impartial hearing officer who is not a judge advocate. If the hearing officer is not a judge advocate, a judge advocate certified under section 827(b) of this title (article 27(b)) shall be available to provide legal advice to the hearing officer.*

*“(2) Whenever practicable, when the judge advocate or other hearing officer is detailed to conduct the preliminary hearing, the officer shall be equal to or senior in grade to military counsel detailed to represent the accused or the Government at the preliminary hearing.*

*“(c) REPORT OF RESULTS.—After conducting a preliminary hearing under subsection (a), the judge advocate or other officer conducting the preliminary hearing shall prepare a report that addresses the matters specified in subsections (a)(2) and (f).*

*“(d) RIGHTS OF ACCUSED AND VICTIM.—(1) The accused shall be advised of the charges against the accused and of the accused’s right to be represented by counsel at the preliminary hearing under subsection (a). The accused has the right to be represented at the preliminary hearing as provided in section 838 of this title (article 38) and in regulations prescribed under that section.*

*“(2) The accused may cross-examine witnesses who testify at the preliminary hearing and present additional evidence in defense and mitigation, relevant to the limited purposes of the hearing, as provided for in paragraph (4) and subsection (a)(2).*

*“(3) A victim may not be required to testify at the preliminary hearing. A victim who declines to testify shall be deemed to be not available for purposes of the preliminary hearing.*

*“(4) The presentation of evidence and examination (including cross-examination) of witnesses at a preliminary hearing shall be limited to the matters relevant to the limited purposes of the hearing, as provided in subsection (a)(2).*

*“(e) RECORDING OF PRELIMINARY HEARING.—A preliminary hearing under subsection (a) shall be recorded by a suitable recording device. The victim may request the recording and shall have access to the recording as prescribed by the Manual for Courts-Martial.*

*“(f) EFFECT OF EVIDENCE OF UNCHARGED OFFENSE.—If evidence adduced in a preliminary hearing under subsection (a) indicates that the accused committed an uncharged offense, the hearing officer may consider the subject matter of that offense without the accused having first been charged with the offense if the accused—*

*“(1) is present at the preliminary hearing;*

*“(2) is informed of the nature of each uncharged offense considered; and*

*“(3) is afforded the opportunities for representation, cross-examination, and presentation consistent with subsection (d).*

*“(g) EFFECT OF VIOLATION.—The requirements of this section are binding on all persons administering this chapter, but failure to follow the requirements does not constitute jurisdictional error.*

*“(h) VICTIM DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘victim’ means a person who—*

“(1) is alleged to have suffered a direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of the matters set forth in a charge or specification being considered; and  
“(2) is named in one of the specifications.”

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter VI of chapter 47 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 832 and inserting the following new item:

“832. Art 32. Preliminary hearing.”

(b) *ELIMINATION OF UNLIMITED COMMAND PREROGATIVE AND DISCRETION; IMPOSITION OF ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS.*—Subsection (c) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

“(c)(1) Under regulations of the Secretary concerned, a commissioned officer commanding for the time being, a successor in command, or any person exercising general court-martial jurisdiction may act under this section in place of the convening authority.

“(2)(A) Action on the sentence of a court-martial shall be taken by the convening authority or by another person authorized to act under this section. Subject to regulations of the Secretary concerned, such action may be taken only after consideration of any matters submitted by the accused under subsection (b) or after the time for submitting such matters expires, whichever is earlier.

“(B) Except as provided in paragraph (4), the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may approve, disapprove, commute, or suspend the sentence of the court-martial in whole or in part.

“(C) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts to disapprove, commute, or suspend, in whole or in part, the sentence of the court-martial for an offense (other than a qualifying offense), the convening authority or other person shall provide, at that same time, a written explanation of the reasons for such action. The written explanation shall be made a part of the record of the trial and action thereon.

“(3)(A) Action on the findings of a court-martial by the convening authority or by another person authorized to act under this section is not required.

“(B) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts on the findings of a court-martial, the convening authority or other person—

“(i) may not dismiss any charge or specification, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense, by setting aside a finding of guilty thereto; or

“(ii) may not change a finding of guilty to a charge or specification, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense, to a finding of guilty to an offense that is a lesser included offense of the offense stated in the charge or specification.

“(C) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts on the findings to dismiss or change any charge or specification for an offense (other than a qualifying offense), the convening authority or other person shall provide, at that same time, a written explanation of the reasons for such action. The

written explanation shall be made a part of the record of the trial and action thereon.

“(D)(i) In this subsection, the term ‘qualifying offense’ means, except in the case of an offense excluded pursuant to clause (ii), an offense under this chapter for which—

“(I) the maximum sentence of confinement that may be adjudged does not exceed two years; and

“(II) the sentence adjudged does not include dismissal, a dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge, or confinement for more than six months.

“(ii) Such term does not include any of the following:

“(I) An offense under subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 of this title (article 120).

“(II) An offense under section 920b or 925 of this title (articles 120b and 125).

“(III) Such other offenses as the Secretary of Defense may specify by regulation.

“(4)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) or (C), the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may not disapprove, commute, or suspend in whole or in part an adjudged sentence of confinement for more than six months or a sentence of dismissal, dishonorable discharge, or bad conduct discharge.

“(B) Upon the recommendation of the trial counsel, in recognition of the substantial assistance by the accused in the investigation or prosecution of another person who has committed an offense, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section shall have the authority to disapprove, commute, or suspend the adjudged sentence in whole or in part, even with respect to an offense for which a mandatory minimum sentence exists.

“(C) If a pre-trial agreement has been entered into by the convening authority and the accused, as authorized by Rule for Courts-Martial 705, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section shall have the authority to approve, disapprove, commute, or suspend a sentence in whole or in part pursuant to the terms of the pre-trial agreement, subject to the following limitations for convictions of offenses that involve a mandatory minimum sentence:

“(i) If a mandatory minimum sentence of a dishonorable discharge applies to an offense for which the accused has been convicted, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may commute the dishonorable discharge to a bad conduct discharge pursuant to the terms of the pre-trial agreement.

“(ii) Except as provided in clause (i), if a mandatory minimum sentence applies to an offense for which the accused has been convicted, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may not disapprove, otherwise commute, or suspend the mandatory minimum sentence in whole or in part, unless authorized to do so under subparagraph (B).”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) REFERENCES TO SOLE DISCRETION AND OTHER PERSONS AUTHORIZED TO ACT UNDER ARTICLE 60.—Section 860 of title 10,

*United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is further amended—*

(A) *in subsection (b)(2), by striking “or other person taking action under this section” and inserting “or another person authorized to act under this section”;*

(B) *in subsection (d), by striking “or other person taking action under this section” the first place it appears and inserting “or another person authorized to act under this section”;*

(C) *in subsection (e)(1), by striking “or other person taking action under this section, in his sole discretion,” and inserting “or another person authorized to act under this section”;* and

(D) *in subsection (e)(3), by striking “or other person taking action under this section” and inserting “or another person authorized to act under this section”.*

(2) **OTHER AUTHORITY FOR CONVENING AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND SENTENCE.**—*Section 871(d) of such title (article 71(d) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Paragraphs (2) and (4) of subsection (c) of section 860 of this title (article 60) shall apply to any decision by the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section to suspend the execution of any sentence or part thereof under this subsection.”.*

(3) **REFERENCES TO ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION.**—(A) *Section 802(d)(1)(A) of such title (article 2(d)(1)(A) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “investigation under section 832” and inserting “a preliminary hearing under section 832”.*

(B) *Section 834(a)(2) of such title (article 34(a)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32) (if there is such a report)” and inserting “a preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32)”.*

(C) *Section 838(b)(1) of such title (article 38(b)(1) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “an investigation under section 832” and inserting “a preliminary hearing under section 832”.*

(D) *Section 847(a)(1) of such title (article 47(a)(1) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “an investigation pursuant to section 832(b) of this title (article 32(b))” and inserting “a preliminary hearing pursuant to section 832 of this title (article 32)”.*

(E) *Section 948b(d)(1)(C) of such title is amended by striking “pretrial investigation” and inserting “preliminary hearing”.*

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **ARTICLE 32 AMENDMENTS.**—*The amendments made by subsections (a) and (c)(3) shall take effect one year after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to offenses committed under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), on or after that effective date.*

(2) **ARTICLE 60 AMENDMENTS.**—*The amendments made by subsection (b) and paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (c) shall*

take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to offenses committed under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), on or after that effective date.

**SEC. 1703. ELIMINATION OF FIVE-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON TRIAL BY COURT-MARTIAL FOR ADDITIONAL OFFENSES INVOLVING SEX-RELATED CRIMES.**

(a) **INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL OFFENSES.**—Section 843(a) of title 10, United States Code (article 43(a) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by striking “rape, or rape of a child” and inserting “rape or sexual assault, or rape or sexual assault of a child”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 843(b)(2)(B)(i) of title 10, United States Code (article 43(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, unless the offense is covered by subsection (a)”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to an offense covered by section 920(b) or 920b(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 120(b) or 120b(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), that is committed on or after that date.

**SEC. 1704. DEFENSE COUNSEL INTERVIEW OF VICTIM OF AN ALLEGED SEX-RELATED OFFENSE IN PRESENCE OF TRIAL COUNSEL, COUNSEL FOR THE VICTIM, OR A SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM ADVOCATE.**

Section 846 of title 10, United States Code (article 46 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a) OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN WITNESSES AND OTHER EVIDENCE.—” before “The trial counsel”;

(2) by striking “Process issued” and inserting the following:

“(c) **PROCESS.**—Process issued”; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a), as designated by paragraph (1), the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **DEFENSE COUNSEL INTERVIEW OF VICTIM OF ALLEGED SEX-RELATED OFFENSE.**—(1) Upon notice by trial counsel to defense counsel of the name of an alleged victim of an alleged sex-related offense who trial counsel intends to call to testify at a preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32) or a court-martial under this chapter, defense counsel shall make any request to interview the victim through trial counsel.

“(2) If requested by an alleged victim of an alleged sex-related offense who is subject to a request for interview under paragraph (1), any interview of the victim by defense counsel shall take place only in the presence of trial counsel, a counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.

“(3) In this subsection, the term ‘alleged sex-related offense’ means any allegation of—

“(A) a violation of section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of this title (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125); or

“(B) an attempt to commit an offense specified in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80).”.

**SEC. 1705. DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL FOR CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES AND TRIAL OF SUCH OFFENSES BY GENERAL COURTS-MARTIAL.**

(a) **MANDATORY DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IMPOSITION.**—Section 856 of title 10, United States Code (article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(A) by inserting “(a)” before “The punishment”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b)(1) While a person subject to this chapter who is found guilty of an offense specified in paragraph (2) shall be punished as a general court-martial may direct, such punishment must include, at a minimum, dismissal or dishonorable discharge, except as provided for in section 860 of this title (article 60).

“(2) Paragraph (1) applies to the following offenses:

“(A) An offense in violation of subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 of this title (article 120(a) or (b)).

“(B) Rape and sexual assault of a child under subsection (a) or (b) of section 920b of this title (article 120b).

“(C) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of this title (article 125).

“(D) An attempt to commit an offense specified in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) that is punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80).”

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 856. Art. 56. Maximum and minimum limits”.**

(B) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter VIII of chapter 47 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 856 and inserting the following new item:

“856. Art 56. Maximum and minimum limits.”

(b) **JURISDICTION LIMITED TO GENERAL COURTS-MARTIAL.**—Section 818 of title 10, United States Code (article 18 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before the first sentence;

(2) in the third sentence, by striking “However, a general court-martial” and inserting the following:

“(b) A general court-martial”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) Consistent with sections 819, 820, and 856(b) of this title (articles 19, 20, and 56(b)), only general courts-martial have jurisdiction over an offense specified in section 856(b)(2) of this title (article 56(b)(2)).”

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and apply to offenses specified in section 856(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a)(1), committed on or after that date.

**SEC. 1706. PARTICIPATION BY VICTIM IN CLEMENCY PHASE OF COURTS-MARTIAL PROCESS.**

(a) **VICTIM SUBMISSION OF MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION BY CONVENING AUTHORITY.**—Section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) In any case in which findings and sentence have been adjudged for an offense that involved a victim, the victim shall be provided an opportunity to submit matters for consideration by the convening authority or by another person authorized to act under this section before the convening authority or such other person takes action under this section.

“(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the submission of matters under paragraph (1) shall be made within 10 days after the later of—

“(i) the date on which the victim has been given an authenticated record of trial in accordance with section 854(e) of this title (article 54(e)); and

“(ii) if applicable, the date on which the victim has been given the recommendation of the staff judge advocate or legal officer under subsection (e).

“(B) In the case of a summary court-martial, the submission of matters under paragraph (1) shall be made within seven days after the date on which the sentence is announced.

“(3) If a victim shows that additional time is required for submission of matters under paragraph (1), the convening authority or other person taking action under this section, for good cause, may extend the submission period under paragraph (2) for not more than an additional 20 days.

“(4) A victim may waive the right under this subsection to make a submission to the convening authority or other person taking action under this section. Such a waiver shall be made in writing and may not be revoked. For the purposes of subsection (c)(2), the time within which a victim may make a submission under this subsection shall be deemed to have expired upon the submission of such waiver to the convening authority or such other person.

“(5) In this section, the term ‘victim’ means a person who has suffered a direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary loss as a result of a commission of an offense under this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) and on which the convening authority or other person authorized to take action under this section is taking action under this section.”.

(b) **LIMITATIONS ON CONSIDERATION OF VICTIM’S CHARACTER.**—Subsection (b) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) The convening authority or other person taking action under this section shall not consider under this section any submitted matters that relate to the character of a victim unless such matters were presented as evidence at trial and not excluded at trial.”.

(c) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Subsection (b)(1) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by striking “subsection (d)” and inserting “subsection (e)”.

**SEC. 1707. REPEAL OF THE OFFENSE OF CONSENSUAL SODOMY UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

(a) *RESTATEMENT OF ARTICLE 125 WITH CONSENSUAL SODOMY OMITTED.*—Section 925 of title 10, United States Code (article 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 925. Art 125. Forcible sodomy; bestiality**

“(a) *FORCIBLE SODOMY.*—Any person subject to this chapter who engages in unnatural carnal copulation with another person of the same or opposite sex by force or without the consent of the other person is guilty of forcible sodomy and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.

“(b) *BESTIALITY.*—Any person subject to this chapter who engages in unnatural carnal copulation with an animal is guilty of bestiality and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.

“(c) *SCOPE OF OFFENSES.*—Penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete an offense under subsection (a) or (b).”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter X of chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by striking the item relating to section 925 (article 125) and inserting the following new item:

“925. Art 125. Forcible sodomy; bestiality.”.

**SEC. 1708. MODIFICATION OF MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL TO ELIMINATE FACTOR RELATING TO CHARACTER AND MILITARY SERVICE OF THE ACCUSED IN RULE ON INITIAL DISPOSITION OF OFFENSES.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the discussion pertaining to Rule 306 of the Manual for Courts-Martial (relating to policy on initial disposition of offenses) shall be amended to strike the character and military service of the accused from the matters a commander should consider in deciding how to dispose of an offense.

**SEC. 1709. PROHIBITION OF RETALIATION AGAINST MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR REPORTING A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.**

(a) *REGULATIONS ON PROHIBITION OF RETALIATION.*—

(1) *REGULATIONS REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations, or require the Secretaries of the military departments to prescribe regulations, that prohibit retaliation against an alleged victim or other member of the Armed Forces who reports a criminal offense. The regulations shall prescribe that a violation of the regulations is an offense punishable under section 892 of title 10, United States Code (article 92 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(2) *DEADLINE.*—The regulations required by this subsection shall be prescribed not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) *RETALIATION AND PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED.*—

(1) *RETALIATION.*—For purposes of the regulations required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall define retaliation to include, at a minimum—

(A) taking or threatening to take an adverse personnel action, or withholding or threatening to withhold a favorable personnel action, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces because the member reported a criminal offense; and

(B) ostracism and such of acts of maltreatment, as designated by the Secretary of Defense, committed by peers of a member of the Armed Forces or by other persons because the member reported a criminal offense.

(2) *PERSONNEL ACTIONS.*—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary of Defense shall define the personnel actions to be covered by the regulations.

(c) *REPORT ON SEPARATE PUNITIVE ARTICLE.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth the recommendations of the Secretary regarding whether chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), should be amended to add a new punitive article to subchapter X of such chapter to prohibit retaliation against an alleged victim or other member of the Armed Forces who reports a criminal offense.

## **Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code**

### **SEC. 1711. PROHIBITION ON SERVICE IN THE ARMED FORCES BY INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN CONVICTED OF CERTAIN SEXUAL OFFENSES.**

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 37 of title 10, United States Code, is amended adding at the end the following new section:

#### **“§ 657. Prohibition on service in the armed forces by individuals convicted of certain sexual offenses**

“(a) *PROHIBITION ON COMMISSIONING OR ENLISTMENT.*—A person who has been convicted of an offense specified in subsection (b) under Federal or State law may not be processed for commissioning or permitted to enlist in the armed forces.

“(b) *COVERED OFFENSES.*—An offense specified in this subsection is any felony offense as follows:

“(1) Rape or sexual assault.

“(2) Forcible sodomy.

“(3) Incest.

“(4) An attempt to commit an offense specified in paragraph (1) through (3), as punishable under applicable Federal or State law.”.

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 37 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“657. Prohibition on service in the armed forces by individuals convicted of certain sexual offenses.”.

(b) *REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROHIBITION.*—Section 523 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1723; 10 U.S.C. 504 note) is repealed.

**SEC. 1712. ISSUANCE OF REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE COAST GUARD REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST FOR PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION OR UNIT TRANSFER BY VICTIM OF SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

Section 673(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “The Secretaries of the military departments” and inserting “The Secretary concerned”.

**SEC. 1713. TEMPORARY ADMINISTRATIVE REASSIGNMENT OR REMOVAL OF A MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES ON ACTIVE DUTY WHO IS ACCUSED OF COMMITTING A SEXUAL ASSAULT OR RELATED OFFENSE.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 39 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 673 the following new section:

**“§ 674. Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member on active duty accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense**

“(a) *GUIDANCE FOR TIMELY CONSIDERATION AND ACTION.*—The Secretary concerned may provide guidance, within guidelines provided by the Secretary of Defense, for commanders regarding their authority to make a timely determination, and to take action, regarding whether a member of the armed forces serving on active duty who is alleged to have committed an offense under section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of this title (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) or an attempt to commit such an offense as punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) should be temporarily reassigned or removed from a position of authority or from an assignment, not as a punitive measure, but solely for the purpose of maintaining good order and discipline within the member’s unit.

“(b) *TIME FOR DETERMINATION.*—A determination described in subsection (a) may be made at any time after receipt of notification of an unrestricted report of a sexual assault or other sex-related offense that identifies the member as an alleged perpetrator.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 39 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 673 the following new item:

“674. Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member on active duty accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense.”.

(c) *ADDITIONAL TRAINING REQUIREMENT FOR COMMANDERS.*—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the inclusion of information and discussion regarding the availability and use of the authority described by section 674 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), as part of the training for new and prospective commanders at all levels of command required by section 585(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note).

**SEC. 1714. EXPANSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROTECTED COMMUNICATIONS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND PROHIBITED RETALIATORY ACTIONS.**

(a) **EXPANSION OF PROHIBITED RETALIATORY PERSONNEL ACTIONS.**—Subsection (b) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “preparing—” and inserting “preparing or being perceived as making or preparing—”;

(B) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” at the end;

(C) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) in clause (iv), by striking “or” at the end;

(ii) by redesignating clause (v) as clause (vi) and, in such clause, by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(iii) by inserting after clause (iv) the following new clause (v):

“(v) a court-martial proceeding; or”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) testimony, or otherwise participating in or assisting in an investigation or proceeding related to a communication under subparagraph (A) or (B), or filing, causing to be filed, participating in, or otherwise assisting in an action brought under this section.”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “and” after “unfavorable action” and inserting a comma; and

(B) by inserting after “any favorable action” the following: “, or making or threatening to make a significant change in the duties or responsibilities of a member of the armed forces not commensurate with the member’s grade”.

(b) **INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALLEGATIONS.**—Subsection (c) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (4), (5), and (6), respectively;

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) A communication described in paragraph (2) shall not be excluded from the protections provided in this section because—

“(A) the communication was made to a person who participated in an activity that the member reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (2);

“(B) the communication revealed information that had previously been disclosed;

“(C) of the member’s motive for making the communication;

“(D) the communication was not made in writing;

“(E) the communication was made while the member was off duty; and

“(F) the communication was made during the normal course of duties of the member.”;

(4) in paragraph (5), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this subsection—

(A) by striking “paragraph (3)(A)” and inserting “paragraph (4)(A)”;

(B) by striking “paragraph (3)(D)” and inserting “paragraph (4)(D)”;

(C) by striking “60 days” and inserting “one year”; and

(5) in paragraph (6), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this subsection, by striking “outside the immediate chain of command of both the member submitting the allegation and the individual or individuals alleged to have taken the retaliatory action.” and inserting the following: “one or both of the following:

“(A) Outside the immediate chain of command of both the member submitting the allegation and the individual or individuals alleged to have taken the retaliatory action.

“(B) At least one organization higher in the chain of command than the organization of the member submitting the allegation and the individual or individuals alleged to have taken the retaliatory action.”

(c) *INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS OF UNDERLYING ALLEGATIONS.*—Subsection (d) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (c)(2)” and inserting “subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (c)(2)”.

(d) *REPORTS ON INVESTIGATIONS.*—Subsection (e) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “subsection (c)(3)(E)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (c)(4)(E)”;

(B) by inserting “and the Secretary of the military department concerned” after “the Secretary of Defense”; and

(C) by striking “transmitted to the Secretary” and inserting “transmitted to such Secretaries”; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by inserting “and the Secretary of the military department concerned” after “the Secretary of Defense”.

(e) *ACTION IN CASE OF VIOLATIONS.*—Section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h), and (i) as subsections (g), (h), (i), and (j), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

“(f) *ACTION IN CASE OF VIOLATIONS.*—(1) Not later than 30 days after receiving a report from the Inspector General under subsection (e), the Secretary of Homeland Security or the Secretary of the military department concerned, as applicable, shall determine whether there is sufficient basis to conclude whether a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b) has occurred.

“(2) If the Secretary concerned determines under paragraph (1) that a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b) has occurred, the Secretary shall—

“(A) order such action as is necessary to correct the record of a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b); and

“(B) take any appropriate disciplinary action against the individual who committed such prohibited personnel action.

“(3) If the Secretary concerned determines under paragraph (1) that an order for corrective or disciplinary action is not appropriate, not later than 30 days after making the determination, such Secretary shall—

“(A) provide to the Secretary of Defense and the member or former member a notice of the determination and the reasons for not taking action; and

“(B) when appropriate, refer the report to the appropriate board for the correction of military records for further review under subsection (g).”

(f) CORRECTION OF RECORDS.—Subsection (g) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, as redesignated by subsection (e)(1) of this section, is amended in paragraph (3)—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “board elects to hold” and inserting “board holds”; and

(2) in subparagraph (A)(ii), by striking “the case is unusually complex or otherwise requires” and inserting “the member or former member would benefit from”.

**SEC. 1715. INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF RETALIATORY PERSONNEL ACTIONS TAKEN IN RESPONSE TO MAKING PROTECTED COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

Section 1034(c)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “sexual harassment or” and inserting “rape, sexual assault, or other sexual misconduct in violation of sections 920 through 920c of this title (articles 120 through 120c of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), sexual harassment, or”.

**SEC. 1716. DESIGNATION AND AVAILABILITY OF SPECIAL VICTIMS’ COUNSEL FOR VICTIMS OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**

(a) DESIGNATION AND DUTIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1044d the following new section:

**“§ 1044e. Special Victims’ Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses**

“(a) DESIGNATION; PURPOSES.—The Secretary concerned shall designate legal counsel (to be known as ‘Special Victims’ Counsel’) for the purpose of providing legal assistance to an individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, regardless of whether the report of that offense is restricted or unrestricted.

“(b) TYPES OF LEGAL ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—The types of legal assistance authorized by subsection (a) include the following:

“(1) Legal consultation regarding potential criminal liability of the victim stemming from or in relation to the circumstances surrounding the alleged sex-related offense and the victim’s right to seek military defense services.

“(2) Legal consultation regarding the Victim Witness Assistance Program, including—

“(A) the rights and benefits afforded the victim;

“(B) the role of the Victim Witness Assistance Program liaison and what privileges do or do not exist between the victim and the liaison; and

*“(C) the nature of communication made to the liaison in comparison to communication made to a Special Victims’ Counsel or a legal assistance attorney under section 1044 of this title.*

*“(3) Legal consultation regarding the responsibilities and support provided to the victim by the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, a unit or installation Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, or domestic abuse advocate, to include any privileges that may exist regarding communications between those persons and the victim.*

*“(4) Legal consultation regarding the potential for civil litigation against other parties (other than the Department of Defense).*

*“(5) Legal consultation regarding the military justice system, including (but not limited to)—*

*“(A) the roles and responsibilities of the trial counsel, the defense counsel, and investigators;*

*“(B) any proceedings of the military justice process in which the victim may observe;*

*“(C) the Government’s authority to compel cooperation and testimony; and*

*“(D) the victim’s responsibility to testify, and other duties to the court.*

*“(6) Accompanying the victim at any proceedings in connection with the reporting, military investigation, and military prosecution of the alleged sex-related offense.*

*“(7) Legal consultation regarding eligibility and requirements for services available from appropriate agencies or offices for emotional and mental health counseling and other medical services;*

*“(8) Legal consultation and assistance—*

*“(A) in personal civil legal matters in accordance with section 1044 of this title;*

*“(B) in any proceedings of the military justice process in which a victim can participate as a witness or other party;*

*“(C) in understanding the availability of, and obtaining any protections offered by, civilian and military protecting or restraining orders; and*

*“(D) in understanding the eligibility and requirements for, and obtaining, any available military and veteran benefits, such as transitional compensation benefits found in section 1059 of this title and other State and Federal victims’ compensation programs.*

*“(9) Such other legal assistance as the Secretary of Defense (or, in the case of the Coast Guard, the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating) may authorize in the regulations prescribed under subsection (h).*

*“(c) NATURE OF RELATIONSHIP.—The relationship between a Special Victims’ Counsel and a victim in the provision of legal advice and assistance shall be the relationship between an attorney and client.*

*“(d) QUALIFICATIONS.—An individual may not be designated as a Special Victims’ Counsel under this section unless the individual—*

“(1) meets the qualifications specified in section 1044(d)(2) of this title; and

“(2) is certified as competent to be designated as a Special Victims’ Counsel by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force in which the judge advocate is a member or by which the civilian attorney is employed.

“(e) ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY.—(1) Consistent with the regulations prescribed under subsection (h), the Judge Advocate General (as defined in section 801(1) of this title) under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, and within the Marine Corps the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, is responsible for the establishment and supervision of individuals designated as Special Victims’ Counsel.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense (and, in the case of the Coast Guard, the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating) shall conduct a periodic evaluation of the Special Victims’ Counsel programs operated under this section.

“(f) AVAILABILITY OF SPECIAL VICTIMS’ COUNSEL.—(1) An individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense shall be offered the option of receiving assistance from a Special Victims’ Counsel upon report of an alleged sex-related offense or at the time the victim seeks assistance from a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, a military criminal investigator, a victim/witness liaison, a trial counsel, a healthcare provider, or any other personnel designated by the Secretary concerned for purposes of this subsection.

“(2) The assistance of a Special Victims’ Counsel under this subsection shall be available to an individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title regardless of whether the individual elects unrestricted or restricted reporting of the alleged sex-related offense. The individual shall also be informed that the assistance of a Special Victims’ Counsel may be declined, in whole or in part, but that declining such assistance does not preclude the individual from subsequently requesting the assistance of a Special Victims’ Counsel.

“(g) ALLEGED SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘alleged sex-related offense’ means any allegation of—

“(1) a violation of section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of this title (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); or

“(2) an attempt to commit an offense specified in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(h) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1044d the following new item:

“1044e. Special Victims’ Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses.”.

(3) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) **QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONS PROVIDING LEGAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 1044(d)(2) of such title is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and, for purposes of service as a Special Victims’ Counsel under section 1044e of this title, meets the additional qualifications specified in subsection (d)(2) of such section.”.

(B) **INCLUSION IN DEFINITION OF MILITARY LEGAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 1044(d)(3)(B) of such title is amended by striking “and 1044d” and inserting “1044d, 1044e, and 1565b(a)(1)(A)”.

(C) **ACCESS TO LEGAL ASSISTANCE AND SERVICES.**—Section 1565b(a)(1)(A) of such title is amended by striking “section 1044” and inserting “sections 1044 and 1044e”.

(4) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—Section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), shall be implemented within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **ENHANCED TRAINING REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of each military department, and the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Department of the Navy, shall implement, consistent with the guidelines provided under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), in-depth and advanced training for all military and civilian attorneys providing legal assistance under section 1044 or 1044e of such title to support victims of alleged sex-related offenses.

(c) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report describing how the Armed Forces will implement the requirements of section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) **ADDITIONAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall also be submitted to the independent review panel established by the Secretary of Defense under section 576(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758) and to the Joint Services Committee on Military Justice.

## **Subtitle C—Amendments to Other Laws**

### **SEC. 1721. TRACKING OF COMPLIANCE OF COMMANDING OFFICERS IN CONDUCTING ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ASSESSMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF PREVENTING AND RESPONDING TO SEXUAL ASSAULTS.**

Section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1753; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:  
“(d) **TRACKING OF ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ASSESSMENT COMPLIANCE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall direct the Secretaries of

*the military departments to verify and track the compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments, as required by subsection (a)(3)."*

**SEC. 1722. ADVANCEMENT OF SUBMITTAL DEADLINE FOR REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PANEL ON ASSESSMENT OF MILITARY RESPONSE SYSTEMS TO SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

*Section 576(c)(1)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1759) is amended by striking "Eighteen months" and inserting "Twelve months".*

**SEC. 1723. RETENTION OF CERTAIN FORMS IN CONNECTION WITH RESTRICTED REPORTS AND UNRESTRICTED REPORTS ON SEXUAL ASSAULT INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

*(a) REQUIREMENT FOR RETENTION.—Subsection (a) of section 577 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1762; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—*

*(1) by striking "At the request of a member of the Armed Forces who files a Restricted Report on an incident of sexual assault involving the member, the Secretary of Defense shall" and inserting "The Secretary of Defense shall"; and*

*(2) by striking "the Restricted Report" and inserting "a Restricted Report or Unrestricted Report on an incident of sexual assault involving a member of the Armed Forces".*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

**"SEC. 577. RETENTION OF CERTAIN FORMS IN CONNECTION WITH RESTRICTED REPORTS AND UNRESTRICTED REPORTS ON SEXUAL ASSAULT INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES."**

**SEC. 1724. TIMELY ACCESS TO SEXUAL ASSAULT RESPONSE COORDINATORS BY MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVES.**

*Section 584(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1433; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):*

*"(2) AVAILABILITY FOR RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall ensure the timely access to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator by any member of the National Guard or Reserve who—*

*"(A) is the victim of a sexual assault during the performance of duties as a member of the National Guard or Reserves; or*

*"(B) is the victim of a sexual assault committed by a member of the National Guard or Reserves."*

**SEC. 1725. QUALIFICATIONS AND SELECTION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PERSONNEL AND REQUIRED AVAILABILITY OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS.**

*(a) QUALIFICATIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT.—Section 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011*

(Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 124 Stat. 4431) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(2) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(A) the qualifications necessary for a member of the Armed Forces or a civilian employee of the Department of Defense to be selected for assignment to duty as a Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Manager, Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, or Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, whether assigned to such duty on a full-time or part-time basis;

“(B) consistent with section 584(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 125 Stat. 1433), the training, certification, and status of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the department assigned to duty as Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates for the Armed Forces; and”.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS AT MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.—

(1) FACILITIES WITH FULL-TIME EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT.—The Secretary of a military department shall require the assignment of at least one full-time sexual assault nurse examiner to each military medical treatment facility under the jurisdiction of that Secretary in which an emergency department operates 24 hours per day. The Secretary may assign additional sexual assault nurse examiners based on the demographics of the patients who utilize the military medical treatment facility.

(2) OTHER FACILITIES.—In the case of a military medical treatment facility not covered by paragraph (1), the Secretary of the military department concerned shall require that a sexual assault nurse examiner be made available to a patient of the facility, consistent with the Department of Justice National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescent, when a determination is made regarding the patient’s need for the services of a sexual assault nurse examiner.

(3) QUALIFICATIONS.—A sexual assault nurse examiner assigned under paragraph (1) or made available under paragraph (2) shall meet such training and certification requirements as are prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

(c) REPORT ON TRAINING, QUALIFICATIONS, AND EXPERIENCE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PERSONNEL.—

(1) REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary shall prepare a report on the review, conducted pursuant to the Secretary of Defense Memorandum of May 17, 2013, of the adequacy of the training, qualifications, and experience of each member of the Armed Forces and civilian employee of the Department of Defense who is assigned to a position that includes responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response within the Armed Forces for the successful discharge of such responsibility.

(2) *REPORT ELEMENTS.*—The report shall include the following:

(A) *An assessment of the adequacy of the training and certifications required for members and employees described in paragraph (1).*

(B) *The number of such members and employees who did not have the training, qualifications, or experience required to successfully discharge their responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response within the Armed Forces.*

(C) *The actions taken by the Secretary of Defense with respect to such members and employees who were found to lack the training, qualifications, or experience to successfully discharge such responsibility.*

(D) *Such improvements as the Secretary considers appropriate in the process used to select and assign members and employees to positions that include responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response within the Armed Forces in order to ensure the highest caliber candidates are selected and assigned to such positions.*

(3) *SUBMISSION.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit the report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1726. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE OFFICE FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PROGRAM.**

(a) *ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR DUTIES.*—Subsection (b) of section 1611 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (2);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(4) collect and maintain data of the military departments on sexual assault in accordance with subsection (e);

“(5) act as liaison between the Department of Defense and other Federal and State agencies on programs and efforts relating to sexual assault prevention and response; and

“(6) oversee development of strategic program guidance and joint planning objectives for resources in support of the sexual assault prevention and response program, and make recommendations on modifications to policy, law, and regulations needed to ensure the continuing availability of such resources.”.

(b) *COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF DATA.*—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) *DATA COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE METRICS.*—In carrying out the requirements of subsection (b)(4), the Director of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office shall develop metrics to measure the effectiveness of, and compliance with, training and awareness objectives of the military departments on sexual assault prevention and response.”.

## **Subtitle D—Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports**

### **SEC. 1731. INDEPENDENT REVIEWS AND ASSESSMENTS OF UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE AND JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES.**

**(a) ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL.—**

*(1) ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENTS SPECIFIED.—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758), known as the “response systems panel”, shall conduct the following:*

*(A) An assessment of the impact, if any, that removing from the chain of command any disposition authority regarding charges preferred under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), would have on overall reporting and prosecution of sexual assault cases.*

*(B) An assessment regarding whether the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of Special Victims’ Counsel to provide legal assistance under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 1716, to victims of alleged sex-related offenses should be expanded to include legal standing to represent the victim during investigative and military justice proceedings in connection with the prosecution of the offense.*

*(C) An assessment of the feasibility and appropriateness of extending to victims of crimes covered by chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), the right afforded a crime victim in civilian criminal legal proceedings under subsection (a)(4) of section 3771 of title 18, United States Code, and the legal standing to seek enforcement of crime victim rights provided by subsection (d) of such section.*

*(D) An assessment of the means by which the name, if known, and other necessary identifying information of an alleged offender that is collected as part of a restricted report of a sexual assault could be compiled into a protected, searchable database accessible only to military criminal investigators, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, or other appropriate personnel only for the purposes of identifying individuals who are subjects of multiple accusations of sexual assault and encouraging victims to make an unrestricted report of sexual assault in those cases in order to facilitate increased prosecutions, particularly of serial offenders. The assessment should include an evaluation of the appropriate content to be included in the database, as well as the best means to maintain the privacy of those making a restricted report.*

*(E) As part of the comparison of military and civilian systems for the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of adult sexual assault crimes, as required by subsection*

*(d)(1)(B) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, an assessment of the opportunities for clemency provided in the military and civilian systems, the appropriateness of clemency proceedings in the military system, the manner in which clemency is used in the military system, and whether clemency in the military justice system could be reserved until the end of the military appeals process.*

*(F) An assessment of whether the Department of Defense should promulgate, and ensure the understanding of and compliance with, a formal statement of what accountability, rights, and responsibilities a member of the Armed Forces has with regard to matters of sexual assault prevention and response, as a means of addressing those issues within the Armed Forces. If the response systems panel recommends such a formal statement, the response systems panel shall provide key elements or principles that should be included in the formal statement.*

*(2) SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.—The response systems panel shall include the results of the assessments required by paragraph (1) in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, as amended by section 1722.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL.—*

*(1) ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENTS SPECIFIED.—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(2) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758), known as the “judicial proceedings panel”, shall conduct the following:*

*(A) An assessment of the likely consequences of amending the definition of rape and sexual assault under section 920 of title 10, United States Code (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), to expressly cover a situation in which a person subject to chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), commits a sexual act upon another person by abusing one’s position in the chain of command of the other person to gain access to or coerce the other person.*

*(B) An assessment of the implementation and effect of section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 1716, and make such recommendations for modification of such section 1044e as the judicial proceedings panel considers appropriate.*

*(C) An assessment of the implementation and effect of the mandatory minimum sentences established by section 856(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by section 1705, and the appropriateness of statutorily mandated minimum sentencing provisions for additional offenses under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).*

*(D) An assessment of the adequacy of the provision of compensation and restitution for victims of offenses under*

chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), and develop recommendations on expanding such compensation and restitution, including consideration of the options as follows:

(i) Providing the forfeited wages of incarcerated members of the Armed Forces to victims of offenses as compensation.

(ii) Including bodily harm among the injuries meriting compensation for redress under section 939 of title 10, United States Code (article 139 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(iii) Requiring restitution by members of the Armed Forces to victims of their offenses upon the direction of a court-martial.

(2) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—The judicial proceedings panel shall include the results of the assessments required by paragraph (1) in one of the reports required by subsection (c)(2)(B) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013.

**SEC. 1732. REVIEW AND POLICY REGARDING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE PRACTICES IN RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE VIOLATIONS.**

(a) **REVIEW.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the practices of the military criminal investigative organizations (Army Criminal Investigation Command, Naval Criminal Investigative Service, and Air Force Office of Special Investigation) in response to an allegation that a member of the Armed Forces has committed an offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, including the extent to which the military criminal investigative organizations make a recommendation regarding whether an allegation appears founded or unfounded.

(b) **POLICY.**—After conducting the review required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall develop a uniform policy for the Armed Forces, to the extent practicable, regarding the use of case determinations to record the results of the investigation of an alleged violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. In developing the policy, the Secretary shall consider the feasibility of adopting case determination methods, such as the uniform crime report, used by nonmilitary law enforcement agencies.

**SEC. 1733. REVIEW OF TRAINING AND EDUCATION PROVIDED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ON SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a review of the adequacy of the training and education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response.

(b) **RESPONSIVE ACTION.**—Upon completion of the review, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) identify common core elements that must be included in any training or education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response; and

(2) recommend such other modifications of such training and education as the Secretary considers appropriate to address any inadequacies identified during the review.

(c) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review, including the common core elements identified in the review that will be included in any training or education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response.

**SEC. 1734. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY ON THE RETENTION OF AND ACCESS TO EVIDENCE AND RECORDS RELATING TO SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **REVIEW OF EVIDENCE AND RECORDS RETENTION AND ACCESS POLICY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the progress made in developing and implementing the comprehensive policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces, which was required by section 586 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note).

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review. In the report, the Secretary shall explain how the Secretary has addressed each of the matters listed in paragraphs (1) through (11) of subsection (c) of section 586 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 that, at a minimum, were required to be considered in the development of the policy.

**SEC. 1735. REVIEW OF THE OFFICE OF DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ROLE IN SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASES.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity for the purposes specified in subsection (b).

(b) **ELEMENTS OF STUDY.**—In conducting the review under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) determine whether sexual harassment cases should be evaluated or addressed within the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity;

(2) identify and evaluate how the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity works with the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to address sexual harassment in the Armed Forces and the current role of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity in sexual harassment cases;

(3) identify and evaluate the resource and personnel gaps, if any, in the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity to adequately address sexual harassment cases; and

(4) identify and assess the capability of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity to track incidences of sexual harassment cases.

(c) *DEFINITION.*—In this section, the term “sexual harassment” has the meaning given such term in Department of Defense Directive 1350.2, Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program.

## **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

### **SEC. 1741. ENHANCED PROTECTIONS FOR PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS AND NEW MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES DURING ENTRY-LEVEL PROCESSING AND TRAINING.**

(a) *DEFINING INAPPROPRIATE AND PROHIBITED RELATIONSHIPS, COMMUNICATION, CONDUCT, AND CONTACT BETWEEN CERTAIN MEMBERS.*—

(1) *POLICY REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall maintain a policy that defines and prescribes, for the persons described in paragraph (2), what constitutes an inappropriate and prohibited relationship, communication, conduct, or contact, including when such an action is consensual, between a member of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2)(A) and a prospective member or member of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2)(B).

(2) *COVERED MEMBERS.*—The policy required by paragraph (1) shall apply to—

(A) a member of the Armed Forces who exercises authority or control over, or supervises, a person described in subparagraph (B) during the entry-level processing or training of the person; and

(B) a prospective member of the Armed Forces or a member of the Armed Forces undergoing entry-level processing or training.

(3) *INCLUSION OF CERTAIN MEMBERS REQUIRED.*—The members of the Armed Forces covered by paragraph (2)(A) shall include, at a minimum, military personnel assigned or attached to duty—

(A) for the purpose of recruiting or assessing persons for enlistment or appointment as a commissioned officer, warrant officer, or enlisted member of the Armed Forces;

(B) at a Military Entrance Processing Station; or

(C) at an entry-level training facility or school of an Armed Force.

(b) *EFFECT OF VIOLATIONS.*—A member of the Armed Forces who violates the policy required by subsection (a) shall be subject to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

(c) *PROCESSING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—(A) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall require the processing for administrative separation of any member of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a)(2)(A) in response to the first substantiated violation by the member of the policy required by subsection (a), when the member is not otherwise punitively discharged or dismissed from the Armed Forces for that violation.

(B) *The Secretary of a military department shall revise regulations applicable to the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of that Secretary as necessary to ensure compliance with the requirement under subparagraph (A).*

(2) **REQUIRED ELEMENTS.**—(A) *In imposing the requirement under paragraph (1), the Secretaries shall ensure that any separation decision regarding a member of the Armed Forces is based on the full facts of the case and that due process procedures are provided under existing law or regulations or additionally prescribed, as considered necessary by the Secretaries, pursuant to subsection (f).*

(B) *The requirement imposed by paragraph (1) shall not be interpreted to limit or alter the authority of the Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating to process members of the Armed Forces for administrative separation—*

(i) *for reasons other than a substantiated violation of the policy required by subsection (a); or*

(ii) *under other provisions of law or regulation.*

(3) **SUBSTANTIATED VIOLATION.**—*For purposes of paragraph (1), a violation by a member of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a)(2)(A) of the policy required by subsection (a) shall be treated as substantiated if—*

(A) *there has been a court-martial conviction for violation of the policy, but the adjudged sentence does not include discharge or dismissal; or*

(B) *a nonjudicial punishment authority under section 815 of title 10, United States Code (article 15 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), has determined that a member has committed an offense in violation of the policy and imposed nonjudicial punishment upon the member.*

(d) **REPORT ON NEED FOR UCMJ PUNITIVE ARTICLE.**—*Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the recommendations of the Secretary regarding the need to amend chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), to create an additional article under subchapter X of such chapter to address violations of the policy required by subsection (a).*

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) *The term “entry-level processing or training”, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces, means the period beginning on the date on which the member became a member of the Armed Forces and ending on the date on which the member physically arrives at that member’s first duty assignment following completion of initial entry training (or its equivalent), as defined by the Secretary of the military department concerned or the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating.*

(2) *The term “prospective member of the Armed Forces” means a person who has had a face-to-face meeting with a member of the Armed Forces assigned or attached to duty described in subsection (a)(3)(A) regarding becoming a member of*

*the Armed Forces, regardless of whether the person eventually becomes a member of the Armed Forces.*

(f) **REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section. The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, to the extent practicable, the regulations are uniform for each armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary.

**SEC. 1742. COMMANDING OFFICER ACTION ON REPORTS ON SEXUAL OFFENSES INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED.**—A commanding officer who receives a report of a sex-related offense involving a member of the Armed Forces in the chain of command of such officer shall act upon the report in accordance with subsection (b) immediately after receipt of the report by the commanding officer.

(b) **ACTION REQUIRED.**—The action required by this subsection with respect to a report described in subsection (a) is the referral of the report to the military criminal investigation organization with responsibility for investigating that offense of the military department concerned or such other investigation service of the military department concerned as the Secretary of the military department concerned may specify for purposes of this section.

**SEC. 1743. EIGHT-DAY INCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENT IN RESPONSE TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN WHICH THE VICTIM IS A MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **INCIDENT REPORTING POLICY REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall establish and maintain a policy to require the submission by a designated person of a written incident report not later than eight days after an unrestricted report of sexual assault has been made in which a member of the Armed Forces is the victim. At a minimum, this incident report shall be provided to the following:

(1) The installation commander, if such incident occurred on or in the vicinity of a military installation.

(2) The first officer in the grade of 0–6, and the first general officer or flag officer, in the chain of command of the victim.

(3) The first officer in the grade of 0–6, and the first general officer or flag officer, in the chain of command of the alleged offender if the alleged offender is a member of the Armed Forces.

(b) **PURPOSE OF REPORT.**—The purpose of the required incident report under subsection (a) is to detail the actions taken or in progress to provide the necessary care and support to the victim of the assault, to refer the allegation of sexual assault to the appropriate investigatory agency, and to provide initial notification of the serious incident when that notification has not already taken place.

(c) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The report of an incident under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) Time / Date / Location of the alleged incident.

(B) Type of offense alleged.

(C) *Service affiliation, assigned unit, and location of the victim.*

(D) *Service affiliation, assigned unit, and location of the alleged offender, including information regarding whether the alleged offender has been temporarily transferred or removed from an assigned billet or ordered to pretrial confinement or otherwise restricted, if applicable.*

(E) *Post-incident actions taken in connection with the incident, including the following:*

(i) *Referral of the victim to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator for referral to services available to members of the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual assault, including the date of each such referral.*

(ii) *Notification of incident to appropriate military criminal investigative organization, including the organization notified and date of such notification.*

(iii) *Receipt and processing status of a request for expedited victim transfer, if applicable.*

(iv) *Issuance of any military protective orders in connection with the incident.*

(2) **MODIFICATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense may modify the elements required in a report under this section regarding an incident involving a member of the Armed Forces (including the Coast Guard when it is operating as service in the Department of the Navy) if the Secretary determines that such modification will facilitate compliance with best practices for such reporting as identified by the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office of the Department of Defense.*

(B) **COAST GUARD.**—*The Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating may modify the elements required in a report under this section regarding an incident involving a member of the Coast Guard if the Secretary determines that such modification will facilitate compliance with best practices for such reporting as identified by the Coast Guard Office of Work-Life Programs.*

(d) **REGULATIONS.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.*

**SEC. 1744. REVIEW OF DECISIONS NOT TO REFER CHARGES OF CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES FOR TRIAL BY COURT-MARTIAL.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall require the Secretaries of the military departments to provide for review of decisions not to refer charges for trial by court-martial in cases where a sex-related offense has been alleged by a victim of the alleged offense.*

(2) **SPECIFIC REVIEW REQUIREMENTS.**—*As part of a review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1), the Secretary of a military department shall require that—*

(A) consideration be given to the victim's statement provided during the course of the criminal investigation regarding the alleged sex-related offense perpetrated against the victim; and

(B) a determination be made whether the victim's statement and views concerning disposition of the alleged sex-related offense were considered by the convening authority in making the referral decision.

(b) **SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “sex-related offense” means any of the following:

(1) Rape or sexual assault under subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 of title 10, United States Code (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(2) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of such title (article 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(3) An attempt to commit an offense specified in paragraph (1) or (2) as punishable under section 880 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(c) **REVIEW OF CASES NOT REFERRED TO COURT-MARTIAL FOLLOWING STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE RECOMMENDATION OF REFERRAL FOR TRIAL.**—In any case where a staff judge advocate, pursuant to section 834 of title 10, United States Code (article 34 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), recommends that charges of a sex-related offense be referred for trial by court-martial and the convening authority decides not to refer any charges to a court-martial, the convening authority shall forward the case file to the Secretary of the military department concerned for review as a superior authorized to exercise general court-martial convening authority.

(d) **REVIEW OF CASES NOT REFERRED TO COURT-MARTIAL FOLLOWING STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE RECOMMENDATION NOT TO REFER FOR TRIAL.**—In any case where a staff judge advocate, pursuant to section 834 of title 10, United States Code (article 34 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), recommends that charges of a sex-related offense should not be referred for trial by court-martial and the convening authority decides not to refer any charges to a court-martial, the convening authority shall forward the case file for review to the next superior commander authorized to exercise general court-martial convening authority.

(e) **ELEMENTS OF CASE FILE.**—A case file forwarded to higher authority for review pursuant to subsection (c) or (d) shall include the following:

(1) All charges and specifications preferred under section 830 of title 10, United States Code (article 30 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(2) All reports of investigations of such charges, including the military criminal investigative organization investigation report and the report prepared under section 832 of title 10, United States Code (article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702.

(3) A certification that the victim of the alleged sex-related offense was notified of the opportunity to express views on the victim's preferred disposition of the alleged offense for consideration by the convening authority.

(4) All statements of the victim provided to the military criminal investigative organization and to the victim's chain of command relating to the alleged sex-related offense and any statement provided by the victim to the convening authority expressing the victim's view on the victim's preferred disposition of the alleged offense.

(5) The written advice of the staff judge advocate to the convening authority pursuant to section 834 of title 10, United States Code (article 34 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(6) A written statement explaining the reasons for the convening authority's decision not to refer any charges for trial by court-martial.

(7) A certification that the victim of the alleged sex-related offense was informed of the convening authority's decision to forward the case as provided in subsection (c) or (d).

(f) **NOTICE ON RESULTS OR REVIEW.**—The victim of the alleged sex-related offense shall be notified of the results of the review conducted under subsection (c) or (d) in the manner prescribed by the victims and witness assistance program of the Armed Force concerned.

(g) **VICTIM ALLEGATION OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall require the Secretaries of the military departments to develop a system to ensure that a victim of a possible sex-related offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice is given the opportunity to state, either at the time of making an unrestricted report of the allegation or during the criminal investigation of the allegation, whether or not the victim believes that the offense alleged is a sex-related offense subject to the requirements of this section.

**SEC. 1745. INCLUSION AND COMMAND REVIEW OF INFORMATION ON SEX-RELATED OFFENSES IN PERSONNEL SERVICE RECORDS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **INFORMATION ON REPORTS ON SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If a complaint of a sex-related offense is made against a member of the Armed Forces and the member is convicted by court-martial or receives non-judicial punishment or punitive administrative action for such sex-related offense, a notation to that effect shall be placed in the personnel service record of the member, regardless of the member's grade.

(2) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the inclusion of information in personnel service records under paragraph (1) is to alert commanders to the members of their command who have received courts-martial conviction, non-judicial punishment, or punitive administrative action for sex-related offenses in order to reduce the likelihood that repeat offenses will escape the notice of commanders.

(b) **LIMITATION ON PLACEMENT.**—A notation under subsection (a) may not be placed in the restricted section of the personnel service record of a member.

(c) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in subsection (a) or (b) may be construed to prohibit or limit the capacity of a member of the Armed Forces to challenge or appeal the placement of a notation, or location of placement of a notation, in the member's personnel service record in accordance with procedures otherwise applicable to such challenges or appeals.

(d) **COMMAND REVIEW OF HISTORY OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES OF MEMBERS UPON ASSIGNMENT OR TRANSFER TO NEW UNIT.—**

(1) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—Under uniform regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the commanding officer of a facility, installation, or unit to which a member of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2) is permanently assigned or transferred shall review the history of sex-related offenses as documented in the personnel service record of the member in order to familiarize such officer with such history of the member.

(2) **COVERED MEMBERS.**—A member of the Armed Forces described in this paragraph is a member of the Armed Forces who, at the time of assignment or transfer as described in paragraph (1), has a history of one or more sex-related offenses as documented in the personnel service record of such member or such other records or files as the Secretary shall specify in the regulations prescribed under paragraph (1).

**SEC. 1746. PREVENTION OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AT MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES.**

The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, and the United States Air Force Academy include a section in the curricula of that military service academy that outlines honor, respect, and character development as such pertain to the issue of preventing sexual assault in the Armed Forces. Such curricula section shall include a brief history of the problem of sexual assault in the Armed Forces, a definition of sexual assault, information relating to reporting a sexual assault, victims' rights, and dismissal and dishonorable discharge for offenders. Training in such section in the curricula shall be provided within 14 days after the initial arrival of a new cadet or midshipman at that military service academy and repeated annually thereafter.

**SEC. 1747. REQUIRED NOTIFICATION WHENEVER MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ARE COMPLETING STANDARD FORM 86 OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR NATIONAL SECURITY POSITIONS.**

(a) **NOTIFICATION OF POLICY.**—Whenever a member of the Armed Forces is required to complete Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions in connection with an application, investigation, or reinvestigation for a security clearance, the member shall be notified of the policy described in subsection (b) regarding question 21 of such form.

(b) **POLICY DESCRIBED.**—The policy referred to in subsection (a) is the policy of instructing an individual to answer "no" to question 21 of Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions with respect to consultation with a health care professional if—

- (1) the individual is a victim of a sexual assault; and
- (2) the consultation occurred with respect to an emotional or mental health condition strictly in relation to the sexual assault.

## **Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions**

### **SEC. 1751. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COMMANDING OFFICER RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMMAND CLIMATE FREE OF RETALIATION.**

*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *commanding officers in the Armed Forces are responsible for establishing a command climate in which sexual assault allegations are properly managed and fairly evaluated and in which a victim can report criminal activity, including sexual assault, without fear of retaliation, including ostracism and group pressure from other members of the command;*

(2) *the failure of commanding officers to maintain such a command climate is an appropriate basis for relief from their command positions; and*

(3) *senior officers should evaluate subordinate commanding officers on their performance in establishing a command climate as described in paragraph (1) during the regular periodic counseling and performance appraisal process prescribed by the Armed Force concerned for inclusion in the systems of records maintained and used for assignment and promotion selection boards.*

### **SEC. 1752. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DISPOSITION OF CHARGES INVOLVING CERTAIN SEXUAL MISCONDUCT OFFENSES UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE THROUGH COURTS-MARTIAL.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *any charge regarding an offense specified in subsection (b) should be disposed of by court-martial, rather than by non-judicial punishment or administrative action; and*

(2) *in the case of any charge regarding an offense specified in subsection (b) that is disposed of by non-judicial punishment or administrative action, rather than by court-martial, the disposition authority should include in the case file a justification for the disposition of the charge by non-judicial punishment or administrative action, rather than by court-martial.*

(b) *COVERED OFFENSES.—An offense specified in this subsection is any of the following offenses under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice):*

(1) *Rape or sexual assault under subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 of such title (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).*

(2) *Forcible sodomy under section 925 of such title (article 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).*

(3) *An attempt to commit an offense specified in paragraph (1) or (2), as punishable under section 880 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).*

### **SEC. 1753. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE DISCHARGE IN LIEU OF COURT-MARTIAL OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO COMMIT SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**

*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *the Armed Forces should be exceedingly sparing in discharging in lieu of court-martial members of the Armed Forces who have committed rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, or*

*attempts to commit such offenses, and should do so only when the facts of the case clearly warrant such discharge;*

*(2) whenever possible, the victims of offenses referred to in paragraph (1) shall be consulted prior to the determination regarding whether to discharge the members who committed such offenses;*

*(3) convening authorities should consider the views of victims of offenses referred to in paragraph (1) when determining whether to discharge the members who committed such offenses in lieu of trying such members by court-martial; and*

*(4) the discharge of any member who is discharged as described in paragraph (1) should be characterized as Other Than Honorable.*

## **DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

### **SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.**

*This division may be cited as the “Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014”.*

### **SEC. 2002. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.**

*(a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVII for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—*

*(1) October 1, 2016; or*

*(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017.*

*(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—*

*(1) October 1, 2016; or*

*(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 2017 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.*

## **TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

*Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.*

*Sec. 2102. Family housing.*

*Sec. 2103. Authorization of appropriations, Army.*

Sec. 2104. Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York.

Sec. 2105. Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project.

Sec. 2106. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project.

Sec. 2107. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.

Sec. 2108. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2010 projects.

Sec. 2109. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.

**SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alaska .....	Fort Wainwright .....	\$103,000,000
Colorado .....	Fort Carson, Colorado .....	\$242,200,000
Florida .....	Eglin Air Force Base .....	\$4,700,000
Georgia .....	Fort Gordon .....	\$61,000,000
Hawaii .....	Fort Shafter .....	\$70,000,000
Kansas .....	Fort Leavenworth .....	\$17,000,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell, Kentucky .....	\$4,800,000
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	\$21,000,000
	Fort Detrick .....	\$7,100,000
Missouri .....	Fort Leonard Wood .....	\$90,700,000
North Carolina .....	Fort Bragg .....	\$5,900,000
Texas .....	Fort Bliss .....	\$46,800,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	\$50,000,000
Washington .....	Joint Base Lewis-McChord .....	\$144,000,000
	Yakima .....	\$9,100,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Japan .....	Kyoga-Misaki .....	\$33,000,000
Marshall Islands .....	Kwajalein Atoll .....	\$63,000,000

**SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) *CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military family housing functions as speci-

ified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

**Army: Family Housing**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Germany .....	South Camp Vilseck	29 .....	\$16,600,000
Wisconsin .....	Fort McCoy .....	56 .....	\$23,000,000

(b) **PLANNING AND DESIGN.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,408,000.

**SEC. 2103. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$64,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119) for cadet barracks increment 2 at the United States Military Academy, New York).

**SEC. 2104. LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION OF CADET BARRACKS AT UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY, NEW YORK.**

No amounts may be obligated or expended for the construction of increment 2 of the Cadet Barracks at the United States Military Academy, New York, as authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119), until the Secretary of the Army certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary intends to award a contract for the renovation of MacArthur Short Barracks at the United States Military Academy concurrent with assuming beneficial occupancy of the renovated Scott Barracks at the United States Military Academy.

**SEC. 2105. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2004 PROJECT.**

(a) *PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.*—In connection with the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1697) for Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey, for construction of a Research and Development Loading Facility, the Secretary of the Army may carry out a military construction project in the amount of \$4,500,000 to complete work on the facility within the initial scope of the project.

(b) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—The Secretary of the Army shall provide information in accordance with section 2851(c) of title 10, United States Code, regarding the project described in subsection (a).

**SEC. 2106. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2010 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2629) for Camp Arifjan, Kuwait, for construction of APS Warehouses, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to 74,976 square meters of hardstand parking, 22,741 square meters of access roads, a 6 megawatt power plant, and 50,724 square meters of humidity-controlled warehouses.

**SEC. 2107. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4437) for Fort Lewis, Washington, for construction of a Regional Logistic Support Complex at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to 98,381 square yards of Organizational Vehicle Parking.

**SEC. 2108. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2010 PROJECTS.**

(a) *EXTENSIONS.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2627), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (126 Stat. 2628) and extended by section 2106 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2121), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2010 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Virginia .....	Fort Belvoir	Road and Access Control Point .....	\$9,500,000

**Army: Extension of 2010 Project Authorizations—Continued**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Washington .....	Fort Lewis ....	Fort Lewis-McChord AFB Joint Access .....	\$9,000,000
Kuwait .....	Camp Arifjian.	APS Warehouses .....	\$82,000,000

**SEC. 2109. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

(a) *EXTENSIONS.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (124 Stat. 4437), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
California .....	Presidio of Monterey.	Advanced Individual Training Barracks .....	\$63,000,000
Georgia .....	Fort Benning .....	Land Acquisition .....	\$12,200,000
New Mexico ..	White Sands Missile Range.	Barracks .....	\$29,000,000
Germany .....	Wiesbaden Air Base	Access Control Point .....	\$5,100,000

## **TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

*Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.*

*Sec. 2202. Family housing.*

*Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.*

*Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.*

*Sec. 2205. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.*

*Sec. 2206. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project.*

*Sec. 2207. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

**SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<i>California</i> .....	<i>Barstow</i> .....	\$14,998,000
	<i>Camp Pendleton</i> .....	\$13,124,000
	<i>Coronado</i> .....	\$8,910,000
	<i>Point Mugu</i> .....	\$24,667,000
	<i>Port Hueneme</i> .....	\$33,600,000
	<i>San Diego</i> .....	\$34,331,000
	<i>Twentynine Palms</i> .....	\$33,437,000
<i>Florida</i> .....	<i>Jacksonville</i> .....	\$20,752,000
	<i>Key West</i> .....	\$14,001,000
	<i>Mayport</i> .....	\$16,093,000
<i>Georgia</i> .....	<i>Albany</i> .....	\$16,610,000
	<i>Savannah</i> .....	\$61,717,000
<i>Guam</i> .....	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i> .....	\$318,377,000
<i>Hawaii</i> .....	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i> .....	\$236,982,000
	<i>Pearl City</i> .....	\$30,100,000
	<i>Pearl Harbor</i> .....	\$57,998,000
<i>Illinois</i> .....	<i>Great Lakes</i> .....	\$35,851,000
<i>Maine</i> .....	<i>Bangor</i> .....	\$13,800,000
	<i>Kittery</i> .....	\$11,522,000
<i>Maryland</i> .....	<i>Fort Meade</i> .....	\$83,988,000
<i>Nevada</i> .....	<i>Fallon</i> .....	\$11,334,000
<i>North Carolina</i> .....	<i>Camp Lejeune</i> .....	\$77,999,000
	<i>New River</i> .....	\$45,863,000
<i>Oklahoma</i> .....	<i>Tinker Air Force Base</i> .....	\$14,144,000
<i>Rhode Island</i> .....	<i>Newport</i> .....	\$12,422,000
<i>South Carolina</i> .....	<i>Charleston</i> .....	\$73,932,000
<i>Virginia</i> .....	<i>Dam Neck</i> .....	\$10,587,000
	<i>Norfolk</i> .....	\$3,380,000
	<i>Quantico</i> .....	\$38,374,000
	<i>Yorktown</i> .....	\$18,700,000
<i>Washington</i> .....	<i>Bremerton</i> .....	\$18,189,000
	<i>Whidbey Island</i> .....	\$117,649,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installation or location outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<i>Djibouti</i> .....	<i>Camp Lemonier</i> .....	\$29,000,000
<i>Japan</i> .....	<i>Camp Butler</i> .....	\$5,820,000
	<i>Yokosuka</i> .....	\$7,568,000

**SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.**

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,438,000.*

**SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

*Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$68,969,000.*

**SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.**

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act and the projects described in paragraphs (2) and (3) of this subsection may not exceed the sum of the following:*

*(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(2) \$357,877,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666) for an explosive handling wharf at Kitsap, Washington).*

*(3) \$68,196,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2633) for ramp parking at Joint Region Marianas, Guam).*

**SEC. 2205. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4441) for Southwest Asia, Bahrain, for construction of Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines, the Secretary of the Navy may construct additional Type C earth covered magazines (to provide a project total of eighteen), ten new modular storage magazines, an inert storage facility, a maintenance and ground support equipment facility, concrete pads for portable ready service lockers, and associated supporting facilities using appropriations available for the project.*

**SEC. 2206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECT.**

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666) for Kitsap, Washington, for construction of Explosives Handling Wharf No. 2, the Secretary of the Navy may construct new hardened facilities in lieu of hardening existing structures and a new facility to replace the existing Coast Guard Maritime Force Protection Unit and the Naval Undersea Warfare Command unhardened facilities using appropriations available for the project.*

**SEC. 2207. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (124 Stat. 4441), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

**Navy: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Bahrain .....	Southwest Asia .....	Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines .....	\$89,280,000
Guam .....	Naval Activities, Guam.	Defense Access Roads Improvements .....	\$66,730,000

**TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

*Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.*

*Sec. 2302. Family housing.*

*Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.*

*Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.*

*Sec. 2305. Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*

*Sec. 2306. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*

*Sec. 2307. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.*

**SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

*Air Force: Inside the United States*

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Arizona .....	Luke Air Force Base .....	\$26,900,000
California .....	Beale Air Force Base .....	\$62,000,000
Florida .....	Tyndall Air Force Base .....	\$9,100,000
Guam .....	Joint Region Marianas .....	\$176,230,000
Hawaii .....	Joint Base Pearl Harbor- Hickam.	\$4,800,000
Kansas .....	McConnell Air Force Base .....	\$219,120,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell .....	\$8,000,000
Mariana Islands .....	Saipan .....	\$29,300,000
Maryland .....	Fort Meade .....	\$358,000,000
	Joint Base Andrews .....	\$30,000,000
Missouri .....	Whiteman Air Force Base .....	\$5,900,000
New Mexico .....	Cannon Air Force Base .....	\$34,100,000
	Holloman Air Force Base .....	\$2,250,000
	Kirtland Air Force Base .....	\$30,500,000
Nevada .....	Nellis Air Force Base .....	\$78,500,000
	.....	.....
North Dakota .....	Minot Air Force Base .....	\$23,830,000
Oklahoma .....	Altus Air Force Base .....	\$30,850,000
	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$8,600,000
Texas .....	Fort Bliss .....	\$3,350,000
Utah .....	Hill Air Force Base .....	\$32,000,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	\$4,800,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

*Air Force: Outside the United States*

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Greenland .....	Thule AB .....	\$43,904,000
United Kingdom .....	RAF Lakenheath .....	\$22,047,000

**SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,267,000.

**SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-

tions in section 2304 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$72,093,000.

**SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act and the project described in paragraph (2) of this subsection may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$69,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1670) for the United States Strategic Command Headquarters at Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska).

**SEC. 2305. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHORIZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.**

No amounts may be obligated or expended for the construction of a maintenance facility, a hazardous cargo pad, or an airport storage facility in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, as authorized by section 2301(a), until the Secretary of the Air Force submits a report to the congressional defense committees that provides—

(1) a summary of alternatives considered to support divert-field operations associated with Andersen Air Force Base;

(2) a description of the overall construction requirements to support divert-field operations associated with Andersen Air Force Base and any other alternative considered; and

(3) a comparison of the costs and benefits of leasing, as compared to purchasing real estate in fee, that supports the entirety of the divert-field requirement.

**SEC. 2306. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

The table in section 2301(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2126) is amended in the item relating to Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, for construction of a hangar by striking “\$58,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$128,000,000”.

**SEC. 2307. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (124 Stat. 4444), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the

date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2011 Project Authorization**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Bahrain .....	Southwest Asia .....	North Apron Expansion ..	\$45,000,000

## **TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

*Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations*

Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2402. Authorized energy conservation projects.

Sec. 2403. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.

*Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations*

Sec. 2411. Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.

### **Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations**

**SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alaska .....	Clear Air Force Base .....	\$17,204,000
	Fort Greely .....	\$82,000,000
California .....	Brawley .....	\$23,095,000
	Defense Distribution Depot-Tracy	\$37,554,000
	Miramar .....	\$6,000,000
Colorado .....	Fort Carson .....	\$22,282,000
Florida .....	Hurlburt Field .....	\$7,900,000
	Jacksonville .....	\$7,500,000
	Key West .....	\$3,600,000
	Panama City .....	\$2,600,000
	Tyndall Air Force Base .....	\$9,500,000
Georgia .....	Fort Benning .....	\$43,335,000
	Fort Stewart .....	\$44,504,000
	Hunter Army Airfield .....	\$13,500,000
	Moody Air Force Base .....	\$3,800,000

*Defense Agencies: Inside the United States—Continued*

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Hawaii .....	Ford Island .....	\$2,615,000
	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam .....	\$2,800,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell .....	\$124,211,000
	Fort Knox .....	\$303,023,000
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	\$210,000,000
	Bethesda Naval Hospital .....	\$66,800,000
Massachusetts .....	Hanscom Air Force Base .....	\$36,213,000
New Jersey .....	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst .....	\$10,000,000
New Mexico .....	Holloman Air Force Base .....	\$81,400,000
North Carolina .....	Camp Lejeune .....	\$43,377,000
	Fort Bragg .....	\$172,065,000
North Dakota .....	Minot Air Force Base .....	\$6,400,000
Oklahoma .....	Altus Air Force Base .....	\$2,100,000
	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$36,000,000
Pennsylvania .....	Defense Distribution Depot New Cumberland .....	\$9,000,000
South Carolina .....	Beaufort .....	\$41,324,000
Tennessee .....	Arnold Air Force Base .....	\$2,200,000
Texas .....	Joint Base San Antonio .....	\$12,600,000
Virginia .....	Dam Neck .....	\$11,147,000
	Defense Distribution Depot Richmond .....	\$87,000,000
	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Story .....	\$30,404,000
	Pentagon .....	\$57,600,000
	Quantico .....	\$40,586,000
Washington .....	Whidbey Island .....	\$10,000,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

*Defense Agencies: Outside the United States*

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Bahrain Island .....	Southwest Asia .....	\$45,400,000
Belgium .....	Brussels .....	\$67,613,000
Germany .....	Kaiserlautern Air Base .....	\$49,907,000
	Ramstein Air Base .....	\$98,762,000
	Weisbaden .....	\$109,655,000
Japan .....	Atsugi .....	\$4,100,000
	Iwakuni .....	\$34,000,000
	Kadena Air Base .....	\$38,792,000
	Kyoga-Misaki .....	\$15,000,000
	Torri Commo Station .....	\$71,451,000

*Defense Agencies: Outside the United States—Continued*

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
	<i>Yokosuka</i> .....	\$10,600,000
<i>Korea</i> .....	<i>Camp Walker</i> .....	\$52,164,000
<i>United Kingdom</i> ....	<i>Royal Air Force Lakenheath</i> .....	\$69,638,000
	<i>Royal Air Force Mildenhall</i> .....	\$84,629,000

**SEC. 2402. AUTHORIZED ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for energy conservation projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

*Energy Conservation Projects: Inside the United States*

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<i>Alabama</i> .....	<i>Anniston Army Depot</i> .....	\$2,700,000
<i>California</i> .....	<i>MCAS Miramar</i> .....	\$17,968,000
	<i>Parks DRTA</i> .....	\$4,150,000
<i>Florida</i> .....	<i>NAS Jacksonville</i> .....	\$2,840,000
<i>Hawaii</i> .....	<i>Camp Smith</i> .....	\$7,966,000
	<i>Hickam</i> .....	\$3,100,000
	<i>Hickam</i> .....	\$3,000,000
<i>Idaho</i> .....	<i>Mountain Home</i> .....	\$2,630,000
<i>Kansas</i> .....	<i>Tokepka Readiness Center</i> .....	\$2,050,000
<i>Massachusetts</i> .....	<i>Devens</i> .....	\$2,600,000
<i>New York</i> .....	<i>US Military Academy</i> .....	\$3,200,000
<i>South Carolina</i> .....	<i>Shaw</i> .....	\$2,500,000
<i>Texas</i> .....	<i>NAS Corpus Christi</i> .....	\$2,340,000
	<i>Sheppard</i> .....	\$3,779,000
	<i>Laughlin</i> .....	\$2,800,000
<i>Utah</i> .....	<i>Dugway Proving Ground</i> .....	\$9,966,000
	<i>Tooele Army Depot</i> .....	\$5,900,000
	<i>Tooele Army Depot</i> .....	\$5,500,000
	<i>Tooele Army Depot</i> .....	\$4,300,000
<i>Virginia</i> .....	<i>NSA Hampton Roads</i> .....	\$4,060,000
	<i>Pentagon</i> .....	\$2,120,000
<i>Various Locations</i> .....	<i>Various Locations</i> .....	\$20,476,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for energy conservation projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Energy Conservation Projects: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<i>Germany</i> .....	<i>Ramstein</i> .....	\$2,140,000
<i>Greenland</i> .....	<i>Thule</i> .....	\$5,175,000
<i>Italy</i> .....	<i>NAS Sigonella</i> .....	\$3,300,000
<i>Japan</i> .....	<i>CFA Sasebo</i> .....	\$14,766,000
	<i>Yokota</i> .....	\$5,674,000
<i>Various Locations</i> .....	<i>Various Locations</i> .....	\$3,000,000

**SEC. 2403. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act and the projects described in paragraphs (2) through (11) of this subsection may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$190,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) for an Ambulatory Care Center at Fort Knox, Kentucky).

(3) \$135,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) for a Public Health Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland).

(4) \$45,600,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2128) for NSAW Recapitalize Building #1 at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(5) \$20,800,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2129) for the Aegis Ashore Missile Defense System Complex at Deveselu, Romania).

(6) \$175,639,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for a data center at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(7) \$11,500,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center Phase III at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland).

(8) \$134,900,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center Phase III at Joint Base San Antonio, Texas).

(9) \$715,863,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1673) for a hospital at the Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany).

(10) \$412,869,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2640) for a hospital at Fort Bliss, Texas).

(11) \$41,913,000 (the balance of the amount authorized as a Military Construction, Defense-Wide project by title X of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1888) for a data center at Camp Williams, Utah).

## **Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations**

### **SEC. 2411. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction and land acquisition for chemical demilitarization, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) *LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.*—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under subsection (a) and the project described in paragraph (2) of this subsection may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$36,433,000 (the balance of the amount authorized for ammunition demilitarization at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 835), as most recently amended by section 2412 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450).

## **TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.

**SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.*

**SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program authorized by section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

**TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**

*Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.*

*Subtitle B—Other Matters*

- Sec. 2611. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*
- Sec. 2612. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

**Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Army National Guard: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alabama .....	Decatur .....	\$4,000,000
Arkansas .....	Fort Chaffee .....	\$21,000,000

*Army National Guard: Inside the United States—Continued*

<i>State</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
<i>Florida</i> .....	<i>Pinellas Park</i> .....	\$5,700,000
<i>Illinois</i> .....	<i>Kankakee</i> .....	\$42,000,000
<i>Massachusetts</i> .....	<i>Camp Edwards</i> .....	\$19,000,000
<i>Michigan</i> .....	<i>Camp Grayling</i> .....	\$17,000,000
<i>Minnesota</i> .....	<i>Stillwater</i> .....	\$17,000,000
<i>Mississippi</i> .....	<i>Camp Shelby</i> .....	\$3,000,000
.....	<i>Pascagoula</i> .....	\$4,500,000
<i>Missouri</i> .....	<i>Macon</i> .....	\$9,100,000
.....	<i>Whiteman AFB</i> .....	\$5,000,000
<i>New York</i> .....	<i>New York</i> .....	\$31,000,000
<i>Ohio</i> .....	<i>Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant</i> .....	\$5,200,000
<i>Pennsylvania</i> .....	<i>Fort Indiantown Gap</i> .....	\$40,000,000
<i>Puerto Rico</i> .....	<i>Camp Santiago</i> .....	\$5,600,000
<i>South Carolina</i> .....	<i>Greenville</i> .....	\$26,000,000
<i>Texas</i> .....	<i>Fort Worth</i> .....	\$14,270,000
<i>Wyoming</i> .....	<i>Afton</i> .....	\$10,200,000

**SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army Reserve**

<i>State</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
<i>California</i> .....	<i>Camp Parks</i> .....	\$17,500,000
.....	<i>Fort Hunter Liggett</i> .....	\$16,500,000
<i>Maryland</i> .....	<i>Bowie</i> .....	\$25,500,000
<i>North Carolina</i> .....	<i>Fort Bragg</i> .....	\$24,500,000
<i>New Jersey</i> .....	<i>Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst</i> .....	\$36,200,000
<i>New York</i> .....	<i>Bullville</i> .....	\$14,500,000
<i>Wisconsin</i> .....	<i>Fort McCoy</i> .....	\$23,400,000

**SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve**

<b>State</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
California .....	March Air Force Base .....	\$11,086,000
Missouri .....	Kansas City .....	\$15,020,000
Tennessee .....	Memphis .....	\$4,330,000

**SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air National Guard**

<b>State</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alabama .....	Birmingham International Airport ...	\$8,500,000
Indiana .....	Hulman Regional Airport .....	\$7,300,000
Maryland .....	Fort Meade .....	\$4,000,000
.....	Martin State Airport .....	\$8,000,000
Montana .....	Great Falls International Airport .....	\$22,000,000
New York .....	Fort Drum .....	\$4,700,000
Ohio .....	Springfield Beckley-Map .....	\$7,200,000
Pennsylvania .....	Fort Indiantown Gap .....	\$7,700,000
Rhode Island .....	Quonset State Airport .....	\$6,000,000
Tennessee .....	Mcghee-Tyson Airport .....	\$18,000,000

**SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force Reserve**

<b>State</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
California .....	March Air Force Base .....	\$19,900,000
Florida .....	Homestead Air Reserve Base .....	\$9,800,000
Oklahoma .....	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$12,200,000

**SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after Sep-

tember 30, 2013, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) *LIMITATION ON COMMENCING CERTAIN PROJECTS.*—No amounts may be obligated or expended for the projects associated with the 175th Network Warfare Squadron Facility at Fort Meade, Maryland, or the Cyber/ISR Facility at Martin State Airport, Maryland, as authorized by section 2604, until the date on which the Commander of the United States Cyber Command certifies to the congressional defense committees, and provides adequate supporting documentation, that—

(1) the scope of the military construction projects referred to in this subsection is consistent with the organizational manning construct being developed by the United States Cyber Command;

(2) units operating within such facilities will be trained to the readiness standards set by the Armed Force concerned and the United States Cyber Command for the missions to which these units will be assigned;

(3) plans for proper mitigation measures will be implemented to prevent inadvertent disclosure of classified information; and

(4) rules exist or will be developed to control access to classified systems operating pursuant to authorities under title 10, United States Code, when operations are conducted pursuant to authorities under title 32, United States Code.

## **Subtitle B—Other Matters**

### **SEC. 2611. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2603 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2135) for Fort Des Moines, Iowa, for construction of a Joint Reserve Center at that location, the Secretary of the Navy may, instead of constructing a new facility at Camp Dodge, acquire up to approximately 20 acres to construct a Joint Reserve Center and associated supporting facilities in the greater Des Moines, Iowa, area using amounts appropriated for the project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 of such Act (126 Stat. 2136).*

### **SEC. 2612. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, and 2604 of that Act (124 Stat. 4452, 4453, 4454), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Extension of 2011 National Guard and Reserve Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Puerto Rico .....	Camp Santiago .....	Multi Purpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,200,000
Tennessee .....	Nashville International Airport ..	Intelligence Group and Remotely Piloted Aircraft Remote Split Operations Group .....	\$5,500,000
Virginia .....	Fort Story .....	Army Reserve Center .....	\$11,000,000

## **TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**

### *Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

Sec. 2701. *Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account.*

### *Subtitle B—Other Matters*

Sec. 2711. *Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.*

Sec. 2712. *Elimination of quarterly certification requirement regarding availability of military health care in National Capital Region.*

Sec. 2713. *Report on 2005 base closure and realignment joint basing initiative.*

## **Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

### **SEC. 2701. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for base realignment and closure activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established by section 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2140)), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

## **Subtitle B—Other Matters**

**SEC. 2711. PROHIBITION ON CONDUCTING ADDITIONAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) ROUND.**

*Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.*

**SEC. 2712. ELIMINATION OF QUARTERLY CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF MILITARY HEALTH CARE IN NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.**

*Section 1674(c) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 483) is amended by striking “on a quarterly basis”.*

**SEC. 2713. REPORT ON 2005 BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT JOINT BASING INITIATIVE.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the 2005 base closure and realignment joint basing initiative.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:*

*(1) An analysis and explanation of the costs necessary to implement the joint basing initiative.*

*(2) An analysis and explanation of any savings achieved to date and planned in future years, including quantifiable goals and a timeline for meeting such goals.*

*(3) A description of implementation challenges and other lessons learned.*

*(4) An assessment of any additional savings that could be achieved through more rigorous management and streamlined administration of joint bases.*

*(5) Any other matters the Under Secretary considers appropriate.*

## **TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**

*Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes*

*Sec. 2801. Modification and extension of authority to utilize unspecified minor military construction authority for laboratory revitalization projects.*

*Sec. 2802. Repeal of separate authority to enter into limited partnerships with private developers of housing.*

*Sec. 2803. Military construction standards to improve force protection.*

*Sec. 2804. Application of cash payments received for utilities and services.*

*Sec. 2805. Repeal of advance notification requirement for use of military housing investment authority.*

*Sec. 2806. Additional element for annual report on military housing privatization projects.*

*Sec. 2807. Policies and requirements regarding overseas military construction and closure and realignment of United States military installations in foreign countries.*

*Sec. 2808. Extension and modification of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States.*

*Sec. 2809. Limitation on construction projects in European Command area of responsibility.*

*Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration*

- Sec. 2811. *Development of master plans for major military installations.*  
 Sec. 2812. *Authority for acceptance of funds to cover administrative expenses associated with real property leases and easements.*  
 Sec. 2813. *Modification of authority to enter into long-term contracts for receipt of utility services as consideration for utility systems conveyances.*  
 Sec. 2814. *Report on efficient utilization of Department of Defense real property.*  
 Sec. 2815. *Conditions on Department of Defense expansion of Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site, Fort Carson, Colorado.*

*Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment*

- Sec. 2821. *Change from previous calendar year to previous fiscal year for period covered by annual report of Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment.*  
 Sec. 2822. *Realignment of Marines Corps forces in Asia-Pacific Region.*

*Subtitle D—Land Conveyances*

- Sec. 2831. *Real property acquisition, Naval Base Ventura County, California.*  
 Sec. 2832. *Land conveyance, former Oxnard Air Force Base, Ventura County, California.*  
 Sec. 2833. *Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii.*  
 Sec. 2834. *Land conveyance, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.*  
 Sec. 2835. *Land conveyance, Camp Williams, Utah.*  
 Sec. 2836. *Conveyance, Air National Guard radar site, Francis Peak, Wasatch Mountains, Utah.*  
 Sec. 2837. *Land conveyances, former United States Army Reserve Centers, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Pennsylvania.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

- Sec. 2841. *Repeal of annual Economic Adjustment Committee reporting requirement.*  
 Sec. 2842. *Establishment of military divers memorial.*

## **Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes**

### **SEC. 2801. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO UTILIZE UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY FOR LABORATORY REVITALIZATION PROJECTS.**

(a) **MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Section 2805(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “not more than \$2,000,000” and inserting “not more than \$4,000,000, notwithstanding subsection (c)”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “For purposes of this subsection, an unspecified minor military construction project is a military construction project that (notwithstanding subsection (a)) has an approved cost equal to or less than \$4,000,000.”; and

(3) in paragraph (5), by striking “2016” and inserting “2018”.

(b) **NO APPLICATION TO CURRENT PROJECTS.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply to any laboratory revitalization project for which the design phase has been completed as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

### **SEC. 2802. REPEAL OF SEPARATE AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS WITH PRIVATE DEVELOPERS OF HOUSING.**

(a) **REPEAL.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 2837 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter II of chapter 169 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2837.

(b) *EFFECT ON EXISTING CONTRACTS.*—The repeal of section 2837 of title 10, United States Code, shall not affect the validity or terms of any contract in connection with a limited partnership under subsection (a) or a collateral incentive agreement under subsection (b) of such section entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) *EFFECT ON DEFENSE HOUSING INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.*—Any unobligated amounts remaining in the Defense Housing Investment Account on the date of the enactment of this Act shall be transferred to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund. Amounts transferred shall be merged with amounts in such fund and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund.

**SEC. 2803. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS TO IMPROVE FORCE PROTECTION.**

(a) *CONSIDERATION OF OTHER AVAILABLE SECURITY OR FORCE-PROTECTION MEASURES.*—Section 2859(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “develop construction standards designed” and inserting “develop construction standards that, taking into consideration other security or force-protection measures available for the facility or military installation concerned, are designed”.

(b) *REPORT ON CURRENT AND ADDITIONAL SECURITY SYSTEMS AND TECHNOLOGIES.*—

(1) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than June 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing and evaluating—

(A) current expeditionary physical barrier systems; and

(B) new systems or technologies that are being used for, or can be adopted for use for, force protection, including providing blast protection for forces supporting contingency operations.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by this subsection shall include the following:

(A) A review of current and projected threats in connection with force protection, a description of any recent changes to policies on force protection, and an assessment of current planning methods on force protection, including standoff distances and physical barriers, to provide consistent and adequate levels of force protection.

(B) An assessment of the use of expeditionary physical barrier systems to meet the goals of the combatant commands for force protection and force resiliency.

(C) A description of the specifications developed by the Department of Defense to meet requirements for effectiveness, affordability, lifecycle management, and reuse or disposal of expeditionary physical barrier systems.

(D) A description of the process used within the Department to ensure appropriate consideration of the decommis-

sioning cost, environmental impact, and subsequent disposal of expeditionary physical barrier materials in the procurement process for such materials.

(E) An assessment of the availability of new technologies or designs that improve the capabilities or lifecycle costs of expeditionary physical barrier systems.

(3) *FORMS OF REPORT.*—The report required by this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 2804. APPLICATION OF CASH PAYMENTS RECEIVED FOR UTILITIES AND SERVICES.**

Section 2872a(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “under paragraph (1) shall be” and all that follows through “was paid.” and inserting the following: “under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for the cost of furnishing utilities or services shall—

“(A) in the case of a cost paid using funds appropriated or otherwise made available before October 1, 2014, be credited to the appropriation or working capital account from which the cost of furnishing utilities or services concerned was paid; or

“(B) in the case of a cost paid using funds appropriated or otherwise made available on or after October 1, 2014, be credited to the appropriation or working capital account currently available for the purpose of furnishing utilities or services under subsection (a).”; and

(2) by striking “Amounts so credited” and inserting the following:

“(3) Amounts credited under paragraph (2)”.

**SEC. 2805. REPEAL OF ADVANCE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT FOR USE OF MILITARY HOUSING INVESTMENT AUTHORITY.**

Section 2875 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (e).

**SEC. 2806. ADDITIONAL ELEMENT FOR ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION PROJECTS.**

Section 2884(c)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, to specifically include any unique variances associated with litigation costs”.

**SEC. 2807. POLICIES AND REQUIREMENTS REGARDING OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT OF UNITED STATES MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

(a) *OVERSEAS BASE CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS AND BASING MASTER PLANS.*—Section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§2687a. Overseas base closures and realignments and basing master plans**

“(a) *ANNUAL REPORT ON STATUS OF OVERSEAS CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS AND MASTER PLANS.*—(1) At the same time that the budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31 for a fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on—

*“(A) the status of overseas base closure and realignment actions undertaken as part of a global defense posture realignment strategy; and*

*“(B) the status of development and execution of comprehensive master plans for overseas military main operating bases, forward operating sites, and cooperative security locations.*

*“(2) A report under paragraph (1) shall address the following:*

*“(A) How the master plans described in paragraph (1)(B) would support the security commitments undertaken by the United States pursuant to any international security treaty.*

*“(B) The impact of such plans on the current security environments in the combatant commands, including United States participation in theater security cooperation activities and bilateral partnership, exchanges, and training exercises.*

*“(C) Any comments of the Secretary of Defense resulting from an interagency review of these plans that includes the Department of State and other Federal departments and agencies that the Secretary of Defense considers necessary for national security.*

*“(b) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS MILITARY FACILITY INVESTMENT RECOVERY ACCOUNT.—(1) Except as provided in subsection (c), amounts paid to the United States, pursuant to any treaty, status of forces agreement, or other international agreement to which the United States is a party, for the residual value of real property or improvements to real property used by civilian or military personnel of the Department of Defense shall be deposited into the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account.*

*“(2) Money deposited in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account shall be available to the Secretary of Defense for payment, as provided in appropriation Acts, of costs incurred by the Department of Defense in connection with—*

*“(A) military construction, facility maintenance and repair, and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States; and*

*“(B) military construction, facility maintenance and repair, and compliance with applicable environmental laws at military installations outside the United States at which the Secretary anticipates the United States will have an enduring presence.*

*“(3) Funds in the Department of Defense Overseas Facility Investment Recovery Account shall remain available until expended.*

*“(4) Not later than December 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing all expenditures made from the Department of Defense Overseas Facility Investment Recovery Account during the preceding fiscal year.*

*“(c) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS CORRESPONDING TO THE VALUE OF PROPERTY PURCHASED WITH NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS.—In the case of a payment referred to in subsection (b)(1) for the residual value of real property or improvements at an overseas military facility, the portion of the payment that is equal to the depreciated value of the investment made with nonappropriated funds shall be deposited in the reserve account established under section 204(b)(7)(C) of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Re-*

alignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note). The Secretary of Defense may use amounts in the account (in such an aggregate amount as is provided in advance by appropriation Acts) for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, or improving commissary stores and nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.

“(d) OMB REVIEW OF PROPOSED OVERSEAS BASING SETTLEMENTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may not enter into an agreement of settlement with a host country regarding the release to the host country of improvements made by the United States to facilities at an installation located in the host country until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the proposed settlement to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. The prohibition set forth in the preceding sentence shall apply only to agreements of settlement for improvements having a value in excess of \$10,000,000. The Director shall evaluate the overall equity of the proposed settlement. In evaluating the proposed settlement, the Director shall consider such factors as the extent of the United States capital investment in the improvements being released to the host country, the depreciation of the improvements, the condition of the improvements, and any applicable requirements for environmental remediation or restoration at the installation.

“(2) Each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on each proposed agreement of settlement that was not submitted by the Secretary to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in the previous year under paragraph (1) because the value of the improvements to be released pursuant to the proposed agreement did not exceed \$10,000,000.

“(e) CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT OF USE OF PAYMENTS-IN-KIND FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATIONS.—(1) Before concluding an agreement for acceptance of military construction or facility improvements as a payment-in-kind, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification on the proposed agreement. Any such notification shall contain the following:

“(A) A description of the military construction project or facility improvement project.

“(B) An explanation of the military requirement to be satisfied with the project.

“(C) A certification that the project is included in the current future-years defense program.

“(2) Before concluding an agreement for acceptance of host nation support or host nation payment of operating costs of United States forces as a payment-in-kind, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification on the proposed agreement. Any such notification shall contain the following:

“(A) A description of each activity to be covered by the payment-in-kind.

“(B) A certification that the costs to be covered by the payment-in-kind are included in the budget of one or more of the military departments or that it will otherwise be necessary to provide for payment of such costs in a budget of one or more of the military departments in the current or the next fiscal year.

“(3) When the Secretary of Defense submits a notification of a proposed agreement under paragraph (1) or (2), the Secretary may then enter into the agreement described in the notification only after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date on which the notification is submitted or, if earlier, the end of the 14-day period beginning on the date on which a copy of the notification is provided in an electronic medium pursuant to section 480 of this title.

“(f) AUTHORIZED USE OF PAYMENTS-IN-KIND.—(1) A military construction project, as defined in chapter 159 of this title, may be accepted as a payment-in-kind contribution pursuant to a bilateral agreement with a host country only if that military construction project is authorized by law.

“(2) Operations of United States forces may be funded through a payment-in-kind contribution under this section only if the costs covered by such payment are included in the budget justification documents for the Department of Defense submitted to Congress in connection with the budget submitted under 1105 of title 31.

“(3) If funds previously appropriated for a military construction project, facility improvement, or operating costs are subsequently addressed in an agreement for a payment-in-kind contribution, the Secretary of Defense shall return to the Treasury funds in the amount equal to the value of the appropriated funds.

“(4) This subsection does not apply to a military construction project that—

“(A) was specified in a bilateral agreement with a host country that was entered into prior to the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014;

“(B) was accepted as payment-in-kind for the residual value of improvements made by the United States at military installations released to the host country under section 2921 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) prior to the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014; or

“(C) subject to paragraph (5), will cost less than the cost specified in subsection (a)(2) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects.

“(5) In the case of a military construction project excluded pursuant to paragraph (4)(C) whose cost will exceed the cost specified in subsection (b) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects, the congressional notification requirements and waiting period specified in paragraph (2) of such subsection shall apply.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘fair market value of the improvements’ means the value of improvements determined by the Secretary of Defense on the basis of their highest use.

“(2) The term ‘improvements’ includes new construction of facilities and all additions, improvements, modifications, or renovations made to existing facilities or to real property, without regard to whether they were carried out with appropriated or nonappropriated funds.

“(3) The term ‘nonappropriated funds’ means funds received from—

“(A) the adjustment of, or surcharge on, selling prices at commissary stores fixed under section 2685 of this title; or

“(B) a nonappropriated fund instrumentality.

“(4) The term ‘nonappropriated fund instrumentality’ means an instrumentality of the United States under the jurisdiction of the armed forces (including the Army and Air Force Exchange Service, the Navy Resale and Services Support Office, and the Marine Corps exchanges) which is conducted for the comfort, pleasure, contentment, or physical or mental improvement of members of the armed forces.”.

(b) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS RELATED TO OVERSEAS BASE CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS.—

(1) REPEAL; RETENTION OF SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Section 2921 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—”; and

(B) by striking subsections (b) through (g).

(2) TREATMENT OF SPECIAL ACCOUNT.—The repeal of subsection (c) of section 2921 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 by paragraph (1)(B) shall not affect the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account established by such subsection, amounts in such account, or the continued use of such account as provided in section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a) of this section.

(c) REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO PAYMENT-IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PURSUANT TO BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WITH HOST COUNTRIES.—Section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) The requirement under subsection (a) that a military construction project must be authorized by law includes military construction projects funded through payment-in-kind contributions pursuant to a bilateral agreement with a host country.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary concerned shall include military construction projects covered under paragraph (1) in the budget justification documents for the Department of Defense submitted to Congress in connection with the budget for a fiscal year submitted under 1105 of title 31.

“(3) This subsection does not apply to a military construction project that—

“(A) was specified in a bilateral agreement with a host country that was entered into prior to the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014;

“(B) was accepted as payment-in-kind for the residual value of improvements made by the United States at military installations released to the host country under section 2921 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (division B of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) prior to the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014; or

“(C) will cost less than the cost specified in subsection (a)(2) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects.

“(4) In the case of a military construction project excluded pursuant to paragraph (3)(C) whose cost will exceed the cost specified in subsection (b) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects, the congressional notification requirements and waiting period specified in paragraph (2) of such subsection shall apply.”.

**SEC. 2808. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF TEMPORARY, LIMITED AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CERTAIN AREAS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

Section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1723), as most recently amended by section 2804 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2149), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “The Secretary” and all that follows through “conditions:” and inserting “The Secretary of Defense may obligate appropriated funds available for operation and maintenance to carry out, inside the area of responsibility of the United States Central Command or certain countries in the area of responsibility of the United States Africa Command, a construction project that the Secretary determines meets each of the following conditions:”;

(2) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “shall not exceed” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “shall not exceed \$100,000,000 between October 1, 2013, and the earlier of December 31, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military activities of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015.”;

(3) in subsection (h)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”; and

(4) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(i) **CERTAIN COUNTRIES IN THE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY OF UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘certain countries in the area of responsibility of the United States Africa Command’ means Kenya, Somalia, Ethiopia, Djibouti, Seychelles, Burundi, and Uganda.”.

**SEC. 2809. LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN EUROPEAN COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department shall not award any contract in connection with a construction project authorized by this division to be carried out at an installation operated in the European Command area of responsibility until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(1) *the installation and specific military construction requirement—*

(A) *have been assessed as part of the basing assessment initiated by the Secretary of Defense on January 25, 2013 (known as the “European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment”); and*

(B) *have been determined, pursuant to such assessment, to be of an enduring nature; and*

(2) *the specific military construction requirement most effectively meets combatant commander requirements at the authorized location.*

(b) *EXCEPTIONS.—Subsection (a) does not apply with respect to a construction project that—*

(1) *is authorized by law before the date of the enactment of this Act;*

(2) *is carried out at an installation located in Greenland;*

(3) *is funded through the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program or intended to specifically support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization; or*

(4) *is carried out under the authority of, and subject to the limits specified in, section 2805 of title 10, United States Code.*

## **Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration**

### **SEC. 2811. DEVELOPMENT OF MASTER PLANS FOR MAJOR MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

*Section 2864 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *in subsection (a)—*

(A) *by striking “At a time” and inserting “(1) At a time”;*

*and*

(B) *by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(2) To address the requirements under paragraph (1), each installation master plan shall include consideration of—*

*“(A) planning for compact and infill development;*

*“(B) horizontal and vertical mixed-use development;*

*“(C) the full lifecycle costs of real property planning decisions; and*

*“(D) capacity planning through the establishment of growth boundaries around cantonment areas to focus development towards the core and preserve range and training space.”;*

(2) *in subsection (b)—*

(A) *by striking “The transportation” and inserting “(1) The transportation”;* and

(B) *by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(2) To address the requirements under subsection (a) and paragraph (1), each installation master plan shall include consideration of ways to diversify and connect transit systems.”;*

(3) *by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and*

(4) *by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:*

*“(c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section shall supersede the requirements of section 2859(a) of this title.”.*

**SEC. 2812. AUTHORITY FOR ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS TO COVER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH REAL PROPERTY LEASES AND EASEMENTS.**

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (e)(1)(C) of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(vi) Administrative expenses incurred by the Secretary concerned under this section and for easements under section 2668 of this title.”

(b) *ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES DEFINED.*—Subsection (i) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as paragraphs (2) through (5), respectively; and

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) The term ‘administrative expenses’ means only those expenses related to assessing, negotiating, executing, and managing lease and easement transactions. The term does not include any Government personnel costs.”

**SEC. 2813. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO LONG-TERM CONTRACTS FOR RECEIPT OF UTILITY SERVICES AS CONSIDERATION FOR UTILITY SYSTEMS CONVEYANCES.**

Section 2688(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “The determination of cost effectiveness shall be made using a business case analysis that includes an independent estimate of the level of investment that should be required to maintain adequate operation of the utility system over the proposed term of the contract.”

**SEC. 2814. REPORT ON EFFICIENT UTILIZATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REAL PROPERTY.**

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the efficient utilization of real property across the Department of Defense.

(b) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall describe the following:

(1) The strategy of the Department of Defense for maximizing efficient utilization of existing facilities, progress implementing this strategy, and obstacles to implementing this strategy.

(2) The efforts of the Department of Defense to systematically collect, process, and analyze data on the efficient utilization of real property to aid in the planning and implementation of the strategy referred to in paragraph (1).

(3) The number of underutilized Department facilities, to be defined as facilities rated less than 66 percent utilization, and unutilized Department facilities, to be defined as facilities rated at zero percent utilization, in the Real Property Inventory Database of the Department of Defense.

(4) The annual cost of maintaining and improving such underutilized and unutilized Department facilities.

(5) The efforts of the Department of Defense to dispose of underutilized and unutilized facilities.

(c) *CLASSIFIED ANNEX.*—The report required by subsection (a) may include a classified annex if necessary to fully describe the matters required by subsection (b).

**SEC. 2815. CONDITIONS ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EXPANSION OF PIÑON CANYON MANEUVER SITE, FORT CARSON, COLORADO.**

The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army may not acquire, by purchase, condemnation, or other means, any land to expand the size of the Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site near Fort Carson, Colorado, unless each of the following occurs:

(1) The land acquisition is specifically authorized in an Act of Congress enacted after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) Funds are specifically appropriated for the land acquisition.

(3) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army comply with the environmental review requirements of section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)) with respect to the land acquisition.

### **Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment**

**SEC. 2821. CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEAR TO PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR FOR PERIOD COVERED BY ANNUAL REPORT OF INTERAGENCY COORDINATION GROUP OF INSPECTORS GENERAL FOR GUAM REALIGNMENT.**

Section 2835(e)(1) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended in the first sentence by striking “calendar year” and inserting “fiscal year”.

**SEC. 2822. REALIGNMENT OF MARINES CORPS FORCES IN ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.**

(a) *RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS.*—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated under this Act, and none of the amounts provided by the Government of Japan for construction activities on land under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense, may be obligated to implement the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa to Guam or Hawaii until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees each of the following:

(1) The report required by section 1068(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1945).

(2) Master plans for the construction of facilities and infrastructure to execute the Marine Corps distributed lay-down on Guam and Hawaii, including a detailed description of costs and the schedule for such construction.

(3) A plan, coordinated by all pertinent Federal agencies, detailing descriptions of work, costs, and a schedule for completion of construction, improvements, and repairs to the non-military utilities, facilities, and infrastructure, if any, on Guam affected by the realignment of forces.

(b) *EXCEPTIONS TO RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS.*—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may use funds described in such subsection for the following purposes:

(1) *To complete additional analysis or studies required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) for proposed actions on Guam or Hawaii.*

(2) *To initiate planning and design of construction projects on Guam.*

(3) *To carry out any military construction project for which an authorization of appropriations is provided in section 2204, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

(4) *To carry out the construction of a utility and site improvement project to support the North Ramp expansion at Andersen Air Force Base.*

(c) *RESTRICTION ON DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE.*—If the Secretary of Defense determines that any grant, cooperative agreement, transfer of funds to another Federal agency, or supplement of funds available in fiscal year 2014 under Federal programs administered by agencies other than the Department of Defense will result in the development (including repair, replacement, renovation, conversion, improvement, expansion, acquisition, or construction) of public infrastructure on Guam, the Secretary of Defense may not carry out such grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or supplemental funding unless such grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or supplemental funding is specifically authorized by law.

(d) *ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL GUAM PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING SOURCES.*—

(1) *CONVENING OF COMMITTEE.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, as the chairperson of the Economic Adjustment Committee established in Executive Order No. 127887 (10 U.S.C. 2391 note), shall convene the Economic Adjustment Committee to consider assistance, including assistance to support public infrastructure requirements, necessary to support the preferred alternative for the relocation of Marine Corps forces to Guam.

(2) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than the date on which the Record of Decision for the relocation of Marine Corps forces to Guam associated with the “Guam and CNMI Military Relocation (2012 Roadmap Adjustments) Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement” is issued, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report—

(A) describing the results of the Economic Adjustment Committee deliberations required by paragraph (1); and

(B) containing an implementation plan to support the preferred alternative for the relocation of Marine Corps forces to Guam.

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *DISTRIBUTED LAY-DOWN.*—The term “distributed lay-down” refers to the planned distribution of members of the Marine Corps in Okinawa, Guam, Hawaii, Australia, and possibly elsewhere that is contemplated in support of the joint statement of the United States–Japan Security Consultative Committee issued April 26, 2012, in the District of Columbia (April 27,

2012, in Tokyo, Japan) and revised on October 3, 2013, in Tokyo.

(2) **MASTER PLAN.**—The term “master plan” means documentation that provides the scope, cost, and schedule for each military construction project.

(3) **PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE.**—The term “public infrastructure” means any utility, method of transportation, item of equipment, or facility under the control of a public entity or State or local government that is used by, or constructed for the benefit of, the general public.

(f) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED LAW.**—Section 2832 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2155) is repealed.

### **Subtitle D—Land Conveyances**

#### **SEC. 2831. REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION, NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of the Navy may acquire all right, title, and interest in and to real property, including improvements thereon, located at Naval Base Ventura County, California, that was initially constructed under the former section 2828(g) of title 10, United States Code (commonly known as the “Build to Lease program”), as added by section 801 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98–115; 97 Stat 782).

(b) **USE.**—Upon acquiring the real property under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy may use the improvements as provided in sections 2835 and 2835a of title 10, United States Code.

#### **SEC. 2832. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER OXNARD AIR FORCE BASE, VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey, without consideration, to Ventura County, California (in this section referred to as the “County”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of former Oxnard Air Force Base for the purpose of permitting the County to use the property for public purposes.

(b) **CONDITION ON USE OF REVENUES.**—If the property conveyed under subsection (a) is used, consistent with such subsection, for a public purpose that results in the generation of revenue for the County, the County shall agree to use the generated revenue only for airport purposes by depositing the revenues in an airport fund designated for airport use.

(c) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the County to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the County in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out

*the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the County.*

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—*Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.*

(d) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—*The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.*

(e) **REVERSIONARY INTEREST.**—*If the Secretary of the Navy determines at any time that the real property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in subsection (a) or that the County has violated the condition on the use of revenues imposed by subsection (b), all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.*

(f) **ADDITIONAL TERMS.**—*The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

**SEC. 2833. LAND CONVEYANCE, JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM, HAWAII.**

(a) **CONVEYANCES AUTHORIZED.**—*The Secretary of the Navy may convey to the Hale Keiki School all right, title, and interest of the United States, or any portion thereof, in and to certain real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 11 acres located at or in the nearby vicinity of 153 Bougainville Drive, Honolulu, Hawaii (City and County of Honolulu Tax Map Key No. 9-9-02:37), which is part of the Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, before such real property, or any portion thereof, is made available for transfer pursuant to the Hawaiian Home Lands Recovery Act (title II of Public Law 104-42; 109 Stat. 357), for use by any other Federal agency, or for disposal under applicable laws.*

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—*As consideration for a conveyance under subsection (a), the Hale Keiki School shall provide the United States, whether by cash payment, in-kind consideration described in section 2667(c) of title 10, United States Code, or a combination thereof, an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the conveyed property, as determined pursuant to an appraisal acceptable to the Secretary.*

(c) **EXERCISE OF RIGHT TO PURCHASE PROPERTY.**—

(1) **ACCEPTANCE OF OFFER.**—*For a period of 180 days beginning on the date the Secretary makes a written offer to convey the property or any portion thereof under subsection (a), the Hale Keiki School shall have the exclusive right to accept such offer by providing written notice of acceptance to the Secretary*

*within the specified 180-day time period. If the Secretary's offer is not so accepted within the 180-day period, the offer shall expire.*

(2) *CONVEYANCE DEADLINE.—If the Hale Keiki School accepts the offer to convey the property or a portion thereof in accordance with paragraph (1), the conveyance shall take place not later than two years after the date of the Hale Keiki School's written acceptance. The Secretary and the Hale Keiki School, by mutual agreement, may extend the two-year conveyance deadline for a reasonable period of time, as evidenced by a new lease or license executed by the parties before the deadline.*

(d) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.—*

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary shall require the Hale Keiki School to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out a conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the Hale Keiki School in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Hale Keiki School. The Secretary may collect the costs from the Hale Keiki School in advance of incurring any costs and may pay the administrative costs of processing the conveyance as they are incurred or at any time thereafter.*

(2) *ASSUMPTION OF RISK OF PAYING COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—In the event that the conveyance is not completed by the deadline set forth in subsection (c)(2), including any extension thereof, the amounts collected from the Hale Keiki School under paragraph (1) will not be refunded or reimbursed. The Hale Keiki School shall be considered to have assumed the risk of paying all costs of processing the conveyance after the offer has been accepted by the Hale Keiki School, regardless of whether or not the conveyance is ever completed.*

(3) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out a conveyance under subsection (a) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.*

(e) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of any real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.*

(f) *ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

**SEC. 2834. LAND CONVEYANCE, PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SHIPYARD, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to the Philadelphia Regional Port Authority (in this section*

referred to as the "Port Authority") all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately .595 acres located at the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The Secretary may void any land use restrictions associated with the property to be conveyed under this subsection.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—

(1) AMOUNT AND DETERMINATION.—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the Port Authority shall pay to the Secretary of the Navy an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the property conveyed, as determined by the Secretary. The Secretary's determination of fair market value shall be final. In lieu of all or a portion of cash payment of consideration, the Secretary may accept in-kind consideration.

(2) TREATMENT OF CASH CONSIDERATION.—The Secretary shall deposit any cash payment received under paragraph (1) in the special account in the Treasury established for that Secretary under subsection (e) of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code. The entire amount deposited shall be available for use in accordance with paragraph (1)(D) of such subsection.

(c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the Port Authority to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Port Authority.

(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the parcel of real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2835. LAND CONVEYANCE, CAMP WILLIAMS, UTAH.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management, may convey, without consideration, to the State of Utah all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to certain lands comprising approximately 420

acres, as generally depicted on a map entitled "Proposed Camp Williams Land Transfer" and dated June 14, 2011, which are located within the boundaries of the public lands currently withdrawn for military use by the Utah National Guard and known as Camp Williams, Utah, for the purpose of permitting the Utah National Guard to use the conveyed land for military purposes.

(b) *SUPERSEDENCE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER.*—Executive Order No. 1922 of April 24, 1914, as amended by section 907 of the Camp W.G. Williams Land Exchange Act of 1989 (title IX of Public Law 101–628; 104 Stat. 4501), is hereby superseded, only insofar as it affects the lands conveyed to the State of Utah under subsection (a).

(c) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST.*—If the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, determines at any time that the lands conveyed under subsection (a), or any portion thereof, are sold or attempted to be sold, or that the lands, or any portion thereof, are not being used in a manner consistent with the purpose of the conveyance specified in such subsection, all right, title, and interest in and to the lands shall, at the option of the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the lands. A determination under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS.*—The Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary of the Interior considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2836. CONVEYANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD RADAR SITE, FRANCIS PEAK, WASATCH MOUNTAINS, UTAH.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the State of Utah (in this section referred to as the "State"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the structures, including equipment and any other personal property related thereto, comprising the Air National Guard radar site located on Francis Peak, Utah, for the purpose of permitting the State to use the structures to support emergency public safety communications, including 911 emergency response service for Northern Utah.

(b) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require the State to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts paid to the Secretary in advance exceed the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the State.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and

shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact inventory of equipment and other personal property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by the Secretary of the Air Force.

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(e) *CONTINUATION OF LAND USE PERMIT.*—The conveyance of the structures under subsection (a) shall not affect the validity and continued applicability of the land use permit, in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, that was issued by the Forest Service for placement and use of the structures.

(f) *DURATION OF AUTHORITY.*—The authority to make a conveyance under this section shall expire on the later of—

(1) September 30, 2014; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015.

**SEC. 2837. LAND CONVEYANCES, FORMER UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVE CENTERS, CONNECTICUT, NEW HAMPSHIRE, AND PENNSYLVANIA.**

(a) *CONVEYANCES AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcels of real property described in paragraphs (1) through (4), including any improvements thereon and easements related thereto, to the entity specified in such a paragraph for the corresponding parcel and for the purposes specified in such paragraph:

(1) Approximately 5.11 acres and improvements known as the LT John S. Turner Army Reserve Center in Fairfield, Connecticut, to the City of Fairfield, Connecticut, for the public benefit of a public park or recreational use.

(2) Approximately 6.9 acres and improvements known as the Paul J. Sutcovoy Army Reserve Center in Waterbury, Connecticut, to the City of Waterbury, Connecticut, for the public benefit of emergency services and public safety activities.

(3) Approximately 3.4 acres and improvements known as the Paul A. Doble Army Reserve Center in Portsmouth, New Hampshire, to the City of Portsmouth, New Hampshire, for the public benefit of a public park or recreational use.

(4) Approximately 4.52 acres and containing the Mifflin County Army Reserve Center located at 73 Reserve Lane, Lewistown, Pennsylvania (parcel number 16,01–0113J) to Derry Township, Pennsylvania for a regional police headquarters or other purposes of public benefit.

(b) *TERMS APPLICABLE TO MIFFLIN COUNTY ARMY RESERVE CENTER CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *INTERIM LEASE.*—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a)(4) is conveyed to Derry Township, Pennsylvania, the Secretary of the Army may lease the property to the Township.

(2) *CONDITIONS OF CONVEYANCE.*—*The conveyance of the real property under subsection (a)(4) shall be subject to the condition that Derry Township, Pennsylvania, not use any Federal funds to cover—*

(A) *any portion of the conveyance costs required by subsection (d) to be paid by the Township; or*

(B) *to cover the costs for the design or construction of any facility on the property.*

(c) *REVERSION; EXCEPTION.*—

(1) *REVERSION.*—*The deed of conveyance for a parcel of real property conveyed under this section shall provide that all of the property be used and maintained for the purpose for which it was conveyed, as specified in subsection (a). If the Secretary of the Army determines at any time that the real property is no longer used or maintained in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance, all right, title, and interest in and to the property shall revert, at the option of the Secretary, to the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property. Any determination of the Secretary under this paragraph shall be made on the record after an opportunity for hearing.*

(2) *PAYMENT OF CONSIDERATION IN LIEU OF REVERSION.*—*In lieu of exercising the right of reversion retained under paragraph (1) with respect to a parcel of real property conveyed under this section, the Secretary may require the recipient of the property to pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the property conveyed. The fair market value of the property shall be determined by the Secretary.*

(3) *TREATMENT OF CASH CONSIDERATION.*—*Any cash payment received by the United States under paragraph (2) shall be deposited in the special account in the Treasury established under subsection (b) of section 572 of title 40, United States Code, and shall be available in accordance with paragraph (5)(B) of such subsection.*

(d) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—*The Secretary of the Army shall require the recipient of a parcel of real property conveyed under this section to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance of the property, including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the recipient of the property in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance of the property, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the recipient of the property.*

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—*Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyances under this section. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes,*

and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES.*—The exact acreage and legal description of a parcel of real property to be conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.

(f) *ADDITIONAL TERMS.*—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance of a parcel of real property under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

## **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

### **SEC. 2841. REPEAL OF ANNUAL ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**

Subsection (d) of section 4004 of the Defense Economic Adjustment, Diversification, Conversion, and Stabilization Act of 1990 (division D of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2391 note), as amended by section 4212(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2664), is further amended—

- (1) by inserting “and” at the end of paragraph (1);
- (2) by striking “; and” at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting a period; and
- (3) by striking paragraph (3).

### **SEC. 2842. ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY DIVERS MEMORIAL.**

(a) *MEMORIAL AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Navy may permit a third party to establish and maintain a memorial to honor the members of the United States Armed Forces who have served as divers and whose service in defense of the United States has been carried out beneath the waters of the world.

(b) *USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.*—Federal funds may not be used to design, procure, prepare, install, or maintain the memorial authorized by subsection (a), but the Secretary may accept and expend contributions of non-Federal funds and resources for such purposes.

(c) *LOCATION OF MEMORIAL.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Consistent with the sense of the Congress expressed in section 2855 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2162), the Secretary may permit the memorial authorized by subsection (a) to be established—

(A) at a suitable location at the former Navy Dive School at the Washington Navy Yard in the District of Columbia;

or

(B) at another suitable location under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

(2) *CONDITION.*—The memorial authorized by subsection (a) may not be established at any location under the jurisdiction of the Secretary until the Secretary determines that an assured source of non-Federal funding has been established for the design, procurement, installation, and maintenance of the memorial.

(d) *DESIGN OF MEMORIAL.*—The final design of the memorial authorized by subsection (a) shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary.

## **TITLE XXIX—WITHDRAWAL, RESERVATION, AND TRANSFER OF PUBLIC LANDS TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY**

Sec. 2901. *Short title.*  
 Sec. 2902. *Definitions.*

### *Subtitle A—General Provisions*

Sec. 2911. *General applicability; definitions.*  
 Sec. 2912. *Maps and legal descriptions.*  
 Sec. 2913. *Access restrictions.*  
 Sec. 2914. *Changes in use.*  
 Sec. 2915. *Brush and range fire prevention and suppression.*  
 Sec. 2916. *Ongoing decontamination.*  
 Sec. 2917. *Water rights.*  
 Sec. 2918. *Hunting, fishing, and trapping.*  
 Sec. 2919. *Limitation on extensions and renewals.*  
 Sec. 2920. *Application for renewal of a withdrawal and reservation.*  
 Sec. 2921. *Limitation on subsequent availability of land for appropriation.*  
 Sec. 2922. *Relinquishment.*  
 Sec. 2923. *Immunity of the United States.*

### *Subtitle B—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana*

Sec. 2931. *Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*  
 Sec. 2932. *Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*  
 Sec. 2933. *Special rules governing minerals management.*  
 Sec. 2934. *Grazing.*  
 Sec. 2935. *Payments in lieu of taxes.*  
 Sec. 2936. *Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

### *Subtitle C—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California*

Sec. 2941. *Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*  
 Sec. 2942. *Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*  
 Sec. 2943. *Public access.*  
 Sec. 2944. *Resource management group.*  
 Sec. 2945. *Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.*  
 Sec. 2946. *Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

### *Subtitle D—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, and Fort Bliss, Texas*

Sec. 2951. *Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*  
 Sec. 2952. *Grazing.*

### *Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California*

Sec. 2961. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction of public land.*  
 Sec. 2962. *Management and use of transferred land.*  
 Sec. 2963. *Effect of termination of military use.*  
 Sec. 2964. *Temporary extension of existing withdrawal period.*  
 Sec. 2965. *Water rights.*  
 Sec. 2966. *Realignment of range boundary and related transfer of title.*

### *Subtitle F—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California*

Sec. 2971. *Withdrawal and reservation of public land.*  
 Sec. 2972. *Management of withdrawn and reserved land.*  
 Sec. 2973. *Assignment of management responsibility to Secretary of the Navy.*  
 Sec. 2974. *Geothermal resources.*  
 Sec. 2975. *Wild horses and burros.*  
 Sec. 2976. *Continuation of existing agreement.*

- Sec. 2977. *Management plans.*  
 Sec. 2978. *Termination of prior withdrawals.*  
 Sec. 2979. *Duration of withdrawal and reservation.*

**SEC. 2901. SHORT TITLE.**

*This title may be cited as the “Military Land Withdrawals Act of 2013”.*

**SEC. 2902. DEFINITIONS.**

*In this title:*

- (1) **INDIAN TRIBE.**—*The term “Indian tribe” has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994 (25 U.S.C. 479a).*
- (2) **MANAGE; MANAGEMENT.**—
- (A) **INCLUSIONS.**—*The terms “manage” and “management” include the authority to exercise jurisdiction, custody, and control over the land withdrawn and reserved by this title.*
- (B) **EXCLUSIONS.**—*The terms “manage” and “management” do not include authority for disposal of the land withdrawn and reserved by this title.*
- (3) **SECRETARY CONCERNED.**—*The term “Secretary concerned” has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

## **Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**SEC. 2911. GENERAL APPLICABILITY; DEFINITIONS.**

- (a) **APPLICABILITY.**—*This subtitle applies to each land withdrawal and reservation made by this title.*
- (b) **RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.**—*Nothing in this title assigns management of real property under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary concerned to the Secretary of the Interior.*

**SEC. 2912. MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.**

- (a) **PREPARATION OF MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.**—*As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall—*
- (1) *publish in the Federal Register a notice containing the legal descriptions of the land withdrawn and reserved by this title; and*
- (2) *file maps and legal descriptions of the land withdrawn and reserved by this title with—*
- (A) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate; and*
- (B) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.*
- (b) **LEGAL EFFECT.**—*The maps and legal descriptions filed under subsection (a)(2) shall have the same force and effect as if the maps and legal descriptions were included in this title, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct any clerical and typographical errors in the maps and legal descriptions.*
- (c) **AVAILABILITY.**—*Copies of the maps and legal descriptions filed under subsection (a)(2) shall be available for public inspection—*
- (1) *in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management;*

(2) in the office of the commanding officer of the military installation for which the land is withdrawn; and

(3) if the military installation is under the management of the National Guard, in the office of the Adjutant General of the State in which the military installation is located.

(d) *COSTS.*—The Secretary concerned shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for the costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior in implementing this section.

**SEC. 2913. ACCESS RESTRICTIONS.**

(a) *AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE RESTRICTIONS.*—If the Secretary concerned determines that military operations, public safety, or national security require the closure to the public of any road, trail, or other portion of land withdrawn and reserved by this title, the Secretary may take such action as the Secretary determines to be necessary to implement and maintain the closure.

(b) *LIMITATION.*—Any closure under subsection (a) shall be limited to the minimum area and duration that the Secretary concerned determines are required for the purposes of the closure.

(c) *CONSULTATION REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to paragraph (3), before a closure is implemented under this section, the Secretary concerned shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) *INDIAN TRIBE.*—Subject to paragraph (3), if a closure proposed under this section may affect access to or use of sacred sites or resources considered to be important by an Indian tribe, the Secretary concerned shall consult, at the earliest practicable date, with the affected Indian tribe.

(3) *LIMITATION.*—No consultation shall be required under paragraph (1) or (2)—

(A) if the closure is provided for in an integrated natural resources management plan, an installation cultural resources management plan, or a land use management plan;

or

(B) in the case of an emergency, as determined by the Secretary concerned.

(d) *NOTICE.*—Immediately preceding and during any closure implemented under subsection (a), the Secretary concerned shall post appropriate warning notices and take other appropriate actions to notify the public of the closure.

**SEC. 2914. CHANGES IN USE.**

(a) *OTHER USES AUTHORIZED.*—In addition to the purposes described in a subtitle of this title applicable to the land withdrawal and reservation made by that subtitle, the Secretary concerned may authorize the use of land withdrawn and reserved by this title for defense-related purposes.

(b) *NOTICE TO SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary concerned shall promptly notify the Secretary of the Interior if the land withdrawn and reserved by this title is used for additional defense-related purposes.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—A notification under paragraph (1) shall specify—

(A) each additional use;

(B) the planned duration of each additional use; and  
 (C) the extent to which each additional use would require that additional or more stringent conditions or restrictions be imposed on otherwise-permitted nondefense-related uses of the withdrawn and reserved land or portions of withdrawn and reserved land.

**SEC. 2915. BRUSH AND RANGE FIRE PREVENTION AND SUPPRESSION.**

(a) **REQUIRED ACTIVITIES.**—Consistent with any applicable land management plan, the Secretary concerned shall take necessary precautions to prevent, and actions to suppress, brush and range fires occurring as a result of military activities on the land withdrawn and reserved by this title, including fires that occur on other land that spread from the withdrawn and reserved land.

(b) **COOPERATION OF SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—At the request of the Secretary concerned, the Secretary of the Interior shall provide assistance in the suppression of fires under subsection (a). The Secretary concerned shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for the costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior in providing such assistance.

(2) **TRANSFER OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding section 2215 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary concerned may transfer to the Secretary of the Interior, in advance, funds to be used to reimburse the costs of the Department of the Interior in providing assistance under this subsection.

**SEC. 2916. ONGOING DECONTAMINATION.**

(a) **PROGRAM OF DECONTAMINATION REQUIRED.**—During the period of a withdrawal and reservation of land under this title, the Secretary concerned shall maintain, to the extent funds are available to carry out this subsection, a program of decontamination of contamination caused by defense-related uses on the withdrawn land. The decontamination program shall be carried out consistent with applicable Federal and State law.

(b) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall include in the annual report required by section 2711 of title 10, United States Code, a description of decontamination activities conducted under subsection (a).

**SEC. 2917. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) **NO RESERVATION OF WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this title—

(1) establishes a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on the land withdrawn and reserved by this title; or

(2) authorizes the appropriation of water on the land withdrawn and reserved by this title, except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) **EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in this section affects any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of enactment of this Act on the land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

(2) *AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY CONCERNED.*—The Secretary concerned may exercise any water rights described in paragraph (1).

**SEC. 2918. HUNTING, FISHING, AND TRAPPING.**

Section 2671 of title 10, United States Code, shall apply to all hunting, fishing, and trapping on the land—

- (1) that is withdrawn and reserved by this title; and
- (2) for which management of the land has been assigned to the Secretary concerned.

**SEC. 2919. LIMITATION ON EXTENSIONS AND RENEWALS.**

The withdrawals and reservations established under this title may not be extended or renewed except by a law enacted after the date of enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 2920. APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL OF A WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

To the extent practicable, not later than five years before the date of termination of a withdrawal and reservation made by a subtitle of this title, the Secretary concerned shall—

- (1) notify the Secretary of the Interior as to whether the Secretary concerned will have a continuing defense-related need for any of the land withdrawn and reserved by that subtitle after the termination date of the withdrawal and reservation; and
- (2) transmit a copy of the notice submitted under paragraph (1) to—
  - (A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate; and
  - (B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 2921. LIMITATION ON SUBSEQUENT AVAILABILITY OF LAND FOR APPROPRIATION.**

On the termination of a withdrawal and reservation made by this title, the previously withdrawn land shall not be open to any form of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws, unless the Secretary of the Interior publishes in the Federal Register an appropriate order specifying the date on which the land shall be—

- (1) restored to the public domain; and
- (2) opened for appropriation under the public land laws.

**SEC. 2922. RELINQUISHMENT.**

(a) *NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RELINQUISH.*—If, during the period of withdrawal and reservation made by a subtitle of this title, the Secretary concerned decides to relinquish any or all of the land withdrawn and reserved by that subtitle, the Secretary concerned shall submit to the Secretary of the Interior notice of the intention to relinquish the land.

(b) *DETERMINATION OF CONTAMINATION.*—The Secretary concerned shall include in the notice submitted under subsection (a) a written determination concerning whether and to what extent the land that is to be relinquished is contaminated with explosive materials or toxic or hazardous substances.

(c) *PUBLIC NOTICE.*—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register the notice of intention to relinquish the land under this section, including the determination concerning the contaminated state of the land.

(d) *DECONTAMINATION OF LAND TO BE RELINQUISHED.*—

(1) *DECONTAMINATION REQUIRED.*—The Secretary concerned shall decontaminate land subject to a notice of intention under subsection (a) to the extent that funds are appropriated for that purpose, if—

(A) the land subject to the notice of intention is contaminated, as determined by the Secretary concerned; and

(B) the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary concerned, determines that—

(i) decontamination is practicable and economically feasible, after taking into consideration the potential future use and value of the contaminated land; and

(ii) on decontamination of the land, the land could be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws.

(2) *ALTERNATIVES TO RELINQUISHMENT.*—The Secretary of the Interior shall not be required to accept the land proposed for relinquishment under subsection (a), if—

(A) the Secretary of the Interior, after consultation with the Secretary concerned, determines that—

(i) decontamination of the land is not practicable or economically feasible; or

(ii) the land cannot be decontaminated sufficiently to be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws; or

(B) sufficient funds are not appropriated for the decontamination of the land.

(3) *STATUS OF CONTAMINATED LAND ON TERMINATION.*—If, because of the contaminated state of the land, the Secretary of the Interior declines to accept land withdrawn and reserved by this title that has been proposed for relinquishment, or if at the expiration of the withdrawal and reservation, the Secretary of the Interior determines that a portion of the land withdrawn and reserved is contaminated to an extent that prevents opening the contaminated land to operation of the public land laws—

(A) the Secretary concerned shall take appropriate steps to warn the public of—

(i) the contaminated state of the land; and

(ii) any risks associated with entry onto the land;

(B) after the expiration of the withdrawal and reservation, the Secretary concerned shall undertake no activities on the contaminated land, except for activities relating to the decontamination of the land; and

(C) the Secretary concerned shall submit to the Secretary of the Interior and Congress a report describing—

(i) the status of the land; and

(ii) any actions taken under this paragraph.

(e) *REVOCATION AUTHORITY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—If the Secretary of the Interior determines that it is in the public interest to accept the land proposed for relinquishment under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior may order the revocation of a withdrawal and reservation made by this title.

(2) *REVOCATION ORDER.*—To carry out a revocation under paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a revocation order that—

(A) terminates the withdrawal and reservation;

(B) constitutes official acceptance of the land by the Secretary of the Interior; and

(C) specifies the date on which the land will be opened to the operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws.

(f) *ACCEPTANCE BY SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in this section requires the Secretary of the Interior to accept the land proposed for relinquishment if the Secretary determines that the land is not suitable for return to the public domain.

(2) *NOTICE.*—If the Secretary makes a determination that the land is not suitable for return to the public domain, the Secretary shall provide notice of the determination to Congress.

**SEC. 2923. IMMUNITY OF THE UNITED STATES.**

The United States and officers and employees of the United States shall be held harmless and shall not be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property incurred as a result of any mining or mineral or geothermal leasing activity or other authorized non-defense-related activity conducted on land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

## **Subtitle B—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana**

**SEC. 2931. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LAND.**

(a) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights and except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, the public land (including interests in land) described in subsection (b), and all other areas within the boundaries of the land as depicted on the map referred to in such subsection that may become subject to the operation of the public land laws, is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws.

(b) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The public land (including interests in land) referred to in subsection (a) is the Federal land comprising approximately 18,644 acres in Broadwater County, Montana, generally depicted as “Proposed Land Withdrawal” on the map entitled “Limestone Hills Training Area Land Withdrawal”, dated April 10, 2013, and filed in accordance with section 2912.

(c) *RESERVATION; PURPOSE.*—Subject to the limitations and restrictions contained in section 2933, the public land withdrawn by subsection (a) is reserved for use by the Secretary of the Army for the following purposes:

(1) *The conduct of training for active and reserve components of the Armed Forces.*

(2) *The construction, operation, and maintenance of organizational support and maintenance facilities for component units conducting training.*

(3) *The conduct of training by the Montana Department of Military Affairs, provided that the training does not interfere with the purposes specified in paragraphs (1) and (2).*

(4) *The conduct of training by State and local law enforcement agencies, civil defense organizations, and public education institutions, provided that the training does not interfere with the purposes specified in paragraphs (1) and (2).*

(5) *Other defense-related purposes consistent with the preceding purposes.*

**(d) INDIAN TRIBES.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this subtitle alters any rights reserved for an Indian tribe for tribal use of the public land withdrawn by subsection (a) by treaty or Federal law.*

(2) *CONSULTATION REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Army shall consult with any Indian tribes in the vicinity of the public land withdrawn by subsection (a) before taking any action within the public land affecting tribal rights or cultural resources protected by treaty or Federal law.*

**SEC. 2932. MANAGEMENT OF WITHDRAWN AND RESERVED LAND.**

*During the period of the withdrawal and reservation of land made by section 2931, the Secretary of the Army shall manage the land withdrawn and reserved by such section for the purposes described in subsection (c) of such section—*

(1) *subject to the limitations and restrictions contained in section 2933; and*

(2) *in accordance with—*

(A) *an integrated natural resources management plan prepared and implemented under title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.);*

(B) *subtitle A and this subtitle; and*

(C) *other applicable law.*

**SEC. 2933. SPECIAL RULES GOVERNING MINERALS MANAGEMENT.**

**(a) INDIAN CREEK MINE.—**

(1) *IN GENERAL.—Of the land withdrawn by section 2931, locatable mineral activities in the approved Indian Creek Mine plan of operations, MTM-78300, shall be regulated in accordance with subparts 3715 and 3809 of title 43, Code of Federal Regulations.*

(2) *RESTRICTIONS ON SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.—*

(A) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army shall make no determination that the disposition of, or exploration for, minerals as provided for in the approved plan of operations described in paragraph (1) is inconsistent with the defense-related uses of the land withdrawn under section 2931.*

(B) *COORDINATION.—The coordination of the disposition of and exploration for minerals with defense-related uses of the land shall be determined in accordance with procedures in an agreement provided for under subsection (c).*

(b) *REMOVAL OF UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE ON LAND TO BE MINED.*—

(1) *REMOVAL ACTIVITIES.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to the availability of funds appropriated for such purpose, the Secretary of the Army shall remove unexploded ordnance on land withdrawn by section 2931 that is subject to mining under subsection (a), consistent with applicable Federal and State law.

(B) *PHASES.*—The Secretary of the Army may provide for the removal of unexploded ordnance in phases to accommodate the development of the Indian Creek Mine under subsection (a).

(2) *REPORT ON REMOVAL ACTIVITIES.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Army shall annually submit to the Secretary of the Interior a report regarding any unexploded ordnance removal activities conducted during the previous fiscal year in accordance with this subsection.

(B) *INCLUSIONS.*—The report under this paragraph shall include—

(i) a description of the amounts expended for unexploded ordnance removal on the withdrawn land during the period covered by the report; and

(ii) the identification of the land cleared of unexploded ordnance and approved for mining activities by the Secretary of the Interior.

(c) *IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT FOR MINING ACTIVITIES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army shall enter into an agreement to implement this section with respect to the coordination of defense-related uses and mining and the ongoing removal of unexploded ordnance.

(2) *DURATION.*—The duration of the agreement shall be equal to the period of the withdrawal under section 2936, but may be amended from time to time.

(3) *REQUIREMENTS.*—The agreement shall provide the following:

(A) That Graymont Western US, Inc., or any successor or assign of the approved Indian Creek Mine mining plan of operations, MTM-78300, shall be invited to be a party to the agreement.

(B) Provisions regarding the day-to-day joint-use of the Limestone Hills Training Area.

(C) Provisions addressing periods during which military and other authorized uses of the withdrawn land will occur.

(D) Provisions regarding when and where military use or training with explosive material will occur.

(E) Provisions regarding the scheduling of training activities conducted within the withdrawn land that restrict mining activities.

(F) Procedures for deconfliction with mining operations, including parameters for notification and resolution of anticipated changes to the schedule.

(G) *Procedures for access through mining operations covered by this section to training areas within the boundaries of the Limestone Hills Training Area.*

(H) *Procedures for scheduling of the removal of unexploded ordnance.*

(d) **EXISTING MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.**—*Until the date on which the agreement under subsection (c) becomes effective, the compatible joint use of the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2931 shall be governed, to the extent compatible, by the terms of the 2005 Memorandum of Agreement among the Montana Army National Guard, Graymont Western US, Inc., and the Bureau of Land Management.*

**SEC. 2934. GRAZING.**

(a) **ISSUANCE AND ADMINISTRATION OF PERMITS AND LEASES.**—*The Secretary of the Interior shall manage the issuance and administration of grazing permits and leases, including the renewal of permits and leases, on the public land withdrawn by section 2931, consistent with all applicable laws (including regulations) and policies of the Secretary of the Interior relating to the permits and leases.*

(b) **SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.**—*With respect to any grazing permit or lease issued after the date of enactment of this Act for land withdrawn by section 2931, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army shall jointly establish procedures that—*

(1) *are consistent with Department of the Army explosive and range safety standards; and*

(2) *provide for the safe use of the withdrawn land.*

(c) **ASSIGNMENT.**—*With the agreement of the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Interior may assign the authority to issue and to administer grazing permits and leases to the Secretary of the Army, except that the assignment may not include the authority to discontinue grazing on the land withdrawn by section 2931.*

**SEC. 2935. PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES.**

*The land withdrawn by section 2931 is deemed to be entitlement land for purposes of chapter 69 of title 31, United States Code.*

**SEC. 2936. DURATION OF WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

*The withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2931 shall terminate on March 31, 2039.*

## **Subtitle C—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California**

**SEC. 2941. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LAND.**

(a) **WITHDRAWAL.**—*Subject to valid existing rights and except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, the public land (including interests in land) described in subsection (b), and all other areas within the boundary of the land depicted on the map described in such subsection that may become subject to the operation of the public land laws, is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws.*

(b) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The public land (including interests in land) referred to in subsection (a) is the Federal land comprising approximately 150,928 acres in San Bernardino County, California, generally depicted on the map titled “MCAGCC 29 Palms Expansion Map-Johnson Valley Off Highway Vehicle Recreation Area”, dated December 5, 2013, and filed in accordance with section 2912, which is divided into the following two areas:

(1) *The Exclusive Military Use Area* (in this subtitle referred to as the “Exclusive Military Use Area”), consisting of the following two areas:

(A) *One area to the west of the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, consisting of approximately 78,993 acres.*

(B) *One area south of the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, consisting of approximately 18,704 acres.*

(2) *The Shared Use Area* (in this subtitle referred to as the “Shared Use Area”), consisting of approximately 53,231 acres.

(c) *RESERVATION FOR SECRETARY OF THE NAVY; PURPOSES.*—The Exclusive Military Use Area is reserved for use by the Secretary of the Navy for the following purposes:

(1) *Sustained, combined arms, live-fire, and maneuver field training for large-scale Marine air ground task forces.*

(2) *Individual and unit live-fire training ranges.*

(3) *Equipment and tactics development.*

(4) *Other defense-related purposes that are—*

(A) *consistent with the purposes described in the preceding paragraphs; and*

(B) *authorized under section 2914.*

(d) *RESERVATION FOR SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR; PURPOSES.*—The Shared Use Area is reserved—

(1) *for use by the Secretary of the Navy for the purposes described in subsection (c); and*

(2) *for use by the Secretary of the Interior for the following purposes:*

(A) *Public recreation—*

(i) *during any period in which the land is not being used for military training; and*

(ii) *as determined to be suitable for public use.*

(B) *Natural resources conservation.*

(e) *ADJUSTMENT.*—The boundary of the Exclusive Military Use Area at Emerson Ridge provided in subsection (b)(1) shall be located in such a manner so as to ensure access to the pass northwest of the ridge for purposes described in subsection (d).

**SEC. 2942. MANAGEMENT OF WITHDRAWN AND RESERVED LAND.**

(a) *MANAGEMENT BY THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY; CONDITION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in subsection (b), during the period of withdrawal and reservation of land made by section 2941, the Secretary of the Navy shall manage the land withdrawn and reserved by such section for the purposes described in subsection (c) of such section in accordance with—

(A) *an integrated natural resources management plan prepared and implemented under title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.);*

(B) *subtitle A and this subtitle;*

(C) a programmatic agreement between the Marine Corps and the California State Historic Preservation Officer regarding operation, maintenance, training, and construction at the United States Marine Air Ground Task Force Training Command, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California; and

(D) any other applicable law.

(2) *LIVE-FIRE TRAINING.*—The boundary of the Exclusive Military Use Area described in section 2941 shall be clearly identified before the Exclusive Military Use Area is used for any live-fire military training. The Secretary of the Navy shall ensure the military boundary is maintained.

(b) *MANAGEMENT BY THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR; EXCEPTION.*—

(1) *SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), during the period of withdrawal and reservation of land made by section 2941, the Secretary of the Interior shall manage the Shared Use Area.

(B) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—During the period of the management by the Secretary of the Interior under this paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior shall manage the Shared Use Area for the purposes described in subsection (d) of section 2941 in accordance with—

(i) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and

(ii) any other applicable law.

(2) *SECRETARY OF THE NAVY MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *EXCEPTION.*—Twice a year during the period of withdrawal and reservation of land by this section, there shall be a 30-day period during which the Secretary of the Navy shall—

(i) manage the Shared Use Area; and

(ii) exclusively use the Shared Use Area for military training purposes.

(B) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—During the period of the management by the Secretary of the Navy under this paragraph, the Secretary of the Navy shall manage the Shared Use Area for the purposes described in subsection (c) of section 2941 in accordance with—

(i) an integrated natural resources management plan prepared and implemented in accordance with title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.);

(ii) subtitle A and this subtitle;

(iii) the programmatic agreement described in subsection (a)(3); and

(iv) any other applicable law.

(C) *LIMITATION.*—The Secretary of the Navy shall prohibit the firing of dud-producing ordnance into the Shared Use Area.

(c) *IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall enter into a written agreement to imple-

ment the management responsibilities of the respective Secretaries with respect to the Shared Use Area.

(2) COMPONENTS.—The agreement entered into under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall be of a duration that is equal to the period of the withdrawal and reservation of land under section 2941;

(B) may be amended from time to time;

(C) may provide for the integration of the management plans required of the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy;

(D) may provide for delegation, to civilian law enforcement personnel of the Department of the Navy, of the authority of the Secretary of the Interior to enforce laws relating to protection of natural and cultural resources and fish and wildlife; and

(E) may provide for the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy to share resources so as to most efficiently and effectively manage the Shared Use Area.

(3) LINKAGE.—The Secretary of the Interior shall ensure access is provided between the two non-contiguous Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area parcels described in section 2945.

(d) MILITARY TRAINING.—

(1) NOT CONDITIONAL.—Military training within the Shared Use Area shall not be conditioned on—

(A) the existence of, or precluded by the lack of, a recreation management plan or land use management plan for the area developed and implemented by the Secretary of the Interior; or

(B) the existence of any legal or administrative challenge to such a recreation management plan or land use plan.

(2) MANAGEMENT.—

(A) USE AGREEMENT.—The Secretary of the Interior shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary of the Navy within one year of the date of the enactment of this Act for the exclusive use by the Marine Corps of two company objective areas, each measuring approximately 300 meters square (approximately 22 acres), located inside the boundaries of the Shared Use Area and totaling approximately 44 acres. These areas will be closed to all public access for the period of the withdrawal specified in section 2946. The purpose of this agreement will be to accommodate the construction, maintenance, modification, and use of these areas for the purposes identified in section 2941(c).

(B) RANGE MANAGEMENT.—Small, static, short-range explosives may be used in the two company objective areas described in subparagraph (A). Explosives that fail to function in the company objective areas will be immediately identified and located, training will temporarily halt, and on-scene explosive ordnance disposal personnel will render the munition safe before training resumes. Existing Marine Corps range safety policies and procedures as identified in Marine Corps Order 3570.1X will be followed to ensure all munitions are rendered safe and the area will again be

swept after the training exercise by qualified personnel to further ensure no hazards remain.

(C) ACCESS.—The Shared Use Area shall be managed in a manner that does not compromise the ability of the Navy to conduct military training in such area.

**SEC. 2943. PUBLIC ACCESS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 2913, the Exclusive Military Use Area shall be closed to all public access unless otherwise authorized by the Secretary of the Navy.

(b) PUBLIC RECREATIONAL USE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Shared Use Area shall be open to public recreational use during the period in which the area is under the management of the Secretary of the Interior, if there is a determination by the Secretary of the Navy that the area is suitable for public use.

(2) DETERMINATION.—A determination of suitability under paragraph (1) shall not be withheld without a specified reason.

(c) UTILITIES.—Nothing in this subtitle prohibits the construction, operation, maintenance, inspection, and access to existing or future utility facilities located within a utility right of way in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 2944. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT GROUP.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior, by agreement, shall establish a Resource Management Group for the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2941 to be comprised of representatives of the Department of the Interior and the Department of the Navy.

(b) DUTIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Resource Management Group shall—

(A) develop and implement a public outreach plan to inform the public of the land uses changes and safety restrictions affecting the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2941; and

(B) advise the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy with respect to the issues associated with the multiple uses of the Shared Use Area.

(2) SITING PROCESS.—The Resource Management Group shall determine the location of the company objective areas. In siting the two areas, the Resource Management Group will seek information from representatives of relevant State agencies, Off Highway Vehicle and other recreation interest groups, and environmental advocacy groups. The Resource Management Group shall consider potential recreational and conservation uses of the area when making their location determination.

(c) MEETINGS.—The Resource Management Group shall—

(1) meet at least once a year; and

(2) solicit input from relevant State agencies, private off-highway vehicle interest groups, event managers, environmental advocacy groups, and others relating to the management and facilitation of recreational use within the Shared Use Area.

**SEC. 2945. JOHNSON VALLEY OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA.**

(a) *DESIGNATION.*—There is hereby designated the “Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area”, consisting of—

(1) 43,431 acres (as depicted on the map referred to in subsection (b) of section 2941) of the existing Bureau of Land Management-designated Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Area that is not withdrawn and reserved for defense-related uses by such section; and

(2) The Shared Use Area.

(b) *AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.*—To the extent consistent with applicable Federal law (including regulations) and this subtitle, any authorized recreation activities and use designation in effect on the date of enactment of this Act and applicable to the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area may continue, including casual off-highway vehicular use and recreation.

(c) *ADMINISTRATION.*—The Secretary of the Interior shall administer the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area (other than the Shared Use Area, which is being managed in accordance with the other provisions of this subtitle) in accordance with—

(1) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and

(2) any other applicable law.

(d) *TRANSIT.*—In coordination with the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of the Navy may authorize transit through the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area for defense-related purposes supporting military training (including military range management and management of exercise activities) conducted on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2941.

**SEC. 2946. DURATION OF WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

The withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2941 shall terminate on March 31, 2039.

**Subtitle D—White Sands Missile Range,  
New Mexico, and Fort Bliss, Texas**

**SEC. 2951. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LAND.**

(a) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land described in subsection (b) is withdrawn from—

(1) entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;

(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(3) operation of the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.

(b) *DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL LAND.*—The Federal land referred to in subsection (a) consists of approximately 5,100 acres of land depicted as “Parcel 1” on the map entitled “White Sands Missile Range/Fort Bliss/BLM Land Transfer and Withdrawal”, dated April 3, 2012, and filed in accordance with section 2912.

(c) *RESERVATION.*—The Federal land described in subsection (b) is reserved for use by the Secretary of the Army for military purposes

in accordance with Public Land Order 833, dated May 27, 1952 (17 Fed. Reg. 4822).

**SEC. 2952. GRAZING.**

(a) **ISSUANCE AND ADMINISTRATION OF PERMITS AND LEASES.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall manage the issuance and administration of grazing permits and leases, including the renewal of permits and leases, on the public land withdrawn by section 2951, consistent with all applicable laws (including regulations) and policies of the Secretary of the Interior relating to the permits and leases.

(b) **SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.**—With respect to any grazing permit or lease issued after the date of enactment of this Act for land withdrawn by section 2951, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army shall jointly establish procedures that—

(1) are consistent with Department of the Army explosive and range safety standards; and

(2) provide for the safe use of the withdrawn land.

(c) **ASSIGNMENT.**—With the agreement of the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Interior may assign the authority to issue and to administer grazing permits and leases to the Secretary of the Army, except that the assignment may not include the authority to discontinue grazing on the land withdrawn by section 2951.

### **Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California**

**SEC. 2961. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION OF PUBLIC LAND.**

(a) **TRANSFER REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management in Imperial and Riverside Counties, California, consisting of approximately 228,324 acres, as generally depicted on the map titled “Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range-Administration’s Land Withdrawal Legislation Proposal Map”, dated October 30, 2013, and filed in accordance with subsection (d).

(b) **VALID EXISTING RIGHTS.**—The transfer of administrative jurisdiction under subsection (a) shall be subject to any valid existing rights, including any property, easements, or improvements held by the Bureau of Reclamation and appurtenant to the Coachella Canal. The Secretary of the Navy shall provide for reasonable access by the Bureau of Reclamation for inspection and maintenance purposes not inconsistent with military training.

(c) **TIME FOR CONVEYANCE.**—The transfer of administrative jurisdiction under subsection (a) shall occur pursuant to a schedule agreed to by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy.

(d) **MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.**—

(1) **PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall file with the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives—

(A) a copy of the legal description prepared under paragraph (1); and

(B) the map referred to in subsection (a).

(3) *AVAILABILITY FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION.*—Copies of the legal description and map filed under paragraph (2) shall be available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of—

(A) the Bureau of Land Management;

(B) the Office of the Commanding Officer, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona;

(C) the Office of the Commander, Navy Region Southwest; and

(D) the Office of the Secretary of the Navy.

(4) *FORCE OF LAW.*—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (2) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct clerical and typographical errors in the legal description or map.

(5) *REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.*—The transfer required by subsection (a) shall be made without reimbursement, except that the Secretary of the Navy shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior to prepare the legal description and map under this subsection.

**SEC. 2962. MANAGEMENT AND USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.**

(a) *TREATMENT AND USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.*—Upon the receipt of the land under section 2961—

(1) the land shall be treated as property (as defined in section 102(9) of title 40, United States Code) under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy; and

(2) the Secretary of the Navy shall administer the land as the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California, and continue to authorize use of the land for military purposes.

(b) *PROTECTION OF DESERT TORTOISE.*—Nothing in the transfer required by section 2961 shall affect the prior designation of certain lands within the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range as critical habitat for the desert tortoise (*Gopherus Agassizii*).

(c) *WITHDRAWAL OF MINERAL ESTATE.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the mineral estate of the land to be transferred under section 2961 is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and geothermal leasing laws, for as long as the land is under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

(d) *INTEGRATED NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—Not later than one year after the transfer of the land under section 2961, the Secretary of the Navy, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall prepare an integrated natural resources management plan pursuant to the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.) for the transferred land and for land that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, is under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy underlying the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.

(e) *RELATION TO GENERAL PROVISIONS.*—Subtitle A does not apply to the land transferred under section 2961 or to the management of such land as provided for in this subtitle.

**SEC. 2963. EFFECT OF TERMINATION OF MILITARY USE.**

(a) *NOTICE AND EFFECT.*—Upon a determination by the Secretary of the Navy that there is no longer a military need for all or portions of the land transferred under section 2961, the Secretary of the Navy shall notify the Secretary of the Interior of such determination. Subject to subsections (b), (c), and (d), the Secretary of the Navy shall transfer the land subject to such a notice back to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) *CONTAMINATION.*—Before transmitting a notice under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall prepare a written determination concerning whether and to what extent the land to be transferred is contaminated with explosive materials or toxic or hazardous substances. A copy of the determination shall be transmitted with the notice. Copies of the notice and the determination shall be published in the Federal Register.

(c) *DECONTAMINATION.*—The Secretary of the Navy shall decontaminate any contaminated land that is the subject of a notice under subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, determines that—

(A) decontamination is practicable and economically feasible (taking into consideration the potential future use and value of the land); and

(B) upon decontamination, the land could be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws; and

(2) funds are appropriated for such decontamination.

(d) *ALTERNATIVE.*—The Secretary of the Interior is not required to accept land proposed for transfer under subsection (a) if the Secretary of the Interior is unable to make the determinations under subsection (c)(1) or if Congress does not appropriate a sufficient amount of funds for the decontamination of the land.

**SEC. 2964. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF EXISTING WITHDRAWAL PERIOD.**

Notwithstanding subsection (a) of section 806 of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (title VIII of Public Law 103-433; 108 Stat. 4505), the withdrawal and reservation of the land transferred under section 2961 shall not terminate until the date on which the land transfer required by section 2961 is executed.

**SEC. 2965. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) *NO RESERVATION OF WATER RIGHTS.*—Nothing in this subtitle—

(1) establishes a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on the land transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on the land transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) *EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in this subtitle affects any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of enactment of this Act on the land transferred by this subtitle.

(2) *AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY.*—*The Secretary of the Navy may exercise any water rights described in paragraph (1).*

**SEC. 2966. REALIGNMENT OF RANGE BOUNDARY AND RELATED TRANSFER OF TITLE.**

(a) *REALIGNMENT; PURPOSE.*—*The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall realign the boundary of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, to improve public safety and management of the Range, consistent with the following:*

(1) *The northwestern boundary of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range shall be realigned to the edge of the Bradshaw Trail so that the Trail is entirely on public land under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior.*

(2) *The centerline of the Bradshaw Trail shall be delineated by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, beginning at its western terminus at Township 8 South, Range 12 East, Section 6 eastward to Township 8 South, Range 17 East, Section 32 where it leaves the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.*

(3) *The Secretary of the Navy shall relinquish to the Secretary of the Interior the approximately 2,000 acres of public land withdrawn for military use that is located immediately north of the Bradshaw Trail, and the Secretary of the Interior shall manage the land in accordance with the applicable land use plan developed under section of section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712).*

(b) *TRANSFERS RELATED TO REALIGNMENT.*—

(1) *TRANSFERS TO REFLECT BOUNDARY REALIGNMENT.*—*The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall make such transfers of administrative jurisdiction as may be necessary to reflect the results of the boundary realignment carried out pursuant to subsection (a).*

(2) *BRADSHAW TRAIL MANAGEMENT.*—*The approximately 600 acres of land north of the Bradshaw Trail identified as fee-owned lands available for disposal may be used to establish a maximum number of acres of land that the Secretary of the Navy may transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior in order to improve management of the Bradshaw Trail.*

(c) *APPLICABILITY OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969.*—*The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) shall not apply to any transfer of land made under subsection (b) or any decontamination actions undertaken in connection with such a transfer.*

(d) *DECONTAMINATION.*—*The Secretary of the Navy shall maintain, to the extent funds are available for such purpose and consistent with applicable Federal and State law, a program of decontamination of any contamination caused by defense-related uses on land transferred under subsection (b). The Secretary of Defense shall include a description of such decontamination activities in the annual report required by section 2711 of title 10, United States Code.*

(e) *TIMELINE.*—*The delineation of the Bradshaw Trail under subsection (a) and any transfer of land under subsection (b) shall occur*

*pursuant to a schedule agreed to by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy, but in no case later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

### **Subtitle F—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California**

#### **SEC. 2971. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LAND.**

(a) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights and except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, the public land (including interests in land) described in subsection (b), and all other areas within the boundary of the land depicted on the map described in that subsection that may become subject to the operation of the public land laws, is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws.

(b) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The public land (including interests in land) referred to in subsection (a) is the Federal land located within the boundaries of the Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California, comprising approximately 1,045,000 acres in Inyo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, California, as generally depicted on the maps entitled “Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake Withdrawal—Renewal”, “North Range”, and “South Range”, dated March 18, 2013, and filed in accordance with section 2912.

(c) *RESERVATION.*—The land withdrawn by subsection (a) is reserved for use by the Secretary of the Navy for the following purposes:

- (1) Use as a research, development, test, and evaluation laboratory.
- (2) Use as a range for air warfare weapons and weapon systems.
- (3) Use as a high-hazard testing and training area for aerial gunnery, rocketry, electronic warfare and countermeasures, tactical maneuvering and air support, and directed energy and unmanned aerial systems.
- (4) Geothermal leasing, development, and related power production activities.
- (5) Other defense-related purposes that are—
  - (A) consistent with the purposes described in the preceding paragraphs; and
  - (B) authorized under section 2914.

#### **SEC. 2972. MANAGEMENT OF WITHDRAWN AND RESERVED LAND.**

(a) *APPLICABLE LAWS.*—Except as provided in section 2973, during the period of the withdrawal and reservation of land by section 2971, the Secretary of the Interior shall manage the land withdrawn and reserved by that section in accordance with—

- (1) subtitle A and this subtitle;
- (2) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and
- (3) any other applicable law.

(b) *AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.*—To the extent consistent with applicable law and Executive orders, the land withdrawn by section 2971 may be managed in a manner that permits the following activities:

- (1) Grazing.
- (2) Protection of wildlife and wildlife habitat.
- (3) Preservation of cultural properties.
- (4) Control of predatory and other animals.
- (5) Recreation and education.
- (6) Prevention and appropriate suppression of brush and range fires resulting from non-military activities.
- (7) Geothermal leasing and development and related power production activities.

(c) **NONDEFENSE USES.**—All nondefense-related uses of the land withdrawn by this section (including the uses described in subsection (b)), shall be subject to any conditions and restrictions that the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy jointly determine to be necessary to permit the defense-related use of the land for the purposes described in this section.

(d) **ISSUANCE OF LEASES AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall be responsible for the issuance of any lease, easement, right-of-way, permit, license, or other instrument authorized by law with respect to any activity that involves both—

(A) the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971; and

(B) any other public land in the vicinity of the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971 that is not under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

(2) **CONSENT REQUIRED.**—Subject to section 2974, any lease, easement, right-of-way, permit, license, or other instrument issued under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) only be issued with the consent of the Secretary of the Navy; and

(B) be subject to such conditions as the Secretary of the Navy may require with respect to the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971.

**SEC. 2973. ASSIGNMENT OF MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY TO SECRETARY OF THE NAVY.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO ASSIGN MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY.**—The Secretary of the Interior may assign the management responsibility, in whole or in part, for the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971 to the Secretary of the Navy.

(b) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—On assignment of the management responsibility under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall manage the land in accordance with—

(1) subtitle A and this subtitle;

(2) title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.);

(3) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

(4) cooperative management arrangements entered into by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy; and

(5) any other applicable law.

**SEC. 2974. GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES.**

(a) **TREATMENT OF EXISTING LEASES.**—Nothing in this subtitle affects—

(1) geothermal leases issued by the Secretary of the Interior before the date of enactment of this Act; or

(2) the responsibility of the Secretary of the Interior to administer and manage the leases described in paragraph (1) consistent with the provisions of this subtitle.

(b) **AUTHORITY OF THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.**—Nothing in this subtitle or any other provision of law prohibits the Secretary of the Interior from issuing, subject to the concurrence of the Secretary of the Navy, and administering any lease under the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970 (30 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) and any other applicable law for the development and use of geothermal steam and associated geothermal resources on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971.

(c) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—Nothing in this subtitle affects the geothermal exploration and development authority of the Secretary of the Navy under section 2917 of title 10, United States Code, with respect to the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971, except that the Secretary of the Navy shall obtain the concurrence of the Secretary of the Interior before taking action under section 2917 of title 10, United States Code.

(d) **NAVY CONTRACTS.**—On the expiration of the withdrawal and reservation of land under section 2971 or the relinquishment of the land, any Navy contract for the development of geothermal resources at Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake that is in effect on the date of the expiration or relinquishment shall remain in effect, except that the Secretary of the Interior, with the consent of the Secretary of the Navy, may offer to substitute a standard geothermal lease for the contract.

**SEC. 2975. WILD HORSES AND BURROS.**

(a) **MANAGEMENT.**—The Secretary of the Navy—

(1) shall be responsible for the management of wild horses and burros located on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971; and

(2) may use helicopters and motorized vehicles for the management of wild horses and burros on such land.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The activities authorized under subsection (a) shall be conducted in accordance with laws applicable to the management of wild horses and burros on public land.

(c) **AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall enter into an agreement for the implementation of the management of wild horses and burros under this section.

**SEC. 2976. CONTINUATION OF EXISTING AGREEMENT.**

The agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy entered into before the date of enactment of this Act under section 805 of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-433; 108 Stat. 4503) shall continue in effect until the earlier of—

(1) the date on which the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy enter into a new agreement to replace such section 805 agreement; or

(2) the date that is one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 2977. MANAGEMENT PLANS.**

(a) *COOPERATION IN DEVELOPMENT OF MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—The Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior shall update and maintain cooperative arrangements concerning land resources and land uses on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971.

(b) *PURPOSE.*—A cooperative arrangement entered into under subsection (a) shall focus on and apply to sustainable management and protection of the natural and cultural resources and environmental values found on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971, consistent with the defense-related purposes for which the land is withdrawn and reserved.

(c) *COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—A cooperative arrangement entered into under subsection (a) shall include a comprehensive land use management plan that integrates and is consistent with any applicable law, including—

(1) subtitle A and this subtitle;

(2) title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.); and

(3) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and

(d) *ANNUAL REVIEW.*—The Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior shall—

(1) annually review the comprehensive land use management plan developed under subsection (c); and

(2) update the comprehensive land use management plan as the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior determine to be necessary—

(A) to respond to evolving management requirements; and

(B) to complement the updates of other applicable land use and resource management and planning.

(e) *IMPLEMENTING AGREEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy may enter into a written agreement to implement the comprehensive land use management plan developed under subsection (c).

(2) *COMPONENTS.*—Such an implementation agreement—

(A) shall be for a duration that is equal to the period of the withdrawal and reservation of land under section 2971; and

(B) may be amended from time to time.

**SEC. 2978. TERMINATION OF PRIOR WITHDRAWALS.**

(a) *TERMINATION.*—Subject to subsection (b), the withdrawal and reservation under section 803(a) of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-433; 108 Stat. 4502) is terminated.

(b) *LIMITATION.*—Notwithstanding the termination under subsection (a), all rules, regulations, orders, permits, and other privileges issued or granted by the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of the Navy with respect to the land withdrawn and reserved under section 803(a) of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-433; 108 Stat. 4502), unless inconsistent with the provisions of this subtitle, shall remain in force until modified, suspended, overruled, or otherwise changed by—

- (1) the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of the Navy (as applicable);
- (2) a court of competent jurisdiction; or
- (3) operation of law.

**SEC. 2979. DURATION OF WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

*The withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2971 shall terminate on March 31, 2039.*

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZA-  
TIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

*Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations*

- Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.*
- Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.*

*Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations*

- Sec. 3111. Clarification of principles of National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3112. Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3113. Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.*
- Sec. 3114. Limitation on availability of funds for National Nuclear Security Administration.*
- Sec. 3115. Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security.*
- Sec. 3116. Establishment of Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing.*
- Sec. 3117. Authorization of modular building strategy as an alternative to the replacement project for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico.*
- Sec. 3118. Comparative analysis of warhead life extension options.*
- Sec. 3119. Extension of authority of Secretary of Energy to enter into transactions to carry out certain research projects.*
- Sec. 3120. Increase in construction design threshold.*

*Subtitle C—Plans and Reports*

- Sec. 3121. Annual report and certification on status of security of atomic energy defense facilities.*
- Sec. 3122. Modifications to annual reports regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile.*
- Sec. 3123. Inclusion of integrated plutonium strategy in nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan.*
- Sec. 3124. Modifications to cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts.*
- Sec. 3125. Modification of deadlines for certain reports relating to program on scientific engagement for nonproliferation.*
- Sec. 3126. Modification of certain reports on cost containment for uranium capabilities replacement project.*
- Sec. 3127. Plan for tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.*
- Sec. 3128. Plan for improvement and integration of financial management of nuclear security enterprise.*
- Sec. 3129. Plan for developing exascale computing and incorporating such computing into the stockpile stewardship program.*
- Sec. 3130. Study and plan for extension of certain pilot program principles.*
- Sec. 3131. Study of potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries.*
- Sec. 3132. Repeal of certain reporting requirements.*

*Subtitle D—Other Matters*

- Sec. 3141. Clarification of role of Secretary of Energy.  
 Sec. 3142. Modification of deadlines for Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.  
 Sec. 3143. Department of Energy land conveyance.  
 Sec. 3144. Technical amendment to Atomic Energy Act of 1954.  
 Sec. 3145. Technical corrections to the National Nuclear Security Administration Act.  
 Sec. 3146. Technical corrections to the Atomic Energy Defense Act.  
 Sec. 3147. Sense of Congress on B61–12 life extension program.  
 Sec. 3148. Sense of Congress on establishment of an advisory board on toxic substances and worker health.

## **Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations**

**SEC. 3101. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

(b) *AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.*—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration as follows:

Project 14–D–710, Device Assembly Facility Argus Installation Project, Nevada National Security Site, Las Vegas, Nevada, \$14,000,000.

Project 14–D–901, Spent Fueling Handling Recapitalization Project, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho, \$45,400,000.

Project 14–D–902, KL Materials Characterization Laboratory, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Schenectady, New York, \$1,000,000.

**SEC. 3102. DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for defense environmental clean-up activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

**SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for other defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

## **Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations**

**SEC. 3111. CLARIFICATION OF PRINCIPLES OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

Subsection (c) of section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES TO BE CARRIED OUT CONSISTENTLY WITH CERTAIN PRINCIPLES.—In carrying out the mission of the Administration, the Administrator shall ensure that all operations and activities of the Administration are consistent with the principles of—

- “(1) protecting the environment;
- “(2) safeguarding the safety and health of the public and of the workforce of the Administration; and
- “(3) ensuring the security of the nuclear weapons, nuclear material, and classified information in the custody of the Administration.”.

**SEC. 3112. COST ESTIMATION AND PROGRAM EVALUATION BY NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF DIRECTOR FOR COST ESTIMATING AND PROGRAM EVALUATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 3221. DIRECTOR FOR COST ESTIMATING AND PROGRAM EVALUATION.**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—(1) There is in the Administration a Director for Cost Estimating and Program Evaluation (in this section referred to as the ‘Director’).

“(2) The position of the Director shall be a Senior Executive Service position (as defined in section 3132(a) of title 5, United States Code).

“(b) DUTIES.—(1) The Director shall be the principal advisor to the Administrator, the Deputy Secretary of Energy, and the Secretary of Energy with respect to cost estimation and program evaluation for the Administration.

“(2) The Administrator may not delegate responsibility for receiving or acting on communications from the Director with respect to cost estimation and program evaluation for the Administration.

“(c) ACTIVITIES FOR COST ESTIMATION.—(1) The Director shall be the responsible for the following activities relating to cost estimation:

“(A) Advising the Administrator on policies and procedures for cost analysis and estimation by the Administration, including the determination of confidence levels with respect to cost estimates.

“(B) Reviewing cost estimates and evaluating the performance baseline for each major atomic energy defense acquisition program.

“(C) Advising the Administrator on policies and procedures for developing technology readiness assessments for major atomic energy defense acquisition programs that are consistent with the guidelines of the Department of Energy for technology readiness assessments.

“(D) Reviewing technology readiness assessments for such programs to ensure that such programs are meeting levels of confidence associated with appropriate overall system performance.

“(E) As directed by the Administrator, conducting independent cost estimates for such programs.

“(2) A review, evaluation, or cost estimate conducted under subparagraph (B), (D), or (E) of paragraph (1) shall be considered an inherently governmental function, but the Director may use data collected by a national security laboratory or a management and operating contractor of the Administration in conducting such a review, evaluation, or cost estimate.

“(3) The Director shall submit in writing to the Administrator the following:

“(A) The certification of the Director with respect to each review, evaluation, and cost estimate conducted under subparagraph (B), (D), or (E) of paragraph (1).

“(B) A statement of the confidence level of the Director with respect to each such review, evaluation, and cost estimate, including an identification of areas of uncertainty, risk, and opportunity discovered in conducting each such review, evaluation, and cost estimate.

“(d) ACTIVITIES FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION.—(1) The Director shall be responsible for the following activities relating to program evaluation:

“(A) Reviewing and commenting on policies and procedures for setting requirements for the future-years nuclear security program under section 3253 and for prioritizing and estimating the funding required by the Administration for that program.

“(B) Reviewing the future-years nuclear security program on an annual basis to ensure that the program is accurate and thorough.

“(C) Advising the Administrator on policies and procedures for analyses of alternatives for major atomic energy defense acquisition programs.

“(D) As part of the planning, programming, and budgeting process of the Administration under sections 3251 and 3252, analyzing the planning phase of that process, advising on programmatic and fiscal year guidance, and managing the program review phase of that process.

“(E) Developing and managing the submittal of the Selected Acquisition Reports and independent cost estimates on nuclear weapons systems undergoing major life extension under section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537).

“(F) Reviewing cost and schedule baselines for projects under section 4713 of that Act (50 U.S.C. 2753) and managing notifications to the congressional defense committees of cost overruns under that section.

“(2) A review conducted under paragraph (1)(B) shall be considered an inherently governmental function, but the Director may use data collected by a national security laboratory or a management and operating contractor of the Administration in conducting such a review.

“(3) The Director shall submit to Congress a report on any major programmatic deviations from the future-years nuclear security program discovered in conducting a review under paragraph (1)(B) at or about the time the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for the next fiscal year.

“(e) **DATA COLLECTION AND ACCESSIBILITY.**—The Administrator, acting through the Director, shall, as appropriate, seek to use procedures, processes, and policies for collecting cost data and making that data accessible that are similar to the procedures, processes, and policies used by the Defense Cost Analysis Resource Center of the Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense for those purposes.

“(f) **STAFF.**—The Administrator shall ensure that the Director has sufficient numbers of personnel who have competence in technical matters, budgetary matters, cost estimation, technology readiness analysis, and other appropriate matters to carry out the functions required by this section.

“(g) **REPORTS BY DIRECTOR.**—The Director shall submit to Congress at or about the time that the budget of the President is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2018, a report that includes the following:

“(1) A description of activities conducted by the Director during the calendar year preceding the submission of the report that are related to the duties and activities described in this section.

“(2) A list of all major atomic energy defense acquisition programs and a concise description of the status of each such program and project in meeting cost and critical schedule milestones.

“(h) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) **MAJOR ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAM.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term ‘major atomic energy defense acquisition program’ means an atomic energy defense acquisition program of the Administration—

“(i) the total project cost of which is more than \$500,000,000; or

“(ii) the total lifetime cost of which is more than \$1,000,000,000.

“(B) **EXCLUSION OF CAPITAL ASSETS ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**—The term ‘major atomic energy defense acquisition program’ does not include a project covered by Department of Energy Order 413.3 (or a successor order) for the acquisition of capital assets for atomic energy defense activities.

“(2) **PERFORMANCE BASELINE.**—The term ‘performance baseline’, with respect to a major atomic energy defense acquisition program, means the key parameters with respect to performance, scope, cost, and schedule for the project budget of the program.”

(2) **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Director of the Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the implementation of section 3221 of the National Nuclear Secu-

ity Administration Act, as added by paragraph (1), that includes the following:

(A) An identification of the number of personnel required to support the Director for Cost Estimating and Program Evaluation established under such section 3221.

(B) A description of the functions of such personnel.

(C) A plan for training such personnel in coordination with the Office of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense with respect to the activities described in subsections (c)(1) and (d)(1) of such section 3221.

(D) An estimate of the time required to hire and train such personnel.

(E) A plan for developing cost estimation and program evaluation activities jointly with the Department of Defense on strategic system programs to the extent practicable and beneficial to both the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department of Defense.

(3) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the National Nuclear Security Administration Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3220 the following new item:

“Sec. 3221. Director for Cost Estimating and Program Evaluation.”.

(b) **INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATES ON LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAMS AND NEW NUCLEAR FACILITIES.**—Section 4217(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “for purposes of this subsection” and inserting “submitted under this subsection before October 1, 2015,”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) Each cost estimate submitted under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.”.

**SEC. 3113. ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subtitle A of title XLVIII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2781 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 4806. ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

“(a) **AUTHORITY.**—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Energy may—

“(1) carry out a covered procurement action; and

“(2) notwithstanding any other provision of law, limit, in whole or in part, the disclosure of information relating to the basis for carrying out a covered procurement action.

“(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary may exercise the authority under subsection (a) only after—

“(1) obtaining a risk assessment that demonstrates that there is a significant supply chain risk to a covered system;

“(2) making a determination in writing, in unclassified or classified form, that—

“(A) the use of the authority under subsection (a) is necessary to protect national security by reducing supply chain risk;

“(B) less restrictive measures are not reasonably available to reduce the supply chain risk; and

“(C) in a case in which the Secretary plans to limit disclosure of information under subsection (a)(2), the risk to national security of the disclosure of the information outweighs the risk of not disclosing the information; and

“(3) submitting to the appropriate congressional committees, not later than seven days after the date on which the Secretary makes the determination under paragraph (2), a notice of such determination, in classified or unclassified form, that includes—

“(A) the information required by section 3304(e)(2)(A) of title 41, United States Code;

“(B) a summary of the risk assessment required under paragraph (1); and

“(C) a summary of the basis for the determination, including a discussion of less restrictive measures that were considered and why such measures were not reasonably available to reduce supply chain risk.

“(c) NOTIFICATIONS.—If the Secretary has exercised the authority under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

“(1) notify appropriate parties of the covered procurement action and the basis for the action only to the extent necessary to carry out the covered procurement action;

“(2) notify other Federal agencies responsible for procurement that may be subject to the same or similar supply chain risk, in a manner and to the extent consistent with the requirements of national security; and

“(3) ensure the confidentiality of any notifications under paragraph (1) or (2).

“(d) LIMITATION OF REVIEW.—No action taken by the Secretary under the authority under subsection (a) shall be subject to review in any Federal court.

“(e) REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.—Not later than one year after the effective date specified in subsection (g)(1), and annually for four years thereafter, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—

“(1) review the authority provided under subsection (a), including—

“(A) the adequacy of resources, such as trained personnel, to effectively exercise that authority during the four-year period beginning on that effective date; and

“(B) the sufficiency of determinations under subsection (b)(2);

“(2) review the thoroughness of the process and systems utilized by the Office of the Chief Information Officer and the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence of the Department of Energy to reasonably detect supply chain threats to the national security functions of the Department; and

“(3) submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes—

“(A) the results of the reviews conducted under paragraphs (1) and (2);

“(B) any recommendations of the Comptroller General for improving the process and systems described in paragraph (2); and

“(C) a description of the status of the implementation of recommendations, if any, with respect to that process and such systems made by the Comptroller General in previous years.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(A) the congressional defense committees; and

“(B) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives.

“(2) COVERED ITEM OF SUPPLY.—The term ‘covered item of supply’ means an item—

“(A) that is purchased for inclusion in a covered system; and

“(B) the loss of integrity of which could result in a supply chain risk for a covered system.

“(3) COVERED PROCUREMENT.—The term ‘covered procurement’ means the following:

“(A) A source selection for a covered system or a covered item of supply involving either a performance specification, as described in subsection (a)(3)(B) of section 3306 of title 41, United States Code, or an evaluation factor, as described in subsection (b)(1) of such section, relating to supply chain risk.

“(B) The consideration of proposals for and issuance of a task or delivery order for a covered system or a covered item of supply, as provided in section 4106(d)(3) of title 41, United States Code, where the task or delivery order contract concerned includes a contract clause establishing a requirement relating to supply chain risk.

“(C) Any contract action involving a contract for a covered system or a covered item of supply if the contract includes a clause establishing requirements relating to supply chain risk.

“(4) COVERED PROCUREMENT ACTION.—The term ‘covered procurement action’ means, with respect to an action that occurs in the course of conducting a covered procurement, any of the following:

“(A) The exclusion of a source that fails to meet qualification requirements established pursuant to section 3311 of title 41, United States Code, for the purpose of reducing supply chain risk in the acquisition of covered systems.

“(B) The exclusion of a source that fails to achieve an acceptable rating with regard to an evaluation factor providing for the consideration of supply chain risk in the evaluation of proposals for the award of a contract or the issuance of a task or delivery order.

“(C) *The withholding of consent for a contractor to subcontract with a particular source or the direction to a contractor for a covered system to exclude a particular source from consideration for a subcontract under the contract.*”

“(5) COVERED SYSTEM.—*The term ‘covered system’ means the following:*

“(A) *National security systems (as defined in section 3542(b) of title 44, United States Code) and components of such systems.*

“(B) *Nuclear weapons and components of nuclear weapons.*

“(C) *Items associated with the design, development, production, and maintenance of nuclear weapons or components of nuclear weapons.*

“(D) *Items associated with the surveillance of the nuclear weapon stockpile.*

“(E) *Items associated with the design and development of nonproliferation and counterproliferation programs and systems.*

“(6) SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.—*The term ‘supply chain risk’ means the risk that an adversary may sabotage, maliciously introduce unwanted function, or otherwise subvert the design, integrity, manufacturing, production, distribution, installation, operation, or maintenance of a covered system or covered item of supply so as to surveil, deny, disrupt, or otherwise degrade the function, use, or operation of the system or item of supply.*

“(g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—*This section shall take effect on the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.*

“(2) APPLICABILITY.—*The authority under subsection (a) shall apply to—*

“(A) *contracts awarded on or after the effective date specified in paragraph (1); and*

“(B) *task and delivery orders issued on or after that effective date pursuant to contracts awarded before, on, or after that effective date.*

“(3) TERMINATION.—*The authority under this section shall terminate on the date that is four years after the effective date specified in paragraph (1).”.*

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—*The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4805 the following new item:*

“Sec. 4806. *Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.*”

**SEC. 3114. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) LIMITATION.—*Except as provided in subsection (d), of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the National Nuclear Security Administration, the amount specified in subsection (c) may not be obligated or expended until the date on which the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees—*

(1) *a detailed plan to realize the planned efficiencies; and*

(2) written certification that the planned efficiencies will be achieved during fiscal year 2014.

(b) **UNREALIZED EFFICIENCIES.**—If the Administrator does not submit to the congressional defense committees the matters described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) by the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on—

(1) the amount of planned efficiencies that will not be realized during fiscal year 2014; and

(2) any effects caused by such unrealized planned efficiencies to the programs funded under the directed stockpile work and nuclear programs accounts.

(c) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—The amount specified in this subsection is \$139,500,000, reduced by the amount the Administrator certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Administrator has saved through the planned efficiencies realized during fiscal year 2014.

(d) **EXCEPTIONS.**—The limitation under subsection (a) shall not—

(1) apply to funds authorized to be appropriated for directed stockpile work, nuclear programs, or Naval Reactors; or

(2) affect the authority of the Secretary of Energy under sections 4702, 4705, and 4711 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2742, 2745, and 2751).

(e) **EFFECT OF PLANNED EFFICIENCIES ON LABORATORY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.**—The implementation of the planned efficiencies may not result in reductions in amounts provided for laboratory-directed research and development under section 4811(c) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2791(c)) in fiscal year 2014.

(f) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—The limitation under subsection (a) shall not be considered a specific denial of funds for purposes of the authority referred to in subsection (d)(2).

(g) **PLANNED EFFICIENCIES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “planned efficiencies” means the \$106,800,000, with respect to directed stockpile work, and \$32,700,000, with respect to nuclear programs, that the Administrator plans to save during fiscal year 2014 through management efficiency and workforce restructuring reductions, as described in the budget request for fiscal year 2014 that the President submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

**SEC. 3115. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 3101 and available for the Office of the Administrator as specified in the funding table in section 4701, or otherwise made available for that Office for that fiscal year, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until—

(1) the President transmits to Congress the matters required to be transmitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 4205(f)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(f)(2));

(2) the President transmits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and

*the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the matters—*

*(A) required to be transmitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1576); and*

*(B) with respect to which the Secretary of Energy is responsible;*

*(3) the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the reports required to be submitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 3122(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1710); and*

*(4) the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees—*

*(A) the detailed report on the stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan required to be submitted during 2013 under paragraph (2) of section 4203(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(b)); and*

*(B) the summary of the plan required to be submitted during 2014 under paragraph (1) of such section.*

**SEC. 3116. ESTABLISHMENT OF CENTER FOR SECURITY TECHNOLOGY, ANALYSIS, RESPONSE, AND TESTING.**

*(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall establish within the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501) a Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing.*

*(b) DUTIES.—The center established under subsection (a) shall carry out the following:*

*(1) Provide to the Administrator, the Chief of Defense Nuclear Security, and the management and operating contractors of the nuclear security enterprise a wide range of objective expertise on security technologies, systems, analysis, testing, and response forces.*

*(2) Assist the Administrator in developing standards, requirements, analysis methods, and testing criteria with respect to security.*

*(3) Collect, analyze, and distribute lessons learned with respect to security.*

*(4) Support inspections and oversight activities with respect to security.*

*(5) Promote professional development and training for security professionals.*

*(6) Provide for advance and bulk procurement for security-related acquisitions that affect multiple facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.*

*(7) Advocate for continual improvement and security excellence throughout the nuclear security enterprise.*

*(8) Such other duties as the Administrator may assign.*

**SEC. 3117. AUTHORIZATION OF MODULAR BUILDING STRATEGY AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE REPLACEMENT PROJECT FOR THE CHEMISTRY AND METALLURGY RESEARCH BUILDING, LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY, NEW MEXICO.**

Section 3114(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2171; 50 U.S.C. 2535 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “No funds” and inserting the following:

“(1) **LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), no funds”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(2) **USE OF FUNDS FOR MODULAR BUILDING STRATEGY.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security may obligate and expend funds referred to in paragraph (1) for activities relating to a modular building strategy on and after the date that is 60 days after the date on which the Nuclear Weapons Council established under section 179 of title 10, United States Code, notifies the congressional defense committees that—

“(A) the modular building strategy—

“(i) meets requirements for maintaining the nuclear weapons stockpile over a 30-year period;

“(ii) meets requirements for implementation of a responsive infrastructure, including meeting plutonium pit production requirements; and

“(iii) will achieve full operating capability for not less than two modular structures by not later than 2027;

“(B) in fiscal year 2015, the National Nuclear Security Administration will begin the process of designing and building modular buildings in accordance with Department of Energy Order 413.3 (relating to relating to program management and project management for the acquisition of capital assets); and

“(C) the Administrator will include the costs of the modular building strategy in the estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations reflected in the future-years nuclear security program submitted under section 3253 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2453).

“(3) **MODULAR BUILDING STRATEGY DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term ‘modular building strategy’ means an alternative strategy to the replacement project that consists of repurposing existing facilities and constructing a series of modular structures, each of which is fully useable, to complement the function of the plutonium facility (PF-4) at Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, in accordance with all applicable safety and security standards of the Department of Energy.”.

**SEC. 3118. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF WARHEAD LIFE EXTENSION OPTIONS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out Phase 6.2 and Phase 6.2A of the Joint W78/88-1 Warhead Life Extension Program, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy, acting through the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code, shall conduct a comparative analysis of the feasibility

of, and preliminary design definitions and cost estimates for, each of the following life extension options:

(1) A separate life extension option to produce a W78-1 warhead.

(2) A separate life extension option to produce a W88-1 warhead.

(3) An interoperable W78/88-1 life extension option.

(4) Any other life extension option the Nuclear Weapons Council considers appropriate.

(b) **LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended for Phase 6.3 (development engineering) activities for the Joint W78/88-1 Warhead Life Extension Program until the date that is 90 days after the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council submits to the congressional defense committees a report containing the comparative analysis required by subsection (a).

**SEC. 3119. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY TO ENTER INTO TRANSACTIONS TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN RESEARCH PROJECTS.**

Section 646(g)(10) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7256(g)(10)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2020”.

**SEC. 3120. INCREASE IN CONSTRUCTION DESIGN THRESHOLD.**

Section 4706(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2746(b)) is amended by striking “\$600,000” both places it appears and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

## **Subtitle C—Plans and Reports**

**SEC. 3121. ANNUAL REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON STATUS OF SECURITY OF ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE FACILITIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4506 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2657) is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 4506. ANNUAL REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON STATUS OF SECURITY OF ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE FACILITIES.**

**“(a) REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**—(1) Not later than September 30 of each year, the Administrator shall submit to the Secretary of Energy—

“(A) a report detailing the status of security at facilities holding Category I and II quantities of special nuclear material that are administered by the Administration; and

“(B) written certification that such facilities are secure and that the security measures at such facilities meet the security standards and requirements of the Administration and the Department of Energy.

“(2) If the Administrator is unable to make the certification described in paragraph (1)(B) with respect to a facility, the Administrator shall submit to the Secretary with the matters required by paragraph (1) a corrective action plan for the facility describing—

“(A) the deficiency that resulted in the Administrator being unable to make the certification;

“(B) the actions to be taken to correct the deficiency; and

“(C) timelines for taking such actions.

“(3) Not later than December 1 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the unaltered report, certification, and any corrective action plans submitted by the Administrator under paragraphs (1) and (2) together with any comments of the Secretary.

“(b) **REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE FACILITIES NOT ADMINISTERED BY THE ADMINISTRATION.**—(1) Not later than December 1 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees—

“(A) a report detailing the status of the security of atomic energy defense facilities holding Category I and II quantities of special nuclear material that are not administered by the Administration; and

“(B) written certification that such facilities meet the security standards and requirements of the Department of Energy.

“(2) If the Secretary is unable to make the certification described in paragraph (1)(B) with respect to a facility, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, together with the matters required by paragraph (1), a corrective action plan describing—

“(A) the deficiency that resulted in the Secretary being unable to make the certification;

“(B) the actions to be taken to correct the deficiency; and

“(C) timelines for taking such actions.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4506 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4506. Annual report and certification on status of security of atomic energy defense facilities.”

**SEC. 3122. MODIFICATIONS TO ANNUAL REPORTS REGARDING THE CONDITION OF THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.**

(a) **REPORT ON ASSESSMENTS.**—Subsection (e) of section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) a concise summary of any significant finding investigations initiated or active during the previous year for which the head of the national security laboratory has full or partial responsibility.”; and

(2) by amending paragraph (4) to read as follows:

“(4) In the case of a report submitted by the Commander of the United States Strategic Command—

“(A) a discussion of the relative merits of other nuclear weapon types (if any), or compensatory measures (if any) that could be taken, that could enable accomplishment of the missions of the nuclear weapon types to which the assessments relate, should such assessments identify any deficiency with respect to such nuclear weapon types; and

*“(B) a summary of all major assembly releases in place as of the date of the report for the active and inactive nuclear weapon stockpiles.”*

*(b) REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.— Subsection (f) of such section is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “March 1” and inserting “February 1”; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*“(3) If the President does not forward to Congress the matters required under paragraph (2) by the date required by such paragraph, the officials specified in subsection (b) shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not later than March 30 on the report such officials submitted to the Secretary concerned under subsection (e).”*

**SEC. 3123. INCLUSION OF INTEGRATED PLUTONIUM STRATEGY IN NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP, MANAGEMENT, AND INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN.**

*Section 4203(d) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(d)) is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7); and*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph (6):*

*“(6) A strategy for the integrated management of plutonium for stockpile and stockpile stewardship needs over a 20-year period that includes the following:*

*“(A) An assessment of the baseline science issues necessary to understand plutonium aging under static and dynamic conditions under manufactured and nonmanufactured plutonium geometries.*

*“(B) An assessment of scientific and testing instrumentation for plutonium at elemental and bulk conditions.*

*“(C) An assessment of manufacturing and handling technology for plutonium and plutonium components.*

*“(D) An assessment of computational models of plutonium performance under static and dynamic loading, including manufactured and nonmanufactured conditions.*

*“(E) An identification of any capability gaps with respect to the assessments described in subparagraphs (A) through (D).*

*“(F) An estimate of costs relating to the issues, instrumentation, technology, and models described in subparagraphs (A) through (D) over the period covered by the future-years nuclear security program under section 3253 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2453).*

*“(G) An estimate of the cost of eliminating the capability gaps identified under subparagraph (E) over the period covered by the future-years nuclear security program.*

*“(H) Such other items as the Administrator considers important for the integrated management of plutonium for stockpile and stockpile stewardship needs.”*

**SEC. 3124. MODIFICATIONS TO COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES FOR COMPETITION OF MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTS.**

(a) *ANALYSES OF BID PROTESTS.*—Subsection (a) of section 3121 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2175) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) *REPORTS REQUIRED.*—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report described in subsection (b) by not later than 30 days after the later of—

“(1) the date on which the Administrator awards a contract to manage and operate a facility of the National Nuclear Security Administration; or

“(2) the date on which a protest concerning an alleged violation of a procurement statute or regulation brought under subchapter V of chapter 35 of title 31, United States Code, with respect to such a contract is resolved.”

(b) *REPORTING ON EXPECTED COST SAVINGS.*—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by inserting “, including a description of the assumptions used and analysis conducted to determine such expected cost savings” before the semicolon.

(c) *REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.*—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(c) *REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a review of each report required by subsection (a) or (d)(2) not later than 180 days after the report is submitted to such committees.

“(2) *EXCEPTION.*—The Comptroller General may not conduct a review under paragraph (1) of a report relating to a contract to manage and operate a facility of the National Nuclear Security Administration while a protest described in subsection (a)(2) is pending with respect to that contract.”

(d) *EXCEPTION FOR NAVAL REACTORS.*—Subsection (d) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) *NAVAL REACTORS.*—The requirement for reports under subsections (a) and (d)(2) shall not apply with respect to a management and operations contract for a Naval Reactor facility.”

**SEC. 3125. MODIFICATION OF DEADLINES FOR CERTAIN REPORTS RELATING TO PROGRAM ON SCIENTIFIC ENGAGEMENT FOR NONPROLIFERATION.**

Section 3122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2176; 50 U.S.C. 2562 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by inserting “, and to the Comptroller General of the United States,” after “the appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “15” and inserting “30”;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(C) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) *WAIVER.*—The Administrator may waive the requirement under paragraph (1) to submit a report on a modification in the program under subsection (a) not later than 30 days before making the modification if the Administrator—

“(A) determines that the modification is urgent and necessary to the national security interests of the United States; and

“(B) not later than 30 days after making the modification, submits to the appropriate congressional committees—

“(i) the report on the modification required by paragraph (1); and

“(ii) a justification for exercising the waiver authority under this paragraph.”; and

(D) in paragraph (4), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “The report under paragraph (1)” and inserting “Each report submitted under paragraph (1) or (3)(B)”;

(3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “two years after the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “18 months after the date of the submittal of the report described in subsection (b)(1)”.

**SEC. 3126. MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN REPORTS ON COST CONTAINMENT FOR URANIUM CAPABILITIES REPLACEMENT PROJECT.**

Section 3123(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2178) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “QUARTERLY”;

(2) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the project referred to in subsection (a)—

“(A) not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and every 90 days thereafter through the date that is one year after such date of enactment; and

“(B) after the date that is one year after such date of enactment, at such times as the Comptroller General, in consultation with the congressional defense committees, determines appropriate, taking into consideration the critical decision points of the project (as defined in orders of the Department of Energy).”; and

(3) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and the progress on meeting the requirements of section 4713 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2753)”;

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking “programmatically”.

**SEC. 3127. PLAN FOR TANK FARM WASTE AT HANFORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subtitle D of title XLIV of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2621 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 4445. PLAN FOR TANK FARM WASTE AT HANFORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

“(a) *PLAN.*—Not later than June 1, 2014, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the initial activities (as defined in subsection (d)) for the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant and any related, required infrastructure facilities.

“(b) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The plan under subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(1) A list of significant requirements needed for the initial activities.

“(2) A schedule of significant activities needed to carry out the initial activities.

“(3) Actions required to accelerate, to the extent possible, the treatment of lower risk, low-activity waste while continuing efforts to resolve the technical challenges associated with higher risk, high-activity waste.

“(4) A description of how the Secretary will—

“(A) provide adequate protection to workers and the public under the plan; and

“(B) incorporate into the plan any significant new science and technical information that was not available before the development of the plan.

“(c) *DETERMINATIONS.*—(1) For each significant requirement identified by the Secretary under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall include in the plan submitted under subsection (a) a determination regarding whether such requirement is finalized and will be used to inform the initial activities.

“(2) For each significant requirement that the Secretary cannot make a finalized determination for under paragraph (1) by the date on which the plan under subsection (a) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Secretary shall—

“(A) include in the plan—

“(i) a description of the requirement;

“(ii) a list of significant activities required to finalize the requirement; and

“(iii) the date on which the Secretary anticipates making such determination; and

“(B) once the Secretary makes a determination that such a significant requirement is finalized, submit to such committees notification that the requirement is finalized and will be used to inform the initial activities.

“(3)(A) Notwithstanding any determination made under paragraph (1) with respect to a significant requirement identified by the Secretary under subsection (b)(1)—

“(i) the Secretary shall change a requirement if necessary to provide adequate protection to workers and the public; and

“(ii) the Secretary may change a requirement if the Secretary determines such change is necessary.

“(B) If the Secretary authorizes a change to a requirement under subparagraph (A) that will have a significant material effect on the schedule or cost of the initial activities, the Secretary shall promptly notify the congressional defense committees of such change.

“(C) The authority of the Secretary under this paragraph may be delegated only to the Deputy Secretary of Energy.

“(d) **INITIAL ACTIVITIES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘initial activities’ means activities necessary to start the operations of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant at the Hanford Tank Farms of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation, Richland, Washington, with respect to the design, construction, and operating of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant and any related, required infrastructure facilities.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4444 the following new item:

“Sec. 4445. Plan for tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.”.

**SEC. 3128. PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT AND INTEGRATION OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall develop a plan for improving and integrating the financial management of the nuclear security enterprise.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

- (1) An assessment of the expected results of the plan.
- (2) An assessment of the feasibility of the plan.
- (3) The estimated costs of carrying out the plan.
- (4) A timeline for implementation of the plan.

(c) **CONSIDERATIONS IN DEVELOPMENT OF PLAN.**—In developing the plan required by subsection (a), the Administrator shall consider the following:

- (1) Efforts to improve the structure for the allocation of work to be used by the entities within the nuclear security enterprise for the activities carried out by those entities.
- (2) Efforts to develop a clear and consistent cost structure for each program and entity within the nuclear security enterprise.
- (3) Methodologies for identifying costs for programs of record and base capabilities required for programs carried out by the nuclear security enterprise.
- (4) Mechanisms for monitoring those programs during the execution of those programs and to provide data to inform oversight of those programs.
- (5) Reporting frameworks to be used by the entities within the nuclear security enterprise to facilitate analyses, projections, and comparisons of similar activities carried out by different programs across the nuclear security enterprise.
- (6) Effects of the plan on the facilities and management and operating contractors of the nuclear security enterprise.

(d) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—The Administrator shall submit the plan required by subsection (a) to the congressional defense committees not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “nuclear security enterprise” has the meaning given that term in section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501).

**SEC. 3129. PLAN FOR DEVELOPING EXASCALE COMPUTING AND INCORPORATING SUCH COMPUTING INTO THE STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—*The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall develop and carry out a plan to develop exascale computing and incorporate such computing into the stockpile stewardship program under section 4201 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521) during the 10-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

(b) **MILESTONES.**—*The plan required by subsection (a) shall include major programmatic milestones in—*

(1) *the development of a prototype exascale computer for the stockpile stewardship program; and*

(2) *mitigating disruptions resulting from the transition to exascale computing.*

(c) **COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.**—*In developing the plan required by subsection (a), the Administrator shall coordinate, as appropriate, with the Under Secretary of Energy for Science, the Secretary of Defense, and elements of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4))).*

(d) **INCLUSION OF COSTS IN FUTURE-YEARS NUCLEAR SECURITY PROGRAM.**—*The Administrator shall—*

(1) *address, in the estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations reflected in each future-years nuclear security program submitted under section 3253 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2453) during the 10-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the costs of—*

(A) *developing exascale computing and incorporating such computing into the stockpile stewardship program; and*

(B) *mitigating potential disruptions resulting from the transition to exascale computing; and*

(2) *include in each such future-years nuclear security program a description of the costs of efforts to develop exascale computing borne by the National Nuclear Security Administration, the Office of Science of the Department of Energy, other Federal agencies, and private industry.*

(e) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—*The Administrator shall submit the plan required by subsection (a) to the congressional defense committees with each summary of the plan required by subsection (a) of section 4203 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523) submitted under subsection (b)(1) of that section during the 10-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

(f) **EXASCALE COMPUTING DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “exascale computing” means computing through the use of a computing machine that performs near or above 10 to the 18th power floating point operations per second.*

**SEC. 3130. STUDY AND PLAN FOR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN PILOT PROGRAM PRINCIPLES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall conduct a study of the feasibility of, and develop a plan for, extending the principles of the pilot program to improve and streamline*

oversight of the Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri, initiated on or about April 2006, to additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The study and plan required by subsection (a) shall address the following:

(1) The applicability of all or some of the principles of the pilot program to additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.

(2) The costs, benefits, risks, opportunities, and cost avoidances that may result from the extension of the principles of the pilot program to additional facilities.

(3) The cost avoidances that have been realized from the pilot program described in subsection (a) since the pilot program was initiated.

(4) The actions and timelines that would be required to extend the principles of the pilot program to additional facilities if the Administrator determines that extending such principles is feasible.

(c) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes the following:

(1) The results of the study and the plan required by subsection (a).

(2) The determination of the Administrator regarding whether the principles of the pilot program will be extended to additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.

(d) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “nuclear security enterprise” has the meaning given that term in section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501).

(3) The term “principles of the pilot program” means the principles regarding the use of third-party certification, industrial standards, best business practices, and verification of internal procedures and performance to improve and streamline oversight, as demonstrated in the pilot program at the Kansas City Plant described in subsection (a).

**SEC. 3131. STUDY OF POTENTIAL REUSE OF NUCLEAR WEAPON SECONDARIES.**

(a) *STUDY.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall conduct a study of the potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries that includes an assessment of the potential for reusing secondaries in future life extension programs, including—

(1) a description of which secondaries could be reused;

(2) the number of such secondaries available in the stockpile as of the date of the study; and

(3) the number of such secondaries that are planned to be available after such date as a result of the dismantlement of nuclear weapons.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The study under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) The feasibility and practicability of potential full or partial reuse options with respect to nuclear weapon secondaries.

(2) The benefits and risks of reusing such secondaries.

(3) A list of technical challenges that must be resolved to certify aged materials under dynamic loading conditions and the full stockpile-to-target sequence of weapons, including a program plan and timeline for resolving such technical challenges and an assessment of the importance of resolving outstanding materials issues on certifying aged secondaries.

(4) The potential costs and cost savings of such reuse.

(5) The effects of such reuse on the requirements for secondaries manufacturing.

(6) An assessment of how such reuse affects plans to build a responsive nuclear weapons infrastructure.

(c) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than March 1, 2014, the Administrator shall submit to the congressional defense committees the study under subsection (a).

**SEC. 3132. REPEAL OF CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) **REPORT ON COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY PRACTICES AT NATIONAL SECURITY LABORATORIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4507 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2658) is repealed.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4507.

(b) **REPORTS ON ADVANCED SUPERCOMPUTER SALES TO CERTAIN FOREIGN NATIONS.**—Section 3157 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 50 U.S.C. App. 2404 note) is repealed.

## **Subtitle D—Other Matters**

**SEC. 3141. CLARIFICATION OF ROLE OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY.**

The amendment made by section 3113 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2169) to section 4102 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2512) may not be construed as affecting the authority of the Secretary of Energy, in carrying out national security programs, with respect to the management, planning, and oversight of the National Nuclear Security Administration or as affecting the delegation by the Secretary of authority to carry out such activities, as set forth under subsection (a) of such section 4102 as it existed before the amendment made by such section 3113.

**SEC. 3142. MODIFICATION OF DEADLINES FOR CONGRESSIONAL ADVISORY PANEL ON THE GOVERNANCE OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

Section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2208) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “March 1, 2014”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “February 1, 2014” and inserting “July 1, 2014”; and

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “June 1, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2014”.

**SEC. 3143. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY LAND CONVEYANCE.**

(a) **CONSOLIDATION OF TITLE TO BANNISTER FEDERAL COMPLEX.**—Notwithstanding sections 521 and 522 of title 40, United States Code, the Administrator of General Services may transfer custody of and accountability for the portion of the real property described in subsection (b) in the custody of the General Services Administration on the date of the enactment of this Act to the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(b) **REAL PROPERTY DESCRIBED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The real property described in this subsection is the real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of the Bannister Federal Complex in Kansas City, Missouri.

(2) **FURTHER DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property described in this subsection shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Administrator of General Services.

(c) **AUTHORITIES RELATING TO CONVEYANCE OF BANNISTER FEDERAL COMPLEX.**—After the consolidation of custody of and accountability for the real property described in subsection (b) in the National Nuclear Security Administration under subsection (a), the Administrator for Nuclear Security may—

(1) negotiate an agreement to convey to an eligible entity all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property described in subsection (b); and

(2) enter into an agreement, on a reimbursable basis or otherwise, with the eligible entity to provide funding for the costs of—

(A) the negotiation of the agreement described in paragraph (1);

(B) planning for the disposition of the property; and

(C) carrying out the responsibilities of the Administrator under section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)) with respect to the property, including—

(i) identification, investigation, and clean up of, and research and development with respect to, contamination from a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant;

(ii) correction of other environmental damage that creates an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or to the environment; and

(iii) demolition and removal of buildings and structures as required to clean up contamination or as re-

quired for completion of the responsibilities of the Administrator under that section.

(d) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **PRICE.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall select, through a public process provided for under the regulations of the Department of Energy, the eligible entity to which the real property described in subsection (b) is to be conveyed under subsection (c). The Administrator shall use good faith efforts to ensure the greatest possible return on such conveyance considering the conditions described in paragraphs (2) and (3).

(2) **CONDITION ON CONVEYANCE.**—The conveyance under subsection (c) shall be subject to the requirements relating to transfer of property by the Federal Government under section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)).

(3) **OCCUPANCY BY NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION.**—The conveyance under subsection (c) shall be subject to the condition that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration may continue to occupy until December 31, 2015, the space in the real property described in subsection (b) that the Administration occupies as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—

(1) **REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall use any funds received from the conveyance under subsection (c) to reimburse the Administrator for costs (other than costs referred to in paragraph (2) of that subsection) incurred by the Administrator to carry out the conveyance, including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs referred to in that paragraph. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(f) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (c) as the Administrator considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(g) **ELIGIBLE ENTITY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “eligible entity” means a nongovernmental entity that has demonstrated to the Administrator for Nuclear Security, in the Administrator’s sole discretion, that the entity has the capability to operate and maintain the real property described in subsection (b).

**SEC. 3144. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954.**

Chapter 10 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.), as amended by section 3176 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2215), is amended in the matter following section 111 by inserting

before “a. The Commission” the following: “Sec. 112. DOMESTIC MEDICAL ISOTOPE PRODUCTION.—”.

**SEC. 3145. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION ACT.**

(a) **ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY.**—Section 3212(c) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2402(c)) is amended by striking “section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))” and inserting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code”.

(b) **STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION AND CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL.**—Section 3220 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2410) is amended in subsection (a)(1)(A) and subsection (b) by inserting “(42 U.S.C. 7132(c)(3))” after “section 202(c)(3) of the Department of Energy Organization Act”.

(c) **GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND COMPUTERS.**—Section 3235(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2425(b)) is amended by inserting “(Public Law 99–508; 100 Stat. 1848)” after “of 1986”.

(d) **AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH CERTAIN POSITIONS.**—Section 3241 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2441) is amended in the last sentence—

(1) by striking “excepted positions established” and inserting “positions established”;

(2) by striking “an excepted position” and inserting “a position”; and

(3) by striking “nonexcepted position” and inserting “position not established under this section”.

(e) **SEPARATE TREATMENT IN BUDGET.**—Section 3251(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2451(a)) is amended by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.

(f) **FUTURE-YEARS NUCLEAR SECURITY PROGRAM.**—Section 3253(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2453(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “five-fiscal year” each place it appears and inserting “five-fiscal-year”;

(2) by striking paragraph (5) and by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (5); and

(3) in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (5), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by striking “National Nuclear Security”.

(g) **COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION.**—Section 3262 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2462) is amended by striking “the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.)” and inserting “section 1303(a)(1) of title 41, United States Code”.

(h) **USE OF CAPABILITIES OF NATIONAL SECURITY LABORATORIES.**—Section 3264 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2464) is amended by inserting “of Energy” after “Secretary”.

(i) **DEFINITIONS.**—Section 3281(2)(F) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2471(2)(F)) is amended by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.

(j) **FUNCTIONS TRANSFERRED.**—Section 3291(d)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2481(d)(1)) is amended by moving the flush text after subparagraph (B) 2 ems to the left.

**SEC. 3146. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO THE ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACT.**

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “In this division” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided, in this division”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (5), (6), (7), and (8) as paragraphs (6), (7), (9), and (10), respectively;

(C) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):

“(5) The terms ‘defense nuclear facility’ and ‘Department of Energy defense nuclear facility’ have the meaning given the term ‘Department of Energy defense nuclear facility’ in section 318 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286g).”;

(D) by inserting after paragraph (7), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), the following new paragraph (8):

“(8) The term ‘Nuclear Weapons Council’ means the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code.”; and

(E) in paragraph (10), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “restricted data” and inserting “Restricted Data”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PLAN.—Section 4203(e)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(e)(1)) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code.”.

(B) REPORTS ON LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAMS.—Section 4216(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2536(a)) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code.”.

(C) SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORTS.—Section 4217(b)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2537(b)(1)) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “established under section 179 of title 10, United States Code.”.

(D) ADVICE ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.—Section 4218 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2538) is amended—

(i) in subsection (e), by striking “Joint”; and

(ii) in subsection (f)(1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “established under section 179 of title 10, United States Code”.

(E) REPORTS ON PERMANENT CLOSURES OF DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.—Section 4422(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2602(a)) is amended by striking “(as defined in section 318 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286(g)))”.

(F) PROHIBITION ON INTERNATIONAL INSPECTIONS.—Section 4501(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2651(a)) is amended by striking “restricted data” and inserting “Restricted Data”.

(G) REVIEW OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BEFORE DECLASSIFICATION AND RELEASE.—Section 4521 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2671) is amended by striking “restricted data” each place it appears and inserting “Restricted Data”.

(H) PROTECTION AGAINST INADVERTENT RELEASE OF RESTRICTED DATA AND FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA.—Section 4522 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2672) is amended by striking subsection (g).

(I) *DEFINITIONS*.—Section 4701 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2741) is amended—

- (i) by striking paragraph (2); and
- (ii) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(J) *PROHIBITION AND REPORT ON BONUSES TO CONTRACTORS*.—Section 4802 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2782) is amended—

- (i) by striking subsection (b); and
- (ii) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

(K) *TRANSFERS OF REAL PROPERTY*.—Section 4831(f) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2811(f)) is amended by striking “section:” and all that follows through “(2) The terms” and inserting “section, the terms”.

(b) *RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN LICENSING REQUIREMENT*.—Section 4103 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2513) is amended by inserting “; 94 Stat. 3197” after “Public Law 96-540”.

(c) *NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE MATTERS*.—

(1) *STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM*.—Section 4201 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2521) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “for Nuclear Security”; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (4)(D), by striking “Nevada national security site” and inserting “Nevada National Security Site”; and

(ii) in paragraph (5)—

(I) by striking subparagraphs (A) through (D) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A): “(A) the nuclear weapons production facilities; and”; and

(II) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (B).

(2) *STOCKPILE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM*.—Section 4204(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2524(a)) is amended by striking “for Nuclear Security”.

(3) *ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE*.—Section 4205 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2525) is amended—

(A) in subsection (c), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “for Nuclear Security”; and

(B) in subsection (h)—

(i) in the subsection heading, by striking “DEFINITIONS” and inserting “DEFINITION”;

(ii) by striking “section:” and all that follows through “(2) The term” and inserting “section, the term”; and

(iii) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively, and by moving such paragraphs, as so redesignated, 2 ems to the left.

(4) *NUCLEAR TEST BAN READINESS PROGRAM*.—Section 4207 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2527) is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (a);

(B) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (a), (b), and (c), respectively;

(C) in subsection (a), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “Soviet Union” and inserting “Russian Federation”;

- (D) in subsection (b), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsection (a)”; and
- (E) in subsection (c), as redesignated by subparagraph (B)—
- (i) by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsection (a)”; and
  - (ii) by striking “national nuclear weapons laboratories” and inserting “national security laboratories”.
- (5) **REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC REQUEST FOR NEW OR MODIFIED NUCLEAR WEAPONS.**—Section 4209(d) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2529(d)) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of this Act” each place it appears and inserting “December 2, 2002”.
- (6) **MANUFACTURING INFRASTRUCTURE.**—Section 4212 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2532) is amended—
- (A) in subsection (a)(2), by striking “Review” and inserting “Memorandum”; and
  - (B) in subsection (c), by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.
- (7) **REPORTS ON CRITICAL DIFFICULTIES.**—Section 4213 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2533) is amended—
- (A) in subsection (a)—
    - (i) in the subsection heading, by striking “PLANTS” and inserting “FACILITIES”; and
    - (ii) by striking “plant” each place it appears and inserting “facility”; and
  - (B) in subsection (d)—
    - (i) in the subsection heading, by striking “CERTIFICATION” and inserting “ASSESSMENT”; and
    - (ii) by striking “included with the decision documents” and all that follows through “the President” and inserting “submitted to the President and Congress with the matters required to be submitted under section 4205(f)”.
- (8) **PLAN FOR TRANSFORMATION OF NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**—
- (A) **REPEAL.**—Section 4214 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2534) is repealed.
  - (B) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4214.
- (9) **REPLACEMENT PROJECT FOR CHEMISTRY AND METALLURGY RESEARCH BUILDING.**—Section 4215(d)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2535(d)(2)) is amended by striking “National Nuclear Security”.
- (10) **ADVICE ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.**—Section 4218 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2538), as amended by subsection (a)(2)(D), is further amended—
- (A) by striking subsection (a);
  - (B) by redesignating subsections (b) through (g) as subsections (a) through (f), respectively; and
  - (C) in subsection (d), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “(under section 3159 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law

- 104–201; 42 U.S.C. 7274o))” and inserting “under section 4213”.
- (11) **TRITIUM PRODUCTION PROGRAM.**—
- (A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (b) of section 4233 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2543) is—
- (i) transferred to the end of section 4231 (50 U.S.C. 2541); and
- (ii) redesignated as subsection (c).
- (B) **CONFORMING REPEAL.**—Section 4233 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2543) is repealed.
- (C) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4233.
- (d) **PROLIFERATION MATTERS.**—
- (1) **NONPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES AND ACTIVITIES.**—
- (A) **REPEAL.**—Section 4302 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2562) is repealed.
- (B) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4302.
- (2) **NUCLEAR CITIES INITIATIVE.**—
- (A) **REPEAL.**—Section 4304 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2564) is repealed.
- (B) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4304.
- (e) **DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**—
- (1) **DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP ACCOUNT.**—Section 4401 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2581) is amended—
- (A) in the section heading, by striking “**RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT**” and inserting “**CLEANUP**”;
- (B) in subsection (a), by striking “Restoration and Waste Management” and inserting “Cleanup”; and
- (C) in subsection (b), by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.
- (2) **FUTURE USE PLANS FOR DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**—Section 4402 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2582) is amended—
- (A) in the section heading, by striking “**ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**” and inserting “**DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP**”;
- (B) in subsection (a), by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”;
- (C) in subsection (b)—
- (i) by striking paragraph (2); and
- (ii) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively;
- (D) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “for program direction in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “for defense environmental cleanup”;
- (E) by striking subsection (f);

- (F) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and
- (G) in paragraph (2) of subsection (g), as redesignated by subparagraph (F)—
- (i) by striking “an environmental restoration or waste management” and inserting “a defense environmental cleanup”; and
- (ii) by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.
- (3) *FUTURE-YEARS DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP PLAN.*—Section 4402A of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2582A) is amended—
- (A) in the section heading, by striking “**MANAGEMENT**” and inserting “**CLEANUP**”;
- (B) in subsection (a)—
- (i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “management” and inserting “cleanup”; and
- (ii) in paragraph (1), by striking “environmental management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”; and
- (C) in subsection (b), by striking “management” each place it appears and inserting “cleanup”.
- (4) *INTEGRATED FISSILE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—Section 4403 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2583) is amended—
- (A) in subsection (a)(1)—
- (i) by striking “the Office of Fissile Materials Disposition, the Office of Nuclear Energy, and the Office of Defense Programs” and inserting “the Office of Nuclear Energy, and the Administration”; and
- (ii) by striking “storage” and inserting “storage,”; and
- (B) in subsection (b), by striking “March 31, 2000” and inserting “March 31, 2014”.
- (5) *BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT REPORTS.*—Section 4404 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2584) is repealed.
- (6) *ACCELERATED SCHEDULE FOR DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP ACTIVITIES.*—Section 4405 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2585) is amended—
- (A) in the section heading, by striking “**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT**” and inserting “**DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP**”;
- (B) in subsection (a), by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”;
- (C) in subsection (b)—
- (i) by striking paragraph (2); and
- (ii) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), respectively;
- (D) by striking subsection (c);
- (E) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (c); and
- (F) in subsection (c), as redesignated by subparagraph (E)—

(i) by striking “environmental restoration or waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”; and

(ii) by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.

(7) **DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.**—Section 4406 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2586) is amended—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “WASTE” and inserting “ENVIRONMENTAL”;

(B) by striking subsections (b) and (c); and

(C) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (b).

(8) **REPORT ON DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP EXPENDITURES.**—Section 4407 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2587) is amended—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION” and inserting “DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP”; and

(B) by striking “environmental restoration and waste management funds for defense activities” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup funds”.

(9) **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN PLANNING FOR DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**—Section 4408 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2588) is amended—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT AT DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES” and inserting “DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP”;

(B) by striking “Attorneys General” and inserting “attorneys general”; and

(C) by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup activities”.

(10) **PROJECTS TO ACCELERATE CLOSURE ACTIVITIES.**—Section 4421 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2601) is repealed.

(11) **REPORTS IN CONNECTION WITH CLOSURES.**—Section 4422 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2602) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), as amended by subsection (a)(2)(E)—

(i) by striking “must” and inserting “shall”; and

(ii) by striking “environmental remediation and cleanup” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”; and

(B) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “environmental restoration and other remediation and cleanup efforts” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup activities”.

(12) **DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION PROJECTS.**—Subtitle C of title XLIV of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2611) is repealed.

(13) **HANFORD WASTE TANK CLEANUP PROGRAM.**—Section 4442(b)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2622(b)(2)) is amended by striking “responsible for” and all that follows through “aspects” and inserting “responsible for managing all aspects”.

(14) *FUNDING FOR TERMINATION COSTS OF RIVER PROTECTION PROJECT.*—Section 4444(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2624(2)) is amended by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.

(15) *SAVANNAH RIVER SITE.*—Subtitle E of title XLIV of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2631 et seq.) is amended by striking sections 4453A, 4453B, 4453C, and 4453D.

(16) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—Title XLIV of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2581 et seq.) is amended—

(A) in the title heading, by striking “**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT**” and inserting “**DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP**”;

(B) in the subtitle heading for subtitle A, by striking “**Environmental Restoration and Waste Management**” and inserting “**Defense Environmental Cleanup**”; and

(C) by redesignating subtitles D and E as subtitles C and D, respectively.

(17) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the items relating to title XLIV and inserting the following new items:

“TITLE XLIV—DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP MATTERS

“Subtitle A—Defense Environmental Cleanup

“Sec. 4401. Defense Environmental Cleanup Account.

“Sec. 4402. Requirement to develop future use plans for defense environmental cleanup.

“Sec. 4402A. Future-years defense environmental cleanup plan.

“Sec. 4403. Integrated fissile materials management plan.

“Sec. 4405. Accelerated schedule for defense environmental cleanup activities.

“Sec. 4406. Defense environmental cleanup technology program.

“Sec. 4407. Report on defense environmental cleanup expenditures.

“Sec. 4408. Public participation in planning for defense environmental cleanup.

“Subtitle B—Closure of Facilities

“Sec. 4422. Reports in connection with permanent closures of Department of Energy defense nuclear facilities.

“Subtitle C—Hanford Reservation, Washington

“Sec. 4441. Safety measures for waste tanks at Hanford nuclear reservation.

“Sec. 4442. Hanford waste tank cleanup program reforms.

“Sec. 4443. River Protection Project.

“Sec. 4444. Funding for termination costs of River Protection Project, Richland, Washington.

“Subtitle D—Savannah River Site, South Carolina

“Sec. 4451. Accelerated schedule for isolating high-level nuclear waste at the defense waste processing facility, Savannah River Site.

“Sec. 4452. Multi-year plan for clean-up.

“Sec. 4453. Continuation of processing, treatment, and disposal of legacy nuclear materials.

“Sec. 4454. Limitation on use of funds for decommissioning F-canyon facility.”.

(f) *SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY MATTERS.*—

(1) *RESTRICTIONS ON ACCESS TO NATIONAL SECURITY LABORATORIES.*—Section 4502 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2652) is amended—

(A) by striking subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e);

(B) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (b) and (c), respectively; and

(C) in paragraph (2) of subsection (c), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking “as in effect on January 1, 1999”.

(2) COUNTERINTELLIGENCE POLYGRAPH PROGRAM.—Section 4504 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2654) is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (d); and

(B) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d).

(3) NOTICE TO CONGRESS OF CERTAIN SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE FAILURES.—Section 4505(e)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2656(e)(2)) is amended by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.

(4) AMOUNTS FOR DECLASSIFICATION ACTIVITIES.—Section 4525 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2675) is amended by striking subsection (c).

(5) RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEFENSE PROGRAMS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM.—

(A) REPEAL.—Subtitle C of title XLV of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2691) is repealed.

(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the items relating to subtitle C of title XLV.

(g) PERSONNEL MATTERS.—

(1) APPOINTMENT OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—Section 4601(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2701(a)) is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(2) WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION PROGRAM.—Section 4602 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2702) is amended—

(A) in subsection (l), by striking “Public Law 101–512” and inserting “Public Law 101–12; 103 Stat. 16”; and

(B) by striking subsection (n).

(3) INCENTIVES FOR EMPLOYEES AT CLOSURE PROJECT FACILITIES.—

(A) REPEAL.—Section 4603 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2703) is repealed.

(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4603.

(4) WORKFORCE RESTRUCTURING PLACE.—Section 4604 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2704) is amended—

(A) in subsection (c)(6)(A), by inserting “(29 U.S.C. 2801 et seq.)” after “of 1998”; and

(B) in subsection (f)(1), by striking “the 236 H facility at Savannah River, South Carolina; and the Mound Laboratory, Ohio” and inserting “and the 236 H facility at Savannah River, South Carolina”.

(5) CERTIFICATES OF COMMENDATION.—Section 4605(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2705(b)) is amended by striking “Cold War” and inserting “cold war”.

(6) EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT TRAINING.—Section 4621(b)(6) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2721(b)(6)) is amended by striking “environmental restoration and defense waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.

(7) *STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM.*—Section 4622 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2722) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “Sandia” and all that follows through “Los Alamos National Laboratory” and inserting “national security laboratories”; and

(B) in subsections (b) and (c), by striking “laboratories referred to in subsection (a)(1)” each place it appears and inserting “national security laboratories”.

(8) *FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.*—Section 4623(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2723(b)) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by inserting “either of” after “who are”.

(9) *WORKER PROTECTION.*—Section 4641 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2731) is amended by striking subsection (e).

(10) *SAFETY OVERSIGHT AND ENFORCEMENT.*—Section 4642 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2732) is amended—

(A) by striking “(a) SAFETY AT DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.—”; and

(B) by striking subsection (b).

(11) *MONITORING WORKERS EXPOSED TO HAZARDOUS AND RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES.*—Section 4643 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2733) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by inserting “of Energy” after “Secretary”; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (2)(B)—

(I) by inserting “and Prevention” after “Disease Control”; and

(II) by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting a period;

(ii) in paragraph (3)(C), by inserting “and Measurements” after “Radiation Protection”;

(iii) in paragraph (4)—

(I) by striking “paragraph (1)(D)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(B)”; and

(II) by striking “paragraph (1)(E)” and inserting “paragraph (1)”; and

(iv) in paragraph (5), by striking “paragraph (1)(E)” and inserting “paragraph (1)”.

(12) *PROGRAMS RELATING TO EXPOSURE ON HANFORD RESERVATION.*—Section 4644(c) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2734(c)) is amended—

(A) by striking “the Congress” each place it appears and inserting “Congress”; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by inserting “and Prevention” after “Disease Control”.

(13) *NOTIFICATION OF NUCLEAR CRITICALITY AND NON-NUCLEAR INCIDENTS.*—Section 4646(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2736(a)) is amended by striking “Energy and” and inserting “Energy or”.

(h) *BUDGET AND FINANCIAL MATTERS.*—

(1) *REPROGRAMMING.*—Section 4702(c) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2742(c)) is amended by striking “subsection (a)” and insert “this subsection”.

(2) *TRANSFER OF DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP FUNDS.*—Section 4710 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2750) is amended—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “MANAGEMENT” and inserting “CLEANUP”;

(B) in subsection (a)—

(i) in the subsection heading, by striking “MANAGEMENT” and inserting “CLEANUP”; and

(ii) by striking “management” and inserting “cleanup”; and

(C) in subsection (e)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) by striking “environmental restoration or waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”; and

(II) by striking “environmental management” and inserting “environmental cleanup”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) by striking “environmental management” and inserting “environmental cleanup”; and

(II) by striking “environmental restoration and waste management” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”.

(3) *TRANSFER OF WEAPONS ACTIVITIES FUNDS.*—Section 4711(d) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2751(d)) is amended by striking “for Nuclear Security”.

(4) *NOTIFICATION OF COST OVERRUNS.*—Section 4713(a)(3) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2753(a)(3)) is amended—

(A) in the paragraph heading, by striking “MANAGEMENT” and inserting “CLEANUP”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A), by striking “environmental management” and inserting “environmental cleanup”.

(5) *USE OF FUNDS FOR PENALTIES UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.*—Section 4721(b)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2761(b)(2)) is amended by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.

(6) *RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS TO PAY CERTAIN PENALTIES.*—Section 4722 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2762) is amended—

(A) by inserting “; 94 Stat. 3197” after “Public Law 96-540”; and

(B) by striking “the Congress” and inserting “Congress”.

(i) *ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.*—

(1) *COSTS NOT ALLOWED UNDER COVERED CONTRACTS.*—Section 4801(b)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2781(b)(1)) is amended by striking “section 22 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 418b)” and inserting “section 1707 of title 41, United States Code”.

(2) *CONTRACTOR LIABILITY FOR CERTAIN INJURIES OR LOSS OF PROPERTY.*—Section 4803(b)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2783(b)(1)) is amended by striking “by the Act of March 9, 1920 (46 U.S.C. App. 741–752), or by the Act of March 3, 1925 (46 U.S.C. App. 781–790)” and inserting “or by chapter 309 or 311 of title 46, United States Code”.

(3) *USE OF FUNDS FOR LABORATORY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.*—Section 4812 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2792) is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (b);

(B) by striking “GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—(1)” and inserting “LIMITATION ON USE OF WEAPONS ACTIVITIES FUNDS.—”;

(C) by striking “(2)” and inserting “(b) LIMITATION ON USE OF CERTAIN OTHER FUNDS.—”; and

(D) in subsection (b), as redesignated by subparagraph (C)—

(i) by striking “environmental restoration, waste management, or nuclear materials and facilities stabilization” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup”; and

(ii) by striking “environmental restoration mission, waste management mission, or materials stabilization mission, as the case may be,” and inserting “defense environmental cleanup mission”.

(4) *REPORT ON LABORATORY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUNDS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 4812A of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2793) is amended—

(i) in the section heading, by striking “LIMITATION” and inserting “REPORT”;

(ii) by striking subsection (a);

(iii) by striking “(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1)” and inserting “(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—”;

(iv) by striking “(2)” and inserting “(b) PREPARATION OF REPORT.—”; and

(v) by striking “(3)” and inserting “(c) CRITERIA USED IN PREPARATION OF REPORT.—”.

(B) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4812A and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4812A. Report on use of funds for certain research and development purposes.”.

(5) *CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIPS.*—Section 4813 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2794) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “for Nuclear Security”; and

(B) in subsection (c)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) that is a defense critical technology (as defined in section 2500 of title 10, United States Code).”; and

(ii) in paragraph (3)(B)(iii), by striking “Governments” and inserting “governments”.

(6) *CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF REAL PROPERTY.*—Section 4831 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2811), as amended by subsection (a)(2)(K), is further amended—

(A) by striking “Secretary of Energy” each place it appears (other than in subsection (a)(1)) and inserting “Secretary”; and

(B) in subsection (d), in the subsection heading, by striking “OF ENERGY”.

(7) **ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND DEMONSTRATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4832 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2812) is amended in the section heading by striking “**PLANT MANAGERS OF CERTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS PRODUCTION PLANTS**” and inserting “**MANAGERS OF CERTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS PRODUCTION FACILITIES**”.

(B) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4832 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4832. Engineering and manufacturing research, development, and demonstration by managers of certain nuclear weapons production facilities.”.

**SEC. 3147. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON B61-12 LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM.**

*It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *the B61-12 life extension program must be a high priority of the National Nuclear Security Administration;*

(2) *the B61-12 life extension program must be given top priority in the budget of the Administration and, if necessary, funding should be shifted from other programs of the Administration to ensure that the B61-12 life extension program stays on schedule to begin delivering B61-12 nuclear bombs to the military by not later than fiscal year 2020; and*

(3) *further delays to the B61-12 life extension program would undermine the credibility and reliability of the nuclear deterrent of the United States and the assurances provided to allies of the United States.*

**SEC. 3148. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ADVISORY BOARD ON TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND WORKER HEALTH.**

*It is the sense of Congress that the President should establish an Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health, as described in the report of the Comptroller General of the United States titled “Energy Employees Compensation: Additional Independent Oversight and Transparency Would Improve Program’s Credibility”, numbered GAO-10-302, to—*

(1) *advise the President concerning the review and approval of the Department of Labor site exposure matrix;*

(2) *conduct periodic peer reviews of, and approve, medical guidance for part E claims examiners with respect to the weighing of a claimant’s medical evidence;*

(3) *obtain periodic expert review of evidentiary requirements for part B claims related to lung disease regardless of approval;*

(4) *provide oversight over industrial hygienists, Department of Labor staff physicians, and Department of Labor’s consulting physicians and their reports to ensure quality, objectivity, and consistency; and*

(5) *coordinate exchanges of data and findings with the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (under section 3624 the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384o)) to the extent necessary.*

## **TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD**

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

### **SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.**

*There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014, \$29,915,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).*

## **TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

### **SEC. 3401. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

*(a) AMOUNT.—There are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2014 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves.*

*(b) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.*

## **TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION**

Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2014.

Sec. 3502. 5-year reauthorization of vessel war risk insurance program.

Sec. 3503. Sense of Congress.

Sec. 3504. Treatment of funds for intermodal transportation maritime facility, Port of Anchorage, Alaska.

Sec. 3505. Strategic seaports.

### **SEC. 3501. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE MERCHANT MARINE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:*

*(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, \$81,268,000, of which—*

*(A) \$67,268,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations; and*

*(B) \$14,000,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.*

*(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, \$17,100,000, of which—*

*(A) \$2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;*

(B) \$3,600,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies; and

(C) \$11,100,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels.

(3) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(4) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, \$186,000,000.

(5) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, \$72,655,000, of which \$2,655,000 shall remain available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

**SEC. 3502. 5-YEAR REAUTHORIZATION OF VESSEL WAR RISK INSURANCE PROGRAM.**

Section 53912 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2020”.

**SEC. 3503. SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress finds the following:

(1) It is in the interest of United States national security that the United States merchant marine, both ships and mariners, serve as a naval auxiliary in times of war or national emergency.

(2) The readiness of the United States merchant fleet should be augmented by a Government-owned reserve fleet comprised of ships with national defense features that may not be available immediately in sufficient numbers or types in the active United States-owned, United States-flagged, and United States-crewed commercial industry.

(3) The Ready Reserve Force of the Maritime Administration, a component of the National Defense Reserve Fleet, plays an important role in United States national security by providing necessary readiness and efficiency in the form of a Government-owned sealift fleet.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) maintaining a United States shipbuilding base is critical to meeting United States national security requirements;

(2) it is of vital importance that the Ready Reserve Force of the Maritime Administration remains capable, modern, and efficient in order to best serve the national security needs of the United States in times of war or national emergency;

(3) Federal agencies must consider investment options for replacing aging vessels within the Ready Reserve Force to meet future operational commitments;

(4) investment in recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force may include—

(A) construction of dual-use vessels, based on need, for use in the America’s Marine Highway Program of the De-

partment of Transportation, as a recent study performed under a cooperative agreement between the Maritime Administration and the Navy demonstrated that dual-use vessels transporting domestic freight between United States ports could be called upon to supplement sealift capacity;

(B) construction of tanker vessels to meet military transport needs; and

(C) construction of vessels for use in transporting potential new energy exports; and

(5) the Department of Transportation, in consultation with the Navy, should pursue the most cost-effective means of recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force, including by promoting the building of new vessels that are militarily useful and commercially viable.

**SEC. 3504. TREATMENT OF FUNDS FOR INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION MARITIME FACILITY, PORT OF ANCHORAGE, ALASKA.**

Section 10205 of Public Law 109–59 (119 Stat. 1934) is amended by striking “shall” and inserting “may”.

**SEC. 3505. STRATEGIC SEAPORTS.**

(a) **PRIORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Under the port infrastructure development program established under section 50302(c) of title 46, United States Code, the Maritime Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, may give priority to providing funding to strategic seaports in support of national security requirements.

(2) **STRATEGIC SEAPORT DEFINED.**—In this subsection the term “strategic seaport” means a military port or and commercial port that is subject to a port planning order or Basic Ordering Agreement (or both) that is projected to be used for the deployment of forces and shipment of ammunition or sustainment supplies in support of military operations.

(b) **FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 50302(c)(2)(D) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting “and financial assistance, including grants,” after “technical assistance”.

## ***DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES***

Sec. 4001. Authorization of amounts in funding tables.

### **TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT**

Sec. 4101. Procurement.

Sec. 4102. Procurement for overseas contingency operations.

### **TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

Sec. 4201. Research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 4202. Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.

### **TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Sec. 4301. Operation and maintenance.

Sec. 4302. Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL**

Sec. 4401. *Military personnel.*  
 Sec. 4402. *Military personnel for overseas contingency operations.*

**TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

Sec. 4501. *Other authorizations.*  
 Sec. 4502. *Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.*

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

Sec. 4601. *Military construction.*

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

Sec. 4701. *Department of energy national security programs.*

**SEC. 4001. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDING TABLES.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Whenever a funding table in this division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project, program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) *MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.*—A decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

- (1) be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, or on competitive procedures; and
- (2) comply with other applicable provisions of law.

(c) *RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAMMING AUTHORITY.*—An amount specified in the funding tables in this division may be transferred or reprogrammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority provided by another provision of this Act or by other law. The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law, unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds between appropriation accounts.

(d) *APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.*—This section applies to any classified annex that accompanies this Act.

(e) *ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.*—No oral or written communication concerning any amount specified in the funding tables in this division shall supersede the requirements of this section.

**TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT**

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.**

<b>SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
<b>FIXED WING</b>			
001	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT .....	19,730	19,730
003	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) .....	142,050	85,050
	Reduction of EMARSS LRIP aircraft .....		[-57,000]
004	MQ-1 UAV .....	518,460	518,460
005	RQ-11 (RAVEN) .....	10,772	10,772
<b>ROTARY</b>			

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
006	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) .....	96,227	171,227
	Program increase for additional aircraft .....		[75,000]
007	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN .....	608,469	608,469
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	150,931	150,931
012	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) .....	1,046,976	1,032,915
	Transfer to PE 0203774A at Army request .....		[-14,061]
013	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	116,001	116,001
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	801,650	801,650
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	98,376	98,376
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>		
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS .....	97,781	97,781
017	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) .....	10,262	10,262
018	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) .....	12,467	12,467
019	AH-64 MODS .....	53,559	53,559
020	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) .....	149,764	149,764
021	UTILITY /CARGO AIRPLANE MODS .....	17,500	17,500
022	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS .....	74,095	74,095
023	KIOWA MODS WARRIOR .....	184,044	184,044
024	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN .....	152,569	152,569
025	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE .....	92,779	92,779
026	GATM ROLLUP .....	65,613	65,613
027	RQ-7 UAV MODS .....	121,902	121,902
	<b>GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS</b>		
028	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT .....	47,610	47,610
029	SURVIVABILITY CM .....	5,700	5,700
030	CMWS .....	126,869	126,869
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
031	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	6,809	6,809
032	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....	65,397	65,397
033	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS .....	45,841	45,841
034	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	79,692	79,692
035	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	1,615	1,615
036	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET .....	2,877	2,877
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>5,024,387</b>	<b>5,028,326</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM</b>		
002	MSE MISSILE .....	540,401	540,401
	<b>AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM</b>		
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....	4,464	4,464
	<b>ANTI-TANK ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>		
004	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	110,510	110,510
005	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	49,354	49,354
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	19,965	19,965
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) .....	237,216	237,216
008	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) .....	19,022	19,022
	<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>		
011	PATRIOT MODS .....	256,438	256,438
012	STINGER MODS .....	37,252	37,252
013	ITAS /TOW MODS .....	20,000	20,000
014	MLRS MODS .....	11,571	11,571
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS .....	6,105	6,105
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
016	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	11,222	11,222
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
017	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS .....	3,530	3,530
018	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) .....	1,748	1,748
019	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT .....	5,285	5,285
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>		
	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>		
001	STRYKER VEHICLE .....	374,100	374,100

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>			
002	STRYKER (MOD) .....	20,522	20,522
003	FIST VEHICLE (MOD) .....	29,965	29,965
004	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) .....	158,000	158,000
005	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) .....	4,769	4,769
006	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) .....	260,177	219,477
	Transfer to PE 0604854A at Army Request .....		[-40,700]
007	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) .....	111,031	186,031
	Program increase .....		[75,000]
008	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) .....	2,500	2,500
009	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE .....	62,951	62,951
010	M88 FOV MODS .....	28,469	28,469
011	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE .....	2,002	2,002
012	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) .....	178,100	178,100
013	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM .....		90,000
	Program increase .....		[90,000]
<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>			
014	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) .....	1,544	1,544
<b>WEAPONS &amp; OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES</b>			
015	INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY .....	69,147	0
	Transfer to PE 0604601A per Army's request .....		[-11,000]
	XM25 Counter Defilade Target Engagement .....		[-58,147]
018	MORTAR SYSTEMS .....	5,310	5,310
019	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) .....	24,049	24,049
021	CARBINE .....	70,846	21,254
	Individual Carbine program cancelation .....		[-49,592]
023	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION .....	56,580	56,580
024	HANDGUN .....	300	300
<b>MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH</b>			
026	M777 MODS .....	39,300	39,300
027	M4 CARBINE MODS .....	10,300	10,300
028	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS .....	33,691	33,691
029	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS .....	7,608	7,608
030	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS .....	2,719	2,719
031	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS .....	7,017	7,017
032	M119 MODIFICATIONS .....	18,707	18,707
033	M16 RIFLE MODS .....	2,136	2,136
034	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) .....	1,569	1,569
<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>			
035	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) .....	2,024	2,024
036	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) .....	10,108	10,108
037	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	459	459
038	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG) .....	1,267	1,267
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY .....</b>	<b>1,597,267</b>	<b>1,602,828</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>			
<b>SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION</b>			
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES .....	112,167	87,167
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-25,000]
003	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES .....	58,571	53,571
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-5,000]
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....	9,858	9,858
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES .....	80,037	55,037
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-25,000]
007	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES .....	16,496	6,196
	Program decrease .....		[-10,300]
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....	69,533	50,033
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-19,500]
009	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES .....	55,781	55,781
<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>			
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	38,029	38,029
011	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	24,656	24,656
012	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	60,781	60,781

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>TANK AMMUNITION</b>		
013	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES .....	121,551	121,551
	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>		
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES .....	39,825	39,825
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....	37,902	37,902
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	67,896	67,896
017	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL .....	71,205	71,205
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
020	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	1,012	1,012
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....	108,476	108,476
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>		
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	24,074	24,074
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	33,242	33,242
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....	7,609	7,609
025	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES .....	5,228	5,228
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>		
026	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES .....	16,700	16,700
027	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES .....	7,366	7,366
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....	3,614	3,614
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO) .....	12,423	12,423
030	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT .....	16,604	16,604
031	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) .....	14,328	14,328
032	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES .....	108	108
	<b>PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT</b>		
033	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	242,324	242,324
034	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION .....	179,605	179,605
035	ARMS INITIATIVE .....	3,436	3,436
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY ...</b>	<b>1,540,437</b>	<b>1,455,637</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS .....	4,000	4,000
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: .....	6,841	6,841
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) .....	223,910	223,910
004	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP .....	11,880	11,880
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) .....	14,731	14,731
006	PLS ESP .....	44,252	44,252
009	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV .....	39,525	39,525
011	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS .....	51,258	25,958
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-25,300]
012	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP .....	49,904	49,904
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS .....	2,200	2,200
	<b>NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
014	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN .....	400	400
015	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	716	716
016	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER .....	5,619	5,619
	<b>COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
018	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK .....	973,477	973,477
019	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	14,120	14,120
020	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY .....	7,869	7,869
021	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) .....	5,296	5,296
	<b>COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
022	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS .....	147,212	147,212
023	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICA- TIONS.	7,998	7,998
024	SHF TERM .....	7,232	7,232
025	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	3,308	3,308
026	SMART-T (SPACE) .....	13,992	13,992
028	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS .....	28,206	28,206
029	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) .....	2,778	2,778
	<b>COMM—C3 SYSTEM</b>		
031	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS) .....	17,590	17,590
	<b>COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS</b>		

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
032	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO) .....	786	786
033	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM .....	382,930	382,930
034	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) .....	19,200	19,200
035	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2) .....	1,438	1,438
036	SINGGARS FAMILY .....	9,856	9,856
037	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2 .....	14,184	14,184
038	TRACTOR DESK .....	6,271	6,271
040	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELEC- TRONICS.	1,030	1,030
041	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	31,868	31,868
042	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE .....	18,000	18,000
044	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY .....	1,166	1,166
045	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE .... <b>COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM</b>	22,867	22,867
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE .....	1,512	1,512
049	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT .....	61,096	61,096
	<b>INFORMATION SECURITY</b>		
050	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS) .....	13,890	13,890
051	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP .....	23,245	23,245
052	BIOMETRICS ENTERPRISE .....	3,800	3,800
053	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) .....	24,711	24,711
	<b>COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
055	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS .....	43,395	43,395
	<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
057	INFORMATION SYSTEMS .....	104,577	104,577
058	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) .....	612	612
059	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	39,000	39,000
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .. <b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>	248,477	248,477
064	JTT/CIBS-M .....	824	824
065	PROPHET GROUND .....	59,198	59,198
067	DCGS-A (MIP) .....	267,214	267,214
068	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS) .....	9,899	9,899
069	TROJAN (MIP) .....	24,598	24,598
070	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) .....	1,927	1,927
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL/CHARCS) .....	6,169	6,169
072	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M <b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>	2,924	2,924
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	40,735	40,735
075	EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT) .....	13	13
076	ENEMY UAS .....	2,800	2,800
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTER- MEASURES.	1,237	1,237
080	CI MODERNIZATION .....	1,399	1,399
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>		
082	SENTINEL MODS .....	47,983	47,983
083	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW) .....	142	142
084	NIGHT VISION DEVICES .....	202,428	202,428
085	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	5,183	5,183
086	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT .....	14,074	14,074
087	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF .....	22,300	22,300
089	GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM (GLIS) .....	1,016	1,016
090	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS .....	55,354	55,354
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....	800	800
092	PROFILER .....	3,027	3,027
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) .....	1,185	1,185
094	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P) .....	103,214	103,214
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) .....	26,037	26,037
097	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM .....	23,100	23,100
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	312,727	312,727
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS</b>		
101	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY .....	43,228	43,228
102	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	14,446	14,446

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
103	FAAD C2 .....	4,607	4,607
104	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS .....	33,090	33,090
105	IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM .....	21,200	21,200
107	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) .....	1,795	1,795
109	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE .....	54,327	54,327
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) .....	59,171	59,171
111	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A) .....	83,936	83,936
113	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	25,476	25,476
114	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET ...	19,341	19,341
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION</b>		
115	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION .....	11,865	11,865
116	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP .....	219,431	219,431
117	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM ...	6,414	6,414
118	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP) .....	62,683	62,683
120	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) .....	34,951	34,951
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)</b>		
121	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V) .....	7,440	7,440
122	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT) .....	1,615	1,615
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT</b>		
123	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) .....	554	554
124	BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES .....	20,000	20,000
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
124A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,558	3,558
	<b>CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT</b>		
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) .....	762	762
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....	20,630	20,630
128	CBRN DEFENSE .....	22,151	22,151
	<b>BRIDGING EQUIPMENT</b>		
130	TACTICAL BRIDGING .....	14,188	14,188
131	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON .....	23,101	23,101
132	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP .....	15,416	15,416
	<b>ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT</b>		
134	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) .....	50,465	50,465
135	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS) .....	6,490	6,490
136	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION .....	1,563	1,563
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) ...	20,921	20,921
138	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS .....	100	100
139	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT .....	2,271	2,271
	<b>COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
140	HEATERS AND ECU'S .....	7,269	7,269
141	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES .....	200	200
142	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT .....	1,468	1,468
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) .....	26,526	26,526
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM .....	81,680	71,680
	<i>Unjustified unit cost growth</i> .....		[-10,000]
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	28,096	28,096
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM .....	56,150	56,150
149	MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS .....	3,242	3,242
150	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS .....	38,141	38,141
151	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT) .....	5,859	5,859
	<b>PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT</b>		
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER .....	60,612	60,612
	<b>MEDICAL EQUIPMENT</b>		
153	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL .....	22,042	22,042
154	MEDEVAC MISSION EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP) .....	35,318	35,318
	<b>MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS .....	19,427	19,427
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) .....	3,860	3,860
	<b>CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT</b>		
157	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) .....	2,000	2,000
159	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING .....	36,078	36,078
160	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING .....	9,721	9,721
162	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR .....	50,122	50,122

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
163	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED .....	28,828	28,828
164	ALL TERRAIN CRANES .....	19,863	19,863
166	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) .....	23,465	23,465
168	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP .....	13,590	13,590
169	CONST EQUIP ESP .....	16,088	16,088
170	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) .....	6,850	6,850
	<b>RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT</b>		
171	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP .....	38,007	19,007
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-19,000]
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) .....	10,605	10,605
	<b>GENERATORS</b>		
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP .....	129,437	129,437
	<b>MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH) .....	1,250	1,250
175	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS .....	8,260	8,260
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT .....	121,710	121,710
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM .....	225,200	225,200
178	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER .....	30,063	30,063
179	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER .....	34,913	34,913
180	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING ..	9,955	9,955
	<b>TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)</b>		
181	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT .....	8,241	8,241
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) .....	67,506	67,506
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) .....	18,755	18,755
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
184	M25 STABILIZED BINOCULAR .....	5,110	5,110
185	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	5,110	5,110
186	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) .....	62,904	62,904
187	BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT .....	1,427	1,427
188	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) .....	96,661	96,661
189	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) .....	2,450	2,450
190	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING .....	11,593	11,593
191	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3 .....	8,948	8,948
192	TRACTOR YARD .....	8,000	8,000
	<b>OPA2</b>		
195	INITIAL SPARES—C&E .....	59,700	59,700
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b> .....	<b>6,465,218</b>	<b>6,410,918</b>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>		
001	EA-18G .....	2,001,787	1,940,874
	Excess engineering change order funding .....		[-8,790]
	GFE electronics cost growth .....		[-5,943]
	Other GFE cost growth .....		[-1,180]
	Program adjustment .....		[-45,000]
003	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET .....	206,551	206,551
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		75,000
	Program increase .....		[75,000]
005	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV .....	1,135,444	1,135,444
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	94,766	94,766
007	J5F STOVL .....	1,267,260	1,267,260
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	103,195	103,195
009	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	1,432,573	1,432,573
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	55,196	55,196
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) .....	749,962	749,962
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	71,000	71,000
013	MH-60S (MYP) .....	383,831	383,831
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	37,278	37,278
015	MH-60R (MYP) .....	599,237	599,237
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	231,834	231,834
017	P-8A POSEIDON .....	3,189,989	3,189,989
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	313,160	313,160

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
019	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE .....	997,107	997,107
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	266,542	266,542
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>		
021	JPATS .....	249,080	249,080
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
022	KC-130J .....	134,358	134,358
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	32,288	32,288
025	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	52,002	4,802
	Advance procurement appropriated in fiscal year 2013 .....		[-47,200]
026	MQ-8 UAV .....	60,980	60,980
028	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT .....	14,958	14,958
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>		
029	EA-6 SERIES .....	18,577	18,577
030	AEA SYSTEMS .....	48,502	48,502
031	AV-8 SERIES .....	41,575	41,575
032	ADVERSARY .....	2,992	2,992
033	F-18 SERIES .....	875,371	833,530
	ECP 6038 radome kits cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....		[-2,952]
	Integrated logistics support growth (OSIP 14-03) .....		[-8,000]
	Other support and ILS ahead of need (OSIP 04-14) .....		[-20,989]
	Retrofit radars (APG-79B) cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....		[-9,900]
034	H-46 SERIES .....	2,127	2,127
036	H-53 SERIES .....	67,675	67,675
037	SH-60 SERIES .....	135,054	135,054
038	H-1 SERIES .....	41,706	41,706
039	EP-3 SERIES .....	55,903	77,903
	12th aircraft to Spiral 3 .....		[8,000]
	Sensor obsolescence .....		[14,000]
040	P-3 SERIES .....	37,436	37,436
041	E-2 SERIES .....	31,044	31,044
042	TRAINER A/C SERIES .....	43,720	40,520
	Avionics Obsolescence installation cost growth .....		[-3,200]
043	C-2A .....	902	902
044	C-130 SERIES .....	47,587	47,587
045	FEWSG .....	665	665
046	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES .....	14,587	14,587
047	E-6 SERIES .....	189,312	183,218
	FAB-T funding previously appropriated (OSIP 014-14) .....		[-6,094]
048	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES .....	85,537	85,537
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....	3,684	13,684
	Program office sustainment .....		[5,000]
	Sensor obsolescence .....		[5,000]
050	T-45 SERIES .....	98,128	98,128
051	POWER PLANT CHANGES .....	22,999	22,999
052	JPATS SERIES .....	1,576	1,576
053	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS .....	6,267	6,267
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT .....	141,685	141,685
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES .....	120,660	120,660
056	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM .....	3,554	3,554
057	ID SYSTEMS .....	41,800	41,800
058	P-8 SERIES .....	9,485	9,485
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....	14,431	14,431
060	MQ-8 SERIES .....	1,001	1,001
061	RQ-7 SERIES .....	26,433	26,433
062	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY .....	160,834	160,834
063	F-35 STOVL SERIES .....	147,130	147,130
064	F-35 CV SERIES .....	31,100	31,100
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	1,142,461	1,142,461
	<b>AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
066	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....	410,044	410,044
067	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	27,450	27,450
068	WAR CONSUMABLES .....	28,930	28,930

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
069	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....	5,268	5,268
070	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	60,306	60,306
071	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	1,775	1,775
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>17,927,651</b>	<b>17,875,403</b>
	<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>		
001	TRIDENT II MODS .....	1,140,865	1,140,865
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	7,617	7,617
	<b>STRATEGIC MISSILES</b>		
003	TOMAHAWK .....	312,456	312,456
	<b>TACTICAL MISSILES</b>		
004	AMRAAM .....	95,413	95,413
005	SIDEWINDER .....	117,208	117,208
006	JSOW .....	136,794	136,794
007	STANDARD MISSILE .....	367,985	367,985
008	RAM .....	67,596	65,984
	Guidance and control assembly contract savings .....		[-1,612]
009	HELLFIRE .....	33,916	33,916
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) .....	6,278	6,278
012	AERIAL TARGETS .....	41,799	41,799
013	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT .....	3,538	3,538
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>		
014	ESSM .....	76,749	76,749
015	HARM MODS .....	111,902	111,902
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
016	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	1,138	1,138
017	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON .....	23,014	23,014
	<b>ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
018	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	84,318	84,318
	<b>TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>		
019	SSTD .....	3,978	3,978
020	ASW TARGETS .....	8,031	8,031
	<b>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>		
021	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS .....	125,898	125,898
022	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS .....	53,203	53,203
023	QUICKSTRIKE MINE .....	7,800	7,800
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
024	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	59,730	59,730
025	ASW RANGE SUPPORT .....	4,222	4,222
	<b>DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION</b>		
026	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	3,963	3,963
	<b>GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>		
027	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS .....	12,513	12,513
	<b>MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>		
028	CIWS MODS .....	56,308	62,708
	Additional RMA kits .....		[6,400]
029	COAST GUARD WEAPONS .....	10,727	7,269
	Machine gun equipment cost growth .....		[-3,458]
030	GUN MOUNT MODS .....	72,901	59,521
	MK38 gun kits cost growth .....		[-13,380]
031	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS .....	1,943	1,943
032	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS .....	19,758	19,758
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
034	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	52,632	52,632
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>3,122,193</b>	<b>3,110,143</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>		
	<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	37,703	37,703
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	65,411	65,411
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....	20,284	20,284

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
004	PRACTICE BOMBS .....	37,870	37,870
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES .....	53,764	53,764
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....	67,194	67,194
007	JATOS .....	2,749	2,749
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE .....	3,906	3,906
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION .....	24,151	24,151
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION .....	33,080	33,080
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....	40,398	40,398
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....	61,219	61,219
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....	10,637	10,637
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	4,578	4,578
	<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....	26,297	26,297
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....	6,088	6,088
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....	7,644	7,644
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....	3,349	3,349
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....	13,361	13,361
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	2,149	2,149
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	27,465	27,465
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES .....	26,366	26,366
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION .....	8,403	8,403
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	5,201	5,201
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC .....</b>	<b>589,267</b>	<b>589,267</b>
	<b>SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY</b>		
	<b>OTHER WARSHIPS</b>		
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM .....	944,866	944,866
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE .....	2,930,704	3,422,704
	Increase to Virginia class .....		[492,000]
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	2,354,612	2,354,612
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS .....	1,705,424	1,683,353
	CVN 72 requirement previously funded in Fiscal Year 2012 reprogramming. ....		[-22,071]
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	245,793	245,793
007	DDG 1000 .....	231,694	231,694
008	DDG-51 .....	1,615,564	1,615,564
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	388,551	388,551
010	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP .....	1,793,014	1,793,014
	<b>AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS</b>		
012	AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE .....	524,000	579,300
	Navy requested adjustment .....		[55,300]
014	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL .....	2,732	2,732
	<b>AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST</b>		
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	183,900	207,300
	Program shortfall .....		[23,400]
017	OUTFITTING .....	450,163	450,163
019	LCAC SLEP .....	80,987	80,987
020	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS .....	625,800	733,400
	DDG-51 .....		[100,000]
	Joint High Speed Vessel .....		[7,600]
	<b>TOTAL SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY .....</b>	<b>14,077,804</b>	<b>14,734,033</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT</b>		
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE .....	10,180	10,180
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE .....	5,536	5,536
003	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) .....	16,956	3,956
	Contract delay .....		[-13,000]
	<b>GENERATORS</b>		
004	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E .....	19,782	19,782
	<b>NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT</b>		
005	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT .....	39,509	39,509
	<b>PERISCOPES</b>		

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
006	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP .....	52,515	52,515
	<b>OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT</b>		
007	DDG MOD .....	285,994	285,994
008	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....	14,389	14,389
009	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD .....	2,436	2,436
010	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE .....	12,700	12,700
011	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM .....	40,329	40,329
012	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	19,603	19,603
013	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	8,678	8,678
014	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	74,209	74,209
015	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	47,078	47,078
016	SUBMARINE BATTERIES .....	37,000	37,000
017	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	25,053	25,053
018	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP .....	12,986	12,986
019	DSSP EQUIPMENT .....	2,455	2,455
020	CG MODERNIZATION .....	10,539	10,539
021	LCAC .....	14,431	14,431
022	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS .....	36,700	36,700
023	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	119,902	119,902
024	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS .....	3,678	3,678
025	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	8,292	8,292
	<b>REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT</b>		
027	REACTOR COMPONENTS .....	286,744	286,744
	<b>OCEAN ENGINEERING</b>		
028	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT .....	8,780	8,780
	<b>SMALL BOATS</b>		
029	STANDARD BOATS .....	36,452	33,056
	CNIC force protection medium contract delay .....		[-3,396]
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
030	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	36,145	36,145
	<b>PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT</b>		
031	OPERATING FORCES IPE .....	69,368	49,868
	Emergent repair facility outfitting ahead of need .....		[-19,500]
	<b>OTHER SHIP SUPPORT</b>		
032	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS .....	106,328	106,328
033	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT .....	45,966	45,966
034	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES .....	59,885	59,885
035	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES .....	37,168	37,168
	<b>LOGISTIC SUPPORT</b>		
036	LSD MIDLIFE .....	77,974	77,974
	<b>SHIP SONARS</b>		
038	SPQ-9B RADAR .....	27,934	27,934
039	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM .....	83,231	83,231
040	SSN ACOUSTICS .....	199,438	199,438
041	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	9,394	9,394
042	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS .....	12,953	12,953
043	ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC .....	8,958	8,958
	<b>ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
044	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM .....	24,077	24,077
045	SSTD .....	11,925	8,500
	AN/SLQ-25X cancellation .....		[-3,425]
046	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	94,338	94,338
047	SURTASS .....	9,680	9,680
048	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE .....	18,130	18,130
	<b>ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT</b>		
049	AN/SLQ-32 .....	203,375	199,691
	Excess block 2 support funding .....		[-3,684]
	<b>RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
050	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT .....	123,656	123,656
051	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) .....	896	896
	<b>SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
052	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG .....	49,475	49,475
	<b>OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
053	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY .....	34,692	34,692
054	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) .....	396	396
055	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) ..	15,703	15,703
056	ATDLS .....	3,836	3,836
057	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) .....	7,201	7,201
058	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT .....	54,400	54,400
059	SHALLOW WATER MCM .....	8,548	8,548
060	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) .....	11,765	11,765
061	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE .....	6,483	6,483
062	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP .....	7,631	7,631
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
063	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	53,644	53,644
	<b>AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
064	MATCALs .....	7,461	7,461
065	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	9,140	9,140
066	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM .....	20,798	20,798
067	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM .....	19,754	19,754
068	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	8,909	8,909
069	LANDING SYSTEMS .....	13,554	13,554
070	ID SYSTEMS .....	38,934	38,934
071	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	14,131	14,131
	<b>OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
072	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL .....	3,249	3,249
073	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM .....	11,646	11,646
074	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS .....	18,189	18,189
075	DCGS-N .....	17,350	17,350
076	CANES .....	340,567	340,567
077	RADIAC .....	9,835	9,835
078	CANES-INTELL .....	59,652	59,652
079	GPETE .....	6,253	6,253
080	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY .....	4,963	4,963
081	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION .....	4,664	4,664
082	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	66,889	66,889
	<b>SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
084	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION .....	23,877	23,877
086	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M .....	28,001	28,001
	<b>SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
087	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT .....	7,856	7,856
088	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT .....	74,376	74,376
	<b>SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
089	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	27,381	27,381
090	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) .....	215,952	215,952
	<b>SHORE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
091	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT .....	4,463	4,463
092	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS .....	778	778
	<b>CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
094	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	133,530	133,530
095	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM .....	1,000	1,000
	<b>CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
096	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP .....	12,251	12,251
	<b>OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT</b>		
097	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT .....	2,893	2,893
	<b>SONOBUOYS</b>		
099	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES .....	179,927	179,927
	<b>AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
100	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	55,279	55,279
101	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS .....	8,792	8,792
102	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT .....	11,364	11,364
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT .....	59,502	59,502
104	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT .....	19,118	19,118
105	DCRS/DPL .....	1,425	1,425
106	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT .....	29,670	29,670
107	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	101,554	101,554

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
108	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT .....	18,293	18,293
109	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS .....	7,969	7,969
110	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	5,215	5,215
111	AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS) ....	4,827	4,827
	<b>SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT</b>		
112	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM .....	1,188	1,188
113	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	4,447	4,447
	<b>SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT</b>		
114	NATO SEASPARROW .....	58,368	58,368
115	RAM GMLS .....	491	491
116	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM .....	51,858	51,858
117	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	59,757	59,757
118	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	71,559	71,559
119	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS .....	626	626
120	MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS .....	2,779	2,779
	<b>FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
121	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP .....	224,484	224,484
	<b>ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
122	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	85,678	85,678
123	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,913	3,913
124	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,909	3,909
125	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	28,694	28,694
	<b>OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
126	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP .....	46,586	46,586
127	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	11,933	11,933
	<b>OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE</b>		
128	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM .....	62,361	62,361
129	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....	41,813	41,813
130	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....	26,672	26,672
	<b>CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
131	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	5,600	5,600
132	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS .....	3,717	3,717
133	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP .....	10,881	10,881
134	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....	14,748	14,748
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	5,540	5,540
136	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT .....	5,741	5,741
137	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	3,852	3,852
138	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION .....	25,757	25,757
139	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES .....	1,182	1,182
	<b>SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
140	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....	14,250	14,250
141	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	6,401	6,401
142	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	5,718	5,718
143	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS .....	22,597	22,597
	<b>TRAINING DEVICES</b>		
144	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	22,527	22,527
	<b>COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
145	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	50,428	50,428
146	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	2,292	2,292
147	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,925	4,925
149	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,202	3,202
151	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	24,294	24,294
152	C4ISR EQUIPMENT .....	4,287	4,287
153	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	18,276	18,276
154	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	134,495	134,495
155	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	324,327	324,327
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
156A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	12,140	12,140
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
157	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	317,234	317,234
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>6,310,257</b>	<b>6,267,252</b>

**PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS**

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>		
001	AAV7A1 PIP .....	32,360	32,360
002	LAV PIP .....	6,003	6,003
	<b>ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS</b>		
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	589	589
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER .....	3,655	3,655
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM .....	5,467	5,467
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION ...	20,354	20,354
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
007	MODIFICATION KITS .....	38,446	38,446
008	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM .....	4,734	4,734
	<b>GUIDED MISSILES</b>		
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE .....	15,713	15,713
010	JAVELIN .....	36,175	36,175
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H) .....	1,136	1,136
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
013	MODIFICATION KITS .....	33,976	30,078
	TOW Unit Cost Growth .....		[-3,898]
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS</b>		
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER .....	16,273	16,273
	<b>REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT</b>		
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....	41,063	41,063
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)</b>		
016	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	2,930	2,930
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)</b>		
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) .....	1,637	1,637
019	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS .....	18,394	18,394
	<b>RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
020	RADAR SYSTEMS .....	114,051	101,941
	Previously funded EDM refurbishment .....		[-12,110]
021	RQ-21 UAS .....	66,612	66,612
	<b>INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
022	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	3,749	3,749
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	75,979	75,979
026	RQ-11 UAV .....	1,653	1,653
027	DCGS-MC .....	9,494	9,494
	<b>OTHER COMME/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
028	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT .....	6,171	6,171
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)</b>		
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	121,955	119,955
	Unit cost growth .....		[-2,000]
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS .....	83,294	83,294
031	RADIO SYSTEMS .....	74,718	74,718
032	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	47,613	47,613
033	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT .....	19,573	19,573
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
033A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	5,659	5,659
	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES</b>		
034	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES .....	1,039	1,039
035	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES .....	31,050	31,050
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
036	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) .....	36,333	36,333
037	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS .....	3,137	3,137
040	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS .....	27,385	27,385
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,016	7,016
	<b>ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT</b>		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....	14,377	14,377
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT .....	24,864	24,864
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS .....	21,592	21,592
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED .....	61,353	61,353
046	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,827	4,827
047	EOD SYSTEMS .....	40,011	40,011

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	16,809	16,809
049	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE) .....	3,408	3,408
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP .....	48,549	48,549
051	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	190	190
	<b>GENERAL PROPERTY</b>		
052	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT .....	23,129	23,129
053	TRAINING DEVICES .....	8,346	8,346
054	CONTAINER FAMILY .....	1,857	1,857
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT .....	36,198	36,198
056	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN .....	2,390	2,390
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
057	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	6,525	6,525
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
058	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	13,700	13,700
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b> .....	<b>1,343,511</b>	<b>1,325,503</b>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>TACTICAL FORCES</b>		
001	F-35 .....	3,060,770	2,989,270
	Decrease non-recurring engineering initiatives .....		[-71,500]
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	363,783	363,783
	<b>OTHER AIRLIFT</b>		
005	C-130J .....	537,517	537,517
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	162,000	162,000
007	HC-130J .....	132,121	132,121
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	88,000	88,000
009	MC-130J .....	389,434	389,434
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	104,000	104,000
	<b>HELICOPTERS</b>		
015	CV-22 (MYP) .....	230,798	230,798
	<b>MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT</b>		
017	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C .....	2,541	2,541
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
020	TARGET DRONES .....	138,669	138,669
022	AC-130J .....	470,019	470,019
024	RQ-4 .....	27,000	11,000
	Production closeout .....		[-16,000]
027	MQ-9 .....	272,217	352,217
	Program increase .....		[80,000]
028	RQ-4 BLOCK 40 PROC .....	1,747	1,747
	<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>		
029	B-2A .....	20,019	20,019
030	B-1B .....	132,222	132,222
031	B-52 .....	111,002	105,882
	Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade defer low rate initial production.		[-5,120]
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	27,197	27,197
	<b>TACTICAL AIRCRAFT</b>		
033	A-10 .....	47,598	47,598
034	F-15 .....	354,624	354,624
035	F-16 .....	11,794	11,794
036	F-22A .....	285,830	285,830
037	F-35 MODIFICATIONS .....	157,777	157,777
	<b>AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b>		
038	C-5 .....	2,456	2,456
039	C-5M .....	1,021,967	983,967
	Program excess .....		[-38,000]
042	C-17A .....	143,197	143,197
043	C-21 .....	103	103
044	C-32A .....	9,780	9,780
045	C-37A .....	452	452
	LRIP Kit Procurement .....		[47,300]

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<i>Transfer to Title II, RDAF, line 230 .....</i>		[−47,300]
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>		
047	GLIDER MODS .....	128	128
048	T-6 .....	6,427	6,427
049	T-1 .....	277	277
050	T-38 .....	28,686	28,686
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
052	U-2 MODS .....	45,591	45,591
053	KC-10A (ATCA) .....	70,918	70,918
054	C-12 .....	1,876	1,876
055	MC-12W .....	5,000	5,000
056	C-20 MODS .....	192	192
057	VC-25A MOD .....	263	263
058	C-40 .....	6,119	6,119
059	C-130 .....	58,577	74,277
	<i>C-130H Propulsion System Engine Upgrades .....</i>		[15,700]
061	C-130J MODS .....	10,475	10,475
062	C-135 .....	46,556	46,556
063	COMPASS CALL MODS .....	34,494	34,494
064	RC-135 .....	171,813	171,813
065	E-3 .....	197,087	197,087
066	E-4 .....	14,304	14,304
067	E-8 .....	57,472	57,472
068	H-1 .....	6,627	6,627
069	H-60 .....	27,654	27,654
070	RQ-4 MODS .....	9,313	9,313
071	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	16,300	16,300
072	OTHER AIRCRAFT .....	6,948	6,948
073	MQ-1 MODS .....	9,734	9,734
074	MQ-9 MODS .....	102,970	62,970
	<i>Anti-ice production ahead of need .....</i>		[−5,520]
	<i>Lynx radar reduction .....</i>		[−34,480]
076	RQ-4 GSRA/CSRA MODS .....	30,000	30,000
077	CV-22 MODS .....	23,310	23,310
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
078	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....	463,285	463,285
	<b>COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....	49,140	49,140
	<b>POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT</b>		
081	B-1 .....	3,683	3,683
083	B-2A .....	43,786	43,786
084	B-52 .....	7,000	7,000
087	C-17A .....	81,952	81,952
089	C-135 .....	8,597	8,597
090	F-15 .....	2,403	2,403
091	F-16 .....	3,455	3,455
092	F-22A .....	5,911	5,911
	<b>INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS</b>		
094	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS .....	21,148	21,148
	<b>WAR CONSUMABLES</b>		
095	WAR CONSUMABLES .....	94,947	94,947
	<b>OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES</b>		
096	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....	1,242,004	1,242,004
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
101A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	75,845	75,845
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>11,398,901</b>	<b>11,323,981</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC</b>		
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC .....	39,104	39,104
	<b>TACTICAL</b>		
002	JASSM .....	291,151	291,151
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) .....	119,904	119,904

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
004	AMRAAM .....	340,015	340,015
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE .....	48,548	48,548
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	42,347	42,347
	<b>INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES</b>		
007	INDUSTRL PREPAREDNS /POL PREVENTION .....	752	752
	<b>CLASS IV</b>		
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS .....	21,635	21,635
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK .....	276	276
011	AGM-88A HARM .....	580	580
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	6,888	6,888
013	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	5,000	5,000
	<b>MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
014	INITIAL SPARES /REPAIR PARTS .....	72,080	72,080
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
015	ADVANCED EHF .....	379,586	379,586
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) .....	38,398	38,398
017	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	403,431	403,431
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	74,167	74,167
019	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) .....	5,244	5,244
020	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) .....	55,997	55,997
021	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) .....	95,673	95,673
022	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) .....	1,852,900	1,852,900
023	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) .....	583,192	583,192
	<b>SPECIAL PROGRAMS</b>		
029	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS .....	36,716	36,716
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
029A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	829,702	829,702
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>5,343,286</b>	<b>5,343,286</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
001	ROCKETS .....	15,735	15,735
	<b>CARTRIDGES</b>		
002	CARTRIDGES .....	129,921	129,921
	<b>BOMBS</b>		
003	PRACTICE BOMBS .....	30,840	30,840
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	187,397	187,397
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	188,510	188,510
	<b>OTHER ITEMS</b>		
006	CAD /PAD .....	35,837	35,837
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) .....	7,531	7,531
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	499	499
009	MODIFICATIONS .....	480	480
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	9,765	9,765
	<b>FLARES</b>		
011	FLARES .....	55,864	55,864
	<b>FUZES</b>		
013	FUZES .....	76,037	76,037
	<b>SMALL ARMS</b>		
014	SMALL ARMS .....	21,026	21,026
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE.</b>	<b>759,442</b>	<b>759,442</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES</b>		
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	2,048	2,048
	<b>CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES</b>		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE .....	8,019	8,019
003	CAP VEHICLES .....	946	946
004	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,138	7,138
	<b>SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES</b>		
005	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	13,093	13,093
006	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	13,983	13,983

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT</b>		
007	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES .....	23,794	23,794
	<b>MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	8,669	8,669
	<b>BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT</b>		
009	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP .....	6,144	6,144
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	1,580	1,580
	<b>COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)</b>		
012	COMSEC EQUIPMENT .....	149,661	149,661
013	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) .....	726	726
	<b>INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS</b>		
014	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	2,789	2,789
015	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT .....	31,875	31,875
016	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS .....	452	452
017	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	14,203	14,203
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>		
018	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS .....	46,232	46,232
019	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM .....	11,685	11,685
020	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED .....	19,248	19,248
021	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS .....	19,292	19,292
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST .....	17,166	17,166
023	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	22,723	22,723
024	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX .....	27,930	27,930
025	TAC SIGNIT SPT .....	217	217
	<b>SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS</b>		
027	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	49,627	49,627
028	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS .....	13,559	13,559
029	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	11,186	11,186
030	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM .....	43,238	43,238
031	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES .....	10,431	10,431
032	C3 COUNTERMEASURES .....	13,769	13,769
033	GCSS-AF FOS .....	19,138	19,138
034	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM .....	8,809	8,809
035	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS .....	26,935	26,935
	<b>AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
036	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS .....	80,558	80,558
038	AFNET .....	97,588	97,588
039	VOICE SYSTEMS .....	8,419	8,419
040	USCENTCOM .....	34,276	34,276
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
041	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE .....	28,235	28,235
042	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE .....	2,061	2,061
043	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE .....	4,415	4,415
044	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE .....	30,237	30,237
045	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE .....	98,062	98,062
046	MILSATCOM SPACE .....	105,935	105,935
047	SPACE MODS SPACE .....	37,861	37,861
048	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM .....	7,171	7,171
	<b>ORGANIZATION AND BASE</b>		
049	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT .....	83,537	83,537
050	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER .....	11,884	8,634
	Unjustified unit cost growth for batteries .....		[-3,250]
051	RADIO EQUIPMENT .....	14,711	14,711
052	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT .....	10,275	10,275
053	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE .....	50,907	50,907
	<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>		
054	COMM ELECT MODS .....	55,701	55,701
	<b>PERSONAL SAFETY &amp; RESCUE EQUIP</b>		
055	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES .....	14,524	4,036
	Night Vision Cueing and Display termination .....		[-10,488]
056	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	28,655	28,655
	<b>DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ</b>		
057	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP .....	9,332	9,332

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
058	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT .....	16,762	16,762
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	33,768	33,768
060	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT .....	2,495	2,495
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT .....	12,859	12,859
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	1,954	1,954
	<b>SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS</b>		
064	DARP RC135 .....	24,528	24,528
065	DCGS-AF .....	137,819	137,819
067	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM .....	479,586	479,586
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. ....	45,159	45,159
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	14,519,256	14,519,256
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
069	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	25,746	25,746
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>16,760,581</b>	<b>16,746,843</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA</b>		
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	1,291	1,291
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA</b>		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	5,711	5,711
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA</b>		
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	47,201	47,201
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA</b>		
009	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY .....	16,189	16,189
012	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	66,075	66,075
013	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	83,881	83,881
014	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) ..	2,572	2,572
015	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK .....	125,557	125,557
017	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	16,941	16,941
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA</b>		
018	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	13,137	13,137
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT</b>		
019	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	15,414	15,414
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA</b>		
020	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS .....	1,454	1,454
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY</b>		
021	EQUIPMENT .....	978	978
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS</b>		
022	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	5,020	5,020
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY</b>		
023	VEHICLES .....	100	100
024	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	13,395	13,395
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY</b>		
026	THAAD .....	581,005	581,005
027	AEGIS BMD .....	580,814	580,814
028	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS .....	62,000	62,000
029	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III .....	131,400	131,400
031	IRON DOME .....	220,309	220,309
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA</b>		
039	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	14,363	14,363
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD</b>		
040	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD .....	37,345	37,345
041	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE .....	16,678	16,678
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS</b>		
042	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS .....	14,792	14,792
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS</b>		
043	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS .....	35,259	35,259
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
043A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	544,272	544,272

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>AVIATION PROGRAMS</b>			
045	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT .....	112,456	112,456
046	MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	81,457	81,457
047	NON-STANDARD AVIATION .....	2,650	2,650
048	U-28 .....	56,208	56,208
049	MH-47 CHINOOK .....	19,766	19,766
050	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	850	850
051	CV-22 MODIFICATION .....	98,927	98,927
052	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	20,576	20,576
053	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	1,893	14,893
	<i>Capability Improvements</i> .....		[13,000]
055	STUASLO .....	13,166	13,166
056	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE .....	107,687	107,687
057	AC/MC-130J .....	51,870	51,870
059	C-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	71,940	61,317
	C-130 TF/TA—early to need .....		[-10,623]
<b>SHIPBUILDING</b>			
061	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS .....	37,439	37,439
<b>AMMUNITION PROGRAMS</b>			
063	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M .....	159,029	159,029
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS</b>			
066	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	79,819	79,819
068	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	14,906	14,906
070	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M .....	81,711	81,711
071	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS .....	35,053	33,897
	CCFLIR—Transfer at USSOCOM Request .....		[-1,156]
074	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	41,526	41,526
075	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	43,353	43,353
076	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M .....	210,540	210,540
078	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS .....	20,000	20,000
082	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	6,645	6,645
083	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	25,581	25,581
089	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	191,061	191,061
<b>CBDP</b>			
091	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION .....	14,271	14,271
092	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION .....	101,667	101,667
094	JOINT BIO DEFENSE PROGRAM (MEDICAL) .....	13,447	13,447
095	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION .....	20,896	20,896
096	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE .....	144,540	144,540
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>4,534,083</b>	<b>4,535,304</b>
<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>			
<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>			
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....	98,800	0
	Program reduction .....		[-98,800]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND.</b>	<b>98,800</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT</b> .....	<b>98,227,168</b>	<b>98,442,249</b>

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
<b>FIXED WING</b>			
002	SATURN ARCH (MIP) .....	48,000	48,000
004	MQ-1 UAV .....	31,988	31,988
<b>ROTARY</b>			

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
009	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD .....	142,000	142,000
011	KIOWA WARRIOR WRA .....	163,800	163,800
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	386,000	386,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>771,788</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM</b>		
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....	54,000	54,000
	<b>ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>		
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) .....	39,045	39,045
010	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM .....	35,600	35,600
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>128,645</b>	<b>128,645</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>		
	<b>SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION</b>		
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES .....	4,400	4,400
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....	1,500	1,500
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES .....	5,000	5,000
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....	60,000	60,000
	<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>		
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	5,000	5,000
	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>		
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	10,000
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	10,000
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	11,000	11,000
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....	57,000	57,000
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>		
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	4,000	4,000
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	3,000	3,000
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....	8,000	8,000
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>		
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....	2,000	2,000
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY ...</b>	<b>180,900</b>	<b>180,900</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS .....	321,040	321,040
	<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .. <b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>	25,000	25,000
067	DCGS-A (MIP) .....	7,200	7,200
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) .....	5,980	5,980
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>		
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	57,800	57,800
078	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE .....	15,300	15,300
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTER- MEASURES.	4,221	4,221
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>		
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....	1,834	1,834
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) .....	21,000	21,000
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	85,830	85,830
	<b>COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
146	FORCE PROVIDER .....	51,654	51,654
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	6,264	6,264
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>603,123</b>	<b>603,123</b>
	<b>JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND</b>		
	<b>NETWORK ATTACK</b>		
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK .....	417,700	417,700
	<b>JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT</b>		
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE .....	248,886	248,886
	<b>FORCE TRAINING</b>		
003	TRAIN THE FORCE .....	106,000	106,000

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE</b>			
004	OPERATIONS .....	227,414	182,414
	Program decrease .....		[-45,000]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND.</b>	<b>1,000,000</b>	<b>955,000</b>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>			
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) .....	29,520	29,520
<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>			
026	MQ-8 UAV .....	13,100	13,100
<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>			
031	AV-8 SERIES .....	57,652	57,652
033	F-18 SERIES .....	35,500	35,500
039	EP-3 SERIES .....	2,700	2,700
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....	3,375	3,375
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT .....	49,183	49,183
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES .....	4,190	4,190
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....	20,700	20,700
<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>			
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	24,776	24,776
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>240,696</b>	<b>240,696</b>
<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>TACTICAL MISSILES</b>			
009	HELLFIRE .....	27,000	27,000
010	LASER MAVERICK .....	58,000	58,000
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) .....	1,500	1,500
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>86,500</b>	<b>86,500</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>			
<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>			
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	11,424	11,424
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	30,332	30,332
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....	8,282	8,282
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....	31,884	31,884
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....	409	409
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....	11,976	11,976
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....	2,447	2,447
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,692	7,692
<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>			
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....	13,461	13,461
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....	3,310	3,310
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....	6,244	6,244
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....	3,368	3,368
019	81MM, ALL TYPES .....	9,162	9,162
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,266	10,266
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES .....	1,887	1,887
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	1,611	1,611
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	37,459	37,459
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES .....	970	970
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	418	418
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES .....	14,219	14,219
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b> .....	<b>206,821</b>	<b>206,821</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>			
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	17,968	17,968
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>17,968</b>	<b>17,968</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b>			
<b>GUIDED MISSILES</b>			
010	JAVELIN .....	29,334	29,334

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
011	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW .....	105	105
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
013	MODIFICATION KITS .....	16,081	13,183
	TOW Unit Cost Growth .....		[-2,898]
	<b>REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT</b>		
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....	16,081	16,081
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)</b>		
017	MODIFICATION KITS .....	2,831	2,831
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)</b>		
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) .....	8,170	8,170
	<b>INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	2,700	2,700
026	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,830	2,830
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)</b>		
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	4,866	4,866
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS .....	265	265
	<b>ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT</b>		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....	114	114
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT .....	523	523
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS .....	365	365
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED .....	2,004	2,004
047	EOD SYSTEMS .....	42,930	42,930
	<b>GENERAL PROPERTY</b>		
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT .....	385	385
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b> .....	<b>129,584</b>	<b>126,686</b>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>		
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	94,050	94,050
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
052	U-2 MODS .....	11,300	11,300
059	C-130 .....	1,618	1,618
064	RC-135 .....	2,700	2,700
	<b>COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....	6,000	6,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>115,668</b>	<b>115,668</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>TACTICAL</b>		
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE .....	24,200	24,200
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>24,200</b>	<b>24,200</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
001	ROCKETS .....	326	326
	<b>CARTRIDGES</b>		
002	CARTRIDGES .....	17,634	17,634
	<b>BOMBS</b>		
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	37,514	37,514
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	84,459	84,459
	<b>FLARES</b>		
011	FLARES .....	14,973	14,973
012	FUZES .....	3,859	3,859
	<b>SMALL ARMS</b>		
014	SMALL ARMS .....	1,200	1,200
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE.</b>	<b>159,965</b>	<b>159,965</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>		
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST .....	1,800	1,800
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
046	MILSATCOM SPACE .....	5,695	5,695

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	60,600	60,600
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT .....	68,000	68,000
	<b>SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS</b>		
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. ....	58,250	58,250
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	2,380,501	2,380,501
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>2,574,846</b>	<b>2,574,846</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA</b>		
012	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	4,760	4,760
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
043A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	78,986	78,986
	<b>AMMUNITION PROGRAMS</b>		
062	ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT .....	2,841	2,841
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS</b>		
066	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	13,300	13,300
084	SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS .....	8,034	8,034
089	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	3,354	3,354
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>111,275</b>	<b>111,275</b>
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....	15,000	0
	Program reduction .....		[-15,000]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND.</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>		
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
999	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....		400,000
	Program increase .....		[400,000]
	<b>TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT.</b>		<b>400,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT .....</b>	<b>6,366,979</b>	<b>6,704,081</b>

## TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.	21,803	21,803
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	221,901	221,901
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	79,359	79,359
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	113,662	113,662
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>436,725</b>	<b>436,725</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,585	26,585
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY .....	43,170	43,170

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP .....	36,293	36,293
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY .....	55,615	55,615
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	17,585	17,585
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY .....	51,528	51,528
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,162	26,162
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION .....	24,063	24,063
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY.	64,589	64,589
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY .....	68,300	76,300
		WIAMan schedule adjustment .....		[8,000]
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY.	4,490	4,490
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	7,818	7,818
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	37,798	37,798
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES .....	59,021	59,021
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY .....	43,426	43,426
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS .....	20,574	20,574
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	21,339	21,339
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	20,316	20,316
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.	34,209	34,209
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY .....	10,439	10,439
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	70,064	70,064
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	17,654	17,654
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY .....	31,546	31,546
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	93,340	93,340
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>885,924</b>	<b>893,924</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,056	56,056
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	62,032	62,032
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	81,080	81,080
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	63,919	63,919
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	97,043	97,043
034	0603006A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	5,866	5,866
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	7,800	7,800
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,416	40,416
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE .....	9,166	9,166
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS.	13,627	13,627
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE .....	10,667	10,667
041	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	15,054	15,054
042	0603130A	TRACTOR NAIL .....	3,194	3,194
043	0603131A	TRACTOR EGGS .....	2,367	2,367
044	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	25,348	25,348
045	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ...	64,009	64,009
046	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	11,083	11,083
047	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.	180,662	180,662
048	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	22,806	22,806
049	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	5,030	5,030
050	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	36,407	36,407
051	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.	11,745	11,745
052	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	23,717	23,717
053	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.	33,012	33,012

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>882,106</b>	<b>882,106</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION ..	15,301	15,301
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	13,592	13,592
056	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV .....	10,625	0
		Program deferred to fiscal year 2019 .....		[-10,625]
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION .....	30,612	30,612
059	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) .....	49,989	49,989
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY .....	6,703	6,703
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV.	6,894	6,894
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	9,066	9,066
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL.	2,633	2,633
064	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL—DEM/VAL.	272,384	235,384
		Excess program growth .....		[-37,000]
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	3,874	3,874
066	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV .....	5,018	5,018
067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	11,556	11,556
069	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV .....	15,603	15,603
070	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT ....	14,159	14,159
071	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	79	79
072	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES .....	55,605	55,605
074	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (FPFC2).	79,232	79,232
075	0604785A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE (BUDGET ACTIVITY 4).	4,476	4,476
076	0305205A	ENDURANCE UAVS .....	28,991	0
		LEMV termination .....		[-28,991]
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES.</b>	<b>636,392</b>	<b>559,776</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
077	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS .....	76,588	76,588
078	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS .....	73,309	73,309
079	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	154,621	154,621
080	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO .....	31,826	31,826
081	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNV).	23,341	23,341
082	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM .....	4,839	4,839
083	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	23,841	23,841
084	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS .....	79,855	90,855
		Transfer from WTCV line 15—XM25 development .....		[11,000]
085	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,140	2,140
086	0604611A	JAVELIN .....	5,002	5,002
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	21,321	21,321
088	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	514	514
093	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV .....	43,405	43,405
094	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT ...	1,939	1,939
095	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV .....	18,980	18,980
097	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV.	18,294	18,294
098	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	17,013	17,013
099	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	6,701	6,701
100	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV.	14,575	14,575
101	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	27,634	27,634

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
102	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUA-TION.	193,748	193,748
103	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV .....	15,721	15,721
104	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV	41,703	41,703
105	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYS-TEMS—ENG DEV.	7,379	7,379
106	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DE-FENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV.	39,468	39,468
107	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV .....	92,285	92,285
108	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS—EMD .....	8,209	8,209
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARD-WARE & SOFTWARE.	22,958	22,958
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT .....	1,549	1,549
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBs).	17,342	227
		Excess to requirement .....		[-17,115]
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER .....	47,221	47,221
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL .....	48,477	48,477
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD .....	80,613	121,313
		Transfer from WTCV 6 at Army Request .....		[40,700]
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	68,814	68,814
118	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A).	137,290	137,290
119	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) .....	116,298	116,298
120	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) .....	68,148	68,148
121	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) .....	33,219	33,219
122	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	15,127	15,127
124	0605456A	PAC-3/MSE MISSILE .....	68,843	68,843
125	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD).	364,649	364,649
126	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE .....	592,201	592,201
127	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR .....	10,382	10,382
128	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) .....	21,143	21,143
129	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGI-NEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	84,230	84,230
130	0303032A	TROJAN—RH12 .....	3,465	3,465
131	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	10,806	10,806
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEM-ONSTRATION.</b>	<b>2,857,026</b>	<b>2,891,611</b>
		<b>RDT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
132	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	16,934	16,934
133	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	13,488	13,488
134	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	46,672	46,672
135	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER .....	11,919	11,919
136	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL .....	193,658	193,658
137	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM .....	37,158	37,158
139	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES .....	340,659	340,659
140	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS.	66,061	66,061
141	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS .....	43,280	43,280
143	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION .....	6,025	6,025
144	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVI-TIES.	7,349	7,349
145	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS .....	19,809	19,809
146	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS .....	5,941	5,941
147	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING .....	55,504	55,504
148	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER .....	65,274	65,274
149	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG.	1,283	1,283
150	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	82,035	82,035
151	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES .....	33,853	33,853

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
152	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY.	53,340	53,340
153	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT.	5,193	5,193
154	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	54,175	54,175
		<b>SUBTOTAL RDT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
156	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	110,576	110,576
157	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	3,717	3,717
159	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT .....	70,053	70,053
160	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE .....	98,450	83,450
		JLENS program reduction .....		[-15,000]
161	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM ....	30,940	30,940
162	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	177,532	177,532
163	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM .....	36,495	36,495
164	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.	257,187	271,248
		Transfer from APA 11 at Army request .....		[14,061]
165	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.	315	315
166	0203758A	DIGITIZATION .....	6,186	6,186
167	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.	1,578	1,578
168	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.	62,100	62,100
169	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD .....	18,778	18,778
170	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM .....	7,108	7,108
173	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	7,600	7,600
174	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	9,357	9,357
175	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	41,225	41,225
176	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) .....	18,197	18,197
177	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.	14,215	14,215
179	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	33,533	33,533
180	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.	27,622	27,622
181	0305219A	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS .....	10,901	10,901
182	0305232A	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,321	2,321
183	0305233A	RQ-7 UAV .....	12,031	12,031
185	0307665A	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE .....	12,449	12,449
186	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES.	56,136	56,136
186A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	4,717	4,717
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>1,131,319</b>	<b>1,130,380</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY.</b>	<b>7,989,102</b>	<b>7,954,132</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	112,617	112,617
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.	18,230	18,230
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	484,459	484,459
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>615,306</b>	<b>615,306</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	104,513	104,513
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	145,307	145,307
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY ....	47,334	47,334
007	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	34,163	34,163

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
008	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	49,689	49,689
009	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH.	97,701	97,701
010	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.	45,685	60,685
		AGOR mid life refit .....		[15,000]
011	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH.	6,060	6,060
012	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	103,050	103,050
013	0602750N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH.	169,710	169,710
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.	31,326	31,326
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>834,538</b>	<b>849,538</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	48,201	48,201
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	28,328	28,328
019	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	56,179	56,179
020	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD).	132,400	132,400
021	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	11,854	11,854
022	0603673N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	247,931	247,931
023	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	4,760	4,760
025	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS.	51,463	51,463
026	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	2,000	2,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>583,116</b>	<b>583,116</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
027	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS .....	42,246	42,246
028	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY .....	5,591	5,591
029	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	3,262	3,262
030	0603251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS .....	74	74
031	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,964	7,964
032	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE .....	5,257	5,257
033	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY .....	1,570	1,570
034	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES.	168,040	168,040
035	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE .....	88,649	88,649
036	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	83,902	83,902
037	0603525N	PILOT FISH .....	108,713	108,713
038	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH .....	9,316	9,316
039	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER .....	77,108	77,108
040	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL .....	762	762
041	0603553N	SURFACE ASW .....	2,349	2,349
042	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT ...	852,977	852,977
043	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	8,764	8,764
044	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN .....	20,501	20,501
045	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES.	27,052	27,052
046	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	428,933	428,933
047	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS .....	27,154	22,902
		Program execution .....		[-4,252]
048	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE .....	519,140	519,140
049	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) .....	406,389	406,389

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
050	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	36,570	18,530
		Late contract awards .....		[-18,040]
051	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	8,404	8,404
052	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES .....	136,967	122,967
		Program delay .....		[-14,000]
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYS- TEM.	1,489	1,489
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOP- MENT.	38,422	38,422
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT .....	69,312	64,012
		Common array block antenna contract delay .....		[-5,300]
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP- MENT.	9,196	9,196
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....	18,850	18,850
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM .....	45,618	45,618
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT .....	3,019	3,019
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL .....	144,951	144,951
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY .....	5,797	5,797
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE .....	308,131	308,131
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA .....	195,189	195,189
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM .....	56,358	56,358
065	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN .....	55,378	55,378
066	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES .....	48,842	48,842
067	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	7,509	7,509
068	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY .....	5,075	0
		Early to need .....		[-5,075]
069	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING .....	51,178	51,178
070	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYS- TEMS—DEM/VAL.	205,615	194,719
		JPALS 1B follow-on platform integration delay .....		[-7,437]
		JPALS 1B test early to need .....		[-3,459]
072	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTER- MEASURES (TADIRCM).	37,227	37,227
073	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION .....	169	169
074	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELEC- TRONIC WARFARE (JCREW).	20,874	17,874
		Schedule delay .....		[-3,000]
075	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PRO- GRAM.	2,257	2,257
076	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHI- TECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT.	38,327	38,327
077	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DE- VELOPMENT.	135,985	105,985
		Adjust program to more realistic schedule .....		[-30,000]
078	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGI- NEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	50,362	50,362
079	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	8,448	4,908
		Program delay .....		[-3,540]
080	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	153	153
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOP- MENT &amp; PROTOTYPES.</b>	<b>4,641,385</b>	<b>4,547,282</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
081	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	40,558	40,558
082	0604214N	AV—SB AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV .....	35,825	33,325
		Excess program management .....		[-2,500]
083	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT .....	99,891	99,891
084	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOP- MENT.	17,565	17,565
085	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING .....	4,026	4,026
086	0604221N	P—3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,791	1,791
087	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	11,725	11,725

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
088	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM .....	68,463	68,463
089	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE .....	152,041	152,041
090	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES .....	47,123	47,123
091	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS .....	30,208	30,208
092	0604262N	V-22A .....	43,084	43,084
093	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	11,401	11,401
094	0604269N	EA-18 .....	11,138	11,138
095	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	34,964	34,964
096	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	94,238	94,238
097	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) .....	257,796	257,796
098	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY).	3,302	3,302
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING.	240,298	240,298
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	1,214	1,214
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) .....	46,007	46,007
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS .....	75,592	75,592
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM .....	117,854	117,854
104	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION.	10,080	10,080
105	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.	21,413	21,413
106	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM.	146,683	133,683
		Schedule delay .....		[-13,000]
107	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS .....	275,871	196,071
		Air and missile defense radar contract delay .....		[-79,800]
108	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION .....	89,672	89,672
109	0604504N	AIR CONTROL .....	13,754	13,754
110	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	69,615	69,615
112	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN .....	121,566	121,566
113	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM .....	49,143	49,143
114	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E .....	155,254	175,254
		Increased LHA-8 design efforts .....		[20,000]
115	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	3,689	3,689
116	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT .....	5,041	5,041
117	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT .....	26,444	26,444
118	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.	8,897	8,897
119	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS.	6,233	6,233
120	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	442	442
121	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) .....	130,360	130,360
122	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) .....	50,209	50,209
123	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) .....	164,799	114,799
		SEWIP block 3 program delay .....		[-50,000]
124	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING .....	1,984	1,984
125	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT .....	9,458	9,458
126	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM .....	51,430	51,430
127	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	512,631	502,631
		F-35B follow-on development ahead of need .....		[-10,000]
128	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	534,187	524,187
		F-35B follow-on development ahead of need .....		[-10,000]
129	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	5,564	5,564
130	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	69,659	62,823
		Unjustified request .....		[-6,836]
132	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE .....	503,180	503,180
133	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	5,500	0
		Program uncertainty .....		[-5,500]
134	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	317,358	287,358
		P-8A spiral 2 development milestone B slip .....		[-30,000]
135	0204202N	DDG-1000 .....	187,910	187,910
136	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP .....	2,140	2,140

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
137	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	9,406	9,406
138	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	22,800	22,800
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION.</b>	<b>5,028,476</b>	<b>4,840,840</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
139	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	43,261	43,261
140	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	71,872	71,872
141	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	38,033	38,033
142	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION.	1,352	1,352
143	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY .....	5,566	5,566
144	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES .....	48,345	48,345
146	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES .....	637	637
147	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.	76,585	76,585
148	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT .....	3,221	3,221
149	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT.	72,725	72,725
150	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT .....	141,778	141,778
151	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	331,219	331,219
152	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY.	16,565	16,565
153	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT.	3,265	3,265
154	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	7,134	7,134
155	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT .....	24,082	24,082
156	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES .....	497	497
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
159	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS .....	699	699
160	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT.	20,961	20,961
162	0604766M	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS .....	35	35
163	0605525N	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON ..	2,460	2,460
164	0605555N	STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT .....	9,757	9,757
165	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT .....	98,057	98,057
166	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	31,768	31,768
167	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,464	1,464
168	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS .....	21,729	21,729
169	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) .....	13,561	13,561
170	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS .....	131,118	131,118
171	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS .....	1,971	1,971
172	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) .....	46,155	34,423
		Joint Aerial Layer Network program delay .....		[-11,732]
173	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT .....	2,374	2,374
174	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC).	12,407	12,407
175	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	41,609	41,609
176	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT).	7,240	7,240
177	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) ...	78,208	78,208
178	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	45,124	45,124
179	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT .....	2,703	2,703
180	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	19,563	19,563
181	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT .....	13,586	13,586
182	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS .....	197,538	197,538
183	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	31,863	31,863
184	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP .....	12,806	12,806
185	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS .....	88,607	88,607

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
187	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	116,928	116,928
188	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	178,753	178,753
189	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS. Marine Personnel Carrier program deferred .....	139,594	118,719
190	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT .....	42,647	37,034
		Prior year carry over .....		[-5,613]
191	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP).	34,394	34,394
192	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	39,159	31,159
		Program delay .....		[-8,000]
193	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).	2,613	2,613
194	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) .....	986	986
199	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) .....	66,231	66,231
200	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES).	24,476	24,476
201	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	23,531	23,531
206	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC).	742	742
207	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES.	4,804	4,804
208	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	8,381	8,381
211	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.	5,535	5,535
212	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.	19,718	19,718
213	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV .....	375,235	375,235
214	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV .....	48,713	48,713
215	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV .....	102	102
216	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV .....	710	710
217	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) .....	5,013	5,013
219	0305239M	RQ-21A .....	11,122	11,122
220	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT .....	28,851	28,851
221	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT .....	5,116	5,116
222	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	28,042	28,042
223	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	50,933	50,933
224	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) .....	4,998	4,998
224A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,185,132	1,185,132
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>3,385,822</b>	<b>3,339,602</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY.</b>	<b>15,974,780</b>	<b>15,661,821</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	373,151	373,151
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	138,333	138,333
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	13,286	13,286
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>524,770</b>	<b>524,770</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
004	0602102F	MATERIALS .....	116,846	116,846
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES .....	119,672	119,672
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	89,483	89,483
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION .....	197,546	197,546
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	127,539	127,539
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY .....	104,063	104,063
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	81,521	81,521
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY .....	112,845	112,845
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS.	138,161	138,161

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH .....	40,217	40,217
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	39,572	49,572
		Program increase .....		[10,000]
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) .....	12,800	12,800
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	30,579	30,579
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO .....	77,347	77,347
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY.	149,321	149,321
019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY .....	49,128	49,128
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY .....	68,071	68,071
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) .....	26,299	26,299
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	20,967	20,967
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	33,996	33,996
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	19,000	19,000
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	41,353	41,353
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	49,093	49,093
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>617,526</b>	<b>627,526</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
028	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	3,983	3,983
029	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	3,874	3,874
032	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY .....	27,024	27,024
033	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY .....	15,899	15,899
034	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	4,568	4,568
035	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D .....	379	379
036	0603830F	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) .....	28,764	28,764
038	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL.	86,737	86,737
040	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION—DEM/VAL .....	953	953
042	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE .....	379,437	379,437
044	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER .....	2,606	2,606
045	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM.	103	103
047	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION .....	16,018	16,018
049	0604458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER .....	58,861	58,861
050	0604618F	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	2,500	2,500
051	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT .....	21,175	21,175
052	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE .....		10,000
		Program increase .....		[10,000]
053	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM .....	13,636	13,636
054	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES.	2,799	2,799
055	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR).	70,160	70,160
056	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE).	137,233	137,233
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES.</b>	<b>876,709</b>	<b>886,709</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
058	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	977	977
061	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING.	3,601	3,601
062	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,971	1,971
064	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE .....	51,456	51,456
065	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	50	50

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
066	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD .....	115,000	115,000
067	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS .....	23,930	23,930
068	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS .....	400,258	400,258
069	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK .....	4,575	4,575
070	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD. Modernization projects execution delays excluding exploitation efforts.	352,532	322,832
				[−29,700]
071	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	16,284	16,284
072	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS .....	2,564	2,564
073	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT .....	17,036	17,036
074	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS .....	7,273	7,273
075	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES .....	33,200	33,200
078	0604800F	F-35—EMD .....	816,335	816,335
079	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—EMD .....	145,442	145,442
080	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD.	27,963	27,963
081	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON .....	5,000	5,000
082	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION .....	129,411	129,411
083	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B .....	131,100	131,100
084	0605221F	KC-46 .....	1,558,590	1,558,590
085	0605229F	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION .....	393,558	333,558
		Program delays / projected savings pending updated program estimate.		[−60,000]
086	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E .....	6,242	6,242
087	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	272,872	272,872
088	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	124,805	124,805
089	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) .....	13,948	13,948
090	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM .....	303,500	303,500
091	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION .....	67,874	67,874
094	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING .....	4,663	4,663
097	0401318F	CV-22 .....	46,705	46,705
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION.</b>	<b>5,078,715</b>	<b>4,989,015</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
099	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	17,690	17,690
100	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	34,841	34,841
101	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE .....	32,956	32,956
103	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION .....	13,610	13,610
104	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	742,658	742,658
105	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) .....	14,203	14,203
106	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) .....	13,000	13,000
107	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.	44,160	44,160
108	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.	27,643	27,643
109	0606323F	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE.	13,935	13,935
110	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE.	192,348	192,348
111	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	28,647	28,647
112	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING .....	315	315
114	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	3,785	3,785
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
115	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT.	383,500	383,500
117	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	5,000	5,000
118	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS).	90,097	90,097
119	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	32,086	32,086

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
121	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS .....	24,007	24,007
122	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	450	450
123	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS .....	19,589	19,589
124	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS .....	100,194	100,194
125	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM .....	37,448	37,448
128	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.	1,700	1,700
130	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND.	3,844	3,844
131	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV .....	128,328	128,328
133	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS .....	9,614	9,614
134	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS .....	177,298	177,298
135	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS .....	244,289	244,289
136	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION .....	13,138	13,138
137	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS .....	328,542	328,542
138	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS .....	33,000	33,000
139	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	15,460	15,460
140	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).	84,172	84,172
142	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY .....	2,582	2,582
143	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE .....	542	542
144	0207247F	AF TENCAP .....	89,816	89,816
145	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT .....	1,075	1,075
146	0207253F	COMPASS CALL .....	10,782	10,782
147	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.	139,369	139,369
149	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM).	6,373	6,373
150	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) .....	22,820	22,820
151	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) .....	7,029	7,029
152	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS).	186,256	186,256
153	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	743	743
156	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES ..	4,471	4,471
158	0207444F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD .....	10,250	10,250
159	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK .....	1,431	1,431
160	0207449F	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION .....	7,329	7,329
161	0207452F	DCAPES .....	15,081	15,081
162	0207581F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS).	13,248	23,148
		Continue T-3 testing operations .....		[9,900]
163	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE .....	24,342	24,342
164	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION .....	10,448	10,448
165	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS .....	5,512	5,512
166	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES .....	3,301	3,301
167	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	62,605	62,605
169	0208059F	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES .....	68,099	68,099
170	0208087F	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	14,047	14,047
171	0208088F	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	5,853	5,853
179	0301400F	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE .....	12,197	12,197
180	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC).	18,267	18,267
181	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICA- TIONS NETWORK (MEECN).	36,288	36,288
182	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	90,231	100,231
		ASACoE program .....		[10,000]
183	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	725	725
185	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS .....	140,170	140,170
187	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE .....	117,110	117,110
190	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) .....	4,430	4,430
191	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	2,048	2,048
192	0305105F	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER .....	288	288
193	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) .....	35,698	35,698

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
194	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE .....	24,667	24,667
195	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCAL). .....	35,674	35,674
196	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS .....	21,186	21,186
199	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	195	195
200	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	1,430	1,430
201	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. ....	330	330
206	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER. ....	3,696	3,696
207	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. ....	2,469	2,469
208	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) .....	8,289	8,289
209	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,345	13,345
211	0305202F	DRAGON U-2 .....	18,700	18,700
212	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	3,000	3,000
213	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	37,828	50,328
		Blue Devil Replacement WAMI/NVDF .....		[12,500]
214	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,491	13,491
215	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. ....	7,498	7,498
216	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	3,326	3,326
217	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV .....	134,406	114,406
		Multiple execution delays .....		[-20,000]
218	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING .....	7,413	7,413
219	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) .....	40,503	40,503
220	0305238F	NATO AGS .....	264,134	264,134
221	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE .....	23,016	23,016
222	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	221,276	221,276
223	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM .....	58,523	58,523
224	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	2,218	2,218
226	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	50,547	50,547
227	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	18,807	18,807
229	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,079	1,079
230	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON .....	400	73,700
		C-130 AMP .....		[47,300]
		C-130H Propulsion System Propeller Upgrades .....		[26,000]
231	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) .....	61,492	61,492
232	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF) .....	109,134	109,134
233	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM .....	22,443	22,443
234	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM). ....	4,116	4,116
238	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT .....	44,553	44,553
239	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS   COMBAT CONTROL .....	6,213	6,213
240	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	1,605	1,605
242	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) ...	95,238	95,238
243	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	10,925	10,925
244	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,347	1,347
245	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES .....	65	65
246	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY .....	1,083	1,083
247	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM .....	1,577	1,577
248	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	5,990	5,990
249	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY .....	786	786
250	0901279F	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE .....	654	654
251	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. ....	135,735	135,735
252A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	11,874,528	11,874,528
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>16,297,542</b>	<b>16,383,242</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF.</b>	<b>25,702,946</b>	<b>25,718,946</b>

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW</b>				
<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>				
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE .....	45,837	45,837
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	315,033	315,033
003	0601110D8Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	11,171	11,171
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE.	49,500	49,500
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM .....	84,271	84,271
006	0601228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS. Program increase .....	30,895	35,895
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	51,426	[5,000]
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>588,133</b>	<b>593,133</b>
<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>				
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	20,065	20,065
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	114,790	114,790
011	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	46,875	41,875
		MIT LL reduction .....		[-5,000]
013	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES. PSC S&T reduction .....	45,000	40,000
014	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Plan X increase .....	413,260	415,760
015	0602304E	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS .....	16,330	16,330
017	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE .....	24,537	24,537
018	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM Program decrease .....	227,065	217,065
020	0602668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH .....	18,908	18,908
021	0602670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH. HSCB Apl Res extension .....		2,500
022	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	225,977	225,977
023	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	166,654	166,654
024	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY .....	243,469	243,469
025	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES.	175,282	175,282
026	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH.	11,107	11,107
027	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. <b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>29,246</b>	<b>29,246</b>
			<b>1,778,565</b>	<b>1,763,565</b>
<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>				
028	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	26,646	21,646
		Program decrease .....		[-5,000]
029	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	19,420	19,420
030	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	77,792	77,792
031	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT.	274,033	274,033
032	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY .....	309,203	214,203
		Advanced Technology—unsustainable growth .....		[-20,000]
		Common Kill Vehicle Technology—transfer to line 032X. Directed energy—DPALS .....		[-70,000]
032X	0603XXXC	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY .....		100,000
		Common Kill Vehicle Technology—transfer from line 032. Increase for CKVT design and development .....		[30,000]
034	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	19,305	19,305
035	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY.	7,565	7,565

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
036	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY .....	40,426	40,426
037	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS .....	149,804	149,804
038	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY .....	172,546	172,546
039	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	170,847	170,847
040	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,009	9,009
041	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.	174,428	167,428
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....		[-7,000]
042	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES .....	20,000	5,000
		Net Comm reduction .....		[-15,000]
045	0603668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH .....	19,668	19,668
046	0603670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.		2,500
		HSCB Adv Dev extension .....		[2,500]
047	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.	34,041	59,041
		IBIF .....		[25,000]
048	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	61,971	53,971
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....		[-8,000]
050	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.	20,000	20,000
051	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.	30,256	30,256
052	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM.	72,324	72,324
053	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT.	82,700	82,700
054	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM .....	8,431	8,431
055	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES .....	117,080	117,080
057	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.	239,078	239,078
059	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	259,006	259,006
060	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	286,364	286,364
061	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	12,116	12,116
062	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	19,008	19,008
063	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS .....	78,532	68,532
		Quick & Rapid Reaction Fund reduction .....		[-10,000]
065	0603828J	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION .....	12,667	12,667
066	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE.	41,370	41,370
069	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY .....	92,508	92,508
070	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT.	52,001	52,001
071	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS .....	52,053	55,053
		Program increase .....		[3,000]
072	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	46,809	46,809
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>3,109,007</b>	<b>3,099,507</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES</b>		
075	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P.	63,641	63,641
076	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH .....	19,152	19,152
077	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF .....	70,763	70,763
079	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM .....	17,230	19,230
		Sustain testing effort .....		[2,000]
080	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM.	71,453	71,453

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
081	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT.	268,990	268,990
082	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT. Continue activities relative to site evaluation, EIS, and planning. FTG-07 failure review board and return to flight .....	1,033,903	1,133,903 [20,000] [80,000]
083	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL.	196,237	196,237
084	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS ..... Additional homeland missile defense radar ..... Enhanced discrimination capability .....	315,183	395,183 [30,000] [50,000]
086	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS .....	377,605	377,605
087	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA .....	286,613	286,613
088	0603892C	AEGIS BMD .....	937,056	937,056
089	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	44,947	44,947
090	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS.	6,515	6,515
091	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS.	418,355	418,355
092	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT.	47,419	47,419
093	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).	52,131	52,131
094	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH .....	13,864	13,864
095	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) .....	44,478	44,478
096	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS ..... Arrow Weapon System Improvements ..... Arrow-3 Interceptor ..... David's Sling short-range BMD ..... US co-production capability for Iron Dome parts and components.	95,782	283,782 [33,700] [22,100] [117,200] [15,000]
097	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST .....	375,866	375,866
098	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS .....	495,257	495,257
099	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING .....	11,704	11,704
100	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE .....	9,842	9,842
101	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....	3,312	13,312 [10,000]
102	0604250D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES ..... Decrease to SCO efforts .....	130,000	100,000 [-30,000]
103	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT.	8,300	8,300
104	0604445J	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	30,000	30,000
105	0604670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING. HSCB Modeling R&E extension .....		2,500 [2,500]
106	0604775D8Z	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM ..... Rapid Innovation Program .....		200,000 [200,000]
108	0604787J	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	7,402	7,402
110	0604828J	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM.	7,506	7,506
111	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) .....	129,374	129,374
112	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT .....	308,522	308,522
115	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM.	3,169	3,169
116	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	946	946
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES.</b>	<b>5,902,517</b>	<b>6,455,017</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION</b>		
118	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD.	8,155	8,155

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
119	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT.	65,440	65,440
120	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD.	451,306	451,306
122	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO).	29,138	29,138
123	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS).	19,475	19,475
124	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES.	12,901	12,901
125	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	13,812	13,812
126	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	386	386
127	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM .....	3,763	3,763
128	0605027D8Z	OUSDC IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES .....	6,788	6,788
129	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	27,917	27,917
130	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION .....	22,297	22,297
131	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM.	51,689	51,689
132	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES.	6,184	6,184
133	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	12,083	12,083
134	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EIM).	3,302	3,302
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.</b>	<b>734,636</b>	<b>734,636</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
135	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	6,393	6,393
136	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT ...	2,479	2,479
137	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP).	240,213	240,213
138	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS .....	2,127	2,127
139	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR .....	8,287	8,287
140	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC).	31,000	31,000
141	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS .....	24,379	24,379
143	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION.	54,311	54,311
144	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO).	47,462	47,462
146	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING .....	12,134	12,134
147	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING .....	44,237	44,237
148	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD .....	5,871	5,871
149	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY .....	5,028	5,028
150	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION.	6,301	6,301
151	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) .....	6,504	6,504
152	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	92,046	92,046
158	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (S.	1,868	1,868
159	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS .....	8,362	8,362
160	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC).	56,024	56,024
161	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION.	6,908	6,908
162	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION .....	15,451	19,451
		Program increase .....		[4,000]
164	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	71,659	71,659
165	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS .....	4,083	4,083
167	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI).	5,306	5,306
168	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT .....	2,097	2,097

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
172	0303166J	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES.	8,394	8,394
175	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE .....	7,624	7,624
178	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2).	43,247	43,247
179	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA .....	37,712	37,712
180	0901598D8W	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS .....	607	607
181A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	54,914	54,914
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>913,028</b>	<b>917,028</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>		
182	0604130V	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) .....	7,552	7,552
183	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA.	3,270	3,270
184	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHAIS).	287	287
185	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT.	14,000	14,000
186	0607310D8Z	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	1,955	1,955
187	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS).	13,250	13,250
188	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT).	13,026	13,026
190	0607828J	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY .....	12,652	12,652
191	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) .....	3,061	3,061
192	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY .....	72,726	72,726
194	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING.	6,524	6,524
201	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT.	512	512
202	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION.	12,867	12,867
203	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS .....	36,565	36,565
204	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN).	13,144	13,144
205	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) .....	1,060	1,060
206	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) .....	33,279	33,279
207	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	10,673	10,673
208	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	181,567	181,567
210	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	34,288	34,288
211	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION .....	7,741	7,741
212	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	3,325	3,325
213	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO).	1,246	1,246
214	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	5,147	5,147
216	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES .....	17,352	17,352
220	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	3,658	3,658
221	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) .....	9,752	9,752
225	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS .....	3,210	4,210
		CRR extension .....		[1,000]
227	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY .....	21,602	21,602
230	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.	5,195	5,195
233	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.	3,348	3,348
235	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	641	641
238	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.	2,338	2,338
239	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITECTURES.	4,372	4,372
247	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	24,691	24,691
248	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	4,659	4,659
249	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS .....	3,533	3,533

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
250	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV .....	1,314	13,314
		<i>Capability Improvements</i> .....		[12,000]
254	1160403BB	AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	156,561	156,561
256	1160405BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	7,705	7,705
257	1160408BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	42,620	42,620
261	1160431BB	WARRIOR SYSTEMS .....	17,970	17,970
262	1160432BB	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	7,424	7,424
268	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,206	2,206
271	1160483BB	MARITIME SYSTEMS .....	18,325	19,481
		CCFLIR— <i>Transfer at USSOCOM Request</i> .....		[1,156]
274	1160489BB	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES ...	3,304	3,304
275	1160490BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTEL-LIGENCE.	16,021	16,021
275A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,773,704	3,773,704
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>4,641,222</b>	<b>4,655,378</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW.</b>	<b>17,667,108</b>	<b>18,218,264</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL TEST &amp; EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION .....	75,720	75,720
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION .....	48,423	48,423
003	0605814OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES ...	62,157	62,157
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b> .....	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>
		<b>TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST &amp; EVAL, DE-FENSE.</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>
		<b>TOTAL RDT&amp;E</b> .....	<b>67,520,236</b>	<b>67,739,463</b>

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-  
GENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	7,000	7,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION.</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY.</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
224A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	34,426	34,426
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY.</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
252A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	9,000	9,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-  
GENY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF.</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>		
275A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	66,208	66,208
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOP- MENT.</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW.</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>
		<b>TOTAL RDT&amp;E .....</b>	<b>116,634</b>	<b>116,634</b>

## TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	888,114	1,059,114
	Readiness funding increase .....		[171,000]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	72,624	72,624
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	617,402	617,402
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	602,262	602,262
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,032,484	1,032,484
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	1,287,462	1,303,262
	Readiness funding increase .....		[15,800]
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,559,656	3,768,656
	Readiness funding increase .....		[209,000]
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	454,477	454,477
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,481,156	1,706,156
	Readiness funding increase .....		[225,000]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,278,154	7,278,154
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	2,754,712	3,011,712
	Realignment of Arlington National Cemetary op- erations .....		[-25,000]
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[282,000]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	425,271	425,271
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	185,064	185,064
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MIS- SIONS .....	463,270	463,270
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>21,102,108</b>	<b>21,979,908</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY .....	360,240	360,240
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS .....	192,105	192,105
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	7,101	7,101
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>559,446</b>	<b>559,446</b>

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	115,992	115,992
220	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	52,323	52,323
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING .....	43,589	43,589
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	453,745	453,745
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	1,034,495	1,034,495
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,016,876	1,016,876
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	186,565	186,565
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	652,514	652,514
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	485,500	485,500
300	EXAMINING .....	170,912	170,912
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	251,523	251,523
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	184,422	184,422
330	JUNIOR ROTC .....	181,105	181,105
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ...</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	690,089	690,089
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES .....	774,120	774,120
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	651,765	651,765
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	453,051	453,051
390	ADMINISTRATION .....	487,737	487,737
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,563,115	1,563,115
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	326,853	326,853
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	234,364	234,364
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	1,212,091	1,212,091
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES .....	243,540	243,540
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	241,101	241,101
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	226,291	226,291
470	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS .....	426,651	457,851
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Head- quarters from O&M Defense-wide .....		[31,200]
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS .....	27,248	27,248
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,023,946	1,023,946
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVI- TIES .....</b>	<b>8,581,962</b>	<b>8,613,162</b>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>			
530	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-284,300
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....		[-284,300]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-284,300</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY .....</b>	<b>35,073,077</b>	<b>35,697,777</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	1,621	1,621
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	24,429	24,429
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	657,099	657,099
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	122,485	122,485
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	584,058	584,058
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	79,380	79,380
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	471,616	471,616
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	74,243	74,243

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	70,894	146,694
	Army Reserve identified shortfall—restore unjusti- fied efficiency reduction .....		[75,800]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	569,801	569,801
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	294,145	330,545
	Readiness funding increase .....		[36,400]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	51,853	51,853
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>3,001,624</b>	<b>3,113,824</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,735	10,735
140	ADMINISTRATION .....	24,197	24,197
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	10,304	10,304
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	10,319	10,319
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	37,857	37,857
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> ..	<b>93,412</b>	<b>93,412</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b> .....	<b>3,095,036</b>	<b>3,207,236</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	800,880	800,880
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	178,650	178,650
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	771,503	771,503
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	98,699	98,699
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	38,779	38,779
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	922,503	922,503
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	761,056	761,056
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	62,971	62,971
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	233,105	233,105
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,019,059	1,019,059
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	712,139	786,339
	Readiness funding increase .....		[74,200]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	1,013,715	1,000,418
	Army National Guard identified severance pay ex- cess to requirement .....		[-13,297]
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>6,613,059</b>	<b>6,673,962</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,812	10,812
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	1,551	1,551
150	ADMINISTRATION .....	78,284	78,284
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	46,995	46,995
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	6,390	6,390
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	297,105	297,105
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> ..	<b>441,137</b>	<b>441,137</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-15,000
	Unjustified Growth For Civilian Personnel Com- pensation .....		[-15,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>-15,000</b>

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE,</b>			
	<b>ARNG .....</b>	<b>7,054,196</b>	<b>7,100,099</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,952,522	4,985,022
	Readiness funding increase .....		[32,500]
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING .....	1,826,404	1,826,404
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	38,639	38,639
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	90,030	90,030
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	362,700	362,700
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	915,881	955,881
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Air Depot Main- tenance .....		[40,000]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	35,838	35,838
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	379,914	379,914
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	3,884,836	3,995,736
	Readiness funding increase .....		[99,500]
	Spares .....		[11,400]
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	734,852	734,852
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,191,511	5,191,511
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,351,274	1,381,274
	Readiness funding increase .....		[30,000]
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	701,316	701,316
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	97,710	97,710
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE .....	172,330	172,330
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	454,682	454,682
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGR- RAPHY .....	328,406	328,406
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	946,429	1,083,297
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Navy Expedi- tionary Combat Enterprise Reset / Depot .....		[148,000]
	Unjustified growth for human resources functions		[-11,132]
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	142,249	142,249
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,603	2,603
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	102,970	102,970
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	199,128	199,128
230	CRUISE MISSILE .....	92,671	92,671
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	1,193,188	1,193,188
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	105,985	105,985
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	532,627	532,627
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	304,160	304,160
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	1,011,528	1,011,528
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MOD- ERNIZATION .....	1,996,821	2,132,821
	Readiness funding increase .....		[136,000]
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,460,918	4,460,918
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>32,610,122</b>	<b>33,096,390</b>
<b>MOBILIZATION</b>			
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE .....	331,576	331,576
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS / INACTIVATIONS .....	6,638	6,638
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS / INACTIVATIONS .....	222,752	222,752
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	73,310	73,310

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS .....	2,675	2,675
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	23,794	23,794
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>660,745</b>	<b>660,745</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	148,516	148,516
380	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	9,384	9,384
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	139,876	139,876
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	630,069	630,069
410	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	9,294	9,294
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	169,082	169,082
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	164,368	164,368
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	241,733	242,833
	<i>Naval Sea Cadets .....</i>		[1,100]
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	139,815	139,815
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	94,632	94,632
470	JUNIOR ROTC .....	51,373	51,373
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ...</b>	<b>1,798,142</b>	<b>1,799,242</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	886,088	886,088
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	13,131	13,131
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT .....	115,742	115,742
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT .....	382,150	382,150
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	268,403	268,403
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	317,293	317,293
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	207,128	207,128
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN .....	295,855	295,855
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	1,140,484	1,140,484
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUP- PORT .....	52,873	52,873
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....	27,587	27,587
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS ..	75,728	75,728
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	543,026	543,026
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGEN- CIES .....	4,965	4,965
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	545,775	545,775
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES ..</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
710	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-30,000
	<i>Average civilian end strength above projection .....</i>		[-30,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-30,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY .....</b>	<b>39,945,237</b>	<b>40,402,605</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	837,012	912,012
	<i>Crisis Response Force .....</i>		[40,000]
	<i>Marine Security Guard .....</i>		[35,000]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	894,555	894,555

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	223,337	279,337
	Readiness funding increase .....		[56,000]
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING .....	97,878	97,878
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA- TION .....	774,619	774,619
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,166,661	2,166,661
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>4,994,062</b>	<b>5,125,062</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
070	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,693	17,693
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	896	896
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	100,806	100,806
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION ....	46,928	46,928
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	356,426	356,426
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	179,747	179,747
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	52,255	52,255
140	JUNIOR ROTC .....	23,138	23,138
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ...</b>	<b>777,889</b>	<b>777,889</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	43,816	43,816
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	305,107	305,107
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ....	87,500	87,500
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	46,276	46,276
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES ..</b>	<b>482,699</b>	<b>482,699</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>6,254,650</b>	<b>6,385,650</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	586,620	588,520
	Readiness funding increase .....		[1,900]
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	7,008	7,008
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	100,657	109,557
	Readiness funding increase .....		[8,900]
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	305	305
060	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	3,927	3,927
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	75,933	75,933
080	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	601	601
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	44,364	44,364
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	15,477	15,477
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	115,608	115,608
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	1,967	1,967
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	43,726	43,726
140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MOD- ERNIZATION .....	69,011	74,011
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[5,000]
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	109,604	109,604
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>1,174,808</b>	<b>1,190,608</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,905	2,905
170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT .....	14,425	14,425
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,485	2,485

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	3,129	3,129
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES ..</b>	<b>22,944</b>	<b>22,944</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES .....</b>	<b>1,197,752</b>	<b>1,213,552</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	96,244	96,244
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	17,581	17,581
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MOD- ERNIZATION .....	32,438	32,738
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[300]
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	95,259	95,259
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>241,522</b>	<b>241,822</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	894	894
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,743	11,743
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	9,158	9,158
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES ..</b>	<b>21,795</b>	<b>21,795</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE .....</b>	<b>263,317</b>	<b>263,617</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,295,814	3,442,614
	Readiness funding increase .....		[146,800]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,875,095	1,875,095
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	1,559,109	1,579,109
	Increase for ranges .....		[20,000]
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,956,304	6,146,304
	Readiness funding increase .....		[190,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,834,424	1,934,738
	Readiness funding increase .....		[100,314]
060	BASE SUPPORT .....	2,779,811	2,779,811
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	913,841	911,329
	Remove program growth for foreign currency fluc- tuation .....		[-2,512]
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	916,837	916,837
100	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVI- TIES .....	720,349	720,349
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	305,275	305,275
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	433,658	433,658
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	1,146,016	1,146,016
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS <b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>231,830</b>	<b>231,830</b>
	<b>21,968,363</b>	<b>22,422,965</b>	
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	2,015,902	2,015,902
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	147,216	147,216
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,556,232	1,556,232

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	167,402	167,402
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	707,040	707,040
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	102,334	102,334
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,733	17,733
220	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) ...	94,600	94,600
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	217,011	217,011
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	800,327	800,327
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	399,364	399,364
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	792,275	792,275
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	248,958	248,958
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	106,741	106,741
290	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	319,331	339,331
	Readiness funding increase .....		[20,000]
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	122,736	122,736
310	EXAMINING .....	3,679	3,679
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	137,255	137,255
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	176,153	176,153
340	JUNIOR ROTC .....	67,018	67,018
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ...</b>	<b>3,605,515</b>	<b>3,625,515</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,103,684	1,103,684
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	919,923	919,923
370	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	56,601	56,601
380	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	281,061	281,061
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,203,305	1,198,128
	Unjustified increase for public-private competi- tions .....		[-5,177]
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	593,865	593,865
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	574,609	574,609
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	1,028,600	1,028,600
430	CIVIL AIR PATROL .....	24,720	24,720
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	89,008	89,008
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,227,796	1,227,796
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES ..</b>	<b>7,103,172</b>	<b>7,097,995</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
470	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-200,000
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....		[-200,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-200,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>37,270,842</b>	<b>37,540,267</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,857,951	1,857,951
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	224,462	220,062
	Unjustified growth in civilian personnel com- pensation .....		[-4,400]

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	521,182	521,182
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	89,704	98,674
	Readiness funding increase .....		[8,970]
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	360,836	360,836
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>3,054,135</b>	<b>3,058,705</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE AC-</b> <b>TIVITIES</b>			
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	64,362	64,362
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	15,056	15,056
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC) .....	23,617	23,617
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) .....	6,618	6,618
100	AUDIOVISUAL .....	819	819
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND</b> <b>SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>110,472</b>	<b>110,472</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE,</b> <b>AF RESERVE</b> .....	<b>3,164,607</b>	<b>3,169,177</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG</b> <b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS .....	3,371,871	3,371,871
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	720,305	720,305
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,514,870	1,514,870
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	296,953	325,153
	Readiness funding increase .....		[28,200]
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	597,303	597,303
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>6,501,302</b>	<b>6,529,502</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE AC-</b> <b>TIVITIES</b>			
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	32,117	32,117
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	32,585	32,585
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERV-</b> <b>ICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>64,702</b>	<b>64,702</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE,</b> <b>ANG</b> .....	<b>6,566,004</b>	<b>6,594,204</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-</b> <b>WIDE</b> <b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	472,239	472,239
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	5,261,463	5,233,611
	AFSOC Flying Hour Program .....		[70,100]
	International SOF Information Sharing System ...		[-7,017]
	Ongoing baseline contingency operations .....		[-35,519]
	Other Operations—military construction collateral equipment non-recurring costs .....		[-5,000]
	Pilot program for SOF family members .....		[5,000]
	Preserve the force and families—human perform- ance program .....		[-11,605]
	Preserve the force and families—resiliency .....		[-8,786]

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters to O&M, Army .....		[-31,200]
	Regional SOF Coordination Centers .....		[-14,725]
	USASOC Flying Hour Program .....		[18,000]
	USSOCOM NCR Contractor Support .....		[-7,100]
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,733,702</b>	<b>5,705,850</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
040	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY .....	157,397	157,397
050	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY .....	84,899	84,899
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ...</b>	<b>242,296</b>	<b>242,296</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	144,443	166,142
	STARBASE .....		[21,699]
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	612,207	583,207
	Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....		[-29,000]
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY ...	1,378,606	1,319,606
	Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....		[-59,000]
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	763,091	763,091
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,326,243	1,326,243
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	29,933	29,933
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	462,545	451,517
	Cost of DISA computing service rates .....		[-11,028]
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	222,979	222,979
170	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE .....	21,594	21,594
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	788,389	761,589
	Combating terrorism fellowship program .....		[-7,000]
	Global Train and Equip .....		[-7,800]
	Regional centers for security centers—undistributed decrease .....		[-12,000]
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	546,603	546,603
210	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION .....	35,151	35,151
220	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....	438,033	438,033
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	2,713,756	2,713,756
250	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	256,201	254,801
	THAAD excess to requirement .....		[-1,400]
270	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	371,615	217,715
	Program decrease .....		[-273,300]
	Rephasing of Guam civilian water and waste water infrastructure projects .....		[119,400]
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	2,010,176	1,995,176
	BRAC 2015 Initiative .....		[-8,000]
	OUSD(P) program decrease .....		[-7,000]
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	616,572	611,572
	Price Growth Requested as Program Growth .....		[-5,000]
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	14,283,558	14,323,558
	Classified adjustment .....		[10,000]
	Increase to Operation Observant Compass .....		[30,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>27,021,695</b>	<b>26,782,266</b>

<b>SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>			
305	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		30,000
	Impact Aid .....		[25,000]
	Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities ..		[5,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>30,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>32,997,693</b>	<b>32,760,412</b>
<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>			
040	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE .....	13,606	13,606
050	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID .....	109,500	109,500
060	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....	528,455	528,455
080	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD .....	256,031	131,331
	Program decrease .....		[-124,700]
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY .....	298,815	298,815
100	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY .....	316,103	316,103
110	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE ....	439,820	439,820
120	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE .....	10,757	10,757
130	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES .....	237,443	237,443
160	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND .....	5,000	0
	Program reduction .....		[-5,000]
	<b>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIA- TIONS</b> .....	<b>2,215,530</b>	<b>2,085,830</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</b> ....	<b>175,097,941</b>	<b>176,420,426</b>

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-  
GENCY OPERATIONS.**

<b>SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	217,571	217,571
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	8,266	8,266
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	56,626	56,626
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	4,209,942	4,209,942
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	950,567	950,567
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	474,288	474,288
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,349,152	1,349,152
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	655,000	655,000
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	301,563	301,563
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	706,214	706,214
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	11,519,498	11,519,498
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	60,000	60,000
160	RESET .....	2,240,358	3,340,358
	Restore Critical Army Reset .....		[1,100,000]

<b>SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b>			
<i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>22,749,045</b>	<b>23,849,045</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	4,601,356	4,601,356
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	17,418	17,418
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	110,000	110,000
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	94,820	94,820
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	54,000	54,000
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	250,000	250,000
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,402,994	1,402,994
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b> ...	<b>6,530,588</b>	<b>6,530,588</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b> .....	<b>29,279,633</b>	<b>30,379,633</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES</b>		
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	6,995	6,995
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,332	2,332
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	608	608
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	33,000	33,000
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>42,935</b>	<b>42,935</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b> .....	<b>42,935</b>	<b>42,935</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	29,314	29,314
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	1,494	1,494
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	15,343	15,343
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	1,549	1,549
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	64,504	64,504
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	31,512	31,512
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	42,179	42,179
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	11,996	11,996
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>197,891</b>	<b>197,891</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,480	1,480
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>1,480</b>	<b>1,480</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG</b> .....	<b>199,371</b>	<b>199,371</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND MINISTRY OF DEFENSE</b>		
010	SUSTAINMENT .....	2,735,603	2,735,603
020	INFRASTRUCTURE .....	278,650	278,650
030	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	2,180,382	2,180,382
040	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,550	626,550
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE</b> .....	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>
	<b>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</b>		
060	SUSTAINMENT .....	1,214,995	1,214,995
080	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	54,696	54,696

<b>SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b>			
<i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
090	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,119	626,119
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR .....</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>
	<b>DETAINEE OPS</b>		
110	SUSTAINMENT .....	7,225	7,225
140	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	2,500	2,500
	<b>SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS .....</b>	<b>9,725</b>	<b>9,725</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
160	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-1,500,000
	Program decrease .....		[-1,500,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-1,500,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND .....</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>6,226,720</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>		
	<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>		
010	POWER .....	279,000	250,000
	Unjustified expenditure .....		[-29,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	845,169	845,169
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	600	600
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	17,489	17,489
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	78,491	78,491
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	162,420	162,420
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,700	2,700
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	50,130	50,130
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	949,539	949,539
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	20,226	20,226
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,679,660	1,679,660
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	37,760	37,760
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	25,351	25,351
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	20,045	20,045
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	1,212,296	1,212,296
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	10,203	10,203
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	127,972	127,972
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	221,427	221,427
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	13,386	13,386
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	110,940	110,940
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS .....	18,460	18,460
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	227,033	227,033
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>245,493</b>	<b>245,493</b>

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	50,269	50,269
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	5,400	5,400
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>55,669</b>	<b>55,669</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>			
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,418	2,418
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	516	516
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGE- MENT .....	5,107	5,107
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	1,411	1,411
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,545	2,545
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	153,427	153,427
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	8,570	8,570
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	1,425	1,425
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	5,608	5,608
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>181,027</b>	<b>181,027</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY .....</b>	<b>6,067,993</b>	<b>6,067,993</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	992,190	992,190
020	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	559,574	559,574
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	570,000	570,000
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	69,726	69,726
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>2,191,490</b>	<b>2,191,490</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	108,270	108,270
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>108,270</b>	<b>108,270</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>			
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	365,555	365,555
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,675	3,675
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	825	825
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>370,055</b>	<b>370,055</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MA- RINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>2,669,815</b>	<b>2,669,815</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	17,196	17,196
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	200	200
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,000	6,000
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	12,304	12,304
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,790	6,790
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	13,210	13,210
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES .....</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>

<b>SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b>			
<i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	11,124	11,124
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	1,410	1,410
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE .....</b>	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,712,393	1,712,393
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	836,104	836,104
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	14,118	14,118
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,373,480	1,373,480
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	122,712	122,712
060	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,520,333	1,520,333
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	31,582	31,582
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	147,524	147,524
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	857	857
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	8,353	8,353
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	50,495	50,495
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,817,951</b>	<b>5,817,951</b>
<b>MOBILIZATION</b>			
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	3,091,133	3,091,133
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	47,897	47,897
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	387,179	517,179
	Program increase .....		[130,000]
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	7,043	7,043
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	68,382	68,382
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>3,601,634</b>	<b>3,731,634</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	100	100
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	478	478
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,256	19,256
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	12,845	12,845
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	731	731
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	607	607
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	720	720
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	152	152
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>34,889</b>	<b>34,889</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>			
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	86,273	86,273
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	2,511	2,511
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,887	19,887
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,493	3,493
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	152,086	152,086
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	269,825	269,825
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	117	117

<b>SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b> <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	16,558	16,558
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>550,750</b>	<b>550,750</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>10,005,224</b>	<b>10,135,224</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	26,599	26,599
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	6,250	6,250
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES</b>		
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	22,200	22,200
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	2,222,868	2,222,868
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	27,781	27,781
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	45,746	45,746
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	76,348	76,348
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	99,538	99,538
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	9,620	9,620
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	1,950,000	1,950,000
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	100,100	100,100
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	38,227	38,227
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	2,784	2,784
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,862,066	1,862,066
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE .....</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>62,530,052</b>

## TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

### SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

<i>SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	130,399,881	129,716,981
Enlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....		[-38,000]
Excess to requirement .....		[-64,300]
Full Time Pay and Allowances projected underexecution ..		[-10,000]
Full Time Support projected underexecution .....		[-1,000]
Military Personnel unobligated .....		[-186,000]
Permanent Change of Station Travel—Army .....		[-150,000]
Recruiting and Retention programs excess to requirement		[-1,800]
Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....		[-68,300]
Reserve Incentive Programs excess to requirement .....		[-7,750]
Travel, Active Duty for Training, projected underexecution		[-18,000]
Undistributed reduction consistent with pace of draw- down .....		[-137,750]
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	6,676,750	6,676,750
<b>Total, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>137,076,631</b>	<b>136,393,731</b>

### SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

<i>SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	9,689,307	9,648,807
Projected underexecution .....		[-40,500]
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	164,033	164,033
<b>Total, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>9,853,340</b>	<b>9,812,840</b>

## TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

### SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

<i>SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	25,158	25,158
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY .....</b>	<b>25,158</b>	<b>25,158</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>		
<b>FUEL COSTS</b>		
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL) .....	61,731	61,731
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>61,731</b>	<b>61,731</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		

<b>SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS</b> <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	46,428	46,428
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>46,428</b>	<b>46,428</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA</b>		
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA .....	1,412,510	1,412,510
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA</b> .....	<b>1,412,510</b>	<b>1,412,510</b>
<b>NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND</b>		
<b>LMSR</b>		
MPF MLP .....	134,917	22,717
<i>Navy requested adjustment</i> .....		<i>[-112,200]</i>
POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING .....	43,404	43,404
<b>NATIONAL DEF SEALIFT VESSEL</b>		
LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE .....	116,784	116,784
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS .....	60,703	60,703
TAH MAINTENANCE .....	19,809	19,809
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	56,058	56,058
READY RESERVE FORCE .....	299,025	299,025
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND</b> .....	<b>730,700</b>	<b>618,500</b>
<b>CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION</b>		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE .....	451,572	451,572
RDT&E .....	604,183	604,183
PROCUREMENT .....	1,368	1,368
<b>TOTAL CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION</b> .....	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>		
OPERATING FORCES .....	815,965	815,965
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM .....	122,580	122,580
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b> .....	<b>938,545</b>	<b>938,545</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	311,131	346,000
<i>Program increase</i> .....		<i>[34,869]</i>
<b>RDT&amp;E</b>		
PROCUREMENT .....	1,000	1,000
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b> .....	<b>312,131</b>	<b>347,000</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>		
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,880,738	8,880,738
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,842,732	15,775,732
<i>Pharmaceutical drugs excess growth</i> .....		<i>[-67,000]</i>
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	2,505,640	2,505,640
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,450,619	1,450,619
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	368,248	368,248
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	733,097	733,097
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,872,660	1,872,660
R&D RESEARCH .....	9,162	9,162
R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT .....	47,977	47,977
R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	291,156	291,156
R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION .....	132,430	132,430
R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT .....	161,674	161,674
R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT .....	72,568	72,568

<b>SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT .....	14,646	14,646
<b>RDT&amp;E UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>		
PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING .....	89,404	89,404
PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION .....	377,577	377,577
PROC IEHR .....	204,200	204,200
UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-57,000
DHP Unobligated .....		[-275,000]
Restore Tricare savings .....		[218,000]
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>33,054,528</b>	<b>32,930,528</b>
<b>TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....</b>	<b>37,638,854</b>	<b>37,437,523</b>
<b>SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.</b>		
<b>SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	44,732	44,732
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY .....</b>	<b>44,732</b>	<b>44,732</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>		
C-17 CLS ENGINE REPAIR .....	78,500	78,500
TRANSPORTATION FALLEN HEROES .....	10,000	10,000
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>88,500</b>	<b>88,500</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	131,678	131,678
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>131,678</b>	<b>131,678</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>		
OPERATING FORCES .....	376,305	376,305
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF .....</b>	<b>376,305</b>	<b>376,305</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	10,766	10,766
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL .....</b>	<b>10,766</b>	<b>10,766</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>		
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	375,958	375,958
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	382,560	382,560
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	132,749	132,749
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	2,238	2,238
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	460	460
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	10,236	10,236
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>904,201</b>	<b>904,201</b>
<b>TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION****SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.**

<b>SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b>				
<b>(In Thousands of Dollars)</b>				
<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
	<i>Alaska</i>			
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Battalion Complex .....	45,000	45,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Storage Hangar .....	58,000	58,000
	<i>Colorado</i>			
Army	Fort Carson	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	66,000	66,000
Army	Fort Carson	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	73,000	73,000
Army	Fort Carson	Central Energy Plant .....	34,000	34,000
Army	Fort Carson	Fire Station .....	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson	Headquarters Building .....	33,000	33,000
Army	Fort Carson	Runway .....	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson	Simulator Building .....	12,200	12,200
	<i>Florida</i>			
Army	Eglin AFB	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range .....	4,700	4,700
	<i>Georgia</i>			
Army	Fort Gordon	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph2.	61,000	61,000
	<i>Hawaii</i>			
Army	Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility—Admin	75,000	70,000
	<i>Kansas</i>			
Army	Fort Leavenworth	Simulations Center .....	17,000	17,000
	<i>Kentucky</i>			
Army	Fort Campbell	Battlefield Weather Support Facility .....	4,800	4,800
	<i>Maryland</i>			
Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Operations and Maintenance Facilities ...	21,000	21,000
Army	Fort Detrick	Entry Control Point .....	2,500	2,500
Army	Fort Detrick	Hazardous Material Storage Building ...	4,600	4,600
	<i>Missouri</i>			
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph1.	86,000	86,000
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Simulator Building .....	4,700	4,700
	<i>New York</i>			
Army	U.S. Military Academy	Cadet Barracks, Incr 2 .....	42,000	42,000
	<i>North Carolina</i>			
Army	Fort Bragg	Command and Control Facility .....	5,900	5,900
	<i>Texas</i>			
Army	Fort Bliss	Control Tower .....	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Bliss	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Complex .....	36,000	36,000
	<i>Virginia</i>			
Army	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph3.	50,000	50,000
	<i>Washington</i>			
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	79,000	79,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Airfield Operations Complex .....	37,000	37,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Aviation Battalion Complex .....	28,000	28,000
Army	Yakima	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range.	9,100	9,100
	<i>Worldwide Classified</i>			
Army	Classified Location	Company Operations Complex .....	33,000	0
	<i>Japan</i>			
Army	Kyoga Misaki	Company Operations Complex .....	0	33,000

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
	<i>Kwajalein</i>			
Army	<i>Kwajalein Atoll</i>	<i>Pier .....</i>	63,000	63,000
	<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>			
Army	<i>Unspecified</i>	<i>Host Nation Support Fy14 .....</i>	33,000	28,000
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
Army	<i>Unspecified</i>	<i>Minor Construction Fy14 .....</i>	25,000	25,000
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
Army	<i>Unspecified</i>	<i>Planning and Design Fy14 .....</i>	41,575	41,575
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
	<b>Total Military Construction, Army .....</b>		<b>1,119,875</b>	<b>1,109,875</b>
	<i>California</i>			
Navy	<i>Barstow</i>	<i>Engine Dynamometer Facility .....</i>	14,998	14,998
Navy	<i>Camp Pendleton</i>	<i>Ammunition Supply Point Upgrade .....</i>	13,124	13,124
Navy	<i>Coronado</i>	<i>H-60 Trainer Facility .....</i>	8,910	8,910
Navy	<i>Point Mugu</i>	<i>Aircraft Engine Test Pads .....</i>	7,198	7,198
Navy	<i>Point Mugu</i>	<i>Bams Consolidated Maintenance Hangar</i>	17,469	17,469
Navy	<i>Port Hueneme</i>	<i>Unaccompanied Housing Conversion .....</i>	33,600	33,600
Navy	<i>San Diego</i>	<i>Steam Plant Decentralization .....</i>	34,331	34,331
Navy	<i>Twentynine Palms</i>	<i>Camp Wilson Infrastructure Upgrades ...</i>	33,437	33,437
	<i>Florida</i>			
Navy	<i>Jacksonville</i>	<i>P-8a Training &amp; Parking Apron Expansion.</i>	20,752	20,752
Navy	<i>Key West</i>	<i>Aircraft Crash/Rescue &amp; Fire Headquarters.</i>	14,001	14,001
Navy	<i>Mayport</i>	<i>Lcs Logistics Support Facility .....</i>	16,093	16,093
	<i>Georgia</i>			
Navy	<i>Albany</i>	<i>Cers Dispatch Facility .....</i>	1,010	1,010
Navy	<i>Albany</i>	<i>Weapons Storage and Inspection Facility</i>	15,600	15,600
Navy	<i>Savannah</i>	<i>Townsend Bombing Range Land Acq—Phase I.</i>	61,717	61,717
	<i>Guam</i>			
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Aircraft Maintenance Hangar—North Ramp.</i>	85,673	85,673
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Bams Forward Operational &amp; Maintenance Hangar.</i>	61,702	61,702
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Dehumidified Supply Storage Facility ...</i>	17,170	17,170
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Emergent Repair Facility Expansion .....</i>	35,860	35,860
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Modular Storage Magazines .....</i>	63,382	63,382
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>Sierra Wharf Improvements .....</i>	1,170	1,170
Navy	<i>Joint Region Marianas</i>	<i>X-Ray Wharf Improvements .....</i>	53,420	53,420
	<i>Hawaii</i>			
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>3rd Radio Bn Maintenance/Operations Complex.</i>	25,336	25,336
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Aircraft Maintenance Expansion .....</i>	16,968	16,968
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Aircraft Maintenance Hangar Upgrades</i>	31,820	31,820
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Armory Addition and Renovation .....</i>	12,952	12,952
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Aviation Simulator Modernization/Addition.</i>	17,724	17,724
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Mu-22 Hangar .....</i>	57,517	57,517
Navy	<i>Kaneohe Bay</i>	<i>Mu-22 Parking Apron and Infrastructure</i>	74,665	74,665
Navy	<i>Pearl City</i>	<i>Water Transmission Line .....</i>	30,100	30,100
Navy	<i>Pearl Harbor</i>	<i>Drydock Waterfront Facility .....</i>	22,721	22,721

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Submarine Production Support Facility	35,277	35,277
	Illinois			
Navy	Great Lakes	Unaccompanied Housing .....	35,851	35,851
	Maine			
Navy	Bangor	Nctams Vlf Commercial Power Connection.	13,800	13,800
Navy	Kittery	Structural Shops Consolidation .....	11,522	11,522
	Maryland			
Navy	Fort Meade	Marforcybercom HQ-Ops Building .....	83,988	83,988
	Nevada			
Navy	Fallon	Wastewater Treatment Plant .....	11,334	11,334
	North Carolina			
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Landfill—Phase 4 .....	20,795	20,795
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Operations Training Complex .....	22,515	22,515
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—BEQ Nodes .....	18,679	18,679
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—Camp Johnson	2,620	2,620
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—Hadnot Point ..	13,390	13,390
Navy	New River	Ch-53k Maintenance Training Facility ...	13,218	13,218
Navy	New River	Corrosion Control Hangar .....	12,547	12,547
Navy	New River	Regional Communication Station .....	20,098	20,098
	Oklahoma			
Navy	Tinker AFB	Tacamo E-6B Hangar .....	14,144	14,144
	Rhode Island			
Navy	Newport	Hewitt Hall Research Center .....	12,422	12,422
	South Carolina			
Navy	Charleston	Nuclear Power Operational Training Facility.	73,932	73,932
	Virginia			
Navy	Dam Neck	Aerial Target Operation Consolidation ...	10,587	10,587
Navy	Norfolk	Pier 11 Power Upgrades for Cvn-78 .....	3,380	3,380
Navy	Quantico	Academic Instruction Facility Tecom Schools.	25,731	25,731
Navy	Quantico	Atc Transmitter/Receiver Relocation .....	3,630	3,630
Navy	Quantico	Fuller Road Improvements .....	9,013	9,013
Navy	Yorktown	Small Arms Ranges .....	18,700	18,700
	Washington			
Navy	Bremerton	Integrated Water Treatment Sys Dry Docks 3&4.	18,189	18,189
	Kitsap			
Navy	Whidbey Island	Explosives Handling Wharf #2 (Inc) .....	24,880	24,880
Navy	Whidbey Island	Ea-18g Facility Improvements .....	32,482	32,482
Navy	Whidbey Island	P-8a Hangar and Training Facilities ....	85,167	85,167
	Djibouti			
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Armory .....	6,420	6,420
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Unaccompanied Housing .....	22,580	22,580
	Japan			
Navy	Camp Butler	Airfield Security Upgrades .....	5,820	5,820
Navy	Yokosuka	Communication System Upgrade .....	7,568	7,568
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Navy	Unspecified	Mcon Design Funds .....	89,830	89,830
	Worldwide Locations			
Navy	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	19,740	19,740
	Worldwide Locations			
Navy	Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Construction .....	0	0
	Worldwide Locations			
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy .....</b>			<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>
	Arizona			
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Field Training Detachment .....	5,500	5,500

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Sq Ops/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3.	21,400	21,400
	California			
AF	Beale AFB	Distributed Common Ground Station Ops Bldg.	62,000	62,000
	Florida			
AF	Tyndall AFB	F-22 Munitions Storage Complex .....	9,100	9,100
	Guam			
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Fuel Sys Hardened Bldgs .....	20,000	20,000
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Strike Tactical Missile Mxs Facility	10,530	10,530
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Tanker Gp Mx Hangar/AMU/Sqd Ops.	132,600	132,600
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prtc Red Horse Airfield Operations Facility.	8,500	8,500
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prtc Sf Fire Rescue & Emergency Mgt ....	4,600	4,600
	Hawaii			
AF	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	C-17 Modernize Hgr 35, Docks 1&2 .....	4,800	4,800
	Kansas			
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a 2-Bay Corrosion Control/Fuel Cell Hangar.	0	82,000
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a 3-Bay General Purpose Maintenance Hangar.	0	80,000
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a Aircraft Parking Apron Alteration.	0	2,200
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a Aprons Fuels Distribution System.	0	12,800
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a Flight Simulator Facility Phase 1.	0	2,150
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a General Maintenance Hangar ....	0	32,000
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a Miscellaneous Facilities Alteration.	0	970
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46a Pipeline Student Dormitory .....	0	7,000
	Kentucky			
AF	Fort Campbell	19th Air Support Operations Sqdrn Expansion.	8,000	8,000
	Maryland			
AF	Fort Meade	Cybercom Joint Operations Center, Increment 1.	85,000	85,000
AF	Joint Base Andrews	Helicopter Operations Facility .....	30,000	30,000
	Missouri			
AF	Whiteman AFB	Wsa Mop Igloos and Assembly Facility ...	5,900	5,900
	Nebraska			
AF	Offutt AFB	Usstratcom Replacement Facility, Iner 3	136,000	136,000
	Nevada			
AF	Nellis AFB	Add Rpa Weapons School Facility .....	20,000	20,000
AF	Nellis AFB	Dormitory (240 Rm) .....	35,000	35,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Alt Mission Equip (Ame) Storage ...	5,000	5,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar .....	9,400	9,400
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Parts Store .....	9,100	9,100
	New Mexico			
AF	Cannon AFB	Airmen and Family Readiness Center .....	5,500	5,500
AF	Cannon AFB	Dormitory (144 Rm) .....	22,000	22,000
AF	Cannon AFB	Satellite Dining Facility .....	6,600	6,600
AF	Holloman AFB	F-16 Aircraft Covered Washrack and Pad.	2,250	2,250
AF	Kirtland AFB	Nuclear Systems Wing & Sustainment Center (Ph.	30,500	30,500
	North Dakota			

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Adal Aircraft Maintenance Unit ....	15,530	15,530
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Munitions Storage Igloos .....	8,300	8,300
	<i>Oklahoma</i>			
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a Ftu Adal Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock.	0	3,350
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a Ftu Adal Squad Ops/AMU .....	0	7,400
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a Ftu Flight Training Center Simulators Facility Phase 1.	0	12,600
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a Ftu Fuselage Trainer Phase 1 ...	0	6,300
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a Ftu Renovate Facility .....	0	1,200
AF	Tinker AFB	KC-46a Land Acquisition .....	8,600	8,600
	<i>Texas</i>			
AF	Fort Bliss	F-16 Bak 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System.	3,350	3,350
	<i>Utah</i>			
AF	Hill AFB	F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit Hangar 45e Ops #1.	13,500	13,500
AF	Hill AFB	Fire Crash Rescue Station .....	18,500	18,500
	<i>Virginia</i>			
AF	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	4-Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection Bldg.	4,800	4,800
	<i>Greenland</i>			
AF	Thule Ab	Thule Consolidation, Phase 2 .....	43,904	43,904
	<i>Mariana Islands</i>			
AF	Saipan	Par—Airport Pol/Bulk Storage Ast .....	18,500	18,500
AF	Saipan	Par—Hazardous Cargo Pad .....	8,000	8,000
AF	Saipan	Par—Maintenance Facility .....	2,800	2,800
	<i>United Kingdom</i>			
AF	Croughton Raf	Main Gate Complex .....	12,000	0
AF	Varlocs	Guardian Angel Operations Facility .....	22,047	22,047
	<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>			
AF	Unspecified	KC-46a Ftu Facility Projects .....	63,000	0
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
AF	Unspecified	KC-46a Mob #1 Facility Projects .....	192,700	0
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
AF	Unspecified	Planning & Design .....	11,314	11,314
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
AF	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	20,448	20,448
	<i>Worldwide Locations</i>			
	<b>Total Military Construction, Air Force .....</b>		<b>1,156,573</b>	<b>1,138,843</b>
	<i>Alaska</i>			
Def-Wide	Clear AFS	Bmds Upgrade Early Warning Radar ....	17,204	17,204
Def-Wide	Fort Greely	Mechanical-Electrical Bldg Missile Field #1.	82,000	82,000
	<i>California</i>			
Def-Wide	Brawley	SOF Desert Warfare Training Center .....	23,095	23,095
Def-Wide	Defense Distribution Depot-Tracy	General Purpose Warehouse .....	37,554	37,554
	<i>Miramar</i>			
Def-Wide	Miramar	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	6,000	6,000
	<i>Colorado</i>			
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	SOF Group Support Battalion .....	22,282	22,282
	<i>Florida</i>			
Def-Wide	Hurlburt Field	SOF Add/Alter Operations Facility .....	7,900	7,900
Def-Wide	Jacksonville	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	7,500	7,500
Def-Wide	Key West	SOF Boat Docks .....	3,600	3,600

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Def-Wide	Panama City	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	2,600	2,600
Def-Wide	Tyndall AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	9,500	9,500
	Georgia			
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Faith Middle School Addition .....	6,031	6,031
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	White Elementary School Replacement .....	37,304	37,304
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	Diamond Elementary School Replacement.	44,504	44,504
Def-Wide	Hunter Army Airfield	Replace Fuel Island .....	13,500	13,500
Def-Wide	Moody AFB	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	3,800	3,800
	Hawaii			
Def-Wide	Ford Island	DISA Pacific Facility Upgrades .....	2,615	2,615
Def-Wide	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Alter Warehouse Space .....	2,800	2,800
	Kentucky			
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	Fort Campbell High School Replacement	59,278	59,278
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	Marshall Elementary School Replacement.	38,591	38,591
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	SOF Group Special Troops Battalion .....	26,342	26,342
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Ambulatory Health Center .....	265,000	145,000
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Consolidate/Replace Van Voorhis-Mudge Es.	38,023	38,023
	Maryland			
Def-Wide	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Public Health Command Lab Replacement.	210,000	75,000
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Mech & Electrical Improvements .....	46,800	46,800
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Parking Garage .....	20,000	20,000
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Replacement Stage 1, Iner 8	13,000	13,000
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	High Performance Computing Capacity Inc 3.	431,000	396,000
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Recapitalize Building #1/Site M Inc 2.	58,000	58,000
Def-Wide	Joint Base Andrews	Ambulatory Care Center Inc 2 .....	76,200	38,100
	Massachusetts			
Def-Wide	Hanscom AFB	Hanscom Primary School Replacement ...	36,213	36,213
	New Jersey			
Def-Wide	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	Replace Fuel Distribution Components ...	10,000	10,000
	New Mexico			
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Medical Clinic Replacement .....	60,000	60,000
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System .....	21,400	21,400
	North Carolina			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Performance Resiliency Center .....	14,400	14,400
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Sustainment Training Complex .....	28,977	28,977
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Consolidate/Replace Pope Holbrook Elementary.	37,032	37,032
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Civil Affairs Battalion Annex .....	37,689	37,689
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Combat Medic Skills Sustain. Course Bldg.	7,600	7,600
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Engineer Training Facility .....	10,419	10,419
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Language and Cultural Center .....	64,606	64,606
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Upgrade Training Facility .....	14,719	14,719
	North Dakota			
Def-Wide	Minot AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	6,400	6,400
	Oklahoma			
Def-Wide	Altus AFB	Replace Refueler Parking .....	2,100	2,100
Def-Wide	Tinker AFB	Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities .....	36,000	36,000
	Pennsylvania			

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	Upgrade Hazardous Material Warehouse	3,100	3,100
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland South Carolina	Upgrade Public Safety Facility .....	5,900	5,900
Def-Wide	Beaufort	Bolden Elementary/Middle School Replacement.	41,324	41,324
Def-Wide	Tennessee Arnold Air Force Base	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	2,200	2,200
Def-Wide	Texas Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Incr 5 .....	252,100	100,000
Def-Wide	Joint Base San Antonio	Sammc Hyperbaric Facility Addition .....	12,600	12,600
Def-Wide	Virginia Dam Neck	SOF Human Performance Center .....	11,147	11,147
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot Richmond	Operations Center Phase 1 .....	87,000	87,000
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story	SOF Logsu Two Operations Facility .....	30,404	30,404
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Boundary Channel Access Control Point	6,700	6,700
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Army Navy Drive Tour Bus Drop Off .....	1,850	0
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pfpa Support Operations Center .....	14,800	14,800
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Administrative Facility Upgrade.	32,000	32,000
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Exterior Cooling Tower .....	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Quantico	Quantico Middle/High School Replacement.	40,586	40,586
Def-Wide	Washington Whidbey Island	Replace Fuel Pier Breakwater .....	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Worldwide Classified Location	an/Tpy-2 Radar Site .....	15,000	0
Def-Wide	Bahrain Island Sw Asia	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement .....	45,400	45,400
Def-Wide	Belgium Brussels	NATO Headquarters Facility .....	38,513	38,513
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Fit-Out .....	29,100	29,100
Def-Wide	Germany Kaiserslautern Ab	Kaiserslautern Elementary School Replacement.	49,907	49,907
Def-Wide	Ramstein Ab	Ramstein High School Replacement .....	98,762	98,762
Def-Wide	Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Medical Center Replacement, Incr 3 .....	151,545	76,545
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Hainerberg Elementary School Replacement.	58,899	58,899
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Wiesbaden Middle School Replacement ..	50,756	50,756
Def-Wide	Japan Atsugi	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Iwakuni	Construct Hydrant Fuel System .....	34,000	34,000
Def-Wide	Kadena Ab	Kadena Middle School Addition/Renovation.	38,792	38,792
Def-Wide	Kyoga Misaki	an/Tpy-2 Radar Site .....	0	15,000
Def-Wide	Torri Commo Station	SOF Facility Augmentation .....	71,451	71,451
Def-Wide	Yokosuka	Upgrade Fuel Pumps .....	10,600	10,600
	Korea			

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Def-Wide	Camp Walker Romania	Daegu Middle/High School Replacement	52,164	52,164
Def-Wide	Deveselu	Aegis Ashore Missile Def Sys Cmplx, Incr. 2.	85,000	80,000
	United Kingdom			
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	Replace Fuel Storage .....	17,732	17,732
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements and Hangar/ AMU.	0	48,448
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements .....	24,077	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Hangar/AMU .....	24,371	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Mrsp and Parts Storage .....	6,797	6,797
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Squadron Operations Facility .....	11,652	11,652
Def-Wide	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Lakenheath High School Replacement ...	69,638	69,638
	Worldwide Unspec- ified			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Contingency Construction .....	10,000	0
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Energy Conservation Investment Pro- gram.	150,000	150,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Exercise Related Minor Construction .....	9,730	9,730
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning & Design .....	10,891	10,891
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design .....	50,192	50,192
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design .....	75,905	75,905
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design .....	57,053	57,053
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design .....	36,866	36,866
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design .....	6,931	6,931
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	3,000	3,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	7,430	7,430
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	5,409	5,409
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	5,170	5,170
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	9,578	9,578
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	2,000	2,000

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,500	1,500
<b>Total Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....</b>			<b>3,985,300</b>	<b>3,413,250</b>
Chem Demil	Kentucky Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph Xiv.	122,536	122,536
<b>Total Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense .....</b>			<b>122,536</b>	<b>122,536</b>
NATO	Worldwide Unspecified NATO Security Investment Program	NATO Security Investment Program .....	239,700	199,700
<b>Total NATO Security Investment Program .....</b>			<b>239,700</b>	<b>199,700</b>
Army NG	Alabama Decatur	National Guard Readiness Center Add/ Alt.	4,000	4,000
Army NG	Arkansas Fort Chaffee	Scout/Recce Gunnery Complex .....	21,000	21,000
Army NG	Florida Pinellas Park	Ready Building .....	5,700	5,700
Army NG	Illinois Kankakee	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	28,000	28,000
Army NG	Kankakee	Readiness Center .....	14,000	14,000
Army NG	Massachusetts Camp Edwards	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training Add.	19,000	19,000
Army NG	Michigan Camp Grayling	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training ...	17,000	17,000
Army NG	Minnesota Stillwater	Readiness Center .....	17,000	17,000
Army NG	Mississippi Camp Shelby	Water Supply/Treatment Building, Potable.	3,000	3,000
Army NG	Pascagoula	Readiness Center .....	4,500	4,500
Army NG	Missouri Macon	Vehicle Maintenance Shop .....	9,100	9,100
Army NG	Whiteman AFB	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	5,000	5,000
Army NG	New York New York	Readiness Center Add/Alt .....	31,000	31,000
Army NG	Ohio Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant	Sanitary Sewer .....	5,200	5,200
Army NG	Pennsylvania Fort Indiantown Gap	Aircraft Maintenance Instructional Building.	40,000	40,000
Army NG	Puerto Rico Camp Santiago	Maneuver Area Training & Equipment Site Addit.	5,600	5,600
Army NG	South Carolina Greenville	Readiness Center .....	13,000	13,000
Army NG	Greenville	Vehicle Maintenance Shop .....	13,000	13,000
Army NG	Texas Fort Worth	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add .....	14,270	14,270
Army NG	Wyoming Afton	National Guard Readiness Center .....	10,200	10,200

<b>SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b>				
<b>(In Thousands of Dollars)</b>				
<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Army NG	Unspecified	Planning and Design .....	29,005	24,005
	Worldwide Locations			
Army NG	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	12,240	12,240
	Worldwide Locations			
<b>Total Military Construction, Army National Guard .....</b>			<b>320,815</b>	<b>315,815</b>
	California			
Army Res	Camp Parks	Army Reserve Center .....	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort Hunter Liggett	Tass Training Center (Ttc) .....	16,500	16,500
	Maryland			
Army Res	Bowie	Army Reserve Center .....	25,500	25,500
	New Jersey			
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun (Mpmg) .....	9,500	9,500
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Central Issue Facility .....	7,900	7,900
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Consolidated Dining Facility .....	13,400	13,400
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Modified Record Fire Range .....	5,400	5,400
	New York			
Army Res	Bullville	Army Reserve Center .....	14,500	14,500
	North Carolina			
Army Res	Fort Bragg	Army Reserve Center .....	24,500	24,500
	Wisconsin			
Army Res	Fort Mccooy	Access Control Point/Mail/Freight Center .....	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort Mccooy	Nco Academy Dining Facility .....	5,900	5,900
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Army Res	Unspecified	Planning and Design .....	14,212	14,212
	Worldwide Locations			
Army Res	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,748	1,748
	Worldwide Locations			
<b>Total Military Construction, Army Reserve .....</b>			<b>174,060</b>	<b>174,060</b>
	California			
N/MC Res	March AFB	NOSC Moreno Valley Reserve Training Center .....	11,086	11,086
	Missouri			
N/MC Res	Kansas City	Reserve Training Center—Belton, Missouri .....	15,020	15,020
	Tennessee			
N/MC Res	Memphis	Reserve Boat Maintenance and Storage Facility .....	4,330	4,330
	Worldwide Unspecified			
N/MC Res	Unspecified	Menr Planning & Design .....	1,500	1,500
	Worldwide Locations			

<b>SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b> <b>(In Thousands of Dollars)</b>				
<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Usmer Planning and Design .....	1,040	1,040
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve .....</b>			<b>32,976</b>	<b>32,976</b>
<i>Alabama</i>				
Air NG	Birmingham IAP	Add to and Alter Distributed Ground Station F.	8,500	8,500
<i>Indiana</i>				
Air NG	Hulman Regional Airport	Add/Alter Bldg 37 for Dist Common Ground Sta.	7,300	7,300
<i>Maryland</i>				
Air NG	Fort Meade	175th Network Warfare Squadron Facility.	4,000	4,000
Air NG	Martin State Airport	Cyber/ISR Facility .....	8,000	8,000
<i>Montana</i>				
Air NG	Great Falls IAP	Intra-Theater Airlift Conversion .....	22,000	22,000
<i>New York</i>				
Air NG	Fort Drum	Mq-9 Flight Training Unit Hangar .....	4,700	4,700
<i>Ohio</i>				
Air NG	Springfield Beckley-Map	Alter Intelligence Operations Facility .....	7,200	7,200
<i>Pennsylvania</i>				
Air NG	Fort Indiantown Gap	Communications Operations and Training Facili.	7,700	7,700
<i>Rhode Island</i>				
Air NG	Quonset State Airport	C-130J Flight Simulator Training Facility.	6,000	6,000
<i>Tennessee</i>				
Air NG	Mcghee-Tyson Airport	Tec Expansion- Dormitory & Classroom Facility.	18,000	18,000
<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>				
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design .....	13,400	13,400
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	13,000	13,000
<b>Total Military Construction, Air National Guard .....</b>			<b>119,800</b>	<b>119,800</b>
<i>California</i>				
AF Res	March AFB	Joint Regional Deployment Processing Center,.	19,900	19,900
<i>Florida</i>				
AF Res	Homestead AFS	Entry Control Complex .....	9,800	9,800
<i>Oklahoma</i>				
AF Res	Tinker AFB	Air Control Group Squadron Operations	12,200	12,200
<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>				
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design .....	2,229	2,229
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,530	1,530
<b>Total Military Construction, Air Force Reserve .....</b>			<b>45,659</b>	<b>45,659</b>
<i>Wisconsin</i>				
FH Con Army	Fort Mccooy	Family Housing New Construction (56 Units).	23,000	23,000
<i>Germany</i>				

<b>SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b> <b>(In Thousands of Dollars)</b>				
<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
FH Con Army	South Camp Vilseck	Family Housing New Construction (29 Units).	16,600	16,600
FH Con Army	Worldwide Unspec- ified	Family Housing P & D .....	4,408	4,408
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Army .....</b>			<b>44,008</b>	<b>44,008</b>
	Worldwide Unspec- ified			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Furnishings .....	33,125	33,125
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Leased Housing .....	180,924	180,924
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Maintenance of Real Property Facilities ..	107,639	107,639
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Management Account .....	54,433	54,433
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Military Housing Privatization Initiative	25,661	25,661
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Miscellaneous .....	646	646
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Services .....	13,536	13,536
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified	Utilities .....	96,907	96,907
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Army .....</b>			<b>512,871</b>	<b>512,871</b>
	Worldwide Unspec- ified			
FH Con AF	Unspecified	Improvements .....	72,093	72,093
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Con AF	Unspecified	Planning and Design .....	4,267	4,267
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Air Force .....</b>			<b>76,360</b>	<b>76,360</b>
	Worldwide Unspec- ified			
FH Ops AF	Unspecified	Furnishings Account .....	39,470	39,470
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops AF	Unspecified	Housing Privatization .....	41,436	41,436
	Worldwide Lo- cations			
FH Ops AF	Unspecified	Leasing .....	54,514	54,514
	Worldwide Lo- cations			

<b>SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b> <b>(In Thousands of Dollars)</b>				
<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<i>FH Ops AF</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Maintenance (Rpma Rpmc) .....</i>	<i>110,786</i>	<i>110,786</i>
<i>FH Ops AF</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Management Account .....</i>	<i>53,044</i>	<i>53,044</i>
<i>FH Ops AF</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Miscellaneous Account .....</i>	<i>1,954</i>	<i>1,954</i>
<i>FH Ops AF</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Services Account .....</i>	<i>16,862</i>	<i>16,862</i>
<i>FH Ops AF</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Utilities Account .....</i>	<i>70,532</i>	<i>70,532</i>
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Air Force .....</b>			<b>388,598</b>	<b>388,598</b>
<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>				
<i>FH Con Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Design .....</i>	<i>4,438</i>	<i>4,438</i>
<i>FH Con Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Improvements .....</i>	<i>68,969</i>	<i>68,969</i>
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....</b>			<b>73,407</b>	<b>73,407</b>
<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>				
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Furnishings Account .....</i>	<i>21,073</i>	<i>21,073</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Leasing .....</i>	<i>74,962</i>	<i>74,962</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Maintenance of Real Property .....</i>	<i>90,122</i>	<i>90,122</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Management Account .....</i>	<i>60,782</i>	<i>60,782</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Miscellaneous Account .....</i>	<i>362</i>	<i>362</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Privatization Support Costs .....</i>	<i>27,634</i>	<i>27,634</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Services Account .....</i>	<i>20,596</i>	<i>20,596</i>
<i>FH Ops Navy</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Utilities Account .....</i>	<i>94,313</i>	<i>94,313</i>
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps.</b>			<b>389,844</b>	<b>389,844</b>
<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>				

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Account</i>	<i>State/Country and Installation</i>	<i>Project Title</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>Agreement Authorized</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Furnishings Account .....</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Furnishings Account .....</i>	<i>3,196</i>	<i>3,196</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Furnishings Account .....</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Leasing .....</i>	<i>10,994</i>	<i>10,994</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Leasing .....</i>	<i>40,433</i>	<i>40,433</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Maintenance of Real Property .....</i>	<i>311</i>	<i>311</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Maintenance of Real Property .....</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Management Account .....</i>	<i>418</i>	<i>418</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Services Account .....</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Utilities Account .....</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>FH Ops DW</i>	<i>Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Utilities Account .....</i>	<i>288</i>	<i>288</i>
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....</b>			<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>
<i>FHIF</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Family Housing Improvement Fund .....</i>	<i>1,780</i>	<i>1,780</i>
<b>Total DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund .....</b>			<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>
<i>BRAC</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Base Realignment &amp; Closure, Army</i>	<i>Base Realignment and Closure .....</i>	<i>180,401</i>	<i>180,401</i>
<i>BRAC</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Base Realignment &amp; Closure, Navy</i>	<i>Base Realignment &amp; Closure .....</i>	<i>108,300</i>	<i>108,300</i>
<i>BRAC</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Dod BRAC Activities—Air Force .....</i>	<i>126,376</i>	<i>126,376</i>
<i>BRAC</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Don-100: Planing, Design and Management.</i>	<i>7,277</i>	<i>7,277</i>
<i>BRAC</i>	<i>Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations</i>	<i>Don-101: Various Locations .....</i>	<i>20,988</i>	<i>20,988</i>

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-138: NAS Brunswick, ME .....	993	993
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-157: Mca Kansas City, MO .....	40	40
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA	5,766	5,766
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg Ap.	1,216	1,216
<b>Total Base Realignment and Closure Account .....</b>			<b>451,357</b>	<b>451,357</b>
	Worldwide Unspecified			
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—ANG Unspecified Minor Construction.	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Army Bid Savings	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Army Planning and Design Fy12.	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Bid Savings.	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Unspecified Minor Construction.	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Navy Bid Savings ..	0	0
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, AS Amended.	0	0
<b>Total Prior Year Savings .....</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Military Construction .....</b>			<b>11,011,633</b>	<b>10,366,853</b>

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.**

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>Discretionary Summary By Appropriation</b>		
<b>Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies</b>		
<b>Appropriation Summary:</b>		
<b>Energy Programs</b>		
Electricity delivery and energy reliability .....	16,000	0
Nuclear Energy .....	94,000	94,000

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>		
<i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>		
<b>National nuclear security administration:</b>		
Weapons activities .....	7,868,409	7,909,252
Defense nuclear nonproliferation .....	2,140,142	2,180,142
Naval reactors .....	1,246,134	1,246,134
Office of the administrator .....	397,784	389,784
<b>Total, National nuclear security administration</b>	<b>11,652,469</b>	<b>11,725,312</b>
<b>Environmental and other defense activities:</b>		
Defense environmental cleanup .....	5,316,909	5,015,409
Other defense activities .....	749,080	758,658
<b>Total, Environmental &amp; other defense activities</b>	<b>6,065,989</b>	<b>5,774,067</b>
<b>Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>	<b>17,718,458</b>	<b>17,499,379</b>
<b>Total, Discretionary Funding</b>	<b>17,828,458</b>	<b>17,593,379</b>
<b>Electricity Delivery &amp; Energy Reliability</b>		
<b>Electricity Delivery &amp; Energy Reliability</b>		
Infrastructure security & energy restoration (HS) .....	16,000	0
<b>Nuclear Energy</b>		
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security .....	94,000	94,000
<b>Weapons Activities</b>		
<b>Life extension programs and major alterations</b>		
B61 Life extension program .....	537,044	537,044
W76 Life extension program .....	235,382	245,082
W78 / 88-1 Life extension program .....	72,691	72,691
W88 ALT 370 .....	169,487	169,487
<b>Total, Stockpile assessment and design</b>	<b>1,014,604</b>	<b>1,024,304</b>
<b>Stockpile systems</b>		
B61 Stockpile systems .....	83,536	83,536
W76 Stockpile systems .....	47,187	47,187
W78 Stockpile systems .....	54,381	54,381
W80 Stockpile systems .....	50,330	50,330
B83 Stockpile systems .....	54,948	54,948
W87 Stockpile systems .....	101,506	101,506
W88 Stockpile systems .....	62,600	62,600
<b>Total, Stockpile systems</b>	<b>454,488</b>	<b>454,488</b>
<b>Surveillance</b>		
<b>Weapons dismantlement and disposition</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	49,264	55,264
<b>Stockpile services</b>		
Production support .....	321,416	345,000
Research and development support .....	26,349	26,349
R&D certification and safety .....	191,259	191,259
Management, technology, and production .....	214,187	214,187
Plutonium sustainment .....	156,949	156,949
<b>Total, Stockpile services</b>	<b>910,160</b>	<b>933,744</b>
<b>Total, Directed stockpile work</b>	<b>2,428,516</b>	<b>2,467,800</b>

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>Campaigns:</b>		
<b>Science campaign</b>		
Advanced certification .....	54,730	54,730
Primary assessment technologies .....	109,231	109,231
Dynamic materials properties .....	116,965	116,965
Advanced radiography .....	30,509	30,509
Secondary assessment technologies .....	86,467	86,467
<b>Total, Science campaign .....</b>	<b>397,902</b>	<b>397,902</b>
<b>Engineering campaign</b>		
Enhanced surety .....	51,771	51,771
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology ...	23,727	23,727
Nuclear survivability .....	19,504	19,504
Enhanced surveillance .....	54,909	54,909
<b>Total, Engineering campaign .....</b>	<b>149,911</b>	<b>149,911</b>
<b>Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign</b>		
Ignition .....	80,245	80,245
Support of other stockpile programs .....	15,001	15,001
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support .....	59,897	59,897
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion .....	5,024	5,024
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas .....	8,198	8,198
Facility operations and target production .....	232,678	232,678
<b>Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign .....</b>	<b>401,043</b>	<b>401,043</b>
Advanced simulation and computing campaign .....	564,329	564,329
<b>Technology Maturation Campaign</b>		
<b>Readiness Campaign</b>		
Component manufacturing development .....	106,085	106,085
Tritium readiness .....	91,695	91,695
<b>Total, Readiness campaign .....</b>	<b>197,780</b>	<b>197,780</b>
<b>Total, Campaigns .....</b>	<b>1,710,965</b>	<b>1,710,965</b>
<b>Nuclear programs</b>		
Nuclear operations capability .....	265,937	265,937
Capabilities based investments .....	39,558	39,558
<b>Construction:</b>		
12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL .....	26,722	26,722
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL .....	30,679	30,679
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL .....	55,719	55,719
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12 .....	325,835	325,835
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>438,955</b>	<b>438,955</b>
<b>Total, Nuclear programs .....</b>	<b>744,450</b>	<b>744,450</b>
<b>Secure transportation asset</b>		
Operations and equipment .....	122,072	122,072
Program direction .....	97,118	97,118
<b>Total, Secure transportation asset .....</b>	<b>219,190</b>	<b>219,190</b>

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>Site stewardship</b>		
Nuclear materials integration .....	17,679	17,679
Corporate project management .....	13,017	13,017
Minority serving institution partnerships program .....	14,531	14,531
<b>Enterprise infrastructure</b>		
Site Operations .....	1,112,455	1,112,455
Site Support .....	109,561	109,561
Sustainment .....	433,764	433,764
Facilities disposition .....	5,000	5,000
<b>Subtotal, Enterprise infrastructure</b> .....	<b>1,660,780</b>	<b>1,660,780</b>
<b>Total, Site stewardship</b> .....	<b>1,706,007</b>	<b>1,706,007</b>
<b>Defense nuclear security</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	664,981	664,981
<b>Construction:</b>		
14-D-710 DAF Argus, NNSS .....	14,000	
<b>Total, Defense nuclear security</b> .....	<b>678,981</b>	<b>678,981</b>
NNSA CIO activities .....	148,441	150,000
Legacy contractor pensions .....	279,597	279,597
<b>Subtotal, Weapons activities</b> .....	<b>7,916,147</b>	<b>7,956,990</b>
<b>Adjustments</b>		
Use of prior year balances .....	-47,738	-47,738
<b>Total, Adjustments</b> .....	<b>-47,738</b>	<b>-47,738</b>
<b>Total, Weapons Activities</b> .....	<b>7,868,409</b>	<b>7,909,252</b>
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b>		
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs</b>		
Global threat reduction initiative .....	424,487	424,487
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&amp;D</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	388,838	388,838
Nonproliferation and international security .....	141,675	141,675
International material protection and cooperation .....	369,625	369,625
<b>Fissile materials disposition</b>		
<b>U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition</b>		
<b>Operations and maintenance</b>		
U.S. plutonium disposition .....	157,557	157,557
U.S. uranium disposition .....	25,000	25,000
<b>Total, Operations and maintenance</b> .....	<b>182,557</b>	<b>182,557</b>
<b>Construction:</b>		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC .....	320,000	360,000
<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>320,000</b>	<b>360,000</b>
<b>Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition</b> .....	<b>502,557</b>	<b>542,557</b>
<b>Total, Fissile materials disposition</b> .....	<b>502,557</b>	<b>542,557</b>

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<i>Legacy contractor pensions</i> .....	93,703	93,703
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs</b> .....	<b>1,920,885</b>	<b>1,962,444</b>
<i>Nuclear counterterrorism incident response program</i> .....	181,293	181,293
<i>Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs</i> .....	74,666	74,666
<b>Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b> .....	<b>2,176,844</b>	<b>2,216,844</b>
<b>Adjustments</b>		
<i>Use of prior year balances</i> .....	-36,702	-36,702
<b>Total, Adjustments</b> .....	<b>-36,702</b>	<b>-36,702</b>
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b> .....	<b>2,140,142</b>	<b>2,180,142</b>
<b>Naval Reactors</b>		
<i>Naval reactors operations and infrastructure</i> .....	455,740	453,740
<i>Naval reactors development</i> .....	419,400	419,400
<i>Ohio replacement reactor systems development</i> .....	126,400	126,400
<i>S8G Prototype refueling</i> .....	144,400	144,400
<i>Program direction</i> .....	44,404	44,404
<b>Construction:</b>		
<i>14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL</i> .....	1,000	1,000
<i>14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF</i> .....	45,400	45,400
<i>13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL</i> ....	21,073	21,073
<i>13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO</i> .....	600	2,600
<i>Naval Reactor Facility, ID</i> .....	1,700	1,700
<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>69,773</b>	<b>71,773</b>
<b>Subtotal, Naval Reactors</b> .....	<b>1,260,117</b>	<b>1,260,117</b>
<b>Adjustments:</b>		
<i>Use of prior year balances (Naval reactors)</i> .....	-13,983	-13,983
<b>Total, Naval Reactors</b> .....	<b>1,246,134</b>	<b>1,246,134</b>
<b>Office Of The Administrator</b>		
<i>Office of the administrator</i> .....	397,784	389,784
<b>Total, Office Of The Administrator</b> .....	<b>397,784</b>	<b>389,784</b>
<b>Defense Environmental Cleanup</b>		
<b>Closure sites:</b>		
<i>Closure sites administration</i> .....	4,702	4,702
<b>Hanford site:</b>		
<i>River corridor and other cleanup operations</i> .....	393,634	408,634
<i>Central plateau remediation</i> .....	513,450	513,450
<i>Richland community and regulatory support</i> .....	14,701	14,701
<b>Total, Hanford site</b> .....	<b>921,785</b>	<b>936,785</b>
<b>Idaho National Laboratory:</b>		
<i>Idaho cleanup and waste disposition</i> .....	362,100	372,600
<i>Idaho community and regulatory support</i> .....	2,910	2,910

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>		
<i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i>		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
<b>Total, Idaho National Laboratory .....</b>	<b>365,010</b>	<b>375,510</b>
<b>NNSA sites</b>		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory .....	1,476	1,476
Nuclear facility D & D Separations Process Research Unit	23,700	23,700
Nevada .....	61,897	61,897
Sandia National Laboratories .....	2,814	2,814
Los Alamos National Laboratory .....	219,789	234,789
<b>Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites .....</b>	<b>309,676</b>	<b>324,676</b>
<b>Oak Ridge Reservation:</b>		
OR Nuclear facility D & D .....	73,716	73,716
OR cleanup and disposition .....	115,855	115,855
OR reservation community and regulatory support .....	4,365	4,365
<b>Total, Oak Ridge Reservation .....</b>	<b>193,936</b>	<b>193,936</b>
<b>Office of River Protection:</b>		
<b>Waste treatment and immobilization plant</b>		
01-D-416 A-E / ORP-0060 / Major construction .....	690,000	690,000
<b>Tank farm activities</b>		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition ...	520,216	520,216
<b>Total, Office of River protection .....</b>	<b>1,210,216</b>	<b>1,210,216</b>
<b>Savannah River sites:</b>		
Savannah River risk management operations .....	432,491	432,491
SR community and regulatory support .....	11,210	11,210
<b>Radioactive liquid tank waste:</b>		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and dis-		
position .....	552,560	657,560
<b>Construction:</b>		
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savan-		
nah River .....	92,000	92,000
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>92,000</b>	<b>92,000</b>
<b>Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste .....</b>	<b>644,560</b>	<b>749,560</b>
<b>Total, Savannah River site .....</b>	<b>1,088,261</b>	<b>1,193,261</b>
<b>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</b>		
Waste isolation pilot plant .....	203,390	219,390
<b>Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant .....</b>	<b>203,390</b>	<b>219,390</b>
Program direction .....	280,784	280,784
Program support .....	17,979	17,979
<b>Safeguards and Security:</b>		
Oak Ridge Reservation .....	18,800	18,800
Paducah .....	9,435	9,435
Portsmouth .....	8,578	8,578
Richland / Hanford Site .....	69,078	69,078
Savannah River Site .....	121,196	121,196
Waste Isolation Pilot Project .....	4,977	4,977
West Valley .....	2,015	2,015
Technology development .....	24,091	24,091
<b>Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup .....</b>	<b>4,853,909</b>	<b>5,015,409</b>

<b>SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> (In Thousands of Dollars)		
<b>Program</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>Agreement Authorized</b>
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution .....	463,000	0
<b>Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup .....</b>	<b>5,316,909</b>	<b>5,015,409</b>
<b>Other Defense Activities</b>		
<b>Health, safety and security</b>		
Health, safety and security .....	143,616	143,616
Program direction .....	108,301	108,301
<b>Total, Health, safety and security .....</b>	<b>251,917</b>	<b>251,917</b>
Specialized security activities .....	196,322	205,900
<b>Office of Legacy Management</b>		
Legacy management .....	163,271	163,271
Program direction .....	13,712	13,712
<b>Total, Office of Legacy Management .....</b>	<b>176,983</b>	<b>176,983</b>
<b>Defense-related activities</b>		
<b>Defense related administrative support</b>		
Chief financial officer .....	38,979	38,979
Chief information officer .....	79,857	79,857
<b>Total, Defense related administrative support .....</b>	<b>118,836</b>	<b>118,836</b>
Office of hearings and appeals .....	5,022	5,022
<b>Subtotal, Other defense activities .....</b>	<b>749,080</b>	<b>758,658</b>
<b>Total, Other Defense Activities .....</b>	<b>749,080</b>	<b>758,658</b>



JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY THE  
NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL  
YEAR 2014

*[Note from the Director, Legislative Operations: The following is the text of the Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as printed in the Congressional Record on December 12, 2013]*

*Compliance with rules of the House of Representatives and Senate regarding earmarks and congressionally directed spending items*

Consistent with the intent of clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, neither the bill nor the accompanying joint explanatory statement contains any congressional earmarks, congressionally directed spending items, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits, as defined in such rules.

*Summary of discretionary authorizations and budget implication*

The administration's budget request for national defense discretionary programs within the jurisdiction of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives for fiscal year 2014 was \$625.2 billion. Of this amount, \$526.6 billion was requested for base Department of Defense (DOD) programs, \$80.7 billion was requested for overseas contingency operations (OCO), and \$17.9 billion was requested for national security programs in the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB).

The bill authorizes \$625.1 billion in fiscal year 2014, including \$526.8 billion for base DOD programs, \$80.7 billion for OCO, and \$17.6 billion for national security programs in the DOE and the DNFSB.

The two tables preceding the detailed program adjustments in Division D of this Joint Explanatory Statement summarize the direct discretionary authorizations in the agreement and the equivalent budget authority levels for fiscal year 2014 defense programs. The first table summarizes the agreement on authorizations within the jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committees. The second table details the budget authority implication of the discretionary authorizations in the agreement when accounting for national defense items that are not in the jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committees.

## DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

## TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

## Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

*Authorization of appropriations (sec. 101)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 101) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and Marine Corps, the Air Force, and defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 101).

The agreement includes this provision.

## Subtitle B—Army Programs

*Limitation on availability of funds for Stryker vehicle program (sec. 111)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 111) that would limit the availability of funds for the Stryker vehicle program to not more than 75 percent until the Secretary of the Army submits a report on Stryker spare parts inventories.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Study on multiyear, multivehicle procurement authority for tactical vehicles (sec. 112)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 142) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into a 5-year pilot program for the multiyear multivehicle procurement of tactical wheeled vehicles.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would express a sense of Congress and require a study and report on multiyear multivehicle procurement.

## Subtitle C—Navy Programs

*CVN-78 class aircraft carrier program (sec. 121)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 122) that would amend section 122 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) by: (1) Adjusting the cap for CVN-78 from \$10,500.0 million to \$12,887.0 million; (2) Adjusting the cost cap for subsequent ships in the class from \$8,100.0 million to \$11,411.0 million; and (3) Adding a new factor for adjustment, allowing increases or decreases in the cost of CVN-78 that are attributable to the shipboard test program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 122) that would amend section 122 by: (1) Adjusting the cost cap for CVN-78 from \$10,500.0 million to \$12,887.0 million; (2) Adding a new factor for adjustment, allowing increases or decreases in the cost of the CVN-78 class that are attributable to the

shipboard test program; (3) Requiring quarterly updates on the cost of CVN-79; and (4) Preventing the Navy from paying fees under any cost-type or incentive fee contract if the program manager's estimate of the total cost of CVN-79 exceeds the cost cap for CVN-79.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 122 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) by: (1) Adjusting the cap for CVN-78 from \$10,500.0 million to \$12,887.0 million; (2) Adjusting the cost cap for subsequent ships in the class from \$8,100.0 million to \$11,498.0 million; (3) Adding a new factor for adjustment, allowing increases or decreases in the cost of CVN-78 that are attributable to the shipboard test program, but only when the changes result for urgent and unforeseen testing problems that would delay delivery or initial operating capability of the ship; (4) Requiring quarterly updates on the cost of CVN-79; and (5) Directing the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that each prime contract for CVN-79 includes an incentive fee structure that will, throughout the entire period of performance of the contract, provide incentives for each contractor to meet the portion of the cost of the ship for which the contractor is responsible.

*Repeal of requirements relating to procurement of future surface combatants (sec. 122)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 123) that would repeal a reporting requirement in section 125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84). The report submitted by the Secretary of the Navy to Congress of February 2010 provided the Department of the Navy's implementation plan to complete these reports.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Multiyear procurement authority for E-2D aircraft program (sec. 123)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 121) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to buy E-2D aircraft and E-2D mission equipment under one or more multiyear procurement contracts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 121) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to buy E-2D aircraft under one or more multiyear procurement contracts.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 124)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 125) that would require that the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO), in coordination with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the current concept of operations and expected survivability attributes of each of the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) sea frames when they would be employed according to the concept of operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would fence funding for LCS-25 and LCS-26 until: (1) The Navy provides certain reports about the LCS program; and (2) The Joint Requirements Oversight Council makes certain certifications about the LCS program.

#### Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

##### *Repeal of requirement for maintenance of certain retired KC-135E aircraft (sec. 131)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 133) that would repeal section 135(b) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364). Section 135(b) requires that the Secretary of the Air Force maintain at least 74 of the KC-135E aircraft retired after September 30, 2006 in a condition that would allow recall of the aircraft to future service in the Air Force Reserve, Air National Guard, or active forces aerial refueling force structure.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House bill, however, contained a provision (sec. 133) that would require that the Secretary of the Air Force maintain any retired KC-135R aircraft in a condition that would allow recall of the aircraft to future service in the Air Force Reserve, Air National Guard, or active forces aerial refueling force structure.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

##### *Multiyear procurement authority for C-130J aircraft (sec. 132)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to enter into one or more multiyear contracts to procure multiple variants of the C-130J aircraft.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 151) that would allow the Secretary of the Air Force to enter into one or more multiyear contracts to procure C-130J aircraft.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

##### *Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft (sec. 133)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from terminating the legacy C-130H Avionics Modernization Program (AMP). The House report accompanying H.R. 1960 (H. Rept. 113-102) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 recommended an increase of \$47.3 million in Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (APAF), to fund modifications of legacy C-130 with the original AMP upgrade.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision. The Senate report accompanying S. 1197 (S. Rept. 113-44) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 recommended an increase of \$47.3 million in APAF to fund modifications of legacy C-130 with either: (1) the original AMP upgrade; or (2) an alternative program that would upgrade and modernize the

legacy C-130 airlift fleet using a reduced scope program for avionics and mission planning systems.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add a requirement that the Comptroller General conduct a sufficiency review of the cost-benefit analysis conducted under section 143(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), including any findings and recommendations relating to such review. The agreement also recommends an increase of \$47.3 million for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force, in PE 41115F for C-130 Airlift Squadrons, pending completion of that sufficiency review. This is in lieu of a recommendation for additional procurement funding in fiscal year 2014, since procurement funding for modernizing C-130 avionics would be premature.

*Prohibition of procurement of unnecessary C-27J aircraft by the Air Force (sec. 134)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would prevent the Secretary of the Air Force from obligating or expending any funds for the procurement of C-27J aircraft not on contract as of June 1, 2013.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision with an amendment that would narrow the prohibition to the use of funds authorized in fiscal year 2012, since all C-27J funds except the fiscal year 2012 funds have been obligated or transferred to other programs.

Subtitle E—Defense-Wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

*Personal protection equipment procurement (sec. 141)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 144) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that within each military service procurement account, a separate procurement budget line item is designated for personal protection equipment (PPE) investment and funding transparency.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit with the annual budget request a consolidated budget display that describes and justifies all programs and activities, in the appropriations accounts for operation and maintenance as well as research, development, test, and evaluation, associated with the development and procurement of PPE.

After 12 years of war and billions of dollars spent to develop, produce, and field the best available individual PPE, such as body armor and helmets, the Department of Defense should not lose momentum in its search for better protection at lower weight and cost for individual soldiers, marines, airmen, and sailors. One of the most important lessons of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan is that research, development, and acquisition (RDA) of improved ballistic protection for our troops must anticipate, not react, to likely threats. In this regard, budget visibility must be sufficient to allow for comprehensive oversight of the Department's RDA efforts as re-

flected in the annual budget request accompanied by spending estimates projected over the subsequent 5 years. Subject to the completeness and usefulness of the information provided in the budget exhibits that would be required by this provision, Congress may consider other budgetary methods for ensuring the Department's investments over time sustain the importance of and momentum for achieving technological improvements in PPE into the future.

We also note that the Department categorizes PPE, including body armor, as an "expendable" item consistent with current acquisition and financial management policy definitions. Nonetheless, given the military's experiences during operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, the significant RDA investment for body armor, and the fact that body armor is now an essential part of individual combat equipment, one could question whether the categorization of PPE, and body armor in particular, should change from "expendable" to another category that could improve resource stability and provide for better management throughout the RDA process. Accordingly, the Secretary of Defense is encouraged to reassess the Department's categorization of PPE and body armor as "expendable" items.

*Repeal of certain F-35 reporting requirements (sec. 142)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 145) that would amend section 122 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to eliminate the requirement to provide an annual update to the F-35 system maturity matrix.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of RQ-4 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems and A-10 aircraft (sec. 143)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 143) that would limit the use of funds to retire Global Hawk Block 30 unmanned aircraft systems and would require the Secretary of the Air Force to take all actions necessary to maintain the operational capability of the RQ-4 Block 30 Global Hawk through December 31, 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would: (1) Prohibit spending funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available during fiscal year 2014 to retire Global Hawk Block 30 unmanned aircraft systems or A-10 aircraft (except for A-10s planned for retirement on or before April 9, 2013); (2) Modify the prohibited spending to include making significant changes to Global Hawk and A-10 manning levels during fiscal year 2014; (3) Prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from retiring or planning to retire A-10 aircraft (except for A-10s planned for retirement on or before April 9, 2013) between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014; and (4) Add a requirement that the Secretary of Defense provide a report on all high-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems that the Department of Defense is operating or plans to operate in the future.

We intend that the prohibition on making additional A-10 aircraft retirements before December 31, 2014, be to provide breathing space for Congress to conduct oversight and to consider what actions to take on any force structure changes the Air Force may propose in fiscal year 2015.

*MC-12 Liberty Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance aircraft (sec. 144)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 934) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and carry out a plan for the transfer of Air Force MC-12 aircraft to the Army. The provision would also prohibit the Army from acquiring the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS) in fiscal year 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for the potential transfer of MC-12 Liberty aircraft from the Air Force to the Army. In addition, the provision prohibits the Army from using fiscal year 2014 funds to procure additional aircraft under the EMARSS program, but does allow the Army to use fiscal year 2014 funds to complete conversion efforts of existing aircraft that have already been procured, and to convert transferred Liberty aircraft to the EMARSS configuration.

*Competition for evolved expendable launch vehicle providers (sec. 145)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to develop and implement a plan to ensure the fair evaluation of competing contractors in awarding a contract to a certified evolved expendable launch vehicle provider. This plan would include descriptions of how the following areas would be addressed in the evaluation: the proposed cost, schedule, and performance; mission assurance activities; the manner in which the contractor will operate under the Federal Acquisition Regulation; the effect of other contracts in which the contractor is entered into with the Federal Government, such as the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) launch capability and the space station commercial resupply services contracts; and any other areas determined appropriate by the Secretary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that requires the plan at the same time that the Secretary issues a draft request for proposals for a contract on the EELV with respect to how the Secretary will conduct competition in awarding the contract in addition to the specific areas listed in the original House bill.

We note that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) is conducting ongoing work regarding the EELV competition. We request that GAO conduct a review of the Air Force EELV acquisition strategy, which should include an assessment of the methodology, potential challenges, gaps, and acquisition planning process of the Air Force for evaluating competitors, and that GAO brief the de-

fense and intelligence committees on its review. We request that this briefing be provided before a draft request for proposal is released by the Air Force.

This legislative provision should not be construed as direction regarding ongoing procurement or any aspect of source selection criteria.

*Reports on personal protection equipment and health and safety risks associated with ejection seats (sec. 146)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 146) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract with a federally-funded research and development center (FFRDC) to conduct a study to identify and assess alternative and effective means for stimulating competition and innovation in the personal protection equipment industrial base.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would also require the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a study to assess the safety of ejection seats currently in operational use by the Air Force.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Modification of requirements to sustain Navy airborne intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 124) that would amend section 112 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) to require the Secretary of the Navy to maintain sufficient numbers of EP–3 Airborne Reconnaissance Integrated Electronic System II (ARIES II) Spiral 3 aircraft and Special Projects Aircraft (SPA) version P909 to support the wartime operational plans of U.S. Pacific Command (PACOM), and to maintain the capacity to support five EP–3s for allocation to the combatant commands under the Global Force Management Allocation Plan (GFMAP), until the Navy’s multi-intelligence (Multi-INT) Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (BAMS) System TRITON aircraft with signals intelligence (SIGINT) capabilities reaches initial operational capability (IOC). The provision also would require the Secretary to upgrade the final (12th) EP–3 ARIES II aircraft to the Spiral 3 configuration, and to correct electronic intelligence (ELINT) obsolescence problems on both the EP–3 and the SPA aircraft. Finally, the provision would require the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) to coordinate with the Commanders of PACOM and the U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to determine requirements for the special capabilities provided by the SPA aircraft, and would require the Secretary to sustain sufficient numbers of SPA aircraft to meet those requirements until the Navy achieves IOC of a system with capabilities greater than or equal to the SPA.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Section 112 of Public Law 111–383 is intended to prevent a capacity decline in capabilities as the Navy developed replacements

for the EP-3 and the SPA intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) systems. The Navy budget request, which is counter to congressional intent, creates a plan for transitioning from the EP-3/SPA systems to the TRITON Multi-INT and P-8 Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) that would result in a capacity decline beginning in fiscal year 2015.

The Navy also informed Congress that the JROC supports the Navy's transition plan, but in fact the JROC Memorandum (JROCM) on this issue expresses concern about the Navy's plan and requires numerous follow-up actions. In addition, the JROCM instructs the Navy to develop requirements for the Multi-INT TRITON prior to the program's next acquisition milestone review. Congressional review of the TRITON Capabilities Development Document confirms that a robust SIGINT capability is documented only as a "potential future capability," and not a validated requirement as implied by Navy officials to Congress.

The Navy also proposes to prematurely remove highly-skilled personnel from the EP-3/SPA programs, resulting in a reduction of the number of available aircraft to support GFMAP and wartime requirements. Congress is concerned that harvesting these personnel to support an early version of TRITON that provides only optical and radar sensing, but little or no SIGINT capability, does not maximize utilization of highly-skilled personnel with perishable skill sets. Furthermore, the lack of a validated requirement for a robust SIGINT capability for TRITON raises concerns that the capacity and capability decline will turn out to be a permanent ISR capability loss.

We have serious concerns about the Navy's non-compliant EP-3/SPA to P-8 QRC/TRITON Multi-INT transition plan. Therefore, we direct that:

- (1) The JROC review and report to Congress the combatant commander requirements for the simultaneous ISR collection capability provided by EP-3/SPA assets under current Operational Plans and for the GFMAP;
- (2) The Joint Staff and the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence (USDI) identify and report to Congress alternative EP-3/SPA to P-8 QRC/TRITON Multi-INT transition options that do not result in a capacity decline or capability gap, including such options as using Navy reserve personnel to stand up the baseline TRITON system;
- (3) The JROC collaborate with the Navy to develop and document a formal requirement for TRITON Multi-INT;
- (4) The USDI develop, and report to Congress, a mitigation plan to address the ELINT obsolescence issues identified in the Senate report accompanying S. 1197 (S. Rept. 113-44) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014; and,
- (5) The JROC and USDI to determine, and report to Congress, the force structure quantity and type of federated ISR systems and sensors required to wholly replace the EP-3/SPA force structure of aircraft to meet or exceed the current capacity and diversity of ISR collection capability inherently resident on the EP-3/SPA aircraft.

*Multiyear procurement authority for Ground-Based Interceptors*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 141) that would provide multi-year procurement authority and advance procurement authority to the Director of the Missile Defense Agency for the procurement of 14 Ground-Based Interceptors.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Senate on the United States helicopter industrial base*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 152) that would express the sense of Senate on the health of the helicopter industrial base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

## TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

## Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

*Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 201) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified in the funding table in section 4201.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 201).

The agreement includes this provision.

## Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

*Modification of requirements on biennial strategic plan for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (sec. 211)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would modify the biennial strategic plan requirement for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to make more explicit the linkages between the strategic objections of the agency with the missions of the armed forces. Additionally, the provision would reassign responsibility for submission of the plan from the Secretary of Defense to the Director of DARPA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

We recognize the value that DARPA brings to the Department of Defense, especially in terms of high risk research that can be potentially game changing. We believe that such research has the highest probability of successful transition when it is linked early with the operational defense community.

For example, DARPA's Phoenix program has the potential to change radically how the United States approaches space systems development and servicing. As the only program looking at satellite servicing and advanced robotics for geosynchronous earth orbit systems, this program has significant national security, civil, and as

well as, commercial potential. However, we note that the development of such capabilities may raise complex policy issues, as well as pose as a disruptive technology to established approaches and operations. We encourage DARPA to not only continue its technical leadership in this field, but to also work with other entities in the Department of Defense—such as the Air Force, the National Reconnaissance Office, and the Under Secretaries of Defense for Policy and Intelligence—to ensure the development of operational concepts for this capability.

*Limitation on availability of funds for ground combat vehicle engineering and manufacturing phase (sec. 212)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would prohibit the Army from obligating post-Milestone B funds for the Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) program until the Secretary of the Army submits a report to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with technical and clarifying amendments.

Additionally, the Comptroller General of the United States is directed to submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment by the Comptroller General of the study of the Army on the Bradley Fighting Vehicle industrial base submitted to Congress pursuant to the Conference Report to accompany H.R. 4310 (112th Congress), the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (House Report 112–705). The report required shall include an assessment of the reasonableness of the study's methods including, but not limited to, the sufficiency, validity, and reliability of the data used to conduct the study, and include findings and recommendations, if any, on the combat vehicle industrial base. In conducting this review the Comptroller General should not replicate the Army study.

*Limitation and reporting requirements for unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program (sec. 213)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would prohibit the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics from approving a Milestone. A technology development contract award for the Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike (UCLASS) program until 30 days after the Under Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that the software and system engineering designs for the control system and connectivity segment and the aircraft carrier segment of the UCLASS system can achieve, at a low level of integration risk, successful compatibility and operability with the air vehicle segment planned for selection at Milestone A contract award.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the language to require that: (1) The Navy to limit the number of air vehicle segments acquired prior to receiving Milestone B approval for UCLASS; (2) The Navy provide periodic reports on cost, schedule and requirements changes for UCLASS;

and (3) The Comptroller General conduct annual reviews of the UCLASS program.

*Limitation on availability of funds for Air Force logistics transformation (sec. 214)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would restrict the obligation and expenditure of Air Force procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation funds for logistics information technology programs until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the modernization and update of Air Force logistics information technology systems following the cancellation of the expeditionary combat support system.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Limitation on availability of funds for defensive cyberspace operations of the Air Force (sec. 215)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would limit the funds the Air Force may obligate or expend for Defensive Cyberspace Operations in PE 0202088F to not more than 90 percent until a period of 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits a report to the congressional defense committees detailing the Air Force's plan for sustainment of the Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence (ASACOE) across the Future Years Defense Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision but included elsewhere in the committee-reported bill is \$10.0 million in PE 33140F for sustainment of the ASACOE.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for precision extended range munition program (sec. 216)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would limit funds for the precision extended range munition program until the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics provides the congressional defense committees with certain written certifications and a sufficient business case analysis.

The Senate committee-report bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Long-range standoff weapon requirement; prohibition on availability of funds for noncompetitive procedures for offensive anti-surface warfare weapon contracts of the Navy (sec. 217)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 218) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a follow-on air-launched cruise missile, Long Range Stand Off (LRSO) weapon to the AGM-86 that achieves initial operating capability for both conventional and nuclear missions by not later than 2030 and is certified for internal carriage and employment for both conventional

and nuclear missions on the next-generation long-range strike bomber by not later than 2034.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that requires the LRSO to achieve initial operating capability for conventional missions prior to the retirement of the AGM-86, for nuclear missions prior to the retirement of the nuclear armed AGM-86 and is capable of internal carriage and employment for both missions in the long-range strike bomber. The amendment provides that the Secretary may carry out the consecutive development of the nuclear and conventional capabilities, with the nuclear capability first, if it is determined to be cost effective.

The amendment further includes a provision that would prohibit, during fiscal year 2014, using available funds to contract for Navy offensive anti-surface warfare weapons using other than through competitive procedures. Development, testing, and fielding of aircraft-launched offensive anti-surface warfare weapons would be exempted from that prohibition. Included in the provision is a waiver of the prohibition by the Secretary of Defense if the Secretary determines that waiving this prohibition is in the national security interests of the United States.

*Review of software development for F-35 aircraft (sec. 218)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 219) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) to establish an independent team consisting of subject matter experts to review the development of software for the F-35 aircraft program and to report on the results of that review.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the USD(AT&L) to provide a plan for the sustainment of the Autonomic Logistics Information System for the F-35 aircraft.

*Evaluation and assessment of the distributed common ground system (sec. 219)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 220) that would require that: (1) Beginning with the budget request for fiscal year 2015, future budget submissions include separate project codes for each capability component within each program element for each service version of the Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS); (2) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) conduct an analysis of commercial link analysis tools that could be used to meet the requirements of each of the service versions of the DCGS; and (3) If one or more commercial link analysis tools were found to meet the requirements of the program, the responsible service secretary would be required to initiate a request for proposals to purchase those tools.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would include the requirement that: (1) The services' budget submissions include separate project codes for each capability component within each program element for each service version of the DCGS; and (2) The USD(AT&L) conduct an analysis of capability components of DCGS that are compliant with the intelligence community data standards and could be used to meet the requirements of the DCGS program. The provision would require the USD(AT&L) to submit a report of that analysis within 180 days of enactment of this Act. We expect that the USD(AT&L) will adjust the acquisition plans for DCGS if his analysis of the competitive acquisition options for capability components within DCGS shows that expanded competition shows promise.

*Operationally responsive space (sec. 220)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 225) that would prohibit expending more than 50 percent of the funds authorized or expended for the space-based infrared system modernization initiative wide field of view test bed until the Executive Agent for Space certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary of Defense is carrying out the Operationally Responsive Space program office in accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2273a.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes an amendment requiring a report no later than 60 days from the date of enactment regarding a potential mission that would seek to leverage all the policy objectives of the Operationally Responsive Space Program in a single mission.

*Sustainment or replacement of Blue Devil intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities (sec. 221)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to procure the currently deployed Blue Devil intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) system or to develop a plan to replace that system with a comparable or improved system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a plan to sustain the operational capabilities of the Blue Devil I ISR Systems, including precision signal geolocation, by procuring the existing Blue Devil I aircraft, developing a new system, or adapting and integrating capabilities from existing and development programs. The Secretary is required to submit a report that addresses the cost of procuring, operating, and sustaining Blue Devil I aircraft system; the ability of other platforms to provide similar intelligence capabilities; and a listing of related U.S. Air Force and Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency (DARPA) programs. The report should be coordinated with the Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command and the Director of DARPA.

We agree that the necessary capability to sustain is both wide-area motion imagery combined with precision signal geolocation. The integration of these two capabilities provides significant operational utility.

## Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs

*Improvements to acquisition accountability reports on ballistic missile defense system (sec. 231)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) to make certain improvements to the cost estimates included in its annual acquisition accountability reports on the ballistic missile defense system (BMDS), and to provide a report on the plans and schedule for making such improvements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would clarify that each cost estimate shall include all of the operation and sustainment (O&S) costs for which the Director is responsible, and also include a summary description of the O&S functions and costs for which the military departments are responsible, consistent with the Deputy Secretary of Defense Memorandum of June 10, 2011, on funding responsibilities for BMDS elements.

We note that, although the MDA is required to provide life-cycle cost estimates of its acquisition programs—including O&S costs—it does not include in those cost estimates the O&S costs for which the military departments that own and operate elements of the BMDS are responsible. As the Government Accountability Office has noted, this makes it difficult to understand the comprehensive life-cycle costs of BMDS elements. Therefore, we direct the Director of the MDA to work with the military departments that own or operate elements of the BMDS to make a recommendation for how those functions and related costs should be reported in either future annual BMDS Accountability Reports or other similar reports to Congress, including annual budget submission justification materials. We believe that the military departments should provide to the congressional defense committees the life-cycle cost estimates for the O&S functions of the BMDS elements for which they are responsible, and urge them to do so as soon as possible. Furthermore, we expect the Director of the MDA to take steps to ensure that the cost estimate improvements required by the provision are made in a manner as consistent as practicable with the guidance issued pursuant to section 832 of Public Law 112–81, relative to O&S costs, and with the guidance issued pursuant to section 2334(d) of title 10, United States Code, relative to confidence levels of baseline cost estimates.

*Prohibition on use of funds for MEADS program (sec. 232)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2014 funds for the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS), and would also place conditions on the harvesting of MEADS technology.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 236) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2014 funds for MEADS.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that the Department of Defense has invested more than \$2.5 billion in the development of MEADS technology, and has a

substantial interest in making constructive use of any MEADS data and technology owned by the United States. We direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, providing: (1) An explanation of who owns the technology and data developed under the tri-national MEADS development program; (2) How the Secretary intends to ensure that the Department gets the maximum benefit from the U.S. investment in MEADS, including by making such technology and data appropriately available for “technology harvesting” for improvements to the Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD) system program of record, taking into account the report required by House Report 113–102, “Technology harvesting of the Medium Extended Air Defense System”; and (3) U.S. policy regarding 3rd Party Sales of such technology, which we believe could be of benefit to the United States and its allies.

*Prohibition on availability of funds for integration of certain missile defense systems; report on regional ballistic missile defense (sec. 233)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 232) that would express the sense of Congress regarding regional ballistic missile defenses and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status and progress of regional missile defense programs and efforts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify the elements of the required report. It would also include a prohibition on the use of fiscal year 2014 funds to integrate missile defense systems of the People’s Republic of China into U.S. missile defense systems.

We are concerned that the Government of Turkey made an initial decision to purchase a Chinese air and missile defense system for its territorial use. Such a system would not be compatible with, and should not be integrated with, missile defense systems of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

We direct that, not later than 60 days after submission of the report required by the provision, the Government Accountability Office shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees providing its views on the report.

We further direct that, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, the Joint Staff and Joint Force Component Command for Integrated Missile Defense (JFCC-IMD) shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees with respect to any significant changes in the regional missile defense environment since the April 2011 Joint Capability Mix (JCM) III Study was completed, and whether and how the study could be updated to provide useful insights for future force structure levels and employment plans. The briefing should be based on updated intelligence information, updated missile defense systems efficacy and reliability information, and current and planned future budget levels, and any other matters the Joint Staff and JFCC-IMD consider useful.

*Availability of funds for co-production of Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system in the United States (sec. 234)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 237) that would authorize \$15.0 million to enhance the capability for producing the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system in the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize up to \$15.0 million for non-recurring engineering costs associated with establishing the capacity for United States industry to produce parts and components of the Iron Dome system in the United States, subject to an agreement between the United States and Israel for co-production of Iron Dome parts and components. The provision would also require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency to submit a report to Congress on the plan to implement such agreement, including the estimated costs, schedule, and steps to minimize costs to the government of the United States to implement the agreement. The provision would also clarify that it is not intended to alter the planned Iron Dome procurement schedule or numbers, and would express the sense of Congress on the importance of a second production source in the United States. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of missile defense cooperation between the United States and Israel.

We believe it is important for industry to pay for a substantial share of the cost of establishing a co-production capacity in the United States. Further, we direct that the Missile Defense Agency not use funds from other programs of record to pay for establishing an Iron Dome production capacity in the United States.

*Additional missile defense radar for the protection of the United States homeland (sec. 235)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require the Missile Defense Agency to deploy an additional missile defense radar for homeland missile defense, and would authorize \$30.0 million for initial costs toward such deployment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Missile Defense Agency to deploy a missile defense radar at a location optimized to support defense of the homeland against long-range missile threats from North Korea, and would authorize \$30.0 million for initial costs toward such deployment. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the United States is able to deploy additional tracking and discrimination sensor capabilities to support defense of the United States from future long-range ballistic missile threats that emerge from Iran. The provision would require the Secretary to submit a report on what sensor capabilities will be available for deployment on the Atlantic side of the United States by 2019, or sooner if Iran flight tests long-range missiles before then, and the manner in which such capabilities will be maintained to ensure they can be deployed in time to support the missile defense of the United States from long-range ballistic missile threats from Iran. We note that the sea-

based X-band radar platform and the Cobra Judy ship-based radar platform could serve as interim or surge sensor capabilities in the Atlantic region to support homeland defense against future long-range missile threats that emerge from Iran.

The agreement also authorizes an additional \$50.0 million for the Missile Defense Agency to develop enhanced discrimination capability for the Ballistic Missile Defense System, as reflected in the tables in section 4201. The Missile Defense Agency and the missile defense operational community have identified such discrimination enhancement as a priority for improving the future effectiveness of missile defenses, particularly for homeland missile defense.

*Evaluation of options for future ballistic missile defense sensor architectures (sec. 236)*

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 235) that would require the Secretary of Defense to evaluate options for future ballistic missile defense sensor architectures and to report to the congressional defense committees the results of the evaluation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would include consideration of options for maximizing the use of various sensors for missile defense and for other missions.

*Plans to improve the ground-based midcourse defense system (sec. 237)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 236) that would require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Commander of the U.S. Northern Command to develop options and a plan to improve the kill assessment capability and the hit assessment capability of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) system, and to submit a report on the development of such capabilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would also require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency to submit a plan for the use of fiscal years 2013 and 2014 funds to develop, test, and deploy an upgraded enhanced exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the GMD system.

If the report required by the provision is not submitted by April 1, 2014, we direct the Department of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the subject matter required in the report not later than April 1, 2014.

The agreement authorizes \$100.0 million for design and development of common kill vehicle technology for an upgraded enhanced exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the GMD system, an increase of \$30.0 million above the budget request, to accelerate design and development efforts, as reflected in the tables in section 4201.

*Report on potential future homeland ballistic missile defense options (sec. 238)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would express the sense of Congress concerning the importance of homeland ballistic missile defense against the threat of limited ballistic missile attack from North Korea and Iran, and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on potential future options for enhancing homeland ballistic missile defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision requiring the report, with a clarifying amendment.

The agreement authorizes an additional \$80.0 million for the Missile Defense Agency to continue efforts to understand the cause of the problem that resulted in the Ground-based Midcourse Defense system flight test failure on July 5, 2013, using the Capability Enhancement-I (CE-I) kill vehicle, and take the necessary steps to correct the problem and demonstrate the correction in an intercept flight test.

The CE-I flight test failure occurred after the budget was submitted, and no funds were planned or budgeted to analyze and correct the problem, or to conduct another intercept flight test to demonstrate the correction of the problem. The Missile Defense Agency has stated that its highest priority is correcting the problems associated with the flight test failures of the CE-II and CE-I kill vehicles, and demonstrating the successful corrections through additional intercept flight tests.

We direct that, not later than 60 days after the submission of the report required by the provision, the Government Accountability Office provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees providing its views on the report.

*Briefings on status of implementation of certain missile defense matters (sec. 239)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 232) that would require the Missile Defense Agency to construct and make operational in fiscal year 2018 an additional homeland missile defense site, designed to complement the existing sites in Alaska and California, to deal more effectively with missile threats from the Middle East.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide, not later than 180 days after the completion of the site evaluation study required by section 227(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), and 1 year later, a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the status of current efforts and plans to implement the requirements of section 227, including progress and plans toward preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement required by section 227(b), and the development of the contingency plan for the deployment of an additional homeland missile defense interceptor site, in case the President determines to proceed with such an additional deployment, as required by section 227(d).

The agreement authorizes an additional \$20.0 million for the Missile Defense Agency to continue activities relative to the site evaluation study, the Environmental Impact Statement, and planning activities consistent with the requirements of section 227(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, including the development of the contingency plan for the deployment of an additional homeland missile defense interceptor site. Such planning activities should include efforts to update the relevant planning documents from the deployment of missile fields at Fort Greely, Alaska, and plans for the possible deployment of a ground-based-interceptor site in Europe, to prepare for the potential deployment of an additional missile defense site in the continental United States, as well as such other preliminary planning activities as can practicably be commenced prior to site selection, or updated upon site selection.

*Sense of Congress and report on NATO and missile defense burden-sharing (sec. 240)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 238) that would require the President to seek specific levels of funding from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) for various phases of the European Phased Adaptive Approach (EPAA) to missile defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress concerning the increasing importance of burden-sharing among the NATO allies for missile defense, and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees providing: (1) The estimated costs for the EPAA; (2) A description of the level of NATO burden-sharing for the costs of NATO missile defense, including the EPAA; and (3) An assessment of, and recommendations for, areas where the Secretary believes NATO and its members could make additional burden-sharing contributions to NATO missile defense, including the EPAA.

We note that, as declared at the 2010 Lisbon Summit, the United States and its NATO allies share a strong interest in developing and deploying an operationally-effective and cost-effective missile defense capability to defend the territory, population, and military forces of NATO—including forward deployed United States forces—in Europe. The United States and its NATO partners are making a variety of contributions, both individually and collectively, to NATO missile defense, including through national contributions, host-nation basing agreements, and collective funding arrangements. The United States is contributing to the EPAA as its national contribution to NATO missile defense, and a number of NATO allies are providing important support for the EPAA, as well as other support for NATO missile defense. The cancellation of Phase 4 of the EPAA eliminated the contribution that the EPAA would have made toward augmenting U.S. homeland missile defenses against potential Iranian intercontinental ballistic missiles.

We believe that burden-sharing is an important NATO principle, and is important to the recently adopted NATO mission of missile defense of NATO territory, population, and military forces. There-

fore, while recognizing the important support provided by a number of NATO allies for key aspects of the EPAA, we believe the U.S. Government should encourage other NATO members to provide additional support for NATO missile defense, including the EPAA, to ensure an appropriate level of burden-sharing.

*Sense of Congress on deployment of regional ballistic missile defense capabilities (sec. 241)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that would limit the use of funds to remove United States missile defense equipment in East Asia until after certain conditions are met.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress concerning the deployment of regional ballistic missile defense capabilities.

*Sense of Congress on procurement of capability enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle (sec. 242)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 239) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should not procure additional Capability Enhancement II (CE-II) exo-atmospheric kill vehicles for deployment until after the date on which a successful operational flight test of the CE-II has occurred.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

#### Subtitle D—Reports

*Annual Comptroller General report on the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program (sec. 251)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 251) that would require the Comptroller General to provide an annual report on the Marine Corps' amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Annual Comptroller General of the United States report on the acquisition program for the VXX Presidential Helicopter (sec. 252)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 251) that would require the Comptroller General to produce an annual report on the VXX presidential helicopter program until the program enters full-rate production or is cancelled, whichever comes first.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Report on strategy to improve body armor (sec. 253)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 252) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional de-

fense committees a comprehensive research and development strategy for achieving significant weight reductions for body armor components.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a technical amendment.

#### Subtitle E—Other Matters

##### *Establishment of Communications Security Review and Advisory Board (sec. 261)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 261) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a senior-level body, to be known as the Cryptographic Modernization Review and Advisory Board, to assess and advise the cryptographic modernization activities of the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Chief Information Officer to chair the Board, with the Board monitoring overall communications security, cryptographic modernization, and key management efforts of the Department.

##### *Extension and expansion of mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions (sec. 262)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 263) that would extend section 219 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2009 (Public Law 110–417) to September 2020. In addition, this provision would allow for funds to be accumulated for not more than 5 years for individual Department of Defense laboratory revitalization projects with costs up to \$4 million, provided prior notification of the total project cost is provided to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that extended section 219 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2009 (Public Law 110–417) to September 2020.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that requires an annual report on the use of the authority granted by this provision, as well as some other clarifying elements.

##### *Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements (sec. 263)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 264) that would extend the authority of the Department of Defense to award prizes for advanced technology achievements until September 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 213) that would extend this authority until September 2017.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Five-year extension of pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems (sec. 264)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 265) that would extend the Defense Exportability Features pilot program until October 1, 2020.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 214).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Briefing on biometrics of the Department of Defense (sec. 265)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would place limitations on the Department of Defense to obligate or expend more than 75 percent of funds for future biometric architectures or systems until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits a report to the congressional defense committees assessing the future program structure and architectural requirements for biometrics enabling capability.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would remove the funding limitation and request a briefing, including an assessment of the governance process for requirements across the Department of Defense, as well as interagency and international partners.

*Sense of Congress on importance of aligning common missile compartment of Ohio-class replacement program with the United Kingdom's Vanguard successor program (sec. 266)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 223) that would make a series of findings and express the sense of Congress regarding the importance of aligning the common missile compartment of the Ohio-class ballistic missile submarine program with the Vanguard-class successor program of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that eliminates the findings contained in the House provision.

*Sense of Congress on counter-electronics high power microwave missile project (sec. 267)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 224) that expressed a sense of Congress urging the Air Force to consider the Counter-electronics High Power Microwave Advanced Missile Program (CHAMP) technology capability demonstration as a potential weapon option available to combatant commanders by 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment clarifying the need to complete developmental planning for such weapons systems if requirements are established by the combatant commanders in the future.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Conventional Prompt Global Strike Program*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from executing any funds for the Conventional Prompt Global Strike (CPGS) program until 60 days after they deliver a report to the congressional defense committees addressing the policy consideration concerning the ambiguity problems regarding the launch of CPGS missiles from submarine platforms.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We agree that no more than 75 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for research, development, test and evaluation and available for the Prompt Global Strike Capability Development program (PE#64165D8Z) for the CPGS program should be obligated or expended for any activities relating to the development of a submarine-launched capability under that program until 60 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report that addresses the policy considerations concerning any potential ambiguity problems regarding the launch of a conventionally-armed missile from submarine platforms, potential verification measures, any target sets the Secretary believes a submarine-launched conventionally-armed missile could reach that a missile on board another platform could not reach, the comparative cost considerations of submarine-launched conventional missiles and such systems launched by other platforms.

We also note that in congressional testimony, the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, stated that “[t]oday, the only prompt global strike capability to engage potentially time-sensitive, fleeting targets continues to be ballistic missile systems armed with nuclear weapons. We continue to require a deployed conventional prompt strike capability to provide the President a range of flexible military options to address a small number of highest-value targets, including in an anti-access and area denial environment.”

*Unmanned combat air system demonstration testing requirement*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 217) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to demonstrate unmanned, autonomous aerial refueling within the X-47B aircraft testing and evaluation program. The X-47B is an unmanned aircraft being tested under the Unmanned Combat Air System (UCAS) demonstration program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We understand that the Chief of Naval Operations has decided that, unlike the original Navy plan, the Navy will continue flying the X-47B during fiscal year 2014, and will pursue a number of risk reduction activities. We support these Navy plans for continuing risk reduction activities for UCAS, and encourage the Navy

to consider performing the aerial refueling demonstration as part of these additional risk reduction activities.

*Requirement to complete individual carbine testing*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would require the Department of the Army to complete planned testing for an individual carbine.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

We understand that during the Army's testing of eight candidate carbines under the individual carbine program that none of the carbines met the Army's target for improved reliability requirements. We further understand that these results may be attributable to the interactions between the carbines and the recently introduced M855A1 standard 5.56mm rounds that were used during the test and evaluation. These test results suggest the Army may have used an unrealistically high reliability standard.

Accordingly, we urge the Army to re-evaluate the reliability standard used for this test, as well as other standards as appropriate. We encourage the Secretary of the Army to consider a process for continuous test and evaluation of alternatives to the M4A1 carbine that is based on realistic operational requirements and with significantly improved, but reasonably achievable, performance and reliability. We note that, while the Army may have reduced needs and limited funds to procure large numbers of new rifles or carbines in the near future, maintaining research and development efforts for new small arms in this class is essential to ensure that the industrial base can respond to sudden increases in demand as it did during Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. In this regard, the Secretary of the Army, or designee, is directed to provide the congressional defense committees a briefing that details the Army's long range standard rifle and carbine modernization strategy. This briefing shall be provided not later than April 1, 2014, and shall include the Army's plans, including where appropriate, schedules and funding profiles, for requirements development, technology research and development, procurement, and test and evaluation of commercially available and militarily suitable alternatives.

*Establishment of funding line and fielding plan for a Navy laser weapon system*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would establish a funding line and fielding plan for a Navy laser weapon system for fiscal year 2018 and beyond.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We are supportive of accelerating the development and transition of directed energy weapons to programs of record, in the Navy as well as the other military departments. However, we believe that it is premature to create such a funding line. We also note that many of the current activities supporting development of directed energy weapons are already embedded in existing research and de-

velopment program elements, and therefore the creation of a consolidated funding line at this stage could be disruptive to those efforts and potentially detrimental to overall efforts to develop and field a militarily-relevant system.

*Analysis of alternatives for successor to Precision Tracking Space System*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 235) that would require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency to perform an analysis of alternatives for a successor sensor system to the Precision Tracking Space System.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on 30th anniversary of the Strategic Defense Initiative*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 240) that would express the sense of Congress concerning the 30th anniversary of the Strategic Defense Initiative.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on negotiations affecting the missile defenses of the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 242) that would express the sense of Congress concerning negotiations with the Russian Federation that would affect the missile defenses of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on main battle tank fuel efficiency*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 253) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on an investment strategy to accelerate fuel efficiency improvements to the engine and transmission of the M1 Abrams tank.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Army and Marine Corps currently have no plan to replace the M1A2 or M1A1 Abrams main battle tank. We are also aware that the Army intends to proceed with a series of engineering change proposals that will incrementally enhance the platform's capabilities. We believe that the Army should accelerate the next series of Abrams upgrades where warranted by capability gaps or opportunities, technological maturity, and affordability. In this regard, the Army and Marine Corps should consider replacement of the current engine with a modern, fuel efficient power train. Therefore, the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, is directed to submit a report to the con-

gressional defense committees, not later than June 1, 2014, on a business case analysis and an investment strategy that could accelerate the technology development and engineering change proposal processes to include a modern fuel efficient engine and transmission for the M1 Abrams series main battle tank.

*Report on powered rail system*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 254) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees that comprehensively reviews and compares powered rail systems for the M4 Carbine system.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Secretary of the Army, or designee, is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than April 1, 2014 on an assessment of the current M4/M16-mounted battery requirements associated with a 3-day dismounted mission for an Army infantry platoon compared to the same unit and mission if the members were equipped with an integrated weapon-mounted power source. The assessment should compare the battery requirements, numbers, weight, costs, as well as the likely impact on the operational functionality of the M4/M16 configured with an integrated power source, including weapons system effectiveness, efficiency, ergonomics, maintainability, reliability, and related risk. The assessment should also include a business case analysis of the potential acquisition and sustainment costs and savings associated with transitioning to an integrated M4/M16-mounted power technology to replace batteries for individual weapon-mounted components. Finally, the assessment should address the potential utility, if any, of incorporating a data link via such a weapon-mounted power source between soldier communications systems and soldier and weapon sensors. The Director, Operational Test and Evaluation is also directed to oversee the Army's live fire or other operational testing, if any, conducted as part of gathering data for this report.

*Report on science, technology, engineering, and mathematics scholarship program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 255) that would require the Secretary of Defense to assess whether the Department of Defense Science, Mathematics and Research for Transformation (SMART) scholarship program, or similar programs, could meet the undergraduate and graduate science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) workforce needs of the intelligence community (IC).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that the national security community, in general, faces growing challenges with meeting its STEM workforce needs, in particular, attracting top-level U.S. citizens that are eligible for security clearances. The SMART program was established by the Department of Defense to attract and retain promising candidates

and STEM leaders into the Department, including components of the IC. SMART provides scholarships to students pursuing technical degrees in disciplines of interest to the Department and the IC. We recognize that the SMART program has been useful in meeting its intent and believe that data provided on the program shows that the SMART program could be used by a broader community within the IC, but any further expansion would require further socialization to increase participation, as well as additional resources to fund any additional students supporting the needs of the IC.

*Clarification of eligibility of a State to participate in defense experimental program to stimulate competitive research*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 262) that would modify the eligibility requirements for the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (DEPSCOR) to bring it more in line with the eligibility requirements of the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCOR) under the National Science Foundation (NSF).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that while the Department of Defense maintains the statutory authority for DEPSCOR, the Department has not included funds to support the program since 2009 due to changing research needs and priorities. Additionally, even should funds be made available for DEPSCOR in the future, we would be concerned about potential duplication with NSF's EPSCOR. DEPSCOR was originally established as a separate activity from EPSCOR in section 257 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337) because the needs of the Department were not being met by the EPSCOR. Should the Department choose to revitalize the DEPSCOR activity, we believe it should maintain a separate and distinct eligibility requirement to ensure that it is able to meet the separate and distinct research needs of the Department of Defense.

*Briefing on power and energy research conducted at university-affiliated research centers*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 266) that would require the Secretary of Defense to brief the congressional defense authorizing committees on power and energy research conducted at university-affiliated research centers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Approval of certain new uses of research, development, test, and evaluation land*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 267) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense or the head of any other department or agency of the Federal Government from finalizing any decision regarding new land use activity on ranges, test areas, or other land used by the Department of Defense (DOD) for activities related to

research, development, test, and evaluation and determined to be critical to national security unless the secretary concerned approves such activity in writing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the DOD Siting Clearinghouse was created to preserve military readiness and protect DOD capabilities from incompatible energy infrastructure development by collaborating with DOD components and external stakeholders to prevent, minimize, or mitigate adverse impacts on military operations, readiness, and testing. The Clearinghouse is intended to be the single point of contact and principal advocate for DOD equities in all such deliberations.

We understand that as a result of the Clearinghouse review of the Sun Zia Southwest Transmission Project, DOD raised significant concerns and identified potential impacts on the capabilities of the White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) in New Mexico. According to an August 7, 2013, letter from the Acting Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment to the Principal Deputy Director of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the route of the proposed transmission line, without mitigation, “would result in an unacceptable risk to national security. If a bulk power transmission line is constructed along the selected route, it would preclude our capability to fully test the Joint Integrated Air and Missile Defense Architecture and other weapon systems under realistic threat environments at WSMR. This testing is absolutely necessary and it should be clearly understood that no other location exists in the United States where it is possible to conduct flight tests with the footprint requirements these weapons systems present. Critical to fully testing joint military weapons are the preservation of the restricted airspace (from the surface to unlimited) on the range area on WSMR, and the permanently-designated and specially-allocated restricted airspace in the northern extension area.”

We expect that as the Sun Zia Southwest Transmission project approval request proceeds, DOD concerns will be addressed by the executive branch to preserve this critical resource. We expect that appropriate mitigation measures will be included concurrent to the issuance of a Record of Decision by BLM.

Should DOD concerns not be addressed in this case, we direct the Secretary of Defense to review the processes and effectiveness of the DOD Siting Clearinghouse and to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after a Record of Decision with proposals that will improve the ability of the Clearinghouse to assess impacts to national security in a timely manner and ultimately preserve military readiness and protect DOD capabilities from incompatible energy infrastructure development.

*Canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 268) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to provide a report on the

capability and infrastructure required to support canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The report shall make a determination based on requirements if the DOD, and each military service, intends to develop and maintain the capability and infrastructure required to support canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors. If deemed appropriate by the Secretary, the report shall also detail: (1) The acquisition process with respect to canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors; (2) The procedures established by the DOD to ensure that canines reach or exceed the appropriate performance standards; (3) A plan to ensure that the latest data and information regarding canine capabilities are distributed throughout the DOD; (4) Any technologies capable of replacing the canine as a stand-off detection capability; and (5) A determination of the relevant office to oversee the above elements.

### TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

#### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

##### *Operation and maintenance funding (sec. 301)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the armed forces and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 301).

The agreement includes this provision.

#### Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

##### *Deadline for submission of reports on proposed budgets for activities relating to operational energy strategy (sec. 311)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would amend section 138c(e) of title 10, United States Code, to revise the date of submission for the report on the proposed budgets that were not certified for that fiscal year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

##### *Facilitation of interagency cooperation in conservation programs of the Departments of Defense, Agriculture, and Interior to avoid or reduce adverse impacts on military readiness activities (sec. 312)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 312) that would amend section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, to permit a recipient

of funds under the Sikes Act to be able to use the funds for matching funds or cost-sharing requirements of conservation programs. This section would also expire the authority on October 1, 2019, but permit any agreements that were entered into prior to September 30, 2019, to continue according to its terms and conditions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Reauthorization of Sikes Act (sec. 313)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would extend the authority of the Sikes Act through 2019.

The Senate committee-reported bill amendment contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Clarification of prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits (sec. 314)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 317) that would codify the definition of covered waste as it relates to the requirements established by section 317 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, title 10 of United States Code 2701 note (Public Law 111-84).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels (sec. 315)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 319) that would limit the Department of Defense's (DOD) ability to purchase or produce biofuels until the earlier of either the date on which the Budget Control Act of 2011 is no longer in effect, or the date on which the cost of biofuel is equal to the cost of conventional fuels. The provision would provide an exception for biofuel test and certification and research and development.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would prohibit DOD funds to be used for bulk purchases of drop-in fuel for operational purposes during fiscal year 2014, unless the cost of that drop-in fuel is cost competitive with traditional fuel, subject to a national security waiver. We note that the phrase "cost competitive" in this section generally refers to prices that are equal to or lower than prices offered by competitors for similar goods or services. However, we note that terms and conditions for particular purchases may vary; in particular, long-term energy purchases are likely to have different pricing structures from short-term or spot-market purchases. Accordingly, some flexibility in the application of this phrase is anticipated, where necessary to address such differences. We understand that average prices over the period of a long-term contract would be cost competitive.

## Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

*Strategic policy for prepositioned materiel and equipment (sec. 321)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 312) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop an overarching strategy, along with an implementation plan, to integrate and synchronize at a Department-wide level, the services' prepositioning programs. The strategy and implementation plan would ensure that the Department of Defense (DOD) prepositioning programs, both ground and afloat, align with national defense strategies and new DOD priorities, and emphasize joint oversight to maximize effectiveness and efficiencies in prepositioned materiel and equipment across the DOD.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Department of Defense manufacturing arsenal study and report (sec. 322)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review current and expected manufacturing requirements across the Department of Defense to identify critical manufacturing capabilities which could be executed by the government-owned arsenals, and to brief the results of the review to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 311) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the military services and defense agencies, to review current and expected manufacturing requirements for which there is no or limited domestic commercial source and which are appropriate for manufacturing within an arsenal owned by the United States in order to support critical manufacturing capabilities.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to review arsenals owned by the United States in order to support critical manufacturing capabilities. The agreement also directs the Government Accountability Office to report and assess the Department's review with recommendations.

*Consideration of Army arsenals' capabilities to fulfill manufacturing requirements (sec. 323)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would require program executive officers and program managers to solicit information from government-owned arsenals when undertaking a make-or-buy analysis, notify government-owned arsenals of the requirement, and allow arsenals that have the capability to fulfill a manufacturing requirement to submit a proposal for the requirement.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Strategic policy for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of operating forces used to support overseas contingency operations (sec. 324)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to establish a policy setting forth the program and priorities of the Department of Defense for the retrograde, reconstitution, and replacement of units and materiel used to support overseas contingency operations. The provision directed that the policy shall take into account national security threats, the requirements of the combatant commands, the current readiness of the operational forces of the military departments, and risk associated with strategic depth and the time necessary to reestablish required personnel, equipment, and training readiness in such operating forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Littoral Combat Ship Strategic Sustainment Plan (sec. 325)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a strategic sustainment plan for the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) program to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the strategic sustainment plan would have to identify specifically any contractor support needed by the LCS vessels when they are forward deployed.

*Strategy for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility (sec. 326)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 836) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to improve the management of defense equipment and supplies throughout their lifecycles by adopting and implementing item unique identification, radio frequency identification, biometrics, and other automated information and data capture technologies for the tracking, management, and accountability for deployed assets.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 331) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to complete a comprehensive strategy and implementation plan for improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility across the Department of Defense.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that would include an operational security assessment to ensure all DOD assets are appropriately protected during the execution of the comprehensive strategy and implementation plan.

We recognize the challenges in supply chain management, including asset tracking and in-transit visibility capabilities. We see this posing an acute near-term challenge, especially in light of the experience with retrograde operations from the Republic of Iraq and the on-going operations in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

Furthermore, we note that supply chain management challenges have been an on-going source of concern for the Department of Defense, from the emergence of the Government Accountability Office's high risk list in 1990, to the current need to achieve auditability and financial management goals set by the Secretary of Defense and Congress.

We believe that the strategy called for by this provision is an important step to improving the Department's supply chain management shortfalls. In developing and implementing this strategy, we urge the Department to look at how it can better leverage new technologies. For example, item unique identification, radio frequency identification, and biometrics could be more effectively used to interface with enterprise resource planning systems and improve the tracking, management, and accountability for all Department assets.

#### Subtitle D—Reports

##### *Additional reporting requirements relating to personnel and unit readiness (sec. 331)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would amend the report required under section 482 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the ability of the geographic and functional combatant commanders to successfully meet their respective contingency and operational plans and key mission essential tasks.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 332) that would amend section 482 of title 10, United States Code, to update and streamline the quarterly readiness report to Congress.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would combine both provisions and would amend section 482 of title 10, United States Code.

##### *Modification of authorities on prioritization of funds for equipment readiness and strategic capability (sec. 332)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 332) that would repeal the requirement that the Comptroller General of the United States report on the Army's progress in moving to a modular force design.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 321) that would repeal the requirement for modularity reports by both the Army and the Government Accountability Office and would also add a requirement that the Marine Corps report budget information regarding funding for the reset of equipment and reconstitution of prepositioned stocks.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

##### *Revision to requirement for annual submission of information regarding information technology capital assets (sec. 333)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 333) that would amend the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) to align Department of Defense high-threshold information technology Capital Asset report-

ing with the Department's Major Automated Information Systems reporting and its Exhibit 300 reporting to the Office of Management and Budget.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 333).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Modification of annual corrosion control and prevention reporting requirements (sec. 334)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would amend section 903(b)(5) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2228 note) to update the military departments' strategic plans with performance measures and show clear linkage to the Department of Defense's overarching goals and objectives as described in the Department's strategic plan for corrosion control and prevention.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

*Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores (sec. 341)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would restrict the Secretary of the Air Force from reducing the force structure at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, (Lajes) until 30 days after the European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment is completed and is briefed to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contains no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision requiring that, prior to taking any action to realign forces at Lajes, the Secretary of Defense must certify to the congressional defense committees that the realignment is supported by a European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment.

*Limitation on performance of Department of Defense flight demonstration teams outside the United States (sec. 342)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any fiscal year 2014 or 2015 funds to allow flight demonstration teams to perform at any location outside the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision. The Senate report accompanying S. 1197 (S. Rpt. 113-44) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 commented on Department of Defense (DOD) guidance prohibiting all aerial demonstrations, including flyovers, jump team demonstrations, and participation in civilian air shows and military open houses. The report observed that: (1) There may be certain circumstances where an exception to this general policy could provide some level of community engagement as a no-cost addition to activities that are required for training or readiness; and (2) DOD should reconsider whether this policy should be enforced on a blanket basis or whether the policy should allow for community engage-

ment if that engagement can be completed as a no-cost adjunct to missions fulfilling other required operational or training activities.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prohibit spending funds for performances of flight demonstration teams outside the United States if the Department has cancelled any performances of flight demonstration teams inside the United States by reason of insufficient funds due to a sequestration. We are intending that this provision cover the Air Force Thunderbirds, the Navy Blue Angels and the Army Golden Knights.

*Limitation on funding for United States Special Operations Command National Capital Region (sec. 343)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would prohibit the expenditure of any funds for the U.S. Special Operations Command National Capital Region (USSOCOM–NCR) until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense provides the congressional defense committees a report which describes, at a minimum: (1) The purpose of the USSOCOM–NCR; (2) The activities to be performed by the USSOCOM–NCR; (3) An explanation of the impact of the USSOCOM–NCR on existing activities at USSOCOM headquarters; (4) A detailed breakout, by fiscal year, of the staffing and other costs associated with the USSOCOM–NCR over the future years defense program; (5) A description of the relationship between the USSOCOM–NCR and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC); (6) The role of the ASD SOLIC in providing oversight of USSOCOM–NCR activities; and (7) Any other matters the Secretary deems appropriate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for Trans Regional Web Initiative (sec. 344)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 343) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from expending any funds in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide (OMDW), for the Trans Regional Web Initiative (TRWI).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from expending more than \$2.0 million in OMDW for TRWI and restrict the use of such funds for the termination of the program as managed by U.S. Special Operations Command or for purposes of transitioning appropriate TRWI capabilities to other agencies.

In light of budget concerns for the U.S. Government, resource constraints for the Department of Defense, and shifts in the geopolitical environment and security strategies, we note our concern with regard to the Department's direction for strategically engaging in the information environment. We remain skeptical of the effectiveness of the websites established under the TRWI and believe that available resources may better be used to support tactical and operational military information support activities. We believe strategic information operations activities, like TRWI, may more appro-

privately be managed by other relevant U.S. Government agencies, with the Department of Defense focused on contributing to an interagency approach that is responsive to military-specific operational requirements.

If the Secretary of Defense deems it to be in the national security interests of the United States and appropriate under current fiscal pressures, we note the Department of Defense may use funds authorized by this Act for TRWI to conduct a pilot project using existing authorities with an appropriate U.S. Government agency, such as the Broadcasting Board of Governors. Such a pilot could be used to demonstrate the transition of appropriate TRWI capabilities to such agency and support the strategic information operations requirements of the Geographic Combatant Commanders. We believe that any such pilot should seek to demonstrate responsiveness to the time sensitive needs of the Department of Defense while integrating such activities with broader U.S. strategic communications objectives. Consistent with this provision, we expect that the Department of Defense will not request additional funding for TRWI in fiscal year 2015 and beyond.

#### Subtitle F—Other Matters

##### *Gifts made for the benefit of military musical units (sec. 351)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 599) that would amend section 974 of title 10, United States Code, to require that any gift made on the condition that the gift be used for the benefit of a military musical unit be credited to the appropriation or account providing the funds for such musical unit.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize service secretaries to accept contributions of money, personal property, or services on the condition that such money, property, or services be used for the benefit of a military musical unit, and requiring that such contributions be credited to the appropriation or account for that musical unit.

##### *Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms (sec. 352)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would establish as national policy a requirement for all the U.S. military services to use a joint combat camouflage uniform by October 1, 2018, with certain exceptions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 351) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to reduce the separate development and fielding of service-specific combat and camouflage utility uniforms in order to collectively adopt and field the same combat and camouflage utility uniforms for use by all members of the Armed Forces.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that would combine both provisions and eliminate the 2018 deadline.

We note the provision adopted makes it the policy of the United States for the Secretary of Defense to eliminate the development

and fielding of Armed Force-specific combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms, in order to adopt and field a common combat and camouflage utility uniform, or family of uniforms, for specific combat environments, to be used by all members of the Armed Forces. Each Armed Force will be prohibited from adopting new combat and camouflage utility uniforms unless: (1) All the Armed Forces adopt the same uniform or family of uniforms; (2) An Armed Force adopts a uniform currently in use by another Armed Force; or (3) The Secretary of Defense grants an exception, based on unique circumstances or operational requirements.

We note that exceptions granted to this policy include: (1) Combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms for use by special operations personnel; (2) Engineering modifications to existing combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms such as power harnessing or generating textiles, fire resistant fabrics, and anti-vector, anti-microbial, and anti-bacterial treatments; (3) Ancillary uniform items such as headwear, footwear, body armor, and other items designated by the secretaries of the military departments; (4) Vehicle crew uniforms; (5) Service-specific cosmetic modifications; or (6) existing Service-specific uniforms that meet operational requirements.

We note that a secretary of a military department may not prevent the secretary of another military department from authorizing the use of any combat or camouflage utility uniform or family of uniforms approved for use by an Armed Force under the jurisdiction of the secretary. Furthermore, the secretary of a military department shall formally register with the Joint Clothing and Textiles Governance Board all current and future combat uniforms, camouflage utility uniforms, and families of uniforms.

We also note that 60 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue implementation guidance that requires the secretaries of the military departments to: (1) Establish joint performance criteria for the design, development, fielding, and characteristics of combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms and include that criteria in all new requirements documents; (2) Continue to work together to assess and develop new technologies that could be incorporated into future combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms to improve warfighter survivability; (3) Ensure that new combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms meet the geographic and operational requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands; and (4) Ensure that all new combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms achieve interoperability with all components of individual warfighter systems, including body armor, organizational clothing and equipment, and other individual protective systems.

We fully expect the Secretary of Defense to enforce this policy and not deviate from its intent to reduce the separate development and fielding of Armed Force-specific combat and camouflage uniforms and families of uniforms.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Authorization of appropriations for the Marine Corps Embassy Security Group*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 302) that would increase funding for the Marine Corps Embassy Security Group by \$13.4 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note the funding tables reflect an increase of \$35.0 million for the Marine Corps Embassy Security Group.

*Authorization of appropriations for Crisis Response Force*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would increase funding for Crisis Response Force by \$10.6 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note the funding tables reflect an increase of \$40.0 million for Crisis Response Force.

*Cooperative agreements under Sikes Act for land management related to Department of Defense readiness activities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would amend section 103A of the Sikes Act, section 670c-1 of title 16, United States Code, to permit lump sum payment and accrual of interest used for the purposes of the original agreement. This section would also permit the cooperative agreements to be used to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the U.S. Government, and sets limitations on agreements that are not on military installations. Finally, this section would also expire the authority on October 1, 2019, but permit any agreements that were entered into prior to September 30, 2019, to continue according to its terms and conditions.

The Senate committee-reported bill amendment contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

*Exclusions from definition of "chemical substance" under Toxic Substances Control Act*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would modify section 2602(2)(B) of title 15, United States Code, to add to the exclusions any component of any article including shot, bullets and other projectiles, propellants when manufactured for or used in such an article, and primers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Exemption of Department of Defense from alternative fuel procurement requirement*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 316) that would amend section 526 of the Energy Independence Security Act (Section 42 of

United States Code 17142) to exempt the Department of Defense from the requirements related to contracts for alternative or synthetic fuel in that section.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on plan, design, refurbishing, or construction of biofuels refineries*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 318) that would require the Department of Defense to obtain a congressional authorization before entering into a contract for the planning, design, refurbishing, or construction of a biofuels refinery.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Military readiness and southern sea otter conservation*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 320) that would amend section 631 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a provision permitting the Secretary of the Defense to establish "Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas." This provision would exempt southern sea otters from the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533, 1538) and the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1371, 1372).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

*Assessment of outreach for small business concerns owned and controlled by women and minorities required before conversion of certain functions to contractor performance*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 324) that would forbid a Department of Defense function performed by Department of Defense civilian employees and tied to a military base from being converted into a contractor function until the Secretary of Defense conducts an assessment to determine if the Department of Defense has carried out sufficient outreach programs to assist small businesses owned and controlled by women and socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

*Ordnance related records review and reporting requirement for Vieques and Culebra Islands, Puerto Rico*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would require the Secretary of Defense conduct a review of all Department of Defense records detailing the historical use of military munitions and training on Vieques and Culebra Islands, Puerto Rico.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense, for land and water sites on Culebra Island for which the Department is responsible, has completed historical research under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) process and issued Preliminary Assessment reports concerning the Department's former use of sites on Culebra Island for live-fire training.

We also note that for these sites, the Army has completed site inspections and is currently conducting remedial investigations that will determine whether an environmental response action is required at specific sites.

Finally, we note that the Department of Defense is in the process of cleaning up portions of the former operational ranges on Vieques and also is conducting preliminary assessments, site inspections, and remedial investigations to determine whether a response action is required under CERCLA at Vieques. Therefore, we encourage the Department of Defense to work with the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to ensure the documents and reports from the historical records reviews and investigations that the Department of Defense and the Army completed for those former military sites on Culebra and Vieques are made available to the public.

*Authorization to institute a centralized, automated mail redirection system to improve the delivery of absentee ballots to military personnel serving outside the United States*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer up to \$4.5 million from defense-wide operation and maintenance to the Postal Service Fund for purposes of implementing the modernization of the U.S. Postal Service's mail delivery system to improve the delivery of absentee ballots to military personnel serving outside the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We understand that alternate funding has been used to modernize the U.S. Postal Service's mail delivery system to improve the delivery of absentee ballots to military personnel serving outside the United States.

#### TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

##### Subtitle A—Active Forces

*End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would authorize the following end strengths for active duty personnel of the armed forces as of September 30, 2014: Army, 520,000; Navy, 323,600; Marine Corps, 190,200; and Air Force, 327,600.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 401).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for the active forces for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army .....	552,100	520,000	520,000	0	- 32,100
Navy .....	322,700	323,600	323,600	0	900
Marine Corps .....	197,300	190,200	190,200	0	- 7,100
Air Force .....	329,460	327,600	327,600	0	- 1,860
DOD Total .....	1,401,560	1,361,400	1,361,400	0	- 40,160

*Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels and in annual limitation on certain end strength reductions (sec. 402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would establish the following minimum end strengths for active-duty personnel as of September 30, 2014: Army, 520,000; Navy, 323,600; Marine Corps, 190,200; and Air Force, 327,600.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would establish minimum active-duty end strengths for the Army of 510,000 and the Marine Corps of 188,000, and would amend section 403 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to increase the maximum annual reduction in end strength authorized by that section for the Army to 25,000 and for the Marine Corps to 7,500.

Minimum end strength levels for active-duty personnel for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014 Recommendation	Change from
			FY 2013
Army .....	542,700	510,000	- 32,700
Navy .....	322,700	323,600	900
Marine Corps .....	193,500	188,000	- 5,500
Air Force .....	329,460	327,600	- 1,860
DOD Total .....	1,388,360	1,349,200	- 39,160

We note that continued fiscal constraints have forced the Army and the Marine Corps to alter their end strength reduction plans to reach their pre-sequester end strength targets of 490,000 for the Army and 182,100 for the Marine Corps by the end of fiscal year 2015, 2 years before originally anticipated. In order to maintain a balance between end strength, readiness of the force, and modernization, we will support this altered reduction plan. However, we remain concerned that unfettered reductions in end strength will have a detrimental impact on force structure and, ultimately, operational mission capability and capacity among the services, and harm the morale of the force. The services should be very cautious in their efforts to further reduce the force to ensure that we do not break faith with those who continue to serve in the current conflicts, and those who have served our nation in war.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

*End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize the following end strengths for Selected Reserve personnel, including the end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves, as of September 30, 2014: the Army National Guard of the United States, 354,200; the Army Reserve, 205,000; the Navy Reserve, 59,100; the Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 105,400; the Air Force Reserve, 70,400; and the Coast Guard Reserve, 9,000.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 411).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for the Selected Reserve for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army National Guard .....	358,200	354,200	354,200	0	-4,000
Army Reserve .....	205,000	205,000	205,000	0	0
Navy Reserve .....	62,500	59,100	59,100	0	-3,400
Marine Corps Reserve .....	39,600	39,600	39,600	0	0
Air National Guard .....	105,700	105,400	105,400	0	-300
Air Force Reserve .....	70,880	70,400	70,400	0	-480
DOD Total .....	841,880	833,700	833,700	0	-8,180
Coast Guard Reserve .....	9,000	9,000	9,000	0	0

*End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves (sec. 412)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize the following end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserve components as of September 30, 2014: the Army National Guard of the United States, 32,060; the Army Reserve, 16,261; the Navy Reserve, 10,159; the Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261; the Air National Guard of the United States, 14,734; and the Air Force Reserve, 2,911.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 412).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army National Guard .....	32,060	32,060	32,060	0	0
Army Reserve .....	16,277	16,261	16,261	0	-16
Navy Reserve .....	10,114	10,159	10,159	0	45
Marine Corps Reserve .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	0	0
Air National Guard .....	14,765	14,734	14,734	0	-31
Air Force Reserve .....	2,888	2,911	2,911	0	23

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
DOD Total .....	78,365	78,386	78,386	0	21

*End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2014: the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210; the Army Reserve, 8,395; the Air National Guard of the United States, 21,875; and the Air Force Reserve, 10,429.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 413).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for military technicians (dual status) for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army National Guard .....	27,210	27,210	27,210	0	0
Army Reserve .....	8,395	8,395	8,395	0	0
Air National Guard .....	22,180	21,875	21,875	0	-305
Air Force Reserve .....	10,400	10,429	10,429	0	29
DOD Total .....	68,185	67,909	67,909	0	-276

*Fiscal year 2014 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians (sec. 414)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 414) that would establish the following personnel limits for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force for non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2014: the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 350; the Army Reserve, 595; and the Air Force Reserve, 90.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 414).

The agreement includes this provision.

Personnel limitations for non-dual status technicians for fiscal year 2014 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army National Guard .....	1,600	1,600	1,600	0	0
Air National Guard .....	350	350	350	0	0
Army Reserve .....	595	595	595	0	0
Air Force Reserve .....	90	90	90	0	0
DOD Total .....	2,635	2,635	2,635	0	0

*Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 415) that would authorize the maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2014 to provide operational support.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 415).

The agreement includes this provision.

The maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2014 is set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2013 Authorized	FY 2014		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2014 Request	FY 2013 Authorized
Army National Guard .....	17,000	17,000	17,000	0	0
Army Reserve .....	13,000	13,000	13,000	0	0
Navy Reserve .....	6,200	6,200	6,200	0	0
Marine Corps Reserve .....	3,000	3,000	3,000	0	0
Air National Guard .....	16,000	16,000	16,000	0	0
Air Force Reserve .....	14,000	14,000	14,000	0	0
DOD Total .....	69,200	69,200	69,200	0	0

### Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

*Military personnel (sec. 421)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would authorize appropriations for military personnel at the levels identified in section 4401 of division D of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 421).

The agreement includes this provision.

### TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

#### Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally

*Congressional notification requirements related to increases in number of general and flag officers on Active Duty or in joint duty assignments (sec. 501)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend sections 526 of title 10, United States Code, to reduce by 14 the total of the number of general and flag officers authorized to be on active duty in the military services, and by 10 the number of general and flag officers authorized to be assigned to joint duty assignments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 526 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary of a

military department to provide notice and rationale to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives whenever the secretary proposes to increase the number of general or flag officers above the lower of the statutory limit on the number of general or flag officers on active duty or the number of general or flag officers on active duty on January 1, 2014. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense, the secretary of a military department, or the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to provide notice and rationale to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives whenever the secretary or Chairman proposes to increase the number of general or flag officers above the lower of the statutory limit of general or flag officers in joint duty assignments or the number of general or flag officers in joint duty assignments on January 1, 2014. The proposed increases will not take place until after the end of the 60-calendar day beginning on the date that notice is provided. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense, beginning on March 1, 2015, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives an annual report on the number of general and flag officers on Active Duty and in joint duty assignments on January 1 of the year in which the report is submitted.

*Service credit for cyberspace experience or advanced education upon original appointment as a commissioned officer (sec. 502)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would authorize service secretaries to award constructive service credit upon original appointment as a commissioned officer for special experience or training in certain cyberspace-related fields and for periods of advanced education in certain cyberspace-related fields beyond the baccalaureate degree level. Constructive service credited under this provision is limited to 1 year for each year of special experience, training or advanced education, and 3 years total of constructive service credit.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Selective early retirement authority for regular officers and selective early removal of officers from reserve active-status list (sec. 503)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would amend section 14704 of title 10, United States Code, to require service secretaries to submit to selection boards considering officers for selective early removal from the reserve active-status list a list of reserve component officers that includes the name of each officer on the reserve active-status list in the same grade and competitive category in the zone of consideration except for officers who have been approved for voluntary retirement or who will be involuntarily retired. The provision would also require service secretaries to specify the number of officers that a selection board may recommend for removal from the reserve active-status list.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 506).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment and would also amend section 638a(b)(2) of title 10,

United States Code, to authorize consideration for selective early retirement of: (1) officers in the regular grade of lieutenant colonel or commander who have failed to be selected for promotion at least one time, and (2) officers in the grade of colonel, or in the case of the Navy, captain, who have served on active duty in that grade for at least 2 years and whose names are not on a list of officers recommended for promotion.

#### Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

##### *Suicide prevention efforts for members of the reserve components (sec. 511)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 726) that would require the Secretary of Defense to share with any adjutant general of a state the contact information of members of the Individual Ready Reserve and individual mobilization augmentees who reside in the state of such adjutant general for the purpose of conducting suicide prevention outreach efforts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 10219 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to share with the adjutant general of a state, upon request, the contact information of members of the Individual Ready Reserve and individual mobilization augmentees in order for the adjutant general to include those members in suicide prevention efforts. The amendment would also amend section 706 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to authorize education and outreach for suicide prevention in the existing pilot program on enhancements of Department of Defense efforts on mental health in the National Guard and reserves through community partnerships.

##### *Removal of restrictions on the transfer of officers between the active and inactive National Guard (sec. 512)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would provide temporary authority for the Secretary of the Army and Secretary of the Air Force to maintain an active status and an inactive status list of members in the inactive National Guard. The provision would also authorize the transfer of officers of the Army and Air National Guard from the Selected Reserve to the inactive National Guard and from the inactive National Guard to the Selected Reserve.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 507) that would authorize the transfer of officers of the Army and Air National Guard from the Selected Reserve to the inactive National Guard and from the inactive National Guard to the Selected Reserve during the period ending on December 31, 2016.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Limitations on cancellations of deployment of certain reserve component units and involuntary mobilizations of certain Reserves (sec. 513)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would require the service secretaries to provide at least 120 days advance notice to reserve component units, and individuals not part of a unit, prior to an order to active duty for deployment in connection with a contingency operation, and 120 days advance notice to such units if their deployments are canceled, postponed, or altered. In the event such notice was not provided, the provision would require the Secretary concerned to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives explaining the reasons for such failure.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 508) that would require the Secretary of Defense to personally approve of any decision to cancel the deployment of a reserve component unit within 180 days of its scheduled deployment date when an active-duty unit would be sent instead to perform the same mission, and to notify the congressional defense committees and governors concerned whenever such a decision is made. The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add the requirement for the service secretaries to provide at least 120 days advance notice of an involuntary mobilization of a member of a reserve component who is not assigned to a unit or who is to be mobilized apart from the member's unit. This requirement would apply to individual members mobilized on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act and would sunset on the date of the completion of the withdrawal of United States combat forces from Afghanistan.

*Review of requirements and authorizations for reserve component general and flag officers in an active status (sec. 514)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the general officer and flag officer requirements for members of the reserve component in an active status, and to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives containing the results of the review not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (sec. 515)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 515) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to determine the feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the feasibility of establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

#### Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

##### *Provision of information under Transition Assistance Program about disability-related employment and education protections (sec. 521)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would expand the training required under the transition assistance program to include information about disability-related employment and education protections available to service members and information on eligibility for certain education assistance programs administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. The provision would also require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit a report to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs and the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate assessing the feasibility of providing certain transition assistance program instruction at overseas locations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand transition assistance program training to include information on disability-related employment and education protections, but would strike the rest of section 524 of the House bill.

##### *Medical examination requirements regarding post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury before administrative separation (sec. 522)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 528) that would amend section 1177 of title 10, United States Code, to remove the exception for proceedings under the Uniform Code of Military Justice from the requirement for a medical examination of certain members diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury, or who otherwise reasonably alleges the influence of such a condition.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 1177 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that an administrative separation in lieu of court-martial is an administrative separation within the meaning of this statute.

##### *Establishment and use of consistent definition of gender-neutral occupational standard for military career designators (sec. 523)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 526) that would amend section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160) to establish a consistent definition of "gender-neutral occupational standard" for use pursuant to the requirements of that section.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Sense of Congress regarding the Women in Service Implementation Plan (sec. 524)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530D) that would express the sense of the Congress that no later than September 2015 the service secretaries should develop, review, and validate individual occupational standards to assess and assign members of the armed forces to units, including special operation forces, and that they should complete all assessments relating to the women in service implementation review by January 1, 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Provision of military service records to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format (sec. 525)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 597) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to make specified records of each member of the armed forces who was discharged or released from the armed forces on or after September 11, 2001, available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require that the specified records of service members discharged or released from the armed forces on or after January 1, 2014, be made available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.

*Review of Integrated Disability Evaluation System (sec. 526)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the backlog of pending reserve component cases in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System (IDES) and provide a description of the progress being made to improve the tracking and visibility of pending cases by both active duty and reserve component members during each phase or step of the IDES.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to conduct a review of the backlog of pending reserve component cases in the IDES and provide a description of the progress being made to improve the tracking and visibility of pending cases by both active duty and reserve component members during each phase or step of the IDES, to include when a military treatment facility is assigned a packet and pending case for action regarding a service member and when a packet

is at the Veterans Tracking Application and Disability Rating Activity Site of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Subtitle D—Military Justice Matters, Other Than Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Related Reforms

*Modification of eligibility for appointment as judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (sec. 531)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would amend Article 142 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 942 of title 10, United States Code) to authorize appointment of former commissioned officers of a regular component of an armed force as judges on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. However, these former officers could not be appointed as a judge of the court within 7 years after relief from active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend Article 142 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 942 of title 10, United States Code) to provide that a person may not be appointed as a judge of the court within seven years after retirement from active duty as a commissioned officer of a regular component of an armed force.

*Enhancement of protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and chaplains of such members (sec. 532)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530) that would amend section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) (“section 533”) to expand the required accommodation of the moral and religious beliefs of service members to include actions and speech, and would limit disciplinary and administrative action to those beliefs, actions, and speech that cause actual harm to good order and discipline.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would amend section 533 to require the accommodation of individual expressions of belief by service members unless such expressions of belief could have an adverse impact on military readiness, unit cohesion, and good order and discipline. The Senate provision would also require that regulations implementing section 533 be prescribed within 120 days of enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the regulations implementing section 533 be prescribed within 90 days of the date of enactment of this Act.

*Inspector General investigation of Armed Forces compliance with regulations for the protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and their chaplains (sec. 533)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would require the Department of Defense Inspector General (DOD IG) to assess and report to the congressional defense committees on the compliance of the Department of Defense with regulations promulgated under section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), within 180 days of promulgation. The provision would also require the

DOD IG to investigate the Department's and the services' compliance with those regulations with respect to adverse personnel actions within 18 months of promulgating the regulations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would strike the first report required within 180 days of the regulatory promulgation.

*Survey of military chaplains views on Department of Defense policy regarding chaplain prayers outside of religious services (sec. 534)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 529) that would amend sections 3547, 4337, 6031, 8547, and 9337 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that a chaplain, if called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, had the prerogative to close such prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of that chaplain's endorsing faith group.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a survey of military chaplains to assess whether restrictions placed on prayers offered in public or non-religious settings have prevented them from exercising the tenets of their faith as prescribed by their endorsing faith group, and whether those restrictions have had an adverse impact on their ability to fulfill their duties to minister to members of the armed forces and their families.

Subtitle E—Member Education and Training

*Additional requirements for approval of educational programs for purposes of certain educational assistance under laws administered by the Secretary of Defense (sec. 541)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 567) that would place limitations on when educational assistance may be used to pursue civilian certifications and licenses, and would authorize the use of various educational assistance benefits under the administration of the Secretary of Defense to pursue civilian certifications and licenses.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would establish a new section 2006a of title 10, United States Code, to require that educational institutions participating in certain Department of Defense education assistance programs enter into and comply with program participation agreements under title IV of the Higher Education Act, and to meet certain other standards. The provision would authorize the Secretary of Defense to waive these requirements in certain cases.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would modify the conditions under which the Secretary may authorize education assistance for programs that do not meet the standards specified in the provision.

*Enhancement of mechanisms to correlate skills and training for military occupational specialties with skills and training required for civilian certifications and licenses (sec. 542)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 566) that would require the service secretaries to make information on civilian credentialing opportunities available to members of the armed forces during all stages of their military occupational specialty training. The provision would also require the service secretaries to provide information on military course training curricula, syllabi, and materials, levels of military advancement attained, and professional skills developed by service members, to civilian credentialing agencies, for the purposes of the administration of education benefits under the purview of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 525) that would require the information on course materials, levels of military advancement attained, and professional skills to be provided to entities approved by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or by state approving agencies, in addition to civilian credentialing agencies.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Report on the Troops to Teachers program (sec. 543)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 570) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than March 1, 2014, a report on the Troops to Teachers program that includes an evaluation of whether: (1) there is a need to broaden eligibility to allow service members and veterans without a bachelor's degree admission into the program and whether the program can be strengthened, and (2) a pilot program should be established to demonstrate the potential benefit of an institution-based award for troops to teachers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 527) that would express the sense of the Senate to strongly urge the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Troops to Teachers program is a priority of the Nation's commitment to the higher education of members of the armed forces, and to provide funds to the Troops to Teachers program in order to help separating members of the armed forces and veterans who wish to transition into a teaching career.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Secretary of Defense report on feasibility of requiring automatic operation of current prohibition on accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members of the Armed Forces (sec. 544)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 570A) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress within 90 days assessing the feasibility of automatically applying the prohibition on accrual of interest on student loans for certain deployed service members, and how the Department would implement such automatic application.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to require the report within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters

*Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 551)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would authorize \$20.0 million for continuation of the Department of Defense (DOD) assistance program to local educational agencies (LEAs) that are impacted by the enrollment of dependent children of military members and DOD civilian employees. The provision would also authorize \$5.0 million for assistance to LEAs with significant changes in enrollment of school-aged dependents of military members and civilian employees due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would authorize \$25.0 million for the assistance program to LEAs impacted by the enrollment of dependent children of military members and civilian employees.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 552)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would authorize \$5.0 million in defense-wide operation and maintenance for impact aid payments for children with disabilities under section 8003(d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(d)), using the formula set forth in section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398), for continuation of Department of Defense assistance to local educational agencies that benefit eligible dependents with severe disabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Treatment of tuition payments received for virtual elementary and secondary education component of Department of Defense education program (sec. 553)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 573) that would amend section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to retain the tuition payments made by participants in the Department of Defense virtual elementary and secondary education programs. The retained tuition would be used to provide support for the virtual education programs authorized by section 2164(l).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Family support programs for immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces (sec. 554)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would authorize the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command, to conduct up to three pilot programs to assess the feasibility and benefits of providing family support activities for the immediate family members of the armed forces assigned to special operations forces. The provision would require that family support programs provided under the pilot not duplicate those family support programs being provided by the secretary of a military department. The provision would limit authorization for any program conducted under the pilot to fiscal years 2014 through 2016, and limit to \$5.0 million the amount that may be spent on the pilot programs in a fiscal year. The provision would also require the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of the completion of a program conducted under this pilot.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command, to conduct up to three pilot programs to assess the feasibility and benefits of providing family support activities for the immediate family members of the armed forces assigned to special operations forces. In selecting and conducting any pilot program, the Commander would be required to coordinate with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. The amendment would require that family support programs provided under the pilot not duplicate those family support programs being provided by the secretary of a military department. The amendment would limit authorization for any program conducted under the pilot to fiscal years 2014 through 2016, and limit to \$5.0 million the amount that may be spent on the pilot programs in a fiscal year. The amendment would also require the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, to provide a detailed report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of the completion of a program conducted under this pilot.

*Sense of Congress on parental rights of members of the armed forces in child custody determinations (sec. 555)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would amend title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) to provide that if a court renders a temporary custody order based solely on the deployment or anticipated deployment of a service member, the court shall require the reinstatement of the prior custody order upon the return of the service member from deployment, unless the court finds that reinstatement is not in the best interest of the child. The provision would also prohibit a court from considering the absence of a servicemember by reason of deployment, or the possibility of deployment, as the sole factor in determining the best interest of a child.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1053) that would express the sense of the Senate that State courts should not consider military deployment as the sole factor in determining child custody in a State court proceeding involving a parent who is a member of the armed forces. The best interest of the child should always prevail in custody cases, but members of the armed forces should not lose custody of their children based solely upon service to our country.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make it a sense of Congress.

#### Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards

##### *Repeal of limitation on number of Medals of Honor that may be awarded to the same member of the Armed Forces (sec. 561)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would amend sections 3744, 6247, and 8744 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the award of more than one Medal of Honor to a person whose subsequent acts justify an additional award.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 581(a)).

The agreement includes the House provision.

##### *Standardization of time-limits for recommending and awarding Medal of Honor, Distinguished-Service Cross, Navy Cross, Air Force Cross, and Distinguished-Service Medal (sec. 562)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 583) that would amend sections 3744 and 8744 of title 10, United States Code, to require that recommendations for the award of the Medal of Honor, Distinguished Service Cross, Air Force Cross, or Distinguished Service Medal for members of the Army and Air Force be made within 3 years and that the award be made within 5 years after the date of the act justifying the award.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 581(b)).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

##### *Recodification and revision of Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor roll requirements (sec. 563)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 584) that would amend chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, to establish a roll designated as the “Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll” and require the service secretaries to record on this roll the name of each person who has been awarded a Medal of Honor. The provision would also amend section 1562 of title 38, United States Code, to provide for the automatic enrollment and payment of the special pension to living Medal of Honor recipients.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 582).

The agreement includes the House provision.

##### *Prompt replacement of military decorations (sec. 564)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 590B) that would amend section 1135 of title 10, United States Code, to require serv-

ice secretaries, upon receipt of a request for the replacement of a military decoration, to ensure that: (1) all actions to be taken with respect to the request, including verification of the service record of the recipient of the military decoration, are completed within one year; and (2) the replacement military decoration is mailed to the person requesting the replacement military decoration within 60 days after the verification of the service record. The provision would also require an annual report on compliance with this requirement

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require mailing of the replacement military decoration within 90 days of verification of the service record and that would delete the requirement for an annual report.

*Review of eligibility for, and award of, Purple Heart to victims of the attacks at recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas (sec. 565)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 585) that would require the award of the Purple Heart to the victims of the attacks that occurred at the recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas on June 1, 2009, and at Fort Hood, Texas on November 5, 2009.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the service secretary concerned to review the circumstances of and available evidence pertaining to the attacks at the recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas; to award the Purple Heart to victims of those attacks determined pursuant to that review to be eligible for the award; and to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act on the results of that review. The included provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to review the eligibility criteria for the Purple Heart to establish the actions or conditions for which the Purple Heart shall be awarded to a member of an armed force who has been wounded in such action. The included provision would require the Secretary to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act on the results of that review, including any recommendations for change to the Purple Heart criteria the Secretary considers appropriate.

*Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to former members of the Armed Forces previously recommended for award of the Medal of Honor (sec. 566)*

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 552(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107), to authorize the award of the Medal of Honor to veterans of the armed forces who, although they were not Jewish-American or Hispanic-American war veterans, were recommended for award of the Medal of Honor as a result of the re-

quired review of service records of certain Jewish-American war veterans and Hispanic-American war veterans.

*Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor for acts of valor during the Vietnam War (sec. 567)*

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Sergeant First Class Bennie G. Adkins, United States Army, and to Specialist Four Donald P. Sloat, United States Army, for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

*Authorization for award of the Distinguished Service Cross for acts of valor during the Korean and Vietnam Wars (sec. 568)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 588) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished Service Cross to Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser for acts of valor during the Korean War.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 583) and a provision (sec. 584) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished Service Cross to Patrick N. Watkins, Jr., for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished Service Cross to Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser for acts of valor during the Korean War; to Patrick N. Watkins, Jr., for acts of valor during the Vietnam War; and to Specialist Four Robert L. Towles for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

*Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for acts of valor during the Civil War (sec. 569)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 590C) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to then First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for acts of valor during the Civil War, effective upon receipt by the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives of a report providing information on the process and materials used by review boards for the consideration of Medal of Honor recommendations for acts of heroism that occurred during the Civil War.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would remove the requirement for receipt of the report as the report has already been received by the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Subtitle H—Other Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports

*Report on feasibility of expanding performance evaluation reports to include 360-degree assessment approach (sec. 571)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would require service secretaries to develop an assessment program modeled after the current Department of the Army Multi-Source Assessment and Feedback Program, known as the “360-degree ap-

proach,” and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report containing the results of an assessment of the feasibility of including the 360-degree approach as part of the performance evaluation reports.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report containing the results of an assessment of the feasibility of including a 360-degree assessment approach as part of performance evaluation reports.

*Report on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding members of the Armed Forces with HIV or Hepatitis B (sec. 572)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550F) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report on the use of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and related policies, punitive articles, and regulations with regard to service members living with or at risk of contracting HIV.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on Department of Defense personnel policies regarding members of the armed forces infected with human immunodeficiency virus or Hepatitis B. The report shall include an assessment of whether the policies reflect an evidence-based, medically accurate understanding of how these conditions are contracted, how they can be transmitted to others, and the risk of transmission.

*Policy on military recruitment and enlistment of graduates of secondary schools (sec. 573)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530G) that would require the Secretary of Defense to implement a means for ensuring that graduates of a secondary school, including graduates who receive diplomas from secondary schools that are legally operating or who otherwise complete a program of secondary education in compliance with state law, are required to meet the same standard of any test, assessment, or screening tool used to identify persons for recruitment and enlistment in the armed forces.

The Senate committee-passed bill contained no similar provision. The agreement includes the House provision.

*Comptroller General report on use of determination of personality disorder or adjustment disorder as basis to separate members from the Armed Forces (sec. 574)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530H) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the

Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report evaluating: (1) the use by the secretaries of the military departments, since January 1, 2007, of the authority to separate members due to unfitness for duty because of a mental condition not amounting to disability, including separation on the basis of a personality disorder or adjustment disorder and the number of members separated on such basis; (2) the extent to which the secretaries failed to comply with regulatory requirements in separating members of the armed forces on the basis of a personality or adjustment disorder; and (3) the impact of such a separation on the ability of veterans so separated to access service-connected disability compensation, disability severance pay, and disability retirement pay.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General to submit the report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

#### Subtitle I—Other Matters

##### *Accounting for members of the armed forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing and related reports (sec. 581)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 591) that would amend section 1501 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Affairs to conduct periodic briefings for families of missing persons on Department activities to account for those persons.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Affairs to disseminate appropriate information on the status of missing persons to authorized family members. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense, by no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit a report to the appropriate committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives detailing certain statistical data relative to the recovery of remains of missing service members from various conflicts, including those that remain missing, and a report assessing the organization of the prisoner of war/missing in action accounting community, including command and control over its constituent elements, whether certain of those elements should be reorganized, moved, or consolidated, and how the Secretary will ensure greater oversight of the community.

##### *Expansion of privileged information authorities to debriefing reports of certain recovered persons who were never placed in a missing status (sec. 582)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 592) that would amend sections 1506 and 1513 of title 10, United

States Code, to include as privileged information, for the purposes of personnel files maintained under the system for accounting for missing persons, any survival, evasion, resistance, and escape debriefing reports by certain persons returned to United States control under a promise of confidentiality.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Revision of specified senior military colleges to reflect consolidation of North Georgia College and State University and Gainesville State College (sec. 583)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 591) that would amend section 2111a(f) of title 10, United States Code, to reflect the name change of North Georgia College and State University to The University of North Georgia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 528).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Review of security of military installations, including barracks, temporary lodging facilities, and multi-family residences (sec. 584)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 565) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of security measures on military installations, specifically with regard to barracks and multi-family housing units on military installations, for the purpose of ensuring the safety of members of the armed forces and their dependents who reside on military installations, and to submit a report containing the results of the review to Congress not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of security measures on military installations, specifically with regard to access to barracks, temporary lodging facilities, and multi-family housing units on military installations, for the purpose of ensuring the safety of members of the armed forces and their dependents who reside on military installations, and to submit a report containing the results of the review to Congress not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

We intend for the Secretary's review to consider a wide range of access and security issues, including but not limited to issues regarding sexual assault prevention and response. We expect the Secretary to take into consideration the findings of the three reviews of security measures at U.S. military installations worldwide by the Department of the Navy, the Department of Defense, and the independent panel following the shooting at the Washington Navy Yard.

*Authority to enter into concessions contracts at Army National Military Cemeteries (sec. 585)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 592) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to enter into concession contracts for transportation, interpretative, and other services in support of

visitors at Arlington National Cemetery and the United States Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery. This section would also require that each concession contract ensure the protection, dignity, and solemnity of the cemetery at which services are provided. Furthermore, the section would prohibit the Secretary of the Army from instituting a concession contract for operation of the gift shop at Arlington National Cemetery without subsequent authorization. In providing for transportation services at Arlington National Cemetery, the provision directs the Secretary of the Army to ensure that service provides visitors with access to the Custis Lee Mansion.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Military salute during recitation of pledge of allegiance by members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and by veterans (sec. 586)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 596) that would amend section 4 of title 4, United States Code, to authorize members of the armed forces not in uniform and veterans to render the military salute in the manner provided for persons in uniform.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Improved climate assessments and dissemination of results (sec. 587)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the results of command climate assessments are provided to the relevant individual commander and to the next higher level of command; require service secretaries to include in the performance evaluation of commanders a designated form where senior commanders can indicate whether the commander has conducted the required climate assessments; require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to develop a system to track whether commanders are conducting command climate assessments; and require unit commanders to develop a compliance report that includes a comprehensive overview of the concerns that unit members expressed in climate assessments, data showing how leadership is perceived in the unit, and a detailed strategic plan on how leadership plans to address the expressed concerns.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the results of command climate assessments are provided to the relevant individual commander and to the next higher level of command; require service secretaries to include in the performance evaluation of commanders a statement by the commander regarding whether the commander has conducted the required command climate assessments; and require that the failure of a commander to conduct

the required command climate assessments be noted in the commander's performance evaluation.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Designation of state student cadet corps as Department of Defense youth organizations*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 516) that would amend section 508(d) of title 32, United States Code, to add to the list of youth and charitable organizations eligible to receive certain services from the National Guard any state student cadet corps authorized under state law.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*National Guard Youth ChalleNGe Program*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 509) that would amend section 509 of title 32, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to use the National Guard to conduct the National Guard Youth ChalleNGe Program, and require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to conduct the program in such states as the Chief considers appropriate, to prescribe the standards and procedures for selecting program participants, and to submit a report to Congress annually on the program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Authority for joint professional military education phase II instruction and credit to be offered and awarded through senior-level course of School of Advanced Military Studies of the United States Army Command and General Staff College*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend section 2151(b) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the School of Advanced Military Studies senior-level course at the Army Command and General Staff College to offer joint professional military education (JPME) phase II instruction and credit.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the conference report to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) recommended that JPME II credit for participation in the senior-level course of the School of Advanced Military Studies of the United States Army Command and General Staff College be awarded through the Army War College. This is a senior service college level course and attendance is determined through the selection process for Senior Service College. We direct the Army to work with the Middle States Commission on Higher Learning to designate the School of Advanced Military Studies to be an additional location of study for the U.S. Army War College in order to award JPME II credit to students who successfully complete this course.

*Authority for Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences to support undergraduate and other medical education and training programs for military medical personnel*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would amend sections 2112(a) and 2113 of title 10, United States Code, to provide greater flexibility to the Secretary of Defense, through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), to access federal resources outside of the National Capital Region and to enable the USUHS to grant undergraduate degrees, certificates, and certifications in addition to advanced degrees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that further analysis and review of the authorities and support that may be necessary to allow the Medical Education and Training Campus (METC), the tri-service medical training center in San Antonio, Texas, to upgrade its health education programs is required. We understand that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs has established a working group to address several of these issues.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to expand this working group to include the Director of Training Readiness and Strategy of the Department of Defense, and other appropriate representatives outside of the health communities that may be impacted, to develop a consensus on a way forward that meets the needs of the services and the service members in a cost-efficient manner. We will await the results of such a consensus before considering expanding authorities to various organizations to support the METC.

*Command responsibility and accountability for remains of members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps who die outside the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would require the Secretary of Defense, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, to take such steps as necessary to ensure that there is continuous, designated military command responsibility and accountability for the care, handling, and transportation of the remains of each deceased member of the armed services who dies outside the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We expect the Department of Defense and the military services to ensure the effective exercise of command oversight over the process of returning the remains of service members to their families.

*Expansion of eligibility for associate degree programs under the Community College of the Air Force*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would amend section 9315(b) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Community College of the Air Force to award associate degrees to enlisted members of armed forces other than the Air Force who participate in joint-service medical training

and education or instructors in such joint-service medical training and education.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that further analysis and review of the authorities and support is required before the Medical Education and Training Campus (METC), the tri-Service medical training center in San Antonio, Texas, upgrades its health education programs. We understand that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs has established a working group to address several of these issues.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to expand the working group to include representatives from the Department's Office of Transition Assistance and other appropriate representatives outside of the health communities that may be impacted to develop a plan that meets the needs of the Services and the service members in a cost-efficient manner. We will await the completion of the plan before authorizing additional authorities for the various organizations that support the METC.

*Procedures for judicial review of military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would amend chapter 79 and sections 1034 and 1552 of title 10, United States Code, to revise procedures for judicial review of final military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Coverage of military occupational specialties relating to military information technology under pilot program on receipt of civilian credentials for skills required for military occupational specialties*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 526) that would require that the military occupational specialties designated for the purposes of the pilot program on receipt of civilian credentials authorized by section 558 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81) include those specialties relating to the military information technology workforce.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on data and information collected in connection with Department of Defense review of laws, policies, and regulations restricting service of female members of the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530C) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report containing the specific results and data produced during the research programs, tests, surveys, consultant reports, assessments, and similar projects conducted in support of the requirement in section 535 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to review laws, policies,

and regulations restricting the service of female members of the armed forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense has provided the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives RAND's 2012 technical report entitled "A New Look at Gender and Minority Differences in Officer Career Progression in the Military" prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense as part of the review required by section 535 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

*Meetings with respect to religious liberty*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530E) that would require the Department of Defense to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives advance written notice of any meeting held between Department employees and civilians for the purpose of writing, revising, implementing, enforcing, or seeking advice, input, or counsel regarding military policy related to religious liberty.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe the Department and the military services should proactively reach out to and meet with religious groups of all faiths when formulating and revising policies that impact religious freedom and tolerance within the military. We are becoming increasingly concerned over reports that the Department and the services appear more responsive to some religious groups and interests than others. The Department and the services must be proactive in their efforts to overcome this perception and to ensure the fairness and equity of policies and regulations that address the religious liberty of service members and their families.

*Proof of period of military service for purposes of interest rate limitation under the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530F) that would amend section 207 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 527) to expand the ways in which a servicemember may prove a period of military service for the purposes of the interest rate limitation under that Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Military Hazing Prevention Oversight Panel*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550C) that would establish the Military Hazing Prevention Oversight Panel to provide recommendations to the service secretaries on the development of policies, programs, and procedures to prevent and respond to hazing in the armed forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that section 534 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, Public Law 112-239, required the Services, along with the Coast Guard, to review the treatment of hazing and report the results of the reviews to the appropriate congressional committees. As a result of the review, the Marine Corps revised its hazing policy on May 20, 2013, to prohibit all forms of hazing. The Army established a Hazing Policy Assessment Team to review all hazing cases from 2006 through 2013, and the Navy established the Office of Hazing Prevention.

In addition, the Services are either tracking or in the process of tracking hazing incidents, and are continuing efforts to address prevention of hazing in their force. We understand that the Joint Service Committee on Military Justice recommended changes to specifically address hazing under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). We expect the Department of Defense, and the Department of Homeland Security for the Coast Guard, to continue to monitor this issue to ensure that the recommended changes to the UCMJ are implemented, and that all the Services have the ability to track hazing incidents within their Service.

*Department of Defense recognition of spouses of members of the Armed Forces who serve in combat zones*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would amend chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, to require the design of a spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button, approved by the Secretary of Defense, to identify and recognize the spouse of a member of the armed forces who is serving or has served in a combat zone for a period of more than 30 days.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that section 901(b) of title 36, United States Code, authorizes the wearing of a service lapel button approved by the Secretary of Defense by the immediate family of an individual serving in the armed forces of the United States during any period of war or hostilities in which the armed forces of the United States are engaged.

*Treatment of relocation of members of the Armed Forces for active duty for purposes of mortgage refinancing*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would amend the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 501 et seq.) to authorize a service member to refinance a principal residence in circumstances where the service member was unable to continue residing in the residence by virtue of receiving permanent change of station orders, or when deployed or mobilized in support of a military operation for a period of at least 18 months.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Transition of members of the Armed Forces and their families from military to civilian life*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 555) that would express the sense of the Congress on the role of federal and State governments in ensuring a seamless transition back to civilian life for service members and their families.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that members of the armed forces and their families make great sacrifices on behalf of the country, and their transition from military to civilian life should be as seamless as possible by providing them opportunities to earn civilian occupational credentials and licenses. State and local governments and industries should streamline methods for assessing the equivalency of military training and experience, and accelerate occupational and professional licensure and certifications for members and spouses. Further, we believe that private employers should, to the extent practicable, do their utmost to educate and inform their managers, supervisors, and human resource departments on the advantages of hiring qualified veterans who have service-connected permanent total disabilities, as well as qualified surviving spouses of service members killed in action.

We note that the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) required the Department of Defense to carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of permitting enlisted members of the armed forces to obtain civilian credentialing or licensing for skills required for military occupational specialties or qualification for duty specialty codes. The Department recently successfully completed the initial phase which had selected five civilian occupations for the pilot, which included aircraft mechanics, automotive mechanics, healthcare support, logistics and supply, and truck drivers. These occupations were chosen because the labor market outlook projects medium to high wages, high employment, and significant growth for civilian jobs in these occupations. As a result of the initial results, the Department recommends continuing and expanding the pilot program, expanding credentialing opportunities to military occupational codes in law enforcement, and including greater participation by the reserve components as well as wounded, ill, or injured service members.

*Mortgage protection for members of the Armed Forces, surviving spouses, and certain veterans and other improvements to the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 556) that would amend the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 501 et seq.) to enhance mortgage protections under that Act for service members, surviving spouses, and certain veterans.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Department of Defense recognition of dependents of members of the Armed Forces who serve in combat zones*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 557) that would amend chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, to require the design of a dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button, approved by the Secretary of Defense, to identify and recognize the dependent of a member of the armed forces who is serving or has served in a combat zone for a period of more than 30 days.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that section 901(b) of title 36, United States Code, authorizes the wearing of a service lapel button approved by the Secretary of Defense by the immediate family of an individual serving in the armed forces of the United States during any period of war or hostilities in which the armed forces of the United States are engaged.

*Inclusion of Freely Associated States within scope of Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps Program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would amend section 2031(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of a military department to establish and maintain a unit of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at a secondary education institution in the Freely Associated States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Requirement to continue provision of tuition assistance for members of the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 568) that would require the service secretaries to fund tuition assistance programs at appropriated levels for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Internet access for members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps serving in combat zones*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 569) that would require the secretaries of the military departments to ensure that members of the armed forces deployed in an area for which imminent danger pay or hazardous duty pay is authorized have reasonable access to the Internet.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Support for efforts to improve academic achievement and transition of military dependent students*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make grants to non-profit organizations that provide services to improve the academic achieve-

ment of military dependent students, including those organizations whose programs focus on improving the civic responsibility of military dependent students and their understanding of the Federal Government through direct exposure to government operations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Fraudulent representations about receipt of military decorations or medals*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would amend title 18, United States Code, to make fraudulently claiming to be a recipient of certain decorations or medals with the intent to obtain money, property, or other tangible benefits a crime.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that this provision has already been enacted in the Stolen Valor Act of 2013 (Public Law 113–12).

*Retroactive award of Army Combat Action Badge*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 586) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Army Combat Action Badge to a person who, while a member of the Army, participated in combat during which the person personally engaged, or was personally engaged by, the enemy at any time during the period beginning on December 7, 1941, and ending on September 18, 2001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 587) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report on the Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to the Medal of Honor nomination of Sergeant Rafael Peralta to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Required gold content for Medal of Honor*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 589) that would require the metal content of the Medal of Honor to be 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Consideration of Silver Star Award nominations*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 590) that would require the Secretary of the Army to consider the nominations for the

Silver Star Award, as previously submitted, for retired Master Sergeants Michael McElhiney, Ronnie Raikes, Gilbert Magallanes, and Staff Sergeant Wesley McGirr.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We are aware of the errors contained in the Valor Awards Database established by the Department of Defense in July 2012. These errors led to confusion regarding individuals whose names appear on the database as having earned a particular award for valor but have never received such award. We expect the Department of Defense and the military services to review their procedures for validating the information contained in the Valor Awards Database to eliminate the possibility of clerical errors in the future.

*Report on Army review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 590A) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report pertaining to the Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Commission on Military Behavioral Health and Disciplinary Issues*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 593) that would establish a commission to study whether the Department of Defense mechanisms for disciplinary action adequately address the impact of service-connected mental disorders and traumatic brain injury on the basis for the disciplinary action.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Commission on Service to the Nation*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 594) that would establish the Commission on Service to the Nation to study the effect of warfare on service members, their families, and their communities; the outgoing experience and transition between military and civilian life; and the gaps between the military and those Americans who do not participate directly in the military community.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a comprehensive listing of Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs programs that address (1) the effect of warfare, focusing on recent wars and conflicts, on members of the armed forces, the families of members of the armed forces, and the communities of members of the armed forces; (2) the outgoing experience and transition between military and civilian life;

and (3) the gaps between the military and those Americans who do not participate directly in the military community.

*Sense of Congress regarding the recovery of the remains of certain members of the Armed Forces killed in Thurston Island, Antarctica*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 598) that would express the sense of Congress that the remains of service members killed at Thurston Island, Antarctica should be recovered and repatriated.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

*Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances (sec. 601)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would extend for 1 year the authority of the Secretary of Defense to temporarily increase the rate of basic allowance for housing in areas impacted by natural disasters or experiencing a sudden influx of personnel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 603).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Recognition of additional means by which members of the National Guard called into Federal service for a period of 30 days or less may initially report for duty for entitlement to basic pay (sec. 602)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would amend section 204(c) of title 37, United States Code, to provide additional means by which members of the National Guard called into federal service for a period of 30 days or less may become entitled to basic pay by including the date on which a member contacts their unit through authorized telephonic or electronic means.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would repeal section 204(c) of title 37, United States Code.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

*One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 611) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus, the Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus, special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units, the Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service, the Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for per-

sons with prior service, the Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service, reimbursement of travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance, and income replacement for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 611).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 612) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the nurse officer candidate accession bonus, education loan repayment for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve, accession and retention bonuses for psychologists, the accession bonus for registered nurses, incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists, special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties, the accession bonus for dental officers, the accession bonus for pharmacy officers, the accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties, and the accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 612).

The agreement includes this provision.

*One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 613) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service, the nuclear career accession bonus, and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 613).

The agreement includes this provision.

*One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities (sec. 614)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 1 year the general bonus authority for enlisted members, the general bonus authority for officers, special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers, special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers, and special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions. The provision would also extend for 1 year the authority to pay hazardous duty pay, assignment or special duty pay, skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus, and retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 614).

The agreement includes this provision.

*One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 615)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus, assignment incentive pay, the reenlistment bonus for active members, the enlistment bonus, the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills, the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage, the incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces, and the accession bonus for officer candidates.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 615).

The agreement includes this provision.

*One-year extension of authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency (sec. 616)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would extend for 1 year the authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Authority to provide bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (sec. 617)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would create a new section 336 in title 37, United States Code, to authorize a bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Health Professions Stipend Program to obtain commissioned officers in the reserve components (sec. 618)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would amend section 16201(d) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize payment of the health professions stipend to a nurse enrolled in an accredited program of nursing in a specialty designated as critical by the Secretary of Defense who is eligible for appointment as a Reserve officer in any of the reserve components.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require all individuals receiving stipends under the authority of section 16201 of title 10, United States Code, to agree to serve in the Selected Reserve for 1 year for each 6 months for which the stipend is provided.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

*Technical and standardizing amendments to Department of Defense travel and transportation authorities in connection with reform of such authorities (sec. 621)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would amend sections 1040, 1074i, 1482, and 1491 of title 10, United States Code, and sections 451 and 453 of title 37, United States Code, to make technical changes to those sections to conform with the travel consolidation reform enacted in sections 631 and 632 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81). The provision would also repeal sections 1036, 1053a, and 2634 of title 10, United States Code, as superseded.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

*Clarification of prevention of retired pay inversion in the case of members whose retired pay is computed using high-three (sec. 631)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would make a technical amendment to section 1401a of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that certain provisions of subsection (f) of that section do not apply to the computation of retired pay of members who first entered active duty on or after September 8, 1980.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 641).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Periodic notice to members of the Ready Reserve on early retirement credit earned for significant periods of active Federal status or active duty (sec. 632)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 595) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an electronic means by which members of the Ready Reserve could track qualifying service performed under section 12731(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 644) that would require the secretary concerned to periodically notify members of the Ready Reserve having performed qualifying duty under section 12731(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code, of their current eligibility age for retired pay by such means as the secretary concerned considers appropriate accounting for the cost of providing notice and the convenience of service members.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Improved assistance for Gold Star spouses and other dependents (sec. 633)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 643) that would amend sections 1450 and 1455 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the payment of the Survivor Benefit Plan

annuity to a special needs trust created under subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1396p(d)(4) of title 42, United States Code, for the sole benefit of a disabled dependent child incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the service secretaries to designate a military member or civilian employee to provide certain assistance to spouses and other dependents of service members who die on active duty.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, to assess the needs of Survivor Benefit Plan participants who have dependent children and spouses with special needs, and the feasibility and advisability of authorizing such participants to direct their annuity to a special needs trust for the benefit of the disabled child or spouse. The assessment should include a review of the number of dependents who would be potentially affected by such a change, the laws and regulations under which special needs trusts operate, and obstacles to efficient and transparent implementation of any such change, should the Secretary determine it is feasible and advisable. We direct the Secretary to submit the results of this review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

#### Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

##### *Expansion of protection of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities from reprisals (sec. 641)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would amend section 1587(b) of title 10, United States Code, to align protections from reprisals for employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities with protections from reprisals for other Department of Defense civilian personnel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1103).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

##### *Modernization of titles of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities for purposes of certain civil service laws (sec. 642)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would amend section 2105(c) of title 5, United States Code, to remove the reference to Army and Air Force Motion Picture Service and Navy Ship's Stores Ashore and replace it with the Navy Ships Stores Program in order to provide a more accurate and current definition of nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1108).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

*Authority to provide certain expenses for care and disposition of human remains that were retained by the Department of Defense for forensic pathology investigation (sec. 651)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 641) that would authorize the payment of certain expenses for the care and disposition of human remains retained by a service secretary pursuant to a forensic pathology investigation by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 671).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Study of the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation and other transitional benefits to dependents of members separated for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 652)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would establish a new section 1059a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize a monthly transitional compensation benefit for dependents of service members with more than 20 years of service who are convicted by court-martial of an offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), and who, as a result of the sentence of the court-martial, are separated from active duty and forfeit all pay and allowances.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study regarding the merits and feasibility of providing transitional compensation benefits to dependents or former dependents of members of the armed forces who are convicted by court-martial under the UCMJ, and who, as a result of the sentence of the court-martial, are separated from active duty and forfeit all pays and allowances, and to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the results of that study by no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Fiscal year 2014 increase in military basic pay*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would authorize an across-the-board pay raise for members of the uniformed services of 1 percent effective January 1, 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that on August 30, 2013, the President transmitted to Congress an alternative pay plan establishing an across-the-board pay increase of 1 percent for members of the uniformed services for calendar year 2014 rather than the 1.8 percent that would otherwise have taken effect under current law.

*Correction of citation for extension of reimbursement authority for travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance and additional one-year extension*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would correct an erroneous citation in section 611(7) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) that extended authority to pay travel expenses for certain inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distances. The provision would further extend the authority to December 31, 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the technical correction contained in this section and further extension of authority appear elsewhere in this Act.

*Purchase of sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials for resale in commissary and exchange store systems*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would require the governing body providing oversight and management direction to the military exchange and commissary systems to establish guidelines for the identification of fresh meat, poultry, seafood, produce, and other products raised or produced through sustainable methods. The provision would also require the governing body to establish, not later than September 30, 2018, goals for all exchange and commissary stores to purchase sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Exchange store system participation in the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 634) that would require the defense commissary system and the exchange store system comply with the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh and give preference to signatories to the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Department of Defense must notify Congress of garments sold in defense commissaries or exchanges that are manufactured in Bangladesh by manufacturers who are not signatories to the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Effect on division of retired pay of election to receive combat-related special compensation after previous election to receive concurrent retirement and disability compensation*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would amend section 1414 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify the effect of an election to receive combat-related special compensation (CRSC) after a previous election to receive concurrent retirement and disability compensation (CRDP) was made rel-

ative to the division of retired pay under section 1408 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We understand that a retiree's decision to receive CRSC may have significant consequences on a former spouse who has been receiving a division of retired pay, including a division of CRDP. Such a decision can leave a former spouse with a sizable debt to the Federal Government for the past divisions of CRDP already paid. The Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) has the authority to waive those debts upon application. We expect DFAS to waive those debts relative to past divisions of CRDP when requested, and to make retirees, spouses, and former spouses aware of their options in seeking debt forgiveness in this circumstance.

*Provision of status under law by honoring certain members of the reserve components as veterans*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would add a new section 107A to title 38, United States Code, to honor as a veteran any person entitled to retired pay for nonregular service under chapter 1223 of title 10, United States Code, or who, but for age, would be entitled to such retired pay.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Survey of military pay and benefits preferences*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 643) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out an anonymous survey of random service members regarding military pay and benefit preferences.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Transportation on military aircraft on a space-available basis for disabled veterans with a service-connected, permanent disability rated as total*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 644) that would amend section 2641b of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide space-available travel on military aircraft to veterans with service-connected, permanent disabilities rated as total.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics issued a letter, dated November 12, 2013, acknowledging the authority provided by section 622 of the National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), regarding the space-available transportation program. The Department is currently conducting a detailed review of the program, to include the authorities established under section 622, and will update the appropriate regulatory issuances upon completion.

*Preservation of retiree dependent status for certain dependents upon death or permanent incapacitation of the retired member on whom dependent status is based*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 645) that would amend section 1060b of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that no further certification of a dependent for financial support shall be required or carried out in the case of a dependent who has been granted a permanent identification card by reason of permanent disability when the member or retiree providing the basis for dependency dies or becomes permanently incapacitated.

The House bill contained no similar provision.  
The agreement does not include this provision.

*Enhanced role for the Department of Justice under the Military Lending Act*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 661) that would amend section 987 of title 10, United States Code, to provide civil enforcement authority over the Military Lending Act (MLA) to the Department of Justice.

The House bill contained no similar provision.  
The agreement does not include this provision.

We remain concerned about reports that predatory lenders continue to prey on service members and their families using forms of credit designed specifically to evade coverage of the MLA under the rules promulgated by the Department of Defense. We strongly encourage agencies with either explicit or implied enforcement authority over the MLA to enforce the MLA to the maximum extent possible. In the conference report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), the conferees expressed concern over the evolution of these predatory products and practices since 2006. The conferees thus directed the Secretary of Defense to review the evolution of predatory products and practices since 2006 and “to determine if changes to rules implementing section 987 are necessary to protect covered borrowers from continuing and evolving predatory lending practices, and to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives” by January 2, 2014, on the results of this review. In furtherance of this effort, the Department issued an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on June 17, 2013. We expect the Department to issue its report by the end of the year together with new rules implementing the MLA that will address lending products crafted to evade coverage under existing MLA regulations, and all agencies with enforcement powers over the MLA to exercise those powers under these new rules to protect service members and their families from predatory lending practices.

*Extension of ongoing pilot programs under temporary Army incentive to provide additional recruitment incentives*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 672) that would amend section 681 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) to authorize the Secretary of the Army to continue through December 31, 2015,

any pilot program carried out under that section that was ongoing as of December 31, 2012.

The House bill contained no similar provision.  
The agreement does not include this provision.

## TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

### Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits

#### *Future availability of TRICARE Prime for certain beneficiaries enrolled in TRICARE Prime (sec. 701)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would authorize a one-time opt-in to TRICARE Prime for beneficiaries who were eligible for TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, provided the beneficiary remains in the same ZIP code as the ZIP code the beneficiary resided in at the time of the opt-in, notwithstanding eligibility for enrollment based on the location at which the beneficiary resides.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would authorize a beneficiary who was enrolled in TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, to make a one-time election to continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime, notwithstanding eligibility for enrollment based on the location at which the beneficiary resides, provided the beneficiary remains in the same ZIP code as the ZIP code the beneficiary resided in at the time of the opt-in, and the beneficiary lives within 100 miles of a military medical treatment facility. The amendment would also clarify that the Secretary may determine whether to maintain a TRICARE network of providers in an area that is between 40 and 100 miles of a military medical treatment facility.

#### *Mental health care treatment through telemedicine (sec. 702)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 704) that would require the Secretary of Defense to extend coverage of the Transitional Assistance Management Program (TAMP) to individuals by an additional 180 days for treatment provided through telemedicine. The provision would also require the Secretary to extend coverage under TAMP for behavioral health services provided through telemedicine for certain individuals for an indefinite period of time. This authority would terminate on December 31, 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to extend TAMP coverage for certain individuals for an additional 180 days for mental health care provided through telemedicine. If the Secretary chooses to extend such coverage, the amendment would require the Secretary to report to the congressional defense committees on the rates of utilization of this coverage, the types of mental health care provided, and an analysis of how the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs coordinate the continuation of care for veterans who are no longer eligible for TAMP. This authority

would terminate on December 31, 2018. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense, not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the use of telemedicine to improve the diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injuries, and mental health conditions.

*Comprehensive policy on improvements to care and transition of members of the Armed Forces with urotrauma (sec. 703)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to, not later than January 1, 2014, jointly develop and implement a comprehensive policy on improvements to the care, management, and transition of recovering service members with urotrauma. The provision would also require the secretaries to develop the policy in consultation with the heads of other appropriate federal agencies, representatives of military service organizations, and nongovernmental organizations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, jointly develop and implement a comprehensive policy on improvements to the care, management, and transition of recovering service members with urotrauma.

In developing the comprehensive policy, we encourage the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to consult with the heads of other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government, representatives of military service organizations representing the interests of service members who are urotrauma patients, and appropriate nongovernmental organizations with expertise in matters relating to urotrauma.

*Pilot program on investigational treatment of members of the Armed Forces for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder (sec. 704)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 733) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a 5-year pilot program to establish a process to provide payment for investigational treatments of traumatic brain injury (TBI) or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) for service members in health care facilities other than military treatment facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program under which the Secretary establishes a process for randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials of investigational treatments of TBI or PTSD for service members in health care facilities other than military treatment facilities. The authority to carry out the pilot program would terminate on December 31, 2018.

## Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

*Authority of Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to other nonprofit entities (sec. 711)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 722) that would clarify the authority of the Secretary of Defense, with regard to the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to nonprofit entities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Pilot program on increased third-party collection reimbursements in military medical treatment facilities (sec. 712)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 714) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the service secretaries, to carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility of using revenue-cycle management processes, including cash-flow management and accounts-receivable processes, for medical payment collection at military medical treatment facilities. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the pilot program not later than 180 days after completion of the program, as well as a report on the current methods employed by the military departments to collect charges from third-party payers incurred at military medical treatment facilities not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 711).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the service secretaries, to carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility of using commercially-available enhanced recovery practices for medical payment collection, including revenue-cycle management together with rates and percentages of collection in accordance with industry standards, for medical payment collection at military medical treatment facilities. The amendment would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the pilot program not later than 180 days after completion of the program.

*Electronic health records of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 713)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 734) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to implement an integrated electronic health record to be used by each of the secretaries, by not later than October 1, 2016. The provision would also prescribe design principles, technical objectives, activities, and milestones that must be met and require the secretaries to jointly develop and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a programs plan for the oversight and execution of the integrated electronic health record program. In addition, the provision would limit funding for the integrated electronic health record until programs plan and certification requirements are completed. The provision would also require the secretaries to

jointly establish an advisory panel to support the development and validation of requirements, programmatic assessment, and other actions with respect to the integrated electronic health record.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would express the sense of the Senate that: (1) Despite years of effort and the expenditure of significant resources, full electronic interoperability between the health record systems of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs has not yet been achieved; (2) The Secretary of Defense, in collaboration with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, should fully staff the Interagency Program Office and establish challenging, but achievable, deadlines for development and implementation of measures and goals for electronic health record interoperability; and (3) The Interagency Program Office should establish a secure, remote, and network-accessible computer storage system.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to ensure that the departments' electronic health record systems are interoperable with integrated display of data, or a single electronic health record, and that each complies with national standards and architectural requirements. The provision would require each department to deploy modernized electronic health record software supporting clinicians by no later than December 31, 2016. The provision would also prescribe design principles, technical objectives, activities, and milestones that must be met, as well as suggest design elements for the secretaries to consider. The amendment would require the secretaries to prepare and brief the appropriate congressional committees with a programs plan for the oversight and execution of the interoperable electronic health records with integrated display of data, or single electronic health record, and would limit funding for the records or record until the programs plan is submitted. The amendment would require the secretaries to jointly establish an executive committee to support the development and validation of adopted standards, required architectural platforms and structure, and the capacity to enforce them.

In addition, the amendment would require the Secretary of Defense to request the Defense Science Board to conduct an annual review of the progress of the Secretary of Defense in achieving the mandates prescribed by the amendment. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to complete the implementation of the Healthcare Artifact and Image Management Solution (HAIMS) program not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act and, upon completion of such implementation, to provide a report to the appropriate congressional committees describing the extent of the interoperability between HAIMS and the Veterans Benefit Management System of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters

*Display of budget information for embedded mental health providers of the reserve components (sec. 721)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, as a part of the documentation that supports the President's annual budget for the Department of Defense, a budget justification display for embedded mental health providers within each reserve component, including the amount requested for each reserve component.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Report on role of Department of Veterans Affairs in certain Centers of Excellence (sec. 722)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 729) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, to report to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the Senate, on the centers of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of: traumatic brain injury; post-traumatic stress disorder and other mental health conditions; and military eye injuries established under sections 1621, 1622, and 1623, of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, to report to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the Senate on the centers of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of: traumatic brain injury; post-traumatic stress disorder and other mental health conditions; and military eye injuries established under sections 1621, 1622, and 1623, of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181). The amendment would also require the Secretary to report on the center of excellence in prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of hearing loss and auditory system injuries established under section 721 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417), as well as the center of excellence in the mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of traumatic extremity injuries and amputations established under section 723 of Public Law 110–417.

*Report on memorandum regarding traumatic brain injuries (sec. 723)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 732) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary will identify, refer,

and treat traumatic brain injuries with respect to service members who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom before the effective date in June 2010 of the directive type memorandum regarding using a 50-meter distance from an explosion as a criterion to properly identify, refer, and treat members for potential traumatic brain injury.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary identifies, refers, and treats traumatic brain injuries with respect to service members who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom before the effective date in June 2010 of directive type memorandum 09–033 regarding using a 50-meter distance from an explosion as a criterion to properly identify, refer, and treat members for potential traumatic brain injury.

*Report on provision of advanced prosthetics and orthotics to members of the Armed Forces and veterans (sec. 724)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to report, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on the plans of the Department of Defense (DOD) and the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to ensure that the most clinically appropriate prosthetics and orthotics are made available to injured service members and veterans using technological advances as appropriate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to report, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on the plans of the DOD and VA to ensure that the most clinically appropriate prosthetics and orthotics are made available to injured service members and veterans using technological advances as appropriate; and to include a description of the processes of each Secretary to coordinate and identify care in the VA for an injured service member who, prior to being discharged or released from the armed forces, has an advanced technology prosthetic.

*Comptroller General reports on TRICARE recovery audit program and availability of compounded pharmaceuticals (sec. 725)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 735) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, that evaluates the similarities and differences in the approaches to identifying and recovering improper payments across Medicare and TRICARE.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, not later

than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, that evaluates the similarities and differences of Medicare and the TRICARE program with respect to identifying and recovering improper payments. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General to submit a report not later than September 30, 2014, to the congressional defense committees on the availability of compounded pharmaceuticals in the military health care system.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

##### *Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would amend section 1074m of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide person-to-person mental health assessments once during each 180-day period during which a service member is deployed.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that each of the military departments has embedded behavioral health care providers in certain operational and deployable units whose purpose is to provide increased access to behavioral health care for service members in theater.

##### *Periodic mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide periodic person-to-person mental health assessments to each member of the armed forces serving on active duty.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

##### *Behavioral health treatment of developmental disabilities under TRICARE*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would amend section 1077 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize behavioral health treatment, including applied behavior analysis therapy, for all developmental disabilities as defined by section 15002(8) of title 42, United States Code, including autism spectrum disorders, when prescribed by a physician to be covered under the basic TRICARE program for certain beneficiaries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

##### *Cooperative health care agreements between the military departments and non-military health care entities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to establish cooperative health care agreements between military installations and local or regional non-military health care entities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that the Secretary of Defense was provided the authority to enter into cooperative health care agreements under section 713 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (10 U.S.C. 1073 note), and that the Secretary may delegate this authority. We believe that in circumstances where the Secretary deems it appropriate, the Secretary should utilize or delegate this authority.

*Limitation on availability of funds for integrated electronic health record program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would limit the amount of funds the Secretary of Defense may obligate or expend for procurement or research, development, test and evaluation for the integrated electronic health record program until 30 days after the date that the Secretary submits a report detailing an analysis of alternatives for the plan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Mental health support for military personnel and families*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 723) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out collaborative programs to: respond to suicide and combat stress-related arrest rates of service members; train active-duty members to recognize and respond to combat stress disorder, suicide risk, substance addiction, risk-taking behaviors, and family violence; and determine the effectiveness of Department of Defense (DOD) efforts to reduce military suicide rates.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that in December 2012, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) published in the Federal Register a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to implement the Secure and Responsible Drug Disposal Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-273). We believe that the proposed rule severely hampers DOD efforts to collect and safely dispose of unused prescription drugs. The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs has expressed concern that DEA's proposed rule will "limit DOD's ability to accept unused patient medications in a routine setting and reduce the potential effectiveness of efforts to eliminate opportunities for medication misuse, abuse and tragic adverse events." We understand that the DEA has been in discussions with the Department to develop workable, accessible, readily-available means for service members, retirees, and their dependents to dispose of unused or unwanted controlled substances efficiently, but we are discouraged that substantial progress has not yet been made. We expect that the DEA's final rule, once published, will provide the Department with the means to establish a meaningful drug take-back program for its beneficiaries to reduce

prescription drug misuse, abuse and potential tragic adverse events.

*Research regarding hydrocephalus*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 724) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in conducting the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program, to consider selecting medical research projects relating to hydrocephalus.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We encourage the Secretary of Defense to consider including medical research on hydrocephalus in Department of Defense research efforts.

*Traumatic brain injury research*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 725) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out research, development, test, and evaluation activities with respect to traumatic brain injury and psychological health, including activities regarding drug development to halt neurodegeneration following traumatic brain injury.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Increased collaboration with NIH to combat triple negative breast cancer*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 727) that would require the Department of Defense to work in collaboration with the National Institutes of Health to identify specific genetic and molecular targets and biomarkers for triple negative breast cancer and to provide information that will enable triple negative breast cancer patients to be identified earlier and aid the development of therapies for the disease.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We urge the Secretary of Defense to consider conducting research to identify specific genetic and molecular targets and biomarkers for triple negative breast cancer.

*Sense of Congress on mental health counselors for members of the Armed Forces and their families*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 728) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should develop a plan to ensure a sustainable flow of qualified counselors to meet the long-term needs of service members and their families for counselors, to include the participation of accredited schools and universities, health care providers, professional counselors, family service or support centers, chaplains, and other appropriate Department of Defense resources.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Preliminary mental health assessments*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 730) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a mental health assessment to any individual enlisting or being commissioned as an officer in the armed forces prior to such enlistment or commissioning, and to use the results of such an assessment as a baseline for any subsequent mental health examinations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on the traumatic brain injury plan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 731) that would express the sense of Congress that section 739(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) requires the Secretary of Defense, not later than 180 days after the enactment of such Act, to submit a plan to Congress to improve the coordination and integration of Department of Defense programs that address traumatic brain injury and the psychological health of service members, and that the Secretary should deliver the report within the required time frame.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We expect the Secretary of Defense to submit the plan required by section 739(b) to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives as soon as possible.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

*Enhanced transfer of technology developed at Department of Defense laboratories (sec. 801)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would establish a pilot program to allow Department of Defense (DOD) laboratories to license DOD-owned intellectual property that may or may not be patented, and to retain associated royalties consistent with existing statutes on patent licensing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services (sec. 802)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would extend limitations on contract services under section 808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 111–84), through 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would extend the provision for 1 year.

*Identification and replacement of obsolete electronic parts (sec. 803)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would amend section 818 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to expand the conditions under which covered contractors can qualify for exemption from strict liability associated with rework and corrective action related to counterfeits of obsolete electronic parts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would require the Department to work with contractors or other sources of supply to identify obsolete parts and replace them through an expedited engineering change process.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities,  
Procedures, and Limitations

*Government-wide limitations on allowable costs for contractor compensation (sec. 811)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would amend section 2324(e)(1)(P) of title 10, United States Code, and section 4304(a) of title 41, United States Code, to replace the current statutory benchmark compensation formula used to determine the amount of contractor compensation that is considered an allowable cost for a federal contract, with the current compensation benchmark amount for fiscal year 2013 of \$763,209. This section would also make unallowable the entire cost of compensation for the five most-highly compensated employees of a contractor that was awarded more than \$500.0 million in federal contracts in the previous fiscal year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 841) that would reduce the cap on allowable costs of compensation of contractor employees to an amount consistent with the original legislative cap, adjusted for inflation, and provide for future annual adjustments by reflecting the change in the Employment Cost Index for all workers, as calculated by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics. According to this calculation, the cap for fiscal year 2014 would be at \$487,325.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would revise the cap on compensation of contractor employees and provide for future annual adjustments.

*Inclusion of additional cost estimate information in certain reports (sec. 812)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 814) that would amend section 2432 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the program's baseline cost estimate, along with the associated risk curve and sensitivity of that estimate be provided in the quarterly selected acquisition reports. In addition, this section would require

that the reports include the current point estimate bounded by the low-end and high-end estimates and the associated sensitivity of those estimates, and identification of the primary risk parameters associated with the estimate. Furthermore, this section would require reporting of estimated termination liability remaining on the contract. Finally, this section would amend section 2334(f) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, to review the information required by this section and to include trend information, a summary of findings and recommendations to improve the cost estimates of the Department of Defense in the annual report to Congress on cost assessment activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to include at least three programs designated as Acquisition Category I programs in the December 2014 reporting period.

*Amendment relating to compelling reasons for waiving suspension or debarment (sec. 813)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 815) that would amend section 2393(b) of title 10, United States Code, by requiring the Secretary of Defense to make available on a publicly accessible website any determination that there is a compelling reason to solicit an offer from, award a contract to, extend a contract with, or approve a subcontract with an offeror or contractor that has been debarred or suspended by a federal agency.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Extension of pilot program on acquisition of military purpose non-developmental items (sec. 814)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 831) that would amend section 866 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), by extending the program authority to December 31, 2019. Furthermore, the committee encouraged the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to review the military purpose non-developmental items implementation guidance and to exercise the authority provided in section 866.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision.

Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs

*Synchronization of cryptographic systems for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 821)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that as part of a milestone B decision for a major defense ac-

quisition program, would require that there be a plan in place to mitigate and account for costs in connection with decertification of cryptographic equipment during production and procurement of the system. The provision includes a waiver based on national security needs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that changes the date of applying this provision to 6 months after the date of enactment.

*Assessment of dedicated ground control system before Milestone B approval of major defense acquisition programs constituting a space program (sec. 822)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would implement a recommendation from the Government Accountability Office (GAO) report, Satellite Control Operations, GAO-13-315, concerning the use of dedicated satellite control systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that modified title 10, United States Code, and requires the Secretary of Defense to develop a long-term plan for satellite ground control systems. The plan must be submitted to the congressional defense committees 1 year after the date of enactment.

We expect that the cost-benefit analysis be based on life-cycle cost estimates found within the DOD 5000 directive and instructions.

The Comptroller General of the United States shall review the implementation plan and submit its views no later than 90 days after the plan is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

*Additional responsibility for product support managers for major weapon systems (sec. 823)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would amend section 2337 of title 10, United States Code, and section 823 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), to provide an assurance that all product support arrangements explicitly state how the arrangement will maximize use of government-owned inventory before obtaining inventory from commercial sources. This provision is a result of a Department of Defense Inspector General investigation into the Defense Logistics Agency.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Comptroller General review of Department of Defense processes for the acquisition of weapons systems (sec. 824)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 824) requiring the Comptroller General to carry out a comprehensive review of the processes and procedures of the Department of Defense for the acquisition of weapon systems. The objective of the review is to identify processes and procedures for the acquisition of

weapon systems that provide little or no value or for which any value added is outweighed by cost or schedule delays without adding commensurate value.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains this provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct the Comptroller General to provide the congressional defense committees with the required report no later than January 31, 2015.

#### Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan

##### *Prohibition on contracting with the enemy (sec. 831)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would amend section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), regarding the authority of the Secretary of Defense to void a contract that is directly or indirectly funding a person or entity who actively supports an insurgency or otherwise actively opposes the United States or its coalition partners in a contingency operation in the United States Central Command theater of operations , to: (1) Lower the threshold for covered contracts from \$0.1 million to \$0.05 million; (2) Provide the authority to certain other geographic combatant commands during a contingency operation as defined by section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code; and (3) Make the authority permanent.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 861) that would amend section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) by striking “the date that is three years after the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “December 31, 2016.”

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an additional similar provision (section 862) that would expand section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to all combatant commanders.

The agreement contains that provision with an amendment that would amend section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), making the authorities provided in section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) available to certain other combatant commanders.

We intend that the definition of a “covered person or entity” would not mean a person or entity that is engaged in speech activities but rather actions involving hostile opposition to United States or coalition forces.

##### *Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan (sec. 832)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 832) that would extend through December 31, 2015, the authority under section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84), as amended, to procure products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 802).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

##### *Modification of reporting requirement for Department of Defense business system acquisition programs when initial operating capability is not achieved within 5 years of Milestone A approval*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would amend the reporting requirement imposed on defense business systems (DBS) acquisition programs by section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) by clarifying the separate treatment of Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS) DBS and non-MAIS DBS. Specifically, this section would clarify that section 811 is inapplicable to MAIS DBS acquisition programs because such programs are independently subject to critical change reporting under section 2445c of title 10, United States Code. This section would also modify the requirement for non-MAIS DBS reporting a failure to achieve initial operational capacity (IOC) within 5 years of milestone A approval from a critical change report to a report to the Department of Defense pre-certification authority explaining the causes and circumstances surrounding the failure to achieve IOC within the required time.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

##### *Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would clarify and reorganize the reporting and certification requirements of the Department of Defense when requesting specific authorization for multiyear contract authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

##### *Report on program manager training and experience*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an updated version of the 2009 Department of Defense report titled: “OSD [Office of the Secretary of Defense] Study of Program Manager Training and Experience” not later than 120 days from enactment of this Act.

The report found senior military officers, including general officers, and civilians in charge of acquisition programs did not believe their acquisition training was “sufficiently practical and comprehensive” regarding a number of fundamental areas of acquisition management. For example, the following is a partial list of responses showing the percent of program managers polled at that time who believed their acquisition training was sufficiently practical and comprehensive:

Overseeing Contractor Performance .....	31%
Cost Estimating Challenges .....	27%
Software Management Challenges .....	25%
Cost Control Challenges .....	25%
Unexpected Cost Growth .....	14%

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive update of the 2009 report not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The update should also identify, describe, and analyze trends in the training and experience of personnel acquisition program management since the issuance of the 2009 report, and should provide recommendations for improving the training and experience of personnel performing acquisition program management functions.

We further direct the Secretary to specifically examine the training, qualifications, and experience of personnel performing acquisition program management functions on programs designated as Acquisition Category I, IA, and II and provide recommendations on the ways to improve the practicality and comprehensiveness of the acquisition training provided to such personnel.

*Additional contractor responsibilities in regulations relating to detection and avoidance of counterfeit electronic parts*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would amend section 818 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to provide that the costs associated with the use of counterfeit electronic parts, and the subsequent cost of rework or corrective action that may be required to remedy the use of inclusion of such parts, are allowable costs under Department of Defense contracts if the counterfeit electronic parts were procured from an original manufacturer or its authorized dealer, or from a trusted supplier.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

*Requirement that cost or price to the Federal Government be given at least equal importance as technical or other criteria in evaluating competitive proposals for defense contracts*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 816) that would amend section 2305(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, to require that the head of an agency of the Department of Defense, in prescribing the evaluation factors to be included in each solicitation for competitive proposals, assign importance to cost or price at least equal to all evaluation factors other than cost or price when combined. This section would allow the head of an agency to waive the requirement, and it would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, not later than 180 days after the end of each fiscal year, a report containing a list of each waiver issued during the preceding fiscal year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

The Federal Acquisition Regulation Part 15 permits the use of several best value competitive source selection techniques. Within the best value continuum, the government should utilize the technique that is most advantageous to its interests.

The government may choose to use the lowest price technically acceptable source selection process for acquisitions in which best value can be expected to result from the selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.

The government may also choose to use a trade-off source selection process for acquisitions in which it may be in the best interest of the government to grant an award to an offeror other than the lowest priced offeror or the highest technically rated offeror. In such cases, non-cost or price evaluation factors may be weighed against cost or price factors in competitive source selections.

We are concerned that best value competitive source selection processes are not always properly implemented. Therefore, we direct the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a study on Department of Defense procurements that use best value competitive source selection techniques. The study shall include, at a minimum, an assessment of:

- (1) The frequency with which evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are given more weight than cost or price in solicitations for competitive proposals;
- (2) The types of contracts for products or services for which such evaluation factors are most frequently used;
- (3) The reasons why the Department of Defense chooses to use such evaluation factors;
- (4) The extent to which the use of such factors is or is not in the interest of the Department of Defense;
- (5) The efficacy with which the Department of Defense's acquisition workforce implements best value competitive source selection techniques;
- (6) The Department of Defense's guidance and directives on the appropriate use of best value competitive source selection techniques; and
- (7) The extent to which budgetary constraints affect the use of best value competitive source selection techniques.

We direct the Comptroller General to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the results of this study not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

*Requirement to buy American flags from domestic sources*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 817) that would amend section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code, to include "a flag of the United States of America" to the list of items that the Department of Defense may not procure unless the item is grown, processed, reused, or produced in the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note that flags of the United States procured by the Department of Defense are procured in accordance with section 2533a(b)(1)(D) of title 10, United States Code.

*Collection of data relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would amend section 861 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, to allow contracts in Afghanistan entered into after the enactment of this Act to include a clause requiring the imposition of a penalty on any contractor that does not comply with the policies, guidance, or regulations issued pursuant to that section. This section would also amend section 863 of Public Law 110–181 to require that the Annual Joint Report on Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan include information on any penalties imposed on contractors for failing to comply with requirements under section 861(e) of Public Law 110–181.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We are concerned about reports of contractor noncompliance with relevant policies, guidance, and regulations in Afghanistan, including contractor noncompliance with requirements to provide information for the common databases identified by section 861(b)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Administrator for the United States Agency for International Development, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, a report on contractor compliance in Iraq and Afghanistan.

At a minimum, the report shall include a detailed discussion of any outstanding contractor compliance issues or concerns, including any issues or concerns pertaining to the provision of information to common databases or the management thereof; a discussion of any lessons learned in Iraq or Afghanistan for improving contractor compliance in a contingency environment; and best practice recommendations for ensuring contractor compliance in future contingency contracting operations.

*Report on procurement supply chain vulnerabilities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 833) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report regarding how sole source suppliers of components to the Department of Defense procurement supply chain creates vulnerabilities to military attack, terrorism, natural disaster, industrial shock, financial crisis, or geopolitical crisis, such as an embargo of key raw materials or industrial inputs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Study on the impact of contracting with veteran-owned small businesses*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 834) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report regarding impacts of the Department of Defense contracting with small businesses owned and controlled by veterans and service-disabled veterans on veteran entrepreneurship and unemployment; impact on veteran suicide and homelessness; and the feasibility and expected impacts of implementation of the small business goals and preferences detailed in section 8127, title 38, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The provision does not contain the agreement.

*Revisions to requirements relating to justification and approval of sole-source defense contracts*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 835) that would modify the provisions of the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation that implement section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), clarifying the delegable authority of the head of an agency to make an award.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Revision of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to take into account sourcing laws*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 837) that would revise the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to implement requirements imposed by sections 129, 129a, 2330a, 2461, and 2463 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Prohibition on purchase of military coins not made in the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 838) that would prohibit the purchase of any military coins not produced in the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note military coins are generally purchased with unit-level morale funds or funds personally contributed by the members of the unit and not with appropriated funds.

*Compliance with domestic source requirements for footwear furnished to enlisted members of the Armed Forces upon their initial entry into the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 839) that would amend section 418 of title 37, United States Code, by requiring the Department of Defense to issue athletic footwear compliant with the

requirement detailed in section 2533a of title 10, United States Code, to members of the Armed Forces upon their initial entry in lieu of a cash allowance.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note that Congress passed the Berry Amendment in 1941 to ensure that American soldiers train and operate, to the greatest extent practicable, in American-made materials. The Berry Amendment specifically covers footwear listed in Federal Supply Class 8430 or 8435.

The Army, in 2001, and the Air Force, in 2008, have moved away from issuing athletic footwear to new recruits. Instead, new recruits are given an allowance to acquire athletic footwear from the service exchange.

During this period of time, no athletic footwear was available that could have met the requirements of the Berry Amendment without a waiver. It has been reported that at least one domestic contractor is now producing such footwear.

Therefore, we direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to issue a Sources Sought to determine whether there are any domestic manufacturers of Berry Amendment-compliant athletic footwear that meets the Department's requirements.

We further direct that any responses to the Sources Sought be evaluated by the Defense Logistics Agency and an independent entity to determine whether (1) such offered athletic footwear meets the requirements of the Berry Amendment and (2) whether Department requirements are actually met. Such review should consider the various sizes and fits of athletic shoes offered, cost, and capacity of suppliers to meet military requirements.

*Implementation by Department of Defense of certain recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States on oversight of pensions offered by Department contractors*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 842) that would require the Secretary of Defense to assign responsibility within the Department of Defense (DOD) for oversight of the reasonableness of the pension plans offered by Department contractors and issue certain guidance on pension benefits.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note that, according to the Government Accountability Office (GAO), DOD contractors are among the largest sponsors of defined benefit pension plans in the United States and also factor pension costs into the price of DOD contracts. We also note that in its January 2013 report, GAO made the following recommendations to the Secretary of Defense in order to improve oversight, management, and accountability of such pension plans:

(1) Assign responsibility within the DOD for oversight of the reasonableness of the pension plans offered by Department contractors, specifically the value of benefits earned by participants in such pension plans;

(2) Issue guidance on the measurement of the value of pension benefits that participants earn in a given year, in order to permit the Department to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the total compensation provided to employees by Department contractors;

(3) Issue guidance on the extent to which defined benefit pension plans will be included in assessments of the reasonableness of compensation for executives of Department contractors; and

(4) Issue guidance for the acquisition organizations of the Department, including the Defense Contract Management Activity and Defense Contract Audit Activity, in regards to the discount rate or rates that are acceptable for Department contractors to use in calculating person costs for forward pricing purposes.

We are pleased that the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, concurred with all such recommendations in his January 2, 2013 response letter and note that he also expressed clear intent to implement them. However, we are concerned that according to GAO, all four recommendations are yet to be closed. Therefore, we encourage the Secretary of Defense to move expeditiously to close out implementation of the recommendations, and to keep the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives informed of the progress.

*Report on the elimination of improper payments*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 863) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the department's plan to implement the recommendations of the Comptroller General regarding the elimination of improper payments.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform Act*

The House bill contained a set of provisions (sec. 5001–5506) that would increase the authority of Chief Information Officers (CIO) regarding information technology (IT) investment practices for the 16 major civilian agencies, including the Department of Defense. The purpose of these provisions was to increase efficiencies government-wide by streamlining the acquisition process, increasing transparency, eliminating duplication and waste, and strengthening public-private partnerships by empowering the CIO with greater responsibility for IT systems within a government agency.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the acquisition of information technology is a challenge across the Federal Government and that reform of the information technology acquisition process remains a priority in the defense committees and the Congress. We expect to continue working on improvements in this area and hope to bring a set of comprehensive reforms forward in the next fiscal year.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND  
MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

*Revisions to composition of transition plan for defense business enterprise architecture (sec. 901)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would revise the definition for legacy systems in section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, to align with the updated business systems investment review process.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does contain the provision.

*Comptroller General report on potential relocation of Federal Government tenants onto military installations in the United States (sec. 902)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to Congress regarding potential consolidation of federal agency facilities onto military installations, with specific consideration of installations that support Arctic missions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment.

*Clarification of authority for the command acquisition executive of the United States Special Operations Command (sec. 903)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would make the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Acquisition Executive subject to the direction of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD (AT&L)). The provision would also require the USD (AT&L) to designate an appropriate official within the Office of the USD (AT&L) to provide such oversight and direction for those programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would modify the provision to make clear that the USSOCOM Acquisition Executive is responsible to the Commander of USSOCOM for the acquisition of special operations-peculiar equipment and subordinate to the USD (AT&L) for all acquisition matters. The provision would not alter the relationship between the USSOCOM Acquisition Executive and the Commander of USSOCOM. Further, it is not the intent of the provision to delay, unnecessarily impede, or undermine the flexibility of USSOCOM development and acquisition efforts.

We remain supportive of USSOCOM's unique acquisition authorities to provide for the special operations-peculiar requirements of its forces, including rapid acquisition of urgently needed capabilities for deployed or deploying special operations forces. Further, we note that the flexibility inherent in these authorities is important

to ensuring that special operations forces can adapt to the rapidly evolving nature of global threats. However, given the significant growth in USSOCOM's budget in recent years and current fiscal pressures, we believe it is necessary to clarify civilian oversight of USSOCOM investment programs, particularly the development and acquisition of special operations-peculiar platforms.

We note that the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), as amended, requires the Secretary of Defense to designate a senior acquisition official within USD (AT&L) to oversee the exercise of acquisition authority by USSOCOM, among others. Additionally, section 138 of title 10, United States Code, states that the "principal duty" of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC) is "overall supervision (including oversight of policy and resources) of special operations activities." We believe appropriate civilian oversight by USD (AT&L) and ASD SOLIC of USSOCOM acquisition activities is critical to ensuring effective use of taxpayer funds, particularly with regard to the development and acquisition of special operations-peculiar platforms and advanced technology programs that are at greatest risk of incurring delays and additional costs. Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a directive type memorandum outlining the respective roles and responsibilities of the USD (AT&L) and ASD SOLIC with regard to the oversight of USSOCOM acquisition activities and the mechanisms through which such oversight will occur.

*Streamlining of Department of Defense management headquarters (sec. 904)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 905) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for streamlining Department of Defense management headquarters by reducing the size of staffs, eliminating tiers of management, cutting functions that provide little or no added value, and consolidating overlapping and duplicative program offices. The objective is to reduce aggregate spending for management headquarters by not less than \$100.0 billion over a 10 fiscal-year period beginning with fiscal year 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would remove the savings objective from bill language. We note the Secretary of Defense's recent announcement that he is seeking \$40.0 billion in savings in these areas. We expect that the Secretary's goal will be met.

We also note that section 113 of title 10, United States Code, requires the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress each year a report that contains a comprehensive net assessment of the defense capabilities and programs of the armed forces of the United States and its allies as compared with those of their potential adversaries.

We are concerned that in the course of a review intended to identify potential efficiencies and cost savings in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) the recommendation has been made to make the net assessment function subordinate to another OSD of-

ficie. Such a change would risk compromising the independence of the Office of Net Assessment without achieving significant efficiencies.

Accordingly, we direct the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 1, 2014, a report that identifies the estimated savings and efficiencies that would be achieved through the reorganization or realignment of the Office of Net Assessment and explains how the Secretary of Defense would ensure the continuing independence of net assessment and the ability to report directly to the Secretary, in the event that a decision were made to modify the organizational structure or reporting arrangements of the office.

*Update of statutory statement of functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff relating to doctrine, training, and education (sec. 905)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 906), as requested by the Department of Defense, that would codify the responsibility of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) by amending section 153 of title 10, United States Code, to reflect the current joint training, doctrine, education, and force development functions that are overseen by the CJCS.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Modification of reference to major Department of Defense headquarters activities instruction (sec. 906)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would amend section 194(f) of title 10, United States Code, to update the reference to Department of Defense Instruction 5100.73, titled "Major DOD Headquarters Activities."

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision.

*Personnel security (sec. 907)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would require major reform of the personnel security clearance investigation, adjudication, and transfer processes to improve security and reduce costs. Specifically, the provision would require:

(1) The Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation to conduct a comprehensive, comparative analysis of the cost, schedule, and performance of personnel security investigations acquired through the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) and through components of the Department of Defense (DOD);

(2) The Secretary of Defense to develop a plan by October 1, 2014, to acquire investigations through the approach most advantageous to DOD;

(3) The Secretary and the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) to develop a joint strategy to modernize all aspects of personnel security to lower costs and improve security, and to develop and report annually on metrics that will demonstrate progress in achieving those objectives;

(4) The Secretary and the DNI to consider, and allow them to adopt, a series of innovations in security investigation methods and data sources that have been shown to be effective through analysis and/or demonstrations;

(5) The Secretary and the DNI to ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, reciprocal acceptance of clearances; and

(6) Development of benchmarks by which to measure the current level of reciprocity in clearance transfers and the costs imposed by delays.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would (1) include the Director of the Office of Management and Budget along with the Secretary of Defense and the DNI in the requirement to develop and implement a strategy to modernize the personnel security process; (2) require the Secretary and the Directors to consider the results of ongoing reviews occasioned by unauthorized disclosures of classified information and by the events at the Washington Navy Yard; (3) require the strategy to include a risk-based monitoring approach based on the responsibilities and accesses of cleared personnel; require the Comptroller General to conduct a review of the personnel security process; and require the Suitability and Security Performance Accountability Council to convene a task force to examine access to State and local public records of Federal Government and contractor investigators.

#### Subtitle B—Space Activities

##### *National security space satellite reporting policy (sec. 911)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would amend chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, to add a notification, required of the Secretary of Defense, of each attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a U.S. national security space capability. The notification shall be submitted to the appropriate congressional committees not later than 48 hours after the Secretary determines that there is reason to believe such an attempt occurred. Not later than 10 days after the date on which the Secretary determines that there is reason to believe such an attempt occurred, further information should be provided including the name and a brief description of the national security space capability that was impacted by such an attempt; a description of the attempt, including the foreign actor, the date and time of the attempt, and any related capability outage and the mission impact of such outage; and any other information considered relevant by the Secretary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that strikes the sense of Congress, provides that the Commander of U.S. Strategic Command (STRATCOM) provide the notice instead of the Secretary, and adds other information the Commander considers relevant to the notice.

We note that the notice is not intended to be a duplicative process and should leverage existing STRATCOM anomaly processes. We further note that this notice is not intended to be notification

of every anomaly instance; this is only notification when there is reason to believe that there was an intentional attempt to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a national security space capability.

*National security space defense and protection (sec. 912)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 912) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to enter into an arrangement with the National Research Council to conduct a review in response to the near-term and long-term threats to the national security space systems of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to enter into an arrangement with the National Research Council while requiring, in addition to other elements of the study, the Council take into account the affordability and technical risk of recommended courses of action.

*Space acquisition strategy (sec. 913)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 913) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, to establish a strategy for the multi-year procurement of commercial satellite services.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that changes the report to a briefing within 90 days after the date of enactment with an interim briefing at the time of the fiscal year 2015 budget submission.

Consistent with the Defense Business Board report, "Taking Advantage of Opportunities for Commercial Satellite Services," Report FY13-02, February 2013, we direct the Executive Agent for Space to report back to the congressional defense committees before March 1, 2014, on how this office will take a more active role in implementing recommendation 10 of the report titled, "Facilitate future governance by designating a single DoD organization for procuring all SATCOM assets and services."

We understand the U.S. Strategic Command, through the Defense Information Systems Agency, is involved with developing a long-term strategy for satellite communications titled, "Mix of Media Study." We direct the Director of the Defense Information Systems Agency to brief the congressional defense committees on this study.

We are concerned about the Department's reliance on 1-year high-cost commercial satellite communications leases, and encourage the Department to continue to pursue innovative acquisition approaches, including multi-year leases and the procurement of government-owned transponders and payloads on commercial communication satellites.

*Space control mission report (sec. 914)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 914) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the space control mission of the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that requires an additional element of the report regarding force levels and structure of the future space control missions.

We believe the nature of the Department's space control mission is fundamentally changing from purely collision avoidance and cataloging space objects, to additionally ensuring that the United States has, according to section 4(b) of the October 18, 2012, Department of Defense Directive on Space Policy, "the capabilities to respond at the time and place of our choosing" to "purposeful interference with U.S. space systems, including their supporting infrastructure" in ensuring the right of "free access and use of space." Consistent with the space policy directive, it is incumbent upon the Department to ensure there is a clear and concise concept of operations which supports the directive and that the congressional defense committees are updated on any significant developments as this additional mission evolves.

*Responsive launch (sec. 915)*

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 915) that would require a study by the Department of Defense

Executive Agent for Space on responsive, low-cost launch efforts to include a review of existing and past operationally responsive, low-cost launch capabilities; a technology assessment of various methods to develop an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability; and an assessment of the viability of any other innovative methods, such as secondary payload adapters on existing launch vehicles. In addition, this section would require a report from the Executive Agent for Space regarding the results of the above mentioned study, as well as a consolidated plan for development within the Department of an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add as one of the factors the Executive Agent for Space to consider as part of the study to be the identification of the conditions or requirements for responsive launch, which would provide the necessary military value, such as the requisite payload capacity, timelines for responsiveness, and the target launch costs. The amendment would also require a Government Accountability Office (GAO) review of the report by the Executive Agent for Space. The GAO may present the results of their review in the form of a briefing to the congressional defense committees.

*Limitation on use of funds for Space Protection Program (sec. 916)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would limit the amount of money able to be obligated or

expended for the Space Protection Program by \$10 million until the Secretary of Defense submitted to the congressional defense committees a copy of all materials presented to inform the decision of the Deputy Secretary of Defense on the counter space strategy of the Department of Defense during the 3-year period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act that resulted in significant revisions to said strategy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

We agree that the Secretary of Defense should provide the briefing, report, or other materials that were presented to the Deputy Secretary of Defense, which includes the Deputy Secretary Management Action Group briefing materials. We do not expect new work product to be produced. We expect the Department of Defense to submit only the materials that were presented to the Secretary to inform his decision on the way forward for the counterspace strategy, which would not include preliminary or background materials.

*Eagle Vision system (sec. 917)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would require the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, within 180 days of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Eagle Vision imagery ground station. The report elements would include a description and assessment of the Department of Defense organizations to which the Eagle Vision system could be transferred, as well as the actions that would need to be taken prior to a transfer; the potential schedule for a transfer; and the possible effects of a transfer on the capabilities or use of the system. The provision would prohibit the Air Force from making changes to the organization and management of the program until 90 days after the submission of the report to Congress.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate committee-reported provision.

Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities

*Revision of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities (sec. 921)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would modify current statutory authority for the Secretary of Defense to conduct commercial activities that are necessary to provide security for authorized intelligence collection activities abroad undertaken by the Department of Defense. The provision would remove the requirement that the Secretary of Defense designate a single office within the Defense Intelligence Agency to be responsible for the management and supervision of all commercial activities authorized by the intelligence commercial activity statute; change the annual audit requirement to a biennial audit requirement; and add the congressional defense committees to the reporting requirement.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would maintain the annual audit requirement.

*Department of Defense intelligence priorities (sec. 922)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 922) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a written policy governing the internal coordination and prioritization of intelligence priorities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments to improve identification of the intelligence needs of the Department of Defense. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to identify any significant intelligence gaps of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Defense Clandestine Service (sec. 923)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 923) that would prohibit the use of 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense (DOD) for fiscal year 2014 for the Defense Clandestine Service (DCS) to be obligated or expended for the DCS until such time as the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, that the DCS is designed primarily to fulfill priorities of the DOD that are unique to the DOD or otherwise unmet; and provide unique capabilities to the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))).

This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to design metrics that will be used to ensure that the DCS is employed in the manner certified; provide annual assessments for 5 years based on the metrics established; submit prompt notifications of any significant changes; and provide quarterly briefings on deployments and collection activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 932) that would require the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation, and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, acting through the Cost Analysis Improvement Group, and the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), to assess the potential cost savings and effectiveness improvements from consolidating clandestine human intelligence collection in the National Clandestine Service managed by the CIA.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation (sec. 924)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 924) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to execute: the separation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program from the rest of the Department of Defense budget; the consolidation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program within the Department of Defense budget; or the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for such funds. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to jointly brief the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on any planning relating to future execution that has occurred during the past 2 years and any anticipated future planning and related efforts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters

*Modification of requirement for inventory of Department of Defense tactical data link systems (sec. 931)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would amend section 934 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to include a requirement that the vulnerabilities of data link systems be assessed in anti-access or area-denial environments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Authorities, capabilities, and oversight of the United States Cyber Command (sec. 932)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 932) that would require the Defense Science Board to conduct an independent assessment of the organization, missions, and authorities of U.S. Cyber Command (CYBERCOM).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 941) that would require the Secretary of Defense to delegate signals intelligence (SIGINT) collection authorities to CYBERCOM; provide CYBERCOM with the infrastructure and equipment to operate independently of the National Security Agency (NSA) to conduct operations in cyberspace; provide range capabilities to meet CYBERCOM's unique requirements for wartime offensive operations; designate an official within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to serve as the Secretary's principal advisor on offensive military cyber operations and to supervise the organization, manning, and equipping of such forces; and to establish

appropriate training facilities for cyber personnel. In addition, the provision would express the sense of Congress that CYBERCOM personnel assigned to support offensive cyber missions should be funded and managed outside of the Military Intelligence Program (MIP) and Information Systems Security Program (ISSP).

The agreement includes the Senate committee-reported provision with an amendment. The amendment would assign to the principal advisor responsibility for the overall supervision of cyber activities in the Department, including oversight of policy and operational matters, resources, personnel, acquisition, and technology. In carrying out these responsibilities, the principal advisor shall create a full-time cross-functional team of subject-matter experts from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the military departments, defense agencies, and combatant commands.

We stress that this construct of an interdepartmental team under the direction of the principal advisor for cyber is not intended to be merely a coordinating committee, but will provide strong leadership through a joint mechanism to achieve a common purpose and unity of effort in policy, planning, programming, and oversight to support a complex mission that spans the entire Department of Defense. We believe there are good models for effective cross-functional teams, such as the Joint Inter Agency Task Force-South, which successfully brings stakeholders together, including their specific authorities and capabilities, under a single organization. This team concept requires that members operate and think holistically, without regard to home institution loyalties, and receive training in team dynamics and conflict resolution.

With regard to cyber acquisitions, we note that there is an existing congressionally-mandated joint entity, the Cyber Investment Management Board, which is chaired by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. We believe such organizations should be leveraged to the extent possible in organizing this cross functional team.

The amendment does not include the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to delegate SIGINT authority to CYBERCOM, because the NSA Director has already made such a delegation. If a decision is made in the future to separate the positions of NSA Director and Commander of CYBERCOM, it would be appropriate for this delegation to come directly from the Secretary of Defense.

The amendment also does not include the sense of the Congress that CYBERCOM personnel assigned to support offensive missions should be funded and managed outside of the MIP and ISSP. We expect the Secretary of Defense to devise means to ensure that CYBERCOM personnel include non-career intelligence and cybersecurity officers and enlisted personnel with experience in combat arms.

We are aware that there are renewed deliberations about the potential of elevating U.S. Cyber Command from a sub-unified command to a full unified command. As noted by section 940 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), we expect to be briefed and consulted on any such proposal at the time when the Secretary of Defense makes such a decision. As these policy discussions progress, we expect the Depart-

ment to keep the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives informed, upon request, during the quarterly cyber operations briefings, particularly as they relate to the estimated costs and policy implications associated with making the U.S. Cyber Command a unified command.

*Mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense (sec. 933)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a mission analysis of Department of Defense cyber operations and to provide a report on the results of the mission analysis to the congressional defense committees. It would also require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide an assessment of the role of the National Guard in supporting Department of Defense cyber missions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 945) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategy for using the reserve components of the armed forces to support the cyber missions of U.S. Cyber Command, including in support of civil authorities, and to report to the congressional defense committees on this strategy within 180 days of the enactment of this Act.

The agreement merges these provisions with minor modifications to each.

*Modification of requirement for Report on Department of Defense Progress in Defending the Department and the Defense Industrial Base from Cyber Events (sec. 934)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 934) that would require that the Secretary of Defense provide written notification to the congressional defense committees within 30 days of the initiation of any investigations carried out related to the potential compromise of Department of Defense critical program information related to weapon systems and other developmental activities, and within 30 days of the completion of any such investigations. Additionally, the provision would require a report to be submitted to the congressional defense committees within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, on all of the known network cyber intrusions from January 1, 2000, until August 1, 2013, resulting in compromise of critical program information.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify section 935(b)(3) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to include an element in the existing reporting requirement to address the economic impacts of reported network intrusions.

*Additional requirements relating to the software licenses of the Department of Defense (sec. 935)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 935) that would require the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to revise the reporting requirements of section 937 of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to in-

clude new elements that would verify that the format of the process was verified by an independent third party, implement processes for validating and reporting registration and deregistration of new software, and update the timeline for implementation based on these new requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Cyber outreach and threat awareness for small businesses (sec. 936)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 938) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an outreach and education program to assist small businesses to help them understand the cyber threat, and develop plans to protect their intellectual property and networks.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require a briefing to the congressional defense committees within 60 days of the enactment of this Act on options for strengthening outreach and threat awareness activities for small businesses.

We recognize the challenges faced by industry, especially small businesses, when it comes to understanding and defending against advanced cyber threats. There are a number of initiatives and mechanisms within the Department that address aspects of this challenge, such as the Defense Industrial Base Information Assurance/Cyber Security program. Because these other efforts exist, we believe that new programs are not needed. We believe, though, that inadequate attention has been paid to effectively coordinate those initiatives, focus them on supporting the needs of small businesses, or attempt to measure the strategic effectiveness of those programs.

*Joint Federated Centers for Trusted Defense Systems for the Department of Defense (sec. 937)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 942) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a joint software assurance center to serve as a resource for securing the software acquired, developed, maintained, and used in the Department of Defense (DOD). The provision would require the Secretary to consider whether an existing center could fulfill the purposes of the required center.

The provision would require the Secretary, within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to issue a charter for the center that lays out: (1) The center's role in supporting program offices in implementing DOD's supply chain risk management strategy and policies; (2) The center's expertise and capabilities; (3) The center's management, in coordination with the Center for Assured Software (CAS) of the National Security Agency, of a research and development program to improve the capability of automated software analysis tools; and (4) The center's management of the procurement and distribution of enterprise licenses for such analysis tools.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would create a federation of capabilities, rather than a single center, as well as link existing resources and centers of excellence, for hardware as well as software assurance. Additionally, the amendment would emphasize supporting the trusted defense systems strategy, which includes both software assurance activities, as well as assurance of hardware components. In assessing the capabilities that exist throughout the Department that could be used to support the trusted defense strategy, the Department shall only create new centers or new resources when it has conducted a gap analysis that indicates the need for new resources or capabilities.

We believe that the trusted defense systems strategy provides a good foundation for guiding the work of these centers in supporting the acquisition and testing community. As it relates specifically to software assurance, we further note that the DOD is in the process of developing a baseline software assurance policy for the entire life cycle of covered systems in response to section 933 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239). We believe that any such guidance and direction for Department program managers should, where possible, and where consistent with adequate security for covered systems and the national security, be consistent with recognized standards, and should explore options for accepting self-certification or third-party certification for compliance purposes.

Furthermore, we believe that this software assurance policy should, where possible, and where consistent with adequate security for covered systems and the national security, be developed in compliance with the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum for Chief Information Officers and Senior Procurement Executive's titled "Technology Neutrality," dated January 7, 2011. We also believe that any future software assurance policy that includes requirements concerning Federal participation in the development and use of voluntary consensus standards should be conducted in accordance with the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995, section 272 of title 15, United States Code, and the Office of Management and Budget Circular A–119.

*Supervision of the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities (sec. 938)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 943) that would require the Secretary of Defense, through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Under Secretary of the Defense for Intelligence, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, and the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, to supervise the development and implementation of plans for the acquisition of cloud computing capabilities for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance data analysis in the military services and defense agencies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would make the supervisory requirements apply to all cloud computing acquisition decisions in excess of \$1.0 million.

*Cyber vulnerabilities of Department of Defense weapon systems and tactical communications systems (sec. 939)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 944) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide an assessment of the cyber threats to major weapons systems and tactical communications systems that could emerge within the next years; an assessment of the cyber vulnerabilities of major weapons systems and tactical communications systems; a description of the current strategy to defend against battlefield cyber attacks; and an estimate of the costs to correct the vulnerabilities in the future. That report would be required within 180 days.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the report within 1 year.

*Control of the proliferation of cyber weapons (sec. 940)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 946) that would require the President to establish an interagency process to develop policy to control the proliferation of cyber weapons through unilateral and cooperative export controls, law enforcement activities, financial means, diplomatic engagement, and other means that the President considers appropriate. The provision would also require the President to develop a statement of principles regarding U.S. positions on controlling the proliferation of cyber weapons to create new opportunities for bilateral and multilateral cooperation to address this shared threat. The provision would require the interagency process to produce recommendations within 270 days of the enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the President, to the extent practicable, to provide for industry participation in the interagency process.

*Integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace (sec. 941)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 947) that would require the President to establish an interagency process to develop an integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace. The provision would require the President to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on this policy within 270 days after the enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education matters (sec. 942)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 948) that would ensure that Centers of Academic Excellence (CAEs) in Information Assurance do not lose their certification as CAEs in fiscal year 2014 as a result of recent changes in the certification criteria developed by the National Security Agency (NSA).

The provision also would require the President, in consultation with the Secretary of Education and with the advice of the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, to: (1) Determine whether information assurance has matured to the point where the Federal Government should no longer serve as the accrediting authority for information assurance programs at institutions of higher education; and (2) Based on that determination, reform the current practice of NSA developing the criteria to guide the curricula and certifying the status of the CAEs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would: (1) Extend the period through which the current CAEs would preserve their designation to June 30, 2015; (2) Task the Secretary of Defense to thoroughly assess the CAEs program, the maturity of cybersecurity as an academic discipline, the role that the Federal Government should continue to play in developing curricula and accrediting programs, and the alignment of current processes with the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education; (3) Require the Secretary to make recommendations for improving the curricula and designation process and for transitioning that process from the sole administration of NSA; (4) Require the Secretary to assess the Department's scholarship for service program with the CAEs; and (5) Require the Secretary to submit to Congress a plan for implementing his recommendations and the results of his assessments. The provision requires the Secretary to consult with the Secretary of Homeland Security, a wide variety of others, including the Director of NSA, and other government organizations, academia, and the private sector.

#### Subtitle E—Total Force Management

##### *Reviews of appropriate manpower performance (sec. 951)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 942) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify that all contractor positions performing inherently governmental functions have been eliminated.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with an amendment that would extend the requirement contained in section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2010 (P.L. 111–84) for 3 years and require the Department of Defense (DOD) Inspector General to report to the congressional defense committees the Inspector General's assessment of DOD's efforts to compile the inventory, including the actions taken to resolve the findings of the reviews, pursuant to section 2463 of this title.

Six years beyond the original requirement to implement an inventory of contracted services, DOD has taken its first steps to implement a November 2011 plan to collect contractor manpower data from contractors. These steps included directing components to start collecting direct labor hours and associated costs from contractors and initiating efforts to develop and implement a department-wide data collection system based on the Army's Contractor Manpower Reporting Application (CMRA) to collect and store in-

ventory data, including contractor manpower data. Reportedly, DOD officials estimate that the new system will be available in fiscal year 2014, with DOD components reporting on most of their contracted services by fiscal year 2016.

We expect DOD to continue to make progress towards implementing these goals, and therefore, have continued the reporting requirements in section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2010 (P.L. 111–84) for 3 years. We expect the Comptroller General to submit a report consistent with that section including a review of progress made to develop and implement a department-wide data collection system based on CMRA.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

##### *Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps, and redesignate the position of the Secretary of the Navy as the Secretary of the Navy and the Marine Corps.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

##### *Under Secretary of Defense for Management*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would convert the position of Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO) to Under Secretary of Defense for Management (USD(M)) and to designate that position as the Chief Information Officer (CIO) of the Department of Defense. This provision would mandate the USD(M) exercise authority, direction, and control over the Information Assurance Directorate of the National Security Agency. Additionally, this provision would unify roles and functions traditionally formed by the CIO and strengthen the office by making it a Senate-confirmed position again, but without creating a new position.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note that the Department has recently made the congressional defense committees aware of a proposal that addresses the concerns raised by the Senate committee-reported bill. We will evaluate this proposal before making a decision on elevating the DCMO and designating that new position as responsible for the CIO roles.

##### *Report on strategic importance of United States military installation of the U.S. Pacific Command*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the strategic value of each major installation that supports operations in the U.S. Pacific Command area of responsibility.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Transfer of administration of Ocean Research Advisory Panel from Department of the Navy to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would transfer responsibility for administration of the Ocean Research Advisory Panel from the Department of the Navy to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Navy broad-area maritime surveillance aircraft*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require the Secretary of Defense to take appropriate actions to modify the Navy's Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (BAMS) aircraft fleet to provide a ground moving target indicator (GMTI) collection, processing, and dissemination capability that is comparable to the performance of the Air Force's Global Hawk Block 40 Multi-Platform Radar Insertion Program. The provision would also require the Secretary to designate the BAMS aircraft fleet as a joint asset available to support the operational requirements of the unified combatant commands.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We agree, however, that the Department of Defense should determine whether a GMTI capability should be integrated into the Navy's BAMS aircraft fleet, and whether this system should be a joint asset for the combatant commands. Therefore, we direct the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in his capacity as the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC), to conduct a study and provide a report to the appropriate congressional committees on the JROC's assessment of whether adding a GMTI capability to the Navy's BAMS aircraft fleet is feasible, affordable, and advisable by June 2, 2014. For this report, the appropriate congressional defense committees are the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

*Limitation on availability of funds for collaborative cybersecurity activities with China*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 936) that would prevent appropriated funds to be used for collaborative cybersecurity activities with the People's Republic of China.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Small business cybersecurity solutions office*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 937) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on the feasibility of establishing a small business cyber technology of-

office to assist small businesses in providing cybersecurity solutions to the Federal Government.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recognize the challenges faced by the government in gaining access to truly innovative solutions for cybersecurity threats. Many of the most innovative technologies available to the government come from small businesses. However, it is also clear that the defense acquisition system, which can be difficult to navigate even for large businesses, can pose acute difficulties for small businesses to be able to find opportunities, respond effectively to lengthy contracting paperwork, and maintain compliance with arcane acquisition regulations. Within the Department of Defense, there exist offices for small and disadvantaged businesses which have been established to help support small businesses specifically to navigate these problems. We recognize the value these organizations already provide in supporting small businesses, and believe it would be redundant to create new offices to focus solely on cybersecurity solutions.

*Requirement to ensure sufficient levels of government oversight of functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 941) that would amend sections 129a and 2330a of title 10, United States Code, to ensure that sufficient levels of government oversight are in place for contracted services and aligns current Department of Defense policies related to Total Force Management.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

BUDGET ITEM

*Funding for New START Treaty preparatory activities*

The funding authorized by this Act would include funds for activities to prepare to implement nuclear force reductions to meet the levels prescribed by the New START Treaty. Elsewhere in this Act, a limitation is included that would ensure only preparatory activities for such reductions may be carried out in fiscal year 2014.

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

*General transfer authority (sec. 1001)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would provide the Department of Defense with \$3.5 billion of general transfer authority in fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1001) that would provide the Department of Defense with \$4.0 billion of general transfer authority in fiscal year 2014.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would provide the Department of Defense with \$5.0 billion of general transfer authority in fiscal year 2014.

*Budgetary effects of this Act (sec. 1002)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would determine the budgetary effects of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 4).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Audit of Department of Defense fiscal year 2018 financial statements (sec. 1003)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the Department of Defense's ongoing Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness process and support the goal of audit readiness across the Department by 2017. This section would also require that a full and complete audit takes place for fiscal year 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization (sec. 1004)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1004) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to transfer up to \$150.0 million to the nuclear weapons program of the National Nuclear Security Administration if the amount authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for that program is less than \$8.4 billion (the amount specified for fiscal year 2014 in the report required by section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84)).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

*Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia (sec. 1011)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011) that would extend, by 1 year, the unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in the Republic of Colombia originally authorized by section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375), and most recently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1011) that would extend, for 2 fiscal years, the authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to support the unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign of the Government of Colombia. The provision would also incorporate a notifica-

tion to Congress to improve transparency of the Department of Defense's use of this authority.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would modify the extension of the underlying authority by 1 fiscal year and modify elements of the notification requirement.

We note that the Government of Colombia has made and continues to make progress combating narcotics trafficking and designated foreign terrorist organizations. This type of flexible authority remains required to assist the Government of Colombia consolidate its hard-fought gains.

*Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1012)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would extend, by 1 fiscal year, the support by joint task forces under section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), as most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1012) to extend by 2 fiscal years the support under section 1022(b).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Extension and expansion of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1013)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would extend, by 2 years, the authority to provide support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments, originally authorized by subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85), and most recently amended by section 1006 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would extend, by 5 years, the authority to provide support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments under subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85), as most recently amended by section 1006 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81). The provision would also expand the list of countries eligible to receive support to include the Governments of Chad, Libya, Mali, and Niger.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the underlying authority for 3 years and expand the list of countries eligible to receive support.

We direct the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Counter-narcotics and Global Threats (DASD CN/GT) to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives in fiscal year 2014 on the country plans associated with the four additional countries under this authority, including a description of the status of any assistance to be provided or

planned to be provided, how the effectiveness of this assistance is to be measured, and how this assistance will reinforce other related Department of Defense activities in the region. The committee further directs the DASD CN/GT to submit a report updating the aforementioned committees on the status of these matters in fiscal year 2015.

#### Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

##### *Modification of requirements for annual long-range plan for the construction of naval vessels (sec. 1021)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1026) that would modify section 231 of title 10, United States Code, to include a requirement to report on the total cost of construction for each vessel used to determine estimated levels of annual funding in the report, and an assessment of the extent of the strategic and operational risk to national security whenever the number or capabilities of the naval vessels in the plan do not meet requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

##### *Clarification of sole ownership resulting from ship donations at no cost to the Navy (sec. 1022)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) would amend subsection (a) of section 7306 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that ship donations would be only to operate the vessel as a museum or memorial for public display in the United States. This language would provide the Navy with the flexibility to oversee a vessel donee's actions, without any implication that the Navy retains ownership of the vessel. The provision would also prevent the Federal Government from providing funding for any improvement, upgrade, modification, maintenance, preservation, or repair to a vessel donated under this section.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1024).

The agreement includes this provision, but with modifications that would prevent the Department of Defense from providing additional funding for any donated vessel, not the Federal Government as a whole. These modifications would allow other federal departments to contribute to ship museums or ship memorials to the extent that the departments have authorization to do so.

##### *Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships (sec. 1023)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would limit the obligation and expenditure of funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the retirement, inactivation, or storage of a cruiser or dock landing ship. This section would provide an exception for the retirement of the U.S.S. Denver (LPD-9). The provision would also provide additional transfer authority for the purpose of providing sufficient appropriations to support the modernization of seven cruisers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would delete the additional transfer authority.

*Extension and remediation of Navy contracting actions (sec. 1024)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1025) that would allow the Secretary of the Navy to extend or renew the lease of not more than four blocking vessels supporting the Transit Protection System Escort Program. The provision would also require the Secretary, prior to extending or renewing such a lease, to submit to the congressional defense committees a notification of the proposed extension or renewal, along with a detailed description of the term of the proposed contract and a justification for extending or renewing the lease, as opposed to obtaining the capability through purchase of such vessels.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would allow the Secretary of the Navy to accept and retain payment-in-kind in lieu of monetary payment for purposes of settling the litigation arising from the default termination on contract number N00019-88-C-0050 for development and production of the A-12 aircraft. Also, it is understood that the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to enter into agreements to modify contracts in order to effect a settlement to the litigation.

*Report comparing costs of DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III ships (sec. 1025)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1026) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit to the congressional defense committees a report providing an updated comparison of the costs and risks of acquiring DDG-1000 and DDG-51 Flight III vessels equipped for enhanced ballistic missile defense capability.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Report on naval vessels and the Force Structure Assessment (sec. 1026)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would direct the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees no later than February 1, 2014, that would assess the current fleet capabilities compared to the threat and the likely situation over the next 30 years. The CNO should produce an unclassified report, as well as a classified annex to that report.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1024) that would express the sense of Congress that additional funding should be prioritized toward shipbuilding efforts and that Department of the Navy budget projections should realistically anticipate the true investment to meet force structure goals.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add several items to the list of issues to be addressed in the report, including an assessment by the Commandant of the Marine Corps of: (1) The operational risk associated with the current and the planned number of ships of the amphibious assault force; and (2) The capabilities required to meet the needs of the Marine Corps for future ships of the amphibious assault force. The amendment would also delay the required date for the report until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits the annual naval vessel construction plan required by section 231 of title 10, United States Code.

*Modification of policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the Navy (sec. 1027)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would repeal section 1012 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181). That section requires that the Navy build any new class of major surface combatant and amphibious assault ship with an integrated nuclear power system, unless the Secretary of the Navy notifies the congressional defense committees that, as a result of a cost-benefit analysis, it would not be practical for the Navy to design the class of ships with an integrated nuclear power system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would amend section 1021 to: (1) delete the requirement to include integrated nuclear power systems in any new ship class, and (2) add the requirement that the Navy analyze integrated nuclear power alternative in its analysis of alternatives for new ship classes, and report the results of that analysis in the budget request.

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

*Clarification of procedures for use of alternate members on military commissions (sec. 1031)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1030) that would amend chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, to clarify the procedures for the convening authority to detail alternate members to a military commission.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1034).

The agreement contains the House provision.

*Modification of Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program reporting requirement (sec. 1032)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would modify the Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program to require additional annual reporting requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1033)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense (DOD) funds through December 31, 2014, to construct or modify facilities in the United States, its territories, or possessions, to house any detainee transferred from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in DOD custody or control unless authorized by Congress.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1034)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds to transfer or release any detainee at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions through December 31, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would prohibit the transfer or release of Guantanamo detainees to the United States during fiscal year 2014, except that the Secretary of Defense could authorize such a transfer for detention and trial if the Secretary determines that doing so would be in the U.S. national security interest and that appropriate actions have been or will be taken to address any public safety risks that could arise in connection with the transfer.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Transfers to foreign countries of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1035)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would restrict the Secretary of Defense from transferring or releasing individuals detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, (GTMO) to a foreign country or foreign entity unless the Secretary makes certain specified certifications to Congress not later than 30 days prior to any such transfer or release. The restrictions of this provision would apply through December 31, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would authorize two procedures for the transfer or release of Guantanamo detainees to their country of origin or another country other than the United States. The first part of the provision would authorize such transfers or releases under certain specified circumstances, specifically: (1) If following a review by a Periodic Review Board, the detainee is determined to no longer be a threat to U.S. national security; (2) In order to effectuate a court order; or (3) If a detainee has been tried and acquitted or tried, convicted, and has served his sentence. The second part of the provision would allow the Secretary of Defense to authorize the transfer of Guantanamo detainees overseas only if he determines, following a rigorous assessment of a number of specified factors, that

doing so would be in the U.S. national security interest and steps have been or will be taken to mitigate the risk of recidivism by the individual to be transferred. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to notify Congress of a determination to transfer or release a Guantanamo detainee not later than 30 days prior to the transfer or release, and specifies the information that must be provided as part of such notifications.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would:

(a) narrow the specified circumstances under which transfers or releases are authorized under the first part of the provision to only (1) and (2) above;

(b) expand the factors that the Secretary of Defense must specifically evaluate and consider in making his determination whether to transfer a Guantanamo detainee overseas, including the security situation in the country to which the detainee would be transferred, the presence of foreign terrorist groups in the recipient country, whether the recipient country is a state sponsor of terrorism, and whether the detainee has been tried and acquitted or tried, convicted, and completed his sentence; and

(c) expand the information that must be included in the congressional notification provided not later than 30 days prior to the transfer, including information on any actions taken to address the risk of reengagement by the detainee in terrorist activities, a copy of any Periodic Review Board findings, an assessment of the capacity of the receiving country, and a description of the Secretary of Defense's evaluation of the factors to be considered in making the Secretary's determination in support of the transfer.

*Report on information relating to individuals detained at Parwan, Afghanistan (sec. 1036)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would require the public disclosure of an unclassified summary of certain information relating to individuals held at the Detention Facility in Parwan, Afghanistan, that have been designated as enduring security threats to the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a classified report containing certain specified information relating to detainees at Parwan that have been designated as enduring security threats. The Secretary would also be required to review the classified report to determine what summary information, if any, can be declassified and made publicly available, to the maximum extent practicable consistent with national security.

*Grade of chief prosecutor and chief defense counsel in military commissions established to try individuals detained at Guantanamo (sec. 1037)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1038) that would require that, for purposes of any military commission trial of an indi-

vidual detained at the U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the chief defense counsel and the chief prosecutor must have the same rank.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that for purposes of any such military commission trial, the chief defense counsel and the chief prosecutor must have the same grade. The amendment would also provide that the Secretary of Defense may temporarily waive this requirement if the Secretary determines that compliance with the requirement either would be infeasible due to the non-availability of qualified officers of the same grade to fill the billets or would cause significant disruption to the trial proceedings. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to issue guidance to ensure that the offices of the chief defense counsel and the chief prosecutor receive equitable resources, personnel support, and logistical support for conducting their duties in connection with any such military commission trial. We note that the intent of this requirement is to ensure fairness and impartiality in the resources and support provided to each of these offices.

*Report on capability of Yemeni government to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen (sec. 1038)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1039) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, a report on the capability of the Republic of Yemen to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals transferred there from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Report on attachment of rights to individuals detained at Guantanamo if transferred to the United States (sec. 1039)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General to jointly submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on the Judiciary of the Senate and the House of Representatives, a report on whether detainees, if transferred to the United States from the Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility, would become eligible for certain legal rights by reason of their transfer.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Attorney General, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, to submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on the Judiciary of the Senate and the House of Representatives, a report on the legal rights, if any, for which a Guantanamo detainee, if transferred to the United States, may become eligible, by

reason of such transfer. The report would also include an analysis of the extent to which legislation or other steps could address any such legal rights.

#### Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations

##### *Congressional notification of sensitive military operations (sec. 1041)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of any sensitive military operation following such operation. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act for providing such notice in a manner consistent with the national security of the United States and the protection of operational integrity.

The Senate committee-passed bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

##### *Counterterrorism operational briefings (sec. 1042)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly briefings to the congressional defense committees outlining Department of Defense counterterrorism operations and related activities. Each briefing would include: a global update on activity within each geographic combatant command; an overview of authorities and legal issues including limitations; an outline of interagency activities and initiatives; and any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would: (1) modify the elements required as part of the briefings and (2) repeal section 1031 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81)—a nearly identical requirement.

##### *Report on process for determining targets of lethal or capture operations (sec. 1043)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act containing an explanation of the legal and policy considerations and approval processes used in determining whether an individual or group of individuals could be the target of a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States outside the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide 90 days for the Secretary of Defense to provide the required report and make a number of technical modifications.

## Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces

*Notification required for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe (sec. 1051)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1053) that would provide that funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available may not be used to reduce or consolidate U.S. dual-capable aircraft in Europe until 90 days after the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Russian Federation has carried out similar actions; the Secretary has consulted with the member states of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) about the proposed action with respect to U.S. dual capable aircraft; and, there is a consensus among NATO member states in support of such action.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment replacing the provisions with a sense of Congress regarding reductions or consolidations of dual-capable aircraft. The amendment also requires a notification 90 days before the date on which the Secretary reduces or consolidates dual capable aircraft that includes the reason for the reduction or consolidation, any effects from such action on the extended deterrence mission of the United States, the manner in which the military requirements of the NATO will be met following such actions, a statement by the Secretary on the response of NATO to such actions, and whether there is any change in the force posture of Russia from such actions including nonstrategic nuclear weapons.

*Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System (sec. 1052)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would establish a council to coordinate activities related to national leadership command, control, and communications systems, including the nuclear command, control, and communications system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would change the co-chairs of the Council to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Vice-Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and would add the Director of the National Security Agency to the Council. The amendment requires an annual report by the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, through the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on the adequacy of the President's budget to meet required capabilities of the nuclear command and control communications system for national leadership of the United States and the impact, if any, if annual appropriations do not meet the President's budget request. The amendment would also seek to add more transparency to the budget for Nuclear Command and Control activities. A clerical amendment is made transferring a provision from 10 U.S.C. 491 regarding anomalies in the Nuclear Command and Control system to this new provision.

*Modification of responsibilities and reporting requirements of Nuclear Weapons Council (sec. 1053)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would amend section 179 of title 10, United States Code, by striking the responsibilities for nuclear command, control, and communications since another section of this Act establishes a Council on Oversight of the National Leadership Command, Control, and Communications System. The Senate committee-reported bill also contained a provision adding a new requirement to report on joint activities between the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy on nuclear security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that clarifies the nature of the joint report by the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy. We believe the information required to be provided in this joint report should be substantially similar as that provided in the Joint Surety Report pursuant to National Security Presidential Directive 28.

*Modification of deadline for report on plan for nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, nuclear weapons delivery systems, and nuclear weapons command and control system (sec. 1054)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would amend section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), which provides for a report to the congressional defense committees with a 10-year funding profile for the Department of Energy’s (DOE) and the Department of Defense’s (DOD) strategic deterrent modernization program. Specifically, the provision would give both departments 60 days after budget submission to deliver the section 1043 report. If a delay is anticipated that is greater than 60 days, DOE and DOD must notify the congressional defense committees before the President’s budget submission and provide a briefing no later than 30 days after budget submission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would move the report deadline to 30 days after the President’s budget submission. The amendment would also provide that, if it is determined that the report submission will require longer than 30 days, a briefing will be provided to the congressional defense committees within 30 days after submission of the budget request. Regardless of any such determination or briefing, the report would be required to be submitted no later than 60 days after submission of the budget request.

*Prohibition on elimination of nuclear triad (sec. 1055)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would prohibit any of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense from being obligated or expended to reduce, convert, or decommission any strategic delivery system of the United States if such reduction, conversion, or decommissioning would eliminate a leg of the nuclear triad. This section defines “nuclear triad” as: (1)

land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles; (2) submarine-launched ballistic missiles and their associated ballistic missile submarines; and (3) nuclear-certified strategic bombers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment containing technical corrections.

*Implementation of New START Treaty (sec. 1056)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would amend section 131(a)(1) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (P.L. 109–364) by striking the term in a common capability configuration.

The House bill (section 241) contained a provision that requires the Secretary of Defense to keep each Minuteman III silo as of the date of enactment of this Act in a warm status and that it remains a functioning element of the missile field and can be made functional with a deployed missile.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1045) that states the Secretary of Defense may, in a manner consistent with international obligations, retain missile launch facilities currently supporting up to 800 deployed and non-deployed strategic launchers, maintain intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM) on alert or operationally deployed status, and preserve ICBM silos in operational or warm status.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would provide that none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense or the National Nuclear Security Administration may be obligated or expended to carry out reductions to the nuclear forces of the United States required by the New START Treaty until the Secretary of Defense provides the plan required by section 1042(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) and the President certifies that any reductions to U.S. nuclear forces below the level required by the New START Treaty will be carried out only pursuant to a treaty or international agreement approved according to the Treaty Clause of the Constitution of the United States or an affirmative act of Congress.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize the use of fiscal year 2014 funds for the purpose of preparing to implement reductions in nuclear forces necessary to meet the levels required by the New START Treaty subject to additional limitations as found in subsection (b) of the agreement. The agreement requires the Secretary of Defense to include with the defense budget materials a consolidated budget justification display that covers each activity associated with implementation of the New START Treaty. Subsection (b) of the provision would limit amounts spent for an environmental assessment for any proposed reduction in ICBM silos to 50 percent subject to receiving the nuclear force structure plan required by section 1042(a) of the Fiscal Year 2012 National Defense Authorization Act, which is unacceptably almost 2 full years late. That plan would be required to include the various options under consideration for treaty implementation, along with a

preferred final force structure option, which may be modified upon the conclusion of the environmental assessment. That plan would be accompanied by a report from the Commander of U.S. Strategic Command on his assessment of the force structure options provided by the Secretary of Defense, including the preferred final force structure option. Lastly, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff would be required to certify to the congressional defense committees that conducting the environmental assessment will not imperil the ability of the military to comply with the deployed or non-deployed force levels of the New START Treaty by February 2018.

The agreement would prohibit the conversion of nuclear capable B-52 aircraft to conventional aircraft until the information required under subsection (b) is submitted, and requires that all B-52s in the inventory remain in a common conventional weapons employment capability configuration once nuclear decertification and modification commences for currently an undetermined quantity of B-52 aircraft.

The agreement would further require a report on collaboration between the Army, Navy, and Air Force on activities related to strategic systems to improve efficiencies, technology sharing, and other benefits.

The agreement would also express a sense of the Congress that the force structure required by the New START Treaty should preserve Minuteman III ballistic missile silos in a warm status and any non-deployed missiles and silos should be spread amongst the three missile wings in the Air Force ICBM force.

Finally, the agreement would also include, in another section of this report accompanying section 4201, an explanatory statement on the budget for activities to prepare for the implementation of the New START Treaty.

*Retention of capability to redeploy multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles (sec. 1057)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1056) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to ensure that the Air Force is capable of deploying multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles to Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM) and any ground-based strategic deterrent follow-on to such missiles. This section would require the Secretary to ensure that the Air Force is capable of commencing such deployment not later than 270 days after the date on which the President determines such deployment is necessary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that changes the 270 days to 180 days and narrows the requirement to apply only to the Minuteman III ICBM system.

*Report on New START Treaty (sec. 1058)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1059) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff to jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, a report on

whether the New START Treaty is in the national security interests of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Report on implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report (sec. 1059)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1080A) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to report on the implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Dose Evaluation Report released by the Air Force in April 2001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the deadline for the report from 180 days to 1 year.

*Sense of Congress on further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation (sec. 1060)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would provide a statement of policy concerning implementation of further nuclear arms reductions below the levels of the New START Treaty, and would limit funds to make such reductions unless certain conditions are met.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress that, if the United States seeks further strategic nuclear arms reductions with the Russian Federation that are below the levels of the New START Treaty, such reductions should: (1) Be pursued through a mutually negotiated agreement; (2) Be verifiable; (3) Be pursued through the treaty-making power of the President; and (4) Take into account the full range of nuclear weapon capabilities that threaten the United States and its allies, including non-strategic nuclear weapon capabilities.

*Sense of Congress on compliance with nuclear arms control treaty obligations (sec. 1061)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1055) that would express the sense of Congress that the President should consider not seeking further nuclear arms reductions with a foreign country that is in noncompliance with its nuclear arms control treaty obligations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress that, if the President determines that a foreign nation is in substantial noncompliance with its nuclear arms control treaty obligations in a manner that adversely affects the national security of the United States or its allies or alliances, the President should take certain specified steps. These steps include informing

Congress of the President's assessment of the effect of such non-compliance and the President's plans to resolve such noncompliance. They also include considering whether, in light of the non-compliance, the United States should engage in future nuclear arms control negotiations with the noncompliant government, and considering the potential effect of the noncompliance on the consideration by the Senate of a future nuclear arms reduction treaty with the noncompliant government.

*Senses of Congress on ensuring the modernization of the nuclear forces of the United States (sec. 1062)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that states it is the policy of the United States to modernize the nuclear triad and sustain the nuclear stockpile, its production facilities, and science base, and a sense of Congress expressing that Congress is committed to providing the resources needed for this modernization and that Congress supports the modernization or replacement of the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes an amendment that includes an additional sense of Congress supporting continued upgrades of the existing B-1B, B-2, and B-52 bomber aircraft, and that the Air Force should continue to prioritize the continued development and acquisition of the long-range strike bomber program.

Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

*Enhancement of capacity of the United States Government to analyze captured records (sec. 1071)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would provide the statutory authority to the Secretary of Defense to establish a Conflict Records Research Center to facilitate research and analysis of records captured from countries, organizations, and individuals, now or once hostile, to the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision, but recommended funding of the current center, which already exists at the National Defense University, for \$1 million in the budget request for fiscal year 2014.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note that while such a center currently exists, additional statutory authorization would allow the center to be funded collectively by the Department of Defense, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and other departments and agencies, rather than rely on discrete partner funding for each activity. This authorization would also allow the center to receive funding from other agencies, states, or other foreign and domestic entities, including academic and philanthropic organizations, to support important research in international relations, counterterrorism, conventional warfare and unconventional warfare.

*Strategic plan for the management of the electromagnetic spectrum (sec. 1072)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would require a national security spectrum strategy to

be performed at least once every 5 years. The strategy is to provide near-term (5 years), mid-term (10 years), and long-term (30 years) assessments of the need for national security spectrum.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to title 10 of the United States Code, section 488, "Management of Electromagnetic spectrum: biennial strategic plan," that requires the plan be submitted in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Commerce. The plan shall include an inventory of the electromagnetic spectrum uses for national security and other purposes, an estimate of the need for electromagnetic spectrum over the time periods of the Senate committee-reported provision, and any additional matters the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Commerce, considers appropriate.

*Extension of authority to provide military transportation services to certain other agencies at the Department of Defense reimbursement rate (sec. 1073)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would amend section 2642(a) of title 10, United States Code, to extend the authority to provide to other federal agencies airlift transportation at the same rate the Department of Defense (DOD) charges its own units for similar transportation and to expand the authority to include all means of transportation, not just airlift. The DOD currently uses this authority to: (1) provide transportation support to other departments and agencies to increase peacetime business, and (2) promote the improved use of airlift by filling excess capacity with paying cargo.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 313).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Notification of modifications to Army force structure (sec. 1074)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would prevent the Department of the Army from spending any fiscal year 2014 funds to modify the force structure or basing strategy of the Army until the Secretary of the Army submits the report required by section 1066 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1943).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement would require the Secretary of the Army to certify that Army force structure changes authorized as of the date of the enactment of this Act comply with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). The provision would also require that as part of any congressional notifications of future force structure changes, in accordance with section 993 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary should include an assessment whether or not such changes require an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement.

*Aircraft joint training (sec. 1075)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would require the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to develop and implement plans and procedures to review the potential of joint testing and evaluation of unmanned aircraft equipment and systems with other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government that may serve the dual purpose of providing capabilities to the Department of Defense (DOD) to meet the future requirements of combatant commanders and, domestically, to strengthen international border security. The two secretaries and the Administrator would also be required to submit a report on the status of the plans within 270 days of the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that simulators offer cost savings to DOD, can contribute to training members of the armed services for combat, and highlights the need for synergy between the DOD and private sector.

#### Subtitle H—Studies and Reports

*Online availability of reports submitted to Congress (sec. 1081)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1078) that would amend section 122a of title 10, United States Code, to require certain unclassified reports be made available on a publicly accessible website of the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a technical amendment.

*Oversight of combat support agencies (sec. 1082)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would require that assessments of combat support agencies undertaken pursuant to section 193(a) of title 10, United States Code, be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Inclusion in annual report of description of interagency coordination relating to humanitarian demining technology (sec. 1083)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would modify current reporting requirements for humanitarian demining as defined within section 407(d) of title 10, United States Code, to include interagency, research, and development activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Repeal and modification of reporting requirements (sec. 1084)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would repeal or modify a number of reporting requirements that have been included in law in past years. The requirements recommended for repeal or modification in this provision are requirements identified by the committee as being no longer relevant or necessary and that can be repealed or modified without adversely affecting the committee's oversight responsibilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General assessment of Department of Defense efficiencies (sec. 1085)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1074) that would repeal section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), relating to the implementation of the efficiencies undertaken in 2010 by the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision.

*Review and assessment of United States Special Operations Forces and United States Special Operations Command (sec. 1086)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review and assess the organization, missions, and authorities related to U.S. Special Operations Forces and U.S. Special Operations Command and to provide a report to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Reports on unmanned aircraft systems (sec. 1087)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1077) that would require the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, on behalf of the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Executive Committee, to jointly submit a report on unmanned aircraft system collaboration, demonstration, use cases and data sharing to the appropriate committees of Congress within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would extend the reporting deadline to 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

*Report on foreign language support contracts for the Department of Defense (sec. 1088)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to assess the Department's current approach for managing foreign language support contracts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that at a minimum, the assessment shall include an analysis of spending for all the types of foreign language support services and products that have been acquired by the Department of Defense (DOD) components. Additionally, the assessment shall include a reevaluation, based on the results of the analysis of spending, of the scope of the DOD executive agent's management of foreign language support contracts to determine whether any adjustments are needed.

*Civil Air Patrol (sec. 1089)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to produce a report on the Civil Air Patrol (CAP) that would, among other things, identify the requirement for the total fleet of CAP aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

*Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1091)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would make a number of technical and clerical amendments of a non-substantive nature to existing law.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Reduction in costs to report critical changes to major automated information system programs (sec. 1092)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1083) that would give Department of Defense senior officials responsible for major automated information system programs the option of submitting to the congressional defense committees either a critical change report when required, or a streamlined notification when the official further concludes that the critical change occurred primarily due to congressional action, such as a reduction in program funding.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Extension of authority of Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance (sec. 1093)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1084) that would amend section 44310 of title 49, United States Code, relating to the

expiration of non-premium insurance under chapter 443 of that title, to extend the authority of the Secretary of Transportation to provide insurance and reinsurance.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Extension of Ministry of Defense Advisor Program and authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for certain nongovernmental personnel (sec. 1094)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1073) that would modify section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), to extend the deadline for the required report of the Comptroller General of the United States from December 30, 2013, to December 30, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision that would modify section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to extend the authority of the Secretary of Defense to advise foreign defense ministries for an additional 5 fiscal years. The provision would also extend the requirement of the Secretary of Defense to provide an annual report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and would provide the Comptroller General of the United States an additional year to conduct the evaluation of the effectiveness of the program under the original authority.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the program through the end of fiscal year 2017. The agreement also extends, for 1 fiscal year, the authority of the Secretary of Defense to waive the reimbursement of costs requirement for certain nongovernmental personnel at the Department of Defense regional centers for security studies (as most recently amended section 941(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009).

*Amendments to certain national commissions (sec. 1095)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would enable parity for compensation and ethics workday computations by decreasing and making optional the annual compensation rate for commissioners appointed to the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force that was established in subtitle G of title III of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make various technical changes to the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission, enacted in sections 671 through 680 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), including additional authorities for the Commission, extended timelines and milestones, and increased funding.

*Strategy for future military information operations capabilities (sec. 1096)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1087) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and implement a strategy for developing and sustaining military information operations capabilities for future contingencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Sense of Congress on collaboration on border security (sec. 1097)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to coordinate with the Secretary of Homeland Security on the transfer or long-term loan to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) of excess Department of Defense (DOD) equipment that may be appropriate for use in efforts related to improving U.S. border security.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress that DOD and DHS should, consistent with existing laws and authorities, seek to collaborate on enhanced U.S. border security, including by identifying excess property of DOD, if any, that may be suitable for use by the DHS to support border security efforts. We believe such collaboration could be useful to increase situational awareness and to help achieve operational control of the international borders of the United States.

*Transfer of aircraft to other departments for wildfire suppression and other purposes; tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force (sec. 1098)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to consider, as part of the recapitalization of the tactical airlift fleet of the Air Force: (1) Upgrades to legacy C-130H aircraft designed to help such aircraft meet the fuel economy goals of the Air Force; and (2) Retention of such upgraded aircraft in the tactical airlift fleet. It would also require that the Secretary ensure that upgrades to the C-130H fleet are made in a manner that is proportional to the number of C-130H aircraft in the force structure of the active Air Force, the Air Force Reserve, and the Air National Guard.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add direction that: (1) The Secretary of the Army offer to transfer eight specific C-23Bs to the Governor of Alaska; (2) The Secretary of Defense transfer up to 15 C-23Bs to the Forest Service; (3) The Coast Guard transfer seven C-130s to the Air Force; (4) The Air Force modify the Coast Guard C-130s to serve as firefighting tanker aircraft for the Forest Service; and (5) The Secretary of Defense transfer 14 C-27J aircraft to the Coast Guard upon completion of these actions.

We also direct the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Army to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the

Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than January 30, 2014, a quarterly report or briefing on the cost, schedule, and execution of notable events related to the aircraft transfers and modifications required within the provision.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

##### *Department of Defense Readiness Restoration Fund*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would establish a Department of Defense (DOD) Readiness Restoration Fund in order to provide the DOD with increased flexibility to transfer funds that may be available to high priority readiness accounts, where necessary to address significant shortfalls in funding otherwise available for the training activities of the armed forces (including flying hours and steaming days) and the maintenance of military equipment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the reductions in discretionary appropriations and direct spending accounts under section 251A of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901a) were never intended to take effect, the readiness of the Nation's military is weakened by sequestration, sequestration has budgetary and cost impacts beyond the programmatic level, and there is limited information about these indirect costs to the Federal Government. It is the sense of Congress that the Government Accountability Office should report on the long-term budgetary costs and effects of sequestration, including on procurement activities and contracts with the Federal Government.

##### *Sense of Congress regarding the National Guard Counter-Narcotic Program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1014) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the importance of the National Guard Counterdrug Program (CDP) as a tool in combating drug trafficking into the United States and the need for continued support and funding of such programs, especially along the Southwest border.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense requests funding annually to support the National Guard CDP. We believe that the CDP plays an important role in providing military-specific capabilities and expertise resident within the National Guard to support the counterdrug activities of federal, state, and local authorities. We believe this support has proven effective in helping to meet national counterdrug objectives.

##### *Repair of vessels in foreign shipyards*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would amend section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, to require that naval vessels that do not have a designated homeport to be treated

as homeported in the United States or Guam, and to change the definition of voyage repair.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of the Navy, not later than June 30, 2014, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on ship repair capabilities in Guam—including skilled personnel, equipment, and facilities—in support of Department of the Navy capabilities needed to sustain United States naval forces readiness in the Guam region.

*Authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States for emergency or critical medical treatment*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at the Guantanamo detention facility (GTMO) to a Department of Defense medical facility for the sole purpose of providing emergency or critical medical treatment if such treatment is not available at GTMO and is necessary to prevent death or imminent significant injury or harm to the individual's health.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Assessment of affiliates and adherents of Al-Qaeda outside the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1036) that would require an assessment of any group operating outside the United States that is an affiliate or adherent of, or otherwise related to, Al Qaeda; a summary of relevant information relating to each such group; an assessment of whether each group is part of or substantially supporting Al Qaeda or the Taliban, or constitutes an associated force that is engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners; and the criteria used to determine the nature and extent of each group's relationship to Al Qaeda.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that provides definitions and the processes to determine if an entity is an affiliate, associated force and/or an adherent of al Qaeda or the Taliban; and an assessment of the groups or entities that the Department considers to be affiliates or adherents of al Qaeda.

In consultation with the committees of jurisdiction over the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 110-40), we direct the Secretary of State to provide the same briefing to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives on the aforementioned matters.

*Designation of Department of Defense senior official for facilitating the transfer of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1037) that would require the Secretary of Defense to designate a senior official within the Department of Defense (DOD) with principal responsibility for the coordination and management of the transfer of individuals detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We note that the Secretary of Defense appointed the senior DOD official responsible for coordinating and managing transfers of Guantanamo detainees in October 2013.

*Summary of information relating to individuals detained at Guantanamo who became leaders of foreign terrorist groups*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040A) that would require the public release of summary information on individuals formerly detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, who have, since being transferred or released from such detention, become leaders or involved in the leadership structure of a foreign terrorist group.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Procedures governing United States citizens apprehended inside the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040B) that would affirm the availability of the writ of habeas corpus for any U.S. citizen apprehended inside the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), and set out certain procedural requirements for any habeas proceeding brought by such a U.S. citizen.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Prohibition on the use of funds for recreational facilities for individuals detained at Guantanamo*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040C) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds to provide additional or upgraded recreational facilities for individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Prohibition on transfer or release of individuals detained at Guantanamo to Yemen*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040D) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds to transfer, release,

or assist in the transfer or release, of any individual detained at Guantanamo to the Republic of Yemen or any entity within Yemen during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Department of Defense representation in dispute resolution regarding surrender of Department of Defense bands of electromagnetic frequencies*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would amend section 1062(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) to require that the Department of Defense be adequately represented to convey its views with the interagency process for spectrum allocation.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Assessment of nuclear weapons program of the People's Republic of China*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1057) that would amend section 1045(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to extend the date of the required assessment until August 15, 2014. The section would also provide not more than 75 percent of the funds made available to the Office of the Secretary of Defense for travel may be obligated or expended until 30 days after the Secretary notifies the appropriate congressional committees that the assessment has begun.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Subsequent to passage by the House of H.R. 1960, the Department of Defense entered into a contract with the Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA) to carry out the requirement of section 1045(b). We have been informed that IDA was given notice to proceed on this work on September 18, 2013, and will be required to submit to the Department its draft report on July 1, 2014, with a formal final report to be submitted by August 29, 2014. We look forward to the report assembled by IDA and its panel of independent experts.

*Cost estimates for nuclear weapons*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1058) that would amend section 1043(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to include in the annual report required by such section a detailed estimate of the personnel costs associated with sustaining and modernizing the nuclear deterrent and nuclear weapons stockpile of the United States. The annual report would also be required to describe how and which locations were included in the cost estimate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on plans for the disposition of the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicle fleet*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the Department's analysis and plans for the disposition and sustainment of its fleet of Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on use of funds for public-private cooperation activities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds for any public-private cooperation activity by a combatant command until the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive the Defense Business Board report that the Secretary of Defense was directed to provide under the committee report accompanying H.R. 4310 of the 112th Congress (H. Rept. 112-479).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We note that the specified report was received by the committees in October 2013. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the proper guidance and procedures are in place for such public-private cooperation activities by the combatant commands and to consult regularly with the committees regarding the proper scope and implementation of such activities.

*Matters for inclusion in the assessment of the 2013 Quadrennial Defense Review*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1075) that would require the National Defense Panel (NDP) established pursuant to subsection 118(f) of title 10, United States Code, to assess the recommendation of the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review Independent Panel (QDRIP), to establish a standing, independent strategic review panel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Under the provisions of section 118(f)(9)(A) of title 10, United States Code, the heads of departments and agencies of the Department of Defense are required, upon request, to cooperate with the NDP to ensure that information it considers necessary to carry out its duties is promptly provided to the maximum extent practical. It is particularly important for the NDP to have access, upon request, to information, including appropriate access to previous studies, data, assumptions, scenarios, analysis, and recommendations related to the Department's series of recent strategy and program reviews such as the Defense Strategic Guidance, Strategic Choices and Management Review, and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Risk Assessment.

*Provision of defense planning guidance and contingency operation plan information to Congress*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1079) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees an annual report containing summaries of the Secretary's defense planning guidance and guidance to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff for contingency operation plans. This provision would also prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 75 percent of the funds, authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance, defense-wide, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, until the Secretary of Defense submits the first report.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Last year's statement of managers to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) directs the Secretary of Defense, upon request, to provide the congressional defense committees with a briefing that describes the defense planning guidance, as required by section 113 of title 10, United States Code, and from which the budget request submitted was developed. Such a briefing is particularly important now given the significant changes in the strategic and fiscal plans currently under consideration by the Department. For this reason we expect the Department to provide the required briefing, upon request, with regard to existing defense policy guidance used for the Department's fiscal year 2014 budget request. This briefing will serve as a baseline to help the committees understand any changes to the guidance that may be adopted in the course of the current review and to assist with the oversight and assessment of any subsequent strategic or budgetary changes.

*Report on U.S. citizens subject to military detention*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1080) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide an annual report on U.S. citizens subject to military detention.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on long-term costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1080B) that would require the President to submit to Congress a report containing an estimate of the previous costs of Operation New Dawn and the long-term costs of Operation Enduring Freedom.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on air transportation of supplies for the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would: (1) Modify section 2631a of title 10, United States Code, to provide a preference for Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF) aircraft for the transportation of Department of Defense (DOD) supplies; (2) Require the

DOD to submit an annual report regarding use of outsize and over-size cargo flights; and (3) Amend chapter 401 of title 49, United States Code, to direct at least 50 percent of the gross tonnage of the equipment, materials, or commodities that are procured, contracted, or subcontracted for by the U.S. Government to be transported by CRAF aircraft.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We agree that the Secretary of Defense should provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act that includes assessments of the following:

(1) The effects on CRAF carriers of section 41106 of title 49, United States Code, and that section's ability to help the Secretary of Defense support the goals of the National Airlift Policy and maintain an adequate industrial base for CRAF carriers;

(2) The percentages of the gross tonnage of the equipment, materials, or commodities transported on fixed wing aircraft broken out by organic airlift and specific commercial carriers;

(4) The volume of outsize and oversize cargo flights, to include requirements and procedures;

(5) The ability of CRAF carriers to meet requirements to transport any equipment, materials, or commodities for the use of U.S. military operations and respond to a humanitarian disaster; and

(6) Current waiver authorities and whether there is any need to change those authorities to help the Secretary of Defense support the goals of the National Airlift Policy and maintain an adequate industrial base for CRAF carriers.

*Transportation of supplies to members of the Armed Forces from nonprofit organizations*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1082A) that would insert a new section after section 402 in title 10, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to transport, on a space available basis and without charge, supplies that have been furnished by a nonprofit organization and that are intended for distribution to members of the armed forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Secretary of Defense informed us that he already has the authority to accept donations and gifts for the benefit of our armed forces, but that the Department of Defense has very limited resources to receive, screen, and transport donations and gifts.

*Protection of tier one task critical assets from electromagnetic pulse and high-powered microwave systems*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1086) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify to the congressional defense committees that certain defense critical assets are protected from the adverse effects of electromagnetic pulses (EMP) and high-powered microwave (HPM) systems, and to prepare a plan to en-

sure protected electrical power for any such assets that are not certified.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that the Department of Defense (DOD) has in place well-documented policies and practices for the protection of defense critical infrastructure against a wide variety of potential threats and hazards. This all-hazards risk mitigation and protection approach covers both natural phenomena and man-made hazards and attacks, including EMP and potential electrical power disruption, and considers both the probability and severity of potential hazards.

The Department's Defense Critical Infrastructure Protection (DCIP) program is focused on mission assurance to meet DOD needs. It performs recurring analyses of infrastructure vulnerability and risk mitigation options to reduce vulnerability and enhance mission assurance in a cost-effective manner. These assessments result in prioritized plans to mitigate risks to defense critical infrastructure, which changes as mission requirements change and as additional redundancy is established. The Department then takes appropriate risk mitigation steps according to these prioritized plans.

In reviewing the methodology supporting this prioritization, we believe DCIP has institutionalized a process that can address the type of certification process called for in the House provision, without injecting unwarranted redundant assessment or planning processes. We expect the DOD to continue using the DCIP program to review its assets against EMP and other emerging threats to ensure ongoing protection efforts supporting mission assurance. We expect the Department to keep the congressional defense committees apprised of any significant updates or changes to the DCIP program, as well as to the status of any specific infrastructure assets assessed to have a critical vulnerability to EMP, as they conduct future assessments.

While we believe the Department has a good process for evaluating the risks and mitigation measures for EMP through the DCIP program, we believe that a better understanding of the intelligence community's views on the threats posed by EMP or HPM systems would be helpful in understanding what more might be done by DOD to enhance its protective posture. Therefore, we direct the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, within 60 days of the enactment of this Act, on the threats posed to DOD infrastructure by the natural occurrence or intentional use of EMP or HPM effects.

*Compliance of military departments with minimum safe staffing standards*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1088) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that all military departments comply with Department of Defense Fire and Emergency Services Program policy requirements on safe staffing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

*Determination and disclosure of transportation costs incurred by Secretary of Defense for congressional trips outside the United States*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1089) that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine the cost of the transportation provided in the case of a trip taken by a member, officer, or employee of the House of Representatives or Senate in carrying out official duties outside the United States for which the Department of Defense provides transportation and to provide a written statement of the cost not later than 10 days after completion of the trip to the member, officer, or employee involved and to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate or the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We support public disclosure of official foreign travel by members, officers, and employees of the House of Representatives and Senate. To this end, we note that section 1754 (b) of title 22, United States Code, contains reporting and disclosure requirements for congressional travel outside the United States, including a requirement for reports to be open to public inspection and published in the Congressional Record. We recognize there are circumstances under which transportation provided by the Department of Defense best meets the needs of congressional delegations, ranging from protecting the safety and security of the delegation to expediency and accessing destinations that have little to no commercial air service. We further note that the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives each maintain policies and processes to provide further oversight of travel requests by members and employees of the committees.

*Transfer to the Department of Homeland Security of the Tethered Aerostat Radar System*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1091) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer to the Department of Homeland Security the Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the transfer of the TARS program took place after the House bill was written.

*Sale or donation of excess personal property for border security activities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1092) that would amend section 2576a of title 10, United States Code, to permit the Secretary of Defense to transfer personal property to border security activities in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We note that that the Department of Homeland Security can participate in the sale or donation of excess personal property for border security activities under the current law.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a study of the Department of Defense's process for disposing of surplus personal property, focusing on: (1) an overview of how the disposal process works in practice; (2) the means used to dispose of surplus property; and (3) recommendations to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the current disposal process.

*Unmanned aircraft systems and National Airspace*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1093) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into a memorandum of understanding with a non-Department of Defense entity that is engaged in the test range program authorized under section 332(c) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-95) to allow such entity access to non-regulatory special use airspace if such access: (1) is used by the entity as part of such test range program; and (2) does not interfere with the activities of the Secretary or otherwise interrupt or delay missions or training of the Department of Defense (DOD). The underlying Act authorized the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify up to six test ranges at which interested parties could develop and test procedures under which the FAA might allow access to the National Airspace System on a routine basis.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

However, we agree that: (1) developing established procedures to integrate unmanned aircraft systems into the National Airspace System will be very important in allowing both DOD and non-DOD entities to train with and operate these systems on a routine basis; and (2) developing these procedures could include the use of FAA-designated DOD non-regulatory special use airspace.

*Days on which the POW/MIA flag is displayed on certain federal property*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1094) that would require that, on federal installations, the National League of Families POW/MIA Flag be displayed on all days on which the flag of the United States is displayed.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on improvised explosive devices*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1095) that would express the sense of Congress on the use of improvised explosive devices against members of the United States Armed Forces or people of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress to maintain a strong National Guard and Military Reserve force*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1096) that would express the sense of Congress that (1) the Secretary of Defense should make every effort to ensure the Military Reserve and National Guard forces are sustained by a fully-manned and fully-funded force and that the United States fulfill its longstanding commitment to unyielding readiness in terms of defense; (2) the Secretary of Defense should act with the knowledge that the National Guard and Reserves are critical components of the armed forces, particularly as a means of preserving combat power during a time of budget austerity; and (3) Congress repudiates proposals to diminish the National Guard or Reserves and affirms the growth of these components as circumstances warrant.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Access of employees of congressional support offices to Department of Defense facilities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1097) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide employees of any congressional support office who work on issues related to national security with access to facilities of the Department of Defense in the same manner, and subject to the same terms and conditions, as employees of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We encourage the Secretary of Defense to implement procedures for providing Pentagon access to employees of congressional support offices similar to the procedures currently used to provide access to Government Accountability Office employees and to keep the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives informed of the progress of implementing such procedures.

*Cost of wars*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1098) that would require the Department of Defense to post on its public web site the costs of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that reports on the costs of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have been published by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and the Congressional Research Service (CRS). We further note that CBO reports are publicly available and published on the Internet, and CRS reports are available to Members of Congress.

*Sense of Congress regarding consideration of foreign languages and cultures in the building of partner capacity*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1099) that would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense (DOD) should take into consideration foreign languages and cultures in DOD's training, tools and methodologies for military-to-military activities and building partner capacity.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We encourage the DOD to incorporate the consideration of foreign languages and cultures into its training and procedures for engaging in and benefiting from military-to-military cooperation and building partner capacity activities.

*Sense of Congress regarding preservation of second amendment rights of active duty military personnel stationed or residing in the District of Columbia*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1099A) that would express the sense of Congress that active duty military personnel who are stationed or residing in the District of Columbia should be permitted to exercise fully their rights under the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and therefore should be exempt from the District of Columbia's restrictions on the possession of firearms.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

*One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1101)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would authorize the head of an executive agency to waive limitations on the aggregate of basic and premium pay payable through calendar year 2014 to an employee who performs work in an overseas location that is in the area of responsibility of the Commander, U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM), or a location that was formerly in CENTCOM but has been moved to an area of responsibility of the Commander, U.S. Africa Command, in support of a contingency operation or an operation in response to a declared emergency. The amount payable may not exceed the total annual compensation payable to the Vice President under section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone (sec. 1102)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would authorize temporary discretionary authority to federal agencies to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities comparable to those provided to members of the foreign service to an agency's civilian employees on official duty in a combat zone. This authority would expire at the end of fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority for civilian employees of the Department of Defense (sec. 1103)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would amend section 3502(f)(5) of title 5, United States Code, to extend through September 30, 2015, the authority of the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department to allow certain civilian employees to volunteer for reduction-in-force separations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would amend section 3502(f)(5) of title 5, United States Code, to extend through September 30, 2018, the authority of the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department to allow certain civilian employees to volunteer for reduction-in-force separations.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments to Department of Defense employees (sec. 1104)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would amend section 5595(i)(4) of title 5, United States Code, to extend until October 1, 2018, the authority for the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department to pay the total amount of severance pay to an eligible civilian employee in one lump sum.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1102).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Revision to amount of financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program and assessment of STEM and other programs (sec. 1105)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would increase the flexibility of the Secretary of Defense to determine the amount of the financial assistance delivered by the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1105).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring an assessment of the SMART program, as well as for the National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program, and a number of Department of Defense Pre-

Kindergarten through 12th grade Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) programs.

*Extension of program for exchange of information-technology personnel (sec. 1106)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would authorize for an additional 10 years the Information Technology Exchange Program for the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would authorize for an additional 5 years the Information Technology Exchange Program for the Department of Defense.

*Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities (sec. 1107)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would establish new authorities for the direct hiring and management of personnel at Department of Defense (DOD) Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories. Specific elements addressed qualified candidates possessing a bachelor's degree, qualified veterans, students, members of the Senior Executive Service (SES), Senior Scientific Technical Managers (SSTM), and specially qualified scientific and professional personnel (known as ST).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that contained a number of similar elements of the House provision, namely the direct hiring authority for qualified candidates possessing a bachelor's degree as well as qualified veteran candidates.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that removes elements relating to students, SESs, and STs.

We note that there have been concerns raised about the management of the senior scientific and technical workforce within DOD laboratories. Therefore, we direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to submit a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 90 days of the enactment of this Act on challenges to the management of the scientific and technical workforce of the Department, and recommendations for possible actions to improve such management. In preparing this briefing, the Under Secretary shall work with the relevant science and technology executives and personnel leadership in the Services to identify challenges to this workforce and examine opportunities to change policies and practices to improve the effectiveness and efficiencies of management procedures and practices. We note that DOD laboratories need to have streamlined, effective, and efficient personnel system practices in order to be competitive employers of world-class scientific and technical talent.

Furthermore, as a subset of this review, we believe that the Department should also examine the mechanisms for bringing in interns and other undergraduate students from cooperative education programs into the Department's laboratories to determine if existing means are effective, and to propose any changes that might be necessary to improve those programs.

*Compliance with law regarding availability of funding for civilian personnel (sec. 1108)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations, no later than 45 days after the enactment of this Act, implementing the authority provided in subsection (a) of section 1111 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations, no later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, implementing the authority provided in subsection (a) of section 1111 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

*Extension of enhanced appointment and compensation authority for civilian personnel for care and treatment of wounded and injured members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1109)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1109) that would amend section 1599c of title 10, United States Code, to extend through December 31, 2020, the existing authority of the Secretary of Defense to exercise any authority for the appointment and pay of health care personnel under chapter 74 of title 38, United States Code, for purposes of recruitment, employment, and retention of civilian health care professionals for the Department of Defense. The provision would repeal the now-obsolete section 1599c requirement for the service secretaries to develop and implement a strategy to disseminate the authorities and best practices for the recruitment of medical and health professionals.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1104).

The agreement includes the House provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Flexibility in employment and compensation of civilian faculty at certain additional Department of Defense schools*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would amend section 1595(c) of title 10, United States Code, to add the Defense Institute for Security Assistance Management and the Joint Special Operations University to the list of Department of Defense schools at which the Secretary of Defense may employ and compensate civilian faculty as the Secretary considers necessary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense and the military departments have proposed changes over the past several years to extend the use of civilian faculty employed under title 10, United States Code, at Department of Defense schools and Professional Military Education (PME) programs that provide less than 10 months of academic instruction. We believe the Department and the Services have not applied adequate rigorous analysis of and justification for

these requests. Section 1124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101–189) expanded the authority to employ civilian faculty at PME schools beyond the Naval War College to the National Defense University, the Army War College and United States Army Command and General Staff College, the Marine Corps Command and Staff College and Air University for principal courses of instruction of at least 10 months. As stated in the Report of the Panel on Military Education of the One Hundredth Congress of the Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, the intent of the expansion was that intermediate and senior PME schools were graduate level programs of instruction and civilian instructors were key to maintaining a high quality of instruction. The panel believed competitive civilian faculty could help attract other quality faculty from civilian education institutions and add depth to the curriculum, thus improving the quality of instruction. We believe this principle still applies in today's environment and that the employment of civilian faculty under title 10, United States Code, at PME institutions and schools should be reserved for courses of instruction that are graduate level in nature.

Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Defense to review the civilian faculty requirements for all Department of Defense and PME schools, universities, and institutes to determine if there are graduate level courses of instruction that are less than 10 months in duration that may be authorized the employment of civilian faculty under title 10, United States Code. The review should include by-program justification for the utilization of civilian instructors rather than military instructors or contract instructors, and an accompanying cost-benefit analysis. The Secretary of Defense shall submit the findings of the review and any recommendations for changes to the employment of civilian faculty to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than March 1, 2015.

## TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

### Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

#### *Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces (sec. 1201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would extend and modify the authority under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), as amended, to conduct a program to build the capacity of foreign military forces to conduct counterterrorism operations or stability operations (the “global train and equip program”). The provision would expand the purposes for which train and equip assistance may be provided under this program, and expand the types of security forces that may receive such assistance. The provision would also require that information be submitted, as part of the annual budget justification materials, on the planning and execution of the global train and equip program for the coming fiscal year. The limitation on funds available for the program would be increased from \$350.0 million to \$425.0 million per fiscal year, and

the termination of the program would be extended until September 30, 2016. Finally, the House provision would repeal existing authorities for training and equipping counterterrorism forces in Yemen and East Africa.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would extend the authority for the global train and equip program through fiscal year 2018 and require a report on counterterrorism-related assistance under the program.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would maintain the current purposes for which train and equip assistance may be provided under the program, specifically building capability relating to the conduct of counterterrorism operations, and military and stability operations in conjunction with U.S. forces. The amendment would expand the types of forces that may receive assistance under the program to include a foreign country's security forces with a counterterrorism mission. We recognize that in certain countries the lead counterterrorism unit is not located in the Ministry of Defense (MOD).

The provision included in the agreement would also limit the level of funding available annually for the global train and equip program to \$350.0 million and extend the authority for the program through fiscal year 2017. In addition, funds available for fiscal year 2015 would be restricted to no more than \$262.5 million until the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, submits a non-binding report on the proposed planning and execution of fiscal year 2015 programs intended to be conducted or supported under the authority to build the capacity of a foreign country's security forces, other than MOD forces, to conduct counterterrorism operations.

The provision in the agreement would include the reporting requirement from the Senate provision regarding counterterrorism-related assistance, but would not include the House provision's repeal of existing authorities for training and equipping security forces in Yemen and East Africa.

#### *Global Security Contingency Fund (sec. 1202)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would make certain technical amendments to the authority for the Global Security Contingency Fund (GSCF) under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), including changes to the notification requirements. The provision would also require a report to the specified congressional committees on the guidance and processes for the GSCF.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1202) making technical changes to GSCF.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical and clarifying amendment.

We are concerned about the procedures and processes for implementation of the GSCF program and the coordination of GSCF activities with other programs for building partner capacity. Therefore, the Comptroller General is directed to conduct a review of the procedures and processes established by the Department of Defense (DOD) and Department of State (DOS) to administer and imple-

ment activities funded by GSCF. Specifically, the Comptroller General is directed to review:

(1) The process for the DOS and DOD, including the defense agencies and the combatant commands, to identify proposed GSCF activities;

(2) The extent to which DOD, in conjunction with DOS, has procedures in place to review, prioritize, and approve activities to be funded through GSCF and coordinate those activities with other programs to build partner capacity; and

(3) The extent to which DOD, in conjunction with DOS, has developed a monitoring and evaluation framework to measure the effectiveness of the activities implemented and funded by the GSCF.

The Comptroller General is directed to submit the report containing the findings of this review to the relevant congressional committees by October 1, 2014. For purposes of this requirement, the relevant congressional committees are the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Relations, and Appropriations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Affairs, and Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

*Training of general purpose forces of the United States Armed Forces with military and other security forces of friendly foreign countries (sec. 1203)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to authorize training with the military forces or other security forces of a friendly foreign country in order to prepare the U.S. armed forces to train the military forces or other security forces of a friendly foreign country and enhance interoperability. Training with foreign military forces under this authority must be in the U.S. national interest and consistent with U.S. national security strategy as well as the recent presidential guidance on security sector assistance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would: (1) Modify elements of the annual reporting requirement; (2) Add a section relating to the types of training authorized; (3) Provide for coordination and concurrence of the Secretary of State; (4) Establish a notification requirement; and (5) Define for purposes of the delivery of the annual report the appropriate congressional committees.

We are concerned about the deteriorating readiness of U.S. general purpose forces, particularly ground forces, to conduct their mission-essential tasks. We intend to monitor the execution of this authority closely and expect activities authorized by this provision to be used in a way that most effectively supports the readiness requirements of U.S. forces.

*Authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction (sec. 1204)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in concurrence with the Secretary of State, to provide assistance to the military and civilian response

organizations of certain foreign countries in the region around Syria in order for such countries to respond effectively to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1206) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide such assistance to foreign nations, without limiting the assistance to countries in the region around Syria.

The agreement includes a provision that would incorporate elements of each bill provision. It would provide the authority for the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to the military and civilian first responder organizations of the nations that border Syria, and to provide such assistance to other nations if the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees of the Secretary's intention to do so. The provision would also require reports for each year in which the authority is used, including details on the assistance provided and the costs incurred. The provision would also require the Secretary to provide notification if the Secretary plans to use more than \$4.0 million for the program in a fiscal year. Finally, the authority provided in the provision would expire after September 30, 2017.

*Authorization of National Guard State Partnership Program (sec. 1205)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would codify the National Guard State Partnership Program in chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to establish a program for bilateral or multilateral military-to-military exchanges with the National Guard of a State or territory and the national military forces of a foreign nation ("State Partnership Program"). The provision would also require the publication of new regulations to modify existing regulation to conform to this new authority; provide certain authorization for the payment of expenses; require a series of notifications and reports; repeal Section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2517; 32 U.S.C. 107 note); and establish a sunset of the underlying authority at the end of fiscal year 2016.

We intend for engagement with other than the military forces to be focused—to the maximum extent—on disaster response or emergency response. For military-to-military engagement, we anticipate that annual reporting may be done in tabular format, but that the Department of Defense should provide a sufficient level of information so that extensive follow-up is not required. This authority is in no way intended to preclude National Guard personnel from engaging with partnered forces under other Department of Defense and State Department authorities, for example, Joint Combined Exchange Training (10 U.S.C. 2011) and implementation of Foreign Military Financing programs (22 U.S.C. 2752).

*United States security and assistance strategies in Africa (sec. 1206)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategic framework for U.S. counterterrorism assistance and cooperation in North Africa, including but not limited to programs conducted under the Trans-Sahara Counter Terrorism Partnership, Operation Enduring Freedom-Trans Sahara, and other related security assistance activities. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on the details of this framework, as well as on lessons-learned from recent developments in Mali and the region.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical and clarifying amendment. The agreement also includes provisions that would: (1) Require an interagency strategy that supports the recent security and political gains in Somalia; (2) Require a classified intelligence assessment on al Shabaab; and (3) Designate an existing senior U.S. Government official with existing interagency authority for export policy for Africa to coordinate among various U.S. Government agencies existing export strategies with the goal of significantly increasing U.S. exports to Africa.

We also acknowledge that the number of armed robbery at sea and piracy attacks in the Gulf of Guinea are increasing, with an ongoing pattern of cargo thefts and robbery, often occurring in the territorial waters of West and Central African states. Ongoing piracy and armed robbery at sea in the Gulf of Guinea pose a threat to international navigation, security, and the economic development of states in the region. It has been the U.S. strategy to improve the region's trade competitiveness and encourage the diversification of exports beyond natural resources. No later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, we direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the Department of Defense strategy to use its existing authorities to build capacity to combat armed robbery at sea, piracy, and other maritime threats.

We further note the importance of bringing to justice those individuals who committed, conspired to commit, attempted to commit, or aided or abetted in the commission of the September 11–12, 2012, terrorist attack on the Special Mission Compound and Annex in Benghazi, Libya. We note that, in January 2013, the Secretary of State has authorized a reward of up to \$10 million for information leading to the arrest of those individuals.

*Assistance to the Government of Jordan for border security operations (sec. 1207)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, upon a determination from the President that it is in the national security interests of the United States, to use up to \$75.0 million of amounts authorized for the Coalition Support Fund account in fiscal years 2013 and 2014 to support the border security operations of the Jordanian Armed Forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide assistance—on a reimbursable basis—to the Government of Jordan for purposes of supporting their armed forces efforts to increase security along the border between Jordan and Syria. Prior to any reimbursement, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense that the Government of Jordan is continuing to support and maintain efforts of the armed forces of Jordan to increase security or sustain increased security along the border between Jordan and Syria. Upon such certification, the Secretary of Defense may provide up to \$150.0 million from fiscal year 2014 funds, to be expended in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

*Support of foreign forces participating in operations to disarm the Lord's Resistance Army (sec. 1208)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would authorize the Department of Defense to obligate not more than \$50.0 million in each fiscal year in operation and maintenance funding to provide logistical support, services and supplies, and intelligence support to: (1) The national military forces of Uganda participating in operations to mitigate or eliminate the threat posed by the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA); and (2) The national military forces of any other countries determined by the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to be participating in operations to mitigate or eliminate the threat posed by the LRA. The Secretary's authority would expire upon the termination of Operation Observant Compass.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1206).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would: (1) Extend the underlying authority through the end of fiscal year 2017; (2) Require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report relating to various matters associated with the ongoing operation to support foreign forces; and (3) Prohibit utilizing 25 percent of the underlying provision until the Secretary submits the required report to Congress.

We note that the support provided by U.S. military advisors was unnecessarily restricted due to interpretation of a combat exclusion clause and therefore removed it from the existing authority. We believe that U.S. military advisors should assist their partners with the full-range of activities short of direct combat. We note this provision expands the previous authority and increases the authorized funding level to \$50.0 million to provide in-the-field advice, assistance and support to foreign forces searching for Joseph Kony and his senior lieutenants, thereby strengthening the training and capabilities of the foreign forces to counter the LRA's capabilities in the region. With this expanded authority, we expect the Department of Defense to continue their progress towards the mission objectives of Operation Observant Compass. We remain fully supportive of this advise and assist operation.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq  
*Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan (sec. 1211)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would extend through fiscal year 2014 the authority under section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as amended, for the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP). The provision would limit the amount of funds available for the program to \$60.0 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1211) that would extend the CERP authority for one year and would require a report on lessons learned and best practices from the execution of CERP in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan (sec. 1212)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would amend section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383), as amended, to extend the authority to use Department of Defense funds to support reintegration activities in Afghanistan and authorize the use of up to \$25.0 million for these purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1213).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Extension of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1213)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would extend for fiscal year 2014 and modify the authority under section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, to provide reimbursements to certain nations for support provided to U.S. military operations in Operation Enduring Freedom. The provision would limit funds available under this authority (“Coalition Support Funds”) for fiscal year 2014 to \$1.5 billion. The provision would also require that, prior to making reimbursements to Pakistan, the Secretary of Defense must make certain certifications to the congressional defense committees, or invoke a national security waiver.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1215) that would extend the authority under section 1233 of Public Law 110–181, as amended, for fiscal year 2014. The provision would also extend through fiscal year 2014 the notification requirements, under section 1232(b)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 393) as amended, relating to Coalition Support Funds reimbursements for Pakistan for support provided by Pakistan. The provision would further extend the limitations, under section 1227(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126

Stat. 2000), on reimbursements of Pakistan pending certain certifications regarding Pakistan.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (sec. 1214)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would extend for fiscal year 2014 the authority under section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), as amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–329), for the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$209.0 million in funds to support the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (OSC–I). The provision would also authorize the OSC–I during fiscal year 2014 to conduct non-operational training of Iraqi Ministry of Defense (MOD) personnel in an institutional environment to build certain capabilities of the Iraqi security forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1212) that would extend for fiscal year 2014 the authority to fund the OSC–I under section 1215 of Public Law 112–81, as amended. The provision would also authorize the OSC–I during fiscal year 2014 to conduct non-operational, institution-based training of Iraqi MOD and Counter Terrorism Service personnel. Such training would be required to include elements that promote the observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, military professionalism, and respect for legitimate civilian authority within Iraq.

The agreement includes the provision in the Senate committee-reported bill.

An issue of concern is the safety and security of the residents of Camp Liberty (Hurriya), Iraq, and impediments to their resettlement in other countries. We direct the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Attorney General, to submit a report on the current security situation at Camp Liberty and efforts to relocate the camp residents to other countries. The report should include:

(1) A description of the current security situation at Camp Liberty, the disposition of security resources such as T-walls and sandbags, and decisions by camp residents on how to use those resources;

(2) A description of the status review and resettlement process conducted by the United Nations High Commissioner on Refugees (UNHCR), a discussion of the degree of cooperation by camp residents with that process, and an estimate of when that process is expected to be completed;

(3) An estimate as of the date of the report on the number of residents still at Camp Liberty, the number of residents that have received refugee status, the number of residents that have been relocated (including to which countries), and the countries that have indicated a willingness to receive resettled residents; and

(4) A discussion of the steps that would need to be taken by recipient countries, the UNHCR, and the camp residents to relocate the residents to other countries.

The report should be provided not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act to the Committees on Foreign Relations, Armed Services, Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and Judiciary of the Senate and the Committees on Foreign Affairs, Armed Services, Homeland Security, and Judiciary of the House of Representatives.

*One-year extension and modification of authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan (sec. 1215)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1215) that would extend the authority under section 1217 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended, for the program to build large-scale infrastructure projects funded by the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (AIF). The provision would limit the amount available for the AIF in fiscal year 2014 to \$279.0 million. The provision would also amend the reporting elements of the plan that must be submitted to the appropriate congressional committees prior to the use of AIF funds in any given fiscal year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would extend the authority under section 1217 of Public Law 111-383 and limit AIF funding during fiscal year 2014 to \$250.0 million. It would also require a report on the plan for transitioning to the Government of Afghanistan, or a utility owned by the Government of Afghanistan, the project management of any projects funded with fiscal year 2014 AIF funds.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with technical and clarifying amendments. We believe that with the drawdown of U.S. troops in Afghanistan and the approaching conclusion of the International Security Assistance Force mission at the end of December 2014, the justification for the Department of Defense funding large-scale infrastructure projects in Afghanistan is increasingly attenuated. We expect that the Department of Defense will cease AIF funding for any new large-scale infrastructure projects after fiscal year 2014.

*Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 100 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan (sec. 1216)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would require the withholding of Department of Defense (DOD) assistance for Afghanistan during fiscal year 2014 in an amount equal to the total of all taxes assessed during fiscal year 2013 by the Government of Afghanistan on assistance provided by DOD. The Secretary of Defense would be able to waive this requirement if the Secretary determines that doing so is necessary to achieve U.S. goals in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide that the requirements of this section terminate on the date when the Secretary of Defense notifies the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that a bilateral security agreement between the United States and Afghanistan has entered into force.

*Extension of certain authorities for support of foreign forces supporting or participating with the United States Armed Forces (sec. 1217)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would extend through fiscal year 2014 the authority under section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, to provide logistical support to coalition partners in Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would extend through December 31, 2014, two authorities for supporting foreign forces participating in coalition operations with U.S. armed forces. First, the provision would extend the authority under section 1234 of Public Law 110–181 to provide logistical support to coalition partners in Afghanistan. Second, the provision would extend the authority under section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), as amended, to use acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to loan personnel protection equipment to partner nations in coalition operations and in connection with training for deployment to such operations. The Department has requested the extension of both of these authorities in connection with coalition operations in Afghanistan.

*Extension and improvement of the Iraqi special immigrant visa program (sec. 1218)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1218) that would make certain improvements to the Iraq Special Immigrant Visa program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1217).

The agreement includes the Senate committee-reported bill provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Improvement of the Afghan special immigrant visa program (sec. 1219)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would make improvements to Afghan Special Immigrant Visa program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1218).

The agreement includes the Senate committee-reported bill provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014

*Report on plans to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances (sec. 1221)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would modify the report required under section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, to require additional reporting semi-annually on: The re-deployment of U.S. armed forces from Afghanistan; the transfer of Department of Defense tasks and functions to other entities as part of the transition; and the long-term capability of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to sustain infrastructure projects constructed for the ANSF.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the President to submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on U.S. Government activities and plans to disrupt and degrade Haqqani Network activities and finances. The provision sets out specific elements of the report, which would be required to be submitted not later than 9 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

*Completion of accelerated transition of security responsibility from United States Armed Forces to the Afghan National Security Forces (sec. 1222)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would set out the policy of the United States and a sense of Congress relating to the security transition and the post-2014 U.S. military presence in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We expect the Department of Defense to note the cost of any post-2014 presence in its budget request so that Congress can appropriately consider the presence and maintain oversight of U.S. efforts in Afghanistan.

*Defense Intelligence Plan (sec. 1223)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense and intelligence committees a plan regarding defense intelligence assets in relation to the drawdown of U.S. forces in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The provision would require the plan to include a description of the defense intelligence assets; a description of any such assets that are slated to remain in Afghanistan after December 31, 2014; a description of any such assets that will be, or have been, reallocated to other locations outside of the United States; the defense intelligence priorities that will be, or have been, addressed with the reallocation of such assets; the necessary logistics, and operation and maintenance plans, to operate in the locations where such assets will be, or have been, reallocated, including personnel, basing, and any host country agree-

ments; and a description of any such assets that will be, or have been, returned to the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for certain authorities for Afghanistan (sec. 1224)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1224) that would restrict the availability of funds for certain authorities in Afghanistan until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense certifies that the United States and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan have concluded a Bilateral Security Agreement (BSA) that meets certain specified criteria.

The Senate committee-reported bill contains no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the availability of funds for certain authorities in Afghanistan to no more than 50 percent of the amount authorized to be appropriated until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense certifies that a BSA has been signed that is in the national security interest of the United States. The Secretary of Defense would be authorized to waive the requirements of this provision if the Secretary determines that doing so is in the U.S. national security interest. If the waiver is invoked, the Secretary of Defense is directed to brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the basis for the determination.

We believe that such a BSA should ensure that:

- (1) the Department of Defense, its military and civilian personnel, and its contractors are protected from liability to pay taxes or other similar charges associated with efforts to carry out missions in Afghanistan that have been mutually agreed to between the U.S. Government and the Afghan Government;
- (2) the United States has exclusive legal jurisdiction over U.S. Armed Forces deployed in Afghanistan;
- (3) the right of self-defense of the U.S. military mission and of U.S. military personnel is not infringed;
- (4) the U.S. military in Afghanistan is able to take the necessary measures to protect other U.S. Government offices and personnel in Afghanistan; and
- (5) the U.S. military has sufficient access to bases and freedom of movement to carry out such missions and activities as the President assigns the military in Afghanistan, including the continuing effort to counter al Qaeda and its associated forces.

Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran

*Report on United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries (sec. 1231)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, on the United States military partnership with the Gulf Cooperation Council countries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Additional elements in annual report on military power of Iran (sec. 1232)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would amend section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) by requiring the Secretary of Defense to provide information on the global Iranian threat network and how the Iranian threat network reinforces the grand strategy of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a list of gaps in intelligence and to prioritize those gaps by operational need.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the report to include a section on Iran’s global network of terrorist and criminal groups and the associated capabilities of those entities.

We urge the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to describe the Department of Defense’s gaps in intelligence associated with Iran’s global network of terrorist and criminal groups when the Chairman prepares the report required under section 1231 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239).

*Integrated air and missile defense programs at training locations in Southwest Asia (sec. 1233)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would amend Section 544(c)(1) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2347c(c)(1)) to allow for multilateral missile defense exercises.

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision. The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters

*Two-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities (sec. 1241)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would extend the authority of the Department of Defense to establish, develop, and maintain non-conventional assisted recovery (NAR) capabilities for 3 additional years.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1231) that would extend the authority of the Department of Defense to establish, develop, and maintain non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities for 2 additional years.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We remain concerned about the lack of clarity in the reporting of NAR activities to include planning, prioritization, and execution and have included a statement on their concerns in the classified annex accompanying this report.

*Element on 5th generation fighter program in annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China (sec. 1242)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would add a requirement for the Department of Defense to include information on China's 5th generation fighter programs in the congressionally-mandated Annual Report on Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

To improve insight into the dynamics of the relationship and interactions between the United States and the People's Republic of China and their impact on security, we direct the Chairman of the United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission, not later than March 15, 2014, to submit a report on the mandate and purpose of the Commission to the appropriate congressional committees.

The report shall include: (1) A summary and description of the changes that have occurred in the relationship between the United States and China since December 31, 2000, with respect to those national security and economic issues that would impact the mandate of the Commission; and (2) Recommendations of the Commission for statutory changes to update the mandate and purpose of the Commission, taking into the account changes in the relationship between the United States and China.

The appropriate congressional committees include (1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Finance of the Senate; and (2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives.

*Report on posture and readiness of the Armed Forces to respond to an attack or other contingency against United States diplomatic facilities overseas (sec. 1243)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1241) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, that assesses the terrorist groups that threaten the United States in Africa and a description of the readiness, posture, and alert status of relevant U.S. Armed Forces in Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and the United States; and any changes implemented since the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make modifications to the required contents of the report.

*Limitation on establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (sec. 1244)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1245) that would prohibit the expenditure of funds for the establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (RSCC) or similar regional entities and require a joint report by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to be submitted to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 342) that would prohibit the expenditure of any funds for the RSCCs in fiscal year 2014 and direct the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict, in coordination with the Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command, not later than September 30, 2013, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees outlining, at a minimum: (1) the requirement and justification for the establishment of RSCCs; (2) the number and locations of planned RSCCs; (3) the projected cost to establish and maintain the proposed RSCCs in future years; (4) the relevance to and coordination with other multilateral engagement activities and academic institutes supported by the geographic combatant commanders and State Department; and (5) any legislative authorities that may be needed to establish RSCCs.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Additional reports on military and security developments involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (sec. 1245)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1246) that would amend the report on Military and Security Developments Involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK), as originally required by section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), to require the Secretary of Defense to submit the report every 2 years beginning on November 1, 2013, through November 1, 2017. The section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit an update to the report if, in the Secretary of Defense's estimation, interim events or developments occurring during the 2-year period between reports requires an update.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note that the only change to section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 is that the report will be submitted every 2 years instead of every year, and interim reports may be submitted, as needed.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a classified briefing to the appropriate congressional committees, not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the following issues related to the DPRK:

- (1) A description of the governmental and economic activities, including bilateral trade, economic development, and fi-

financial investment, between the People's Republic of China and the DPRK.

(2) A description of the entities and individuals of the People's Republic of China engaged in the activities described under subparagraph (1).

(3) An assessment of the impact of the activities described under subparagraph (1) on the weapons of mass destruction program and ballistic missile program of the DPRK.

The appropriate congressional committees are (1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Finance, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and (2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives.

*Sense of Congress on missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation and limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation (sec. 1246)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1248) that would limit funds to provide the Russian Federation with access to certain missile defense information.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 233) that would express the sense of Congress concerning missile defense cooperation with Russia and would also limit funds to provide the Russian Federation access to certain missile defense information.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress concerning missile defense cooperation with the Russian Federation and would establish several limitations on providing the Russian Federation with access to certain missile defense information.

*Amendments to annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act (sec. 1247)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1247) that would modify section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (Title 22, United States Code, section 2593a) to define the appropriate congressional committees to which the annual report required under section 2593a would be provided. Those committees are: the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives. The provision would also require a briefing to the appropriate congressional committees each spring on the most recent version of the report.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Report on actions to reduce support for ballistic missile proliferation (sec. 1248)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1249) that would require reports on efforts to gain the cooperation of Russia and China

to reduce the spread of technology and expertise that supports the ballistic missile programs of Iran, North Korea, Syria, and other nations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on steps that have been taken, and that are planned to be taken, to reduce the spread of technology and expertise that could support the ballistic missile development programs of Iran, North Korea, Syria, and other nations.

We expect the appropriate elements of the Intelligence Community to brief the appropriate committees of Congress on the ballistic missile development programs of Iran, North Korea, and Syria, as well as other nations of proliferation concern, and the spread of technology and expertise that supports those programs.

*Reports on international agreements relating to the Department of Defense (sec. 1249)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1250) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to notify the congressional defense committees, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, not later than 15 days after the date on which a Status of Forces Agreement between the United States and a foreign nation is signed, renewed, amended, otherwise revised, or terminated. This section would apply to such agreements that are signed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit semi-annually a report on certain agreements pertaining to matters primarily or significantly related to or involving the Department of Defense. The amendment would also terminate the requirement established in this provision on December 31, 2019.

We note that nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede section 112b of title 1 United States Code (commonly known as the “Case-Zablocki Act”).

*Revision of statutory references to former NATO support organizations and related NATO agreements (sec. 1250)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1252) that would revise certain references in titles 10 and 22, United States Code, to reflect recent changes to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization organizational structure.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1234).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Executive agreements with the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense (sec. 1251)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1253) that would limit funds to implement executive agreements relating to the ballistic

missile defense capabilities of the United States, unless certain conditions are met.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would express the sense of Congress that any executive agreement between the United States and the Russian Federation relating to ballistic missile defense should not limit the development or deployment of missile defense systems or capabilities of the United States or the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. It would also require the President, or the President's designee, to brief the appropriate committees of Congress prior to signing an executive agreement with Russia relating to ballistic missile defense.

*Rule of construction (sec. 1252)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1258) that would set forth that nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing the use of force against the Syrian Arab Republic or the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note that this provision shall not be construed to infringe on the President's constitutional authorities to preserve, protect, and defend the Nation.

*Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty (sec. 1253)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1262) that would limit the availability of funds available to the Department of Defense for the implementation of the Arms Trade Treaty.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add a clause stating that nothing in this provision would preclude the Department of Defense from assisting foreign countries in bringing their laws and regulations up to U.S. standards. Should the Secretary of Defense determine such activities are required and appropriate, we encourage the Secretary to coordinate, to the maximum extent practicable, on such activities with the Secretary of State.

*Report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation (sec. 1254)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1268) that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than June 1, 2014, and annually thereafter through 2017, to submit to the specified congressional committees a report on the current and future military power of the Russian Federation. The report would address the current and probable future course of military-technological development of the Russian military, the tenets and probable development of Russian security and military strategy, and military organizations and operational concepts, for the 20-year period following the report.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require a one-time report by the Secretary of Defense to the specified congressional committees on the security and military strategy of the Russian Federation. The amendment would require that the report include certain specified matters.

*Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or agreements with Rosoboronexport (sec. 1255)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1274) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense after fiscal year 2013 for the purchase of any equipment from the Russian state corporation, Rosoboronexport, until the Secretary of Defense makes certain specified certifications to the congressional defense committees. The Secretary of Defense would be authorized to waive this restriction if the Secretary certifies that doing so is in the national security interests of the United States. If the waiver is invoked, the Secretary is required to submit a report to Congress not later than 30 days before purchasing equipment from Rosoboronexport.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1233).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that nothing in the Act would prohibit the supply of spare parts for the sustained maintenance of helicopters operated by the Afghan National Security Forces.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Monitoring and evaluation of overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs of the Department of Defense*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would permit that up to 5 percent of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act to carry out sections 401, 402, 404, 407, 2557, and 2561 of title 10, United States Code, may be made available to conduct monitoring and evaluation of programs conducted pursuant to such authorities during fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We understand the Department of Defense is in the process of developing metrics and incorporating them into existing program management tools to better monitor and evaluate overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs of the Department. However, according to the Department, such efforts are not expected to be fully implemented for at least 1 to 2 years.

We, therefore, direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House Representatives on the status of the Department's implementation efforts no later than 180 days after enactment of this Act. The briefing shall include, but not be limited to, a status update on metrics development and implementation, a description of how the Department plans to evaluate program and

project outcomes and impact, including cost effectiveness and the extent to which programs meet designated goals, and an analysis of steps taken to implement the recommendations from the following reports: (1) The Government Accountability Office's Report titled "Project Evaluations and Better Information Sharing Needed to Manage the Military's Efforts"; (2) The Department of Defense Inspector General Report numbered "DODIG-2012-119"; and (3) The RAND Corporation's Report prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense titled "Developing a Prototype Handbook for Monitoring and Evaluating Department of Defense Humanitarian Assistance Projects."

*Special Immigrant Visas for certain Iraqi and Afghan allies*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would make certain amendments to section 602(b) of Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-8) and section 1244 of the Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-181).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on commencement of new long-term nation building or large-scale infrastructure development projects in Afghanistan*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should seek not to commence any new long-term nation building or large-scale infrastructure development project in Afghanistan after 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We expect that, with the conclusion of the International Security Assistance Force mission at the end of 2014, the Department of Defense should no longer seek to begin new large-scale infrastructure development projects in Afghanistan.

*Sense of Congress*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220) expressing the Sense of the House of Representatives that the Special Immigration Visa programs for Iraqis and Afghans are critical to the U.S. national security, and that these programs must be reformed and extended in order to meet the congressional intent with which they were created.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on funds to establish permanent military installations or bases in Afghanistan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would prohibit the use of funds to establish any military installation or base for the permanent stationing of U.S. armed forces in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on the defense of the Arabian Gulf*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would express the sense of Congress with respect to the importance of the defense of the Arabian Gulf.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that the United States should continue to maintain the appropriate posture to defend the Arabian Gulf.

*Statement of policy on condemning the Government of Iran for its state-sponsored persecution of its Baha'i minority*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would condemn the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran for its persecution of its Baha'i minority in Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that both the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed similar resolutions condemning the actions of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran as it relates to the Baha'i minority.

*Technical correction relating to funding for NATO Special Operations Headquarters*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would make technical modifications to section 1244 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), as amended, that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$50.0 million from Operation and Maintenance in any fiscal year to support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Special Operations Headquarters.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Role of the Government of Egypt to United States national security*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1242) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report that contains a plan for United States military assistance and cooperation with Egypt.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note the continuing national security interests of the United States in ensuring that the Government of Egypt enhances its ability to detect, disrupt, dismantle, and defeat terrorist organizations and that Egypt remains a stable, strategic partner in the region. We urge the Secretary of Defense to ensure that any plan to modernize and improve U.S. security cooperation with and assistance to Egypt addresses these matters.

*Sense of Congress on the military developments on the Korean peninsula*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1243) that would express certain findings and the sense of Congress regarding the military developments on the Korean peninsula.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Statement of Congress on defense cooperation with Georgia*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1244) that would express findings and a statement of Congress with respect to the Republic of Georgia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on the conflict in Syria*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1251) that would express the sense of Congress with respect to the situation in Syria.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on availability of funds for Threat Reduction Engagement activities and United States contributions to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1254) that would provide that none of the funds made available for fiscal year 2014 for Threat Reduction Engagement activities may be obligated or expended until the President certifies to Congress that no state party to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (CTBT) has undertaken nuclear weapons test activities in fiscal year 2013 that are inconsistent with U.S. interpretations regarding obligations under such Treaty.

This section would also provide that none of the funds made available for fiscal year 2014 for contributions to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organization may be used for lobbying or advocacy in the United States relating to the CTBT.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that regarding lobbying and advocacy activities in the United States by the Comprehensive Ban Treaty Organization (18 U.S.C. 1913) prohibits such activities.

*Sense of Congress on military-to-military cooperation between the United States and Burma*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1255) that would express the sense of Congress regarding military-to-military cooperation between the United States and the Union of Burma.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We have a pronounced interest in the status of military-to-military relations between the United States and the Union of Burma and support efforts to enhance military professionalism, accountability, and civilian controls. We recognize that high standards of military professionalism, strict accountability, and effective civilian controls reduce the risks of abuse committed by military forces and encourage the Secretary of Defense to keep the congressional defense committees informed of military-to-military engagements between the United States and the Union of Burma.

*Sense of Congress on the stationing of United States forces in Europe*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1256) that would express certain findings and the sense of Congress with respect to the stationing of U.S. armed forces in Europe.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that an enduring U.S. presence and engagement with allies and other partners across Europe and Eurasia provides critical access and infrastructure necessary to accomplish U.S. strategic priorities and to facilitate a rapid U.S. response for complex contingencies in Europe, Eurasia, the Middle East, Africa as well as the Mediterranean and Atlantic Ocean. We further note that the United States continues to have an interest in supporting the stability and security of Europe.

Accordingly, we direct the Secretary of Defense, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on:

(1) The plans, if any, of the Department of Defense to maintain and enhance the capabilities of the forward-stationed active duty service members, forward-deployed rotational units, and reserve forces assigned to U.S. European Command to fulfill U.S. commitments under Article V of the North Atlantic Charter and other missions vital to protecting U.S. national security interests;

(2) The plans, if any, of the Department of Defense to maintain and enhance the capabilities of such forces to provide logistical and operational support to U.S. Central Command, U.S. Africa Command, and U.S. Strategic Command; and

(3) The steps, if any, that the Department of Defense has taken to implement the recommendations of the Government Accountability Office with regard to improved cost estimation to support informed force posture decisions with regard to the stationing of U.S. armed forces in Europe.

*Sense of Congress on military capabilities of the People's Republic of China*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1257) that would express certain findings and the sense of Congress regarding the military developments of the People's Republic of China.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We reaffirm our interest in the Asia-Pacific region and the implementation of the rebalance to that region, as described in the Defense Strategic Guidance, dated January 2012. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to continue engaging with the congressional defense committees to facilitate the successful implementation of the strategic rebalance and to continue to support the national security interests of the United States and its allies and partners in the Asia-Pacific region.

*Sense of Congress regarding relations with Taiwan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1259) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the diplomatic allowances granted to high-level Taiwanese officials and commercial interests. The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

*Sense of Congress on the threat posed by Hezbollah*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1260) that would express the sense of Congress with respect to the threat posed by Hezbollah.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Combating crime through intelligence capabilities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1261) that would authorize the supply of intelligence resources to the Joint Interagency Task Force South (JIATF-S) in coordination with U.S. Southern Command (SOUTHCOM) to combat crime.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note sequestration and budget restrictions are having a negative impact not only on readiness and modernization accounts, but also on the ability of the Department of Defense (DOD) to carry out ongoing missions.

Budgetary restrictions have drastically reduced the ability of DOD and partner agencies to allocate assets—particularly as it pertains to intelligence capabilities to the JIATF-S mission of countering illicit drug trafficking and disruption of transnational criminal organizations in the SOUTHCOM area of responsibility.

We believe that the across-the-board sequestration cuts to the DOD budget are arbitrary and undermine the national security of the United States. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to do as much as practicable to continue key operations of the geographic combatant commands, such as the counternarcotics missions of SOUTHCOM and JIATF-S.

*War Powers of Congress*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1263) that would set forth that nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize any use of military force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Prohibition on use of drones to kill United States citizens*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1264) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from using drones to kill U.S. citizens.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sale of F-16 fighter aircraft to Taiwan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1265) that would require the sale of no fewer than 66 F-16C/D multirole fighter aircraft to Taiwan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We recognize that the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96-8) states that “the United States will make available to Taiwan such defense articles and defense services in such quantity as may be necessary to enable Taiwan to maintain a sufficient self-defense capability” and that “the President and the Congress shall determine the nature and quantity of such defense articles based solely upon their judgment on the needs of Taiwan, in accordance with procedures established by law.” We believe the President should continue to take steps, consistent with the Taiwan Relations Act, to enable the Taiwan air forces to contribute to a sufficient self-defense capability.

*Statement of policy and report on the inherent right of Israel to self-defense*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1266) that would make a statement of policy and require a report on the inherent right of Israel to self-defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We reaffirm the U.S. commitment to the security of the State of Israel to help the Government of Israel preserve its qualitative military edge.

*Report on collective and national security implications of Central Asian and South Caucasus energy development*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1267) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, to submit to the appropriate congressional committees a detailed report on the implications of new energy resource development and distribution networks, in the areas surrounding the Caspian Sea, for energy security strategies of the United States and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 90 days after enactment of the Act, on regional security in the Caucasus region and its implications for the security interests of the United States and NATO.

*Limitation on assistance to provide tear gas or other riot control items*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1269) that would prohibit funds authorized or appropriated by the House bill from being used to provide tear gas or other riot control items to the government of a country undergoing a transition to democracy in the Middle East or North Africa without certification from the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on certain financial assistance to Afghan military*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1270) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on measures to monitor and ensure that U.S. financial assistance to the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) is not being used to purchase fuel from Iran in violation of U.S. sanctions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We direct the Secretary of Defense to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a briefing, within 90 days of the enactment of this Act, on the Department's measures to monitor and ensure that U.S. financial assistance to the ANSF is not being used to purchase Iranian fuel in violation of U.S. sanctions.

*Israel's right to self-defense*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1271) that would express the support of Congress for Israel's lawful exercise of self-defense including actions to halt regional aggression.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress strongly supporting the full implementation of United States and international sanctions on Iran and urging the President to continue to strengthen enforcement of sanctions legislation*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1272) that would express Congress' support for full implementation of U.S. and international sanctions against Iran and would urge the President to continue to strengthen enforcement of sanctions legislation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Sense of Congress on the illegal nuclear weapons programs of Iran and North Korea*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1273) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the threat posed by nuclear proliferation in North Korea and Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

*Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs and funds (sec. 1301)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1301) that would define the programs and funds that are Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs and funds as those authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of this Act and specify that CTR funds shall remain available for obligation for 3 fiscal years.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1301).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Funding allocations (sec. 1302)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1302) that would allocate specific amounts for each program element under the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) Program from within the overall \$528.5 million that the committee would authorize for the CTR program. This section would also require notification to Congress 15 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2014 funds for purposes other than those specifically authorized. In addition, this section would provide limited authority to obligate amounts for a program element under the CTR program in excess of the amount specifically authorized for that purpose.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1302).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that provides that for fiscal years 2014 and 2015 the Department may exceed the 10-percent limitation of section 5965 of title 22, United States Code for activities with respect to Syria. This enhanced authority is an extraordinary measure that is without precedent in the CTR program, and we will exercise congressional oversight to ensure the enhanced authority is properly and effectively used. We expect the Department to balance the need for destroying the Syrian chemical weapons stockpile, an urgent national security threat, with the expediency of using the CTR funds to assist in this effort. Given the fluid and urgent nature of this endeavor, the amendment contains enhanced briefing requirements rather than detailed reports. We expect these briefings to provide the appropriate congressional committees with the necessary detailed in-

formation to ensure an accounting of the funding provided under the program while achieving the ultimate goal of destroying Syria's chemical stockpile. We expect the Department to provide, without delay, thorough answers to questions that might arise during these briefings to ensure adequate oversight in the use of this enhanced authority.

*Extension of authority for utilization of contributions to the cooperative threat reduction program (sec. 1303)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1303) that would extend the authority of the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program to accept monetary contributions from partner nations, as set forth in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84), from December 31, 2015, to December 31, 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1303).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Strategy to modernize Cooperative Threat Reduction and prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region (sec. 1304)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1304) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, to prepare a strategy and implementation plan for preventing the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa not later than March 31, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1236) requiring the President to prepare such report and strategy.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make technical changes.

## TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

### Subtitle A—Military Programs

*Working capital funds (sec. 1401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1401) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the armed forces and agencies of the Department of Defense for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1401).

The agreement includes this provision.

*National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1402) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the National Defense Sealift Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1402).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (sec. 1403)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1403) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for chemical agents and munitions destruction, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1403).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1404)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1404) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1404).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Defense Inspector General (sec. 1405)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1405) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for the Office of the Inspector General, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1405).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Defense Health Program (sec. 1406)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1406) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1406).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

*Use of National Defense Stockpile for the conservation of a strategic and critical materials supply (sec. 1411)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would modify certain provisions of the President's authority to maintain and manage a national defense stockpile to allow the Defense Logistics Agency to more proactively engage in the market.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to acquire additional materials for the National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1412)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1412) that would provide authority to acquire certain additional strategic and critical materials for the National Defense Stockpile.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

*Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois (sec. 1421)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer \$143.1 million from the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund created by section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) for the operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1422).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1422)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would authorize \$67.8 million to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1421).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Cemeterial expenses (sec. 1423)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1423) that would authorize \$45.8 million to be appropriated for the Department of the Army for fiscal year 2014 for cemeterial expenses.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations

*Purpose (sec. 1501)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1501) stating the purpose of the title.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1501).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Procurement (sec. 1502)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1502) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4102.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1502).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 1503)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1503) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4202.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1503).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Operation and maintenance (sec. 1504)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1504) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4302.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1504).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Military personnel (sec. 1505)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1505) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the armed forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1505).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Working capital funds (sec. 1506)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1506) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the armed forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1506).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1507)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1507) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1509).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Defense Inspector General (sec. 1508)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1508) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1510).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Defense Health Program (sec. 1509)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1509) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the armed forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1511).

The agreement includes this provision.

#### Subtitle B—Financial Matters

*Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1521)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1521) stating that the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1521).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Special transfer authority (sec. 1522)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1522) that would provide the Department of Defense with \$3.0 billion of special transfer authority in fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1522) that would provide the Department of Defense with \$4.0 billion of special transfer authority in fiscal year 2014.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

#### Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

*Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1531)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1531) that would require that funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) for fiscal year 2014 be sub-

ject to the specified conditions contained in section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended. The provision would also require that an office or official be identified as responsible for each program or activity supported with ASFF. In addition, the provision would require that not less than \$47.3 million of ASFF for fiscal year 2014 be used for the recruitment and retention of women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1532) that would require that ASFF for fiscal year 2014 be subject to the specified conditions contained in section 1513 of Public Law 110–181. The provision would also provide the Secretary of Defense certain authorities for the disposal of equipment in Afghanistan.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that not less than \$25.0 million of ASFF for fiscal year 2014 be available to be used for programs and activities to support the recruitment, integration, retention, training, and treatment of women in the ANSF. The amendment would also include certain authorities for the Secretary of Defense relating to the disposal of equipment in Afghanistan. In this regard, we direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Department's plans for the final disposition of the C–27A aircraft acquired to build the capabilities of the ANSF. The report should be submitted not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

A key objective of the ASFF is to build the capacity of the ANSF, specifically the Afghan Air Force and the Special Mission Wing, to operate, maintain, and sustain rotary wing aircraft. We direct the Secretary of Defense, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the potential to incorporate U.S.-manufactured rotary wing aircraft into the ANSF after the current program of record is completed. The report should include an estimate of the anticipated costs (including costs associated with procurement and sustainment), schedule, and a description of the training required for potentially incorporating U.S.-manufactured rotary wing aircraft into the ANSF. The report should also include a description of any other actions required to be undertaken to facilitate incorporating such aircraft into the ANSF.

*Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1532)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1531) that would authorize annual transfer authorities, current reporting requirements, and other associated activities for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Future role of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (sec. 1533)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1532) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to Congress on

the future role of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical/clarifying amendment.

*Extension of authority for Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan (sec. 1534)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1533) that would extend the authority under section 1535 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) for the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan. The provision would limit funding available for the programs of the Task Force to \$63.8 million during fiscal year 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*National Defense Sealift Fund*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1507) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for the National Defense Sealift Fund as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1508) authorizing additional appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for chemical agents and munitions destruction as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1533) that would require that none of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance by section 1504, as specified in the funding table in section 4302 of this Act, may be obligated or expended for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report, required elsewhere in this Act, on Operation Observant Compass.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Report on U.S. force levels and costs of military operations in Afghanistan*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1534) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on U.S. forces levels in Afghanistan and the estimated costs of U.S. military operations in Afghanistan for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2020.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Limitation on funds for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund to acquire certain aircraft, vehicles, and equipment*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1535) that would limit the availability of \$2.6 billion of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) until the Secretary of Defense submits a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the aircraft, vehicles, and equipment to be purchased with ASFF authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We note that the Department of Defense has revised its requested funding for the ASFF, resulting in a reduction of \$1.45 billion from the budget request.

TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

Subtitle A—Defense Industrial Base Matters

*Periodic audits of contracting compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense (sec. 1601)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1601) that would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct an audit of the Department's compliance with contracting practices and policies related to procurement under section 2533a of title 10, United States Code, which pertains to the requirement to buy certain articles from American sources and is frequently referred to as the "Berry Amendment." This section would also require the Inspector General to include the findings of such periodic audits as part of the semiannual report transmitted to congressional committees as required by the Inspector General Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-452).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Foreign space activities (sec. 1602)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1605) that would prevent the Secretary of Defense from entering into contracts for com-

mercial satellite services with a covered foreign entity in a covered foreign country.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add a determination standard (of reasonable belief) that the covered foreign entity has an ownership interest that enables that government to affect satellite operations. The notice and exception provision has also been adjusted to require a 7-day notice-and-wait to the congressional defense committee.

The amendment further contains a provision that prohibits the President from authorizing or permitting the construction of a global navigation satellite system ground monitoring station owned or operated on behalf of a foreign government on U.S. territory unless the Secretary of Defense and Director of National Intelligence certify that the ground station will not be capable of being used to gather intelligence in the United States or to improve a foreign weapons system. The amendment contains a national security waiver if certain conditions are met, and a report to accompany the waiver with a notice to the appropriate congressional committees 30 days before such waiver is used. The provision has a sunset period of 5 years following the date of enactment.

We do not intend this provision to affect general private or scientific cooperation with other parties.

*Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program (sec. 1603)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1606) that would allow the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to establish a 5-year pilot program to accelerate the commercialization of basic research innovations from qualifying institutions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Small Business Concerns

*Advancing small business growth (sec. 1611)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1602) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to publish, and update annually, a list of capabilities and characteristics that would enable a qualified small business concern to become competitive as an other-than-small business for future contracts awarded by the Department of Defense.

This section would also require any contract awarded to a qualified small business concern that would exceed the applicable receipt-based small business size standard (or if the contract would exceed \$70.0 million in an industry with an employee based size standard) to include a contract clause that would encourage the small business to develop the capabilities and characteristics identified by the Under Secretary if they desire to remain competitive as other-than-small business in that industry.

In addition, this section would amend chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code, to enable Procurement Technical Assistance

Centers (PTAC) to provide additional support to these businesses without the funding and cost-share limitations that are otherwise applicable to PTAC support.

Finally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit three annual reports to the congressional defense committees beginning on March 1, 2015, on the implementation of the amendments made by this section, along with any recommendations for improving the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Amendments relating to Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program (sec. 1612)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1603) that would amend section 2413 of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to defray up to 65 percent of the eligible entity's cost of furnishing assistance under the program and would also amend section 2414 of title 10, United States Code, to increase limitations on the value of assistance that may be provided under the program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision.

*Reporting on goals for procurement contracts awarded to small business concerns (sec. 1613)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1607) that would amend section 644 of title 15, United States Code, to require each federal agency to submit a report detailing small business concerns. This report would include information regarding, among other concerns, veteran and service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Credit for certain small business subcontractors (sec. 1614)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1609) that would amend section 637d of title 15, United States Code, redefining pertaining to subcontracting.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Inapplicability of requirement to review and justify certain contracts (sec. 1615)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1611) that would dismiss the requirements stated in section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 pertaining to the pro-

visions of section 46 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657s). The purpose of this provision is to reduce the number of unnecessarily duplicative reports.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the provision.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

##### *Strategic plan for requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1604) that would require the Administrator of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) not to make any reductions in requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat (MRE) until a comprehensive strategy is developed and briefed to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that the DLA has developed a comprehensive strategic plan that: ensures an adequate MRE inventory for each of the Services; maintains the appropriate levels of MRE war reserves; and provides for a surge capability to support unforeseen contingencies. We also acknowledge that the DLA has decided to hold current MRE stock levels steady through the end of combat operations in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan until the enduring requirement can be fully established.

##### *Program to provide federal contracts to early stage small businesses*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1608) that would amend section 631 of title 15, United States Code, which would provide improved access to federal contract opportunities for early stage small business concerns.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

##### *GAO study on subcontracting reporting systems*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1610) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate regarding the feasibility of using federal subcontracting reporting systems.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit not later than 365 days after enactment of this Act a report studying the feasibility of using federal subcontracting reporting systems, including the federal subcontracting reporting system required by section 2 of the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 and any electronic subcontracting reporting award system used by the Small Business Administration, to

attribute subcontractors to any particular contracts in the case of contractors that have subcontracting plans under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act that pertain to multiple contracts with executive agencies.

TITLE XVII—SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED REFORMS

Subtitle A—Reform of Uniform Code of Military Justice

*Extension of crime victims' rights to victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1701)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 542) that would amend chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code, to include in the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) specified rights for victims of offenses under the UCMJ.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 564) that would require the Secretary of Defense to recommend modifications to the Manual for Courts-Martial (MCM) to include in the MCM specified rights for victims of offenses under the UCMJ.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Revision of Article 32 and Article 60, Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1702)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend Article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) (10 U.S.C. 860) to limit the authority of a court-martial convening authority to modify the findings and sentence imposed by a court-martial.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 555).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment and a provision that would amend Article 32, UCMJ, (10 U.S.C. 832) to require the completion of a preliminary hearing, normally conducted by a judge advocate, prior to referral to general court-martial for trial of any charge or specification.

The changes to Article 60, UCMJ, included in the agreement significantly restrict the ability of a convening authority to modify the adjudged findings and sentence of a court-martial, except in limited circumstances.

The provision included in the agreement changes Article 32, UCMJ, proceedings from an investigation to a preliminary hearing. Under current law and Rule 405 of the Rules for Court-Martial, an Article 32, UCMJ, investigation includes inquiry into the truth of the matters set forth in the charges, provides a means to ascertain and impartially weigh all available facts in arriving at conclusions and recommendations, and serves as a tool of discovery. The agreement establishes that an Article 32, UCMJ, preliminary hearing has a narrower objective: (1) To determine whether there is probable cause to believe an offense has been committed and the accused committed the offense; (2) Determine whether the convening authority has court-martial jurisdiction over the offense and the ac-

cused; (3) Consider the form of the charges; and (4) Recommend the disposition that should be made of the case.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to recommend changes to Rule 405 of the Rules for Court-Martial and other rules, if appropriate, in the Manual for Courts-Martial to facilitate the purposes of the Article 32, UCMJ, preliminary investigation, as revised by the agreement. Changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial shall be completed in time to coincide with the effective date of changes to Article 32, UCMJ, effectuated by this Act.

*Elimination of five-year statute of limitations on trial by court-martial for additional offenses involving sex-related crimes (sec. 1703)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would amend Article 43 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 843 of title 10, United States Code) to eliminate the 5-year statute of limitations on trial by court-martial for sexual assault and sexual assault of a child.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 551).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Defense counsel interview of victim of an alleged sex-related offense in presence of trial counsel, counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate (sec. 1704)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 543) that would amend Article 46 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 846) to require that, upon notice by trial counsel to defense counsel that trial counsel intends to call a complaining witness to testify at an investigation under Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 842) or court-martial, the defense counsel shall make all requests to interview the complaining witness through the trial counsel, and, if requested by the complaining witness, the defense counsel interview shall take place only in the presence of the counsel for the complaining witness or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 553).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would require that, if requested by an alleged victim of an alleged sex-related offense who is subject to a request for interview by defense counsel, such interview shall take place only in the presence of trial counsel, a counsel for the victim, or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.

*Discharge or dismissal for certain sex-related offenses and trial of such offenses by general courts-martial (sec. 1705)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 533) that would amend article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 856) to require that the sentence for a person found guilty of specified sex-related offenses include, at a minimum, a dismissal or dishonorable discharge.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 550A) that would amend article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C.

856) to require that the sentence for a person found guilty of specified sex-related offenses include, at a minimum, a dismissal or dishonorable discharge and confinement for 2 years.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would amend article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 856) to require that the sentence for a person found guilty of specified sex-related offenses include, at a minimum, a dismissal or dishonorable discharge, and would limit jurisdiction over these specified sex-related offenses to a general court-martial.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Participation by victim in clemency phase of courts-martial process (sec. 1706)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 544) that would amend Article 60(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 860(b)) to require that complaining witnesses be provided an opportunity to submit matters for consideration by the convening authority before the convening authority acts on the findings and sentence of a court-martial.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 556) that would amend Article 60(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 860(b)) to: (1) Afford a complaining witness an opportunity to respond to any clemency matters submitted by an accused to the convening authority that refer to the complaining witness; (2) Afford a complaining witness an opportunity to submit matters to the convening authority in any case in which findings and sentence have been adjudged for an offense involving the complaining witness; and (3) Prohibit the convening authority from considering matters that go to the character of a complaining witness unless the matters were presented at the court-martial.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend Article 60(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 860(b)) to require that a victim be provided an opportunity to submit matters for consideration by the convening authority before the convening authority takes action on the findings or sentence of a court-martial that involved the victim, and to provide that the convening authority shall not consider any submitted matters that relate to the character of a victim unless such matters were presented as evidence at trial and not excluded at trial.

*Repeal of the offense of consensual sodomy under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1707)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would amend Article 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 925 of title 10, United States Code) to prohibit forcible sodomy and bestiality.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Modification of Manual for Courts-Martial to eliminate factor relating to character and military service of the accused in rule on initial disposition of offenses (sec. 1708)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would require the Secretary of Defense to recommend to the President a change to the Manual for Courts-Martial that would strike the character and the military service of the accused from the factors a commander should consider when deciding how to dispose of sex-related offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 565) that would require that the discussion pertaining to Rule 306 of the Manual for Courts-Martial be amended, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to strike the character and military service of the accused from the factors a commander should consider in deciding how to dispose of any offense.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Prohibition of retaliation against members of the Armed Forces for reporting a criminal offense (sec. 1709)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, that prohibit retaliation against an alleged victim or other member of the armed forces who reports a criminal offense. This provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, setting forth recommendations as to whether the Uniform Code of Military Justice should be amended to prohibit retaliation against an alleged victim or other member of the armed forces who reports a criminal offense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Other Amendments to Title 10, United States Code

*Prohibition on service in the Armed Forces by individuals who have been convicted of certain sexual offenses (sec. 1711)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend chapter 37 of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit the commissioning or enlistment in the armed forces of individuals who have been convicted of felony offenses of rape or sexual assault, forcible sodomy, incest, or of an attempt to commit these offenses.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Issuance of regulations applicable to the Coast Guard regarding consideration of request for permanent change of station or unit transfer by victim of sexual assault (sec. 1712)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 534) that would amend section 673(b) of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the requirement for timely determination and action on an application by

a victim of certain sexual offenses for a change of station or unit transfer applies to the Coast Guard.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 533).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member of the Armed Forces on active duty who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense (sec. 1713)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 535) that would authorize service secretaries to provide guidance for commanders regarding their authority to make a timely determination and to take action regarding whether a service member serving on active duty who is alleged to have committed specified sexual offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice should be temporarily reassigned or removed from a position of authority or from an assignment, not as a punitive measure, but solely for the purpose of maintaining good order and discipline within the unit.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 532).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Expansion and enhancement of authorities relating to protected communications of members of the Armed Forces and prohibited retaliatory actions (sec. 1714)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 527) that would amend section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, to enhance protections for military whistleblowers. The House provision would: expand the categories of prohibited personnel actions; expand the class of communications protected under the statute; increase the time period during which an allegation of reprisal must be investigated from 60 days to 1 year; require Department of Defense Inspectors General to make explicit determinations as to whether a prohibited personnel action had occurred, a determination that is now made by the Secretary concerned; require the Secretary concerned, in cases where a violation occurred, to take corrective action on behalf of the whistleblower and appropriate disciplinary action against the individual who committed the prohibited personnel action; require military legal assistance before a board for correction of military records on behalf of whistleblowers; and apply the burdens of proof applicable in civilian whistleblower cases under title 5, United States Code, to military whistleblower cases.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 511) that would amend section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, to: expand the categories of prohibited personnel actions and class of protected communications under the statute; increase the time period during which an allegation of reprisal must be investigated from 60 days to 180 days; retain the authority of the Secretary concerned to make the determination as to whether reprisal occurred, but require such Secretary to make such a determination within 30 days of receiving a report from an Inspector General, and if so determined, to take corrective action on behalf of the whistleblower and appropriate disciplinary action against the individual

who committed the prohibited personnel action; and retain the current burdens of proof applicable to military whistleblower cases.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would: increase the time period during which an allegation of reprisal must be investigated from 60 days to 1 year; authorize military legal assistance before a board for correction of military records on behalf of a whistleblower in cases where the Judge Advocate General concerned determines that the whistleblower would benefit from such assistance; and require that the Inspector General investigation be conducted outside the immediate chain of command, or at least one organization higher in the chain of command, relative to the whistleblower and the person alleged to have taken the retaliatory action.

*Inspector General investigation of allegations of retaliatory personnel actions taken in response to making protected communications regarding sexual assault (sec. 1715)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 537) that would amend section 1034(c)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Inspector General to review and investigate allegations of retaliatory personnel actions for making a protected communication regarding violations of law or regulation that prohibit rape, sexual assault, or other sexual misconduct.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 542).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Designation and availability of Special Victims' Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses (sec. 1716)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 536) that would amend chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, to require service secretaries to designate legal counsel (to be known as "Victims' Counsel") for the purpose of providing legal assistance to an individual eligible for legal assistance who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, regardless of whether the report of that offense is restricted or unrestricted.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 539) that would require the service secretaries to implement a program to provide a Special Victims' Counsel to service members who are victims of a sexual assault committed by a member of the armed forces.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment clarifying the types of legal assistance that may be provided under this provision.

#### Subtitle C—Amendments to Other Laws

*Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults (sec. 1721)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would require the Secretary of Defense to direct service secretaries to verify and track the compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments required as part of the com-

prehensive policy for the Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to require the Secretary of Defense to direct the service secretaries to verify and track the compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments.

*Advancement of submittal deadline for report of independent panel on assessment of military response systems to sexual assault (sec. 1722)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 549(b)) that would amend section 576(c)(1)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to provide that the panel established to conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving sexual assault and related offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice would terminate no later than one year after the first meeting of the panel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 543).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Retention of certain forms in connection with Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports on sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1723)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 538) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that copies of Department of Defense Forms 2910 and 2911 filed in connection with Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault are retained for the longer of 50 years or the period that such forms are required to be retained pursuant to Department of Defense directives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves (sec. 1724)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 537) that would require service secretaries to ensure that each member of the National Guard or Reserves who is the victim of a sexual assault either during the performance of duties as a member of the National Guard or Reserves, or is a victim of a sexual assault by another member of the National Guard or Reserves, has access to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator not later than 2 business days following a request for such assistance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require that each member of the National Guard or Reserves who is the victim of a sexual assault either during the performance of duties as a member of the National Guard or Re-

serves, or is a victim of a sexual assault by another member of the National Guard or Reserves, has timely access to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator.

*Qualifications and selection of Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response personnel and required availability of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (sec. 1725)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would amend section 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) to require the Secretary of Defense to establish selection qualifications for members of the armed forces or civilian employees for assignment to duty as Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates. In addition, this provision would require the Secretary of each military department to assign at least one Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent to each brigade or equivalent unit level unless the Secretary determines that compliance would impose an undue burden.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 536(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the adequacy of the training, qualifications, and experience of service members and civilian employees assigned to a position that includes responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would: (1) Require the assignment of at least one full-time sexual assault nurse examiner to each military medical treatment facility in which an emergency department operates 24 hours per day; (2) Require that a sexual assault nurse examiner be made available at other military medical treatment facilities, consistent with the Department of Justice National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescent; and (3) Require that the Secretary of Defense submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the review of the adequacy of the training, qualifications, and experience of service members and civilian employees assigned to positions that include responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response in the armed forces.

We encourage the Department of Defense to include board certification to the extent possible as part of the training and certification requirement for sexual assault nurse examiners.

*Additional responsibilities of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office for Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program (sec. 1726)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 535) that would amend section 1611(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) to require the Director of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (the Director) to: (1) oversee development and implementation of the comprehensive policy for the Department of Defense (DOD) sexual assault prevention and response program; (2) serve as the single point of authority, accountability, and over-

sight for the sexual assault prevention and response program; (3) undertake responsibility for the oversight of the implementation of the sexual assault prevention and response program by the armed forces; (4) collect and maintain data of the military departments on sexual assault; (5) provide oversight to ensure that the military departments maintain documents relating to allegations and complaints of sexual assault involving service members and courts-martial or trials of service members for sexual assault offenses; (6) act as a liaison between DOD and other federal and state agencies on programs and efforts relating to sexual assault prevention and response; (7) oversee development of strategic program guidance and joint planning objectives for resources in support of the sexual assault prevention and response program, and make recommendations on modifications to policy, law, and regulations needed to ensure the continuing availability of such resources; and (8) provide the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) any records or documents on sexual assault in the armed forces, including restricted reports with the approval of the individuals who filed such reports, that are required for the purposes of the administration of the laws administered by the Secretary of the VA.

The provision would amend subtitle A of title XVI of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to require the Director to collect and maintain data from the services on sexual assaults involving service members and to develop metrics to measure the effectiveness of, and compliance with, the training and awareness objectives on sexual assault and prevention.

The provision would also amend section 1631(f) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to require the service secretaries to include in the case synopsis portion of the annual report regarding sexual assaults involving members of the armed forces the unit of each service member accused of committing a sexual assault and the unit of each service member who is a victim of a sexual assault.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 1611(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to require the Director to collect and maintain data of the military departments on sexual assault; act as a liaison between DOD and other federal and state agencies on programs and efforts relating to sexual assault prevention and response; oversee development of strategic program guidance and joint planning objectives for resources in support of the sexual assault prevention and response program, and make recommendations on modifications to policy, law, and regulations needed to ensure the continuing availability of such resources; and develop metrics to measure the effectiveness of, and compliance with, training and awareness objectives of the military departments on sexual assault prevention and response.

## Subtitle D—Studies, Reviews, Policies, and Reports

*Independent reviews and assessments of Uniform Code of Military Justice and judicial proceedings of sexual assault cases (sec. 1731)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 533(c)) that would require the Response Systems Panel established under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) (FY13 NDAA) to assess the appropriateness of statutorily mandated minimum sentencing provisions for additional offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), and would require the Judicial Proceedings Panel established under subsection (a)(2) of the FY13 NDAA to assess the implementation and effect of the mandatory minimum sentences established elsewhere in this bill.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 536(c)) that would require the Response Systems Panel to conduct an assessment regarding whether the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of Victims' Counsel to provide legal assistance to victims of alleged sex-related offenses should be expanded to include legal standing to represent the victim during investigative and military justice proceedings in connection with the prosecution of the offense; and would require the Judicial Proceedings Panel to conduct an assessment of the implementation and effect of authorizing Victims' Counsel to provide legal assistance to victims of alleged sex-related offenses.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 542(c)) that would require the Response Systems Panel to assess the feasibility and appropriateness of extending to victims of military crimes the additional right afforded a crime victim in civilian criminal legal proceedings under subsection (a)(4) of section 3771 of title 18, United States Code, and the legal standing to seek enforcement of crime victim rights provided by subsection (d) of such section.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 549 (a),(c), and (d)) that would require the Response Systems Panel to conduct an assessment of the impact, if any, that removing from the chain of command any disposition authority regarding charges preferred under the UCMJ would have on overall reporting and prosecution of sexual assault cases, and to review and provide comment on the report of the Secretary of Defense on the role of military commanders in the military justice process required elsewhere in this Act; and would require the Judicial Proceedings Panel to assess the likely consequences of amending of the definition of rape and sexual assault under Article 120 of the UCMJ to expressly cover a situation in which a person subject to the UCMJ commits a sexual act upon another person by abusing one's position in the chain of command of the other person to gain access to or coerce the other person.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 544) that would require the Response Systems Panel to include in the comparison of military and civilian systems for the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of adult sexual assault crimes, required by section 576(d)(1)(B), an assessment of the opportunities for clemency provided in the military and civilian systems, the ap-

propriateness of clemency proceedings in the military system, the manner in which clemency is used in the military system, and whether clemency in the military justice system could be reserved until the end of the military appeals process. The provision would also require the Response Systems Panel to assess the means by which the name, if known, and other necessary identifying information of an alleged offender that is collected as part of a restricted report of a sexual assault could be compiled into a protected, searchable database.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would require the Judicial Proceedings Panel to assess the adequacy of the provision of compensation and restitution for victims of offenses under the UCMJ, and develop recommendations on expanding such compensation and restitution.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 545) that would require the Response Systems Panel and the Judicial Proceedings Panel to assess the effectiveness of provisions of law on sexual assault prevention and response adopted and provisions offered but not adopted during the markup by the Senate Committee on Armed Services of the bill to enact the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

The agreement includes a provision that would consolidate the provisions, delete redundant provisions, and align the additional responsibilities as appropriate under the Response Systems Panel and the Judicial Proceedings Panel.

*Review and policy regarding Department of Defense investigative practices in response to allegations of Uniform Code of Military Justice violations (sec. 1732)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 539) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the practices of military criminal investigative organizations (MCIO) regarding the investigation of alleged sex-related offenses involving members of the armed forces, including the extent to which the MCIOs make a recommendation regarding whether an allegation of a sex-related offense appears founded or unfounded, and to develop a uniform policy regarding the use of case determinations to record the results of investigations of violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand the scope of the review to MCIO investigations of allegations of any offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

*Review of training and education provided members of the Armed Forces on sexual assault prevention and response (sec. 1733)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 540) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a uniform curriculum, to include lesson plans, to ensure that sexual assault prevention and response training and education for members of the armed forces are uniform across the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 536(a)) that would require the Secretary to review the adequacy of the training provided to service members on sexual assault prevention and response, and to prescribe any modifications necessary to the training provided members of the armed forces on sexual assault prevention and response.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to identify common core elements that must be included in any training or education provided to service members on sexual assault prevention and response and to submit a report containing the results of the review, including the common core elements identified in the review, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

*Report on implementation of Department of Defense policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1734)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550G) that would amend section 1631(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) to require service secretaries to include in their annual reports to the Secretary of Defense on sexual assaults: (1) A description of the implementation of the comprehensive policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving service members; and (2) The policies, procedures, and the processes implemented by the secretary concerned to ensure detailed evidence and records are transmitted to the Department of Veterans Affairs for sexual trauma that occurred during active duty service.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the progress made in developing and implementing the comprehensive policy on the retention and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving service members and to submit a report containing the results of the review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

*Review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases (sec. 1735)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity for the purposes of identifying resource and personnel gaps in the office, the role of the office in sexual harassment cases, and evaluating how the office works with the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to address sexual assault in the armed forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine whether sexual harassment cases should be evaluated or addressed within the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity and to identify and assess the capability of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity to track sexual harassment cases.

#### Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training (sec. 1741)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 548) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating to maintain a policy that defines and prescribes what constitutes an inappropriate relationship, communication, conduct, or contact, including when such an action is consensual, between a service member who exercises authority or control over, or supervises a prospective member of the armed forces undergoing entry-level processing or training. The provision would also require that a service member who violates this policy be processed for administrative separation when the member is not otherwise punitively discharged or dismissed from the armed forces for that violation, and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a proposed amendment to chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), to create an additional article regarding violations of the policy described above.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 557) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act, a report on whether legislative action is required to modify the Uniform Code of Military Justice (chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code), to prohibit sexual acts and contacts between military instructors and their trainees.

The agreement includes a provision that would combine the House and Senate provisions.

*Commanding officer action on reports on sexual offenses involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1742)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would require commanding officers to immediately refer to the appropriate military criminal investigation organization reports of sex-related offenses involving members of the commander's chain of command.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Eight-day incident reporting requirement in response to unrestricted report of sexual assault in which the victim is a member of the Armed Forces (sec. 1743)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 545) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating to establish and maintain a policy for a written incident report to detail actions taken or in progress to provide the victim of a sexual assault with necessary care and support, to refer the allegation of sexual assault to the appropriate investigative agency, and to provide initial notification to the chain of command above the unit in which the victim served when such notification had not already taken place. This provision would require the incident report to be provided within 8 days of the unrestricted report of a sexual assault, and would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations to carry out the policy within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial (sec. 1744)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would require review of decisions not to refer charges of rape or sexual assault, forcible sodomy, or attempts to commit these offenses to trial by court-martial. In any case in which the staff judge advocate recommends that the charges be referred to trial by court-martial and the convening authority decides not to refer the charges to trial by court-martial, the convening authority would be required to forward the case file to the service secretary for review. In cases where the staff judge advocate recommends that the charges not be referred to trial by court-martial and the convening authority agrees, the convening authority would be required to forward the case file to a superior commander authorized to exercise general court-martial convening authority for review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 1745)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 547) that would require the Secretary of Defense to require commanders to include letters of reprimand, nonpunitive letters of actions and counseling statements involving substantiated cases of sexual harassment or sexual assault in the performance evaluation reports of service members.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 534) that would require that complaints of a sex-related offense resulting in a court-martial conviction, non-judicial punishment, or administrative action be noted in the personnel service record of the service member, regardless of the member's grade. The provi-

sion would also require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations requiring commanders to review the history of substantiated sexual offenses of service members permanently assigned to the commander's facility, installation, or unit.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Prevention of sexual assault at military service academies (sec. 1746)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550D) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that each of the military service academies adds a section in the ethics curricula of such academies that outlines honor, respect, and character development as such pertain to the issue of preventing sexual assault in the armed forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the curricula of each of the military service academies to include a section that outlines honor, respect, and character development as such pertain to the issue of preventing sexual assault in the armed forces and that the training included in the curricula be provided within 14 days after the initial arrival of a new cadet or midshipman at the military service academy and repeated annually thereafter.

*Required notification whenever members of the Armed Forces are completing Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions (sec. 1747)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550E) that would require the Secretary of Defense to inform service members at the earliest time possible, such as upon enlistment and commissioning, and during sexual assault awareness training and service member interactions with sexual assault response coordinators, of the policy of instructing an individual to answer "no" to question 21 of Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions with respect to consultation with a health care professional if the individual is a victim of sexual assault and the consultation occurred with respect to an emotional or mental health condition strictly in relation to the sexual assault.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that a service member be notified of the policy of instructing an individual to answer "no" to question 21 of Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions with respect to consultation with a health care professional if the individual is a victim of sexual assault and the consultation occurred with respect to an emotional or mental health condition strictly in relation to the sexual assault whenever the member is required to complete Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions.

## Subtitle F—Sense of Congress Provisions

*Sense of Congress on commanding officer responsibility for command climate free of retaliation (sec. 1751)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 540) that would express the sense of Congress that: (1) commanding officers are responsible for establishing a command climate in which sexual assault allegations are properly managed and fairly evaluated and a victim can report criminal activity, including sexual assault, without fear of retaliation, including ostracism and group pressure from other members of the command; (2) the failure of commanding officers to maintain such a command climate is an appropriate basis for relief from their command positions; and (3) senior officers should evaluate subordinate commanding officers on their performance in establishing a command climate free of retaliation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

*Sense of Congress on disposition of charges involving certain sexual misconduct offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice through courts-martial (sec. 1752)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 558) that would express the sense of the Senate that charges of rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, or attempts to commit these offenses should be disposed of by court-martial rather than by non-judicial punishment or administrative action, and that the disposition authority should include in the case file a justification in any case where these charges are disposed of by non-judicial punishment or administrative action.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress.

*Sense of Congress on the discharge in lieu of court-martial of members of the Armed Forces who commit sex-related offenses (sec. 1753)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 559) that would express the sense of the Senate that: (1) the armed forces should be sparing in discharging in lieu of court-martial service members who have committed rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, or attempts to commit such offenses, and should do so only when the facts of the case clearly warrant such discharge; (2) whenever possible, victims of these offenses should be consulted about the discharge of the service member; (3) commanding officers should consider the views of these victims when determining whether to discharge service members in lieu of court-martial; and (4) discharges of service members in lieu of court-martial for the specified offenses should be characterized as Other Than Honorable.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that would express a sense of Congress.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Servicemembers' accountability, rights, and responsibilities training*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530A) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that all service members understand and comply with specified rights and responsibilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Inspector General of the Department of Defense review of separation of members of the Armed Forces who made unrestricted reports of sexual assault*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530B) that would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct a review to identify all members of the armed forces who, since January 1, 2002, were separated from the armed forces after making an unrestricted report of sexual assault.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Secretary of Defense report on role of commanders in military justice process*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 538) that would require the Secretary of Defense to assess the current role and authorities of commanders in the administration of military justice and the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Enhancement to requirements for availability of information on sexual assault prevention and response resources*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550B) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that information relating to sexual assault prevention and response and resource information is prominently posted in specified locations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that section 572(a)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) requires the Department of Defense to “post and widely disseminate information about the resources available to report and respond to sexual assaults, including the establishment of a hotline phone number and Internet websites available to all members of the armed forces.” We further understand that the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is currently updating existing policy to include this requirement, and look forward to the final policy being published as soon as possible.

*Health welfare inspections*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 564) that would require the secretary of each military department to conduct health and welfare inspections on a monthly basis to ensure and maintain security, military readiness, and good order and discipline.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

## DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

*Summary and explanation of funding tables*

Division B of this Act authorizes funding for military construction projects of the Department of Defense. It includes funding authorizations for the construction and operation of military family housing as well as military construction for the reserve components, the defense agencies, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. It also provides authorization for the base closure accounts that fund military construction, environmental cleanup, and other activities required to implement the decisions in base closure rounds.

The following tables provide the project-level authorizations for the military construction funding authorized in Division B of this Act and summarize that funding by account. Funding for base closure projects is summarized in the table that follows, and is explained in additional detail in the table included in title XXVII of this report.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Short title (sec. 2001)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2001) that would designate division B of this Act as the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2001).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2002) that would ensure that the authorizations provided in titles XXI through XXVII and XXIX shall expire on October 1, 2016, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2002).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Effective date*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2003) that would provide that titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, XXVI, XXVII, and XXIX of this Act take effect on October 1, 2013, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

## TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

*Summary*

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$1.1 billion for military construction and \$556.9 million for family housing for the Army for fiscal year 2014. The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$1.1 billion for military construction and \$556.9 million for family housing for the Army for fiscal year 2014.

The budget request included \$75.0 million for a Command and Control facility for U.S. Army Pacific. While we support the requirement for this facility, we are concerned that the unit cost for this facility is high compared to a standard design even when accounting for Area Cost Factors. Additionally, we believe the full amount requested by the Department is not necessary in light of efforts to reduce the size of headquarters staffs across the Department. Therefore, the agreement includes \$70.0 million, a reduction of \$5.0 million, for this facility.

The budget request included \$33.0 million for Host Nation Support Planning and Design. In light of unobligated balances in the Planning and Design accounts from previous years, the agreement reflects a \$5.0 million reduction.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2101) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Army for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2101).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Family housing (sec. 2102)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2102) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2014. It would also authorize

funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2102).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2103)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2103) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Army for fiscal year 2014. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2014 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active duty component of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2103).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York (sec. 2104)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2109) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds for the second increment of barracks construction at the U.S. Military Academy (USMA), New York, as requested, until the Secretary of the Army certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary has entered into a contract for the renovation of MacArthur Short Barracks at the USMA, consistent with the plan provided to the congressional defense committees in March 2013.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Army to certify to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary intends to award a contract for the renovation of MacArthur Short Barracks concurrent with assuming beneficial occupancy of the renovated Scott Barracks.

*Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project (sec. 2105)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2104) that would provide additional authority for a project initially provided in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136) at Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey, for construction of a Research and Development Loading Facility.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2106).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project (sec. 2106)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2101(b) of the Military

Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2629) for construction of an APS Warehouses at Camp Arifjan, Kuwait.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2105).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2107)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2106) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4437) for construction of a Regional Logistic Support Complex at Fort Lewis, Washington.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2104).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2010 projects (sec. 2108)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2107) that would extend the authorizations for three projects originally authorized by section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 11–84) until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2108).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2109)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2108) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2107).

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Transfer of Administrative Jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill, Dahlonaga, Georgia*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2109) that would require the Secretary of Agriculture to transfer certain Federal land administered as part of the Chattahoochee National Forest to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that the current agreement between the Department of Agriculture and the Department of the Army related to an Army

Ranger training area at Camp Frank D. Merrill in Dahlonega, Georgia, is inadequate to support the existing missions of the Department of the Army. We note that Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture have entered into discussions to address procedures for management and administration of the property that we expect will ameliorate these concerns. We urge the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture to expeditiously conclude these discussions to preserve and enhance the training and military readiness capacity at Camp Frank D. Merrill. Lastly, we direct the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the status of negotiations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act and summarizing the results of the negotiations not later than 90 days after an agreement is reached.

*Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2901) that would authorize Army construction projects for fiscal year 2014 at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to provide a brief to the congressional defense committees on infrastructure costs associated with continued detention operations at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and would require the President to provide a plan relating to detainees at Guantanamo Bay, future terrorist captures, and detainees held at the detention Facility at Parwan, Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill did not contain a similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

*Summary*

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$1.7 billion for military construction and \$463.2 million for family housing for the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2014. The agreement includes the requested amounts.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2201) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Navy for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2201).

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Family housing (sec. 2202)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2202) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2014. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2202).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2203) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2014 to improve existing Navy family housing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2203).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2204) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Navy for fiscal year 2014. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2014 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active duty component of the Navy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2205)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2206) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4441), for construction of Navy Central Command ammunition magazines in Bahrain.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2206).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project (sec. 2206)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2207) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1666) for construction of Explosives Handling Wharf No. 2 at Kitsap, Washington.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2205).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2207)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2208) that would extend the fiscal year 2011 authorization for two projects until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2207) that would extend the fiscal year 2011 authorization for one project until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later and another similar provision (sec. 2208) that would extend the fiscal year 2011 authorization for one project until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The agreement includes the House provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from obligating or expending any funds authorized for land acquisition related to the Townsend Bombing Range near Savannah, Georgia, until the Secretary certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary has entered into mutually-acceptable agreements with the governments of Long and McIntosh Counties, Georgia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The agreement includes an authorization of \$61.7 million to acquire real estate interests as the first phase of an expansion of the Townsend Bombing Range in Georgia in order to support the training of Navy and Marine Corps aviators in air-to-ground employment of precision guided munitions. Considering the fact that the first phase of the expansion will require the purchase of approximately 20,000 acres from private entities, we expect that the Department of the Navy will continue efforts to engage community representatives from Long County, Georgia and McIntosh County, Georgia with the goal of achieving a mutually acceptable agreement regarding terms for the real property to be acquired for the expansion of the Townsend Bombing Range that protects and supports the mission of the range.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

*Summary*

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$1.1 billion for military construction and \$464.9 million for family housing for the Air Force in fiscal year 2014. The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$1.1 billion for military

construction and \$464.9 million for family housing for fiscal year 2014.

The budget request included \$192.7 million for KC-46A Main Operating Base (MOB) #1 facilities and \$63.0 million for KC-46A Formal Training Unit (FTU) facilities at unspecified locations. On May 22, 2013, the Air Force announced McConnell Air Force Base, Kansas, as its preferred alternative for the KC-46A MOB #1 and Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma, as its preferred alternative for the KC-46A FTU. Concurrent with this announcement, the Air Force also requested an amendment to its budget request specifying location-specific requirements for KC-46A bed down, including \$219.1 million for eight military construction projects at McConnell Air Force Base and \$30.9 million for five military construction projects at Altus Air Force Base. The agreement reflects these amounts.

The budget request included \$12.0 million for a Main Gate Complex at Royal Air Force Station Croughton, United Kingdom. The House bill included no funding for this project and the report accompanying the House bill (H. Rept. 113-102) directed the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by September 30, 2013, regarding the costs and benefits of locating various intelligence functions at the installation. The required report has not been provided to the congressional defense committees and, therefore, the agreement includes no funding for this project.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

##### *Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2301) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Air Force for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2301).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

##### *Family housing (sec. 2302)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2302) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2014. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2302).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2303) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2014 to improve existing Air Force family housing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2303).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2304)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2304) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Air Force for fiscal year 2014. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2014 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active duty component of the Air Force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2304).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2305)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2306) that would limit the Secretary of the Air Force from expending any funds authorized by this title that are associated with the construction of a maintenance facility, a hazardous cargo pad, or an airport storage facility at Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, until the Secretary certifies that the Department of the Air Force will purchase the requisite real estate necessary to support these projects.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit funds for the construction of projects in fiscal year 2014 to support divert field operations in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands until the Secretary of the Air Force provides a summary of alternatives considered, a description of the overall construction requirements, and a comparison of the costs and benefits of leasing compared to purchasing real estate to support the divert field requirements.

In addition, we note that the Governor of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands has expressed concerns regarding the proposed location of the divert field and whether it should be sited on Saipan or Tinian. As such, we expect the Secretary of the Air Force to consult with the Governor of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands regarding the location of projects to support divert field operations with the goal of achieving a mutually agreeable solution.

*Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project (sec. 2306)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would increase the construction scope associated with a Fuel Systems Main-

tenance Hangar authorization at Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, provided in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239), to \$128.0 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2307)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2307) that would extend the authorization listed until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2305).

The agreement includes the House provision.

#### TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

##### BUDGET ITEMS

###### *Summary*

The Department of Defense (DOD) requested authorization of appropriations of \$4.0 billion for military construction for the defense agencies, \$150.0 million for energy conservation projects, \$122.5 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$57.6 million for family housing for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2014. The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$3.4 billion for military construction, \$150.0 million for energy conservation projects, \$122.5 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$57.6 million for family housing for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2014.

The budget request included \$431.0 million for the third increment of the High Performance Computing Center at Fort Meade, Maryland. We understand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$35.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$265.0 million for an Ambulatory Health Center at Fort Knox, Kentucky. We understand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$120.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$210.0 million for replacement of the Public Health Command Laboratory at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. We understand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$135.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$76.2 million for the second increment of the Ambulatory Care Center at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland. We understand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$38.1 million reduction.

The budget request included \$251.2 million for the fifth increment of the Hospital Replacement at Fort Bliss, Texas. We under-

stand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$152.1 million reduction.

The budget request included \$151.5 million for the third increment of the Medical Center Replacement at Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany. We understand DOD would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request in fiscal year 2014 and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$75.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$1.8 million for a Tour Bus Drop Off at the Pentagon Reservation, Virginia. We believe this project is unjustified given the current fiscal pressures facing DOD and does little to improve the safety of visitors to the Pentagon and, therefore, the agreement includes no funding for this project.

The budget request included \$85.0 million for the second increment of the Aegis Ashore Missile Defense Systems Complex in Deveselu, Romania. We understand that this project was awarded significantly below the authorized level and, therefore, the agreement reflects a \$5.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$10.0 million for Contingency Construction. In light of unobligated balances in the Contingency Construction account from previous years, the agreement reflects a \$10.0 million reduction.

#### *U.S. Special Operations Command Military Construction Requirements*

The budget request included a total of \$32.9 million for three military construction projects that support Special Operations Forces (SOF) Resiliency and Human Performance Centers.

The House bill did not authorize the three military construction projects because of concerns about duplication of existing physical fitness facilities provided by the military services and potential conflicts with medical care provided by the TRICARE Management Activity.

The Senate committee-reported bill included the requested funds.

The agreement includes the requested funds.

We fully support the intent of the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Preservation of the Force and Families (POTFF) initiative. However, we are concerned about the affordability of USSOCOM's current plan for the POTFF and, specifically, its projected cost of almost \$500.0 million, including \$200.0 million for military construction, across the future year's defense plan (FYDP) in light of current budgetary pressures. We are also concerned about the adverse impact of prioritizing military construction investments to support the POTFF at the expense of other longstanding USSOCOM military construction requirements to recapitalize old and failing facilities. Lastly, we believe that USSOCOM Major Force Program 11 (MFP-11) military construction funds should only be used to fulfill "special operations-peculiar" facility requirements and should not be used to duplicate facilities provided by the military services.

In order to better assess USSOCOM's future military construction requirements, we direct the Secretary of Defense, concurrent with the budget request for fiscal year 2015, to provide the congressional defense committees with an assessment of military construc-

tion requirements for USSOCOM and those necessary to support the USSOCOM POTFF across the FYDP. This assessment shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) The definition of “SOF-peculiar” as it applies to the use of USSOCOM MFP–11 funding to meet military construction requirements;

(2) A description of the decision making process for determining whether a military construction project should be funded through MFP–11 or by the military services;

(3) An assessment of the feasibility of military construction investments to support the POTFF initiative, as outlined in the FYDP, in light of current budgetary pressures;

(4) The rationale for funding military construction projects in support of the POTFF initiative, as outlined in the FYDP, through MFP–11 as opposed to the budgets of the military services, including a description of any POTFF military construction requirements that can be satisfied by the military services;

(5) A prioritized list, by component, of military construction projects included in the FYDP that support the POTFF initiative, including cost and location; and

(6) A detailed listing of all military construction facilities within USSOCOM that are failing or have exceeded their lifetime of use by component, by function, and by military base, and a detailed listing of all unfunded USSOCOM military construction requirements by component, function and military base.

#### Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

##### *Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2401) that would authorize military construction projects for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2401).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

##### *Authorized energy conservation projects (sec. 2402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize energy conservation projects for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2402).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies (sec. 2403)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would authorize appropriations for the construction and family housing projects of the defense agencies for fiscal year 2014. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2014 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the defense agencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2403).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

#### Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

*Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide (sec. 2411)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2411) that would authorize appropriations for military construction projects for the chemical demilitarization program for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2411).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

#### TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

*Summary*

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$239.7 million for military construction in fiscal year 2014 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$200.0 million for military construction in fiscal year 2014 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

We understand that the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program has expended prior year funds more slowly than anticipated and does not require the full requested amount for fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the agreement reflects a \$40.0 million reduction.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2501) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this title and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2501).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2502).

The agreement includes the House provision.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

*Summary*

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$693.3 million for military construction in fiscal year 2014 for facilities for the guard and reserve components. The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$688.3 million for military construction in fiscal year 2014 for facilities for the guard and reserve components.

The budget request included \$29.0 million for Planning and Design for Army National Guard facilities. In light of unobligated balances in the Planning and Design accounts from previous years, the agreement reflects a \$5.0 million reduction.

Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

*Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army National Guard for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2601).

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army Reserve for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2602).

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2603) that would authorize military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and the Marine Corps Reserve for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2603).

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2604) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air National Guard for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2604).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2605) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2605).

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note the authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4601 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

*Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2606) that would authorize appropriations for the reserve component military construction projects for fiscal year 2014. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2014 military construction projects authorized for the reserve components.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2606).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would prohibit obligation or expenditure of authorized funds for military construction projects associated with the 175th Network Warfare Squadron Facility at Fort Meade, Maryland, or the Cyber/ISR Facility at Martin State Airport, Maryland, until the

Secretary of Defense makes several certifications to the congressional defense committees.

#### Subtitle B—Other Matters

##### *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project (sec. 2611)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2611) that would modify the authority provided by section 2603 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239) and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2611).

The agreement includes the House provision.

##### *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2612)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2612) that would extend the authorizations for three fiscal year 2011 projects until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained two similar provisions (sec. 2612 and sec. 2613) that would extend the fiscal year 2011 authorization for two projects until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

The agreement includes the House provision.

#### TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

##### *Summary*

The Department of Defense requested \$451.4 million for the ongoing cost of environmental remediation and other activities necessary to continue implementation of the 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, and 2005 Base Realignment and Closure rounds. The agreement includes the requested amount.

#### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

##### *Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account (sec. 2701)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would authorize appropriations for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decision of base realignment and closure activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2701).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

*Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round (sec. 2711)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2711) that would prohibit funds, appropriated pursuant to an authorization of appropriations contained in this Act, to be used to propose, plan for, or execute an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2702) that would establish, as a precondition for the authorization of a future BRAC round, a requirement for the Department of Defense to submit to Congress a formal review of overseas military facility structure.

The agreement includes a provision that would make clear that nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize a future BRAC round.

We note that the agreement also reduces the budget request by \$8.0 million in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide requested by the Department to “develop recommendations and manage a new BRAC round.”

*Elimination of quarterly certification requirement regarding availability of military health care in National Capital Region (sec. 2712)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2712) that would repeal a quarterly reporting requirement regarding the capacity of the military health care system in the National Capital Region.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Report on 2005 base closure and realignment joint basing initiative (sec. 2713)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2703) that would require the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the 2005 BRAC joint basing initiative.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Consideration of the value of services provided by a local community to the Armed Forces as part of the economic analysis in making base realignment or closure decisions*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2713) that would require the Secretary of Defense to include an accounting of the value of services that are provided by the local community to the military as part of the economic analysis conducted in making any base realignment or closure decision.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that to the extent services provided by a local community directly reduce the cost of Department of Defense operations at a particular installation, such savings should be included in the evaluation of the fiscal consequences of proposed base closures and realignments under sections 993 and 2687 of title 10, United States Code. We note that sections 993 and 2687 of title 10, United States Code, apply to the Department's authorities to carry out base closures and realignments below certain thresholds, not a formal base realignment and closure process which would have to be specifically authorized by Congress.

#### TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

###### *Modification and extension of authority to utilize unspecified minor military construction authority for laboratory revitalization projects (sec. 2801)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would modify section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, and allow the threshold of the unspecified minor construction (UMMC) project to be adjusted based on area cost factors and modify several unspecified minor military construction thresholds.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the UMMC threshold for the use of Operation and Maintenance funds for laboratory revitalization projects from \$2.0 million to \$4.0 million and extend the underlying authority from 2016 to 2018. The agreement does not include any other changes to UMMC thresholds or area cost factor adjustments.

We note that, historically, the Department of Defense laboratory enterprise has not received adequate attention with regard to the revitalization of its infrastructure. Given that the laboratory enterprise is crucial to the development of future technologies that provide our warfighters a decisive technological edge on the battlefield, we strongly encourage the Department to place a higher priority on the revitalization and modernization of infrastructure across the laboratory enterprise.

###### *Repeal of separate authority to enter into limited partnerships with private developers of housing (sec. 2802)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would repeal the limited authority of the Department of Defense to enter into partnerships with private developers for the purpose of providing family housing construction.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Military construction standards to improve force protection (sec. 2803)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would provide additional latitude to the Department of Defense (DOD) to apply local threat criteria in the design and construction of DOD facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on current expeditionary physical barrier systems and new technologies that can be used for force protection and to provide blast protection.

*Application of cash payments received for utilities and services (sec. 2804)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments, beginning fiscal year 2014, to credit cash payments received as compensation for utilities or services provided to eligible entities that operate family or military unaccompanied housing projects to the appropriation or working capital account currently available for the purpose of furnishing such utilities or services.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2812).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Repeal of advance notification requirement for use of military housing investment authority (sec. 2805)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would repeal a notification required by section 2875 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Additional element for annual report on military housing privatization projects (sec. 2806)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2807) that would provide additional oversight and accountability in the pursuit of military family housing privatization projects to include an assessment of litigation costs that are being pursued by the privatization partners.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Policies and requirements regarding overseas military construction and closure and realignment of United States military installations in foreign countries (sec. 2807)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would require all future military construction projects

funded using in-kind payments pursuant to bilateral agreements with partner nations be submitted for congressional authorization in the Military Construction Authorization Act. The provision would also require that DOD include operational expenses funded through residual value payments in-kind in the budget justification documents submitted to Congress in connection with the annual budget request.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2811) that would repeal section 2921 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510) and consolidate the requirements of overseas basing notification process in section 2687a of title 10, United States Code. This section would also remove a redundant reporting requirement associated with the proposed residual value of foreign military closure determinations.

The agreement includes a provision that would combine the two provisions and make other clarifying and technical modifications to sections 2802 and 2867a of title 10, United States Code, relating to overseas basing.

*Extension and modification of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States (sec. 2808)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2808) that would amend section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136) and extend the Department of Defense's ability to use operation and maintenance appropriations for military construction purposes in the United States Central Command (CENTCOM) area of responsibility (AOR) and certain countries in the United States United States Africa Command (AFRICOM) AOR until September 30, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2802) that would extend the authority and revise the list of countries in the AFRICOM AOR in which the authority may be used.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Additionally, we note that the process by which the Department of Defense receives an authorization from Congress for military construction projects required to support overseas contingency operations can be cumbersome and extend over a long period of time. We also note that the fast pace of contingency operations, changes in the number of military forces in theater, and the contributions of partner countries may result in a change to or elimination of a military construction requirement in the time between the request to Congress for an authorization and the actual award of a construction contract. In order to ensure that funds are not expended on projects that no longer satisfy a valid military requirement, we believe the Secretary of Defense should review the process by which contracts for military construction projects overseas in connection with a contingency operation, as defined in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code, are awarded and how such projects are carried out. This review should be conducted with the objective of developing a methodology to ensure that any

changes in military requirements are taken into account when making decisions to construct, or continue constructing, a project.

*Limitation on construction projects in European Command area of responsibility (sec. 2809)*

The Senate committee-reported bill decreased authorization of appropriations from the budget request for military construction by \$463.3 million for certain new military construction and family housing projects in the U.S. European Command (EUCOM) area of responsibility.

The House bill contained no similar funding cuts.

The agreement contains authorization of appropriations of \$463.3 million for the projects in EUCOM and includes a new provision that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense or a Secretary of a military department from awarding a contract for any new military construction and family housing project, with certain exceptions, in the EUCOM area of responsibility until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the installations and specific military construction requirements authorized in this Act have been examined as part of the ongoing European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment, have been determined to be of an enduring nature, and most effectively meet military requirements at the authorized location.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

*Development of master plans for major military installations (sec. 2811)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2809) that would require the consideration of additional elements as part of master plans for major military installations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority for acceptance of funds to cover administrative expenses associated with real property leases and easements (sec. 2812)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would amend section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, to allow for the use of proceeds from leases and easements to be used to offset administrative costs incurred by the military departments in entering into and managing such leases and easements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Modification of authority to enter into long-term contracts for receipt of utility services as consideration for utility systems conveyances (sec. 2813)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would amend section 2688(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, by requiring the Secretary of a military department,

prior to conveying a utility system under this section, to obtain an independent estimate of the level of investment that should be required to maintain adequate operation of the utility system over the term of the conveyance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Report on efficient utilization of Department of Defense real property (sec. 2814)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2809) that would require a report on the utilization of real property across the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Conditions on Department of Defense expansion of Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site, Fort Carson, Colorado (sec. 2815)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would place conditions on the expansion of the Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site in Fort Carson, Colorado.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment

*Change from previous calendar year to previous fiscal year for period covered by annual report of Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment (sec. 2821)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would modify the reporting period for the annual Guam realignment report from calendar year to fiscal year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2822).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Realignment of Marine Corps forces in Asia-Pacific Region (sec. 2822)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would repeal section 2832 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would extend the prohibition on funds for construction activities to implement the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa, Japan, with certain exceptions.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would modify the conditions that must be met before funds may be obligated to implement the realignment of Marine Corps forces, provide specific exceptions for the use of U.S. and

Japanese funds, and direct the Secretary of Defense, as chairperson of the Economic Adjustment Committee (EAC), to convene the EAC to consider assistance necessary to support the preferred alternative for the relocation of Marine Corps forces to Guam.

We note that the agreement includes \$85.7 million for an Aircraft Maintenance Hangar for the Marine Corps at Andersen Air Force Base and provides a specific exception for the use of Japanese funds to carry out the construction of a utility and site improvement project based on assurances from the Navy that both projects have military value independent of the movement of Marines from Okinawa to Guam. Specifically, the construction description of the Aircraft Maintenance Hangar indicates the project “supports an enduring support requirement for 1st MAW [Marine Aircraft Wing] squadrons that frequently deploy to Guam for training as part of the bilateral “Aviation Training Relocation” (ATR) agreement.” With regard to the Japanese-funded utility and site improvement project on the North ramp of Andersen Air Force Base, the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment indicated in an October 28, 2013, letter that the “project supports current and future training requirements that will increase the operational readiness of units in the Pacific Command Area of Responsibility consistent with the Combatant Commander’s theater objectives and requirements while depressurizing training airspace in Japan.”

We note that the draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the siting of a cantonment area and training range to support the 4,700 Marines to be stationed or deployed to Guam on a rotational basis should be released in early 2014. As such, we strongly encourage the Department to complete, as quickly as possible, the master plan for Guam, including detailed descriptions of scope, cost estimates, and timing for each military construction project needed to support the relocation of Marines to Guam so that Congress will be able to assess the affordability, feasibility, and strategic value of the plan. Until then, we believe it is important to ensure that any funds provided by the Governments of Japan or the United States are spent on new facilities that will satisfy valid military requirements. We believe this approach mitigates the risk of approving the construction of facilities that have not yet been justified within the context of a master plan or for which an Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision have not been rendered.

#### Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

##### *Real property acquisition, Naval Base Ventura County, California (sec. 2831)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to acquire 300 units of military family housing constructed under section 801 of the Military Construction Act of 1984 (Public Law 98–115) at Naval Base Ventura County, California.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2814).

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Land conveyance, former Oxnard Air Force Base, Ventura County, California (sec. 2832)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, the Oxnard Air Force Base at Ventura, California, the Ventura County for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would ensure that any revenue resulting from the conveyance be used only for public airport purposes and provide for the reversion of such property to the Navy if it is determined it is not being used in accordance with the conveyance.

*Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii (sec. 2833)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey approximately 11 acres of Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii, to the Hale Keiki School in return for a cash payment, in-kind consideration, or a combination thereof, in an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the conveyed property.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

*Land conveyance, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (sec. 2834)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey certain properties and improvements at the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania, to the Philadelphia Regional Port Authority for fair market value.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Land conveyance, Camp Williams, Utah (sec. 2835)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2844) that would require the Secretary of the Interior to transfer 420 acres to the State of Utah for the purpose of permitting the Utah National Guard to use the conveyed land for military use.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make the conveyance permissive and make other clarifying changes.

*Conveyance, Air National Guard radar site, Francis Peak, Wasatch Mountains, Utah (sec. 2836)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2845) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, certain Air National Guard facilities at Francis Peak, Utah, for purposes of permitting the State of Utah to use the structures to support emergency public safety communications.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Land conveyances, former United States Army Reserve Centers, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Pennsylvania (sec. 2837)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2847) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, to Derry Township, Pennsylvania, certain properties for the purpose of permitting the Township to use these properties for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey other properties supporting former Army Reserve Centers.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Repeal of annual Economic Adjustment Committee reporting requirement (sec. 2841)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2861) that would repeal an annual Economic Adjustment Committee report required by section 4004 of the Defense Economic Adjustment, Diversification, and Stabilization Act of 1990 (division D of Public Law 101-510).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

*Establishment of military divers memorial (sec. 2842)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2866) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to permit a third party to establish and maintain at the former Navy Dive School at the Washington Navy Yard a memorial to honor divers in the United States Armed Forces. Federal funds may not be used to design, procure, prepare, install, or maintain the memorial.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Repeal of requirements for local comparability of room patterns and floor areas for military family housing and submission of net floor area information*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would repeal section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, that required the Secretary concerned to acquire military family housing that is comparable in structure to family housing available in the local community.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Department of Defense report on Military Housing Privatization Initiative*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2807A) that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue a report to Congress on the Military Housing Privatization Initiative, including the details of any project where the project owner has outstanding local, county, city, town, or state tax obligations dating back over 12 months.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Continuation of limitation on use of funds for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold or platinum certification*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would continue the prohibition on the use of funds for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design gold or platinum certifications for fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We commend the Department for formalizing its new sustainable design criteria and policy governing investments in energy and water efficiency initiatives. As a result of the new policy, we expect all such investments going forward will be underpinned by a cost-benefit analysis and reflective of local conditions. We believe that such an approach is critical to ensuring the cost-effective use of taxpayer dollars, especially in light of current budgetary pressures.

*Land conveyance, former Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2846) that would require the Secretary of the Army to convey certain properties at Fort Monroe, Virginia, to the Commonwealth of Virginia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Fort Monroe Authority has completed a reuse plan and is preparing an Economic Development Conveyance for consideration by the Secretary of the Army. We expect that continued active dialogue between both parties will result in a compromise for the timely conveyance of the remaining parcels at Fort Monroe to the Fort Monroe Authority.

*Naming Provisions*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2862) that would name the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies at Honolulu, Hawaii, as the “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and make other conforming changes. The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 2863) that would rename the Graduate School of Nursing at the Uniformed Services University of the Health

Sciences, as the “Daniel K. Inouye Graduate School of Nursing” and make other conforming changes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision that would name the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies at Honolulu, Hawaii, as the “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and make other conforming changes (sec. 2841).

The agreement does not include these provisions.

We believe the naming of facilities, infrastructure, and/or programs is appropriately accomplished under existing Department of Defense (DOD) policies and procedures, including the request for legislative action, when necessary. We believe the naming of appropriate facilities, infrastructure, and/or programs would be a fitting tribute to the late Senator Daniel K. Inouye and would look favorably upon a request from DOD for legislative action to that effect, if required.

*Renaming site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2864) that would modify the name of the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers Aviation Center to the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers National Museum, Dayton, Ohio.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2865) that would authorize a memorial to members of the Armed Forces who have been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross. The memorial is located at March Field Air Museum in Riverside, California, and would hereby be designated as the Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Inclusion of emblems of belief as part of military memorials*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2867) that would amend chapter 21 of title 36, United States Code, allowing emblems of belief to be included in military memorials. Emblems of belief include all emblems authorized by the National Cemetery Administration.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to sell or exchange the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial in San Diego, California, to an eligible entity on the condition that it continues to be maintained as a veterans’ memorial.

The agreement does not include these provisions.

TITLE XXIX—WITHDRAWAL, RESERVATION, AND TRANSFER OF  
PUBLIC LANDS TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY

*Short title (sec. 2901)*

The agreement includes a provision that would designate title XXIX of this Act as the “Military Land Withdrawals Act of 2013.”

*Definitions (sec. 2902)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide definitions for title XXIX of this Act.

Subtitle A—General Provisions

*General applicability; definitions (sec. 2911)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide for the applicability and rules of construction of title XXIX of this Act.

*Maps and legal descriptions (sec. 2912)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide for the preparation of maps, legal descriptions, and other processes related to lands covered by this title.

*Access restrictions (sec. 2913)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide authority for the Secretary concerned to impose certain restrictions on access to lands withdrawn and reserved by this title if required for military operations, public safety, or national security.

*Changes in use (sec. 2914)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide authority for the Secretary concerned to authorize additional defense-related purposes for land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Brush and range fire prevention and suppression (sec. 2915)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3009) that would require the Secretary of the Army to take necessary precautions to prevent, and actions to suppress, brush and range fires occurring as a result of military activities on the lands withdrawn by section 3001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary concerned to take necessary precautions to prevent, and actions to suppress, brush and range fires occurring as a result of military activities on land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Ongoing decontamination (sec. 2916)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3010) that would require the Secretary of the Army to maintain a program of decontamination on the withdrawn land provided by section 3001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary concerned to maintain, to the extent funds are available for

such purposes, a program of decontamination of contamination caused by defense-related uses of land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Water rights (sec. 2917)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3008) that would retain water rights in existence prior to the withdrawal authorized in section 3001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would make clear nothing in this title establishes a new reservation of the United States with respect to any water or water right on land withdrawn and reserved by this title or affects any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of enactment of this Act.

*Hunting, fishing, and trapping (sec. 2918)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3007) that would require hunting, fishing and trapping on the lands withdrawn in section 3001 to be conducted in accordance with section 2671 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would apply section 2671 of title 10, United States Code, to land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Limitation on extensions and renewals (sec. 2919)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require withdrawals and reservations established under this title to be extended or renewed only through a law enacted after the date of enactment of this Act.

*Application for renewal of a withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2920)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3011) that would require the Secretary of the Army, not later than 5 years before the termination of the withdrawal and reservation, to notify the Secretary of the Interior of a continuing defense-related need after the termination date for any land withdrawn and reserved by section 3011.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary concerned, not later than 5 years before the termination of the withdrawal and reservation, to notify the Secretary of the Interior of a continuing defense-related need after the termination date for any land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Limitation on subsequent availability of land for appropriation (sec. 2921)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3012) that would withdraw the lands transferred in section 3001 from all forms of appropriation under public land laws.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that prohibits previously withdrawn and reserved land from being open to any form of appropriation under the public land laws unless the Secretary of the Interior publishes an appropriate order in the Federal Register.

*Relinquishment (sec. 2922)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3013) that would provide authority and procedures for the Secretary of the Army to relinquish any or all of the lands withdrawn or reserved authorized in section 3001.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that provides a process for the Secretary concerned to relinquish land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

*Immunity of the United States (sec. 2923)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide that the United States and its officers or employees shall be held harmless and shall not be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property as a result of nondefense-related activities conducted on land withdrawn and reserved by this title.

Subtitle B—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana

*Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2931)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3001) that would withdraw the lands described at Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana, for use by the Department of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would provide for the withdrawal and reservation of public lands for Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana.

*Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2932)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3002) that would require the Secretary of the Army to manage the lands withdrawn in section 3001 in accordance with the limitations and restrictions of section 3003.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Special rules governing minerals management (sec. 2933)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3003) that would establish additional rules governing mineral management at Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Grazing (sec. 2934)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3004) that would require the Secretary of the Interior to continue and manage grazing permits and leases. The Secretary of the Interior, with the agreement of the Secretary of the Army, may delegate such authority to the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Payments in lieu of taxes (sec. 2935)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3006) that would authorize the lands withdrawn in section 3001 to remain entitlement land under section 6901 of title 31, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would deem land withdrawn by section 2931 to be entitlement land for purposes of section 6901 of title 31, United States Code.

*Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2936)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3005) that would terminate the land withdrawal authorized in this subtitle on March 31, 2039.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California

*Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2941)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3052) that would authorize the Secretary of the Interior to provide for the Secretary of the Navy's use of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area twice in each calendar year for up to a total of 60 days per year for certain purposes. Any agreement for the military use of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area shall terminate not later than March 31, 2039.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains a provision that would provide for the withdrawal and reservation of public land for the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California.

*Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2942)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of the Navy to manage the land withdrawn by section 2941.

*Public access (sec. 2943)*

The agreement includes a provision that would prohibit public access to the Exclusive Military Use Area unless otherwise authorized by the Secretary of the Navy.

*Resource management group (sec. 2944)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretaries of the Interior and the Navy to establish a Resource Management Group for the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2941.

*Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area (sec. 2945)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3051) that would designate certain lands administered by the Secretary of the Interior in San Bernardino County, California, as the “Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.” This section would further withdraw the lands designated in this section from all forms of appropriation under public land laws.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2946)*

The agreement includes a provision that would terminate the withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2941 on March 31, 2039.

Subtitle D—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, and Fort  
Bliss, Texas

*Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2951)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3021) that would transfer the administrative jurisdiction of certain lands located in Dona Ana County, New Mexico, from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would provide for the withdrawal of public land for White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

*Grazing (sec. 2952)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of the Interior to continue and manage grazing permits and leases. The Secretary of the Interior, with the agreement of the Secretary of the Army, may delegate such authority to the Secretary of the Army.

Subtitle E—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California

*Transfer of administrative jurisdiction of public land (sec. 2961)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3041) that would transfer the administrative jurisdiction of certain lands located in

Imperial and Riverside Counties, California, from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Navy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Management and use of transferred land (sec. 2962)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3042) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use the lands transferred in section 3041 for military purposes. This section would also limit any diminution of these lands as critical habitat for the desert tortoise. Finally, this section would withdraw the lands transferred in section 3041 from all forms of appropriation under public land laws so long as the lands remain under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Effect of termination of military use (sec. 2963)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3044) that would require that if the Secretary of the Navy determines that there is no longer a military need for the lands transferred by section 3041, the Secretary of the Navy shall assess the level of contamination and determine, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, whether decontamination is practical and economically feasible. If the Secretary of the Navy determines that decontamination is practical, the Secretary of the Navy shall provide funds for such decontamination.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Temporary extension of existing withdrawal period (sec. 2964)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3045) that would find that notwithstanding subsection (a) of section 806 of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflight Act of 1994 (title VIII of Public Law 103-433), the withdrawal and reservation of land transferred under section 3041 shall not terminate until the date on which the land transfer required by section 3041 is executed.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Water rights (sec. 2965)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3046) that would retain water rights in existence prior to the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized in section 3041.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

*Realignment of range boundary and related transfer of title (sec. 2966)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3043) that would authorize the realignment of the range boundary to ensure that the northwestern boundary of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range shall be realigned to the edge of the Bradshaw trail so that the trail remains entirely under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior. The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) shall not apply to any transfer provided by this section.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with a clarifying amendment. We note that the redrawn range boundary would include approximately 200 acres formerly acquired through the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) or donation. It is our intent that the Secretary of the Navy transfer to the Secretary of the Interior acreage at least equal to the lands formerly acquired through the LWCF or donation.

Subtitle F—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California

*Withdrawal and reservation of public land (sec. 2971)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3031) that would transfer the administrative jurisdiction of certain lands located in Inyo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, California, from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Navy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes provisions that would provide for the withdrawal and reservation of public land for Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California.

*Management of withdrawn and reserved land (sec. 2972)*

The agreement includes a provision that would provide for the management of withdrawn and reserved land for Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California.

*Assignment of management responsibility to Secretary of the Navy (sec. 2973)*

The agreement includes a provision that would allow the Secretary of the Interior to assign management responsibility for withdrawn and reserved land for Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California, to the Secretary of the Navy.

*Geothermal resources (sec. 2974)*

The agreement includes a provision that would make clear that nothing in this subtitle affects geothermal leases issued by the Secretary of the Interior before the date of enactment of this Act or the responsibility of the Secretary of the Interior to manage and administer such leases. The provision would also clarify other au-

thorities and responsibilities of the Secretary of the Navy with regard to geothermal exploration and development.

*Wild horses and burros (sec. 2975)*

The agreement includes a provision that would make the Secretary of the Navy responsible for the management of wild horses and burros on land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971.

*Continuation of existing agreement (sec. 2976)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require the agreement between the Secretaries of the Interior and the Navy under section 805 of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-433) to continue until the earlier of a new agreement being reached or 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

*Management plans (sec. 2977)*

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretaries of the Interior and the Navy to update and maintain cooperative arrangements concerning land resources and land uses on the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2971.

*Termination of prior withdrawals (sec. 2978)*

The agreement includes a provision that would terminate the prior withdrawal and reservation of land under section 803(a) of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-433).

*Duration of withdrawal and reservation (sec. 2979)*

The agreement includes a provision that would terminate the withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2971 on March 31, 2039.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Water rights*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3022) that would retain water rights in existence prior to the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized in section 3021.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Withdrawal*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3023) that would withdraw the lands transferred in section 3021 from all forms of appropriation under public land laws so long as the lands remain under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Water rights*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3032) that would retain water rights in existence prior to the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized in section 3031.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Withdrawal*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3033) that would withdraw the lands transferred in section 3031 from all forms of appropriation under public land laws so long as the lands remain under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Southern Study Area, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3053) that would transfer certain lands in San Bernardino County, California, as generally depicted as the “Southern Study Area,” to be transferred from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Navy for military purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Water rights*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3054) that would retain water rights in existence prior to the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized in section 3051.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

*Overview*

Title XXXI authorizes appropriations for atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014, including: the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment; research and development; nuclear weapons activities; nuclear nonproliferation activities; naval nuclear propulsion; environmental cleanup; operating expenses; and other expenses necessary to carry out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Public Law 95–91). This title authorizes appropriations in five categories: (1) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA); (2) Defense environmental cleanup; (3) Other defense

activities; (4) Defense nuclear waste disposal; and (5) Energy security and assurance.

*National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$11.8 billion for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), an increase of \$212.0 million above the budget request. The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$11.5 billion for the NNSA, an increase of \$80.0 million above the budget request.

We agree to include a provision that would authorize \$11.7 billion, an increase of \$72.8 million above the budget request.

Within NNSA, the provision would authorize \$7.9 billion for weapons activities, an increase of \$40.8 million above the budget request; \$2.2 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$40.0 million above the budget request; \$1.2 billion for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request; and \$387.7 million for the Office of the Administrator, a decrease of \$8.0 million below the budget request.

Within weapons activities, for directed stockpile work the provision would authorize \$2.5 billion, an increase of \$39.2 million above the budget request. For campaigns, the provision would authorize \$1.7 billion, the amount of the budget request. For nuclear programs, the provision would authorize \$744.5 million, the amount of the budget request.

Within defense nuclear nonproliferation, for nonproliferation and verification research and development the provision would authorize \$388.8 million, the amount of the budget request. For nonproliferation and international security, the provision would authorize \$141.7 million, the amount of the budget request. For international nuclear materials protection and cooperation, the provision would authorize \$369.6 million, the amount of the budget request. For fissile materials disposition, the provision would authorize \$542.6 million, \$40.0 million above the amount of the budget request. For the Global Threat Reduction Initiative, the provision would authorize \$424.5 million, the amount of the budget request.

*Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 defense environmental cleanup activities at \$4.9 billion.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3102) that authorized appropriations at \$5.0 billion.

We agree to include a provision that would authorize appropriations at \$5.0 billion.

*Other defense activities (sec. 3103)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 other defense activities at \$749.1 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3103) that authorized appropriations at \$749.1 million.

We agree to include a provision that would authorize appropriations at \$758.7 million, \$9.6 million above the budget request.

## BUDGET ITEM

*Project 99-D-143, mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility*

The House bill proposed funding the mixed oxide (MOX) fuel fabrication facility at the fiscal year 2014 request of \$320 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill proposed funding the project at \$80.0 million above the fiscal year budget 2014 request as a way to stabilize the program at the fiscal year 2013 levels while a strategic review is being conducted.

We agree to fund the construction project at \$360.0 million, \$40.0 million above the fiscal year 2014 budget request. We note that this project has been fraught with cost overruns and program delays. In fiscal year 2012, a decision was made to cancel the feedstock facility, which was to reduce old pits from nuclear weapons into feedstock for the MOX fuel plant, at a cost of some \$730.0 million being spent in designing the facility. The MOX fuel plant and related support facilities has risen from an initial cost estimate of \$1.0 billion to \$7.7 billion, and it is projected to be at least 3 years late in its initial operation in 2020. The Government Accountability Office estimates, through fiscal year 2036, that the total life cycle cost will exceed \$24.2 billion, including actual costs of \$5.2 billion for prior years (fiscal year 1999 to fiscal year 2012). Despite years of outreach to the nuclear industry, there is currently no agreement with any utility to use the MOX fuel and it is not yet clear whether commercial nuclear power plants will even accept the MOX fuel at market rates or whether the Department of Energy will have to subsidize, at taxpayers' expense, the sale of the fuel to make it competitive with commercially produced low-enriched uranium.

We believe the rising costs associated with the program, canceled facilities, missed deadlines, and questionable ability to produce fuel at market prices are unacceptable. We caution that further cost increases would undermine the feasibility and affordability of the program. We understand the Department is now undertaking a strategic review of the program and other alternatives. We expect to be fully briefed on this strategic review, including the new cost estimates and projected construction timeline, and what actions the Department is taking or will take to reign in the program costs and, if necessary, consider less costly alternatives for disposing of the plutonium from retired nuclear weapons. If the Department of Energy considers any future increases to the MOX facility, we expect those proposed increases to come from outside of budget function 050, which funds the Nation's critical national security priorities. We believe the Department must make its national security activities its top priority in budgeting, and expect that critical National Nuclear Security Administration programs should not become the source of funds for future increases to the MOX program.

## Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

*National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize appropriations for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2014, including funds for weapons activities,

defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and the Office of the Administrator, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision. The agreement includes the House provision.

*Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) authorizing appropriations for the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for defense environmental cleanup activities as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 3102).

The agreement includes this provision.

*Other defense activities (sec. 3103)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) authorizing appropriations for the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for other defense activities as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 3103).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

*Clarification of principles of National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3111)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401) to clarify the set of principles with which the National Nuclear Security Administration must carry out its operations and activities. Specifically, this section would add the requirement that all operations and activities of the Administration be conducted consistent with the principle of “ensuring the security of the nuclear weapons, nuclear material, and classified information in the custody of the Administration.”

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3112)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would amend section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537) to require that any independent cost estimate carried out pursuant to section 4217 be conducted by the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE). The Director would be authorized to delegate carrying out such cost estimates to other elements of the Department of Defense. This section would also provide the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Administrator for Nuclear Security

and acting through the Director of CAPE, the authority to conduct an independent cost assessment of any initiative or program of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) that is estimated to cost more than \$500.0 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401 et seq.) to establish an Office of Cost Estimating and Program Evaluation within NNSA whose director would be Senate-confirmed. The Senate committee-reported bill also contained a provision (sec. 3118) that would require any cost estimates submitted pursuant to section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act be submitted in unclassified form, with a classified annex if necessary.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that changes the Director of the new office from a Senate-confirmed position to a Senior Executive Service position. The amendment eliminates the requirement for two deputy directors, and modifies several of the responsibilities and authorities of the Director, and would require a joint implementation plan for the new office to be submitted by the NNSA Administrator and the Director of DOD's CAPE.

Given the size of the NNSA's Office of the Administrator of approximately 1,800 personnel, we believe that requiring the Director to be a Senior Executive Service officer is adequate to ensure seniority and credibility within the NNSA. Further, we believe that the joint NNSA-DOD implementation plan will be important to standing up this new office. We expect the DOD CAPE to play an active role in not only training personnel of the new NNSA office, but helping shape and ensure quality cost estimates and program evaluations during the early years of the new NNSA office. We understand that the work for cost estimation at the NNSA will have periods between major projects where the personnel from this office can assist the DOD CAPE on subject matter unique to the NNSA that is not present in the DOD CAPE office. We encourage as a matter of good government such collaboration.

The credibility of the NNSA with Congress and other agencies of the Executive Branch has been hurt by high-profile failures in cost estimation and program evaluation. We expect the NNSA to embrace this new Cost Estimation and Program Evaluation office as a means to help regain its credibility.

*Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk (sec. 3113)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would provide the Secretary of Energy, given the critical national security function of the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department's Office of Intelligence functions, with the authority to take certain actions with regard to the protection of the supply chain of the Department of Energy (DOE). This authority would replicate the authority provided to the Department of Defense in section 806 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) and to the intelligence community in section 309 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-87).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment containing technical changes. The amendment includes a sunset of the authority 4 years after the date of enactment, a notice to the appropriate committees within 7 days after a supply chain source exclusion determination is made, and a review on an annual basis (for 4 years) by the Comptroller General on the implementation of this section by the Department of Energy, including on the adequacy of resources available to perform supply chain source exclusion determinations.

We note this authority is intended to be used when existing supply chain management authorities are not sufficient to protect the national security of the United States. Use of this authority by DOE is expected to be limited in frequency. We encourage DOE to partner with supply chain sources, to the extent practicable, to implement this authority.

*Limitation on availability of funds for National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3114)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would limit the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) such that \$139.5 million may not be obligated or expended until the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees a detailed plan to achieve certain planned efficiencies and written certification that the planned efficiencies will be achieved. If the Administrator does not submit the plan or is unable to certify within 60 days of the date of the enactment of this Act that the efficiencies will be achieved, the Administrator would be required to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the amount of planned efficiencies that will not be realized and any effects caused by planned but unrealized efficiencies in the Directed Stockpile Work and Nuclear Programs accounts. The limitation of funds for NNSA would not apply to funds authorized to be appropriated for Directed Stockpile Work, Nuclear Programs, or Naval Reactors, and should not result in reductions in Laboratory Directed Research and Development funding. Finally, the limitation on obligation of funds would not affect the authority of the Secretary of Energy to reprogram or transfer funding under sections 4702, 4705, and 4711 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2742, 2745, and 2751).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that provides a rule of construction that the funds limitation shall not be considered a specific denial of funds relative to the authorities associated with subsection (d)(2). The amendment also provides that the amount of funds limited by this section would be reduced by the amount the Administrator is able to certify has been saved through the planned efficiencies.

*Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security (sec. 3115)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3117) that would limit the availability of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the National Nuclear Security Administration's Office of the Administrator to not more than 75 percent until several statutorily required reports are submitted to Congress in 2013 and 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment containing technical and clarifying changes.

*Establishment of Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing (sec. 3116)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3119) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to establish a Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Testing, and Response within the nuclear security enterprise. The Center would be responsible for a range of activities, but would primarily serve to provide the Administrator, the Chief of Defense Nuclear Security, and the management and operating contractors of the nuclear security enterprise, a wide range of objective expertise on security technologies, systems, analysis, testing, and response forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the name of the organization to the Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Response, and Testing (CSTART) and authorize the Administrator to provide additional duties to the center.

*Authorization of modular building strategy as an alternative to the replacement project for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico (sec. 3117)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would extend section 3144(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to permit consideration of a modular building strategy for engineering and design if it meets long term stockpile requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would change the notice and wait requirement from 30 to 60 days. The amendment would also add to the notification required by the Nuclear Weapons Council to the congressional defense committees such that it includes notification that the modular strategy: (1) meets requirements for implementation of a responsive infrastructure, including meeting plutonium pit production requirements; and (2) will achieve full operating capability for not less than two modular structures by not later than 2027.

We are aware that further detail on requirements and plans for the modular approach are being developed and refined. We expect the Nuclear Weapons Council to keep Congress informed as the

modular approach is developed and implemented to meet requirements for pit production and a responsive infrastructure. Furthermore, we encourage the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Nuclear Weapons Council to expeditiously carry out such efforts to both ensure construction of a responsive nuclear infrastructure and to enable a timely transition of nuclear operations out of decaying and increasingly unsafe facilities such as the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building. Finally, we note the reprogramming action concerning unobligated funds for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Replacement Nuclear Facility is still pending, and look forward to working with the Nuclear Weapons Council to resolve the deferred reprogramming proposal.

*Comparative analysis of warhead life extension options (sec. 3118)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy, acting through the Nuclear Weapons Council, to include several warhead life extension options through all of Phase 6.2 and all of Phase 6.2A of the Joint W78/88-1 Warhead Life Extension Program. The options are the W78-1 life extension and the W88-1 life extension.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1043) that would require the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation to conduct a similar analysis of alternatives for the Joint W78/88-1 Warhead Life Extension Program.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that none of the funds may be obligated or expended for phase 6.3 of the combined W78/88-1 warhead until 90 days after the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council submits a comparative analysis of the alternative options of life extending the W78-1 and the W88-1 systems individually, so as to compare to the cost to the combined W78/88-1 warhead system.

We encourage the Administrator to leverage, for the purposes of this section, the NNSA Director for Cost Estimating and Program Evaluation created elsewhere in this Act, and, during the transition period when the capabilities of such Director are being stood up, to work jointly with the Department of Defense Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.

*Extension of authority of Secretary of Energy to enter into transactions to carry out certain research projects (sec. 3119)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3123) that would extend section 646(g)(10) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (P.L. 95-91, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7256(g)(10)), from September 30, 2015 to September 30, 2020.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Increase in construction design threshold (sec. 3120)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3117) that would increase the major capital construction design threshold for the National Nuclear Security Administration from \$600,000 to \$1.2 million to account for increased construction costs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would increase the capital construction design threshold to \$1.0 million.

#### Subtitle C—Plans and Reports

##### *Annual report and certification on status of security of atomic energy defense facilities (sec. 3121)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would amend section 4506 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act to require that, not later than September 30 of each year, the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) submit to the Secretary of Energy and to the congressional defense committees, a report detailing and certifying the status of the security of the nuclear security enterprise, including the status of the security of special nuclear material, nuclear weapons, and classified information at each nuclear weapons production facility and national security laboratory.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3113) that would require the Secretary of Energy to certify the that atomic energy defense facilities of the Department of Energy containing quantities of category I and II special nuclear material meet Department security requirements.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Administrator to certify to the Secretary of Energy that the NNSA facilities containing quantities of Category I and II special nuclear material meet NNSA and Department of Energy security standards and requirements and for those that do not, actions and timelines to correct any deficiency. The Secretary would be required to transmit this certification to the congressional defense committees with any comments of the Secretary by December 1 of each year. The amendment also requires the Secretary to certify to the congressional defense committees by December 1 each year that atomic energy defense facilities other than those of the NNSA containing quantities of category I and II special nuclear materials shall meet Department security standards and requirements and for those facilities that do not to develop a correction action plan with timelines to correct any deficiency.

##### *Modifications to annual reports regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile (sec. 3122)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would amend section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525) to clarify requirements related to the statutorily required annual assessments regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the date that such assessments are due from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy to the President to February 1 of each year. The amendment would also require that, if the report containing such assessments is not be received by the Congress by March 15, the covered officials under section

4205(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(b)) shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees to ensure information regarding the status of the stockpile is available to inform congressional oversight and provide timely input to the annual legislative cycle.

*Inclusion of integrated plutonium strategy in nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan (sec. 3123)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would amend the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.) to provide for a long-term plutonium strategy for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) as part of its Stockpile Stewardship and Management Plan. Plutonium sustainment is at the core of the NNSA stockpile mission. This integrated plan would ensure the NNSA remains focused on its plutonium mission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would eliminate the external review and incorporate the requirement for an integrated plutonium strategy into section 4203 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523).

*Modifications to cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts (sec. 3124)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3120) that would amend section 3121 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to clarify that, if a management and operating contract awarded by the Administrator for Nuclear Security is protested, the report required by such section to be submitted to Congress shall be submitted not later than 30 days after such protest is resolved. This section would also require any report under section 3121 to include a description of the assumptions used and analysis conducted to determine cost savings expected from the competition of the contract and exempt contracts for managing and operating facilities of the Naval Reactors Program from the requirements of section 3121.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would amend section 3121(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to reduce the number of reports by the Government Accountability Office (GAO).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that combines the two provisions, changes the existing 90-day reporting requirement for the GAO to 180 days, and provides flexibility to ensure the reporting requirements for both the National Nuclear Security Administration and the GAO do not interfere with any award protests.

*Modification of deadlines for certain reports relating to program on scientific engagement for nonproliferation (sec. 3125)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3123) that would amend section 3122(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to re-

quire a 30-day notice for extending the program on scientific engagement for non-proliferation to a new country. The provision gives the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration a national security waiver of the requirement as long as there is a report filed within 30 days.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add the Comptroller General to the program commencement report with its analysis by the Comptroller General due no later than 18 months after receipt of the report.

*Modification of certain reports on cost containment for uranium capabilities replacement project (sec. 3126)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3124) that would amend section 3123(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (P.L. 112-239) to change the Government Accountability Office reporting requirement from the end of project life to 1 year after the date of enactment in consultation with the congressional defense committees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Plan for tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation (sec. 3127)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a comprehensive plan through 2025 to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2014, for the safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of nuclear waste contained in the tank farms of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation in Richland, Washington.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a plan for tank farm waste at Hanford, including the activities necessary to start operations at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) and activities necessary to design, construct, and operate the WTP and any related infrastructure facilities. The amendment would require the Secretary to identify any significant requirements needed to inform such activities and require the Secretary to determine whether such requirements are finalized. The Secretary would be authorized to change any such significant requirements that are determined to be finalized, but would require prompt congressional notification of such changes if they have significant material effect on the schedule or cost of the project. The plan would be required to be submitted to the congressional defense committees by June 1, 2014.

*Plan for improvement and integration of financial management of nuclear security enterprise (sec. 3128)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would require the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to develop a plan for a common cost structure between activities at different sites with the purpose

of comparing how efficiently different sites within the NNSA complex are carrying out similar activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Administrator to submit a plan for improving and integrating financial management of the nuclear security enterprise to the congressional defense committees not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to review the plan submitted by the Administrator and brief the congressional defense committees within 60 days of submission of such plan by the Administrator on the adequacy of this plan in meeting the objectives set forth in this section and offer recommendations for improvement.

*Plan for developing exascale computing and incorporating such computing into the stockpile stewardship program (sec. 3129)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would add a new section to the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.) requiring the Administrator for Nuclear Security to develop and carry out a plan to incorporate exascale computing in the stockpile stewardship program. Such plan would be required to cover the 20-year period after the date of enactment of this Act, and would be submitted to the congressional defense committees annually.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the plan to include information on developing exascale computing, alter the timeframe for the plan to 10 years after enactment of this Act, and require inclusion of milestones to be achieved to mitigate disruptions resulting from the transition to exascale computing. The amendment would also require that the Future-Years Nuclear Security Program, report submitted pursuant to section 3253 of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Act (50 U.S.C. 2453), include a description of the costs borne by the NNSA, the Department of Energy's Office of Science, other federal agencies, and industry to develop exascale computing. Finally, the amendment would eliminate the requirement for annual reporting on advances outside the United States in exascale computing and require that the plan required by this section be submitted with each summary of the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Plan submitted to the congressional defense committees in each even-numbered year pursuant to section 4203 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523).

We understand the value of maintaining U.S. leadership in high performance computing and believe achieving exascale computing within the next decade must be a national goal. However, we note that NNSA's top priority must remain sustainment and modernization of the nuclear weapons stockpile. High performance computing is an important capability that underpins these efforts via the stockpile stewardship program, but the costs of achieving exascale computing must not be borne by NNSA alone. Due to the broad benefits exascale would bring to the Federal Government and the U.S. economy in general, we encourage the Administrator to part-

ner with and leverage other stakeholders in government and industry.

*Study and plan for extension of certain pilot program principles (sec. 3130)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would make a series of findings related to a pilot program conducted by the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) at the Kansas City Plant (KCP) starting in April 2006, and would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to extend the principles of such pilot program. The Administrator would be required to implement the principles of the pilot program permanently at the Kansas City Plant and extend the principles of the pilot program, with modifications as the Administrator determines appropriate, to not less than two additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise within 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring a study of the feasibility of extending the Kansas City Plant pilot program to other National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) sites with a report to Congress within 180 days after enactment on the results of the study and a determination of whether the principles will be extended. We do not mandate extending the principles. We also note the on-going work by Comptroller General of the United States to assess the risks, benefits and applicability of extending the pilot program to other facilities.

Given the success of the pilot program at the Kansas City Plant, we direct the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Secretary of Energy to ensure, to the greatest extent possible, that these principles are permanently implemented at the Kansas City Plant.

*Study of potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries (sec. 3131)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3142) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security, not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to conduct a study of the potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Repeal of certain reporting requirements (sec. 3132)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would repeal two statutes requiring submission of annual, recurring reports: (1) a report on Counterintelligence and Security Practices at National Laboratories required by section 4507 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2658); and (2) a report on Advanced Supercomputer Sales to Certain Foreign Nations contained in section 3157 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment containing technical corrections.

## Subtitle D—Other Matters

*Clarification of role of Secretary of Energy (sec. 3141)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3143) that would clarify that the amendment made by section 3113 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to section 4102 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2512) may not be construed to affect the authority of the Secretary of Energy, in carrying out national security programs, with respect to the management, planning, and oversight of the National Nuclear Security Administration, or as affecting the delegation by the Secretary of Energy of authority to carry out such activities, as set forth under subsection (a) of section 4102, as it existed before the amendment made by section 3113.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

*Modification of deadlines for Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise (sec. 3142)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3141) that would amend section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to modify statutory deadlines regarding the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise. The advisory panel's interim report would be due by October 1, 2013, instead of 180 days after enactment of Public Law 112–239. Also, the advisory panel's full report would be due March 1, 2014, instead of February 1, 2014. Finally, the advisory panel would terminate not later than September 30, 2014, instead of June 1, 2014. This section would also enable the advisory panel to submit a final report on its activities and recommendations prior to termination.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3125) that would amend section 3166(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to extend the date of the interim report from 180 days after the date of enactment to 180 days after the first meeting of the advisory panel.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would change the interim report due date to March 1, 2014 with the full report due by July 1, 2014.

*Department of Energy land conveyance (sec. 3143)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3146) that would convey in fee simple, excess land from the Hanford Reservation to the Hanford Community Re-Use Organization.

The Senate committee-passed bill had no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision authorizing the transfer of the Bannister Federal Complex, Kansas City Missouri, from the General Services Administration to the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), which may convey for consideration the real property using existing Department of Energy regulations.

We request monthly reports on the status of the conveyance of Hanford land to the Hanford Community Re-Use Organization.

In addition, we request a monthly report on the status of conveying the land at the Hanford reservation to the Hanford Community Re-Use Organization.

*Technical amendment to Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (sec. 3144)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3144) that would make a technical amendment to chapter 10 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Technical corrections to the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (sec. 3145)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would amend the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401 et. seq.) with technical and clarifying corrections.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Technical corrections to the Atomic Energy Defense Act (sec. 3146)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would amend the Atomic Energy Defense Act (42 U.S.C. 2501 et. seq.) with technical and clarifying corrections.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment containing technical and conforming changes.

*Sense of Congress on B61–12 life extension program (sec. 3147)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3118) that would express the sense of Congress that, particularly in a constrained budget environment, the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) should prioritize its primary mission of sustaining and modernizing the nuclear weapons stockpile and, if required, shift funding from secondary missions to ensure critical nuclear weapons modernization programs stay on schedule and deliver nuclear warheads needed to support military requirements. This section would also require that, of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative of the NNSA, not more than 80 percent may be obligated or expended unless, by not later than 60 days after the date of enactment, the NNSA Administrator certifies to the congressional defense committees that the B61 Life Extension Program will deliver a first production unit in fiscal year 2019.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would express a sense of Congress that the B61–12 Life Extension Program is a high priority of the NNSA; that, if necessary to avoid delays, funds should be shifted from other programs to ensure the B61–12 Life Extension Program stays on schedule; and

that further delays to the program would undermine the credibility and reliability of the nation's nuclear deterrent and the extended deterrent provided by the United States to allies.

*Sense of Congress on establishment of an advisory board on toxic substances and worker health (sec. 3148)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1027) that would express the sense of Congress that the President should establish an Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health as part of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Energy security and assurance*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize appropriations for energy security and assurance programs for fiscal year 2014, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision as both budget tables in section 4701 authorized no funding for the program.

*Termination of Department of Energy Employees to Protect National Security*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to terminate an employee of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) or any element of the Department of Energy (DOE) that involves nuclear security if the Secretary determines the employee acted in a manner that endangers the security of special nuclear material or classified information. To exercise such authority, the Secretary would have to consider the termination to be in the interests of the United States and determine that the termination procedures prescribed by other provisions of law cannot be invoked in a manner that the Secretary considers consistent with national security.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We understand that, following the July 2012 security breach at the Y-12 National Security Complex by several anti-nuclear activists, including an octogenarian nun, several federal employees were reassigned or allowed to retire. However, no federal employees have been terminated from federal service. We find this lack of robust accountability to be unacceptable and dangerous. Multiple reviews since the incident have found failures at every level contributed to this incident, and that there has been a distinct failure to take corrective actions identified by previous security incidents.

For example, senior leaders in the Department of Energy's Office of Health, Safety, and Security have held top security policy and oversight positions for well over a decade despite repeated security

failures during this tenure. These same senior leaders are now inexplicably being counted on to implement reforms. This is despite the fact that this same office conducted a review of Y-12's physical security systems just 2 months prior to the July 2012 break-in and gave Y-12's security a clean bill of health. This lack of accountability, whether at senior levels or throughout the DOE, is outrageous and must not be tolerated.

It is also contrary to the strong leadership and accountability example set by Secretary of Defense Robert Gates in 2008 when he fired several top Air Force officials for significant and repeated nuclear weapon security failures. Unlike DOE, Secretary Gates sent a strong message to the Air Force that continuation of the failures would not be tolerated and officials at all levels were accountable for failure. Senior officials from the Department of Energy have indicated that federal employment laws and regulations prevented or severely impeded termination of any federal employees in response to the Y-12 incident. If true, we believe the inability of the Secretary of Energy to fire federal employees for major security failures would represent a critical problem and national security risk. Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 15, 2014, on the authorities available to the Secretary to terminate federal employees. Such report should include a description of the authorities available and describe in detail why such authorities were insufficient to terminate employees in the aftermath of the Y-12 incident. The report should also include a list of the officials in the DOE and NNSA structure that had responsibility for security at Y-12 in July 2012, a description of any disciplinary actions taken with respect to such officials, and such officials' current positions. Finally, the report should also provide a description of the Secretary's views on accountability for security failures, whether actions taken in response to the Y-12 incident conform to these views, and how these views will be applied in the future.

*Assessment of nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would require the National Nuclear Security Administration to undergo a review of their nuclear nonproliferation programs by the National Academies of Science.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Comptroller General of the United States is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees assessing the existing and future nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration. The report shall include the following elements:

- (1) An assessment of the threat of nuclear proliferation, including fissile materials, technology and expertise related to nuclear weapons, plutonium reprocessing and uranium enrichment.
- (2) The status of nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) An assessment of whether those programs are meeting the goals of those programs and reducing the assessed threat of nuclear proliferation including: Preventing nuclear terrorism by securing and removing highly-enriched uranium and plutonium worldwide; converting research reactors from highly-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium in Russia and other countries; providing radiation detection capability at ports and borders; securing and removing radiological materials worldwide; developing and improving technology to detect nuclear proliferation and nuclear weapons detonation, to verify foreign commitments to treaties and agreements with respect to nuclear weapons, and detect the diversion of materials, including safeguards technology; and preventing and countering the proliferation and use of nuclear weapons (including materials, technology and expertise).

(4) The extent of the work remaining for those programs to meet those goals, including an estimated timeline and costs and what gaps remain in those goals.

(5) The nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration and nuclear cooperation agreements with countries that have obtained nuclear weapons and are not parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, done at Washington, London, and Moscow July 1, 1968, and entered into force March 5, 1970 (21 UST 483) (commonly known as the “Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty”).

(6) The nuclear nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration and nuclear cooperation agreements with countries that are non-nuclear weapon state parties to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and are acquiring nuclear materials in violation of commitments under the Treaty.

(7) The status, level of, and gaps related to, coordination of the programs of the NNSA and the Department of Energy with other agencies and departments of the Federal Government that have nuclear nonproliferation responsibilities.

(8) In addition, the report shall include an assessment of the budget requirements of the NNSA, including the costs associated with the implementation of nuclear nonproliferation programs, to reduce the threat of nuclear proliferation.

We are cognizant that this report may require a significant effort by the Government Accountability Office. The Comptroller General of the United States shall provide quarterly updates on the status of the report with a final report due no later than August 31, 2015.

#### *Government Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Extension*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3145) that would permit government owned non-defense transuranic waste to be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant subject to meeting the waste acceptance criteria outlined in “Transuranic Waste Acceptance Criteria for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant,” dated April 21, 2011, published by the Department of Energy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

*Manhattan Project National Historic Park*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3147) that would establish as a unit of the National Park System a series of historical sites associated with the Manhattan Project at facilities administered by the Department of Energy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

*Authorization (sec. 3201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board at \$29.915 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Improvements to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3202) that would amend section 315 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286d) to enable the Secretary of Energy to request an analysis regarding the costs and benefits of any draft or final recommendation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB). If the Secretary requests such an analysis, the Board would be required to transmit such an analysis to the Department of Energy (DOE) within 30 days and make such analysis public when the associated recommendation is made available to the public. Additionally, if the Secretary requests such an analysis from the Board, the Secretary would be required to conduct a similar analysis of the costs and benefits of the recommendation and make such analysis available to the public. The provision would also amend section 312 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (U.S.C. 2286a) to clarify that, in making recommendations to the Secretary of Energy, the Board must use rigorous, quantitative analysis and specifically assess the use of various administrative, passive, and engineered controls for implementing the recommended measures.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that a variety of independent assessments in recent years have indicated that DNFSB oversight, coupled with DOE's history of not challenging DNFSB recommendations, have contributed to increasing costs within the nuclear security enterprise that may achieve comparatively small safety benefits. For instance, a 2011 study of two major DOE defense nuclear facility construction projects by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD AT&L) found that "the current process involving oversight by the DNFSB is not working well. Differing in-

terpretations of DOE regulations between the DNFSB, and the DOE and its contractors have diverted attention and resources for arguably increased safety.” The study found that, “in certain cases, the DOE has failed to ‘push back’ on DNFSB recommendations that don’t cost-effectively buy down risk, creating conditions in which the DNFSB becomes a de facto program manager.” The USD AT&L report and the 2009 report of the bipartisan Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States ultimately recommended eliminating DNFSB oversight in favor of regulation of DOE facilities by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

In 2005, a report by the Secretary of Energy’s Advisory Board (SEAB) concluded that, although the DNFSB only issues recommendations and not requirements, “their recommendations have the implicit status of requirements because of the current lack of a specific mechanism for implementation assessment.” The SEAB emphasized that an analysis of the costs of implementation, safety benefits, and risks of an idea should drive every decision and recommendation made to and within the enterprise, and suggested the DNFSB use this mechanism every time they make recommendations. In its Phase I report on Managing for High Quality Science and Engineering at the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) laboratories, the National Academies of Science (NAS) concluded that “the role that non-regulatory agencies (particularly the DNFSB) have had on the laboratories is excessive. Although the Board lacks independent regulatory enforcement authority, it has issued more than 30 formal recommendations to the Secretary of Energy since 1990.” In its Phase II report in 2013, the NAS pointed out that “the DNFSB is an advisory body that does not directly impose regulations, although DOE and NNSA usually accept DNFSB recommendations.” The 2013 report also stated that safety assessments by overlapping oversight bodies, including the DNFSB, “adds to the cost of conducting experiments and can slow or deter experimental work . . . Moreover, these assessments generally focus on the safety risks associated with particular experiments rather than weighing those risks against the benefits to be derived from the experiments and the risks to the nuclear weapons program from not conducting the experiments.” Most recently, in September 2013 an assessment of the safety culture at NNSA found a perception among NNSA employees that “NNSA leadership is very reactive to the DNFSB and will make sudden changes rather than question or say no to the Board.” While we do not comment on individual cases or circumstances, we believe it is imperative that the Secretary of Energy assess the costs and benefits of any recommendation made by the DNFSB. We believe it is incumbent upon the Secretary to reject or request modifications to DNFSB recommendations if the costs of implementing the recommendations are not commensurate with the safety benefits gained. We note that existing statute provides the Secretary with this authority, and encourage the Secretary to use it, when appropriate. Risk acceptance, if considered carefully and transparently, is an important risk management practice.

## TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

*Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize \$20.0 million for fiscal year 2014 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the Naval Petroleum and Oil Reserves.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

## TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

*Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2014 (sec. 3501)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) that would authorize appropriations for the Maritime Administration of the Department of Transportation for those activities of the Maritime Administration associated with maintaining national defense sealift.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*5-year reauthorization of vessel war risk insurance program (sec. 3502)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3502) that would extend the sunset date on the authorization to issue war risk insurance from December 31, 2015, to December 31, 2020.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Sense of Congress (sec. 3503)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3503) that would express the sense of Congress on the importance of the United States shipbuilding industry and specifically the Ready Reserve Force of the Maritime Administration to the national security needs of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Treatment of funds for intermodal transportation maritime facility, Port of Anchorage, Alaska (sec. 3504)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3504) that would modify the current language requiring that any funds provided for the federal share, and any funds provided for the non-federal share, for an intermodal transportation maritime facility at the Port of Anchorage, Alaska, must be transferred to the Administrator of the Maritime Administration. The provision would change current laws to a permission to transfer the funds, rather than a requirement to transfer the funds.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Strategic seaports (sec. 3505)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3505) that would allow the Maritime Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, to give priority to providing funding to strategic seaports in support of national security requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Maritime Administration*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) that would re-authorize certain aspects of the Maritime Administration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

*Authorization of appropriations (sec. 4001)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4001) that would provide for the authorization of projects, programs, and activities in accordance with the tables in division D.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 4001).

The agreement includes this provision.

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>DISCRETIONARY AUTHORIZATIONS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE</b>			
<b>Function 051, Department of Defense-Military</b>			
<b>Division A: Department of Defense Authorizations</b>			
<b>Title I—Procurement</b>			
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	5,024,387	3,939	5,028,326
Missile Procurement, Army .....	1,334,083		1,334,083
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	1,597,267	5,561	1,602,828
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	1,540,437	-84,800	1,455,637
Other Procurement, Army .....	6,465,218	-54,300	6,410,918
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	17,927,651	-52,248	17,875,403
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	3,122,193	-12,050	3,110,143
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps ...	589,267		589,267
Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy .....	14,077,804	656,229	14,734,033
Other Procurement, Navy .....	6,310,257	-43,005	6,267,252
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	1,343,511	-18,008	1,325,503
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	11,398,901	-74,920	11,323,981

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	5,343,286		5,343,286
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	759,442		759,442
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	16,760,581	-13,738	16,746,843
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	4,534,083	1,221	4,535,304
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	98,800	-98,800	0
<b>Subtotal, Title I—Procurement .....</b>	<b>98,227,168</b>	<b>215,081</b>	<b>98,442,249</b>
<b>Title II—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>			
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army .....	7,989,102	-34,970	7,954,132
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy .....	15,974,780	-312,959	15,661,821
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force .....	25,702,946	16,000	25,718,946
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense- Wide .....	17,667,108	551,156	18,218,264
Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense .....	186,300		186,300
<b>Subtotal, Title II—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....</b>	<b>67,520,236</b>	<b>219,227</b>	<b>67,739,463</b>
<b>Title III—Operation and Maintenance</b>			
Operation & Maintenance, Army .....	35,073,077	624,700	35,697,777
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	3,095,036	112,200	3,207,236
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	7,054,196	45,903	7,100,099
Operation & Maintenance, Navy .....	39,945,237	457,368	40,402,605
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	6,254,650	131,000	6,385,650
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	1,197,752	15,800	1,213,552
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	263,317	300	263,617
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force .....	37,270,842	269,425	37,540,267
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	3,164,607	4,570	3,169,177
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	6,566,004	28,200	6,594,204
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	32,997,693	-237,281	32,760,412
US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, Defense ..	13,606		13,606
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid .....	109,500		109,500
Cooperative Threat Reduction .....	528,455		528,455
Defense Acquisition Development Workforce Fund .....	256,031	-124,700	131,331
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	298,815		298,815
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	316,103		316,103
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	439,820		439,820
Environmental Restoration, Defense .....	10,757		10,757
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Sites .....	237,443		237,443
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund .....	5,000	-5,000	0
<b>Subtotal, Title III—Operation and Maintenance .....</b>	<b>175,097,941</b>	<b>1,322,485</b>	<b>176,420,426</b>
<b>Title IV—Military Personnel</b>			
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	130,399,881	-682,900	129,716,981
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	6,676,750		6,676,750
<b>Subtotal, Title IV—Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>137,076,631</b>	<b>-682,900</b>	<b>136,393,731</b>
<b>Title XIV—Other Authorizations</b>			
Working Capital Fund, Army .....	25,158		25,158
Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	61,731		61,731

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	46,428		46,428
Working Capital Fund, DECA .....	1,412,510		1,412,510
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	730,700	-112,200	618,500
Defense Health Program .....	33,054,528	-124,000	32,930,528
Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction .....	1,057,123		1,057,123
Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities .....	938,545		938,545
Office of the Inspector General .....	312,131	34,869	347,000
<b>Subtotal, Title XIV—Other Authorizations .....</b>	<b>37,638,854</b>	<b>-201,331</b>	<b>37,437,523</b>
<b>Total, Division A: Department of Defense Authoriza- tions .....</b>	<b>515,560,830</b>	<b>872,562</b>	<b>516,433,392</b>
<b>Division B: Military Construction Authorizations</b>			
<b>Military Construction</b>			
Army .....	1,119,875	-10,000	1,109,875
Navy .....	1,700,269		1,700,269
Air Force .....	1,156,573	-17,730	1,138,843
Defense-Wide .....	3,985,300	-572,050	3,413,250
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense .....	122,536		122,536
NATO Security Investment Program .....	239,700	-40,000	199,700
Army National Guard .....	320,815	-5,000	315,815
Army Reserve .....	174,060		174,060
Navy and Marine Corps Reserve .....	32,976		32,976
Air National Guard .....	119,800		119,800
Air Force Reserve .....	45,659		45,659
<b>Subtotal, Military Construction .....</b>	<b>9,017,563</b>	<b>-644,780</b>	<b>8,372,783</b>
<b>Family Housing</b>			
Construction, Army .....	44,008		44,008
Operation & Maintenance, Army .....	512,871		512,871
Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....	73,407		73,407
Operation & Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps ...	389,844		389,844
Construction, Air Force .....	76,360		76,360
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force .....	388,598		388,598
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	55,845		55,845
Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	1,780		1,780
<b>Subtotal, Family Housing .....</b>	<b>1,542,713</b>		<b>1,542,713</b>
<b>Base Realignment and Closure</b>			
Base Realignment and Closure—Army .....	180,401		180,401
Base Realignment and Closure—Navy .....	144,580		144,580
Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force .....	126,376		126,376
<b>Subtotal, Base Realignment and Closure .....</b>	<b>451,357</b>		<b>451,357</b>
<b>Total, Division B: Military Construction Authoriza- tions .....</b>	<b>11,011,633</b>	<b>-644,780</b>	<b>10,366,853</b>
<b>Total, 051, Department of Defense-Military .....</b>	<b>526,572,463</b>	<b>227,782</b>	<b>526,800,245</b>

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Function 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>			
<b>Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations</b>			
<b>Department of Energy Authorizations</b>			
<b>Energy Programs</b>			
Electricity delivery and energy reliability .....	16,000	-16,000	0
Nuclear Energy .....	94,000		94,000
<b>Subtotal, Energy Programs .....</b>	<b>110,000</b>	<b>-16,000</b>	<b>94,000</b>
<b>National Nuclear Security Administration</b>			
Weapons Activities .....	7,868,409	40,843	7,909,252
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation .....	2,140,142	40,000	2,180,142
Naval Reactors .....	1,246,134		1,246,134
Office of the Administrator .....	397,784	-8,000	389,784
<b>Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Administration</b>	<b>11,652,469</b>	<b>72,843</b>	<b>11,725,312</b>
<b>Environmental and Other Defense Activities:</b>			
Defense Environmental Cleanup .....	5,316,909	-301,500	5,015,409
Other Defense Activities .....	749,080	9,578	758,658
<b>Subtotal, Environmental and Other Defense Activities</b>	<b>6,065,989</b>	<b>-291,922</b>	<b>5,774,067</b>
<b>Subtotal, Department of Energy Authorizations .....</b>	<b>17,828,458</b>	<b>-235,079</b>	<b>17,593,379</b>
<b>Independent Federal Agency Authorization</b>			
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board .....	29,915		29,915
<b>Subtotal, Independent Federal Agency Authorization</b>	<b>29,915</b>		<b>29,915</b>
<b>Subtotal, Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations .....</b>	<b>17,858,373</b>	<b>-235,079</b>	<b>17,623,294</b>
<b>Subtotal, 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities .....</b>	<b>17,858,373</b>	<b>-235,079</b>	<b>17,623,294</b>
<b>Total, National Defense Funding, Base Budget Request .....</b>	<b>544,430,836</b>	<b>-7,297</b>	<b>544,423,539</b>
<b>National Defense Funding, OCO Budget Request</b>			
<b>Function 051, Department of Defense-Military</b>			
<b>Procurement</b>			
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	771,788		771,788
Missile Procurement, Army .....	128,645		128,645
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	180,900		180,900
Other Procurement, Army .....	603,123		603,123
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	1,000,000	-45,000	955,000

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	240,696		240,696
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	86,500		86,500
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps ...	206,821		206,821
Other Procurement, Navy .....	17,968		17,968
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	129,584	-2,898	126,686
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	115,668		115,668
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	24,200		24,200
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	159,965		159,965
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	2,574,846		2,574,846
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	111,275		111,275
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	15,000	-15,000	0
National Guard & Reserve Equipment .....	0	400,000	400,000
<b>Subtotal, Procurement .....</b>	<b>6,366,979</b>	<b>337,102</b>	<b>6,704,081</b>
<b>Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>			
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army .....	7,000		7,000
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy .....	34,426		34,426
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force .....	9,000		9,000
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense- Wide .....	66,208		66,208
<b>Subtotal, Research, Development, Test and Evalua- tion .....</b>	<b>116,634</b>		<b>116,634</b>
<b>Operation and Maintenance</b>			
Operation & Maintenance, Army .....	29,279,633	1,100,000	30,379,633
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	42,935		42,935
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	199,371		199,371
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund .....	7,726,720	-1,500,000	6,226,720
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund .....	279,000	-29,000	250,000
Operation & Maintenance, Navy .....	6,067,993		6,067,993
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	2,669,815		2,669,815
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	55,700		55,700
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	12,534		12,534
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force .....	10,005,224	130,000	10,135,224
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	32,849		32,849
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	22,200		22,200
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	6,435,078		6,435,078
<b>Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance .....</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>-299,000</b>	<b>62,530,052</b>
<b>Military Personnel</b>			
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	9,689,307	-40,500	9,648,807
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	164,033		164,033
<b>Subtotal, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>9,853,340</b>	<b>-40,500</b>	<b>9,812,840</b>
<b>Other Authorizations</b>			
Working Capital Fund, Army .....	44,732		44,732
Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	88,500		88,500
Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	131,678		131,678
Defense Health Program .....	904,201		904,201

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities .....	376,305		376,305
Office of the Inspector General .....	10,766		10,766
<b>Subtotal, Other Authorizations .....</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>		<b>1,556,182</b>
<b>Total, National Defense Funding, OCO Budget Re-</b>			
<b>quest .....</b>	<b>80,722,187</b>	<b>-2,398</b>	<b>80,719,789</b>
<b>Total, National Defense .....</b>	<b>625,153,023</b>	<b>-9,695</b>	<b>625,143,328</b>
<b>MEMORANDUM: NON-DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS</b>			
Title XIV—Armed Forces Retirement Home (Function 600) .....	67,800		67,800
Title XIV—Cemeterial Expenses, Army (Function 700)	45,800	25,000	70,800
Title XXXIV—Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Re- serves (Function 270) .....	20,000		20,000
Title XXXV—Maritime Administration (Function 400)	152,168	45,000	197,168
<b>MEMORANDUM: TRANSFER AUTHORITIES (NON-ADD)</b>			
Title X—General Transfer Authority .....	[4,000,000]	[1,000,000]	[5,000,000]
Title XV—Special Transfer Authority .....	[4,000,000]		[4,000,000]
<b>MEMORANDUM: DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS NOT UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COM- MITTEE (NON-ADD)</b>			
Defense Production Act .....	[25,135]		[25,135]

## NATIONAL DEFENSE BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Summary, Discretionary Authorizations Within the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee</b>			
<b>SUBTOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051) .....</b>	<b>526,572,463</b>	<b>227,782</b>	<b>526,800,245</b>
<b>SUBTOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE PROGRAMS (053) .....</b>	<b>17,858,373</b>	<b>-235,079</b>	<b>17,623,294</b>
<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE (050)—BASE BILL .....</b>	<b>544,430,836</b>	<b>-7,297</b>	<b>544,423,539</b>
<b>TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....</b>	<b>80,722,187</b>	<b>-2,398</b>	<b>80,719,789</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE .....</b>	<b>625,153,023</b>	<b>-9,695</b>	<b>625,143,328</b>
<b>Base National Defense Discretionary Programs that are Not In the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee or Do Not Require Additional Authorization</b>			
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	25,135		25,135
Indefinite Account: Disposal Of DOD Real Property .....	10,000		10,000
Indefinite Account: Lease Of DOD Real Property .....	30,000		30,000
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051 .....</b>	<b>65,135</b>		<b>65,135</b>
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program .....	104,000		104,000
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053 .....</b>	<b>104,000</b>		<b>104,000</b>
Other Discretionary Programs .....	7,407,000		7,407,000

## NATIONAL DEFENSE BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2014 Request	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054</b> .....	<b>7,407,000</b>		<b>7,407,000</b>
<b>Total Defense Discretionary Adjustments (050)</b> .....	<b>7,576,135</b>		<b>7,576,135</b>
<b>Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary</b>			
Department of Defense--Military (051) .....	607,359,785	225,384	607,585,169
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053) .....	17,962,373	-235,079	17,727,294
Defense-Related Activities (054) .....	7,407,000		7,407,000
<b>Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary</b> .....	<b>632,729,158</b>	<b>-9,695</b>	<b>632,719,463</b>
<b>National Defense Mandatory Programs, Current Law</b>			
Concurrent receipt accrual payments to the Military Retirement Fund (OMB Estimate) .....	6,970,000		6,970,000
Revolving, trust and other DOD Mandatory .....	1,156,000		1,156,000
Offsetting receipts .....	-1,752,000		-1,752,000
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051</b> .....	<b>6,374,000</b>		<b>6,374,000</b>
Energy employees occupational illness compensation programs and other .....	1,281,000		1,281,000
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053</b> .....	<b>1,281,000</b>		<b>1,281,000</b>
Radiation exposure compensation trust fund .....	76,000		76,000
Payment to CIA retirement fund and other .....	514,000		514,000
<b>Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054</b> .....	<b>590,000</b>		<b>590,000</b>
<b>Total National Defense Mandatory (050)</b> .....	<b>8,245,000</b>		<b>8,245,000</b>
<b>Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory</b>			
Department of Defense--Military (051) .....	613,733,785	225,384	613,959,169
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053) .....	19,243,373	-235,079	19,008,294
Defense-Related Activities (054) .....	7,997,000		7,997,000
<b>Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory</b> .....	<b>640,974,158</b>	<b>-9,695</b>	<b>640,964,463</b>

# TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

## SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

### SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>											
<b>FIXED WING</b>											
001	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT .....	1	19,730	1	19,730	1	19,730			1	19,730
003	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) .....	4	142,050	4	142,050	4	(114,700)		-57,000	4	85,050
	Modification of 12 Transferred Liberty A/C .....						(-114,700)				
004	Reduction of EMARSS LRIP aircraft .....	15	518,460	19	518,460	15	518,460		[-57,000]	15	518,460
005	MQ-1 UAV .....		10,772		10,772		10,772				10,772
<b>ROTARY</b>											
006	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) .....	10	96,227	31	231,327	10	96,227		75,000	20	171,227
	Program increase for additional aircraft .....			(21)	(115,100)				(10)		(75,000)
	Program increase for fielding .....				(20,000)						
007	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN .....	42	608,469	42	608,469	42	608,469			42	608,469
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		150,931		150,931		150,931				150,931
012	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) .....	65	1,046,976	65	1,046,976	65	1,026,992		-14,061	65	1,032,915
	Transfer to PE 0203774A at Army request .....						(-19,984)				
013	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		116,001		116,001		116,001				116,001
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	28	801,650	28	801,650	28	801,650			28	801,650
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		98,376		98,376		98,376				98,376
<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>											
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS .....		97,781		97,781		97,781				97,781
017	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) .....		10,262		10,262		10,262				10,262
018	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) .....		12,467		12,467		12,467				12,467
019	AH-64 MODS .....		53,559		53,559		53,559				53,559
020	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) .....		149,764		149,764		149,764				149,764
021	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS .....		17,500		17,500		17,500				17,500

022	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS .....	167	74,095	167	74,095	167	74,095	167	74,095
023	KIOWA MODS WARRIOR .....	3	184,044	3	184,044	3	184,044	3	184,044
024	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN .....		152,569		152,569		152,569		152,569
025	COMMS. NAV SURVEILLANCE .....		92,779		92,779		92,779		92,779
026	GATM ROLLUP .....		65,613		65,613		65,613		65,613
027	RO-7 UAV MODS .....		121,902		121,902		121,902		121,902
	<b>GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS</b>								
028	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT .....		47,610		47,610		47,610		47,610
029	SURVIVABILITY CM .....		5,700		5,700		5,700		5,700
030	CMWS .....		126,869		126,869		126,869		126,869
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>								
031	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	705	6,809	705	6,809	705	6,809	705	6,809
032	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....		65,397		65,397		65,397		65,397
033	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS .....		45,841		45,841		45,841		45,841
034	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....		79,692		79,692		79,692		79,692
035	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		1,615		1,615		1,615		1,615
036	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET .....		2,877		2,877		2,877		2,877
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>5,024,387</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>5,159,487</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>5,004,403</b>	<b>1,050</b>	<b>5,028,326</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>								
	<b>SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM</b>								
002	MSE MISSILE .....	56	540,401	56	540,401	56	540,401	56	540,401
003	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM								
	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....		4,464		4,464		4,464		4,464
	<b>ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>								
004	JAVELIN (AAVSS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	449	110,510	449	110,510	449	110,510	449	110,510
005	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	988	49,354	988	49,354	988	49,354	988	49,354
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		19,965		19,965		19,965		19,965
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMILRS) .....	1,788	237,216	1,788	237,216	1,788	237,216	1,788	237,216
008	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) .....	2,412	19,022	2,412	19,022	2,412	19,022	2,412	19,022
	<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>								
011	PATRIOT MODS .....		256,438		256,438		256,438		256,438
012	STINGER MODS .....		37,252		37,252		37,252		37,252
013	ITASTOW MODS .....		20,000		20,000		20,000		20,000
014	MLRS MODS .....		11,571		11,571		11,571		11,571
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS .....		6,105		6,105		6,105		6,105
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>								
016	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		11,222		11,222		11,222		11,222
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>								
017	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS .....		3,530		3,530		3,530		3,530

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
018	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		1,748		1,748		1,748				1,748
019	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		5,285		5,285		5,285				5,285
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>5,693</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>	<b>5,693</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>	<b>5,693</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>			<b>5,693</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>										
001	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>										
	STRYKER VEHICLE		374,100		374,100		374,100				374,100
002	<b>MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>										
	STRYKER (MOD)		20,522		20,522		20,522				20,522
003	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		29,965		29,965		29,965				29,965
004	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		158,000		158,000		158,000				158,000
005	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		4,769		4,769		4,769				4,769
006	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)		260,177	18	260,177	18	219,477		-40,700	18	219,477
	Transfer to PE 0604854A at Army Request						[-40,700]				
007	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)		111,031		186,031		111,031		75,000		186,031
	Program increase				[75,000]						
008	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)		2,500		2,500		2,500				2,500
009	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE	14	62,951	21	93,951	14	62,951			14	62,951
	Program increase			[7]	[31,000]						
010	M88 FOV MODS		28,469		28,469		28,469				28,469
011	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE		2,002		2,002		2,002				2,002
012	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		178,100		178,100		178,100				178,100
013	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM		168,000		168,000		168,000		90,000		90,000
	Program increase				[168,000]						
014	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>										
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		1,544		1,544		1,544				1,544
015	<b>WEAPONS &amp; OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES</b>										
	INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY	1,424	69,147		8,147		8,147		-69,147	-1,424	0
	Transfer to PE 0604601A per Army's request				[-11,000]						
	XM25 Counter Defilade Target Engagement				[-50,000]						
018	MORTAR SYSTEMS		5,310		5,310		5,310				5,310
019	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	5,061	24,049	5,061	24,049	5,061	24,049			5,061	24,049
021	CARBINE	41,897	70,846	41,897	48,846	12,000	21,254		-49,592	12,000	21,254



SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>											
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 1.05MM, ALL TYPES .....		39,825		39,825		39,825				39,825
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....		37,902		37,902		37,902				37,902
016	PROJ. 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	802	67,896	802	67,896	802	67,896			802	67,896
017	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL .....		71,205		71,205		71,205				71,205
<b>ROCKETS</b>											
020	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....		1,012		1,012		1,012				1,012
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....		108,476		108,476		108,476				108,476
<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>											
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....		24,074		24,074		24,074				24,074
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....		33,242		33,242		33,242				33,242
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....		7,609		7,609		7,609				7,609
025	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES .....		5,228		5,228		5,228				5,228
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>											
026	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES .....		16,700		16,700		16,700				16,700
027	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES .....		7,366		7,366		7,366				7,366
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....		3,614		3,614		3,614				3,614
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO) .....		12,423		12,423		12,423				12,423
030	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT .....		16,604		16,604		16,604				16,604
031	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) .....		14,328		14,328		14,328				14,328
032	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES .....		108		108		108				108
<b>PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT</b>											
033	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		242,324		242,324		242,324				242,324
034	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION .....		179,605		179,605		179,605				179,605
035	ARMS INITIATIVE .....		3,436		3,436		3,436				3,436
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b> .....	<b>802</b>	<b>1,540,437</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>1,465,637</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>1,465,637</b>		<b>-84,800</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>1,455,637</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>											
<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>											
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS .....	25	4,000	25	4,000	25	4,000			25	4,000
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED .....	40	6,841	40	6,841	40	6,841			40	6,841
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) .....	837	223,910	837	223,910	837	223,910			837	223,910
004	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED PREFIGHTING EQUIP .....		11,880		11,880		11,880				11,880



SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE .....		1,512		1,512		1,512		1,512		1,512
049	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT .....	323	61,096	323	61,096	323	61,096		61,096	323	61,096
	<b>INFORMATION SECURITY</b>										
050	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS) .....		13,890		13,890		13,890		13,890		13,890
051	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP .....	1,133	23,245	1,133	23,245	1,133	23,245		23,245	1,133	23,245
052	BIOMETRICS ENTERPRISE .....		3,800		3,800		3,800		3,800		3,800
053	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) .....	877	24,711	877	24,711	877	24,711		24,711	877	24,711
	<b>COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS</b>										
055	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS .....		43,395		43,395		43,395		43,395		43,395
	<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>										
057	INFORMATION SYSTEMS .....		104,577		104,577		104,577		104,577		104,577
058	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) .....		612		612		612		612		612
059	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....		39,000		39,000		39,000		39,000		39,000
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .....		248,477		248,477		248,477		248,477		248,477
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>										
064	JTT/CIBS-M .....		824		824		824		824		824
065	PROPHET GROUND .....	10	59,198	10	59,198	10	59,198		59,198	10	59,198
067	DOGS-A (MIP) .....	2,717	267,214	2,717	267,214	2,717	267,214		267,214	2,717	267,214
068	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTGS) .....	5	9,899	5	9,899	5	9,899		9,899	5	9,899
069	TROJAN (MIP) .....		24,598		24,598		24,598		24,598		24,598
070	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) .....		1,927		1,927		1,927		1,927		1,927
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRINTING AND COLL(CHARCS) .....		6,169		6,169		6,169		6,169		6,169
072	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M .....		2,924		2,924		2,924		2,924		2,924
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>										
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	18	40,735	18	40,735	18	40,735		40,735	18	40,735
075	EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT) .....		13		13		13		13		13
076	ENEMY UAS .....		2,800		2,800		2,800		2,800		2,800
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES .....		1,237		1,237		1,237		1,237		1,237
080	CI MODERNIZATION .....		1,399		1,399		1,399		1,399		1,399
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>										
082	SENTINEL MODS .....	86	47,983	86	47,983	86	47,983		47,983	86	47,983
083	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW) .....		142		142		142		142		142
084	NIGHT VISION DEVICES .....	6,879	202,428	6,879	202,428	6,879	202,428		202,428	6,879	202,428



SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....	3,759	20,630	3,759	20,630	3,759	20,630			3,759	20,630
128	CBRN DEFENSE .....	24,530	22,151	24,530	22,151	24,530	22,151			24,530	22,151
	<b>BRIDGING EQUIPMENT</b>										
130	TACTICAL BRIDGING .....	2	14,188	2	14,188	2	14,188			2	14,188
131	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON .....	34	23,101	34	23,101	34	23,101			34	23,101
132	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP .....		15,416		15,416		15,416				15,416
	<b>ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT</b>										
134	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) .....	311	50,465	311	50,465	311	50,465			311	50,465
135	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS) .....		6,490		6,490		6,490				6,490
136	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION .....		1,563		1,563		1,563				1,563
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) .....	6,774	20,921	6,774	20,921	6,774	20,921			6,774	20,921
138	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS .....		100		100		100				100
139	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT .....	70	2,271	70	2,271	70	2,271			70	2,271
	<b>COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
140	HEATERS AND ECUS .....	464	7,269	464	7,269	464	7,269			464	7,269
141	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES .....		200		200		200				200
142	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT .....		1,468		1,468		1,468				1,468
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) .....	31,530	26,526	31,530	26,526	31,530	26,526			31,530	26,526
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM .....	5,547	81,680	5,547	71,680	5,547	81,680			5,547	71,680
	Unjustified unit cost growth .....				[-10,000]						[-10,000]
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	217	28,096	217	28,096	217	28,096			217	28,096
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM .....	6,904	56,150	6,904	56,150	6,904	56,150			6,904	56,150
149	MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS .....	248	3,242	248	3,242	248	3,242			248	3,242
150	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS .....	289	38,141	289	38,141	289	38,141			289	38,141
151	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT) .....	210	5,859	210	5,859	210	5,859			210	5,859
	<b>PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT</b>										
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER .....	508	60,612	508	60,612	508	60,612			508	60,612
	<b>MEDICAL EQUIPMENT</b>										
153	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL .....	3,258	22,042	3,258	22,042	3,258	22,042			3,258	22,042
154	MED/VC MISSON EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP) .....	88	35,318	88	35,318	88	35,318			88	35,318
	<b>MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT</b>										
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS .....	25	19,427	25	19,427	25	19,427			25	19,427
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) .....	347	3,860	347	3,860	347	3,860			347	3,860



SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
195	OPAZ										
	INITIAL SPARES—C&E .....	15	59,700	15	59,700	15	59,700			15	59,700
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....	162,339	6,465,218	162,339	6,410,918	162,339	6,465,218		-54,300	162,339	6,410,918
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>										
001	COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
	EA-18G .....	21	2,001,787	21	1,956,787	21	2,001,787		-60,913	21	1,940,874
	Excess engineering change order funding .....								[-8,790]		
	GFE electronics cost growth .....								[-5,943]		
	Other GFE cost growth .....								[-1,180]		
	Program adjustment .....								[-45,000]		
003	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET .....		206,551		206,551		206,551				206,551
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										75,000
	Program increase .....								[75,000]		
005	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV .....	4	1,135,444	4	1,135,444	4	1,135,444			4	1,135,444
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										94,766
007	JSF STOVL .....	6	1,267,260	6	1,267,260	6	1,267,260			6	1,267,260
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										103,195
009	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	18	1,432,573	18	1,432,573	18	1,432,573			18	1,432,573
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										55,196
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) .....	25	749,962	25	749,962	25	749,962			25	749,962
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										71,000
013	MH-60S (MYP) .....	18	383,831	18	383,831	18	383,831			18	383,831
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										37,278
015	MH-60R (MYP) .....	19	599,237	20	599,237	19	599,237			19	599,237
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										231,834
017	P-8A POSEIDON .....	16	3,189,989	16	3,189,989	16	3,189,989			16	3,189,989
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										313,160
019	E-20 ADV HAWKEYE .....	5	997,107	5	962,107	5	997,107			5	997,107
	Unjustified CRI Funding .....								[-35,000]		
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....										266,542
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>										
021	JPATS .....	29	249,080	29	249,080	29	249,080			29	249,080

022	OTHER AIRCRAFT	2	134,358	2	134,358	2	134,358	2	134,358	2	134,358
023	KC-130J .....		32,288		32,288		32,288		32,288		32,288
025	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		52,002		52,002		52,002		52,002		52,002
	Advance procurement appropriated in fiscal year 2013 .....										
026	MQ-8 UAV .....	1	60,980	1	60,980	1	60,980	1	60,980	1	60,980
028	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT .....	1	14,958	1	14,958	1	14,958	1	14,958	1	14,958
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>										
029	EA-6 SERIES .....		18,577		18,577		18,577		18,577		18,577
030	AEA SYSTEMS .....		48,502		48,502		48,502		48,502		48,502
031	AV-8 SERIES .....		41,575		41,575		41,575		41,575		41,575
032	ADVERSARY .....		2,992		2,992		2,992		2,992		2,992
033	F-18 SERIES .....		875,371		875,371		875,371		875,371		875,371
	ECP 6038 radome kits cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....										
	Integrated logistics support growth (OSIP 14-03) .....										
	Other support and LIS ahead of need (OSIP 04-14) .....										
	Retrofit radars (APG-79B) cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....										
034	H-46 SERIES .....		2,127		2,127		2,127		2,127		2,127
036	H-53 SERIES .....		67,675		67,675		67,675		67,675		67,675
037	SH-60 SERIES .....		135,054		135,054		135,054		135,054		135,054
038	H-1 SERIES .....		41,706		41,706		41,706		41,706		41,706
039	EP-3 SERIES .....		55,903	12	77,903		77,903		77,903		77,903
	12th aircraft to Spiral 3 .....										
	Sensor obsolescence .....			[12]	[14,000]		[14,000]		[14,000]		[14,000]
040	P-3 SERIES .....		37,436		37,436		37,436		37,436		37,436
041	E-2 SERIES .....		31,044		31,044		31,044		31,044		31,044
042	TRAINER A/C SERIES .....		43,720		43,720		43,720		43,720		43,720
	Avionics Obsolescence installation cost growth .....										
043	C-2A .....		902		902		902		902		902
044	C-130 SERIES .....		47,587		47,587		47,587		47,587		47,587
045	FEWSSG .....		665		665		665		665		665
046	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES .....		14,587		14,587		14,587		14,587		14,587
047	E-6 SERIES .....		189,312		189,312		189,312		189,312		189,312
	FAB-T funding previously appropriated (OSIP 014-14) .....										
048	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES .....		85,537		85,537		85,537		85,537		85,537
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....		3,684	4	16,684		16,684		16,684		16,684
	Program office sustainment .....										
	Sensor obsolescence .....			[4]	[5,000]		[5,000]		[5,000]		[5,000]
050	T-45 SERIES .....		98,128		98,128		98,128		98,128		98,128
051	POWER PLANT CHANGES .....		22,999		22,999		22,999		22,999		22,999



004	AMRAM	54	95,413	54	95,413	54	95,413	54	95,413
005	SIDEMINDER	225	117,208	225	117,208	225	117,208	225	117,208
006	ISOW	328	136,794	328	136,794	328	136,794	328	136,794
007	STANDARD MISSILE	81	367,985	81	367,985	81	367,985	81	367,985
008	RAM	66	67,596	66	67,596	66	67,596	66	67,596
	Guidance and control assembly contract savings								
009	HELLFIRE	363	33,916	363	33,916	363	33,916	363	33,916
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPM)	50	6,278	50	6,278	50	6,278	50	6,278
012	AERIAL TARGETS		41,799		41,799		41,799		41,799
013	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		3,538		3,538		3,538		3,538
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>								
014	ESSM	53	76,749	53	76,749	53	76,749	53	76,749
015	HARM MODS	143	111,902	143	111,902	143	111,902	143	111,902
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>								
016	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,138		1,138		1,138		1,138
017	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON		23,014		23,014		23,014		23,014
	<b>ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>								
018	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		84,318		84,318		84,318		84,318
	<b>TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>								
019	SSSD		3,978		3,978		3,978		3,978
020	ASW TARGETS		8,031		8,031		8,031		8,031
	<b>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>								
021	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	150	125,898	150	125,898	150	125,898	150	125,898
022	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	108	53,203	108	53,203	108	53,203	108	53,203
023	QUICKSTRIKE MINE		7,800		7,800		7,800		7,800
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>								
024	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		59,730		59,730		59,730		59,730
025	ASW RANGE SUPPORT		4,222		4,222		4,222		4,222
	<b>DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION</b>								
026	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		3,963		3,963		3,963		3,963
	<b>GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>								
027	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		12,513		12,513		12,513		12,513
	<b>MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>								
028	CMS MODS		56,308		56,308		56,308		56,308
	Additional RMA kits								
029	COAST GUARD WEAPONS		10,727		10,727		10,727		10,727
	Machine gun equipment cost growth								
030	GUN MOUNT MODS		72,901		72,901		72,901		72,901
	Machine gun equipment cost growth								
	MK38 gun kits cost growth								
031	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	1	1,943	1	1,943	1	1,943	1	1,943

-1,612  
[-1,612]

6,400  
[6,400]  
-3,458  
[-3,458]  
-13,380  
[-13,380]

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
032	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS .....		19,758		19,758		19,758				19,758
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>										
034	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		52,632		52,632		52,632				52,632
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>1,817</b>	<b>3,122,193</b>	<b>1,818</b>	<b>3,108,093</b>	<b>1,817</b>	<b>3,128,993</b>		<b>-12,050</b>	<b>1,817</b>	<b>3,110,143</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>										
	<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>										
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....		37,703		37,703		37,703				37,703
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....		65,411		65,411		65,411				65,411
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....		20,284		20,284		20,284				20,284
004	PRACTICE BOMBS .....		37,870		37,870		37,870				37,870
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES .....		53,764		53,764		53,764				53,764
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....		67,194		67,194		67,194				67,194
007	JATOS .....		2,749		2,749		2,749				2,749
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE .....		3,906		3,906		3,906				3,906
009	5 INCH/6.4 GUN AMMUNITION .....		24,151		24,151		24,151				24,151
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION .....		33,080		33,080		33,080				33,080
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....		40,398		40,398		40,398				40,398
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....		61,219		61,219		61,219				61,219
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....		10,637		10,637		10,637				10,637
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		4,578		4,578		4,578				4,578
	<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>										
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....		26,297		26,297		26,297				26,297
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....		6,088		6,088		6,088				6,088
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....		7,644		7,644		7,644				7,644
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....		3,349		3,349		3,349				3,349
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....		13,361		13,361		13,361				13,361
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....		2,149		2,149		2,149				2,149
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....		27,465		27,465		27,465				27,465
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES .....		26,366		26,366		26,366				26,366
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION .....		8,403		8,403		8,403				8,403
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		5,201		5,201		5,201				5,201
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>		<b>589,267</b>		<b>589,267</b>		<b>589,267</b>				<b>589,267</b>









089	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	27,381	27,381	27,381	27,381	27,381	27,381
090	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) .....	215,952	215,952	215,952	215,952	215,952	215,952
091	<b>SHORE COMMUNICATIONS</b>					4,463	4,463
092	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT .....	4,463	4,463	4,463	4,463	778	778
093	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS .....	778	778	778	778		
094	<b>CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT</b>						
095	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	133,530	133,530	133,530	133,530	1,000	133,530
096	INFO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000
097	<b>CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT</b>						
098	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP .....	12,251	12,251	12,251	12,251		12,251
099	<b>OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT</b>						
100	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT .....	2,893	2,893	2,893	2,893		2,893
101	<b>SONOBOUYS</b>						
102	SONOBOUYS—ALL TYPES .....	179,927	179,927	179,927	179,927		179,927
103	<b>AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>						
104	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	55,279	55,279	55,279	55,279		55,279
105	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS .....	8,792	8,792	8,792	8,792		8,792
106	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT .....	11,364	11,364	11,364	11,364		11,364
107	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT .....	59,502	59,502	59,502	59,502		59,502
108	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT .....	19,118	19,118	19,118	19,118		19,118
109	DGRS/DPL .....	1,425	1,425	1,425	1,425		1,425
110	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT .....	29,670	29,670	29,670	29,670		29,670
111	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	101,554	101,554	101,554	101,554		101,554
112	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT .....	18,293	18,293	18,293	18,293		18,293
113	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS .....	7,969	7,969	7,969	7,969		7,969
114	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	5,215	5,215	5,215	5,215		5,215
115	AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS) .....	4,827	4,827	4,827	4,827		4,827
116	<b>SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT</b>						
117	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM .....	1,188	1,188	1,188	1,188		1,188
118	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	4,447	4,447	4,447	4,447		4,447
119	<b>SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT</b>						
120	NATO SEASPARROW .....	58,368	58,368	58,368	58,368		58,368
121	RAM GMLS .....	491	491	491	491		491
122	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM .....	51,858	51,858	51,858	51,858		51,858
123	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	59,757	59,757	59,757	59,757		59,757
124	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	71,559	71,559	71,559	71,559		71,559
125	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS .....	626	626	626	626		626
126	MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS .....	2,779	2,779	2,779	2,779		2,779
127	<b>FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>						
128	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP .....	224,484	224,484	224,484	224,484		224,484

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	New START treaty implementation .....				[-25,919]						
	<b>ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
122	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS .....		85,678		85,678		85,678		85,678		85,678
123	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		3,913		3,913		3,913		3,913		3,913
124	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		3,909		3,909		3,909		3,909		3,909
125	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		28,694		28,694		28,694		28,694		28,694
	<b>OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
126	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP .....		46,586		46,586		46,586		46,586		46,586
127	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		11,933		11,933		11,933		11,933		11,933
	<b>OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE</b>										
128	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM .....		62,361	1	62,361		62,361		62,361		62,361
129	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....		41,813		41,813		41,813		41,813		41,813
130	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....		26,672		26,672		26,672		26,672		26,672
	<b>CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
131	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....		5,600		5,600		5,600		5,600		5,600
132	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS .....		3,717		3,717		3,717		3,717		3,717
133	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP .....		10,881		10,881		10,881		10,881		10,881
134	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....		14,748		14,748		14,748		14,748		14,748
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		5,540		5,540		5,540		5,540		5,540
136	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT .....		5,741		5,741		5,741		5,741		5,741
137	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....		3,852		3,852		3,852		3,852		3,852
138	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION .....		25,757		25,757		25,757		25,757		25,757
139	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES .....		1,182		1,182		1,182		1,182		1,182
	<b>SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
140	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....		14,250		14,250		14,250		14,250		14,250
141	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		6,401		6,401		6,401		6,401		6,401
142	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....		5,718		5,718		5,718		5,718		5,718
143	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS .....		22,597		22,597		22,597		22,597		22,597
	<b>TRAINING DEVICES</b>										
144	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		22,527		22,527		22,527		22,527		22,527
	<b>COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
145	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		50,428		50,428		50,428		50,428		50,428
146	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		2,292		2,292		2,292		2,292		2,292

147	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,925	4,925	4,925	4,925
149	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,202	3,202	3,202	3,202
151	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	24,294	24,294	24,294	24,294
152	CAISR EQUIPMENT .....	4,287	4,287	4,287	4,287
153	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	18,276	18,276	18,276	18,276
154	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	134,495	134,495	134,495	134,495
155	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	324,327	324,327	324,327	324,327
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b> .....				
156A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	12,140	12,140	12,140	12,140
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b> .....				
157	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	317,234	317,234	317,234	317,234
	New START treaty implementation .....	[-275]			
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>6,310,257</b>	<b>6,310,257</b>	<b>6,310,257</b>	<b>6,267,292</b>
		<b>8</b>			<b>-43,005</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b> .....				
	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b> .....				
001	AAV7A1 PIP .....	32,360	32,360	32,360	32,360
002	LAV PIP .....	6,003	6,003	6,003	6,003
	<b>ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS</b> .....				
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	589	589	589	589
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER .....	3,655	3,655	3,655	3,655
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM .....	5,467	5,467	5,467	5,467
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION .....	20,354	20,354	20,354	20,354
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b> .....				
007	MODIFICATION KITS .....	38,446	38,446	38,446	38,446
008	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM .....	4,734	4,734	4,734	4,734
	<b>GUIDED MISSILES</b> .....				
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE .....	15,713	15,713	15,713	15,713
010	JAVELIN .....	36,175	36,175	36,175	36,175
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAMS-H) .....	219	219	219	219
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b> .....				
013	MODIFICATION KITS .....	33,976	33,976	33,976	30,078
	Tow Unit Cost Growth .....				[-3,898]
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS</b> .....				
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER .....	16,273	16,273	16,273	16,273
	<b>REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT</b> .....				
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....	41,063	41,063	41,063	41,063
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)</b> .....				
016	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	2,930	2,930	2,930	2,930
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)</b> .....				

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) .....		1,637		1,637		1,637				1,637
019	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS .....		18,394		18,394		18,394				18,394
020	<b>RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b> .....										
	RADAR SYSTEMS .....		114,051		114,051		114,051		-12,110		101,941
	Previously funded EDM refurbishment .....								[-12,110]		
021	RO-21 UAS .....	25	66,612	25	66,612	25	66,612			25	66,612
	<b>INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b> .....										
022	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....		3,749		3,749		3,749				3,749
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		75,979		75,979		75,979				75,979
026	RO-11 UAV .....		1,653		1,653		1,653				1,653
027	DCGS-MC .....		9,494		9,494		9,494				9,494
028	<b>OTHER COMME/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b> .....										
	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT .....		6,171		6,171		6,171				6,171
029	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)</b> .....										
	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....		121,955		121,955		121,955		-2,000		119,955
	Unit cost growth .....								[-2,000]		
030	<b>COMMAND POST SYSTEMS</b> .....		83,294		83,294		83,294				83,294
031	<b>RADIO SYSTEMS</b> .....		74,718		74,718		74,718				74,718
032	<b>COMM SWITCHING &amp; CONTROL SYSTEMS</b> .....		47,613		47,613		47,613				47,613
033	<b>COMM &amp; ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT</b> .....		19,573		19,573		19,573				19,573
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b> .....										
033A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		5,659		5,659		5,659				5,659
	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES</b> .....										
034	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES .....		1,039		1,039		1,039				1,039
035	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES .....		31,050		31,050		31,050				31,050
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b> .....										
036	5/4T TRUCK HMMV (MPF) .....		36,333		36,333		36,333				36,333
037	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS .....		3,137		3,137		3,137				3,137
040	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS .....		27,385		27,385		27,385				27,385
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b> .....										
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		7,016		7,016		7,016				7,016
042	<b>ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT</b> .....										
	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....		14,377		14,377		14,377				14,377





047	GLIDER MODS .....	128	128		128	
048	T-6 .....	6,427	6,427		6,427	
049	T-1 .....	277	277		277	
050	T-38 .....	28,686	28,686		28,686	
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>					
052	U-2 MODS .....	45,591	45,591		45,591	
053	KC-10A (ATCA) .....	70,918	70,918		70,918	
054	C-12 .....	1,876	1,876		1,876	
055	MC-12W .....	5,000	5,000		5,000	
056	C-20 MODS .....	192	192		192	
057	VC-25A MOD .....	263	263		263	
058	C-40 .....	6,119	6,119		6,119	
059	C-130 .....	58,577	74,277	15,700	105,877	74,277
	C-130 avionics upgrades				(47,300)	
	C-130H Propulsion System Engine Upgrades				[15,700]	
061	C-130 MODS .....	10,475	10,475		10,475	
062	C-135 .....	46,556	46,556		46,556	
063	COMPASS CALL MODS .....	34,494	34,494		34,494	
064	RC-135 .....	171,813	171,813		171,813	
065	E-3 .....	197,087	197,087		197,087	
066	E-4 .....	14,304	14,304		14,304	
067	E-8 .....	57,472	57,472		57,472	
068	H-1 .....	6,627	6,627		6,627	
069	H-60 .....	27,654	27,654		27,654	
070	RQ-4 MODS .....	9,313	9,313		9,313	
071	HQ/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	16,300	16,300		16,300	
072	OTHER AIRCRAFT .....	6,948	6,948		6,948	
073	MQ-1 MODS .....	9,734	9,734		9,734	
074	MQ-9 MODS .....	102,970	102,970		68,470	62,970
	Anti-ice production ahead of need				-40,000	
	Lynx radar reduction				[-5,520]	
076	RQ-4 GSRA/GSRA MODS .....	30,000	30,000		30,000	
077	CV-22 MODS .....	23,310	23,310		23,310	
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>					
078	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....	463,285	463,285		463,285	
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....	49,140	49,140		49,140	
	<b>POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT</b>					
	Anti-ice production ahead of need					
	Lynx radar reduction					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT					
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS					
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall					
	MQ-9 spares					
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP					

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
081	B-1 .....		3,683		3,683		3,683				3,683
083	B-2A .....		43,786		43,786		43,786				43,786
084	B-52 .....		7,000		7,000		7,000				7,000
087	C-17A .....		81,952		81,952		81,952				81,952
089	C-135 .....		8,597		8,597		8,597				8,597
090	F-15 .....		2,403		2,403		2,403				2,403
091	F-16 .....		3,455		3,455		3,455				3,455
092	F-22A .....		5,911		5,911		5,911				5,911
094	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....		21,148		21,148		21,148				21,148
095	WAR CONSUMABLES .....		94,947		94,947		94,947				94,947
096	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....		1,242,004		1,242,004		1,242,004				1,242,004
101A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		75,845		75,845		75,845				75,845
	Program Decrease .....				[-8,300]						
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....	97	11,398,901	136	11,709,101	97	11,381,701	6	-74,920	103	11,323,981
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....										
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC .....										
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC .....										
001	TACTICAL .....		39,104		39,104		39,104				39,104
002	JASSM .....	183	291,151	183	291,151	183	291,151			183	291,151
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) .....	225	119,904	225	119,904	225	119,904			225	119,904
004	AMRAM .....	199	340,015	199	340,015	199	340,015			199	340,015
005	PREDATOR HELIFRE MISSILE .....	413	48,548	413	48,548	413	48,548			413	48,548
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	144	42,347	144	42,347	144	42,347			144	42,347
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....										
007	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POL PREVENTION .....		752		752		752				752
	CLASS IV .....										
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS .....		21,635		21,635		21,635				21,635
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK .....		276		276		276				276

011	AGM-88A HARM .....	580	580	580	580	580
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	6,888	6,888	6,888	6,888	6,888
013	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
014	<b>MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b> .....	72,080	72,080	72,080	72,080	72,080
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....	71,377	71,377	71,377	71,377	71,377
	Spares and repair parts related to New START treaty imple- mentation. [-703]					
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b> .....					
015	ADVANCED EHF .....	379,586	379,586	379,586	379,586	379,586
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) .....	38,398	38,398	38,398	38,398	38,398
017	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	403,431	403,431	403,431	403,431	403,431
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	74,167	74,167	74,167	74,167	74,167
019	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) .....	5,244	5,244	5,244	5,244	5,244
020	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) .....	55,997	55,997	55,997	55,997	55,997
021	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) .....	95,673	95,673	95,673	95,673	95,673
022	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) .....	1,852,900	1,852,900	1,852,900	1,852,900	1,852,900
023	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) .....	583,192	583,192	583,192	583,192	583,192
029	<b>SPECIAL PROGRAMS</b> .....	36,716	36,716	36,716	36,716	36,716
	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS .....					
029A	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b> .....	829,702	829,702	829,702	829,702	829,702
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>1,171</b>	<b>5,343,286</b>	<b>1,171</b>	<b>5,343,286</b>	<b>1,171</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b> .....					
	<b>ROCKETS</b> .....					
001	ROCKETS .....	15,735	15,735	15,735	15,735	15,735
002	CARTRIDGES .....	129,921	129,921	129,921	129,921	129,921
	<b>BOMBS</b> .....					
003	PRACTICE BOMBS .....	30,840	30,840	30,840	30,840	30,840
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	187,397	187,397	187,397	187,397	187,397
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	188,510	188,510	188,510	188,510	188,510
	<b>OTHER ITEMS</b> .....					
006	CAD/PAD .....	35,837	35,837	35,837	35,837	35,837
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) .....	7,531	7,531	7,531	7,531	7,531
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	499	499	499	499	499
009	MODIFICATIONS .....	480	480	480	480	480
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	9,765	9,765	9,765	9,765	9,765
	<b>FLARES</b> .....					
011	FLARES .....	55,864	55,864	55,864	55,864	55,864

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
013	FUZES .....		76,037		76,037		76,037				76,037
	SMALL ARMS .....		21,026		21,026		21,026				21,026
014	SMALL ARMS .....		759,442	6,965	759,442	6,965	759,442			6,965	759,442
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE .....			6,965		6,965					
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>										
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....		2,048		2,048		2,048				2,048
002	CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES .....		8,019		8,019		8,019				8,019
003	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE .....		946		946		946				946
004	CAP VEHICLES .....		7,138		7,138		7,138				7,138
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....										
005	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES .....		13,093		13,093		13,093				13,093
006	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		13,983		13,983		13,983				13,983
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....										
007	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....		23,794		23,794		23,794				23,794
	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES .....										
008	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....		8,669		8,669		8,669				8,669
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....										
009	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT .....		6,144		6,144		6,144				6,144
010	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP .....		1,580		1,580		1,580				1,580
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....										
012	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC) .....		149,661		149,661		149,661				149,661
013	COMSEC EQUIPMENT .....		726		726		726				726
	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) .....										
	<b>INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS</b>										
014	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....		2,789		2,789		2,789				2,789
015	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT .....		31,875		31,875		31,875				31,875
016	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS .....		452		452		452				452
017	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....		14,203		14,203		14,203				14,203
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>										
018	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS .....		46,232		46,232		46,232				46,232



SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
<b>PERSONAL SAFETY &amp; RESCUE EQUIP</b>											
055	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES .....		14,524		14,524		14,524		-10,488		4,036
	Night Vision Cueing and Display termination .....								[-10,488]		
056	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		28,655		28,655		28,655				28,655
<b>DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ</b>											
057	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP .....		9,332		9,332		9,332				9,332
<b>BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>											
058	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT .....		16,762		16,762		16,762				16,762
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....		33,768		33,768		33,768				33,768
060	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT .....		2,495		2,495		2,495				2,495
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT .....		12,859		12,859		12,859				12,859
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		1,954		1,954		1,954				1,954
<b>SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS</b>											
064	DARP RC135 .....		24,528		24,528		24,528				24,528
065	DCGS-AF .....		137,819		137,819		137,819				137,819
067	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM .....		479,586		479,586		479,586				479,586
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. ....		45,159		45,159		45,159				45,159
<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>											
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		14,519,256		14,519,256		14,519,256				14,519,256
<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>											
069	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		25,746		25,746		25,746				25,746
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>		<b>16,760,581</b>		<b>16,760,581</b>		<b>16,760,581</b>		<b>-13,738</b>		<b>16,746,843</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>											
<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA</b>											
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		1,291		1,291		1,291				1,291
<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA</b>											
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....		5,711		5,711		5,711				5,711
<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTRA</b>											
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....		47,201		47,201		47,201				47,201
<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA</b>											
009	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY .....		16,189		16,189		16,189				16,189
012	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....		66,075		66,075		66,075				66,075

013	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	83,881	83,881	83,881	83,881	83,881	83,881
014	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	2,572	2,572	2,572	2,572	2,572	2,572
015	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK .....	125,557	125,557	125,557	125,557	125,557	125,557
017	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	16,941	16,941	16,941	16,941	16,941	16,941
018	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA .....	13,137	13,137	13,137	13,137	13,137	13,137
019	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMIAC .....	15,414	15,414	15,414	15,414	15,414	15,414
020	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA .....	1,454	1,454	1,454	1,454	1,454	1,454
020	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS .....						
021	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	978	978	978	978	978	978
021	EQUIPMENT .....						
022	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS .....	5,020	5,020	5,020	5,020	5,020	5,020
022	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....						
023	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....						
023	VEHICLES .....	2	2	2	2	2	2
024	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	3	3	3	3	3	3
024	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....						
026	THAAD .....	36	36	36	36	36	36
027	AEGIS BMD .....	52	52	52	52	52	52
028	BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS .....	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000
029	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III .....	1	1	1	1	1	1
031	IRON DOME .....	1	1	1	1	1	1
033	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	220,309	220,309	220,309	220,309	220,309	220,309
	Advance Procurement of 14 GBIs, beginning with booster	107,000	107,000	107,000	107,000	107,000	107,000
	major sets.	[107,000]	[107,000]	[107,000]	[107,000]	[107,000]	[107,000]
039	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA .....	14,363	14,363	14,363	14,363	14,363	14,363
039	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....						
040	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD .....	37,345	37,345	37,345	37,345	37,345	37,345
041	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE .....	16,678	16,678	16,678	16,678	16,678	16,678
042	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TIS .....	14,792	14,792	14,792	14,792	14,792	14,792
042	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TIS .....						
043	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS .....	35,259	35,259	35,259	35,259	35,259	35,259
043	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS .....						
043A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	544,272	544,272	544,272	544,272	544,272	544,272
043A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....						
045	AVIATION PROGRAMS .....	112,456	112,456	112,456	112,456	112,456	112,456
045	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT .....						
046	MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	81,457	81,457	81,457	81,457	81,457	81,457

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
047	NON-STANDARD AVIATION .....		2,650		2,650		2,650				2,650
048	U-28 .....		56,208		56,208		56,208				56,208
049	MH-47 CHINOOK .....		19,766		19,766		19,766				19,766
050	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....		850		850		850				850
051	CV-22 MODIFICATION .....	3	98,927	3	98,927	3	98,927			3	98,927
052	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....		20,576		20,576		20,576				20,576
053	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....		1,893		1,893		14,893		13,000		14,893
	Capability Improvements .....						(13,000)		(13,000)		
055	STUASLO .....		13,166		13,166		13,166				13,166
056	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE .....		107,687		107,687		107,687				107,687
057	AG/MC-130J .....		51,870		51,870		51,870				51,870
059	C-130 MODIFICATIONS .....		71,940		71,940		71,940				61,317
	C-130 TF7A—early to need .....										(-10,623)
	C-130 TF7A—early to need .....										(-10,623)
061	SHIPBUILDING		37,439		37,439		37,439				37,439
	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS .....										
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		159,029		159,029		159,029				159,029
063	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M .....		159,029		159,029		159,029				159,029
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS										
066	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....		79,819		79,819		79,819				79,819
068	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....		14,906		14,906		14,906				14,906
070	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M .....		81,711		81,711		81,711				81,711
071	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS .....		35,053		35,053		33,897		-1,156		33,897
	CCFLIR—Transfer at USSOCOM Request .....						(-1,156)		(-1,156)		
074	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....		41,526		41,526		41,526				41,526
075	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		43,353		43,353		43,353				43,353
076	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M .....		210,540		210,540		210,540				210,540
078	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS .....		20,000		20,000		20,000				20,000
082	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....		6,645		6,645		6,645				6,645
083	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....		25,581		25,581		25,581				25,581
089	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....		191,061		191,061		191,061				191,061
	CBDP										
091	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION .....		14,271		14,271		14,271				14,271
092	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION .....		101,667		101,667		101,667				101,667

094	JOINT BIO DEFENSE PROGRAM (MEDICAL) .....	13,447	13,447	13,447	13,447				
095	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION .....	20,896	20,896	20,896	20,896				
096	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE .....	144,540	144,540	144,540	144,540				
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>4,641,083</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>4,545,327</b>	<b>1,221</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>4,535,304</b>
<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>									
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....	98,800	98,800	98,800	98,800				0
	Program reduction .....		[-98,800]		98,800				[-98,800]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....</b>				<b>98,800</b>				<b>0</b>
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT .....</b>	<b>229,104</b>	<b>98,227,168</b>	<b>227,777</b>	<b>98,151,289</b>	<b>-31,305</b>	<b>197,783</b>	<b>197,799</b>	<b>98,442,249</b>

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>											
	FIXED WING										
002	SATURN ARCH (MIP)	4	48,000	4	48,000	4	48,000			4	48,000
004	MO-1 UAV	4	31,988	4	31,988	4	31,988			4	31,988
	<b>ROTARY</b>										
009	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIB NEW BUILD	4	142,000	4	142,000	4	142,000			4	142,000
011	KIOWA WARRIOR WRA	14	163,800	14	163,800	14	163,800			14	163,800
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER	10	386,000	10	386,000	10	386,000			10	386,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>771,788</b>			<b>36</b>	<b>771,788</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>											
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM										
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	550	54,000	550	79,887	550	54,000			550	54,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.				[25,887]						
	<b>ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>										
007	GUIDED MIRS ROCKET (GMILRS)	383	39,045	383	39,045	383	39,045			383	39,045
010	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM	38	35,600	38	35,600	38	35,600			38	35,600
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>128,645</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>154,532</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>128,645</b>			<b>971</b>	<b>128,645</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>											
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH										
033	M16 RIFLE MODS		15,422		15,422						0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.				[15,422]						
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>		<b>15,422</b>		<b>15,422</b>						<b>0</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>											
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION										
002	CTG. 5.56MM. ALL TYPES		4,400		4,400		4,400				4,400

004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500			
005	CTG, .50 CAL., ALL TYPES .....	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000			5,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.		[5,000]					
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000			60,000
	<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>							
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000			5,000
014	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>							
	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	30,000	10,000	10,000			10,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.		[20,000]					
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000			10,000
016	PROJ, 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	120	120	11,000
	<b>MINES</b>							
018	MINES & CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....	9,482	9,482	9,482	9,482			0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.		[9,482]					
	<b>ROCKETS</b>							
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....	57,000	57,000	57,000	57,000			57,000
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>							
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000			4,000
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000			3,000
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000			8,000
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>							
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000			2,000
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b> .....	<b>180,900</b>	<b>215,382</b>	<b>180,900</b>	<b>180,900</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>180,900</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>							
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>							
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) .....	2,500	[2,500]	2,500	2,500			0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.							
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) .....	2,050	[2,050]	2,050	2,050			0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.							
013	MIKE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS .....	321,040	562,596	321,040	321,040			321,040
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming.		[241,556]					
	<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>							
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .....	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000			25,000

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>										
067	DCSS-A (MIP) .....		7,200		7,200		7,200				7,200
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRING AND COLL(CHARCS) .....		5,980		5,980		5,980				5,980
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>										
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	67	57,800	67	83,255	67	57,800			67	57,800
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [25,455]										
078	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITY .....		15,300		15,300		15,300				15,300
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES .....		4,221		4,221		4,221				4,221
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>										
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....	34	1,834	34	1,834	34	1,834			34	1,834
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FREEMINDER RADARS) .....		8,400		8,400		8,400				0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [8,400]										
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) .....	137	21,000	137	21,000	137	21,000			137	21,000
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	4	85,830	4	85,830	4	85,830			4	85,830
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS</b>										
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) .....		3,200		3,200		3,200				0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [3,200]										
112	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE) .....		5,160		5,160		5,160				0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [5,160]										
	<b>CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT</b>										
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) .....		15,000		15,000		15,000				0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [15,000]										
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....		24,932		24,932		24,932				0
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 re-programming. [24,932]										
	<b>ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT</b>										
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EOPMT (EOD EOPMT) .....		3,565		3,565		3,565				0



SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....		20,700		20,700		20,700				20,700
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>										
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		24,776		24,776		24,776				24,776
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>240,696</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>240,696</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>240,696</b>			<b>2</b>	<b>240,696</b>
	<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>										
	<b>TACTICAL MISSILES</b>										
009	HELLFIRE .....	270	27,000	270	27,000	270	27,000			270	27,000
010	LASER MAVERICK .....	500	58,000	500	58,000	500	58,000			500	58,000
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) .....	9	1,500	9	1,500	9	1,500			9	1,500
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>86,500</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>86,500</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>86,500</b>			<b>779</b>	<b>86,500</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>										
	<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>										
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....		11,424		11,424		11,424				11,424
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....		30,332		30,332		30,332				30,332
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....		8,282		8,282		8,282				8,282
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....		31,884		31,884		31,884				31,884
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....		409		409		409				409
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....		11,976		11,976		11,976				11,976
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....		2,447		2,447		2,447				2,447
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		7,692		7,692		7,692				7,692
	<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>										
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....		13,461		13,461		13,461				13,461
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....		3,310		3,310		3,310				3,310
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....		6,244		6,244		6,244				6,244
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....		3,368		3,368		3,368				3,368
019	81MM, ALL TYPES .....		9,162		9,162		9,162				9,162
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....		10,266		10,266		10,266				10,266
021	CG 25MM, ALL TYPES .....		1,887		1,887		1,887				1,887
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....		1,611		1,611		1,611				1,611
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....		37,459		37,459		37,459				37,459
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES .....		970		970		970				970



**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Agreement Change		Agreement Authorized	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....		94,050		94,050		94,050				94,050
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>										
052	U-2 MODS .....		11,300		11,300		11,300				11,300
059	C-130 .....		1,618		1,618		1,618				1,618
064	RC-135 .....		2,700		2,700		2,700				2,700
	<b>COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>										
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....		6,000		6,000		6,000				6,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>		<b>115,668</b>		<b>115,668</b>		<b>115,668</b>				<b>115,668</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>										
	<b>TACTICAL</b>										
005	PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE .....	211	24,200	211	24,200	211	24,200			211	24,200
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>24,200</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>24,200</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>24,200</b>			<b>211</b>	<b>24,200</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>										
	<b>ROCKETS</b>										
001	ROCKETS .....		326		326		326				326
	<b>CARTRIDGES</b>										
002	CARTRIDGES .....		17,634		17,634		17,634				17,634
	<b>BOMBS</b>										
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....		37,514		37,514		37,514				37,514
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	2,879	84,459	2,879	84,459	2,879	84,459			2,879	84,459
	<b>FLARES</b>										
011	FLARES .....		14,973		14,973		14,973				14,973
012	FUZES .....		3,859		3,859		3,859				3,859
	<b>SMALL ARMS</b>										
014	SMALL ARMS .....		1,200		1,200		1,200				1,200
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>2,879</b>	<b>159,965</b>	<b>2,879</b>	<b>159,965</b>	<b>2,879</b>	<b>159,965</b>			<b>2,879</b>	<b>159,965</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>										
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>										
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST .....		1,800		1,800		1,800				1,800
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>										



# TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

## SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

### SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY</b>							
<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>							
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	21,803	21,803	21,803		21,803
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	221,901	221,901	221,901		221,901
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	79,359	79,359	79,359		79,359
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS .....	113,662	113,662	113,662		113,662
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>436,725</b>	<b>436,725</b>	<b>436,725</b>		<b>436,725</b>
<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>							
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,585	26,585	26,585		26,585
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY .....	43,170	43,170	43,170		43,170
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP .....	36,293	36,293	36,293		36,293
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY .....	55,615	55,615	55,615		55,615
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	17,585	17,585	17,585		17,585
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY .....	51,528	51,528	51,528		51,528
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,162	26,162	26,162		26,162
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION .....	24,063	24,063	24,063		24,063
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY .....	64,589	64,589	64,589		64,589
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY .....	68,300	68,300	78,300	8,000	76,300

015	0602622A	W/AMan schedule adjustment .....	4,490	[10,000]	4,490	[8,000]	4,490
016	0602623A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY .....	7,818		7,818		7,818
017	0602624A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	37,798		37,798		37,798
018	0602705A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	59,021		59,021		59,021
019	0602709A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES .....	43,426		43,426		43,426
020	0602712A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY .....	20,574		20,574		20,574
021	0602716A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS .....	21,339		21,339		21,339
022	0602720A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	20,316		20,316		20,316
023	0602782A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	34,209		34,209		34,209
024	0602783A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	10,439		10,439		10,439
025	0602784A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY .....	70,064		70,064		70,064
026	0602785A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	17,654		17,654		17,654
027	0602786A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY .....	31,546		31,546		31,546
028	0602787A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY .....	93,340		93,340		93,340
		MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	<b>885,924</b>		<b>885,924</b>		<b>885,924</b>
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>			<b>8,000</b>		<b>8,000</b>
029	0603001A	<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	56,056		56,056		56,056
030	0603002A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	62,032		62,032		62,032
031	0603003A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	81,080		81,080		81,080
032	0603004A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	63,919		63,919		63,919
033	0603005A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	97,043		97,043		97,043
034	0603006A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	5,866		5,866		5,866
035	0603007A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	7,800		7,800		7,800
036	0603008A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	40,416		40,416		40,416
037	0603009A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,166		9,166		9,166
038	0603015A	TRACTOR HIKE .....	13,627		13,627		13,627
039	0603020A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS .....	10,667		10,667		10,667
041	0603125A	TRACTOR ROSE .....	15,054		15,054		15,054
042	0603130A	COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	3,194		3,194		3,194
043	0603131A	TRACTOR MAIL .....	2,367		2,367		2,367
		TRACTOR EGGS .....					

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
044	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	25,348	25,348	25,348		25,348
045	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	64,009	64,009	64,009		64,009
046	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	11,083	11,083	11,083		11,083
047	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	180,662	180,662	180,662		180,662
048	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	22,806	22,806	22,806		22,806
049	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	5,030	5,030	5,030		5,030
050	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	36,407	36,407	36,407		36,407
051	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	11,745	11,745	11,745		11,745
052	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	23,717	23,717	23,717		23,717
053	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	33,012	33,012	33,012		33,012
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>882,106</b>	<b>882,106</b>	<b>882,106</b>		<b>882,106</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>					
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	15,301	15,301	15,301		15,301
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	13,592	13,592	13,592		13,592
056	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV .....	10,625	10,625	10,625	-10,625	0
		Program deferred to fiscal year 2019 .....				[-10,625]	
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION .....	30,612	30,612	30,612		30,612
059	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) .....	49,989	49,989	49,989		49,989
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY .....	6,703	6,703	6,703		6,703
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV .....	6,894	6,894	6,894		6,894
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	9,066	9,066	9,066		9,066
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEMVAL .....	2,633	2,633	2,633		2,633
064	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK—TACTICAL—DEMVAL .....	272,384	272,384	272,384	-37,000	235,384
		Excess program growth .....				[-37,000]	
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	3,874	3,874	3,874		3,874
066	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV .....	5,018	5,018	5,018		5,018

067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV .....	11,556	11,556	11,556	11,556
069	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV .....	15,603	15,603	15,603	15,603
070	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	14,159	14,159	14,159	14,159
071	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	79	79	79	79
072	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES .....	55,605	55,605	55,605	55,605
074	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (IFPC2) .....	79,232	79,232	79,232	79,232
075	0604785A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE (BUDGET ACTIVITY 4) .....	4,476	4,476	4,476	4,476
076	0305205A	ENDURANCE UAVS .....	28,991	991	0	0
		LEMV termination .....		[-28,991]	[-28,991]	[-28,991]
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>636,392</b>	<b>608,392</b>	<b>607,401</b>	<b>559,776</b>
						<b>-76,616</b>
<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>						
077	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS .....	76,588	76,588	76,588	76,588
078	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS .....	73,309	73,309	73,309	73,309
079	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	154,621	154,621	154,621	154,621
080	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO .....	31,826	31,826	31,826	31,826
081	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) .....	23,341	23,341	23,341	23,341
082	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM .....	4,839	4,839	4,839	4,839
083	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	23,841	23,841	23,841	23,841
084	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS .....	79,855	90,855	79,855	90,855
		Transfer from WTCV line 15—XM25 development .....		[11,000]		[11,000]
085	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,140	2,140	2,140	2,140
086	0604611A	JAVELIN .....	5,002	5,002	5,002	5,002
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	21,321	21,321	21,321	21,321
088	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	514	514	514	514
093	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV .....	43,405	43,405	43,405	43,405
094	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT .....	1,939	1,939	1,939	1,939
095	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV .....	18,980	18,980	18,980	18,980
097	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV .....	18,294	18,294	18,294	18,294
098	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	17,013	17,013	17,013	17,013
099	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	6,701	6,701	6,701	6,701
100	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV .....	14,575	14,575	14,575	14,575

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
101	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE .....	27,634	27,634	27,634		27,634
102	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION .....	193,748	193,748	193,748		193,748
103	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV .....	15,721	15,721	15,721		15,721
104	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV .....	41,703	41,703	41,703		41,703
105	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV .....	7,379	7,379	7,379		7,379
106	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV .....	39,468	39,468	39,468		39,468
107	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV .....	92,285	92,285	92,285		92,285
108	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS—EMD .....	8,209	8,209	8,209		8,209
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE .....	22,958	22,958	22,958		22,958
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT .....	1,549	1,549	1,549		1,549
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBs) .....	17,342	17,342	227	-17,115	227
		Excess to requirement .....			[-17,115]	[-17,115]	
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER .....	47,221	47,221	47,221		47,221
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL .....	48,477	48,477	48,477		48,477
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD .....	80,613	80,613	121,313	40,700	121,313
		Transfer from WTCV 6 at Army Request .....			[40,700]	[40,700]	
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	68,814	68,814	68,814		68,814
118	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM—ARMY (PPS-A) .....	137,290	137,290	137,290		137,290
119	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) .....	116,298	116,298	116,298		116,298
120	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) .....	68,148	68,148	68,148		68,148
121	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) .....	33,219	33,219	33,219		33,219
122	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	15,127	15,127	15,127		15,127
124	0605456A	PAC-3/MSE MISSILE .....	68,843	68,843	68,843		68,843
125	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIMD) .....	364,649	364,649	364,649		364,649
126	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE .....	592,201	592,201	592,201		592,201
127	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR .....	10,382	10,382	10,382		10,382
128	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) .....	21,143	21,143	21,143		21,143

129	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	84,230	84,230	84,230	84,230
130	0303032A	TROJAN—RH12 .....	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465
131	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	10,806	10,806	10,806	10,806
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>2,857,026</b>	<b>2,868,026</b>	<b>2,880,611</b>	<b>34,585</b>
		<b>ROT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>				
132	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	16,934	16,934	16,934	16,934
133	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	13,488	13,488	13,488	13,488
134	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	46,672	46,672	46,672	46,672
135	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER .....	11,919	11,919	11,919	11,919
136	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL .....	193,658	193,658	193,658	193,658
137	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM .....	37,158	37,158	37,158	37,158
139	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES .....	340,659	340,659	340,659	340,659
140	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS .....	66,061	66,061	66,061	66,061
141	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS .....	43,280	43,280	43,280	43,280
143	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION .....	6,025	6,025	6,025	6,025
144	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO ROT&E ACTIVITIES .....	7,349	7,349	7,349	7,349
145	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS .....	19,809	19,809	19,809	19,809
146	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS .....	5,941	5,941	5,941	5,941
147	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING .....	55,504	55,504	55,504	55,504
148	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER .....	65,274	65,274	65,274	65,274
149	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG .....	1,283	1,283	1,283	1,283
150	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	82,035	82,035	82,035	82,035
151	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES .....	33,853	33,853	33,853	33,853
		Internet mapping .....		[5,000]		
152	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY .....	53,340	53,340	53,340	53,340
153	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT .....	5,193	5,193	5,193	5,193
154	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	54,175	54,175	54,175	54,175
		<b>SUBTOTAL ROT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>	<b>1,164,610</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>				

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
156	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	110,576	110,576	110,576		110,576
157	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	3,717	3,717	3,717		3,717
159	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT .....	70,053	70,053	70,053		70,053
160	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE .....	98,450	68,450	98,450	-15,000	83,450
		JLENS program reduction .....		[-30,000]		[-15,000]	
161	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM .....	30,940	30,940	30,940		30,940
162	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	177,532	177,532	177,532		177,532
163	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM .....	36,495	36,495	36,495		36,495
164	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	257,187	257,187	277,171	14,061	271,248
		Transfer from APA 11 at Army request .....			[19,984]	[14,061]	
165	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	315	315	315		315
166	0203758A	DIGITIZATION .....	6,186	6,186	6,186		6,186
167	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	1,578	1,578	1,578		1,578
168	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	62,100	62,100	62,100		62,100
169	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD .....	18,778	18,778	18,778		18,778
170	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM .....	7,108	7,108	7,108		7,108
173	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	7,600	7,600	7,600		7,600
174	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	9,357	9,357	9,357		9,357
175	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	41,225	41,225	41,225		41,225
176	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) .....	18,197	18,197	18,197		18,197
177	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	14,215	14,215	14,215		14,215
179	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	33,533	33,533	33,533		33,533
180	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	27,622	27,622	27,622		27,622
181	0305219A	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS .....	10,901	10,901	10,901		10,901
182	0305232A	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,321	2,321	2,321		2,321
183	0305233A	RQ-7 UAV .....	12,031	12,031	12,031		12,031
185	0307665A	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE .....	12,449	12,449	12,449		12,449

186	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES .....	56,136	56,136	56,136	56,136
186A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	4,717	4,717	4,717	4,717
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>1,131,319</b>	<b>1,101,319</b>	<b>1,151,303</b>	<b>1,130,380</b>
				<b>-939</b>		
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY .....</b>	<b>7,989,102</b>	<b>7,942,102</b>	<b>8,018,680</b>	<b>7,954,132</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY</b>				
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>				
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	112,617	122,617	112,617	112,617
		Program Increase .....		[10,000]		
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	18,230	18,230	18,230	18,230
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	484,459	484,459	484,459	484,459
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>615,306</b>	<b>625,306</b>	<b>615,306</b>	<b>615,306</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>				
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	104,513	104,513	104,513	104,513
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	145,307	145,307	145,307	145,307
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY .....	47,334	47,334	47,334	47,334
007	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	34,163	34,163	34,163	34,163
008	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	49,689	49,689	49,689	49,689
009	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	97,701	97,701	97,701	97,701
010	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	45,685	63,685	45,685	60,685
		AGOR mid life refit .....		[18,000]		[15,000]
011	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	6,060	6,060	6,060	6,060
012	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	103,050	103,050	103,050	103,050
013	0602750N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH .....	169,710	169,710	169,710	169,710
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	31,326	31,326	31,326	31,326
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>834,538</b>	<b>852,538</b>	<b>834,538</b>	<b>849,538</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>				
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	48,201	48,201	48,201	48,201
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	28,328	28,328	28,328	28,328

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
019	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,179	56,179	56,179		56,179
020	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) .....	132,400	132,400	132,400		132,400
021	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	11,854	11,854	11,854		11,854
022	0603673N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	247,931	247,931	247,931		247,931
023	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	4,760	4,760	4,760		4,760
025	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS .....	51,463	51,463	51,463		51,463
026	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	2,000	2,000	2,000		2,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>583,116</b>	<b>583,116</b>	<b>583,116</b>		<b>583,116</b>
<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>							
027	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS .....	42,246	42,246	42,246		42,246
028	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY .....	5,591	5,591	5,591		5,591
029	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	3,262	3,262	3,262		3,262
030	0603251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS .....	74	74	74		74
031	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,964	7,964	7,964		7,964
032	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE .....	5,257	5,257	5,257		5,257
033	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY .....	1,570	1,570	1,570		1,570
034	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	168,040	168,040	168,040		168,040
035	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE .....	88,649	88,649	88,649		88,649
036	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	83,902	83,902	83,902		83,902
037	0603525N	PILOT FISH .....	108,713	108,713	108,713		108,713
038	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH .....	9,316	9,316	9,316		9,316
039	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER .....	77,108	77,108	77,108		77,108
040	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL .....	762	762	762		762
041	0603553N	SURFACE ASW .....	2,349	2,349	2,349		2,349
042	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....	852,977	874,977	852,977		852,977
		Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Development .....		[22,000]			

043	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	8,764	8,764	8,764	8,764
044	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN .....	20,501	20,501	20,501	20,501
045	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES .....	27,052	27,052	27,052	27,052
046	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	428,933	428,933	428,933	428,933
047	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS .....	27,154	27,154	27,154	22,902
		Program execution .....				-4,252
						[-4,252]
048	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE .....	519,140	519,140	519,140	519,140
049	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) .....	406,389	406,389	406,389	406,389
050	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	36,570	36,570	36,570	18,530
		Late contract awards .....				-18,040
						[-18,040]
051	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	8,404	8,404	8,404	8,404
052	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES .....	136,967	136,967	136,967	122,967
		Program delay .....				-14,000
						[-14,000]
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	1,489	1,489	1,489	1,489
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	38,422	38,422	38,422	38,422
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT .....	69,312	69,312	69,312	64,012
		Common array block antenna contract delay .....				-5,300
						[-5,300]
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	9,196	9,196	9,196	9,196
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....	18,850	18,850	18,850	18,850
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM .....	45,618	45,618	45,618	45,618
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT .....	3,019	3,019	3,019	3,019
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL .....	144,951	144,951	144,951	144,951
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY .....	5,797	5,797	5,797	5,797
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE .....	308,131	308,131	308,131	308,131
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA .....	195,189	195,189	195,189	195,189
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM .....	56,358	56,358	56,358	56,358
065	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN .....	55,378	55,378	55,378	55,378
066	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES .....	48,842	48,842	48,842	48,842
067	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	7,509	7,509	7,509	7,509
068	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY .....	5,075	5,075	5,075	0
		Early to need .....				-5,075
						[-5,075]
069	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING .....	51,178	51,178	51,178	51,178

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
070	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEMVAL .....	205,615	205,615	205,615	-10,896	194,719
		JPALS 1B follow-on platform integration delay .....				[-7,437]	
		JPALS 1B test early to need .....				[-3,459]	
072	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM) .....	37,227	37,227	37,227		37,227
073	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION .....	169	169	169		169
074	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW) .....	20,874	10,874	20,874	-3,000	17,874
		Schedule delay .....		[-10,000]		[-3,000]	
075	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM .....	2,257	2,257	2,257		2,257
076	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING .....	38,327	38,327	38,327		38,327
		SUPPORT .....					
077	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT .....	135,985	135,985	35,985	-30,000	105,985
		Adjust program to more realistic schedule .....			[-100,000]	[-30,000]	
078	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING .....	50,362	50,362	50,362		50,362
		DEVELOPMENT PH. ....					
079	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	8,448	8,448	8,448	-3,540	4,908
		Program delay .....				[-3,540]	
080	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	153	153	153		153
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>4,641,385</b>	<b>4,653,385</b>	<b>4,541,385</b>	<b>-94,103</b>	<b>4,547,282</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>					
081	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	40,558	40,558	40,558		40,558
082	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV .....	35,825	35,825	35,825	-2,500	33,325
		Excess program management .....				[-2,500]	
083	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT .....	99,891	99,891	99,891		99,891
084	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT .....	17,565	17,565	17,565		17,565
085	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING .....	4,026	4,026	4,026		4,026
086	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,791	1,791	1,791		1,791

087	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	11,725	11,725	11,725	11,725	11,725
088	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM .....	68,463	68,463	68,463	68,463	68,463
089	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE .....	152,041	152,041	152,041	152,041	152,041
090	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES .....	47,123	47,123	47,123	47,123	47,123
091	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS .....	30,208	30,208	30,208	30,208	30,208
092	0604262N	V-22A .....	43,084	43,084	43,084	43,084	43,084
093	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	11,401	11,401	11,401	11,401	11,401
094	0604269N	EA-18 .....	11,138	11,138	11,138	11,138	11,138
095	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	34,964	34,964	34,964	34,964	34,964
096	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	94,238	94,238	94,238	94,238	94,238
097	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) .....	257,796	257,796	257,796	257,796	257,796
098	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY) .....	3,302	3,302	3,302	3,302	3,302
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING .....	240,298	240,298	240,298	240,298	240,298
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	1,214	1,214	1,214	1,214	1,214
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) .....	46,007	46,007	46,007	46,007	46,007
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS .....	75,592	75,592	75,592	75,592	75,592
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM .....	117,854	117,854	117,854	117,854	117,854
104	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION.	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080
105	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	21,413	21,413	21,413	21,413	21,413
106	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM.	146,683	146,683	146,683	146,683	133,683
107	0604501N	Schedule delay .....	275,871	275,871	275,871	275,871	196,071
108	0604503N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS .....	89,672	89,672	89,672	89,672	89,672
109	0604504N	Air and missile defense radar contract delay .....	13,754	13,754	13,754	13,754	13,754
110	0604512N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION .....	69,615	69,615	69,615	69,615	69,615
112	0604558N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	121,566	121,566	121,566	121,566	121,566
113	0604562N	NEW DESIGN SSN .....	49,143	49,143	49,143	49,143	49,143
114	0604567N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM .....	155,254	155,254	155,254	155,254	175,254
		SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E .....					20,000
		Increased LHA-8 design efforts .....					[20,000]

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
115	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	3,689	3,689	3,689		3,689
116	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT .....	5,041	5,041	5,041		5,041
117	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT .....	26,444	26,444	26,444		26,444
118	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	8,897	8,897	8,897		8,897
119	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS .....	6,233	6,233	6,233		6,233
120	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	442	442	442		442
121	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) .....	130,360	130,360	130,360		130,360
122	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) .....	50,209	50,209	50,209		50,209
123	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) .....	164,799	164,799	164,799		114,799
		SEWIP block 3 program delay .....					[-50,000]
124	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING .....	1,984	1,984	1,984		1,984
125	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT .....	9,458	9,458	9,458		9,458
126	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM .....	51,430	51,430	51,430		51,430
127	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	512,631	512,631	512,631		502,631
		F-35B follow-on development ahead of need .....					[-10,000]
128	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	534,187	534,187	534,187		524,187
		F-35B follow-on development ahead of need .....					[-10,000]
129	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	5,564	5,564	5,564		5,564
130	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	69,659	69,659	69,659		62,823
		Unjustified request .....					[-6,836]
132	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE .....	503,180	503,180	503,180		503,180
133	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	5,500	5,500	5,500		0
		Program uncertainty .....					[-5,500]
134	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	317,358	317,358	317,358		287,358
		P-8A spiral 2 development milestone B slip .....					[-30,000]
135	0204202N	DDG-1000 .....	187,910	187,910	187,910		187,910
136	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP .....	2,140	2,140	2,140		2,140

137	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	9,406	9,406	9,406	9,406	9,406
138	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	22,800	22,800	22,800	22,800	22,800
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>5,028,476</b>	<b>5,028,476</b>	<b>5,048,476</b>	<b>-187,636</b>	<b>4,840,840</b>
<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>							
139	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	43,261	43,261	43,261	43,261	43,261
140	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	71,872	71,872	71,872	71,872	71,872
141	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	38,033	38,033	38,033	38,033	38,033
142	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION .....	1,352	1,352	1,352	1,352	1,352
143	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY .....	5,566	5,566	5,566	5,566	5,566
144	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES .....	48,345	48,345	48,345	48,345	48,345
146	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES .....	637	637	637	637	637
147	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	76,585	76,585	76,585	76,585	76,585
148	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT .....	3,221	3,221	3,221	3,221	3,221
149	0605861N	RD&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT .....	72,725	72,725	72,725	72,725	72,725
150	0605863N	RD&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT .....	141,778	141,778	141,778	141,778	141,778
151	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	331,219	331,219	331,219	331,219	331,219
152	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY .....	16,565	16,565	16,565	16,565	16,565
153	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT .....	3,265	3,265	3,265	3,265	3,265
154	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT .....	7,134	7,134	7,134	7,134	7,134
155	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT .....	24,082	24,082	24,082	24,082	24,082
156	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES .....	497	497	497	497	497
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>
<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>							
159	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS .....	699	699	699	699	699
160	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT:	20,961	40,961	20,961	20,961	20,961
		X-47B Aerial Refueling Test & Evaluation .....		[20,000]			
162	0604766M	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS .....	35	35	35	35	35
163	0605525N	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON .....	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460
164	0605555N	STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT .....	9,757	9,757	9,757	9,757	9,757

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
165	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT .....	98,057	121,957	98,057		98,057
		Reentry System Applications and Strategic Guidance Applications .....		[23,900]			
166	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	31,768	31,768	31,768		31,768
167	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,464	1,464	1,464		1,464
168	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS .....	21,729	21,729	21,729		21,729
169	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) .....	13,561	13,561	13,561		13,561
170	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS .....	131,118	131,118	131,118		131,118
171	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS .....	1,971	1,971	1,971		1,971
172	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) .....	46,155	46,155	46,155	-11,732	34,423
		Joint Aerial Layer Network program delay .....				[-11,732]	
173	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT .....	2,374	2,374	2,374		2,374
174	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) .....	12,407	12,407	12,407		12,407
175	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	41,609	41,609	41,609		41,609
176	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT) .....	7,240	7,240	7,240		7,240
177	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) .....	78,208	78,208	78,208		78,208
178	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	45,124	45,124	45,124		45,124
179	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT .....	2,703	2,703	2,703		2,703
180	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT .....	19,563	19,563	19,563		19,563
181	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT .....	13,586	13,586	13,586		13,586
182	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS .....	197,538	197,538	197,538		197,538
183	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	31,863	31,863	31,863		31,863
184	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP .....	12,806	12,806	12,806		12,806
185	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS .....	88,607	88,607	88,607		88,607
187	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	116,928	116,928	116,928		116,928
188	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	178,753	178,753	178,753		178,753
189	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS .....	139,594	113,794	118,719	-20,875	118,719
		Marine Personnel Carrier program deferred .....		[-20,800]	[-20,875]		

190	0206624M	Precision extended range munition program reduction .....	42,647	[−5,000]	42,647	−5,613	37,034
		MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT .....				[−5,613]	
		Prior year carry over .....					
191	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP) .....	34,394	34,394	34,394		34,394
192	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	39,159	39,159	39,159	−8,000	31,159
		Program delay .....				[−8,000]	
193	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	2,613	2,613	2,613		2,613
194	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) .....	986	986	986		986
199	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) .....	66,231	66,231	66,231		66,231
200	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES) .....	24,476	24,476	24,476		24,476
201	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	23,531	23,531	23,531		23,531
206	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC) .....	742	742	742		742
207	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES .....	4,804	4,804	4,804		4,804
208	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	8,381	8,381	8,381		8,381
211	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,535	5,535	5,535		5,535
212	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	19,718	19,718	19,718		19,718
213	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV .....	375,235	375,235	375,235		375,235
214	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV .....	48,713	48,713	48,713		48,713
215	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV .....	102	102	102		102
216	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV .....	710	710	710		710
217	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) .....	5,013	5,013	5,013		5,013
219	0305239M	RQ-21A .....	11,122	11,122	11,122		11,122
220	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT .....	28,851	28,851	28,851		28,851
221	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT .....	5,116	5,116	5,116		5,116
222	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	28,042	28,042	28,042		28,042
223	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	50,933	50,933	50,933		50,933
224	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) .....	4,998	4,998	4,998		4,998
224A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,185,132	1,185,132	1,185,132		1,185,132
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>3,385,822</b>	<b>3,403,922</b>	<b>3,364,947</b>	<b>−46,220</b>	<b>3,339,602</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY .....</b>	<b>15,974,780</b>	<b>16,032,880</b>	<b>15,873,905</b>	<b>−312,959</b>	<b>15,661,821</b>

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)							
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF</b>							
<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>							
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	373,151	373,151	373,151		373,151
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	138,333	138,333	138,333		138,333
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	13,286	13,286	13,286		13,286
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>524,770</b>	<b>524,770</b>	<b>524,770</b>		<b>524,770</b>
<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>							
004	0602102F	MATERIALS .....	116,846	116,846	116,846		116,846
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES .....	119,672	119,672	119,672		119,672
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	89,483	89,483	89,483		89,483
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION .....	197,546	197,546	197,546		197,546
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	127,539	127,539	127,539		127,539
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY .....	104,063	104,063	104,063		104,063
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	81,521	81,521	81,521		81,521
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY .....	112,845	112,845	112,845		112,845
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS .....	138,161	138,161	138,161		138,161
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH .....	40,217	40,217	40,217		40,217
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>		<b>1,127,893</b>
<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>							
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	39,572	49,572	39,572	10,000	49,572
		Program increase .....		[10,000]		[10,000]	
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) .....	12,800	12,800	12,800		12,800
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	30,579	30,579	30,579		30,579
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO .....	77,347	77,347	77,347		77,347
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY .....	149,321	149,321	149,321		149,321

019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY .....	49,128	49,128	49,128	49,128
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY .....	68,071	68,071	68,071	68,071
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) .....	26,299	26,299	26,299	26,299
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	20,967	20,967	20,967	20,967
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	33,996	33,996	33,996	33,996
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	41,353	41,353	41,353	41,353
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	49,093	49,093	49,093	49,093
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>617,526</b>	<b>617,526</b>	<b>617,526</b>	<b>617,526</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>				
028	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	3,983	3,983	3,983	3,983
029	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	3,874	3,874	3,874	3,874
032	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY .....	27,024	27,024	27,024	27,024
033	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY .....	15,899	15,899	15,899	15,899
034	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	4,568	4,568	4,568	4,568
035	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D .....	379	379	379	379
036	0603830F	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) .....	28,764	28,764	28,764	28,764
038	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DE/MVAL .....	86,737	86,737	86,737	86,737
040	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION—DE/MVAL .....	953	953	953	953
042	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE .....	379,437	379,437	379,437	379,437
044	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER .....	2,606	2,606	2,606	2,606
045	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM ..	103	103	103	103
047	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION .....	16,018	16,018	16,018	16,018
049	0604458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER .....	58,861	58,861	58,861	58,861
050	0604618F	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
051	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT .....	21,175	21,175	21,175	21,175
052	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE .....		10,000	10,000	10,000
		Program increase .....		[10,000]	[10,000]	[10,000]
053	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM .....	13,636	13,636	13,636	13,636
054	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES .....	2,799	2,799	2,799	2,799
055	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR) .....	70,160	70,160	70,160	70,160

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
056	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) .....	137,233	137,233	137,233		137,233
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>876,709</b>	<b>876,709</b>	<b>886,709</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>886,709</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>					
058	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	977	977	977		977
061	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING .....	3,601	3,601	3,601		3,601
062	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,971	1,971	1,971		1,971
064	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE .....	51,456	51,456	36,256		51,456
		Unjustified request .....			(-15,200)		
065	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	50	50	50		50
066	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD .....	115,000	115,000	115,000		115,000
067	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS .....	23,930	23,930	23,930		23,930
068	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS .....	400,258	400,258	400,258		400,258
069	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK .....	4,575	4,575	4,575		4,575
070	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD .....	352,532	372,532	352,532	-29,700	322,832
		Modernization projects execution delays excluding exploitation efforts				(-29,700)	
		Space Based Infrared Systems (SBIRS) Data Exploitation .....		[20,000]			
071	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	16,284	16,284	16,284		16,284
072	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS .....	2,564	2,564	2,564		2,564
073	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT .....	17,036	17,036	17,036		17,036
074	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS .....	7,273	7,273	7,273		7,273
075	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES .....	33,200	33,200	33,200		33,200
078	0604800F	F-35—EMD .....	816,335	816,335	816,335		816,335
079	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—EMD .....	145,442	145,442	145,442		145,442
080	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD .....	27,963	27,963	27,963		27,963
081	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON .....	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
082	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION .....	129,411	129,411	129,411		129,411

083	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B .....	131,100	131,100	131,100	131,100
084	0605221F	KC-46 .....	1,558,590	1,558,590	1,558,590	1,558,590
085	0605229F	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION .....	393,558	393,558	393,558	393,558
		Program delays / projected savings pending updated program esti- mate.			-60,000	
					[-60,000]	
086	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E .....	6,242	6,242	6,242	6,242
087	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	272,872	272,872	272,872	272,872
088	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	124,805	124,805	124,805	124,805
089	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) .....	13,948	13,948	13,948	13,948
090	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM .....	303,500	303,500	303,500	303,500
091	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION .....	67,874	67,874	67,874	67,874
094	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING .....	4,663	4,663	4,663	4,663
097	0401318F	CV-22 .....	46,705	46,705	46,705	46,705
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>5,078,715</b>	<b>5,098,715</b>	<b>5,063,515</b>	<b>4,989,015</b>
					<b>-89,700</b>	
099	0604256F	<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>	17,690	17,690	17,690	17,690
100	0604759F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	34,841	34,841	34,841	34,841
101	0605101F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	32,956	32,956	32,956	32,956
103	0605712F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE .....	13,610	13,610	13,610	13,610
104	0605807F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION .....	742,658	742,658	742,658	742,658
105	0605860F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	14,203	14,203	14,203	14,203
106	0605864F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) .....	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
107	0605976F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) .....	44,160	44,160	44,160	44,160
		FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.				
108	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	27,643	27,643	27,643	27,643
109	0606323F	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE .....	13,935	13,935	13,935	13,935
110	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE .....	192,348	192,348	192,348	192,348
111	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	28,647	28,647	28,647	28,647
112	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING .....	315	315	315	315
114	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	3,785	3,785	3,785	3,785
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>							
115	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT .....	383,500	383,500	383,500		383,500
117	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
118	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS) .....	90,097	90,097	90,097		90,097
119	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY .....	32,086	32,086	32,086		32,086
121	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS .....	24,007	24,007	24,007		24,007
122	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	450	450	450		450
123	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS .....	19,589	19,589	19,589		19,589
124	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS .....	100,194	100,194	100,194		100,194
125	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM .....	37,448	37,448	37,448		37,448
128	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,700	1,700	1,700		1,700
130	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND.	3,844	3,844	3,844		3,844
131	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV .....	128,328	128,328	128,328		128,328
133	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS .....	9,614	9,614	9,614		9,614
134	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS .....	177,298	177,298	177,298		177,298
135	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS .....	244,289	244,289	244,289		244,289
136	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION .....	13,138	13,138	13,138		13,138
137	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS .....	328,542	328,542	328,542		328,542
138	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS .....	33,000	33,000	33,000		33,000
139	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	15,460	15,460	15,460		15,460
140	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	84,172	84,172	84,172		84,172
142	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY .....	2,582	2,582	2,582		2,582
143	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE .....	542	542	542		542
144	0207247F	AF TENCAP .....	89,816	89,816	13,016		89,816
		Reduction fighter communications POD .....			[-76,800]		



SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
191	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	2,048	2,048	2,048		2,048
192	0305105F	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER .....	288	288	288		288
193	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) .....	35,698	35,698	35,698		35,698
194	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE .....	24,667	24,667	24,667		24,667
195	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCAL) .....	35,674	35,674	35,674		35,674
196	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS .....	21,186	21,186	21,186		21,186
199	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	195	195	195		195
200	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	1,430	1,430	1,430		1,430
201	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	330	330	330		330
206	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER .....	3,696	3,696	3,696		3,696
207	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	2,469	2,469	2,469		2,469
208	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) .....	8,289	8,289	8,289		8,289
209	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,345	13,345	13,345		13,345
211	0305202F	DRAGON U-2 .....	18,700	18,700	18,700		18,700
212	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	3,000	3,000	3,000		3,000
213	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	37,828	37,828	50,328	12,500	50,328
		Blue Devil Replacement WAMI/WVDF .....			[15,000]	[12,500]	
		Unjustified amount .....			[-2,500]		
214	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,491	13,491	13,491		13,491
215	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	7,498	7,498	7,498		7,498
216	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	3,326	3,326	3,326		3,326
217	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV .....	134,406	134,406	134,406		114,406
		Multiple execution delays .....					[-20,000]
218	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING .....	7,413	7,413	7,413		7,413
219	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) .....	40,503	40,503	40,503		40,503
220	0305238F	NATO AGS .....	264,134	264,134	264,134		264,134
221	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCCS ENTERPRISE .....	23,016	23,016	23,016		23,016

222	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	221,276	221,276	221,276	221,276	221,276	221,276
223	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM .....	58,523	58,523	58,523	58,523	58,523	58,523
224	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218
226	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	50,547	50,547	50,547	50,547	50,547	50,547
227	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	18,807	18,807	18,807	18,807	18,807	18,807
229	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,079	1,079	1,079	1,079	1,079	1,079
230	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON .....	400	26,400	400	400	73,300	73,700
		C-130 AMP .....					[47,300]	
		C-130H Propulsion System Propeller Upgrades .....					[26,000]	
231	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) .....	61,492	61,492	61,492	61,492	61,492	61,492
232	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF) .....	109,134	109,134	109,134	109,134	109,134	109,134
233	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM .....	22,443	22,443	22,443	22,443	22,443	22,443
234	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCW) .....	4,116	4,116	4,116	4,116	4,116	4,116
238	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT .....	44,553	44,553	44,553	44,553	44,553	44,553
239	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL .....	6,213	6,213	6,213	6,213	6,213	6,213
240	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605
242	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) .....	95,238	95,238	95,238	95,238	95,238	95,238
243	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	10,925	10,925	10,925	10,925	10,925	10,925
244	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,347	1,347	1,347	1,347	1,347	1,347
245	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES .....	65	65	65	65	65	65
246	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY .....	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083
247	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM .....	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577
248	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	5,990	5,990	5,990	5,990	5,990	5,990
249	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY .....	786	786	786	786	786	786
250	0901279F	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE .....	654	654	654	654	654	654
251	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	135,735	135,735	135,735	135,735	135,735	135,735
252A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	11,874,528	11,894,528	11,874,528	11,874,528	11,874,528	11,874,528
		Increase to classified program .....					[70,000]	
		Program Increase .....						
		Reduction to classified program .....						
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>16,297,542</b>	<b>16,343,542</b>	<b>16,253,142</b>	<b>16,343,542</b>	<b>85,700</b>	<b>16,383,242</b>

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF</b>			<b>25,702,946</b>	<b>25,778,946</b>	<b>25,653,346</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>25,718,946</b>
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW</b>							
<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>							
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	45,837	45,837	45,837		45,837
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	315,033	315,033	315,033		315,033
003	060111008Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	11,171	11,171	11,171		11,171
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	49,500	49,500	49,500		49,500
005	060112008Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	84,271	89,271	84,271		84,271
		Restore PK-12 funding		[5,000]			
006	060122808Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS	30,895	35,895	30,895	5,000	35,895
		Program increase		[5,000]		[5,000]	
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	51,426	51,426	51,426		51,426
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH</b>	<b>588,133</b>	<b>598,133</b>	<b>588,133</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>593,133</b>
<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>							
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	20,065	13,565	20,065		20,065
		Decrease to insensitive munitions program		[-6,500]			
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	114,790	114,790	114,790		114,790
011	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	46,875	46,875	41,875	-5,000	41,875
		MIT LL reduction			[-5,000]		
013	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES	45,000	45,000	30,000	-15,000	40,000
		PSC S&T reduction			[-15,000]		
014	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	413,260	413,260	418,260	2,500	415,760
		Plan X increase			[5,000]		
015	0602304E	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	16,330	16,330	16,330		16,330
017	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	24,537	24,537	24,537		24,537

018	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	217,065	217,065	227,065	217,065	-10,000	217,065
		Program decrease .....	[--10,000]	[--10,000]	[--2,000]	[--10,000]		
020	0602668DZ	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH .....	18,908	18,908	18,908	18,908		18,908
		Assuring effective missions .....			[2,000]			
		Automated software analysis tools .....			5,000		2,500	2,500
021	0602670DZ	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RE- SEARCH .....					[2,500]	
		HSCB Apl Res extension .....			[5,000]			
022	060270ZE	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	225,977	225,977	225,977	225,977		225,977
023	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	166,654	166,654	166,654	166,654		166,654
024	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY .....	243,469	243,469	243,469	243,469		243,469
025	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES .....	175,282	175,282	175,282	175,282		175,282
026	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH .....	11,107	11,107	11,107	11,107		11,107
027	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	29,246	29,246	29,246	29,246		29,246
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>1,778,565</b>	<b>1,762,065</b>	<b>1,768,565</b>	<b>-15,000</b>		<b>1,763,565</b>
<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>								
028	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	26,646	26,646	26,646	26,646	-5,000	21,646
		Program decrease .....					[--5,000]	
029	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	19,420	19,920	19,420	19,420		19,420
		Program increase for future information operations strategy .....		[500]				
030	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT .....	77,792	77,792	60,792	60,792		77,792
		Reduction due to redundancy .....			[--17,000]			
031	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT .....	274,033	274,033	274,033	274,033		274,033
032	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY .....	309,203	239,203	279,203	279,203	-95,000	214,203
		Advanced Technology—unsustainable growth .....			[--25,000]		[--20,000]	
		Common Kill VehicleTechnology—transfer to line 032X .....					[--70,000]	
		Directed energy—DPALS .....		70,000	[--5,000]			100,000
032X	0603XXXC	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY .....		70,000			100,000	100,000
		Common Kill Vehicle Technology—transfer from line 032 .....		[70,000]			[70,000]	
		Increase for CKVT design and development .....					[30,000]	

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
034	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	19,305	19,305	19,305		19,305
035	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY.	7,565	7,565	7,565		7,565
036	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY .....	40,426	40,426	40,426		40,426
037	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS .....	149,804	149,804	149,804		149,804
038	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY .....	172,546	172,546	172,546		172,546
039	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	170,847	170,847	170,847		170,847
040	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,009	9,009	9,009		9,009
041	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	174,428	167,428	164,428	-7,000	167,428
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....		[-7,000]	[-10,000]	[-7,000]	
042	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES .....	20,000	20,000	5,000	-15,000	5,000
		Net Comm reduction .....			[-15,000]		
045	0603668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH .....	19,668	19,668	19,668		19,668
		Assuring effective missions .....			[-3,000]		
		Automated software analysis tools .....			[3,000]		
046	0603670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.			5,000	2,500	2,500
		HSCB Adv Dev extension .....			[5,000]		
047	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	34,041	34,041	59,041	25,000	59,041
		IBIF .....			[25,000]		
048	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	61,971	53,971	61,971	-8,000	53,971
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....		[-8,000]			
050	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
051	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY .....	30,256	30,256	30,256		30,256
052	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	72,324	72,324	72,324		72,324
053	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT .....	82,700	82,700	82,700		82,700

054	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM .....	8,431	8,431	8,431	8,431
055	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES .....	117,080	117,080	117,080	117,080
057	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	239,078	239,078	239,078	239,078
059	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	259,006	259,006	259,006	259,006
060	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	286,364	286,364	286,364	286,364
061	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	12,116	12,116	12,116	12,116
062	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	19,008	19,008	19,008	19,008
063	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS .....	78,532	78,532	58,532	68,532
		Quick & Rapid Reaction Fund reduction .....			[-20,000]	[-10,000]
065	0603828J	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION .....	12,667	12,667	12,667	12,667
066	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE .....	41,370	41,370	41,370	41,370
069	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY .....	92,508	92,508	92,508	92,508
070	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT .....	52,001	60,001	52,001	52,001
		Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund .....		[8,000]		
071	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS .....	52,053	52,053	55,053	55,053
		Program increase .....			[3,000]	
072	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	46,809	46,809	46,809	46,809
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>3,109,007</b>	<b>3,102,507</b>	<b>3,050,007</b>	<b>3,099,507</b>
					<b>-9,500</b>	
					[-10,000]	
<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES</b>						
075	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P .....	63,641	63,641	63,641	63,641
076	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH .....	19,152	19,152	19,152	19,152
077	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF .....	70,763	70,763	70,763	70,763
079	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM .....	17,230	17,230	19,230	19,230
		Sustain testing effort .....			[2,000]	
080	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM .....	71,453	71,453	71,453	71,453
081	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT .....	268,990	268,990	268,990	268,990
082	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT .....	1,033,903	1,174,303	1,033,903	1,133,903
		Continue activities relative to site evaluation, EIS, and planning .....		[20,400]	100,000	100,000
		FTG-07 failure review board and return to flight .....			[20,000]	[20,000]
		Planning and Design (35% to 100% design) .....			[80,000]	[80,000]

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
083	0603884BP	RDT&E Ground Systems Development .....		[70,000]			196,237
084	0603884C	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEW/VAL .....	196,237	196,237	196,237		395,183
		BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS .....	315,183	315,183	345,183	80,000	
		Additional homeland missile defense radar .....			[30,000]	[30,000]	
		Enhanced discrimination capability .....				[50,000]	
086	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS .....	377,605	377,605	377,605		377,605
087	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA .....	286,613	286,613	286,613		286,613
088	0603892C	AEGIS BMD .....	937,056	937,056	937,056		937,056
089	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	44,947	44,947	44,947		44,947
090	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS .....	6,515	6,515	6,515		6,515
091	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGE- MENT AND COMMUNICATI. ....	418,355	418,355	418,355		418,355
092	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARRIOR SUPPORT .....	47,419	47,419	47,419		47,419
093	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC) .....	52,131	52,131	52,131		52,131
094	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH .....	13,864	13,864	13,864		13,864
095	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) .....	44,478	44,478	44,478		44,478
096	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS .....	95,782	283,782	245,782	188,000	283,782
		Arrow Weapon System Improvements .....			[30,000]	[33,700]	
		Arrow-3 Interceptor .....			[20,000]	[22,100]	
		David's Sling short-range BMD .....					
		Increase Israeli Cooperative Programs .....					
		US co-production capability for Iron Dome parts and components .....					
097	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST .....	375,866	375,866	375,866		375,866
098	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS .....	495,257	495,257	495,257		495,257
099	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING .....	11,704	11,704	11,704		11,704
100	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE .....	9,842	9,842	9,842		9,842
101	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM .....	3,312	13,312	3,312	10,000	13,312

102	060425008Z	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....	130,000	[10,000]	100,000	[10,000]	100,000
		ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES .....	25,000	25,000	100,000	-30,000	-30,000
		Decrease to SCO efforts .....	[-105,000]	[-105,000]	[-30,000]	[-30,000]	[-30,000]
103	060440008Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT .....	8,300	8,300	8,300		8,300
104	0604445J	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	30,000	30,000	30,000		30,000
105	060467008Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING .....			5,000	2,500	2,500
		HSCB Modeling R&E extension .....			[5,000]	[2,500]	
106	060477508Z	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM .....	250,000	250,000	150,000	200,000	200,000
		Rapid Innovation Program .....	[250,000]	[250,000]	[150,000]	[200,000]	
108	0604787I	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	7,402	7,402	7,402		7,402
110	0604828J	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM .....	7,506	7,506	7,506		7,506
111	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) .....	129,374	129,374	129,374		129,374
112	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT .....	308,522	308,522	308,522		308,522
115	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM .....	3,169	3,169	3,169		3,169
116	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	946	946	946		946
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>5,902,517</b>	<b>6,385,917</b>	<b>6,209,517</b>	<b>552,500</b>	<b>6,455,017</b>
<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION</b>							
118	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD .....	8,155	8,155	8,155		8,155
119	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT .....	65,440	65,440	65,440		65,440
120	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD .....	451,306	451,306	451,306		451,306
122	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) .....	29,138	29,138	29,138		29,138
123	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) .....	19,475	19,475	19,475		19,475
124	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES .....	12,901	12,901	12,901		12,901
125	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	13,812	13,812	13,812		13,812
126	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	386	386	386		386
127	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM .....	3,763	3,763	3,763		3,763
128	0605027D8Z	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES .....	6,788	6,788	6,788		6,788
129	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	27,917	27,917	27,917		27,917
130	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION .....	22,297	22,297	22,297		22,297

85  
53

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
131	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM .....	51,689	51,689	51,689		51,689
132	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES .....	6,184	6,184	6,184		6,184
133	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	12,083	12,083	12,083		12,083
134	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEM) .....	3,302	3,302	3,302		3,302
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>734,636</b>	<b>734,636</b>	<b>734,636</b>		<b>734,636</b>
<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>							
135	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) .....	6,393	6,393	6,393		6,393
136	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT .....	2,479	2,479	2,479		2,479
137	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) .....	240,213	240,213	240,213		240,213
138	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS .....	2,127	2,127	2,127		2,127
139	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR .....	8,287	8,287	8,287		8,287
140	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETS) .....	31,000	31,000	31,000		31,000
141	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS .....	24,379	24,379	24,379		24,379
143	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION .....	54,311	54,311	54,311		54,311
144	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO) .....	47,462	47,462	47,462		47,462
146	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING .....	12,134	12,134	12,134		12,134
147	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING .....	44,237	44,237	39,237		44,237
		SE transfer to DT&E .....			[-5,000]		
148	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD .....	5,871	5,871	5,871		5,871
149	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY .....	5,028	5,028	5,028		5,028
150	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION .....	6,301	6,301	6,301		6,301
151	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) .....	6,504	6,504	6,504		6,504
152	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	92,046	92,046	92,046		92,046
158	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (S.....)	1,868	1,868	1,868		1,868
159	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS .....	8,362	8,362	8,362		8,362

160	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) .....	56,024	56,024	46,024	56,024	56,024
		DTIC reduction .....			[-10,000]		
161	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION .....	6,908	6,908	6,908	6,908	6,908
162	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION .....	15,451	19,451	20,451	4,000	19,451
		DT&E transfer from SE .....			[5,000]		
		Program increase .....		[4,000]			
164	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	71,659	71,659	71,659		71,659
165	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS .....	4,083	4,083	4,083		4,083
167	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI) .....	5,306	5,306	5,306		5,306
168	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT .....	2,097	2,097	2,097		2,097
172	0303166I	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES .....	8,394	8,394	8,394		8,394
175	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE .....	7,624	7,624	7,624		7,624
178	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2) .....	43,247	43,247	43,247		43,247
179	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA .....	37,712	37,712	37,712		37,712
180	0901598D8W	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS .....	607	607	607		607
180	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	54,914	54,914	54,914		54,914
181A		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>913,028</b>	<b>917,028</b>	<b>903,028</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>917,028</b>
							86
							55
182	0604130V	<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>					
183	0605127T	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) .....	7,552	7,552	7,552		7,552
		REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE .....	3,270	3,270	3,270		3,270
		INFORMATION MANA. .....					
184	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM .....	287	287	287		287
		(OHASIS). .....					
185	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT .....	14,000	14,000	14,000		14,000
186	0607310D8Z	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	1,955	1,955	1,955		1,955
187	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION .....	13,250	13,250	13,250		13,250
		SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS). .....					
188	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT). .....	13,026	13,026	13,026		13,026
190	0607828J	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY .....	12,652	12,652	12,652		12,652
191	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) .....	3,061	3,061	3,061		3,061

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
192	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY .....	72,726	72,726	72,726		72,726
194	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING .....	6,524	6,524	6,524		6,524
201	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT .....	512	512	512		512
202	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION .....	12,867	12,867	12,867		12,867
203	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS .....	36,565	36,565	36,565		36,565
204	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) .....	13,144	13,144	13,144		13,144
205	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) .....	1,060	1,060	1,060		1,060
206	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) .....	33,279	33,279	33,279		33,279
207	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	10,673	10,673	10,673		10,673
208	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	181,567	179,291	181,567		181,567
		Excess to need .....		[-2,276]			
210	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	34,288	34,288	34,288		34,288
211	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION .....	7,741	7,741	7,741		7,741
212	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	3,325	3,325	3,325		3,325
213	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO) .....	1,246	1,246	1,246		1,246
214	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	5,147	5,147	5,147		5,147
216	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES .....	17,352	17,352	17,352		17,352
220	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	3,658	3,658	3,658		3,658
221	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) .....	9,752	9,752	9,752		9,752
225	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS .....	3,210	3,210	4,210	1,000	4,210
		CRR extension .....			[1,000]	[1,000]	
227	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY .....	21,602	21,602	21,602		21,602
230	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,195	5,195	5,195		5,195
233	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	3,348	3,348	3,348		3,348
235	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	641	641	641		641
238	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM .....	2,338	2,338	2,338		2,338
239	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITECTURES .....	4,372	4,372	4,372		4,372

247	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	24,691	24,691	24,691	24,691	24,691	24,691
248	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	4,659	4,659	4,659	4,659	4,659	4,659
249	0902298I	MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS .....	3,533	3,533	3,533	3,533	3,533	3,533
250	11052198B	MQ-9 UAV .....	1,314	1,314	13,314	13,314	13,314	13,314
		Capability Improvements .....			[12,000]	[12,000]		
254	11604038B	AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	156,561	156,561	156,561	156,561	156,561	156,561
256	11604058B	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,705	7,705	7,705	7,705	7,705	7,705
257	11604088B	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	42,620	42,620	42,620	42,620	42,620	42,620
261	11604318B	WARRIOR SYSTEMS .....	17,970	17,970	17,970	17,970	17,970	17,970
262	11604328B	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	7,424	7,424	7,424	7,424	7,424	7,424
268	11604808B	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,206	2,206	2,206	2,206	2,206	2,206
271	11604838B	MARITIME SYSTEMS .....	18,325	18,325	19,481	19,481	19,481	19,481
		CCFLIR—Transfer at USSOCOM Request .....			[1,156]	[1,156]		
274	11604898B	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	3,304	3,304	3,304	3,304	3,304	3,304
275	11604908B	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	16,021	16,021	16,021	16,021	16,021	16,021
275A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,773,704	3,773,704	3,773,704	3,773,704	3,773,704	3,773,704
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>4,641,222</b>	<b>4,638,946</b>	<b>4,655,378</b>	<b>4,655,378</b>	<b>4,655,378</b>	<b>4,655,378</b>
276	9999999999	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>						
		UNDISTRIBUTED .....			-100,000	-100,000	0	0
		DARPA undistributed reduction .....			[-100,000]	[-100,000]		
		<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>			<b>-100,000</b>	<b>-100,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW .....</b>	<b>17,667,108</b>	<b>18,139,232</b>	<b>17,809,264</b>	<b>17,809,264</b>	<b>551,156</b>	<b>18,218,264</b>
001	06051180TE	<b>OPERATIONAL TEST &amp; EVAL, DEFENSE</b>						
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>						
		OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION .....	75,720	75,720	75,720	75,720	75,720	75,720
002	06051310TE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION .....	48,423	48,423	48,423	48,423	48,423	48,423
003	06058140TE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES .....	62,157	62,157	62,157	62,157	62,157	62,157
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)							
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
		TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE .....	186,300	186,300	186,300		186,300
		TOTAL RDT&E .....	67,520,236	68,079,460	67,541,495	219,227	67,739,463

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)									
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized		
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>							
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	7,000	7,000	7,000		7,000		7,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>		<b>7,000</b>		<b>7,000</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY .....</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>		<b>7,000</b>		<b>7,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>							
224A	99999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	34,426	34,426	34,426		34,426		34,426
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>		<b>34,426</b>		<b>34,426</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY .....</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>		<b>34,426</b>		<b>34,426</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>							
252A	99999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	9,000	9,000	9,000		9,000		9,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>		<b>9,000</b>		<b>9,000</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF .....</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>		<b>9,000</b>		<b>9,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>							
275A	99999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	66,208	66,208	66,208		66,208		66,208
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>		<b>66,208</b>		<b>66,208</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW .....</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>		<b>66,208</b>		<b>66,208</b>

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Authorized
			116,634	116,634	116,634	116,634
		<b>TOTAL RDT&amp;E</b>		116,634	116,634	116,634

# TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

## SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

### SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>						
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>						
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	888,114	1,072,714	1,084,014	171,000	1,059,114
	Missile Defense Deployment to Guam .....		[13,100]			
	Program decrease .....		[-24,000]			
	Readiness funding increase .....		[195,500]	[195,900]	[171,000]	
	Readiness funding increase .....		72,624	72,624		72,624
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	72,624	617,402	617,402		617,402
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	602,262	602,262	602,262		602,262
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	1,032,484	1,032,484	1,032,484		1,032,484
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,287,462	1,303,262	1,303,262	15,800	1,303,262
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....		[15,800]	[15,800]	[15,800]	
	Readiness funding increase .....		[15,800]	[15,800]	[15,800]	
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,559,656	3,559,656	3,769,556	209,000	3,768,656
	Readiness funding increase .....		454,477	454,477		454,477
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	454,477	454,477	454,477		454,477
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,481,156	1,481,156	1,681,156	225,000	1,706,156
	Readiness funding increase .....			[200,000]	[225,000]	
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,278,154	7,278,154	7,278,154		7,278,154
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	2,754,712	3,011,712	2,754,712	257,000	3,011,712
	Realignment of Arlington National Cemetery operations .....		[-25,000]		[-25,000]	
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[282,000]		[282,000]	

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	425,271	425,271	425,271		425,271
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	185,064	185,064	180,064		185,064
	Unjustified growth .....			[-5,000]		
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS .....	463,270	456,594	463,270		463,270
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations .....		[3,100]			
	Unjustified EUCOM Growth .....		[-9,776]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>21,102,108</b>	<b>21,552,832</b>	<b>21,718,708</b>	<b>877,800</b>	<b>21,979,908</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>					
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY .....	360,240	360,240	360,240		360,240
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS .....	192,105	192,105	192,105		192,105
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	7,101	7,101	7,101		7,101
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>559,446</b>	<b>559,446</b>	<b>559,446</b>		<b>559,446</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	115,992	115,992	115,992		115,992
220	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	52,323	52,323	52,323		52,323
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING .....	43,589	43,589	43,589		43,589
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	453,745	453,745	453,745		453,745
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	1,034,495	1,034,495	1,034,495		1,034,495
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,016,876	1,016,876	1,016,876		1,016,876
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	186,565	186,565	186,565		186,565
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	652,514	652,514	652,514		652,514
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	485,500	485,500	485,500		485,500
300	EXAMINING .....	170,912	170,912	170,912		170,912
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	251,523	251,523	251,523		251,523
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	184,422	184,422	184,422		184,422

330	JUNIOR ROTC .....	181,105	181,105	181,105	181,105
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>				
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	690,089	690,089	690,089	690,089
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES .....	774,120	774,120	774,120	774,120
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....	[5,000]			
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	651,765	651,765	651,765	651,765
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	453,051	453,051	453,051	453,051
390	ADMINISTRATION .....	487,737	487,737	487,737	487,737
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,563,115	1,563,115	1,563,115	1,563,115
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	326,853	326,853	326,853	326,853
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	234,364	234,364	234,364	234,364
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	1,212,091	1,212,091	1,212,091	1,212,091
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES .....	243,540	243,540	243,540	243,540
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	241,101	241,101	241,101	241,101
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	226,291	226,291	226,291	226,291
470	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS .....	426,651	426,651	426,651	426,651
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters from O&M Defense-wide .....	[31,200]			
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS .....	27,248	27,248	27,248	27,248
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations .....	[-3,100]			
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,023,946	1,023,946	1,023,946	1,023,946
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>8,581,962</b>	<b>8,581,962</b>	<b>8,581,962</b>	<b>8,581,962</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>				
530	UNDISTRIBUTED .....	-740,300	-284,300	-284,300	-284,300
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....	[-284,300]			
	Unobligated balances .....	[-456,000]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>	<b>-740,300</b>	<b>-284,300</b>	<b>-284,300</b>	<b>-284,300</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY .....</b>	<b>35,073,077</b>	<b>35,669,677</b>	<b>35,669,677</b>	<b>35,669,777</b>

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b>						
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>						
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	1,621	1,621	1,621		1,621
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	24,429	24,429	24,429		24,429
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	657,099	657,099	657,099		657,099
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	122,485	122,485	122,485		122,485
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	584,058	584,058	584,058		584,058
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	79,380	79,380	79,380		79,380
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	471,616	471,616	471,616		471,616
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	74,243	74,243	74,243		74,243
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	70,894	70,894	70,894	75,800	146,694
	Army Reserve identified shortfall—restore unjustified efficiency reduction .....				[75,800]	
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	569,801	569,801	569,801		569,801
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	294,145	323,245	330,545	36,400	330,545
	Readiness funding increase .....		[29,100]	[36,400]	[36,400]	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	51,853	51,853	51,853		51,853
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>3,001,624</b>	<b>3,030,724</b>	<b>3,038,024</b>	<b>112,200</b>	<b>3,113,824</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>						
130	SERVICEMIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,735	10,735	10,735		10,735
140	ADMINISTRATION .....	24,197	24,197	24,197		24,197
150	SERVICEMIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	10,304	10,304	10,304		10,304
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	10,319	10,319	10,319		10,319
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	37,857	37,857	37,857		37,857
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>93,412</b>	<b>93,412</b>	<b>93,412</b>		<b>93,412</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b> .....	<b>3,095,036</b>	<b>3,124,136</b>	<b>3,131,436</b>	<b>112,200</b>	<b>3,207,236</b>



SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG</b>	<b>7,054,196</b>	<b>7,128,396</b>	<b>7,128,396</b>	<b>45,903</b>	<b>7,100,099</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,952,522	4,952,522	4,985,022	32,500	4,985,022
	Readiness funding increase			[32,500]	[32,500]	
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,826,404	1,826,404	1,837,604		1,826,404
	Readiness funding increase			[11,200]		
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	38,639	38,639	38,639		38,639
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	90,030	90,030	90,030		90,030
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	362,700	362,700	362,700		362,700
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	915,881	915,881	915,881	40,000	955,881
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Air Depot Maintenance				[40,000]	
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	35,838	35,838	36,446		35,838
	Readiness funding increase			[608]		
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	379,914	448,414	379,914		379,914
	CLS for AVN Logistics		[68,500]			
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,884,836	3,884,836	3,984,336	110,900	3,995,736
	Readiness funding increase			[99,500]	[99,500]	
	Spares			[11,400]		
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	734,852	734,852	796,252		734,852
	Readiness funding increase			[61,400]		
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,191,511	5,191,511	5,197,211		5,191,511
	Readiness funding increase			[5,700]		
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,351,274	1,351,274	1,477,474	30,000	1,381,274
	Readiness funding increase			[126,200]	[30,000]	

130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	701,316	691,722	701,316	701,316	701,316
	New START treaty implementation, excluding verification and inspection activities .....		[-9,594]			
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	97,710	97,710	97,710	97,710	97,710
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE .....	172,330	172,330	172,330	172,330	172,330
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	454,682	454,682	454,682	454,682	454,682
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	328,406	328,406	328,406	328,406	328,406
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	946,429	946,429	946,429	946,429	1,083,297
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise Reset/Depot .....				136,868	
	Unjustified growth for human resources functions .....				[148,000]	
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	142,249	148,249	142,249	142,249	142,249
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[6,000]			
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,603	2,603	3,263	2,603	2,603
	Readiness funding increase .....			[660]		
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	102,970	102,970	102,970	102,970	102,970
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	199,128	199,128	196,128	196,128	199,128
	Classified program decrease .....			[-3,000]		
230	CRUISE MISSILE .....	92,671	92,671	92,671	92,671	92,671
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	1,193,188	1,193,188	1,193,188	1,193,188	1,193,188
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	105,985	105,985	105,985	105,985	105,985
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	532,627	532,627	532,627	532,627	532,627
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	304,160	304,160	304,160	304,160	304,160
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	1,011,528	1,011,528	1,011,528	1,011,528	1,011,528
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	1,996,821	2,182,021	2,096,821	2,182,821	2,132,821
	Readiness funding increase .....				136,000	
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,460,918	[185,200]	4,460,918	[136,000]	4,460,918
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>32,610,122</b>	<b>32,860,228</b>	<b>33,044,850</b>	<b>486,268</b>	<b>33,096,390</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>					
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE .....	331,576	331,576	331,576	331,576	331,576
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	6,638	6,638	6,638	6,638	6,638

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	222,752	222,752	222,752		222,752
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS .....	73,310	73,310	73,310		73,310
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS .....	2,675	2,675	2,675		2,675
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	23,794	23,794	23,794		23,794
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>660,745</b>	<b>660,745</b>	<b>660,745</b>		<b>660,745</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>						
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	148,516	148,516	148,516		148,516
380	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	9,384	9,384	9,384		9,384
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	139,876	139,876	139,876		139,876
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	630,069	630,069	630,069		630,069
410	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	9,294	9,294	9,294		9,294
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	169,082	169,082	169,082		169,082
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	164,368	164,368	164,368		164,368
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	241,733	242,833	241,733	1,100	242,833
	Naval Sea Cadets .....		[1,100]		[1,100]	
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	139,815	139,815	139,815		139,815
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	94,632	94,632	94,632		94,632
470	JUNIOR ROTC .....	51,373	51,373	51,373		51,373
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>1,798,142</b>	<b>1,799,242</b>	<b>1,798,142</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>1,799,242</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>						
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	886,088	886,088	886,088		886,088
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	13,131	13,131	13,131		13,131
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	115,742	115,742	115,742		115,742
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	382,150	382,150	382,150		382,150
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	268,403	268,403	268,403		268,403

530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	317,293	317,293	317,293	317,293
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	207,128	207,128	207,128	207,128
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN .....	295,855	295,855	295,855	295,855
580	ACQUISITIONAL AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	1,140,484	1,140,484	1,140,484	1,140,484
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT .....	52,873	52,873	52,873	52,873
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....	27,587	27,587	27,587	27,587
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	75,728	75,728	75,728	75,728
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	543,026	543,026	543,026	543,026
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES .....	4,965	4,965	4,965	4,965
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	545,775	545,775	545,775	545,775
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>				
710	UNDISTRIBUTED .....	-278,200	-278,200	-30,000	-30,000
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....	[-38,500]	[-38,500]		
	Unobligated balances .....	[-239,700]	[-239,700]		
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>	<b>-278,200</b>	<b>-278,200</b>	<b>-30,000</b>	<b>-30,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY .....</b>	<b>39,918,243</b>	<b>40,360,005</b>	<b>457,368</b>	<b>40,402,605</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>				
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>				
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	837,012	837,012	75,000	912,012
	Crisis Response Force .....	[40,600]	[40,600]		[40,000]
	Marine Security Guard .....	[48,400]	[48,400]		[35,000]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	894,555	894,555	894,555	894,555
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....	[4,000]	[4,000]		
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	223,337	279,337	56,000	279,337
	Readiness funding increase .....		[56,000]		[56,000]
	Unjustified Growth HUMVEE Modifications .....				
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING .....	97,878	97,878	97,878	97,878
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	774,619	774,619	774,619	774,619

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[7,100]			
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,166,661	2,166,661	2,166,661		2,166,661
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>4,994,062</b>	<b>5,092,162</b>	<b>5,050,062</b>	<b>131,000</b>	<b>5,125,062</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
070	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,693	17,693	17,693		17,693
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	896	896	896		896
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	100,806	100,806	100,806		100,806
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	46,928	46,928	46,928		46,928
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	356,426	356,426	356,426		356,426
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	179,747	179,747	179,747		179,747
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	52,255	52,255	52,255		52,255
140	JUNIOR ROTC .....	23,138	23,138	23,138		23,138
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>777,889</b>	<b>777,889</b>	<b>777,889</b>		<b>777,889</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
150	SERVICEMIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	43,816	43,816	43,816		43,816
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	305,107	305,107	305,107		305,107
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	87,500	87,500	87,500		87,500
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	46,276	46,276	46,276		46,276
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>482,699</b>	<b>482,699</b>	<b>482,699</b>		<b>482,699</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>					
190	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-50,000			0
	Unobligated balances .....		[-50,000]			0
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-50,000</b>			<b>0</b>

	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>6,310,650</b>	<b>6,302,750</b>	<b>6,310,650</b>	<b>131,000</b>	<b>6,385,650</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	588,520	586,620	588,520	1,900	588,520
	Readiness funding increase .....	[1,900]		[1,900]		
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	7,008	7,008	7,008		7,008
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	100,657	100,657	109,557	8,900	109,557
	Readiness funding increase .....			[8,900]		
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	305	305	305		305
060	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	3,927	3,927	3,927		3,927
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	75,933	75,933	75,933		75,933
080	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	601	601	601		601
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	44,364	44,364	44,364		44,364
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	15,477	15,477	15,477		15,477
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	115,608	115,608	115,608		115,608
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	1,967	1,967	1,967		1,967
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	43,726	43,726	43,726		43,726
140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	69,011	74,011	69,011	5,000	74,011
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[5,000]			
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	109,604	109,604	109,604		109,604
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>1,174,808</b>	<b>1,179,808</b>	<b>1,185,608</b>	<b>15,800</b>	<b>1,190,608</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,905	2,905	2,905		2,905
170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	14,425	14,425	14,425		14,425
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,485	2,485	2,485		2,485
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	3,129	3,129	3,129		3,129
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>22,944</b>	<b>22,944</b>	<b>22,944</b>		<b>22,944</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES .....</b>	<b>1,197,752</b>	<b>1,202,752</b>	<b>1,208,552</b>	<b>15,800</b>	<b>1,213,552</b>

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	96,244	96,244	96,244		96,244
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	17,581	19,081	17,581		17,581
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[1,500]			
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	32,438	32,738	32,438	300	32,738
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[300]		[300]	
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	95,259	95,259	95,259		95,259
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>241,522</b>	<b>243,322</b>	<b>241,522</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>241,822</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	894	894	894		894
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,743	11,743	11,743		11,743
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	9,158	9,158	9,158		9,158
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>21,795</b>	<b>21,795</b>	<b>21,795</b>		<b>21,795</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b> .....	<b>263,317</b>	<b>265,117</b>	<b>263,317</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>263,617</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,295,814	3,295,814	3,515,814	146,800	3,442,614
	Readiness funding increase .....			[220,000]	[146,800]	
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,875,095	1,875,095	1,875,095		1,875,095
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	1,559,109	1,559,109	1,589,109	20,000	1,579,109
	Increase for ranges .....			[30,000]	[20,000]	
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,966,304	5,961,304	6,146,304	190,000	6,146,304
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[5,000]			

050	Readiness funding increase .....	1,834,424	2,224,454	[190,000]	1,909,424	[190,000]	1,934,738
	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....		[219,500]		1,909,424		100,314
	Readiness funding increase .....		[170,530]		[75,000]		[100,314]
060	Restoration, Modernization, and Demolition project shortfalls .....	2,779,811	2,779,811	2,779,811	2,779,811	-2,512	2,779,811
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	913,841	913,841	913,841	913,841	[-2,512]	911,329
	Remove program growth for foreign currency fluctuation .....						
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	916,837	916,837	916,837	916,837		916,837
100	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES .....	720,349	720,349	720,349	720,349		720,349
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	305,275	305,275	305,275	305,275		305,275
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	433,658	433,658	433,658	433,658		433,658
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	1,146,016	1,147,116	1,123,616	1,123,616		1,146,016
	Classified program decrease .....						
	NORTHCOM VOICE program .....		[1,100]				
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	231,830	231,830	231,830	231,830		231,830
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>21,968,363</b>	<b>22,364,493</b>	<b>22,460,963</b>	<b>22,460,963</b>	<b>454,602</b>	<b>22,422,965</b>
<b>MOBILIZATION</b>							
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	2,015,902	2,015,902	2,015,902	2,015,902		2,015,902
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	147,216	147,216	147,216	147,216		147,216
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,556,232	1,556,232	1,556,232	1,556,232		1,556,232
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	167,402	167,402	167,402	167,402		167,402
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	707,040	707,040	707,040	707,040		707,040
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>		<b>4,593,792</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>							
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	102,334	102,334	102,334	102,334		102,334
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,733	17,733	17,733	17,733		17,733
220	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	94,600	94,600	94,600	94,600		94,600
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	217,011	217,011	217,011	217,011		217,011
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	800,327	800,327	800,327	800,327		800,327
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	399,364	399,364	399,364	399,364		399,364

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	792,275	792,275	792,275		792,275
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	248,958	248,958	248,958		248,958
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	106,741	106,741	106,741		106,741
290	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	319,331	319,331	339,331	20,000	339,331
	Readiness funding increase .....			[20,000]		
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	122,736	122,736	122,736		122,736
310	EXAMINING .....	3,679	3,679	3,679		3,679
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	137,255	137,255	137,255		137,255
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	176,153	176,153	176,153		176,153
340	JUNIOR ROTC .....	67,018	67,018	67,018		67,018
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>3,605,515</b>	<b>3,605,515</b>	<b>3,625,515</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>3,625,515</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,103,684	1,103,684	1,103,684		1,103,684
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	919,923	919,923	919,923		919,923
370	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	56,601	52,601	56,601		56,601
	Heavy bomber eliminations related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-400]			
	ICBM reductions related to New START implementation .....		[-3,600]			
380	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	281,061	281,061	281,061		281,061
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,203,305	1,203,305	1,203,305		1,198,128
	Unjustified increase for public-private competitions .....				-5,177	
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	593,865	593,865	593,865		593,865
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	574,609	574,609	574,609		574,609
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	1,028,600	1,013,200	1,028,600		1,028,600
	De-MIRVing ICBMs related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-700]			
	ICBM eliminations and Environmental Impact Study related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-14,700]			



SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE</b>	<b>3,164,607</b>	<b>3,173,707</b>	<b>3,173,307</b>	<b>4,570</b>	<b>3,169,177</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,371,871	3,371,871	3,371,871		3,371,871
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	720,305	720,305	720,305		720,305
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,514,870	1,514,870	1,514,870		1,514,870
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	296,953	323,853	325,153	28,200	325,153
	Readiness funding increase		[26,900]	[28,200]	[28,200]	
050	BASE SUPPORT	597,303	597,303	597,303		597,303
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b>	<b>6,501,302</b>	<b>6,528,202</b>	<b>6,529,502</b>	<b>28,200</b>	<b>6,529,502</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
060	ADMINISTRATION	32,117	32,117	32,117		32,117
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	32,585	32,585	32,585		32,585
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>64,702</b>	<b>64,702</b>	<b>64,702</b>		<b>64,702</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG</b>	<b>6,566,004</b>	<b>6,592,904</b>	<b>6,594,204</b>	<b>28,200</b>	<b>6,594,204</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	472,239	472,239	472,239		472,239
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	5,261,463	5,230,711	5,239,663	-27,852	5,233,611
	AFSOC Flying Hour Program		[70,100]		[70,100]	
	International SOF Information Sharing System		[-7,017]		[-7,017]	
	Ongoing baseline contingency operations		[-35,519]		[-35,519]	



SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	Combating terrorism fellowship program .....					
	Global Train and Equip .....			[ -7,000]	[ -7,000]	
	Regional centers for security centers—undistributed decrease .....			[ -12,000]	[ -12,000]	
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	546,603	546,603	546,603		546,603
210	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION .....	35,151	35,151	35,151		35,151
220	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....	438,033	438,033	438,033		438,033
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	2,713,756	2,713,756	2,743,756		2,713,756
	Disability Impact Aid .....			[5,000]		
	Supplemental Impact Aid .....			[25,000]		
250	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	256,201	256,201	256,201	-1,400	254,801
	THAAD excess to requirement .....				[ -1,400]	
270	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	371,615	217,715	98,315	-153,900	217,715
	Program decrease .....			[ -273,300]	[ -273,300]	
	Program reduction .....		[ -153,900]			
	Rephasing of Guam civilian water and waste water infrastructure projects .....				[119,400]	
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	2,010,176	1,922,676	2,003,176	-15,000	1,995,176
	BRAC 2015 initiative .....		[ -8,000]		[ -8,000]	
	Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement Training Transformation .....		[90,500]			
	OUSD(IP) program decrease .....		[ -10,000]		[ -7,000]	
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program—Enhanced Business Support .....		[10,000]			
	Program decrease .....		[ -60,000]			
	Realignment to Building Partnership Capacity authorities .....		[ -35,000]			
	Reduction to Building Partnership Capacity authorities .....		[ -75,000]			
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	616,572	616,572	616,572	-5,000	611,572
	Price Growth Requested as Program Growth .....				[ -5,000]	
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	14,283,558	14,287,648	14,308,558	40,000	14,323,558
	Classified adjustment .....		[4,090]		[10,000]	

	Increase to Operation Observant Compass .....			[40,000]		[30,000]	
	Reduction to Operation Observant Compass .....			[-15,000]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>		<b>27,021,695</b>	<b>26,799,094</b>	<b>-239,429</b>	<b>26,782,266</b>	
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>						
305	UNDISTRIBUTED .....						30,000
	Impact Aid .....		-320,000				[25,000]
	Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities .....		[5,000]				[5,000]
	Section 514. Study of Reserve Component General and Flag Officers .....		[3,000]				
	Section 621. Expand the victims transitional compensation benefit .....		[10,000]				
	Unobligated balances .....		[-363,000]				
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-320,000</b>		<b>30,000</b>		<b>30,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>		<b>32,997,693</b>	<b>32,753,292</b>	<b>-237,281</b>	<b>32,760,412</b>	
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>						
040	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE						
	Unjustified Growth .....		13,606	13,606			13,606
050	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID .....		109,500	109,500			109,500
060	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....		528,455	528,455			528,455
080	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD .....		256,031	256,031			131,331
	Program decrease .....						[-124,700]
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY .....		298,815	298,815			298,815
100	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY .....		316,103	316,103			316,103
110	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE .....		439,820	439,820			439,820
120	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE .....		10,757	10,757			10,757
130	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES .....		237,443	237,443			237,443
160	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND .....		5,000	5,000			0
	Program reduction .....						[-5,000]
	<b>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS .....</b>		<b>2,215,530</b>	<b>2,215,530</b>	<b>-129,700</b>	<b>2,085,830</b>	

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE .....	175,097,941	174,602,459	176,631,808	1,322,485	176,420,426

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>						
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>						
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	217,571	247,571	217,571		217,571
	Missile Defense Deployment—Other .....		[15,000]			
	Missile Defense Deployment to Turkey .....		[15,000]			
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	8,266	8,266	8,266		8,266
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	56,626	56,626	56,626		56,626
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	4,209,942	4,209,942	4,209,942		4,209,942
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	950,567	950,567	943,567		950,567
	NSHQ—Transfer at DoD Request .....			[-7,000]		
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	474,288	474,288	474,288		474,288
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,349,152	1,349,152	1,485,452		1,349,152
	BuckEye terrain data increase .....			[56,300]		
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Train the Force .....			[80,000]		
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	655,000	655,000	655,000		655,000
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	301,563	796,563	301,563		301,563
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance .....		[495,000]			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	706,214	706,214	706,214		706,214
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	11,519,498	11,519,498	11,519,498		11,519,498
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM .....	60,000	60,000	60,000		60,000
160	RESET .....	2,240,358	3,740,358	2,240,358	1,100,000	3,340,358
	Restore Critical Army Reset .....		[1,500,000]		[1,100,000]	
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>22,749,045</b>	<b>24,774,045</b>	<b>22,878,345</b>	<b>1,100,000</b>	<b>23,849,045</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>						

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	4,601,356	4,601,356	4,601,356		4,601,356
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	17,418	17,418	17,418		17,418
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	110,000	110,000	110,000		110,000
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	94,820	94,820	94,820		94,820
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	54,000	54,000	54,000		54,000
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	250,000	250,000	250,000		250,000
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,402,994	1,402,994	1,402,994		1,402,994
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>6,530,588</b>	<b>6,530,588</b>	<b>6,530,588</b>		<b>6,530,588</b>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>						
530	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		91,100			
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[91,100]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>91,100</b>			
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY .....</b>	<b>29,279,633</b>	<b>31,395,733</b>	<b>29,408,933</b>	<b>1,100,000</b>	<b>30,379,633</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b>						
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>						
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	6,995	6,995	6,995		6,995
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,332	2,332	2,332		2,332
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	608	608	608		608
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....		75,800			
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance .....		[75,800]			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	33,000	33,000	33,000		33,000
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>42,935</b>	<b>118,735</b>	<b>42,935</b>		<b>42,935</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES .....</b>	<b>42,935</b>	<b>118,735</b>	<b>42,935</b>		<b>42,935</b>

	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG</b>				
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>				
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	29,314	29,314	29,314	29,314
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	1,494	1,494	1,494	1,494
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	15,343	15,343	15,343	15,343
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	1,549	1,549	1,549	1,549
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	64,504	64,504	64,504	64,504
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	31,512	31,512	31,512	31,512
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	42,179	42,179	42,179	42,179
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	11,996	11,996	11,996	11,996
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>197,891</b>	<b>197,891</b>	<b>197,891</b>	<b>197,891</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>				
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,480	1,480	1,480	1,480
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>1,480</b>	<b>1,480</b>	<b>1,480</b>	<b>1,480</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG .....</b>	<b>199,371</b>	<b>199,371</b>	<b>199,371</b>	<b>199,371</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>				
	<b>MINISTRY OF DEFENSE</b>				
010	SUSTAINMENT .....	2,735,603	2,735,603	2,735,603	2,735,603
020	INFRASTRUCTURE .....	278,650	278,650	278,650	278,650
030	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	2,180,382	2,180,382	2,180,382	2,180,382
040	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,550	626,550	626,550	626,550
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE .....</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>
	<b>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</b>				
060	SUSTAINMENT .....	1,214,995	1,214,995	1,214,995	1,214,995
080	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	54,696	54,696	54,696	54,696
090	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,119	626,119	626,119	626,119
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR .....</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>

08  
03

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>DETAINEE OPS</b>					
110	SUSTAINMENT .....	7,225	7,225	7,225		7,225
140	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	2,500	2,500	2,500		2,500
	<b>SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS .....</b>	<b>9,725</b>	<b>9,725</b>	<b>9,725</b>		<b>9,725</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>					
160	UNDISTRIBUTED .....				-1,500,000	-1,500,000
	Program decrease .....				[-1,500,000]	
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>				<b>-1,500,000</b>	<b>-1,500,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND .....</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>-1,500,000</b>	<b>6,226,720</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>					
	<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>					
010	POWER .....	279,000	279,000	250,000	-29,000	250,000
	Unjustified expenditure .....			[-29,000]		
	<b>SUBTOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>	<b>-29,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>	<b>-29,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	845,169	845,169	845,169		845,169
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	600	600	600		600
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	17,489	17,489	17,489		17,489
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	78,491	78,491	78,491		78,491

060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	162,420	202,420	162,420	162,420
	Restore critical depot maintenance .....		[40,000]		
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	50,130	50,130	50,130	50,130
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	949,539	960,939	949,539	949,539
	Spares .....		[11,400]		
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	20,226	20,226	20,226	20,226
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,679,660	1,843,660	1,679,660	1,679,660
	Program increase .....		[164,000]		
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....		126,000		
	Program increase .....		[126,000]		
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	37,760	37,760	37,760	37,760
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	25,351	25,351	25,351	25,351
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	20,045	20,045	20,045	20,045
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	1,212,296	1,665,296	1,212,296	1,212,296
	Combat forces equipment .....		[148,000]		
	Combat forces shortfall .....		[305,000]		
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	10,203	10,203	10,203	10,203
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	127,972	127,972	127,972	127,972
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	221,427	221,427	221,427	221,427
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	13,386	13,386	13,386	13,386
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	110,940	110,940	110,940	110,940
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>	<b>6,380,204</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>
<b>MOBILIZATION</b>					
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS .....	18,460	18,460	18,460	18,460
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	227,033	227,033	227,033	227,033
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>245,493</b>	<b>245,493</b>	<b>245,493</b>	<b>245,493</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	50,269	50,269	50,269	50,269
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>	<b>55,669</b>	<b>55,669</b>	<b>55,669</b>		<b>55,669</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
480	ADMINISTRATION	2,418	2,418	2,418		2,418
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	516	516	516		516
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	5,107	5,107	5,107		5,107
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	1,411	1,411	1,411		1,411
530	SERVICEMAN COMMUNICATIONS	2,545	2,545	2,545		2,545
550	SERVICEMAN TRANSPORTATION	153,427	153,427	153,427		153,427
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	8,570	8,570	8,570		8,570
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	1,425	1,425	1,425		1,425
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,608	5,608	5,608		5,608
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>181,027</b>	<b>181,027</b>	<b>181,027</b>		<b>181,027</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>					
710	UNDISTRIBUTED		155,400			
	Increase to support higher fuel rates		[155,400]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		<b>155,400</b>			
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>	<b>6,067,993</b>	<b>7,017,793</b>	<b>6,067,993</b>		<b>6,067,993</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	992,190	992,190	992,190		992,190
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	559,574	559,574	559,574		559,574
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	570,000	626,000	570,000		570,000
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance		[56,000]			

060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	69,726	69,726	69,726	69,726
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>2,191,490</b>	<b>2,247,490</b>	<b>2,191,490</b>	<b>2,191,490</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	108,270	108,270	134,270	108,270
	Transfer from JEDDO—Train the Force .....		[26,000]		
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>108,270</b>	<b>108,270</b>	<b>134,270</b>	<b>108,270</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES</b>					
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	365,555	365,555	365,555	365,555
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,675	3,675	3,675	3,675
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	825	825	825	825
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>370,055</b>	<b>370,055</b>	<b>370,055</b>	<b>370,055</b>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>					
190	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		5,400		
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[5,400]		
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>5,400</b>		
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b> .....	<b>2,669,815</b>	<b>2,731,215</b>	<b>2,695,815</b>	<b>2,669,815</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b>					
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	17,196	17,196	17,196	17,196
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	200	200	200	200
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	12,304	12,304	12,304	12,304
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,790	6,790	6,790	6,790
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	13,210	13,210	13,210	13,210
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b> .....	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	11,124	11,124	11,124		11,124
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	1,410	1,410	1,410		1,410
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>		<b>12,534</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b> .....	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>		<b>12,534</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,712,393	1,782,393	1,712,393		1,712,393
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[70,000]			
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	836,104	836,104	836,104		836,104
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	14,118	14,118	14,118		14,118
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,373,480	1,473,480	1,373,480		1,373,480
	Program increase .....		[100,000]			
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	122,712	122,712	122,712		122,712
060	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,520,333	1,520,333	1,520,333		1,520,333
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	31,582	31,582	31,582		31,582
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	147,524	147,524	147,524		147,524
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	857	857	857		857
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	8,353	8,353	8,353		8,353
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	50,495	50,495	50,495		50,495
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>5,817,951</b>	<b>5,987,951</b>	<b>5,817,951</b>		<b>5,817,951</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>					

00  
00  
00

150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	3,091,133	3,141,133	3,091,133	3,091,133
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[50,000]		
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	47,897	47,897	47,897	47,897
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	387,179	887,179	387,179	517,179
	Program increase .....		[500,000]		130,000
	Program decrease .....				[130,000]
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	7,043	7,043	7,043	7,043
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	68,382	68,382	68,382	68,382
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>3,601,634</b>	<b>4,151,634</b>	<b>3,601,634</b>	<b>3,731,634</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>				
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	100	100	100	100
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	478	478	478	478
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,256	19,256	19,256	19,256
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	12,845	12,845	12,845	12,845
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	731	731	731	731
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	607	607	607	607
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	720	720	720	720
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	152	152	152	152
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>34,889</b>	<b>34,889</b>	<b>34,889</b>	<b>34,889</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES</b>				
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	86,273	86,273	86,273	86,273
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	2,511	2,511	2,511	2,511
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,887	19,887	19,887	19,887
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,493	3,493	3,493	3,493
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	152,086	152,086	152,086	152,086
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	269,825	269,825	269,825	269,825
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	117	117	117	117
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	16,558	16,558	16,558	16,558
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>550,750</b>	<b>550,750</b>	<b>550,750</b>	<b>550,750</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>				

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
470	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		284,000			
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[284,000]			
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>284,000</b>			
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>10,005,224</b>	<b>11,009,224</b>	<b>10,005,224</b>	<b>130,000</b>	<b>10,135,224</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	26,599	26,599	26,599		26,599
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	6,250	6,250	6,250		6,250
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>		<b>32,849</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>		<b>32,849</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	22,200	22,200	22,200		22,200
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>		<b>22,200</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>		<b>22,200</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>					
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	2,222,868	2,222,868	2,229,868		2,222,868
	NSHQ—Transfer at DoD Request .....			[7,000]		
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>	<b>2,229,868</b>		<b>2,222,868</b>

	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>							
080 DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781	27,781
090 DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	45,746	45,746	45,746	45,746	45,746	45,746	45,746
120 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	76,348	76,348	76,348	76,348	76,348	76,348	76,348
140 DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	99,538	99,538	99,538	99,538	99,538	99,538	99,538
160 DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	9,620	9,620	9,620	9,620	9,620	9,620	9,620
180 DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000
240 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	100,100	100,100	100,100	100,100	100,100	100,100	100,100
280 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	38,227	73,227	38,227	38,227	38,227	38,227	38,227
Realignment to Building Partnership Capacity authorities .....		[35,000]					
290 WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	2,784	2,784	2,784	2,784	2,784	2,784	2,784
295 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,862,066	1,862,066	1,862,066	1,862,066	1,862,066	1,862,066	1,862,066
<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,247,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>
<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>	<b>6,470,078</b>	<b>6,442,078</b>	<b>6,442,078</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>
<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE .....</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>67,071,152</b>	<b>62,962,352</b>	<b>62,962,352</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>62,530,052</b>	<b>62,530,052</b>

# TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

## SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

### SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	130,399,881	130,219,281	130,129,881	-682,900	129,716,981
Enlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....				[-38,000]	
Excess to requirement .....				[-64,300]	
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Guard .....		[4,500]			
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Reserve .....		[900]			
Full Time Pay and Allowances projected underexecution .....					
Full Time Support projected underexecution .....				[-10,000]	
Military Personnel unobligated .....				[-1,000]	
Permanent Change of Station Travel—Army .....		[-186,000]		[-186,000]	
Recruiting and Retention programs excess to requirement .....			[-150,000]	[-150,000]	
Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....				[-1,800]	
Reserve Incentive Programs excess to requirement .....				[-68,300]	
Travel, Active Duty for Training, projected underexecution .....				[-7,750]	
Undistributed reduction consistent with pace of drawdown .....				[-18,000]	
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	6,676,750	6,676,750	6,676,750	[-137,750]	6,676,750
<b>Total, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>137,076,631</b>	<b>136,896,031</b>	<b>136,806,631</b>	<b>-682,900</b>	<b>136,393,731</b>

**SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized	
Military Personnel Appropriations .....	9,689,307	9,689,307	9,689,307	-40,500	9,648,807	
Projected underexecution .....				[-40,500]		
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions .....	164,033	164,033	164,033		164,033	
<b>Total, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>9,853,340</b>	<b>9,853,340</b>	<b>9,853,340</b>	<b>-40,500</b>	<b>9,812,840</b>	

# TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

## SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

### SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>					
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	25,158	25,158	25,158		25,158
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY .....</b>	<b>25,158</b>	<b>25,158</b>	<b>25,158</b>		<b>25,158</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>					
<b>FUEL COSTS</b>					
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL) .....	61,731	61,731	61,731		61,731
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>61,731</b>	<b>61,731</b>	<b>61,731</b>		<b>61,731</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	46,428	46,428	46,428		46,428
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>46,428</b>	<b>46,428</b>	<b>46,428</b>		<b>46,428</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA</b>					
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA .....	1,412,510	1,412,510	1,412,510		1,412,510
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA .....</b>	<b>1,412,510</b>	<b>1,412,510</b>	<b>1,412,510</b>		<b>1,412,510</b>
<b>NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND</b>					
<b>LMSR</b>					
MPF MLP .....	134,917	134,917	22,717	-112,200	22,717
Navy requested adjustment .....			[-112,200]	[-112,200]	

POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING .....	43,404	43,404	43,404	43,404	43,404
<b>NATIONAL DEF SEALIFT VESSEL</b>					
LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE .....	116,784	116,784	116,784	116,784	116,784
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS .....	60,703	60,703	60,703	60,703	60,703
TAH MAINTENANCE .....	19,809	19,809	19,809	19,809	19,809
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	56,058	56,058	56,058	56,058	56,058
READY RESERVE FORCE .....	299,025	299,025	299,025	299,025	299,025
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND .....</b>	<b>730,700</b>	<b>730,700</b>	<b>618,500</b>	<b>-112,200</b>	<b>618,500</b>
<b>CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION</b>					
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE .....	451,572	451,572	451,572	451,572	451,572
RD&E .....	604,183	604,183	604,183	604,183	604,183
PROCUREMENT .....	1,368	1,368	1,368	1,368	1,368
<b>TOTAL CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION .....</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>					
OPERATING FORCES .....	815,965	815,965	810,125	815,965	815,965
Joint Interagency Task Force—West (PC3309) .....			[-3,000]		
U.S. European Comman Counter narcotics Headquarters Support (PC2346) .....			[-1,640]		
U.S. Special Operations Forces Support to U.S. European Command (PC6505) .....			[-1,200]		
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM .....	122,580	122,580	122,580	122,580	122,580
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF .....</b>	<b>938,545</b>	<b>938,545</b>	<b>932,705</b>	<b>938,545</b>	<b>938,545</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	311,131	311,131	347,031	34,869	346,000
Program increase .....			[35,900]	[34,869]	
RD&E .....					
PROCUREMENT .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL .....</b>	<b>312,131</b>	<b>312,131</b>	<b>348,031</b>	<b>34,869</b>	<b>347,000</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>					
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,880,738	8,880,738	8,880,738	8,880,738	8,880,738

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,842,732	15,912,732	15,842,732	-67,000	15,775,732
Behavioral health treatment of developmental disabilities .....		[60,000]			
Pharmaceutical drugs excess growth .....					
Pilot program for investigational treatment of members of the Armed Forces for TBI and PTSD .....		[10,000]			
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	2,505,640	2,505,640	2,505,640		2,505,640
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,450,619	1,450,619	1,450,619		1,450,619
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	368,248	368,248	368,248		368,248
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	733,097	733,097	733,097		733,097
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,872,660	1,872,660	1,872,660		1,872,660
R&D RESEARCH .....	9,162	9,162	9,162		9,162
R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT .....	47,977	47,977	47,977		47,977
R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	291,156	291,156	291,156		291,156
R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION .....	132,430	132,430	132,430		132,430
R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT .....	161,674	161,674	161,674		161,674
R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT .....	72,568	72,568	72,568		72,568
R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT .....	14,646	14,646	14,646		14,646
<b>RDT&amp;E UNDISTRIBUTED</b>					
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>					
PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING .....	89,404	89,404	89,404		89,404
PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION .....	377,577	377,577	377,577		377,577
PROC IEHR .....	204,200	204,200	204,200		204,200
UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-276,800	218,000	-57,000	-57,000
DHP Unobligated .....		[-440,800]			
Restore Tricare savings .....		[164,000]			
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>33,054,528</b>	<b>32,847,728</b>	<b>33,272,528</b>	<b>-124,000</b>	<b>32,930,528</b>

TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS ..... 37,638,854    37,432,054    37,774,714    -201,331    37,437,523

---

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>					
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	44,732	44,732	44,732		44,732
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY .....</b>	<b>44,732</b>	<b>44,732</b>	<b>44,732</b>		<b>44,732</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>					
C-17 CLS ENGINE REPAIR .....	78,500	78,500	78,500		78,500
TRANSPORTATION FALLEN HEROES .....	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>88,500</b>	<b>88,500</b>	<b>88,500</b>		<b>88,500</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	131,678	131,678	131,678		131,678
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>131,678</b>	<b>131,678</b>	<b>131,678</b>		<b>131,678</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>					
OPERATING FORCES .....	376,305	376,305	376,305		376,305
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF .....</b>	<b>376,305</b>	<b>376,305</b>	<b>376,305</b>		<b>376,305</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	10,766	10,766	10,766		10,766
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL .....</b>	<b>10,766</b>	<b>10,766</b>	<b>10,766</b>		<b>10,766</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>					
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	375,958	375,958	375,958		375,958
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	382,560	382,560	382,560		382,560

CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	132,749	132,749	132,749	132,749
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	2,238	2,238	2,238	2,238
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	460	460	460	460
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	10,236	10,236	10,236	10,236
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>904,201</b>	<b>904,201</b>	<b>904,201</b>	<b>904,201</b>
<b>TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>

# TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

## SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

### SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Army	ALASKA	Fort Wainwright	AVIATION BATTALION COMPLEX	45,000	45,000	45,000		45,000
Army	ALASKA	Fort Wainwright	AVIATION STORAGE HANGAR	58,000	58,000	58,000		58,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	66,000	66,000	66,000		66,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	73,000	73,000	73,000		73,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT	34,000	34,000	34,000		34,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	FIRE STATION	12,000	12,000	12,000		12,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	33,000	33,000	33,000		33,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	RUNWAY	12,000	12,000	12,000		12,000
Army	COLORADO	Fort Carson	SIMULATOR BUILDING	12,200	12,200	12,200		12,200
Army	FLORIDA	Eglin AFB	AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	4,700	4,700	4,700		4,700
Army	GEORGIA	Fort Gordon	ADV INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS CPLX, PH2	61,000	61,000	61,000		61,000
Army	HAWAII	Fort Shafter	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY—ADMIN	75,000	65,000	75,000	-5,000	70,000
Army	KANSAS	Fort Leavenworth	SIMULATIONS CENTER	17,000	17,000	17,000		17,000
Army	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	BATTLEFIELD WEATHER SUPPORT FACILITY	4,800	4,800	4,800		4,800
Army	MARYLAND	Aberdeen Proving Ground	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	21,000	21,000	21,000		21,000
Army	MARYLAND	Fort Detrick	ENTRY CONTROL POINT	2,500	2,500	2,500		2,500
Army	MARYLAND	Fort Detrick	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE BUILDING	4,600	4,600	4,600		4,600
Army	MISSOURI	Fort Leonard Wood	ADV INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS CPLX, PH1	86,000	86,000	86,000		86,000
Army	MISSOURI	Fort Leonard Wood	SIMULATOR BUILDING	4,700	4,700	4,700		4,700
Army	NEW YORK	U.S. Military Academy	CADET BARRACKS, INCR 2	42,000	42,000	42,000		42,000
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	5,900	5,900	5,900		5,900
Army	TEXAS	Fort Bliss	CONTROL TOWER	10,800	10,800	10,800		10,800
Army	TEXAS	Fort Bliss	UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE COMPLEX	36,000	36,000	36,000		36,000
Army	VIRGINIA	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	ADV INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS CPLX, PH3	50,000	50,000	50,000		50,000

Army	WASHINGTON	Joint Base Lewis-McChord	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	79,000	79,000	79,000	79,000		
Army	WASHINGTON	Joint Base Lewis-McChord	AIRFIELD OPERATIONS COMPLEX	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000		
Army	WASHINGTON	Joint Base Lewis-McChord	AVIATION BATTALION COMPLEX	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000		
Army	WASHINGTON	Yakima	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100		
Army	WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED	Classified Location	COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	-33,000	0
Army	JAPAN	Kyoga Misaki	COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	0	0	0	33,000	33,000	33,000
Army	KWAJALEIN	Kwajalein Atoll	PIER	63,000	63,000	63,000	63,000		
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	HOST NATION SUPPORT FY14	33,000	23,000	33,000	33,000	-5,000	28,000
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MINOR CONSTRUCTION FY14	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN FY14	41,575	41,575	41,575	41,575		41,575
<b>Total Military Construction, Army</b>				<b>1,119,875</b>	<b>1,099,875</b>	<b>1,119,875</b>	<b>1,119,875</b>	<b>-10,000</b>	<b>1,109,875</b>
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Barstow	ENGINE DYNAMOMETER FACILITY	14,998	14,998	14,998	14,998		14,998
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Camp Pendleton	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE	13,124	13,124	13,124	13,124		13,124
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Coronado	H-60 TRAINER FACILITY	8,910	8,910	8,910	8,910		8,910
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Point Mugu	AIRCRAFT ENGINE TEST PADS	7,198	7,198	7,198	7,198		7,198
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Point Mugu	BAMS CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE HANGAR	17,469	17,469	17,469	17,469		17,469
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Port Hueneme	UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING CONVERSION	33,600	33,600	33,600	33,600		33,600
Navy	CALIFORNIA	San Diego	STEAM PLANT DECENTRALIZATION	34,331	34,331	34,331	34,331		34,331
Navy	CALIFORNIA	Twentymine Palms	CAMP WILSON INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	33,437	33,437	33,437	33,437		33,437
Navy	FLORIDA	Jacksonville	P-8A TRAINING & PARKING APRON EXPANSION	20,752	20,752	20,752	20,752		20,752
Navy	FLORIDA	Key West	AIRCRAFT CRASH/RESCUE & FIRE HEADQUARTERS	14,001	14,001	14,001	14,001		14,001
Navy	FLORIDA	Mayport	LCS LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY	16,093	16,093	16,093	16,093		16,093
Navy	GEORGIA	Albany	CERS DISPATCH FACILITY	1,010	1,010	1,010	1,010		1,010
Navy	GEORGIA	Albany	WEAPONS STORAGE AND INSPECTION FACILITY	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600		15,600
Navy	GEORGIA	Savannah	TOWNSEND BOMBING RANGE LAND ACQ—PHASE 1	61,717	61,717	61,717	61,717		61,717
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR—NORTH RAMP	85,673	85,673	85,673	85,673		85,673
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	BAMS FORWARD OPERATIONAL & MAINTENANCE HANGAR	61,702	61,702	61,702	61,702		61,702
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	DEHUMIDIFIED SUPPLY STORAGE FACILITY	17,170	17,170	17,170	17,170		17,170
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	EMERGENT REPAIR FACILITY EXPANSION	35,860	35,860	35,860	35,860		35,860
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	MODULAR STORAGE MAGAZINES	63,382	63,382	63,382	63,382		63,382

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	SIERRA WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	1,170	1,170	1,170		1,170
Navy	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	X-RAY WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	53,420	53,420	53,420		53,420
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	3RD RADIO BN MAINTENANCE/OPERATIONS COM- PLEX	25,336	25,336	25,336		25,336
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE EXPANSION	16,968	16,968	16,968		16,968
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR UPGRADES	31,820	31,820	31,820		31,820
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	ARMORY ADDITION AND RENOVATION	12,952	12,952	12,952		12,952
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	AVIATION SIMULATOR MODERNIZATION/ADDITION	17,724	17,724	17,724		17,724
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	MV-22 HANGAR	57,517	57,517	57,517		57,517
Navy	HAWAII	Kaneohe Bay	MV-22 PARKING APRON AND INFRASTRUCTURE	74,665	74,665	74,665		74,665
Navy	HAWAII	Pearl City	WATER TRANSMISSION LINE	30,100	30,100	30,100		30,100
Navy	HAWAII	Pearl Harbor	DRYDOCK WATERFRONT FACILITY	22,721	22,721	22,721		22,721
Navy	HAWAII	Pearl Harbor	SUBMARINE PRODUCTION SUPPORT FACILITY	35,277	35,277	35,277		35,277
Navy	ILLINOIS	Great Lakes	UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING	35,851	35,851	35,851		35,851
Navy	MAINE	Bangor	NCTAMS VLF COMMERCIAL POWER CONNECTION	13,800	13,800	13,800		13,800
Navy	MAINE	Kittery	STRUCTURAL SHOPS CONSOLIDATION	11,522	11,522	11,522		11,522
Navy	MARYLAND	Fort Meade	MARFORCYBERCOM HQ-OPS BUILDING	83,988	83,988	83,988		83,988
Navy	NEVADA	Fallon	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	11,334	11,334	11,334		11,334
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	LANDFILL—PHASE 4	20,795	20,795	20,795		20,795
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	OPERATIONS TRAINING COMPLEX	22,515	22,515	22,515		22,515
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	STEAM DECENTRALIZATION—BEQ NODES	18,679	18,679	18,679		18,679
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	STEAM DECENTRALIZATION—CAMP JOHNSON	2,620	2,620	2,620		2,620
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	STEAM DECENTRALIZATION—HADNOT POINT	13,390	13,390	13,390		13,390
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	New River	CH-53K MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	13,218	13,218	13,218		13,218
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	New River	CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	12,547	12,547	12,547		12,547
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	New River	REGIONAL COMMUNICATION STATION	20,098	20,098	20,098		20,098
Navy	OKLAHOMA	Trinker AFB	TACAMO E-6B HANGAR	14,144	14,144	14,144		14,144
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	Newport	HEWITT HALL RESEARCH CENTER	12,422	12,422	12,422		12,422
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	Charleston	NUCLEAR POWER OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	73,932	73,932	73,932		73,932
Navy	VIRGINIA	Dam Neck	AERIAL TARGET OPERATION CONSOLIDATION	10,587	10,587	10,587		10,587

Navy	VIRGINIA	Norfolk	PIER 11 POWER UPGRADES FOR CWN-78	3,380	3,380	3,380	3,380	3,380	3,380
Navy	VIRGINIA	Quantico	ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY TECOM SCHOOLS	25,731	25,731	25,731	25,731	25,731	25,731
Navy	VIRGINIA	Quantico	ATC TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER RELOCATION	3,630	3,630	3,630	3,630	3,630	3,630
Navy	VIRGINIA	Quantico	FULLER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	9,013	9,013	9,013	9,013	9,013	9,013
Navy	VIRGINIA	Yorktown	SMALL ARMS RANGES	18,700	18,700	18,700	18,700	18,700	18,700
Navy	WASHINGTON	Bremerton	INTEGRATED WATER TREATMENT SYS DRY DOCKS	18,189	18,189	18,189	18,189	18,189	18,189
Navy	WASHINGTON	Kitsap	3&4 EXPLOSIVES HANDLING WHARF #2 (INC)	24,880	24,880	24,880	24,880	24,880	24,880
Navy	WASHINGTON	Whidbey Island	EA-18G FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	32,482	32,482	32,482	32,482	32,482	32,482
Navy	WASHINGTON	Whidbey Island	P-8A HANGAR AND TRAINING FACILITIES	85,167	85,167	85,167	85,167	85,167	85,167
Navy	DJIBOUTI	Camp Lemonier	ARMORY	6,420	6,420	6,420	6,420	6,420	6,420
Navy	DJIBOUTI	Camp Lemonier	UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING	22,580	22,580	22,580	22,580	22,580	22,580
Navy	JAPAN	Camp Butler	AIRFIELD SECURITY UPGRADES	5,820	5,820	5,820	5,820	5,820	5,820
Navy	JAPAN	Yokosuka	COMMUNICATION SYSTEM UPGRADE	7,568	7,568	7,568	7,568	7,568	7,568
Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCON DESIGN FUNDS	89,830	89,830	89,830	89,830	89,830	89,830
Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	19,740	19,740	19,740	19,740	19,740	19,740
Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE CONSTRUCTION	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy</b>				<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>
AF	ARIZONA	Luke AFB	F-35 FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
AF	ARIZONA	Luke AFB	F-35 SQ OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT #3	21,400	21,400	21,400	21,400	21,400	21,400
AF	CALIFORNIA	Beale AFB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND STATION OPS BLDG	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000	62,000
AF	FLORIDA	Tyndall AFB	F-22 MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100
AF	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	PAR—FUEL SYS HARDENED BLDGS	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
AF	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	PAR—STRIKE TACTICAL MISSILE MXS FACILITY	10,530	10,530	10,530	10,530	10,530	10,530
AF	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	PAR—TANKER GP MX HANGAR/AMU/SOD OPS	132,600	132,600	132,600	132,600	132,600	132,600
AF	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC RED HORSE AIRFIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
AF	GUAM	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC SF FIRE RESCUE & EMERGENCY MGT	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600
AF	HAWAII	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	C-17 MODERNIZE HGR 35, DOCKS 1&2	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A 2-Bay Corrosion Control/Fuel Cell Hangar	0	82,000	82,000	82,000	82,000	82,000
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A 3-Bay General Purpose Maintenance Hangar	0	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Aircraft Parking Apron Alteration	0	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Aprons Fuels Distribution System	0	12,800	12,800	12,800	12,800
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Flight Simulator Facility Phase 1	0	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A General Maintenance Hangar	0	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Miscellaneous Facilities Alteration	0	970	970	970	970
AF	KANSAS	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Pipeline Student Dormitory	0	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
AF	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	19TH AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SDRN EXPANSION	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
AF	MARYLAND	Fort Meade	CYBERCOM JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER, INCREMENT 1	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000
AF	MARYLAND	Joint Base Andrews	HELICOPTER OPERATIONS FACILITY	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
AF	MISSOURI	Whiteman AFB	WSA MOP IGL00S AND ASSEMBLY FACILITY	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
AF	NEBRASKA	Offutt AFB	USSTRATCOM REPLACEMENT FACILITY, INCR 3	136,000	136,000	136,000	136,000	136,000
AF	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	ADD RPA WEAPONS SCHOOL FACILITY	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
AF	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	DORMITORY (240 RM)	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
AF	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	F-35 ALT MISSION EQUIP (AME) STORAGE	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
AF	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	F-35 FUEL CELL HANGAR	9,400	9,400	9,400	9,400	9,400
AF	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	F-35 PARTS STORE	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100
AF	NEW MEXICO	Cannon AFB	AIRMAN AND FAMILY READINESS CENTER	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
AF	NEW MEXICO	Cannon AFB	DORMITORY (144 RM)	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
AF	NEW MEXICO	Cannon AFB	SATELLITE DINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600
AF	NEW MEXICO	Holloman AFB	F-16 AIRCRAFT COVERED WASHRACK AND PAD	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
AF	NEW MEXICO	Kirtland AFB	NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WING & SUSTAINMENT CENTER (PH)	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500
AF	NORTH DAKOTA	Minot AFB	B-52 ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	15,530	15,530	15,530	15,530	15,530
AF	NORTH DAKOTA	Minot AFB	B-52 MUNITIONS STORAGE IGL00S	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
AF	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	KC-46A FTU ADAL Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock	0	3,350	3,350	3,350	3,350
AF	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	KC-46A FTU ADAL Squad Ops/AMU	0	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
AF	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	KC-46A FTU Flight Training Center Simulators Facility Phase 1	0	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600
AF	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	KC-46A FTU Fuselage Trainer Phase 1	0	6,300	6,300	6,300	6,300



SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Def-Wide	GEORGIA	Fort Benning	WHITE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	37,304	37,304	37,304		37,304
Def-Wide	GEORGIA	Fort Stewart	DIAMOND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	44,504	44,504	44,504		44,504
Def-Wide	GEORGIA	Hunter Army Airfield	REPLACE FUEL ISLAND	13,500	13,500	13,500		13,500
Def-Wide	GEORGIA	Moody AFB	REPLACE GROUND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	3,800	3,800	3,800		3,800
Def-Wide	HAWAII	Ford Island	DISA PACIFIC FACILITY UPGRADES	2,615	2,615	2,615		2,615
Def-Wide	HAWAII	Joint Base Pearl Harbor- Hickam	ALTER WAREHOUSE SPACE	2,800	2,800	2,800		2,800
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	FORT CAMPBELL HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	59,278	59,278	59,278		59,278
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	MARSHALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	38,591	38,591	38,591		38,591
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	SOF GROUP SPECIAL TROOPS BATTALION	26,342	26,342	26,342		26,342
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	Fort Knox	AMBULATORY HEALTH CENTER	265,000	265,000	75,000	-120,000	145,000
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	Fort Knox	CONSOLIDATE/REPLACE VAN VOORHIS-MUDGE ES	38,023	38,023	38,023		38,023
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Aberdeen Proving Ground	PUBLIC HEALTH COMMAND LAB REPLACEMENT	210,000	110,000	75,000	-135,000	75,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Bethesda Naval Hospital	MECH & ELECTRICAL IMPROVEMENTS	46,800	46,800	46,800		46,800
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Bethesda Naval Hospital	PARKING GARAGE	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Fort Detrick	USAMRIID REPLACEMENT STAGE 1, INCR 8	13,000	0	13,000		13,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Fort Meade	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING CAPACITY INC 3	431,000	431,000	381,000	-35,000	396,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Fort Meade	NSAW RECAPITALIZE BUILDING #1/SITE M INC 2	58,000	58,000	58,000		58,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	Joint Base Andrews	AMBULATORY CARE CENTER INC 2	76,200	63,800	38,100	-38,100	38,100
Def-Wide	MASSACHUSETTS	Hanscom AFB	HANSCOM PRIMARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	36,213	36,213	36,213		36,213
Def-Wide	NEW JERSEY	Joint Base McGuire-Dix- Lakehurst	REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION COMPONENTS	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
Def-Wide	NEW MEXICO	Holloman AFB	MEDICAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	60,000	60,000	60,000		60,000
Def-Wide	NEW MEXICO	Holloman AFB	REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	21,400	21,400	21,400		21,400
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	SOF PERFORMANCE RESILIENCY CENTER	14,400	0	14,400		14,400
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Camp Lejeune	SOF SUSTAINMENT TRAINING COMPLEX	28,977	28,977	28,977		28,977
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	CONSOLIDATE/REPLACE POPE HOLBROOK ELEMEN- TARY	37,032	37,032	37,032		37,032
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	SOF CIVIL AFFAIRS BATTALION ANNEX	37,689	37,689	37,689		37,689
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	SOF COMBAT MEDIC SKILLS SUSTAIN. COURSE BLDG	7,600	7,600	7,600		7,600

Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	SOF ENGINEER TRAINING FACILITY	10,419	10,419	10,419	10,419	10,419	10,419
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	SOF LANGUAGE AND CULTURAL CENTER	64,606	64,606	64,606	64,606	64,606	64,606
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	SOF UPGRADE TRAINING FACILITY	14,719	14,719	14,719	14,719	14,719	14,719
Def-Wide	NORTH DAKOTA	Minot AFB	REPLACE FUEL PIPELINE	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
Def-Wide	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	REPLACE REFUELER PARKING	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
Def-Wide	OKLAHOMA	Trinker AFB	REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000
Def-Wide	PENNSYLVANIA	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	UPGRADE HAZARDOUS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
Def-Wide	PENNSYLVANIA	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	UPGRADE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
Def-Wide	SOUTH CAROLINA	Beaufort	BOLDEN ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	41,324	41,324	41,324	41,324	41,324	41,324
Def-Wide	TENNESSEE	Arnold Air Force Base	REPLACE GROUND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
Def-Wide	TEXAS	Fort Bliss	HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 5	252,100	152,100	152,100	100,000	100,000	-152,100
Def-Wide	TEXAS	Joint Base San Antonio	SAMMC HYPERBARIC FACILITY ADDITION	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Dam Neck	SOF HUMAN PERFORMANCE CENTER	11,147	0	0	11,147	11,147	11,147
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Def Distribution Depot Richmond	OPERATIONS CENTER PHASE 1	87,000	87,000	87,000	87,000	87,000	87,000
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story	SOF LOGSU TWO OPERATIONS FACILITY	30,404	30,404	30,404	30,404	30,404	30,404
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Pentagon	BOUNDARY CHANNEL ACCESS CONTROL POINT	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Pentagon	ARMY NAVY DRIVE TOUR BUS DROP OFF	1,850	1,850	1,850	0	0	-1,850
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Pentagon	PPPA SUPPORT OPERATIONS CENTER	14,800	14,800	14,800	14,800	14,800	14,800
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Pentagon	RAVEN ROCK ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY UPGRADE	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Pentagon	RAVEN ROCK EXTERIOR COOLING TOWER	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	Quantico	QUANTICO MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	40,586	40,586	40,586	40,586	40,586	40,586
Def-Wide	WASHINGTON	Whidbey Island	REPLACE FUEL PIER BREAKWATER	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE	Classified Location	AN/TPY-2 RADAR SITE	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	-15,000
Def-Wide	CLASSIFIED								
Def-Wide	BAHRAIN ISLAND	SW Asia	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	45,400	45,400	45,400	45,400	45,400	45,400
Def-Wide	BELGIUM	Brussels	NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	38,513	38,513	38,513	38,513	38,513	38,513
Def-Wide	BELGIUM	Brussels	NATO HEADQUARTERS FIT-OUT	29,100	29,100	29,100	29,100	29,100	29,100
Def-Wide	GERMANY	Kaiserlautern AB	KAISERSLAUTERN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	49,907	49,907	49,907	0	0	49,907
Def-Wide	GERMANY	Ramstein AB	RAMSTEIN HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	98,762	98,762	98,762	0	0	98,762
Def-Wide	GERMANY	Rhine Ordnance Barracks	MEDICAL CENTER REPLACEMENT, INCR 3	151,545	151,545	151,545	76,545	76,545	-75,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Def-Wide	GERMANY	Weisbaden	HAINBERG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	58,899	58,899	0	0	58,899
Def-Wide	GERMANY	Weisbaden	WIESBADEN MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	50,756	50,756	0	0	50,756
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Atsugi	REPLACE GROUND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	4,100	4,100	4,100	0	4,100
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Iwakuni	CONSTRUCT HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	34,000	34,000	34,000	0	34,000
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Kadena AB	KADENA MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION/RENOVATION	38,792	38,792	38,792	0	38,792
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Kyoga Misaki	AN/TPY-2 RADAR SITE	0	0	0	15,000	15,000
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Torii Commo Station	SOF FACILITY AUGMENTATION	71,451	64,071	71,451	0	71,451
Def-Wide	JAPAN	Yokosuka	UPGRADE FUEL PUMPS	10,600	10,600	10,600	0	10,600
Def-Wide	KOREA	Camp Walker	DAEGU MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	52,164	52,164	52,164	0	52,164
Def-Wide	ROMANIA	Devesellu	AEGIS ASHORE MISSILE DEF SYS CMLPX, INCREM. 2	85,000	80,000	85,000	-5,000	80,000
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE	17,732	17,732	0	0	17,732
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	SOF AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS AND HANGAR/AMU	0	48,448	0	48,448	48,448
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	SOF AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	24,077	0	0	-24,077	0
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	SOF HANGAR/AMU	24,371	0	0	-24,371	0
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	SOF MRSP AND PARTS STORAGE	6,797	6,797	0	0	6,797
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Raf Mildenhall	SOF SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	11,652	11,652	0	0	11,652
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	LAKENHEATH HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	69,638	69,638	0	0	69,638
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	0	10,000	-10,000	0
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	150,000	150,000	150,000	0	150,000
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	EXERCISE RELATED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,730	9,730	9,730	0	9,730
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	PLANNING & DESIGN	10,891	10,891	10,891	0	10,891
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	50,192	50,192	50,192	0	50,192
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	75,905	75,905	75,905	0	75,905

Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	57,053	57,053	57,053	57,053	57,053		
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	36,866	36,866	36,866	36,866	36,866		36,866
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,931	6,931	6,931	6,931	6,931		6,931
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000		3,000
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,430	7,430	7,430	7,430	7,430		7,430
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,409	5,409	5,409	5,409	5,409		5,409
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,170	5,170	5,170	5,170	5,170		5,170
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,578	9,578	9,578	9,578	9,578		9,578
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000		2,000
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
<b>Total Military Construction, Defense-Wide</b>				<b>3,985,300</b>	<b>3,708,373</b>	<b>2,930,659</b>	<b>-572,050</b>	<b>3,413,250</b>		
Chem Demil	KENTUCKY	Blue Grass Army Depot	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XIV	122,536	122,536	122,536		122,536		122,536
<b>Total Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense</b>				<b>122,536</b>	<b>122,536</b>	<b>122,536</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>122,536</b>		<b>122,536</b>
NATO	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Nato Security Investment Program	NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	239,700	199,700	239,700	-40,000	199,700		199,700
<b>Total NATO Security Investment Program</b>				<b>239,700</b>	<b>199,700</b>	<b>239,700</b>	<b>-40,000</b>	<b>199,700</b>		<b>199,700</b>
Army NG	ALABAMA	Decatur	NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	4,000	4,000	4,000		4,000		4,000
Army NG	ARKANSAS	Fort Chaffee	SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	21,000	21,000	21,000		21,000		21,000
Army NG	FLORIDA	Pinellas Park	READY BUILDING	5,700	5,700	5,700		5,700		5,700
Army NG	ILLINOIS	Kankakee	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	28,000	28,000	28,000		28,000		28,000
Army NG	ILLINOIS	Kankakee	READINESS CENTER	14,000	14,000	14,000		14,000		14,000
Army NG	MASSACHUSETTS	Camp Edwards	ENLISTED BARRACKS, TRANSIENT TRAINING ADD	19,000	19,000	19,000		19,000		19,000
Army NG	MICHIGAN	Camp Grayling	ENLISTED BARRACKS, TRANSIENT TRAINING	17,000	17,000	17,000		17,000		17,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Army NG	MINNESOTA	Stillwater	READINESS CENTER	17,000	17,000	17,000		17,000
Army NG	MISSISSIPPI	Camp Shelby	WATER SUPPLY/TREATMENT BUILDING, POTABLE	3,000	3,000	3,000		3,000
Army NG	MISSISSIPPI	Pascagoula	READINESS CENTER	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
Army NG	MISSOURI	Macon	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	9,100	9,100	9,100		9,100
Army NG	MISSOURI	Whiteman AFB	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
Army NG	NEW YORK	New York	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	31,000	31,000	31,000		31,000
Army NG	OHIO	Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant	SANITARY SEWER	5,200	5,200	5,200		5,200
Army NG	PENNSYLVANIA	Fort Indianatown Gap	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING	40,000	40,000	40,000		40,000
Army NG	PUERTO RICO	Camp Santiago	MANEUVER AREA TRAINING & EQUIPMENT SITE	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army NG	SOUTH CAROLINA	Greenville	ADDIT	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
Army NG	SOUTH CAROLINA	Greenville	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
Army NG	TEXAS	Fort Worth	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER ADD	14,270	14,270	14,270		14,270
Army NG	WYOMING	Afton	NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER	10,200	10,200	10,200		10,200
Army NG	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	29,005	24,005	29,005	-5,000	24,005
Army NG	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,240	12,240	12,240		12,240
<b>Total Military Construction, Army National Guard</b>				<b>320,815</b>	<b>315,815</b>	<b>320,815</b>	<b>-5,000</b>	<b>315,815</b>
Army Res	CALIFORNIA	Camp Parks	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	17,500	17,500	17,500		17,500
Army Res	CALIFORNIA	Fort Hunter Liggett	TASS TRAINING CENTER (TTC)	16,500	16,500	16,500		16,500
Army Res	MARYLAND	Bowie	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	25,500	25,500	25,500		25,500
Army Res	NEW JERSEY	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN (MPMG)	9,500	9,500	9,500		9,500
Army Res	NEW JERSEY	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	CENTRAL ISSUE FACILITY	7,900	7,900	7,900		7,900
Army Res	NEW JERSEY	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	CONSOLIDATED DINING FACILITY	13,400	13,400	13,400		13,400

Army Res	NEW JERSEY	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400
Army Res	NEW YORK	Bullville	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500
Army Res	NORTH CAROLINA	Fort Bragg	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	24,500	24,500	24,500	24,500
Army Res	WISCONSIN	Fort McCoy	ACCESS CONTROL POINT/MAL/FREIGHT CENTER	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Army Res	WISCONSIN	Fort McCoy	NCO ACADEMY DINING FACILITY	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
Army Res	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	14,212	14,212	14,212	14,212
Army Res	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748
<b>Total Military Construction, Army Reserve</b>				<b>174,060</b>	<b>174,060</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>174,060</b>
N/MC Res	CALIFORNIA	March AFB	NOSC MORENO VALLEY RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	11,086	11,086	11,086	11,086
N/MC Res	MISSOURI	Kansas City	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER—BELTON, MISSOURI	15,020	15,020	15,020	15,020
N/MC Res	TENNESSEE	Memphis	RESERVE BOAT MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY	4,330	4,330	4,330	4,330
N/MC Res	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR PLANNING & DESIGN	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
N/MC Res	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	USMCR PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,040	1,040	1,040	1,040
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve</b>				<b>32,976</b>	<b>32,976</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32,976</b>
Air NG	ALABAMA	Birmingham IAP	ADD TO AND ALTER DISTRIBUTED GROUND STATION	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
Air NG	INDIANA	Hulman Regional Airport	ADD/ALTER BLDG 37 FOR DIST COMMON GROUND STA	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
Air NG	MARYLAND	Fort Meade	175TH NETWORK WARFARE SQUADRON FACILITY	4,000	0	4,000	4,000
Air NG	MARYLAND	Martin State Airport	CYBER/ISR FACILITY	8,000	0	8,000	8,000
Air NG	MONTANA	Great Falls IAP	INTRA-THEATER AIRLIFT CONVERSION	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
Air NG	NEW YORK	Fort Drum	MQ-9 FLIGHT TRAINING UNIT HANGAR	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700
Air NG	OHIO	Springfield Beckley-Map	ALTER INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
Air NG	PENNSYLVANIA	Fort Indiantown Gap	COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILI	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
Air NG	RHODE ISLAND	Quonset State Airport	C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
Air NG	TENNESSEE	McGhee-Tyson Airport	TEC EXPANSION- DORMITORY & CLASSROOM FACILITY	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Air NG	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Various Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	13,400	13,400	13,400		13,400
Air NG	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Various Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
<b>Total Military Construction, Air National Guard</b>				<b>119,800</b>	<b>107,800</b>	<b>119,800</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>119,800</b>
AF Res	CALIFORNIA	March AFB	JOINT REGIONAL DEPLOYMENT PROCESSING CENTER,	19,900	19,900	19,900		19,900
AF Res	FLORIDA	Homestead AFS	ENTRY CONTROL COMPLEX	9,800	9,800	9,800		9,800
AF Res	OKLAHOMA	Tinker AFB	AIR CONTROL GROUP SQUADRON OPERATIONS	12,200	12,200	12,200		12,200
AF Res	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Various Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,229	2,229	2,229		2,229
AF Res	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Various Worldwide Locations	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,530	1,530	1,530		1,530
<b>Total Military Construction, Air Force Reserve</b>				<b>45,659</b>	<b>45,659</b>	<b>45,659</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45,659</b>
FH Con Army	WISCONSIN	Fort McCoy	FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (56 UNITS)	23,000	23,000	23,000		23,000
FH Con Army	GERMANY	South Camp Viseek	FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (29 UNITS)	16,600	16,600	0		16,600
FH Con Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FAMILY HOUSING P & D	4,408	4,408	4,408		4,408
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Army</b>				<b>44,008</b>	<b>44,008</b>	<b>27,408</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>44,008</b>
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS	33,125	33,125	33,125		33,125
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASED HOUSING	180,924	180,924	180,924		180,924
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY FACILITIES	107,639	107,639	107,639		107,639
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	54,433	54,433	54,433		54,433

FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE	25,661	25,661	25,661	25,661
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MISCELLANEOUS	646	646	646	646
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	SERVICES	13,536	13,536	13,536	13,536
FH Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UTILITIES	96,907	96,907	96,907	96,907
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Army</b>				<b>512,871</b>	<b>512,871</b>	<b>512,871</b>	<b>512,871</b>
FH Con AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	IMPROVEMENTS	72,093	72,093	72,093	72,093
FH Con AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,267	4,267	4,267	4,267
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Air Force</b>				<b>76,360</b>	<b>76,360</b>	<b>76,360</b>	<b>76,360</b>
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	39,470	39,470	39,470	39,470
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION	41,436	41,436	41,436	41,436
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASING	54,514	54,514	54,514	54,514
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE (RPMA RPMC)	110,786	110,786	110,786	110,786
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	53,044	53,044	53,044	53,044
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,954	1,954	1,954	1,954
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	SERVICES ACCOUNT	16,862	16,862	16,862	16,862
FH Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	70,532	70,532	70,532	70,532
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Air Force</b>				<b>388,598</b>	<b>388,598</b>	<b>388,598</b>	<b>388,598</b>
FH Con Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DESIGN	4,438	4,438	4,438	4,438

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
FH Con Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	IMPROVEMENTS	68,969	68,969	68,969		68,969
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps</b>				<b>73,407</b>	<b>73,407</b>	<b>73,407</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>73,407</b>
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	21,073	21,073	21,073		21,073
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASING	74,962	74,962	74,962		74,962
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	90,122	90,122	90,122		90,122
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,782	60,782	60,782		60,782
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	362	362	362		362
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	27,634	27,634	27,634		27,634
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	SERVICES ACCOUNT	20,596	20,596	20,596		20,596
FH Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	94,313	94,313	94,313		94,313
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps</b>				<b>389,844</b>	<b>389,844</b>	<b>389,844</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>389,844</b>
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	67	67	67		67
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	3,196	3,196	3,196		3,196
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	20	20	20		20
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASING	10,994	10,994	10,994		10,994

FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	LEASING	40,433	40,433	40,433	40,433	40,433
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	311	311	311	311	311
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	74	74	74	74	74
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	418	418	418	418	418
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	SERVICES ACCOUNT	32	32	32	32	32
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	12	12	12	12	12
FH Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	288	288	288	288	288
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Defense-Wide</b>				<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>
FHIF	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	1,780	1,780	1,780	1,780	1,780
<b>Total DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund</b>				<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Base Realignment & Closure, Army	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	180,401	180,401	180,401	180,401	180,401
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Base Realignment & Closure, Navy	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE	108,300	108,300	108,300	108,300	108,300
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DOD BRAC ACTIVITIES—AIR FORCE	126,376	126,376	126,376	126,376	126,376
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON—100: PLANNING, DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT	7,277	7,277	7,277	7,277	7,277
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON—101: VARIOUS LOCATIONS	20,988	20,988	20,988	20,988	20,988
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON—138: NAS BRUNSWICK, ME	993	993	993	993	993
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON—157: MCSA KANSAS CITY, MO	40	40	40	40	40

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON-172: NWS SEAL BEACH, CONCORD, CA	5,766	5,766	5,766		5,766
BRAC	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON-84: JRB WILLOW GROVE & CAMBRIA REG AP	1,216	1,216	1,216		1,216
<b>Total Base Realignment and Closure Account</b>				<b>451,357</b>	<b>451,357</b>	<b>451,357</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>451,357</b>
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—ANG UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	0	-45,623	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—ARMY BID SAVINGS	0	-14,000	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—ARMY PLANNING AND DESIGN FY12	0	-50,000	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—DEFENSE WIDE BID SAVINGS	0	-358,400	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—DEFENSE WIDE UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	0	-16,470	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—NAVY BID SAVINGS	0	-49,920	0		0
PYS	WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS—SECTION 1013 OF THE DEMONSTRATION CITIES AND METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1966, AS AMENDED	0	-50,000	0		0
<b>Total Prior Year Savings</b>				<b>0</b>	<b>-584,413</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Military Construction</b>				<b>11,011,633</b>	<b>10,055,563</b>	<b>9,662,342</b>	<b>-644,780</b>	<b>10,366,853</b>

# TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

## SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

### SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Discretionary Summary By Appropriation</b>					
<b>Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies</b>					
<b>Appropriation Summary:</b>					
<b>Energy Programs</b>					
Electricity delivery and energy reliability .....	16,000	-16,000	-16,000	-16,000	0
Nuclear Energy .....	94,000	0	0	0	94,000
<b>Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>					
<b>National nuclear security administration:</b>					
Weapons activities .....	7,868,409	220,000	0	40,843	7,909,252
Defense nuclear nonproliferation .....	2,140,142	0	80,000	40,000	2,180,142
Naval reactors .....	1,246,134	0	0	0	1,246,134
Office of the administrator .....	397,784	-8,000	0	-8,000	389,784
<b>Total, National nuclear security administration .....</b>	<b>11,652,469</b>	<b>212,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>72,843</b>	<b>11,725,312</b>
<b>Environmental and other defense activities:</b>					
Defense environmental cleanup .....	5,316,909	-358,000	-80,000	-301,500	5,015,409
Other defense activities .....	749,080	0	0	9,578	758,658
<b>Total, Environmental &amp; other defense activities .....</b>	<b>6,065,989</b>	<b>-358,000</b>	<b>-80,000</b>	<b>-291,922</b>	<b>5,774,067</b>

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>	<b>17,718,458</b>	<b>-146,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-219,079</b>	<b>17,499,379</b>
<b>Total, Discretionary Funding</b>	<b>17,828,458</b>	<b>-162,000</b>	<b>-16,000</b>	<b>-235,079</b>	<b>17,593,379</b>
<b>Electricity Delivery &amp; Energy Reliability</b>					
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability					
Infrastructure security & energy restoration (HS)	16,000	-16,000	-16,000	-16,000	0
<b>Nuclear Energy</b>					
Idaho statewide safeguards and security	94,000				94,000
<b>Weapons Activities</b>					
<b>Life extension programs and major alterations</b>					
B61 Life extension program	537,044	44,000			537,044
W76 Life extension program	235,382	9,700		9,700	245,082
W78/88-1 Life extension program	72,691	5,600			72,691
W88 ALT 370	169,487				169,487
<b>Total, Stockpile assessment and design</b>	<b>1,014,604</b>	<b>59,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,700</b>	<b>1,024,304</b>
<b>Stockpile systems</b>					
B61 Stockpile systems	83,536				83,536
W76 Stockpile systems	47,187				47,187
W78 Stockpile systems	54,381				54,381
W80 Stockpile systems	50,330				50,330
B83 Stockpile systems	54,948	6,000			54,948
W87 Stockpile systems	101,506				101,506
W88 Stockpile systems	62,600				62,600
<b>Stockpile systems</b>					

<b>Total, Stockpile systems</b> .....	<b>454,488</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>454,488</b>
<b>Surveillance</b>					
<b>Weapons dismantlement and disposition</b>					
Operations and maintenance .....	49,264			6,000	55,264
<b>Stockpile services</b>					
Production support .....	321,416	29,600		23,584	345,000
Research and development support .....	26,349	3,200			26,349
R&D certification and safety .....	191,259	18,300			191,259
Management, technology, and production .....	214,187				214,187
Plutonium sustainment .....	156,949	9,500			156,949
<b>Total, Stockpile services</b> .....	<b>910,160</b>	<b>60,600</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23,584</b>	<b>933,744</b>
<b>Total, Directed stockpile work</b> .....	<b>2,428,516</b>	<b>125,900</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39,284</b>	<b>2,467,800</b>
<b>Campaigns:</b>					
<b>Science campaign</b>					
Advanced certification .....	54,730				54,730
Primary assessment technologies .....	109,231				109,231
Dynamic materials properties .....	116,965				116,965
Advanced radiography .....	30,509				30,509
Secondary assessment technologies .....	86,467				86,467
<b>Total, Science campaign</b> .....	<b>397,902</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>397,902</b>
<b>Engineering campaign</b>					
Enhanced surety .....	51,771	2,500			51,771
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology .....	23,727				23,727
Nuclear survivability .....	19,504				19,504
Enhanced surveillance .....	54,909	4,000			54,909
<b>Total, Engineering campaign</b> .....	<b>149,911</b>	<b>6,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>149,911</b>

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign</b>					
Ignition .....	80,245				80,245
Support of other stockpile programs .....	15,001				15,001
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support .....	59,897				59,897
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion .....	5,024				5,024
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas .....	8,198				8,198
Facility operations and target production .....	232,678				232,678
<b>Total, inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign .....</b>	<b>401,043</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>401,043</b>
Advanced simulation and computing campaign .....	564,329				564,329
<b>Technology Maturation Campaign</b>					
<b>Readiness Campaign</b>					
Component manufacturing development .....	106,085				106,085
Tritium readiness .....	91,695				91,695
<b>Total, Readiness campaign .....</b>	<b>197,780</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>197,780</b>
<b>Total, Campaigns .....</b>	<b>1,710,965</b>	<b>6,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,710,965</b>
<b>Nuclear programs</b>					
Nuclear operations capability .....	265,937				265,937
Capabilities based investments .....	39,558				39,558
<b>Construction:</b>					
12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL .....	26,722				26,722
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL .....	30,679				30,679
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL .....	55,719				55,719
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12 .....	325,835				325,835

<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>438,955</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438,955</b>
<b>Total, Nuclear programs</b> .....	<b>744,450</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>744,450</b>
<b>Secure transportation asset</b>					
Operations and equipment .....	122,072				122,072
Program direction .....	97,118				97,118
<b>Total, Secure transportation asset</b> .....	<b>219,190</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>219,190</b>
<b>Site stewardship</b>					
Nuclear materials integration .....	17,679				17,679
Corporate project management .....	13,017				13,017
Minority serving institution partnerships program .....	14,531				14,531
<b>Enterprise infrastructure</b>					
Site Operations .....	1,112,455				1,112,455
Site Support .....	109,561				109,561
Sustainment .....	433,764	65,100			433,764
Facilities disposition .....	5,000				5,000
<b>Subtotal, Enterprise infrastructure</b> .....	<b>1,660,780</b>	<b>65,100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,660,780</b>
<b>Total, Site stewardship</b> .....	<b>1,706,007</b>	<b>65,100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,706,007</b>
<b>Defense nuclear security</b>					
Operations and maintenance .....	664,981				664,981
<b>Construction:</b>					
14-D-710 DAF Argus, NNSS .....	14,000				14,000
<b>Total, Defense nuclear security</b> .....	<b>678,981</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>678,981</b>
NNSA CIO activities .....	148,441	22,500		1,559	150,000
Legacy contractor pensions .....	279,597				279,597

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Subtotal, Weapons activities</b> .....	7,916,147	220,000	0	40,843	7,956,990
<b>Adjustments</b>					
Use of prior year balances .....	-47,738				-47,738
<b>Total, Adjustments</b> .....	-47,738	0	0	0	-47,738
<b>Total, Weapons Activities</b> .....	7,868,409	220,000	0	40,843	7,909,252
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b>					
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs</b>					
Global threat reduction initiative .....	424,487	23,000			424,487
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&amp;D</b>					
Operations and maintenance .....	388,838				388,838
Nonproliferation and international security .....	141,675				141,675
International material protection and cooperation .....	369,625	-23,000			369,625
<b>Fissile materials disposition</b>					
<b>U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition</b>					
<b>Operations and maintenance</b>					
U.S. plutonium disposition .....	157,557				157,557
U.S. uranium disposition .....	25,000				25,000
<b>Total, Operations and maintenance</b> .....	182,557	0	0	0	182,557
<b>Construction:</b>					
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC .....	320,000		80,000	40,000	360,000

Total, Construction .....	320,000	0	80,000	40,000	360,000
Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition .....	502,557	0	80,000	40,000	542,557
Total, Fissile materials disposition .....	502,557	0	80,000	40,000	542,557
Legacy contractor pensions .....	93,703				93,703
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs .....</b>	<b>1,920,885</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>41,559</b>	<b>1,962,444</b>
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response program .....	181,293				181,293
Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs .....	74,666				74,666
<b>Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation .....</b>	<b>2,176,844</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>2,216,844</b>
<b>Adjustments</b>					
Use of prior year balances .....	-36,702				-36,702
<b>Total, Adjustments .....</b>	<b>-36,702</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-36,702</b>
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation .....</b>	<b>2,140,142</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>2,180,142</b>
<b>Naval Reactors</b>					
Naval reactors operations and infrastructure .....	455,740	-2,000	-2,000	-2,000	453,740
Naval reactors development .....	419,400				419,400
Ohio replacement reactor systems development .....	126,400				126,400
S8G Prototype refueling .....	144,400				144,400
Program direction .....	44,404				44,404
<b>Construction:</b>					
14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL .....	1,000				1,000
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF .....	45,400				45,400
13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL .....	21,073				21,073
13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO .....	600	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,600
Naval Reactor Facility, ID .....	1,700				1,700
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>69,773</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>71,773</b>
<b>Subtotal, Naval Reactors .....</b>	<b>1,260,117</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,260,117</b>

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Adjustments:</b>					
Use of prior year balances (Naval reactors) .....	-13,983				-13,983
<b>Total, Naval Reactors .....</b>	<b>1,246,134</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,246,134</b>
<b>Office Of The Administrator</b>					
Office of the administrator .....	397,784	-8,000		-8,000	389,784
<b>Total, Office Of The Administrator .....</b>	<b>397,784</b>	<b>-8,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-8,000</b>	<b>389,784</b>
<b>Defense Environmental Cleanup</b>					
<b>Closure sites:</b>					
Closure sites administration .....	4,702				4,702
<b>Hanford site:</b>					
River corridor and other cleanup operations .....	393,634		20,000	15,000	408,634
Central plateau remediation .....	513,450				513,450
Richland community and regulatory support .....	14,701				14,701
<b>Total, Hanford site .....</b>	<b>921,785</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>936,785</b>
<b>Idaho National Laboratory:</b>					
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition .....	362,100		30,000	10,500	372,600
Idaho community and regulatory support .....	2,910				2,910
<b>Total, Idaho National Laboratory .....</b>	<b>365,010</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>10,500</b>	<b>375,510</b>
<b>NNSA sites</b>					

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory .....	1,476			1,476
Nuclear facility D & D Separations Process Research Unit .....	23,700			23,700
Nevada .....	61,897			61,897
Sandia National Laboratories .....	2,814			2,814
Los Alamos National Laboratory .....	219,789	40,000	15,000	234,789
<b>Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites .....</b>	<b>309,676</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>324,676</b>
<b>Oak Ridge Reservation:</b>				
OR Nuclear facility D & D .....	73,716			73,716
OR cleanup and disposition .....	115,855	10,000		115,855
OR reservation community and regulatory support .....	4,365			4,365
<b>Total, Oak Ridge Reservation .....</b>	<b>193,936</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>193,936</b>
<b>Office of River Protection:</b>				
<b>Waste treatment and immobilization plant</b>				
01-D-416 A-E/ORP-0060 / Major construction .....	690,000			690,000
<b>Tank farm activities</b>				
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition .....	520,216	50,000		520,216
<b>Total, Office of River protection .....</b>	<b>1,210,216</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,210,216</b>
<b>Savannah River sites:</b>				
Savannah River risk management operations .....	432,491			432,491
SR community and regulatory support .....	11,210			11,210
<b>Radioactive liquid tank waste:</b>				
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition .....	552,560	150,000	105,000	657,560
<b>Construction:</b>				
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River .....	92,000	0	0	92,000
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>92,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>92,000</b>
<b>Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste .....</b>	<b>644,560</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>105,000</b>	<b>749,560</b>
<b>Total, Savannah River site .....</b>	<b>1,088,261</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>105,000</b>	<b>1,193,261</b>

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
<b>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</b>					
Waste isolation pilot plant .....	203,390		33,000	16,000	219,390
<b>Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</b> .....	<b>203,390</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33,000</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>219,390</b>
Program direction .....	280,784		20,000		280,784
Program support .....	17,979				17,979
<b>Safeguards and Security:</b>					
Oak Ridge Reservation .....	18,800				18,800
Paducah .....	9,435				9,435
Portsmouth .....	8,578				8,578
Richland/Hanford Site .....	69,078		10,000		69,078
Savannah River Site .....	121,196		10,000		121,196
Waste Isolation Pilot Project .....	4,977				4,977
West Valley .....	2,015				2,015
Technology development .....	24,091	10,000	10,000		24,091
<b>Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup</b> .....	<b>4,853,909</b>	<b>105,000</b>	<b>383,000</b>	<b>161,500</b>	<b>5,015,409</b>
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution .....	463,000	-463,000	-463,000	-463,000	0
<b>Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup</b> .....	<b>5,316,909</b>	<b>-358,000</b>	<b>-80,000</b>	<b>-301,500</b>	<b>5,015,409</b>
<b>Other Defense Activities</b>					
<b>Health, safety and security</b>					
Health, safety and security .....	143,616				143,616

Program direction .....	108,301	0	0	0	108,301
<b>Total, Health, safety and security</b> .....	<b>251,917</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>251,917</b>
Specialized security activities .....	196,322		9,578		205,900
<b>Office of Legacy Management</b>					
Legacy management .....	163,271				163,271
Program direction .....	13,712				13,712
<b>Total, Office of Legacy Management</b> .....	<b>176,983</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>176,983</b>
<b>Defense-related activities</b>					
<b>Defense related administrative support</b>					
Chief financial officer .....	38,979				38,979
Chief information officer .....	79,857				79,857
<b>Total, Defense related administrative support</b> .....	<b>118,836</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>118,836</b>
Office of hearings and appeals .....	5,022				5,022
<b>Subtotal, Other defense activities</b> .....	<b>749,080</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,578</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>758,658</b>
<b>Total, Other Defense Activities</b> .....	<b>749,080</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,578</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>758,658</b>

928

