

**THE REAL VICTIMS OF A RECKLESS AND
LAWLESS IMMIGRATION POLICY: FAMILIES AND
SURVIVORS SPEAK OUT ON THE REAL
COST OF THIS ADMINISTRATION'S POLICIES**

HEARING
BEFORE THE
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
IMMIGRATION AND BORDER SECURITY
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS
SECOND SESSION

APRIL 19, 2016

Serial No. 114-64

Printed for the use of the Committee on the Judiciary



Available via the World Wide Web: <http://judiciary.house.gov>

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

99-838 PDF

WASHINGTON : 2016

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office
Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov Phone: toll free (866) 512-1800; DC area (202) 512-1800
Fax: (202) 512-2104 Mail: Stop IDCC, Washington, DC 20402-0001

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

BOB GOODLATTE, Virginia, *Chairman*

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr., Wisconsin	JOHN CONYERS, JR., Michigan
LAMAR S. SMITH, Texas	JERROLD NADLER, New York
STEVE CHABOT, Ohio	ZOE LOFGREN, California
DARRELL E. ISSA, California	SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia	STEVE COHEN, Tennessee
STEVE KING, Iowa	HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR., Georgia
TRENT FRANKS, Arizona	PEDRO R. PIERLUISI, Puerto Rico
LOUIE GOHMERT, Texas	JUDY CHU, California
JIM JORDAN, Ohio	TED DEUTCH, Florida
TED POE, Texas	LUIS V. GUTIERREZ, Illinois
JASON CHAFFETZ, Utah	KAREN BASS, California
TOM MARINO, Pennsylvania	CEDRIC RICHMOND, Louisiana
TREY GOWDY, South Carolina	SUZAN DELBENE, Washington
RAÚL LABRADOR, Idaho	HAKEEM JEFFRIES, New York
BLAKE FARENTHOLD, Texas	DAVID N. CICILLINE, Rhode Island
DOUG COLLINS, Georgia	SCOTT PETERS, California
RON DeSANTIS, Florida	
MIMI WALTERS, California	
KEN BUCK, Colorado	
JOHN RATCLIFFE, Texas	
DAVE TROTT, Michigan	
MIKE BISHOP, Michigan	

SHELLEY HUSBAND, *Chief of Staff & General Counsel*
PERRY APELBAUM, *Minority Staff Director & Chief Counsel*

SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND BORDER SECURITY

TREY GOWDY, South Carolina, *Chairman*
RAÚL LABRADOR, Idaho, *Vice-Chairman*

LAMAR S. SMITH, Texas	ZOE LOFGREN, California
STEVE KING, Iowa	LUIS V. GUTIERREZ, Illinois
KEN BUCK, Colorado	SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas
JOHN RATCLIFFE, Texas	PEDRO R. PIERLUISI, Puerto Rico
DAVE TROTT, Michigan	

GEORGE FISHMAN, *Chief Counsel*
GARY MERSON, *Minority Counsel*

CONTENTS

APRIL 19, 2016

	Page
OPENING STATEMENTS	
The Honorable Trey Gowdy, a Representative in Congress from the State of South Carolina, and Chairman, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security	1
The Honorable Zoe Lofgren, a Representative in Congress from the State of California, and Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security	4
The Honorable Bob Goodlatte, a Representative in Congress from the State of Virginia, and Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary	7
The Honorable John Conyers, Jr., a Representative in Congress from the State of Michigan, and Ranking Member, Committee on the Judiciary	8
WITNESSES	
The Honorable Charles A. Jenkins, Sheriff, Frederick County, MD	
Oral Testimony	10
Prepared Statement	13
Michelle Root, Mother of Sarah Root, Modale, IA	
Oral Testimony	17
Prepared Statement	19
Laura Wilkerson, Mother of Joshua Wilkerson, Pearland, TX	
Oral Testimony	21
Prepared Statement	24
Minerva G. Carcaño, Bishop, The United Methodist Church	
Oral Testimony	25
Prepared Statement	27

APPENDIX

MATERIAL SUBMITTED FOR THE HEARING RECORD

Letter from the Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR)	56
---	----

OFFICIAL HEARING RECORD

UNPRINTED MATERIAL SUBMITTED FOR THE HEARING RECORD

Material submitted by the Honorable Zoe Lofgren, a Representative in Congress from the State of California, and Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security. This material is available at the Subcommittee and can also be accessed at:

<http://docs.house.gov/Committee/Calendar/ByEvent.aspx?EventID=104803>

**THE REAL VICTIMS OF A RECKLESS AND
LAWLESS IMMIGRATION POLICY: FAMILIES
AND SURVIVORS SPEAK OUT ON THE REAL
COST OF THIS ADMINISTRATION'S POLICIES**

TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 2016

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND BORDER SECURITY

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Washington, DC.

The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:10 a.m., in room 2141, Rayburn House Office Building, the Honorable Trey Gowdy (Chairman of the Subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Gowdy, Goodlatte, Labrador, Smith, King, Buck, Ratcliffe, Trott, Lofgren, and Conyers.

Staff Present: (Majority) Tracey Short, Counsel; Tanner Black, Clerk; and (Minority) Micah Bump, Counsel.

Mr. GOWDY. The Committee will come to order.

This is a Subcommittee hearing on immigration and border security. I want to welcome all of our witnesses and our guests.

Without objection, the Chair is authorized to declare recesses of the Committee at any time.

I also want to say this too, because I always say it: We're delighted to have guests, but the witnesses deserve to be heard and the Members need to hear what the witnesses have to say. So this will be the one and only warning that anyone gets with respect to decorum. If there is a disturbance, you'll be removed.

With that, welcome again to our witnesses. The way that we will conduct it is we will give opening statements, and then I'll recognize each of you individually for opening statements, and then the Members will be recognized for questioning.

With that, I would recognize myself for an opening statement.

I want to begin again by thanking the witnesses for being here today. I cannot imagine the pain that you have endured, and still endure, or the courage it takes to talk about the loss of a child. Well-meaning people can talk about closure, but when it comes to the loss of a child or loved ones to an act of violence or recklessness, there is no closure and there is no moving on. There is an omnipresent void and a daily reminder that impacts every facet of life. Losing a child is a life sentence in and of itself.

The loved ones of those killed by acts of violence or recklessness have to reconcile the finality of death with the certainty of separation and, in some instances, the reality that many of these tragedies could have been avoided. And that's what I want to talk about today, how imminently avoidable some of these tragedies are.

Illegal immigration is not a victimless crime. Either the current state of the law or the refusal to enforce certain aspects of our law allow for the release of tens of thousands of criminal aliens into American communities. This has and will continue to have real and tragic consequences. So it's imperative that we understand this, regardless of your political ideation and, frankly, regardless of your views on immigration reform. Surely, we can all agree that protecting the public from violence and lawlessness is the preeminent function of government.

Whatever else you may think government can or should be doing, national security and public safety have to make the list somewhere. For me, they make the top of the list, and I think that's true for most people, which is why it is unconscionable that between October of 2011 and December of 2014, ICE released criminal aliens over 100,000 times.

According to ICE, those released have been convicted of more than 10,000 assaults, more than 800 sexual assaults, more than 400 homicide-related offenses, and more than 300 kidnappings. Today, there are over 350,000 known criminal aliens in the United States who are not detained by ICE, 350,000.

That number may not get your attention. Statistics rarely do. So I want you to think about it this way: The number of criminal aliens living in the United States, not in custody, not separated from society, is larger than the city of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; larger than the city of Lexington, Kentucky; larger than the city of Anaheim, California.

Can you imagine a city the size of Pittsburgh comprised solely of people who are here unlawfully, who have also committed another crime? You would be outraged. You would not stand for it. You would demand immediate action. So why do we allow that same city to be dispersed among the broader country?

These are not merely statistics. These are tragic, real stories of human suffering. Fathers and mothers, and sisters and brothers, and friends and neighbors across the United States have lost loved ones at the hands of criminal aliens. Some of them are sitting in the very room today.

In May of 2010, Hermilo Morales was arrested for stalking his girlfriend. He was in the United States illegally. But he wasn't deported. He wasn't detained. Six months later, Hermilo Morales got a ride from Joshua Wilkerson, an 18-year-old high school senior from Pearland, Texas. Joshua thought he and Morales were friends. Joshua wound up beaten, strangled, tortured, and ultimately killed. He was bound and his body burned and dumped in a field. Morales was in the country illegally then too. After his arrest, Morales was leading investigators to Joshua's dead body when he attempted to take possession of a detective's gun.

So I've met the so-called DREAMers and valedictorians. I've listened to witnesses, some of whom sat in this very room, and argued for full, unmitigated citizenship for all 12 million aspiring

U.S. citizens. That was what we were asked to do: a path to citizenship for all 12 million. And when those witnesses were pressed on background checks on whether all 12 million really were aspiring citizens, the silence was deafening.

It is just as inaccurate to categorize all 12 million as DREAMers and valedictorians as it is to characterize all 12 million as criminals. But once this government is on notice that, in addition to breaking immigration laws, some insist on breaking other laws, there is no justification for inaction.

Sarah Root was a 21-year-old with a beautiful, full life ahead of her. She graduated college with a 4.0. She aspired to work in forensics. That caught my attention. She wanted to dedicate her life to solving crimes so victims could have justice, so perpetrators would be punished, and to clear the innocent. That is the purpose of our justice system. It's a shame she never got a chance to work in it.

She was struck from behind and killed by Edwin Mejia. Edwin Mejia was a Honduran national who illegally entered the United States as an unaccompanied minor in 2013. He was then placed in the custody of his brother, who is also an illegal alien. Mr. Mejia is accused of drag racing in Omaha, Nebraska, with a blood alcohol level more than three times the legal limit when he killed Sarah.

After being charged with felony motor vehicle homicide, he was given a \$50,000 bond by a State judge. This allowed him to be released after posting just 10 percent, \$5,000. The purpose of bond is to protect the public and to ensure the defendant appears at scheduled court appearances. Risk of flight is really one of only two factors the judge has to be bothered with considering, risk of flight and danger to the community. Check and check.

But a paltry bond was set nonetheless. State authorities say they contacted ICE numerous times to notify the agency of Mejia's elevated flight risk. In fact, State authorities say they requested ICE take custody of Mr. Mejia, but ICE denied the request and he walked right out of jail.

Now, ICE spokesman claimed Mr. Mejia would not be detained because his arrest did not meet ICE's enforcement priorities. How in the hell somebody here illegally who operates a vehicle at a high rate of speed and three times the legal rate of impairment and kills a 21-year-old girl doesn't meet priorities of ICE is precisely why so little people have confidence in this Administration's policies and priorities, and precisely why so many people are angry and fed up with the current state of immigration enforcement. If killing a young woman while racing in an impaired state and being here illegally in the first place does not meet priorities, then perhaps your priorities are wrong.

This Administration loves to talk about families being separated. Politicians love to talk about families being separated. Preachers love to talk about families being separated. That's the common mantra when discussing immigration and why they refuse to enforce current law.

But I want to make sure my fellow citizens are clear about this: This Administration and the politicians and the preachers are not talking about the families sitting at the table this morning. They're not talking about the separation that comes from burying your

child. They're not talking about the separation of whatever you told your daughter being the last thing you will ever tell your daughter because she was shot walking beside you, walking on a pier in San Francisco, or because she was killed by somebody driving three times the legal rate of impairment.

Separation is a mother living with the reality that her son left for school in the morning and was killed with his body set on fire before nightfall. That is separation. That is permanent. I wish this Administration talked a little more about it, but mainly I wish they did a little more about it.

Just yesterday, the lawyer for the President was at it again, this time at the United States Supreme Court, arguing for the non-enforcement of the law, arguing for the wholesale failure to enforce the law. And he said this: "The damage that would be reaped by tearing apart families."

If you want to see that damage, Mr. Solicitor General, if you want to see what tearing apart looks like, I hope you're watching this morning.

With that, I would recognize the Ranking Member.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Chairman, I welcome today's witnesses.

And I want to extend my condolences to the Root and Wilkerson families. As a mother and a grandmother, I shudder to think of the anguish that a parent experiences when they lose a child. While there may be sharp disagreements among Members of Congress on immigration policy, all of our thoughts and prayers are with you.

Now, public safety is critically important, and there are important questions about criminal justice reform that this Committee is addressing with bipartisan legislation. Similar issues, including detention policy and release standards, also come up in the context of immigration law.

Hearings should offer Members of the Committee and the public the opportunity to learn more about an issue so that we can work, hopefully, together to address problems that we were sent to Washington to solve. However, today's hearing comes amidst a political season in which the Republican frontrunner for President has essentially called all Mexican immigrants rapists. These vile comments vilify an entire community. They're inaccurate and unfair and lead to bad policy proposals that would make us less safe.

Now, I've been an immigration attorney and an elected official working on immigration issues for a long time. I have the privilege of representing a socially and economically vibrant district in the heart of Silicon Valley. I know firsthand that immigrants enrich our culture and are engines for growth and innovation in science, technology, and the arts. Stereotyping and profiling are wrong when it comes to race, religion, and national origin. We should not let the bad acts of some define or malign an entire community.

The vast majority of immigrants are hardworking, law-abiding members of society. We know that natives commit crimes at a higher rate than immigrants. As The Wall Street Journal reported in July of 2015, numerous studies going back more than a century have shown that immigrants, regardless of nationality or legal status, are less likely than the native population to commit violent crimes or to be incarcerated.

Now, while the foreign-born share of the U.S. population grew from 7.9 percent to 13.1 percent between 1990 and 2013, FBI data indicate that the violent crime rate during this same period declined 48 percent, which included fallen rates of aggravated assault, robbery, rape, and murder. Similarly, the property crime rate fell 41 percent, including declining rates of motor vehicle theft, larceny, robbery, and burglary. Immigrants between the ages of 18 and 39 are incarcerated at half the rate of native-born populations.

And as the late, great New York Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan said, “Everyone is entitled to his own opinion but not his own facts.” Vilifying entire communities undermines public safety and makes law enforcement’s jobs harder.

I’m particularly concerned that one of our witnesses today, Sheriff Jenkins, was found by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals to have engaged in racial profiling. In a 2013 decision, the court unequivocally held that Sheriff Jenkins’ deputies had no legal authority to arrest or even briefly detain a woman of Hispanic origin, who was simply eating her lunch in a public area outside her workplace, on the basis of a suspected civil immigration status violation.

Racial profiling raises fundamental constitutional concerns. It has no place in law enforcement and certainly not in the Judiciary Committee. Smart, effective community policing that engenders trust in immigrant communities leads to crimes being reported, victims getting the assistance they need, and this in turn makes us all safer.

As Richard Biehl, the police chief of Dayton, Ohio, who testified before this Committee last year, wrote, “These policies allows us to focus on limited resources, on our primary mission, crime solving, and community safety. They also send a message that victims of violent crime, human trafficking, and other crimes should never be afraid to reach out for help due to fear of immigration consequences.”

Today’s hearing also comes 1 day after the Supreme Court heard oral arguments in *U.S. v. Texas*. Under DAPA and expanded DACA, the Secretary of Homeland Security proposed to establish a process that would allow Federal officials to consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether to defer deportation of certain unauthorized immigrants who live in the United States for 5 years and either came here as children or who have children who are U.S. citizens.

These policies create a mechanism and an incentive for low-priority immigrants to come forward and submit to a background check. This promotes public safety and national security, while strengthening communities. Yet the Republicans just last month passed a resolution against these policies. What is not in dispute, thankfully, in this case is the authority of the executive to set immigration enforcement priorities.

Every year, Congress appropriates enough money to remove less than 4 percent of the unauthorized immigrants now in the country. Should we use these resources on women and children fleeing violence, or should we use it to apprehend and deport those who commit violent crimes? This is a matter of common sense that we should all agree on and should not be the subject of bitter partisan dispute.

I also want to address one specific point made by the Chairman in his opening statement regarding the release from detention of foreign nationals. According to ICE, in 2015, two-thirds of all releases were mandatory, either based on the Supreme Court case that precludes indefinite detention or a determination made by an immigration judge. This is up from 40 percent in fiscal year 2013.

I would note that the Zadvydas decision, which requires—the Supreme Court case that requires release of individuals who face indefinite detention relates to countries unwilling to take the return of their nationals. And with the reopening—most of those individuals are from Cuba. And with the opening of relations with Cuba, I fully expect that the number of mandatory releases under Zadvydas will fall substantially, which I think is a good thing. A determination has been made that they should be deported.

Now, when ICE makes discretionary determination to release an individual from immigration detention, it's based on risk evaluation. Consideration is given to special vulnerabilities, mental health, risk of harm to public safety, flight risk. And it's just not correct that immigrants are routinely and recklessly released from custody.

It's also correct to say that sometimes mistakes are made. And in the case of the bond mentioned by the Chairman, it's my understanding that bond was a local bond. But I would point out that DUI is an enforcement priority under the November 20th resolution. Domestic violence is an enforcement priority. So I'm not here to say that ICE has never made a mistake, but to say that the policy is not to deport people who—in the DUI area is simply inaccurate.

And, of course, let's not forget that our immigration system is broken and badly in need of reform. There are 11 million undocumented people in this country. Contrary to what Donald Trump may think, the majority of these people are not drug dealers and rapists; they are hardworking people, parents of U.S. citizens, DREAMers, small-business owners, who want an opportunity to come forward, submit to background checks, get into the system and onto the books.

The bill passed in 2013 by a bipartisan group of 68 Senators would have not only grown our economy and helped shrink our budget deficit, but would have made our community safer. And the same is true for DAPA and DACA programs that were discussed in the Supreme Court yesterday. But DACA and DAPA are no replacement for comprehensive reform. That's Congress' job, and I hope we can get to it.

And I would ask unanimous consent, Mr. Chairman, to place into the record a news article entitled, "Court Finds Frederick County, Maryland, Sheriff Chuck Jenkins Illegally Detained Latina Immigrant."*

Mr. GOWDY. Without objection.

The gentlelady yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the gentleman from Virginia, the Chairman of the full Committee, Mr. Goodlatte.

*Note: The material referred to is not printed in this hearing record but is on file with the Subcommittee. Also, see Lofgren submissions for the record at:

<http://docs.house.gov/Committee/Calendar/ByEvent.aspx?EventID=104803>.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I very much appreciate your holding this hearing.

And I want to especially thank our witnesses for appearing today and for your willingness to testify before this Subcommittee on this very important issue.

I also want to express my deepest sympathy to the family members of Sarah Root and Joshua Wilkerson, who were tragically killed by criminal aliens illegally present in the United States. Their mothers, Michelle Root and Laura Wilkerson, are here to share their stories under heartbreaking circumstances that no parent could be prepared for or should have to bear.

Sarah Root was killed by an unlawful alien drunk driver less than 3 months ago. Only hours before her death, she graduated from college with a 4.0 average and a degree in investigations. Tragically, the Department of Homeland Security did not consider her killer an enforcement priority and did not issue a detainer for his arrest. As a result, he posted a State bail bond and fled.

Joshua Wilkerson was tortured and murdered in 2010 by an alien who had overstayed his visa for 8 years. The killer was arrested on a harassment charge only months before, but ICE did not seek to take custody of him. The district attorney who prosecuted the murder case couldn't explain why ICE did not have an interest in him, despite the fact that ICE regularly reviewed the county jail roster.

Though these two young victims had unique life stories, their tragic deaths are linked by a common thread: They are two of the many innocent victims of the irresponsible policies of the Obama administration that promote the presence of dangerous criminal aliens in American communities.

The American public has been misled by the enforcement priorities, deferred action, and executive action policies of this Administration which categorize only certain, quote/unquote, serious criminal aliens as worthy of immigration enforcement. However, this Administration's actions demonstrate that it finds it acceptable to permit even serious criminal aliens to prey on our communities.

The fact remains that illegal immigration has consequences. It is not a victimless crime. And for the families and friends of victims killed, maimed, or otherwise hurt by aliens, especially those who are illegally present, the consequence can be devastating.

The White House website proclaims the President's highest priority is to keep the American people safe. The President echoed that sentiment in his recent State of the Union Address. Americans wonder how that reassuring statement can be true if this Administration's current policies require criminal aliens apprehended at the border and in our neighborhoods to simply be released to victimize others.

Americans deserve to know why this Administration would release thousands upon thousands of criminal aliens from DHS custody despite convictions that included a total of 473 homicide-related offenses, 375 kidnappings, 890 sexual assaults, and 10,731 assaults before their release. And after their release from DHS custody, criminal aliens went on to commit 124 homicide-related offenses between 2010 and 2014. Those released in 2014 alone committed 1,423 additional crimes after their release from custody, in-

cluding vehicular homicide, sexual assault, domestic violence, child abuse, and driving under the influence of alcohol.

At least 95 percent of convicted criminal aliens known to DHS are not detained. How does that policy protect the American people? The harm is real and the risk is great, yet the consequences are largely avoidable if this Administration were to simply enforce the law.

That is why last year, the Judiciary Committee passed H.R. 1148, the Michael Davis, Jr., and Danny Oliver in Honor of State and Local Law Enforcement Act, legislation introduced by Chairman Gowdy, which provides much-needed enforcement tools for Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies to enforce immigration law and protect the public from criminal aliens.

The Administration's irresponsible policies have led to an increase in organized crime by aliens. Violent crime by Central American street gangs, such as MS-13, has become endemic in our communities. Sheriff Charles Jenkins of Frederick County, Maryland, will testify today. He is all too familiar with the increase in alien gang violence. His rural county, just 50 miles from this building, has seen a significant increase in major crimes by MS-13 gang members.

Alien gang crime in Frederick County increased sharply beginning in 2014, and nearly three-quarters of the offenses were for violent felonies. It is no coincidence that the spike in gang crime occurred during the same time that thousands of Central American minors were illegally entering at the southwest border.

Sixty-four percent of validated gang members arrested in Frederick County in 2015 entered illegally through the southwest border as unaccompanied minors. By releasing known criminal aliens and refusing to secure our border, the Administration has sent a clear message to the American people that their safety and security are far less important than ensuring that illegally present and criminal aliens will remain here.

Today, I look forward to hearing from the witnesses whose compelling and insightful testimony will provide this Subcommittee with a greater understanding of the public safety threat posed by criminal aliens and the tragic consequences of refusing to enforce our immigration laws.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GOWDY. The Chair thanks the gentleman from Virginia and now recognizes the gentleman from Michigan, the Ranking Member of the full Committee, Mr. Conyers.

Mr. CONYERS. Thank you, Chairman Gowdy and Members of the Committee and our distinguished witnesses that are with us today.

I want to begin by also offering sincere condolences to Ms. Root and Ms. Wilkerson. Ms. Root, I know your daughter's death is recent, and the wounds have probably not even begun to heal yet. And to both of you, I'm sorry of your loss, and I thank you for your testimony that will be coming up.

Hearings offer this Committee the opportunity to consider solutions, real solutions to our Nation's problems. And I think we can all agree that our immigration system is sorely in need of reform. Comprehensive immigration reform would allow law-abiding immigrants to come out of the shadows and get right with the law. It

would help address the concerns raised by some on this Subcommittee by requiring immigrants to undergo background checks. And it would enable Immigration and Customs Enforcement to focus its resources on deporting the worst elements.

An immigration reform bill, such as the measure that passed the Senate in 2013 or the bill that had 201 House cosponsors in the last Congress, would enhance public safety and ensure that our entire community, citizens and immigrants alike, are protected from harm. Comprehensive reform is a concrete solution to a real but not an intractable problem.

It's important to emphasize that the efforts the President has and is undertaking to enforce immigration laws in a rational, tailored, and effective way. And in November of 2014, the Secretary of Homeland Security announced new enforcement priorities. The President said at the time that Immigration and Customs Enforcement should focus on felons, not families; on criminals, not children; gang members, not a mom who's working hard to provide for her kids. This is a commonsense approach that prioritizes limited enforcement resources, and it should be a policy that most of us can agree on.

I'd also note that Congress has funded immigration enforcement at just under \$20 billion for this fiscal year, an unprecedented level. And under this Administration, more than 2.5 million individuals have been removed from the United States. Many in the immigration advocacy community believe that this is too many and have been critical of the Administration.

On the other hand, some of my more conservative colleagues make it seem as if there's no immigration enforcement, but the facts plainly do not bear this out. I believe we should expend far fewer resources incarcerating people and instead focus on efforts that actually make our community safer.

As I mentioned at the outset, two of today's witnesses have suffered terrible tragedies. But we must not let the tragic acts of a few result in all immigrants being treated as criminals. The Republican Presidential frontrunner labeled entire communities of people as rapists, criminals, and drug dealers, and calls for a ban on all Muslims from America.

Some Governors have tried unsuccessfully to bar resettlement of Syrians fleeing the civil war, which largely consists of women and children caught between the barbarism of ISIS on the one hand and Assad's brutal attacks on his own people on the other. I say to my colleagues, nothing could be more un-American. Immigration makes us stronger as a country. Pluralism will help us defeat ISIS. Exploiting fear and scapegoating an entire community based on race or ethnicity are the stuff of totalitarian regimes, not America.

And so I thank you all for being here and look forward to hearing from you and your testimony.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Michigan yields back.

Again, we have a very distinguished panel of witnesses today.

And I want to begin by swearing you in, as we swear in all witnesses. So if I could please ask you all to rise.

Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

May the record reflect all the witnesses answered in the affirmative.

You may sit down. I will introduce you en banc and then recognize you individually for your opening statement.

We are pleased to have Sheriff Chuck Jenkins, who is currently in his third term as sheriff of Frederick County, Maryland, and served in law enforcement for 26 years with the office of the sheriff. Prior to becoming a sheriff, he was a very successful and a well-known criminal investigator specializing in crimes against persons, including homicide death investigations, rape and sex crimes, child sex abuse, and other violent crimes. He currently serves the National Sheriffs' Association, is an active member on both homeland security and immigration/border security committees.

After Sheriff Jenkins, we will hear from Ms. Michelle Root. She is the mother of Sarah Root, her only daughter. On January 31st, 2016, Sarah was killed by a vehicle driven by an alien unlawfully present in this country who rear ended her vehicle, while accused of not only racing but also being three times the legal rate of impairment.

Then we are pleased to have Ms. Laura Wilkerson, who resides with her family in Texas. She is the mother of Joshua Wilkerson, who was her youngest son. He was tortured and murdered by someone not lawfully present in this country in November of 2010.

And then lastly, we will be pleased to hear from Bishop Minerva Carcaño.

And if I mispronounced your name, I apologize.

In 2004, the bishop was the first Hispanic woman to be elected to the episcopacy of the United Methodist Church. She serves as the official spokesperson for the United Methodist Council of Bishops and was assigned to the Western Jurisdictional Conference in 2012 to be the resident bishop to the California Pacific Annual Conference, which includes southern California, Hawaii, and other Pacific islands.

Welcome to you all.

Sheriff, I will recognize you for your 5-minute opening statement. The lights mean what they traditionally mean in light. Green is go; yellow, begin to wind up; and red, if you could draw that thought to a conclusion. With that, Sheriff.

**TESTIMONY OF THE HONORABLE CHARLES A. JENKINS,
SHERIFF, FREDERICK COUNTY, MD**

Sheriff JENKINS. Good morning, Chairman Gowdy and distinguished Committee Members. My name is Charles Jenkins, sheriff of Frederick County, Maryland. Thank you for allowing me to be here today to talk about alien crime and criminal alien gangs in America, including my county.

We all know that open border policies and failure to enforce the laws have impacted public safety in every community in America and cities are becoming war zones. Every single day more and more Americans are becoming victims of avoidable crimes.

The Frederick County Sheriff's Office has partnered in the ICE 287(g) program since 2008 to ensure public safety. This program has been very effective in the identification, detention, and removal

of criminal aliens. Through our partnership with ICE, we've effectively built an enforcement wall around Frederick County.

The criminal alien gang members are growing across the Nation, and the serious crimes are increasing. There is also a direct nexus between the action on unaccompanied minors of 2 years ago and the increases we are seeing now in gang crimes. Local stats offer what will definitely show the impact on public safety. There are now over 75 active, validated transnational criminal gang members in Frederick County, many more suspected. We also know that MS-13 and 18th Street alien gangs are recruiting.

Of the 52 validated gang members identified since inception of the program, 48 percent have been identified since 2014. Seventy-two percent of the gang members encountered since 2014 have been charged with felonies. Sixty-four percent of the gang members encountered in 2015 were unaccompanied juveniles when they entered the U.S. They're now adults committing more serious crimes. Those crimes include attempted murder, armed robbery, aggravated assault, home invasion, armed carjacking, kidnapping, and use of firearms in felonies.

In 2014, eight criminal aliens were charged with rape and sexual assault of children between the ages of 5 and 14. One of my deputies was a victim of an unprovoked attack by an MS-13 member while simply doing a report in his car. Recently, a known alien gang member was indicted in a 2013 MS-13 murder-for-hire. The victim fled El Salvador to Frederick. The hit carried over to a local set in Frederick. The victim was lowered to a wooded area where he was shot in the head and stabbed to death. The growing alien gang problem has spread into our area high schools, where fights in one particular school has become almost a daily occurrence between rival gangs.

Since 2008, this Administration has weakened immigration enforcement by dismissing deportation cases, rescinding 287(g) agreements, encouraging sanctuary policies, and weakening detainer policies. ICE directives have limited enforcement priorities, suspended many thousands of removals, granted deferred actions, and suspended removals for aliens who falsely claim to be victims.

A 2014 DHS OIG report actually points out the problems and failures within ICE. The enforcement and removal operations, they have to be allowed to do their jobs to keep the criminals off the streets. ICE executive leadership does not communicate effectively with the ERO, creating systemic breakdowns.

ERO in the field offices and on the street want to do their jobs but simply aren't allowed to do their jobs. ICE is broken, but it's not on the street; it's a couple blocks down here in Washington, D.C. It's actually at its highest levels. I think it's almost incapable of doing the job and carrying out the mission.

Case-by-case amnesty, backdoor amnesty, DACA programs, and the DREAM Act by Executive order were pushed through. Policy shifts by this President weakened and ended secure communities and did not allow action to be taken with police departments and sheriffs who did not honor detainers. This allowed criminals to be released back on the streets. In effect, criminal aliens that should have been deported have been allowed to remain and commit more heinous crimes to our citizens.

The effectiveness and value of local enforcement by simply cooperating with ICE is invaluable. Failure to cooperate and detain known criminal aliens for ICE has led American citizens, such as are sitting here today, to be victimized in every conceivable way. It is my belief that this Congress—if this Congress and the next President do not take an action to secure this border with Mexico and enforce immigration laws, every county in America will become a border county.

In Frederick, Maryland, our partnership with ICE is effective, having placed 1,400 criminal aliens into removal proceedings since 2008. We honor ICE detainers so that criminals are not released back on the streets, assuring the safety of my citizens in Frederick County. Criminal aliens are deported. It's imperative that local law enforcement cooperate and honor ICE detainers and is allowed, if not mandated, to work with ICE as an enforcement tool.

As stated, this Committee passed the Michael Davis, Jr., and Danny Oliver In Honor of State and Local Law Enforcement Act. I certainly hope Congress passes that bill. That act is going to strengthen local and State law enforcement. Every sheriff and police chief in this country ought to embrace that law, ought to embrace that mission, and help with ICE as a force multiplier.

In 2009, I testified before Congress, "The cost of doing nothing is enormous." Now in 2016, I'm back here to say we've seen doing nothing has jeopardized every American in this country. The Americans that you and I represent deserve our best. And you're absolutely right, this is all avoidable.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Sheriff Jenkins follows:]



Charles A. Jenkins
Sheriff
Frederick County, Maryland

April 19, 2016

Judiciary's Subcommittee on Immigration
And Border Security

Charles A. "Chuck" Jenkins
Sheriff
Frederick County, Maryland
April 19, 2016

Good morning Chairman Gowdy and distinguished members of the Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security. My name is Charles Jenkins, Sheriff of Frederick County, Maryland, a rural county just an hour from here. Thank you for the opportunity to be here this morning to testify on the serious issue of criminal alien gangs infiltrating American communities, including my county. Open borders, reckless sanctuary policies, and failure to enforce our immigration laws have greatly impacted public safety and national security throughout every jurisdiction of this country. Every single day, more Americans are becoming victims of senseless crimes, being injured and killed by criminal aliens, many are transnational gang members.

The Frederick County Sheriff's Office is committed to enforcement, partnering with Homeland Security / ICE in the 287g Delegation of Authority Program since 2008, is a part of our mission to ensure public safety. This program is very effective in the identification, detention, and removal of criminal aliens. Through our cooperation and partnership with ICE, we have very effectively built a wall around Frederick County, given the fact that most surrounding jurisdictions do nothing or very little to cooperate with ICE.

The consequence of these policy failures is the rapidly growing presence of criminal alien gangs. The criminal alien gang numbers are growing, and the serious crimes being committed are increasing. There is also a nexus between the deferred action on unaccompanied minors and the increases we are seeing in gang crimes.

Statistics (2014-2015 stats were provided) and hard facts demonstrate the impact on public safety and the seriousness of the crimes committed by criminal alien gang members:

* There are over 75 active known validated transnational criminal gang members in Frederick County, many more suspected of gang affiliation. We also

believe that MS-13 and 18th Street alien gangs are recruiting, locally, in our schools, in the region, and out of country.

- * Of the 52 validated criminal alien gang members identified since the inception of the 287g Program in 2008, 25 of the 52 (48%), were identified since late 2014.

- * 18 of the 25 (72%) gang members encountered since 2014 have been charged with felonies.

- * 7 of 11 (64%) of the criminal alien gang members encountered in 2015 were unaccompanied juveniles when they entered the U.S and eventually located to Frederick County, Maryland. Now they are adults committing serious felonies.

- * Crimes committed include; 5 occurrences Attempted 1st and 2nd Degree Murder, Armed Robbery, 1st Degree Assault, Home Invasion, Armed Carjacking, Kidnapping, Use of a Firearm in the Commission of a Violent Felony, Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapons.

- * In 2014, 8 criminal aliens charged with rape and sexual assault of children ages 5-14, with two of the girls impregnated.

- * One of my deputies was the victim of an unprovoked physical attack/assault by an MS-13 gang member while sitting in his cruiser doing paperwork.

- * The U.S. District Court recently indicted a known alien gang member for involvement in a 2013 MS-13 hired killing in Frederick. The victim in the killing fled El Salvador to live in Frederick because of an MS-13 hit for him there, but the hit order carried to a local MS-13 clique. The victim was lured to a wooded area where he was shot in the head and stabbed to death.

- * The growing alien gang problem has spread into one high school where fights and violence between MS-13 and 18th Street are routine.

Transnational alien gangs are structured criminal enterprises involved in drug and human trafficking, crimes of violence over turf, retaliation, money laundering, and other serious crime. As these gangs are recruiting locally and increasing in number, so does the associated crime within communities.

Since 2008, this Administration has weakened immigration enforcement by dismissing deportation cases, rescinding 287g agreements, encouraging sanctuary policies, and watering down detainer policies. ICE directives have limited enforcement priorities, suspended many thousands of removals, granted deferred actions, and suspended removals for aliens who falsely claimed to be victims.

Case by case amnesty, back door amnesty, DACA programs, and the Dream Act were pushed through by executive order. Policy shifts by President Obama weakened and ruined Secure Communities, and did not allow action by ICE when Sheriffs and police departments ignored detainers, allowing criminal to be released back on the streets. In effect, criminal aliens that should have been deported have been allowed to remain and commit more serious crimes becoming violent offenders.

The effectiveness and value of local law enforcement partnering or at least simply cooperating with ICE is beyond measure to local public safety. Failure to cooperate and detain known criminal aliens for ICE has lead to American citizens being killed, wounded, injured, and sexually victimized in jurisdictions everywhere.

In 2014, I visited the McAllen border sector of Central Texas and saw for myself the impact of an unsecured border to a jurisdiction. It is my belief that if this Congress and the next President do not take action to close and secure the border with Mexico and simply enforce the federal immigration laws that exist, every county in America will become a border county.

In Frederick County, Maryland our partnership with ICE is very effective, having placed 1400 criminal aliens into removal proceedings since 2008. We honor ICE detainers so that criminals are NOT released back on the streets, assuring the safety of my citizens. Criminal aliens are deported!

It's imperative that local law enforcement honor ICE detainers, and is allowed if not mandated to assist ICE with enforcement efforts. Congress should pass Chairman Gowdy's bill H.R. 1148, The "Michael Davis Jr. and Danny Oliver In Honor of State and Local Law Enforcement Act," that the Judiciary Committee passed this Congress. The act will strengthen immigration enforcement and every Sheriff and Police Chief in America should support and assist with enforcement action.

In 2009, I testified before Congress the "the cost of doing nothing is enormous", now in 2016 we have seen that doing nothing has jeopardized Public Safety in America. Immediate Congressional action is imperative right now to protect Americans!!!

Mr. GOWDY. Thank you, Sheriff.
Ms. Root.

**TESTIMONY OF MICHELLE ROOT, MOTHER OF SARAH ROOT,
MODALE, IA**

Ms. ROOT. Thank you. I would just like to thank Chairman Gowdy, Chairman Goodlatte, and the respected Members of this Committee. Thank you for inviting me to speak of Sarah.

On behalf of my family and myself, we'd also like to thank the Omaha Police Department for doing the job that they did in catching Sarah's murderer; but, unfortunately, they were unable to detain him.

Sarah was my only daughter. She was 21. She had just graduated from Bellevue University with a 4.0. She inspired to go on to get her master's in criminal investigations; however, that was cut short for her.

The night of January 31st, 2016, as she was coming home, she was rear ended by Edwin Mejia, who was 19 years old, here illegally, drunk driving, street racing. My daughter died instantly. However, she was an organ donor, so she was kept alive until February—I believe it was the 4th. Days run together.

My daughter was a productive child. She did not deserve to end this tragically. I'm sorry. It's just devastating that the laws that we have in place weren't carried out. The local law enforcement did their job. They detained him, went to ICE, tried to get a hold on him. ICE refused. I don't know why that was, with everything that happened, but he is on the loose now. So our family does not have closure. We probably never will have closure, even if he is found. I just hope that he will be some day found to pay for the crime that he did against my daughter.

We are not against immigration. Sarah's grandmother was an immigrant from Vienna, Austria. She did it legally. She did it the right way. That's all we're asking. It's the illegal immigrants that are already breaking the law once they come over here, and they continue to break our laws in not following them. This is not isolated incidents; this is happening all the time.

Since we've been in D.C., we have gotten a report that another Honduran illegal immigrant has hit and killed somebody else in Omaha, Nebraska. Her stories, there are thousands of our stories out there. Something needs to be done.

The arrest and conviction of Edwin will not bring Sarah back, but it will give our family some closure and knowledge that justice has prevailed. Despite all the tragedy, Sarah still is the hero in this story. She was, again, an organ donor.

We are a Nation of legal immigrants. My family, like many other Americans, hold no ill will to people who desire the American Dream. Sarah, an American citizen, desired that same dream, but it was taken from her.

We urge the Members of Congress to support meaningful immigration reform through the support and passing of legislation such as Kate's Law, the Refugee Program Integrity Restoration Act, and the Davis-Oliver Act that empowers local governments and law enforcement and forces ICE to take an illegal immigrant into custody when requested by local law enforcement.

Edwin spent 4 days in jail and is believed to have fled the country. He posted \$5,000 bond, which was less than the cost it was to bury my daughter Sarah. Because of the lack of controls, the police, immigration, U.S. Marshals, and law enforcement have little or no information on his whereabouts or on him or his families has been found.

Edwin was not a stranger to the law enforcement and failed to honor his legal obligation for minor traffic infractions prior to killing my daughter. Now a failed local judicial system that set his bond too low, coupled with the flawed Obama administration policies, have rewarded the illegal and punished my family and hampered law enforcement in their investigations.

I just want to thank you again for letting me speak on my daughter's behalf and let Sarah's voice be heard. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Root follows:]

Written Testimony of Michelle Root

Before the House Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security
Hearing Titled, "The Real Victims of a Reckless and Lawless Immigration Policy: Families and
Survivors Speak Out on the Real Cost of This Administration's Policies"

April 19, 2016

Chairman Gowdy, Chairman Goodlatte, respected members of the House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security, my name is Michelle Root and I appreciate the opportunity to be the voice of my murdered daughter, Sarah Rae-Ann Root. Sarah was murdered on January 31st by drunk driver and illegal immigrant Edwin G. Mejia less than 24 hours after walking with a 4.0 grade point average for her Bachelor of Science Degree in Investigations from Bellevue University.

On behalf of my family, I would like to take this opportunity to thank Chairman Goodlatte and Senator Grassley and their staff for drawing attention to Sarah's case by demanding answers from ICE and supporting my family through these trying times. I would also like to thank the senators and staff of Senators Ernst, Fischer, Sasse and Sessions for their help and support.

We also thank the investigative officers and their superiors at the Omaha Police Department who made multiple requests to ICE officials for an immigration hold and were rebuffed in their efforts due to the Obama administration guidelines that deemed him not a threat to public safety.

Sarah was my only daughter. She was full of life and such a joy to be around. She made me want to be a better person because she was so amazing. She always put others before herself, no matter how busy she was and let me tell you, she was a busy girl. Sarah was smart, beautiful and caring, hardworking and treated people with kindness and respect.

Sarah and her brother Scott were as close as two people could be, they were not only siblings but great friends. They often talked about the future and what they wanted to do. Sarah would seek his advice and Scott hers.

She wanted to go on and get her Master's degree after she graduated with her Bachelor's however that was not to be the case because her life was cut short. Sarah's dad Scott could not be here with us today as he is at Edwin Mejia's immigration hearing in Omaha which is taking place the same time as this hearing.

He is just as devastated as the rest of Sarah's family and friends. Not a second goes by that we don't think of her and what she as well as we are missing out on. She will never get to live out her "American Dream," because that was taken away from her. We will keep fighting to get the justice that Sarah deserves.

The arrest and conviction of Edwin will not bring my Sarah back, but it will give my family and her friends closure and the knowledge that justice has prevailed. Despite all the tragedy, Sarah is the hero in this story. She was an organ donor and unselfishly saved the lives of six individuals through her donation and helped another four dozen through her donation of tissue and bone.

America is a nation of immigrants, legal immigrants. My family like many Americans holds no ill will to people who desire the American dream, we just ask that controls be put into place to control the entry and that they be documented and vetted through legal means so if such an incident were to occur again they could be held accountable and another family would not have to experience the pain and frustration that our family and Sarah's friends have experienced.

We urge the members of Congress to support meaningful immigration reform through the support and passing of legislation such as Kate's Law; The Refugee Program Integrity Restoration Act and the Davis Oliver Act that empowers local governments and law enforcement and forces ICE to take an illegal immigrant into custody when requested by local law enforcement like what occurred in my daughter's case.

Edwin Mejia spent four days in jail and is believed to have fled the area and possibly the country after posting \$5,000 bail. Less than the amount it cost to bury my baby. Because of the lack of controls, the police, immigration, U.S. Marshals and law enforcement have little or no information on his whereabouts on him, his family and acquaintances. He and those who protect and hide him are cowards.

Edwin was not a stranger to law enforcement and failed to honor his legal obligations for minor traffic infractions. Now a failed local judicial system that set his bail too low coupled with flawed Obama administration policies have rewarded the illegal and punished my family and hampered law enforcement in their investigations.

Thank you again for your time and allowing Sarah's voice to be heard.

Sincerely, the family of Sarah Rae-Ann Root.

Mr. GOWDY. Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
Ms. Wilkerson.

**TESTIMONY OF LAURA WILKERSON, MOTHER OF
JOSHUA WILKERSON, PEARLAND, TX**

Ms. WILKERSON. Thank you for allowing me to share my story today. Thank you for everyone that invited me here.

My name is Laura Wilkerson, and my family became a victim of illegal alien crime on November 16th, 2010. This was our family's attack by a foreign invader, whom is in this country illegally. At best guess, his family are still enjoying the fruits of America at the hands of taxpayers. I don't know this as fact because the United States Government does not know as fact. You don't know.

My youngest son, Joshua, was a senior in high school and had his whole life ahead of him. He went to school and never returned. As Josh walked up to the doors of the school that morning, Hermilo Morales walked up as well. There's a video that I saw in trial. This is the last picture of Josh alive.

This killer asked Josh for a ride home, and in the video you can see Josh shaking his head, yeah. And then Josh went inside the building, turned around and held the door open for Mr. Morales to walk in. He said something to Josh, and Josh went—which we later found out, he wasn't going to school. So Josh just closed the door, allowed the door to close, and walks out of the frame headed to class. Last time I'll ever see my son walk, talk, anything about him.

At trial, the killer testified on his behalf and gave exact testimony on how he systematically killed Joshua. He first—and this is from the killer on the witness stand. He first threw a punch in the face so that Joshua's vision was messed up and he could not fight back. He next kneed Joshua in the abdomen so that he would go to the ground. Josh went to the ground as his spleen was sliced in half.

The killer was aggravated that it was not over yet. He was a black-belt mixed martial arts and thought he could do this without any blood. He was aggravated it was not over. He said he grew tired of watching bloody bubbles come from Joshua's nose as he was trying to breathe.

Next, he took a closet rod and beat Josh over the head again and again until the rod broke in four pieces. Joshua still breathing. Next he strangled him, let him go to see if it was over. No, it's not over, so he continued until there were no more bloody bubbles. He must have said it six times from the stand. As a mom, I realize that my kid was grasping for air. He waited and he watched him die.

He tied Josh's body up, stuffed him in the backseat of our truck, bought gas, dumped Josh in a field, and set his body on fire. The killer went home, took a shower, and went to see a movie, had popcorn and Coke.

I testified before the Senate last July in 2015 and told this story. Nothing has been done about it. We can have hearing after hearing after hearing. Until there is action, we're just talking.

The 11 million figure that you spoke about a while ago, it just saddens me, because that figure was thrown around from the very

beginning of Joshua. Well, I know that her child has died so that's one more. Kate Steinle has died. There were two this weekend that died from street racing from an illegal in Houston this weekend, a pastor and his wife, married 30 years.

So you need to keep that number—you don't know that number. Nobody knows that number. I've been to the border. I've watched them walk across, and you're not counting them. So that number is from maybe people who are seeking welfare or who are getting benefits from the government. Otherwise, you don't know how many people are here. That number just, it aggravates me.

Because this government has no clue who is here or what their intent is in being here, I see this American Government scrambling over themselves to pretend to care about American families. And instead, you give away every bit of America to people whom have broken our laws on how to enter this country, then on to break other laws, now to having sanctuary cities, teaching them how to grab a piece of America unlawfully, and at the expense of American families.

I'm stunned, I'm shocked, I'm saddened that we're even having this discussion. When are you going to act on it? When are you going to do something about it, rather than let's just talk about it and put a video up on your next election—on your Web site as if you're doing something about it? It's time to move. Her kid wouldn't be gone if we had moved last year when I testified before the Senate. Sarah would still be here. You have to enforce the laws.

I watched the Sunday morning news this weekend. And over and over and over, follow the rules, follow the rules. And we're talking about the election and some of the way it went in Colorado. Follow the rules. Follow the laws. You know, as this sweet lady who is an immigration attorney, I would think she would have to follow the laws legally. This has nothing to do with people in this country that came here through the front door. This has everything to do with people who snuck in this country and continue to take from America, including our children.

You know, when they mentioned the bad acts of some, the bad acts of some, if they took your child, you would think of it totally different. I realize you don't hear this every day, but it happens every day, whether you want to know it or not, and the media doesn't report it. I know it. You know it. Everybody in this room knows it. They report what they want to report.

And you talked about fear, you know, that illegals are afraid to come out of the shadows. You know, do you want to know what fear is? You know, when somebody reaches in your house and grabs your littlest kid and tortures them, you're afraid of everything for a long time.

I could care less about the fear that they put themselves in here. I didn't bring my kid across a border. If I would have told my daughter, I'm going to Mexico, and had a man, you know, he'll bring you over here in a year or 2, I would be charged with neglect, as well as I should be.

I did not put my kid in harm's way when he went to school that day; you did. Every one of you is elected by an American, and it is time for you to stand in the gap for Americans. I'm so tired of

being up here and going over the same thing. You're elected by Americans. Do something. It is your job. Every one of you are in a position to do something that we are not. If you've been in bed with somebody who is giving you too much money and you think their own way, get out of the way and let someone get in here that can care about Americans and their families.

This is not about love. I do not have any hate. Two days after Christmas, after he killed Josh, I went and forgave this kid at the jail. Totally forgiven. He's off my mind. It is now—they asked me at the trial, you know, what did I want to give him, and all I could say was it's not my call to decide his life. It's not. They couldn't believe I said it. The jury, you know, it's the jury's call. I didn't want to be anything like him and hurt another person.

It is time to do something. I did not—we did not put our kids in jeopardy. We did not decide to, you know, traipse them across the desert. There is no—there are no minors that came here by themselves. I know it and you know it. There are no minors, unless they're 16-year-old guys or girls that come here. The minors can't come here by themselves. I have seen the border. People die every day trying to get here.

America has invited them, and you've got to do something. It is just time to do something about this. The thing that you can do is enforce laws. How do you pick and choose a law? I don't understand it, how you pick and choose a law. You know, the laws are here to be enforced by who? By you. And they're not being enforced. And my kid suffered terribly for it.

Thanks.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Wilkerson follows:]

April 14, 2016

Laura Wilkerson

Victim of Illegal Alien Crime

The Committee on the Judiciary's Subcommittee on Immigration and Border security Hearing,

Entitled, "The Real Victims of a Reckless and Lawless Immigration Policy: "The Families and Survivors speak out on the Real Cost of "This Administration's Policies."

My name is Laura Wilkerson, my family became a victim of illegal Alien Crime on November 16, 2010. This was our families attack by a foreign invader, whom is in this country illegally. At best guess his family are still enjoying the fruits of America at the hands of taxpayers. I do not know this as a fact, because the United States Government does not know either.

My Youngest Son, Joshua was a senior in high school and had his whole life ahead of him. He went to school and never returned. As Josh walked up to the doors of the school that morning. Hermilo Morales walked up as well. This is the last picture of my child alive. This killer asked Josh for a ride home from school and Josh said yes. Josh held the door open to allow him in and he shook his head no, he wasn't coming in to school. Josh allows the door to close and walks out of the frame headed to class.

At trial, the killer testified on his behalf and gave exact testimony on how he systematically killed Josh. First a punch in the face, so his vision was off. Next a knee to Josh's abdomen so that he would go to the ground. Josh went to the ground as his spleen was sliced in half. This killer was aggravated that it was not over, he grew tired of watching bloody bubbles coming from Josh's nose as he was trying to breathe. Next he took a closet rod and beat Josh over the head again and again until the rod broke in 4 pieces. Joshua still breathing. Next he strangled him, let him go to see if it was over, nope, so he continued until there were no more bubbles. He waited and watched him die. He tied Josh's body up, stuffed him in the backseat of our truck, bought gas, dumped Josh in a field and set his body on fire. The killer went home took a shower and went to see a movie.

I testified before the Senate last July, 2015 and told this story. Nothing has been done about it. People are dying as we speak from illegal alien criminals. Does anybody here know the number of people whom have died or been raped or brutalized since then? That answer is no. Why? Because the Government has no clue who is here or what their intent is in being here. I see this American government scrambling over themselves to pretend to care about American Families, and instead to give away every bit of it to people whom have broken your laws on how to enter this country. Then on to break other laws, now to having sanctuary cities, teaching them how to grab a piece of America unlawfully, and at the expense of American families.

I am stunned, shocked, saddened that we are even having this discussion. When will you act on this? Maybe it will take the loss of your child. Which child or grandchild from your own family, would you select to die, to finally Act on this issue?

Mr. GOWDY. Thank you, Ms. Wilkerson.
Bishop Carcaño.

**TESTIMONY OF MINERVA G. CARCAÑO, BISHOP,
THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH**

Bishop CARCAÑO. Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Lofgren, other distinguished Members of this Subcommittee, I want to thank you for the privilege of serving on this panel.

To Ms. Root and Ms. Wilkerson, I want to say that I am praying for you and that United Methodists across this country and around the world, many of them immigrant families, are also praying for you. No parent should ever have to endure the death of a child, and certainly not because of the irresponsible actions of an individual or the violent actions of an individual. We know that Sarah and Joshua are now in the eternal care of God, and I pray that that would bring you some comfort.

Today, as we discuss these devastating tragedies, it appears that they will be utilized as an argument for deporting more immigrants and forcing local police to serve as immigration enforcement officers. I would strongly suggest that most tragedies cannot be solved by offering simplistic solutions that cast blame on entire communities and fail to take into account the intersection of multiple issues and the complexities of both individual and societal challenges.

It is unjust, totally unjust, to take isolated, certainly very tragic incidents, and implicate millions of our undocumented community members. To implicate innocent men, women, and children in actions they did not commit is not justice and goes against our best values as Americans. I implore you to reject blind vengeance, which is never restorative and it's not practical. We must not allow our grief to divide our communities and engender hate and fear against immigrant brothers and sisters.

Immigrants have built this country, and they continue to sustain it. They work for the benefit of us all, whether by picking our crops, putting food on our tables, building our roads and homes, tending to the needs of our children and elderly parents, and inspiring our many congregations.

However, every day, hundreds of our immigrant brothers and sisters are deported. Children live in the constant fear that at the end of the school day they're going to come home and their parents will be gone, having been deported. Immigrants are daily subject to arbitrary detention. They are denied due process and they're spit out on the other side of our southern border as if they were trash.

It is critical that we work toward community wholeness. We hold true that God loves all of us, including the immigrant. We should care deeply for each and every child of God, no matter where they are from or what language they speak. The Obama administration has deported more than 2.5 million immigrants, more than any other President. Despite memorandums attempting to reform deportation priorities, longstanding community members and leaders, even pastors, parents of children, elderly persons, and victims of crime continue to be deported.

In 2013 alone, this country spent more than \$18 billion on immigration enforcement, more than all other Federal law enforcement

agencies combined. Workplace and home invasion raids and the failure to legislate true—true immigration reform have only served to exacerbate the damage caused by enforcement-only policies.

Policies that strengthen trust and cooperation between local law enforcement and all community members are essential for public safety. On the other hand, policies that would force local police to serve as immigration enforcement officers have very drastic consequences of reducing communities' safety as immigrants, family members of immigrants, and individuals perceived to be immigrants fear interacting with police. In these situations, community members become reluctant to report a crime that they have witnessed or even been a victim of because they fear police officers will inquire about their immigration status, the immigration status of their family, of their friends, of their neighbors.

Local policies that foster safe and welcoming communities are in no way a free pass. For any immigrant who violates the law, everyone, including immigrants, remain accountable to our legal system. However, policies that build trust between local law enforcement officials and community members are essential to the public safety. When all individuals can report dangerous situations and seek protection from violence without the fear of being deported and separated from their families, police can best protect everyone in the communities they serve.

As this Committee considers policy proposals, it is critical to not end up hurting intentional community-based policing efforts that are vital to communities across this country. Trust policies and sanctuary policies promote the safety of all community members and encourage all victims and witnesses to report crimes. I urge members of this Committee to recognize the beneficial reasons behind these policies and the values that inspired them.

We know firsthand that immigrants contribute economically to this country and are interwoven into the fabric of our communities. They are our friends. They are our family, our congregation, our neighbors, our doctors, our librarians, cashiers at the stores where we shop, friendly faces, helping hands. Current deportation policies have destroyed many of their lives.

Why would our political leaders seek to separate more families, deport more of these community members, and make more people afraid to interact with the police? I know that such proposals are not worthy of the spirit of welcome of this country and the American people, the resilience of the immigrant community, the wisdom of our political leaders.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, thank you, Ranking Member Lofgren, and all the Members of this Subcommittee for your time and your attention.

[The prepared statement of Bishop Carcaño follows:]

Testimony by Bishop Minerva G. Carcaño

The United Methodist Church

Before the Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security

Committee on the Judiciary

Of the U.S. House of Representatives

April 19, 2016

“The Real Victims of a Reckless and Lawless Immigration Policy:

Families and Survivors Speak Out on the Real Cost of This Administration's Policies”

Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Lofgren, and other distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank you for inviting me to speak with you today.

I would like to begin my remarks with heartfelt and sincere condolences to the families who have lost children due to the inexcusable actions of individuals. Mrs. Root and Ms. Wilkerson, I pray that God's grace provides peace and healing for you, your families, and your community. No parent should have to endure the death of their child.

I also pray for the members of this subcommittee. Today, as we discuss these devastating tragedies, it appears that they will be utilized as an argument for deporting more immigrants and forcing local police to serve as immigration enforcement officers. I would strongly suggest that most tragedies cannot be solved by offering simplistic solutions that cast blame on entire communities and fail to take into account the intersections of multiple issues and the complexities of both individual and societal challenges. It is unjust to take isolated, tragic incidents and implicate millions of our undocumented community members. To implicate innocent men, women and children in actions they did not commit is not justice, and goes against our best values as Americans. I implore you to reject blind vengeance, which is neither restorative nor practical. We must not allow our grief to divide our communities and engender hate and fear against our immigrant brothers and sisters.

As Resident Bishop of the California-Pacific Conference of The United Methodist Church, I value the words found in the Social Principles of our Book of Discipline, which states: "We believe in welcoming the immigrant, the newcomer, and we recognize, embrace, and affirm everyone, regardless of where they come from, as members of the family of God. We affirm the right of all persons to equal opportunities for employment, access to housing, healthcare, education, and freedom from social discrimination. We urge our country to recognize the gifts, contributions, and struggles of those who are immigrants and to ensure justice for all."

The United Methodist Church's position is in alignment with our scriptural tradition as we recall the countless times we see in the Bible; such as in Leviticus 19:33, Exodus 22:21 or Deuteronomy 10:19, where we are called to welcome the sojourner, for we too were once sojourners in the land of Egypt. In the New Testament we read in Matthew 25 when Jesus identifies himself with the sojourner, the naked, the hungry, and the prisoner and reminds us that how we treat the "least of these" is how we treat Jesus himself. Indeed, this is the very essence of our faith.

Immigrants have built this country and continue to sustain it, working for the benefit of us all – whether by picking our crops, putting food on our tables, building our roads and homes, tending to the needs of our children and elderly parents, and inspiring our congregations. However, every day, hundreds of our immigrant brothers and sisters are deported. Children live in constant fear that at the end of the school day, they will find that their parents have been deported. Immigrants are subject to arbitrary detention, denied due process, and spit out on the other side of our southern border as if they were trash. It is critical that as people of faith, we work toward community wholeness. We hold true that God loves the immigrant among us, and we care deeply for each and every child of God, no matter where they are from or what language they speak.

Deportation Policies Hurt Communities

The Obama Administration has deported more than 2.5 million immigrants, more than any other President. Despite memorandums attempting to reform deportation priorities, long-standing community members, parents of children, elderly persons, and victims of crime continue to be deported. In 2013 alone, the United States spent more than \$18 billion on immigration enforcement, more than all other federal law enforcement agencies combined.¹ Workplace and home invasion raids, and the failure to legislate true immigration reform have served only to exacerbate the damage caused by enforcement-only policies.

For example, in March 2015, ICE engaged in a week-long raid during which officials stole over two thousand immigrants from their homes. More than two-thirds of the individuals picked up were convicted of nonviolent offenses, including Mennonite Pastor Max Villatoro, devoted husband, father of four young children, pastor and beloved community member. "Operation Cross Check" showed that ICE is failing to implement prosecutorial discretion and continuing to deport our community members who provide no threat to public safety.²

Numerous studies have found that immigrants of every ethnic group, without exception, are less likely than the general U.S.-born population to commit violent crimes.³ A recent report from the Immigration Policy Center notes that while the number of undocumented immigrants in the United States increased between 1990 and 2013, "FBI data indicate that the overall violent crime rate declined 48%." Likewise, the property crime rate fell 41%.⁴

Sanctuary and Local Detainer Policies

Throughout all of Scripture, God's people have provided refuge for persons who travel from foreign countries as well as persons who were held in slavery. Today, these same faith communities provide sanctuary for parents who are struggling to keep their families together, to stay with their children. The concept of sanctuary is one that honors sacred space and provides refuge for individuals in need of safety. Recently, in the face of record-breaking deportation numbers congregations have answered the call to offer sanctuary and stand with immigrants facing deportation orders and family separation.

One of the United Methodist churches in Arizona where I previously served witnessed the suffering of our neighbors fearing deportation in a state where families are broken apart every day. Francisco came to St. Francis in the Foothills seeking Sanctuary after the threat of deportation had been looming over his family for eight months. A husband and father of five, Francisco has no criminal history and has proven himself to be a stable and valued member of

¹ The Migration Policy Institute, *Immigration Enforcement in the United States: The Rise of a Formidable Machinery*, <http://www.migrationpolicy.org/pubs/enforcementpillars.pdf>.

² Mennonite Central Committee, "Worst of the Worst?" March 2015 Report, http://mcc.org/sites/mcc.org/files/media/common/documents/worstoftheworstreport-march242015_0.pdf.

³ Jason L. Riley, *The Mythical Connection Between Immigrants and Crime*, The Wall Street Journal, July 14, 2015, <http://www.wsj.com/articles/the-mythical-connection-between-immigrants-and-crime-1436916798>.

⁴ Walier A. Ewing, Daniel E. Martinez, Rubén G. Rumbaut, *The Criminalization of Immigration in the United States*, American Immigration Council (July 2015), <http://immigrationpolicy.org/special-reports/criminalization-immigration-united-states>.

his community, working full-time and paying taxes. But every time he left his home he ran the risk of never returning, of being picked up by Immigration and Customs Enforcement - ICE.

Since the promises of immigration reform have been broken by the U.S. Congress, we were compelled by our faith to stand in the gap for justice for our undocumented neighbors in need. Eventually, with our support, Francisco's deportation was withdrawn. Today, Francisco can live in peace with his family. This is why we welcomed Francisco into Sanctuary, and this is why we support policies that keep families together.

Local Enforcement of Federal Immigration Laws Reduces Public Safety

Policies that strengthen trust and cooperation between local law enforcement and *all* community members are essential for public safety. On the other hand, policies that would force local police to serve as immigration enforcement officers have drastic consequences of reducing community safety, as immigrants, family members of immigrants, and individuals perceived to be immigrants fear interacting with police. In these situations, community members become reluctant to report a crime that they witness or are victim to because they fear police officers will inquire about the immigration status of themselves or their friends, neighbors or family members.⁵

Local enforcement of immigration law comes at the expense of public safety priorities. Without the trust and cooperation of all community members, local police face challenges in investigating crimes, apprehending those truly guilty of committing crimes, and deterring potential criminal activity. In 2009, The Police Foundation released a report that found that "local police involvement in immigration enforcement could have a chilling effect on immigrant cooperation"⁶ with the police. Forcing local police to serve as immigration officers serves only to exacerbate difficulties with apprehending and prosecuting criminals, thereby reducing public safety in the community. In addition, local police involvement in enforcing federal immigration law has targeted immigrants who already pose little to no risks to public safety.⁷

The enforcement of federal immigration law by local police has created a deep tear in the social fabric of many communities. Local immigration enforcement has exacerbated isolation and disconnectedness from police, increased withdrawal by immigrants from the larger community as they are afraid to leave their homes, and diminished the sense of public safety. A 2013 University of Illinois report indicated that Latino communities, especially undocumented immigrants, are less likely to contact local police to report a crime, including when they have been the victim of a crime, solely because they fear that the officers will leverage that opportunity to investigate their immigration status. Even U.S. citizens are less likely to contact police officers if they are a victim of a crime because they fear police officers will use that time

⁵ Nik Theodore, *Insecure Communities: Latino Perceptions of Police Involvement in Immigration Enforcement*, University of Illinois at Chicago (May 2013), https://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_REPORT_FINAL.PDF.

⁶ Anita Khashu, *The Role of Local Police: Striking a Balance Between Immigration Enforcement and Civil Liberties*, Police Foundation (2009).

⁷ Alex Stepick, Steve Held, Cynthia S. Hernandez, Cheryl Little, and Susana Barciela, *False Promises: The Failure of Secure Communities in Miami-Dade County*, Research Institute on Social & Economic Policy, Center for Labor Research & Studies, Florida International University and Americans for Immigrant Justice (2013).

to investigate the immigration status of someone they know. As a result, local police involvement in immigration enforcement has led to a reduction in public safety, while mistrust of the police has increased.⁸

Local policies that foster safe and welcoming communities are in no way a free pass for any immigrant who violates the law. Everyone, including immigrants, remain accountable to our legal system. However, policies that build trust between local law enforcement officials and community members are essential to public safety. For my own personal wellbeing, I want my neighbors to feel comfortable calling the police if they witness a car accident, or if their home is burglarized. When all individuals can report dangerous situations and seek protection from violence without the fear of being deported and separated from their families, police can best protect everyone in the communities they serve.

Ecumenical and interfaith coalitions have taken up the effort to stop as part of our faith traditions and moral responsibility to welcome immigrants. For example, in California, our congregations were instrumental in the passage of the TRUST Act, which went into effect January 1, 2014. Similarly, in Clackamas County, CA, the Interfaith Movement for Immigrant Justice successfully pushed local officials to adopt a local detainer policy to protect all members of the community. In New York, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Missouri, Colorado, Ohio, Washington, and Alabama, people of faith are advocating for similar local detainer policies at the state or local level.

Recently, a jurisdiction at the center of this debate has gained attention for their policy of not honoring ICE detainer requests. San Francisco resident, Pedro Figueroa-Zarceno, had reported his car stolen. A few weeks later, he received word from the police that they had found it. But when he went to his local police station to obtain the police report, he found himself in handcuffs on a deportation warrant that was over ten years old. When the police released him, he was apprehended and detained by ICE. After significant public pressure, he was released two months later and was finally given a court date. Cases like Pedro's – when victims of crime become targets of law enforcement – betray the trust of the community.

When local police collaborate with ICE, more crimes go unreported⁹ because victims and witnesses are afraid of being deported if they contact the police. Many local law enforcement agencies and community leaders have spoken out about the harm that this collaboration inflicts on their communities. On the other hand, choosing not to honor ICE detainer requests, especially without probable cause or a signed warrant from a judge, improves public safety by increasing community trust in its police force. When all individuals can report dangerous situations without the fear of being deported and separated from their families, safety is increased for all community members.

⁸ Nik Theodore, *Insecure Communities: Latino Perceptions of Police Involvement in Immigration Enforcement*, University of Illinois at Chicago (May 2013), https://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_REPORT_FINAL.PDF.

⁹ Anita Kashu, *The Role of Local Police: Striking a Balance Between Immigration Enforcement and Civil Liberties*, The Police Foundation (April 2009), <http://www.policefoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/The-Role-of-Local-Police-Narrative.pdf>.

Claudia Valdez's story¹⁰ underscores how the participation of local police in immigration enforcement makes our communities less safe. Claudia is a longtime resident of Denver, CO and a devoted mother of three U.S. citizen children. In June 2012, fearing for the safety of herself and her children, Claudia asked a neighbor to call the police for help when a domestic dispute turned physical in her home. As a result of this phone call, Claudia – who has no criminal history – was arrested and imprisoned for three days. She was then subject to deportation proceedings, as well as the prospect of permanent separation from her children. Claudia's story, one which is repeated in counties across the country, sends a strong message to our undocumented community members, as well as their lawfully-present friends and family: avoid contact with law enforcement at all costs, even if you are a victim of a crime, or else you or someone you love may be deported. In order to promote strong families and communities, local law enforcement must disentangle itself from ICE.¹¹

As this committee considers policy proposals, it is critical to not end up hurting intentional, community-based policing efforts that are vital to communities across the country. Sanctuary policies¹² promote the safety of all community members, and encourage all victims and witnesses to report crimes. I urge members of this committee to recognize the beneficial reasons behind these policies and the values that inspired them.

Immigrants Significantly Contribute to the U.S. Economy

The immigrant population comes to this country to reunite with family, work, and make meaningful contributions that enrich our communities. Through an analysis of 505 metropolitan areas from 2005 to 2011,¹³ economist Jack Strauss found that an increasing number of immigrants moving to an area leads to significantly higher employment growth and a decline in the unemployment rate.¹⁴ Another study showed that immigrants started more than 25% of all businesses in seven of eight sectors of the economy that the U.S. government expects to grow the fastest over the next decade.¹⁵ A study by the Small Business Administration (SBA) found that immigrants have high business formation rates and create successful businesses that hire immigrant and U.S. citizen employments and export goods and services.¹⁶

¹⁰ Rebecca T. Wallace, Claudia's Story: How a Domestic Violence Victim's Call for Help Resulted in Three Days in Jail and Deportation Proceedings, American Civil Liberties Union of Colorado, June 19, 2014, <http://aclu-co.org/blog/clauidas-story-domestic-violence-victims-call-help-resulted-three-days-jail-deportation-proceedings/>.

¹¹ Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc., CLINIC Sanctuary Cities Toolkit, 2016,

<https://climiclegal.org/resources/sanctuary-cities-toolkit>.

¹² Across the United States, there are 32 cities and 326 counties with sanctuary policies. Sanctuary Cities, Trust Acts, and Community Policing Explained, American Immigration Council, October 2015,

http://immigrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/docs/sanctuary_cities_trust_acts_and_community_policing_explained.pdf.

¹³ Jack Strauss & Hailong Qian, Immigrants or Jobs: Which Comes First to a Metro?, Jan. 23, 2014,

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2339192.

¹⁴ See American Immigration Council, Immigrant Entrepreneurs and Innovators across the United States, 2014, <http://www.immigrationpolicy.org/just-facts/immigrant-entrepreneurs-and-innovators-across-united-states> for additional studies.

¹⁵ The Partnership for a New American Economy, *Open for Business: How Immigrants Are Driving Small Business Creation in the United States* (August 2012),

<http://www.renewoureconomy.org/sites/all/themes/pnac/openforbusiness.pdf>.

¹⁶ Robert W. Fairlie, Ph.D., SBA Office of Advocacy, *Immigrant Entrepreneurs and Small Business Owners, and their Access to Financial Capital* (May 2012), <https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/rs396tot.pdf>.

According to the Institute on Tax and Economic Policy, undocumented immigrants contribute significantly to state and local taxes, collectively paying an estimated \$11.84 billion in 2012 alone. Undocumented immigrants' national average effective state and local tax rate (the share of income they pay in state and local taxes) in 2012 was an estimated 8 percent. To put this in perspective, the top 1 percent of taxpayers pay an average nationwide effective tax rate of just 5.4 percent.¹⁷

We know, first hand, that immigrants contribute economically to this country and are interwoven in the fabric of our communities. They are my friends, my family, my congregation, my neighbors, my doctor, my librarian, cashiers at the stores where I shop, friendly faces, helping hands. Current deportation policies have destroyed many of their lives. Why would our political leaders seek to separate more families, deport more of these community members, and make more people afraid to interact with police? I know that such proposals are not worthy of the spirit of welcome of the American people, the resilience of the immigrant community, and the wisdom of our political leaders.

Conclusion

Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Lofgren, and all member of the subcommittee, today, I ask you to support policies that treat *all* of your constituents with dignity and respect, and that promote the safety and wholeness of entire communities. By affirming the importance of local police building trust with their communities, and separating policing from immigration enforcement, you can help promote genuine public safety. All of us are called to love our neighbor — all of our neighbors. Generations of immigrants have made this country great with their ideas, hard work, resilience, and traditions. We must resist the inclination to allow grief and despair to turn us against one another, or to blame an entire community for the actions of a few individuals.

Now is the time to channel our grief by uniting around solutions that can prevent incidents like this from happening again. We must have a conversation about drug rehabilitation services, preventing unlimited access to firearms, creating greater access to mental health care and models of justice that are restorative and not just punitive. As we work toward these real solutions, let us do so together, with a future in mind that values the unity of all families, protects the safety of all communities, and brings people together rather than tearing them apart.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Lofgren, and all members of the subcommittee for your time and attention.

¹⁷ To view a state by state analysis of tax contributions by immigrants, please review ITEP's report, *Undocumented Immigrants' State & Local Tax Contributions*, Institute on Tax and Economic Policy (ITEP), April 15, 2015, http://itep.org/itep_reports/2015/04/undocumented-immigrants-state-local-tax-contributions.php#.Viprrt0g8dU.

Mr. GOWDY. Thank you, Bishop.

Ms. Root, I want to let you know that Senator Sasse is here in support of you and your family.

With that, I would recognize the Chairman of the full Committee, the gentleman from Virginia, for his—all right. Well, then, I will go to the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee, the gentleman from Idaho, Mr. Labrador.

Mr. LABRADOR. Thank you.

I am deeply concerned and disheartened that immigration enforcement has been relegated to almost nonenforcement, that the Obama administration has on numerous occasions taken unilateral action to strip Immigration and Customs Enforcement of the authority and discretion needed for it to serve its critical mission.

I was shocked, frankly, today by the contrast between Ms. Wilkerson's testimony—thank you for being here today—and Bishop Carcaño's testimony.

I can't even put into words the pain that you must be going through. And to hear the testimony, with all due respect, of Bishop Carcaño that just really took no consideration of the pain and suffering that you are going through and to put the needs and benefit of people that are here illegally ahead of people that are born in the United States, that are U.S. citizens, that have suffered like you have suffered, I can't even put into words how disgusting it was, in my opinion.

And I say that with all due respect, but I just—if you saw the contrast that I saw, I think you would revise your remarks before this Committee.

I truly appreciate you both for being here today and applaud your courage for sharing your stories.

Sheriff Jenkins, thank you for being here today. The numbers you presented on criminal gang activity are pretty staggering. I have been reading recently about MS-13 and some of the things that they are going through, and it is horrible what is happening to our Nation.

What is the current status of gang activity in Frederick County schools today?

Sheriff JENKINS. Well, actually, it is growing. Some of the problems that have started in the communities with the gang presence has now spread into our high schools, some of it into our middle schools. And, again, one of the local high schools, routinely fights between rival gangs. So that problem is bleeding into our school system.

Mr. LABRADOR. In your opinion, is the 287(g) program in Frederick County making a positive impact in your community?

Sheriff JENKINS. Sir, absolutely. I can't tell you—again, looking back—it is not about the numbers. Forget the numbers, although we have placed 1,400 individuals who are in this country illegally and committed crimes in our county have been placed into removal proceedings.

It is a solid program. It works. There is no status of immigration checks on the street. Everything is done within our detention center. It has been a wonderful program.

And I can't say enough about the oversight of the ICE field office and the agents that work with us day to day, and we basically serve them as a force multiplier. So it has been a great program.

Mr. LABRADOR. Your testimony alluded to other jurisdictions not being as cooperative with Federal immigration authorities. What do you believe is the impact in these counties and communities when no relationship exists between ICE and the local police or sheriff's department?

Sheriff JENKINS. I truly believe and I know for a fact—again, I have regular conversations with the ICE field offices and ICE agents who oversee our program. And by the very virtue, I know that the counties that surround us—and I won't name the counties—but that alien criminal presence is increasing, the gang presence is increasing.

And, listen, the word is out. They are in neighboring counties, but they will not come to Frederick County for the fact they know if they commit a crime there is a very good chance that if they are caught they are going to be removed. So, in effect, it has built a virtual wall. But the gang problem is growing in surrounding counties.

Mr. LABRADOR. Thank you.

Bishop Carcaño, is immigration enforcement a part of our legal system?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Yes, it is.

Mr. LABRADOR. You state that everyone, including immigrants, remain accountable to our legal system, yet you oppose deportation policies, detainer policies, and local cooperation with the Federal immigration officials. So you don't actually believe that everyone should be accountable to our legal system, do you?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I believe everyone should be accountable to our legal system. Local police departments are there for the safety of communities, and that should be their focus.

Mr. LABRADOR. I believe that Mr. Jenkins is making his community safer. Do you believe that ICE serves any legitimate public safety purposes at all?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I believe that they have a specific responsibility to inform immigration policies in ways that are just and fair, not arbitrary.

Mr. LABRADOR. But you decide what "just and fair" means; is that what you are saying?

Bishop CARCAÑO. No, the law does. The law does.

Mr. LABRADOR. I think it wasn't just when Ms. Root and Ms. Wilkerson lost their children because of our poor immigration policies.

Bishop CARCAÑO. I agree that it was not just. It was violent and it was terrible. But we cannot scapegoat a whole community because of the actions of individuals.

Mr. LABRADOR. But you know what? I keep hearing that, and the problem is that law-abiding immigrants do not need to come out of the shadows. If you come here legally to the United States, you don't need to be in the shadows. We have the best immigration system in the world. We welcome more immigrants than any country in the world. And, frankly, because of you and people like you, we

are not fixing this problem and we are allowing this to happen, and I think it is shameful.

And I think if you really care about your community you are going to help us fix this immigration system, not try to use excuses and call people who are trying to do this racists and scapegoating.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Across this country——

Mr. LABRADOR. And, with that, I yield back my time.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Across this country and around the world, it is clear that our immigration policies are broken. I agree with you. We need to cooperate on fixing those broken immigration policies.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Idaho yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the gentlelady from California, Ms. Lofgren.

Ms. LOFGREN. Before my questions, I would like to ask unanimous consent to put in the record statements from the National Immigration Forum, the American Immigration Council, Church World Services, the Friends Committee on National Legislation, the Fair Immigration Reform Movement, the National Task Force to End Sexual and Domestic Violence Against Women, and the American Civil Liberties Union.*

Mr. GOWDY. Without objection.

Ms. LOFGREN. It was impossible to listen to the mothers talk about their lost children and not just feel the anguish here. I mean, it is just heartbreaking to listen to your stories. And what happened was wrong, it was unjust, and there is no way to make it un-happen. I am very moved by your comments.

I am also struck by what I believe is an unfortunate statement by the gentleman from Idaho expressing disgust at a Methodist bishop. I think that your prayers for these two mothers and their families and your straightforward statement was very helpful.

Because in law school they teach us bad cases make bad law. And to have a tragedy but then assume that the policy answers flow is sometimes a mistake.

And, you know, I was going to put in the record a newspaper article about a high school senior who came—fled from El Salvador when he was a little boy, who was just admitted to Stanford, Yale, and Harvard in science. I mean, he was a little kid.

I remember when the children fled from Honduras, El Salvador, and Guatemala, I went down with along with other Members of the Committee to visit the border and to see what was going on first-hand. And I will never forget going to the border stations one evening, and the oldest child there was about 14. Most of the kids were 7, 8, 9 years old—little kids. And to listen to them—you know, there was a 3-year-old there, who—the only thing the 3-year-old could say was “Miami.” No one knew where her mother was. And she, I think, ended up in a foster family.

So, obviously, our hearts go out to those little kids. You know, they haven’t suffered the way these mothers have, obviously, but those little kids had nothing to do with the murderers who devastated these families.

*Note: The material referred to is not printed in this hearing record but is on file with the Subcommittee. Also, see Lofgren submissions for the record at:

<http://docs.house.gov/Committee/Calendar/ByEvent.aspx?EventID=104803>.

And so I think it is important that we get the policy prescriptions right, informed by these very important stories. And I do not kid myself that it is easy to come in front of a Congressional Committee and open your heart about what has happened to you and your family.

Nevertheless, we've got to figure out what to do. We're still appropriating about 4 percent of the amount that would be required to remove every person without their documents in the U.S. And there are plenty of people who are here who are not harmful to anyone. The question is we need to sort out the bad guys from the good and make sure that our country's interests are well-served.

And I am wondering, Bishop, if you have taken a look at some of the policy prescriptions that have come out of this Committee. I think it might have been the Chairman of the Committee who mentioned H.R. 1148, the Michael Davis-Danny Oliver law, or H.R. 3009, the Sanctuary Cities Act—whether you've taken a look at those bills and whether you think that they would make our communities safer.

Bishop CARCAÑO. I have taken a look at them.

In fact, H.R. 1148 came out of some of the work from the office of Congressman Goodlatte. And I sat with a staff member of yours, Congressman Goodlatte, who described the work, some of the foundational work. And having at that point been, and still, in Arizona, serving as the bishop in Arizona, I was suddenly struck by the similarities with S.B. 1070. And I said to him, "It sounds just like S.B. 1070," and he said to me, "It is. We've just closed all the loopholes."

Well, S.B. 1070 and now H.R. 1148 is just—it's just a nationalization of 1070. It does racial profiling on megawatts. It gets police officers and local communities doing the work of ICE. It undermines the safety of communities in a national and big way.

H.R. 3009, to keep State and Federal funding from communities that are trying to live together in peace, that are trying to provide safety for everyone, just does not help. It is a perpetuation of those kinds of things that have been happening for decades.

Ms. LOFGREN. Bishop, I see my time has expired, so I thank all the witnesses.

And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentlelady yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the Chairman of the full Committee, the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Goodlatte.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, Bishop, this is a perfect segue for me to pick up where you just left off. So, in your testimony, you talked about the irresponsible actions that led to the deaths of the son and daughter of Ms. Wilkerson and Ms. Root, right? Irresponsible actions.

But isn't the violation of the law by illegally entering the United States or overstaying the amount of time that the United States Government has granted permission to an individual to stay in the United States an irresponsible action as well?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I wish it were that simple, Congressman.

Mr. GOODLATTE. It is a yes-or-no question.

Bishop CARCAÑO. No, it's not. I'm sorry that it's not. People who flee—

Mr. GOODLATTE. Well, then tell me why it's not an irresponsible action——

Bishop CARCAÑO. People who——

Mr. GOODLATTE [continuing]. To violate the law and cross the border into this country illegally, or knowing in your passport you have a document that allows you to stay here for a specified period of time and overstay that, why that is not an irresponsible action? Can't you at least concede that?

Bishop CARCAÑO. It's a difference between moral and just laws. When families are having——

Mr. GOODLATTE. No.

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. To flee their places of residence because they can't——

Mr. GOODLATTE. So do people get to choose which law they get to abide by? Is that what your philosophy is?

Bishop CARCAÑO. That's not my philosophy, but it is my philosophy that——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Well, then please tell me why it's not an irresponsible action to violate the law.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Because a parent has a responsibility to feed his or her children so that they don't die, as well.

Mr. GOODLATTE. But they can do that anywhere in the world that they're lawfully allowed to be, can they not?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I've looked at Germany and the wonderful things that they've done to allow immigrants to come there who are fleeing from persecution and——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Then that's just fine for the people of Germany, but the people of the United States have a set of laws on the books, and it's irresponsible for people to not abide by those laws.

And the consequence of that irresponsibility is the death of people. And it is not possible for anyone in this country to know which unaccompanied minor or which individual who comes across that border illegally or overstays their visa is going to be the person who results in the death of people who are simply going about their lives. They're in the wrong place at the wrong time. And it's irresponsible for them to not abide by our laws.

Now, let me ask you a question. Do you——

Bishop CARCAÑO. It is irresponsible to allow children to die. It is irresponsible to have——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Of course it's irresponsible to allow children to die. That's why it's irresponsible to allow them to come accompanied by gang members, accompanied by drug smugglers, accompanied by organized criminals, to bring them all the way from Central America through Mexico through our border. That's irresponsible.

Do you believe that those who knowingly enter the U.S. unlawfully and commit crimes—never mind that it's a crime itself to cross the border illegally. But if they knowingly commit crimes, should they be removed?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Criminal elements should be removed, but that's not what's happening here. Families, hardworking families, who are contributing to this society are——

Mr. GOODLATTE. There are over 250,000 people who have been convicted—convicted—of crimes in the United States who were al-

ready unlawfully present in the United States. Should they be removed? 250,000 who have been convicted of crimes, should they be removed by this Administration?

Bishop CARCAÑO. This Administration needs to continue to focus on reforming broken immigration laws that do not allow ICE and——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Well, a great place to start would be to——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Do not allow local police officers to do their jobs.

Mr. GOODLATTE [continuing]. Enforce the other laws of this country. Because the crimes against murder, the crimes against rape, the crimes against armed robbery, the crimes against child abuse, those are not crimes that are written on our books that depend upon whether somebody is here illegally or legally or whether they are a citizen of the United States or not a citizen of the United States.

And all I am asking you is, if you are not supposed to be here in the first place and you commit one of those crimes, why should you not be removed from the United States?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I would say address the law with the criminals, but don't undermine the fabric of families who are simply working, helping this country——

Mr. GOODLATTE. What are you doing——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. To do all that it needs to do.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Let me ask you this. What is your church doing to discourage people from coming to the United States illegally and staying in the United States illegally?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Well, first of all, we are working to welcome everyone. We are working——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Well, that is very concerning to me. So you're essentially aiding and abetting people who are violating the laws of our country?

Bishop CARCAÑO. We do not ask people their immigration status when we——

Mr. GOODLATTE. Why not?

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Feed the hungry. Because that's not our——

Mr. GOODLATTE. You could help them in Central America, you could help them in whatever country they're from just as easily as you can help them here. But your philosophy goes beyond that, doesn't it? You think that they should be welcomed in the United States regardless of their status.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Immigrants want to stay home. They're not able to stay home because we've undermined their economies. We have raped their land——

Mr. GOODLATTE. The population of the United States is about 5 percent of the world population. Ninety-five percent of the people in the world do not live in the United States and do not have a legal right to be in the United States.

Are you suggesting that because the United States is one of the more successful economies in the world that we should welcome all of those people to the United States because they can't take care of themselves at home?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I would restate that most immigrants want to stay home, but they can't feed their families. We're talking about Central Americans. Central Americans have come here because we've been involved in their economies in disastrous ways. We've been involved in their politics in ways that have undermined their countries and left their societies in shambles and in poverty.

You asked me——

Mr. GOODLATTE. And so we should bring them here?

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. If our church is doing anything. We are indeed working very hard in Honduras particularly to set up job training, to provide counsel for——

Mr. GOODLATTE. While you're there, are you telling people, don't make the dangerous, arduous journey to the United States because it's the wrong thing to do and you could harm yourself or your children?

Bishop CARCAÑO. We tell them how cruel the road is and how cruel immigration policies in the United States are as well.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Good. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Virginia yields back.

The Chair will now recognize the gentleman from Michigan, the Ranking Member of the full Committee, Mr. Conyers.

Mr. CONYERS. Thank you, Chairman.

This has been a deeply painful hearing for others in addition to our two mothers that are here before us.

And what I want to get to directly, Bishop Carcaño, is, what kind of immigration policies would you like to see changed in this country?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I believe that we need laws that reunify families. We've got families that are divided and separated because of our present immigration policies. Documented and undocumented families are separated.

Secondly, we do need to address giving some status to the 12 million who are here already working hard in our communities, contributing to this country.

I believe that we also need to bring to bear labor laws so that immigrants, documented and undocumented, are also treated with justice, with dignity, and with respect.

We need to look at laws that consider the economic needs of this country. Many of these immigrants are here because they've been drawn and recruited by companies who need them—planters in Yuma who are growing cabbage and need the workers to come and help them, the tourist industry in Nevada, the crops in California, the dairies in Wisconsin. They are recruiting these workers because they don't have enough workers.

Policies, laws that take into consideration our economic needs and fairly treats workers that are brought here or encouraged to come here as immigrants, those are the kinds of things that are needed.

Mr. CONYERS. Now, the Committee recognizes the complexity of this issue before us, and we are going to have a hearing continuing this subject where the Director of ICE will be present. So if anybody thinks that this is just a little passage that will be ended after this hearing, I want to make it clear that there is agreement

on both sides of the aisle on this Committee that we have Director Saldana come before the Committee, and we are going to go into many of the questions. I'm sure they're getting ready for them now.

And so I want to ask everybody else on the Committee the same question: Is there anything in particular we want to see? Because my impression now is that we've got—the laws are—there isn't anything wrong with the laws, but they're not being enforced properly. Is that your impression, as well?

Ms. ROOT. That's my impression.

Mr. CONYERS. Yes. Mine, as well.

Does everybody—

Sheriff JENKINS. Well, my opinion is it's not really that complex. The very simple laws are on the book. The funding is there for the laws to be enforced. I think to the extent that local law enforcement can support and cooperate with ICE, again, I think it would be helpful to every community out there.

Again, when you look at the alien crimes from coast to coast, you look at the increasing criminal gang activity in this country, absolutely, it's not a very complex problem. It's enforce the laws that are on the books.

Mr. CONYERS. All right. I appreciate that.

Would you care to comment?

Ms. WILKERSON. I would agree, enforce the laws that are here. We talk about making new ones. It's regardless, if you're not going to enforce them, why do we have them?

If I can expand just a little bit, you know, or respond to you just a little bit. If this is about God, you know, God's the creator of boundaries. And when you have no boundaries in your own families, in your own city, in your own State, in your own country, you have chaos. It's mass chaos.

You know, close the border, assess what's here, and then decide what to do. What I'm hearing from you is that we have messed up other country's laws and we owe them something so we're allowing them to come here. That's what I hear from you, and I just couldn't disagree more.

I don't know why I was born here and someone else, you know—but if you're going to include God in this, you know, bloom where you're planted. Put the energy into where you are. I just have such a disagreement with you about that.

This is not about loving or hating anyone. I don't want to hurt anyone. I don't want anyone to hurt. But, also, I'm not going to put my kid in harm's way so that they can have more money. I'm sorry, I won't do it. I would beg and borrow to feed my kids. I would not steal, cheat to feed my kids. That's what I would do.

Thank you.

Mr. CONYERS. My last question in a few seconds is this. Have we fallen into the feeling or viewpoint that the immigrant community is primarily comprised of criminals, rapists, and other undesirable elements? Because it's very easy for that attitude to grow.

Bishop, I'd like you to start off with that, and anybody else that would want to weigh in that, I'd love to hear—

Sheriff JENKINS. I would love to address that. That is a—

Mr. CONYERS. Well, wait a minute. I want to start off with the Bishop, and we'll go down this way.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman is out of time, but I will allow you to answer the question.

Mr. CONYERS. Thank you.

Bishop CARCAÑO. That kind of language just fosters racism, hatred, division in our communities. It's not accurate. Immigrants aren't any more of a criminal mind than native-born. And we divide our communities and undermine any possibility of being fruitful communities and safe communities.

Mr. CONYERS. Yes, ma'am, would you care to respond?

Ms. WILKERSON. I think the majority of people that are here are not rapists and murderers. I believe there's a lot of good-meaning, hardworking people in this country.

You cannot let go of the fact that if they have not come in the front door, they are illegal already, period. There is no discussion. Period. They need to adhere to the law about that. There's just no discussion. You can't pick and choose that.

I agree there's probably more hardworking people here than there are criminals. I don't believe all immigrants are criminals by any means. I don't believe it's because of where they're from, their race, or such.

And I don't even think that's what Mr. Trump said. I know that's what everybody wants to go to, but I do believe he was able to stand up and speak the truth about, you know, there are rapists here, there are criminals here, and they're here illegally.

So everyone that's here that didn't come in the front door has committed a crime. There's no way around it. You can't backtrack. If you want to change that law, change that law, but you can't backtrack over it. I can't teach my kids, well, you need to follow most of the rules, but these two are up for grabs. It doesn't work like that.

Mr. CONYERS. And we don't want to change that law here, I can assure you.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Michigan yields back.

Before I go to the gentleman from Texas, I just can't help but note to the former Chairman that the gentleman from Michigan just rebuked the use of generalities in discussing groups not 2 minutes after the Democrat witness just advocated for citizenship for all 12million, as if all 12 million are homogeneous and can all pass a background check.

So, with all due respect, it works both ways. If you're not going to overly characterize this group, then, for God's sake, don't overly characterize this group as all 12 million being worthy of citizenship.

And, with that, I'll go to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I also want to say that your earlier opening statement is one of the best I've heard, and I appreciate your comments.

As I understand it, over a recent 3-year period, the Administration has released back into our communities over 100,000 criminal aliens. These are individuals who have been arrested and incarcerated for crimes. These individuals subsequently committed thousands of other crimes. Many individuals were murdered. Many individuals were injured. Other individuals lost their property.

In fact, when you look at the statistics, just looking at murders, for example, illegal immigrants comprise about 3 percent of our population but commit roughly 30 percent of the murders. So an illegal immigrant in our country is about 10 times more likely to commit that serious crime than other individuals who reside in the United States.

And, quite frankly, all this makes me wonder if President Obama might be an accessory to the crimes committed by illegal immigrants, since he intentionally implemented policies that he knows are going to result in the murder and loss of life and injury to innocent Americans.

And I would simply say——

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman? A parliamentary inquiry. It is against the Committee rules to——

Mr. SMITH. I specifically——

Ms. LOFGREN [continuing]. Charge the President with a crime.

Mr. SMITH. Okay, I specifically chose my language the way I did, and it was a rhetorical question. I said “I wonder,” and I said “if he might.” So I picked those words carefully.

Let me resume my questioning, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

I'd like to ask Ms. Root and Ms. Wilkerson what they would say to President Obama if he were in this room today at the table with them and said, what do you think about my immigration policies? What would you say to the President?

Ms. Root first.

Ms. ROOT. I would like to ask him, if this was one of his children—he only has two, as I do—if he would still agree with this law.

Mr. SMITH. Okay.

And Ms. Wilkerson?

Ms. WILKERSON. I would just have to tell him that what his agenda was he's accomplished, by opening borders and leaving them wide open. I think that was his agenda, and it's been accomplished by not following the laws.

You see, the boundaries that I talked about just a minute ago, right here, we have boundaries around this meeting about what you can and cannot say. There are boundaries around everything. Do you lock your door at home? Do you lock your car? There are boundaries.

To open the border like it is right now—and it is wide open—is chaos for this country. It's chaos for it. We need to know who's here for safety. We do. In this age of terrorism, we need to know who's here. It's not 50 years ago when people wandered across the border to work a hard day and then go back home. It's a different time and period.

Mr. SMITH. And, if you will, Sheriff Jenkins, what would you say to the President about the results of his policies?

And let me thank you, too, for your testimony. It was forthright, it was honest and candid, and we appreciate that.

Sheriff JENKINS. Thank you, sir.

I would tell the President he is absolutely doing a disservice to Americans citizens.

He is also doing a disservice to the immigrant community, because, I can tell you, a large part of the criminal acts that occur

by criminal aliens and gang members occur within those immigrant communities. So he is creating, if you will, a pool of victims out there by not enforcing the laws to the extent that we can.

Mr. SMITH. Okay. Thank you, Sheriff.

Bishop Carcaño, a question for you, and that is: These criminal immigrants who have been apprehended, arrested, incarcerated, should they be released back into our communities or should they be deported?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I don't know their individual cases. So the law—

Mr. SMITH. No, no. I'm just talking, as a general matter, should, again, criminal aliens be released back in our communities, where a certain percentage will commit additional crimes, or should they be sent home?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I believe that criminals should be treated under the fullness of the law, and—

Mr. SMITH. And so no distinction?

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. This should be determined according to the law.

Mr. SMITH. And so they should be deported?

Bishop CARCAÑO. It's under the law.

Mr. SMITH. Okay. I agree with you. The Administration disagrees with both of us, but I certainly agree with you, they should be deported.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Texas yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the gentleman from Iowa, Mr. King.

Mr. KING. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This has been one of the more difficult—has been one of the more difficult hearings I have sat through. And it brings me back to some of the earlier hearings I heard in this room and my thoughts about the testimony that I would hear about those who perished in their attempt to get into America across the Arizona desert. And as I contemplated their banks of witnesses we heard testify to that human tragedy, it began to occur to me, how many Americans died at the hands of those who did make it across the desert?

And, Bishop, sitting in your chair was a witness who was former INS named Mike Cutler. As I asked the witnesses that question, it came to him as the last of the four to answer the question, and he said, "I don't know the answer to that, but I can tell you it will be in multiples of the victims of September 11th."

And that sparked something in me that brought about the request for—it turned into two—GAO studies. And to get apples to apples out of this Federal Government is a difficult thing to do, but we learned about the percentage of criminal aliens in our Federal prisons—27 to 28 percent, some numbers higher than that. We learned that Mike Cutler was right, that it is in the multiples of victims of September 11th.

Here we are looking at the tragedy, the tragedy that we're hearing from two mothers here today that have the strongest heart to be able to testify to the worst agony that a person could go through in a lifetime. And when I see these stories—and I regret we have not yet met, Ms. Root—that hit me very hard when it finally came

to me, there in my backyard was another tragedy that I've been struggling here in this Committee for years to try to prevent, right in the backyard.

And you know that I've been across the river to help out in Omaha when we've had these kind of tragedies, and now I learn in your testimony that another one took place while you were here.

And, Laura, this is at least your second time to testify before the Committee, and I know we did an event in Richmond, Virginia. I think that's where I first met you. The depth of your faith, to go to jail and forgive the murderous perpetrator that killed your son, that's equivalent to the nine families that stepped up to the pulpit in South Carolina and forgave the murderer there.

And when you said that God is the creator of boundaries, I don't know if anybody else in this room or panel knows what you're referring to, but I believe it was St. Paul's sermon on Mars Hill in Acts, when he said, "And God made all the nations on earth, and He decided when and where each nation would be." That's some of the boundaries that you're talking about that God lays out for us, and we're obligated to follow them.

I listened to the opening statement of the gentlelady from California. One of the first things she did was to criticize our witness, Sheriff Jenkins, for profiling. I grew up in a law enforcement family. If you've got gray hair and you've enforced the law, you have profiled. And you, by golly, better profile. There are lives saved by profiling, legitimately—not discriminating against people, but being wise enough to know there are certain people you pay attention to and other people that you don't.

And I'd put into the record, Sheriff Jenkins, you have likely saved many lives over your career because of good judgment. Included in that good judgment is profiling. Would that be correct?

Sheriff JENKINS. I would say that's probably accurate, yes, sir.

Mr. KING. And I would thank you.

And then I'd turn to Ms. Root.

And I want to again express the sympathies of this panel. But each of you here will know that it takes the President of the United States to take care that the laws be faithfully executed. And when he tells us he doesn't have the authority to grant his administrative amnesty 22 times and he sets forth and does it anyway—and now the Supreme Court is hearing an argument that somehow the President can create vast groups of people that include millions of people and then grant them at least a temporary amnesty until we get a President who will take his oath of office, that this heartache that we live under—this Congress hasn't had the will to shut off the funding to the President's golf cart or Air Force One in order to ensure that he enforce immigration laws. And I don't know that we can get this fixed at any time without a new President.

But I'd just ask if there's anything left that you'd like to say into this record, Ms. Root. I am so sympathetic to what you're able to do here.

And let the record show the witness declined. And I'd move to Ms. Wilkerson.

And I'd ask if you could add any more to your testimony here today.

Ms. WILKERSON. Thank you.

I just want to say that it's not about loving or unloving people. It's not about it at all. It's about following the laws. We have to have the boundaries. Everyone knows that's sitting here that if you don't have boundaries it's chaos. You don't allow your children to run your household, or you'd have chaos.

I don't understand why people can't understand a country with no borders is not a country. You might as well just call us the world. We're the world. Because, you know, if we have no borders, we're not the United States of America. Who are we? We don't know. We really don't know.

Mr. KING. And I thank you.

And I'd just ask a very quick question from Sheriff Jenkins.

Fourteen hundred placed into removal proceedings in cooperation with ICE. How many were actually deported?

Sheriff JENKINS. That, sir, I don't have the number. We never really know the number because it's on a case-by-case basis.

Mr. KING. That's what I thought. Thank you.

I thank the Chairman, and I yield back.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Iowa yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the gentleman from Texas, the former United States attorney, Mr. Ratcliffe.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to thank all the witnesses for being here today, specifically Ms. Wilkerson and Ms. Root. Thank you for being here. Your testimony really provides living proof that lawlessness with respect to our immigration laws in this country is having devastating consequences.

You know, we all trust that we're safe because we have brave law enforcement, men and women, patrolling our streets day and night to make sure that people obey the law. But then when our own government tells law enforcement that certain laws are not to be enforced, American families suffer—a point that is all too clearly and painfully illustrated by the heartbreaking losses that you have both experienced.

Ms. Wilkerson, as I read your testimony last night and then heard it today, I was frankly embarrassed to be part of a legislative body that has done so little since 2010, since Joshua's tragic death. And, you know, while I can tell you that your testimony today was compelling and motivating, I don't want to offer you any hollow promises. But what I do hope that you'll take away, and Ms. Root as well, is that your testimony and being here does make a difference, can make a difference.

To that point, Ms. Root, I want you—you'd have no reason to know this, but Sarah's death has been on my mind a lot recently, because it was a little over 2 weeks ago that a fire captain in my district, in Nevada, Texas, named Peter Hacking was driving a car, two children in the car, 4-year-old Ellie and 22-month-old Grayson, and they were killed. They were killed when an illegal alien, who had previously been deported, swerved from a northbound lane into a southbound lane where they were, struck Mr. Hacking's car, and killed all three of them.

Now, that individual, Margarito Quintero, had entered the United States illegally in 2006, had been arrested on criminal charges, and had been deported, only to return.

And so it was in the course of researching whether I could do anything to make sure that the Hacking family received justice I came across Sarah's story. And her story is what prompted me to personally call the ICE Director, Sarah Saldana, and ask her to place an immigration detainer on Mr. Quintero. Her story is what prompted me to call the U.S. attorney and ask that the Department of Justice prosecute Mr. Quintero for illegal reentry.

Now, you know, here's someone that had entered the country illegally and been arrested on criminal charges, been deported, again entered the country illegally, and yet here I was begging ICE to intervene, begging it to do its job.

But because of your family's experience, I knew that there was a chance that ICE might refuse to act. But I also believed that, because of the attention that Sarah's story has brought to this issue and the suffering that has been caused by this Administration's lawless policies, I'm happy to report that ICE did intervene in Mr. Hacking's case, and he is going to be prosecuted federally.

You know, the fact that I had to ask ICE to do its job and the fact that that action, in and of itself, became a news story in my district really says a lot about the lowly state of affairs, as does the fact that yesterday our Supreme Court had to weigh in on this issue. I think it really speaks to the dysfunctional place where we find ourselves.

You know, Ms. Wilkerson, Ms. Root, you're both right; it shouldn't take personal pleas from a Member of Congress to make this Administration enforce laws that are already on the books. As Chairman Gowdy said so well in his opening, when we talk about families being torn apart by illegal immigration in this country, it's families like yours that we should be talking about. Families like yours shouldn't have to endure the pain and suffering that you're experiencing. It is unnecessary, and I'm sorry for it.

The questions that I have for you have been asked by my colleagues up here already, and so I'd just thank you again for being here. And I hope, you know, that your testimony and Sarah and Joshua's story are making a difference.

Thank you, and I yield back.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Texas yields back.

The Chair would now recognize the gentleman from Colorado, the former district attorney, Mr. Buck.

Mr. BUCK. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Jenkins, I spent 25 years as a Federal prosecutor and then a district attorney and wanted to talk to you about a disturbing trend that I've seen in the last 7 years in law enforcement.

I have prosecuted aggravated reentry cases as a Federal prosecutor. I have also worked with the Feds in local law enforcement. And we had a program in northern Colorado where an ICE agent would ride along with a police officer assigned to the gang unit, and they would go to the scene of crimes and they would interview people. And the ICE agent would identify tattoos and other indicators of gang affiliation, and the Federal Government would intervene and prosecute individuals who were gang members accused of crimes. That program ended 7 years ago.

Have you had any similar experience with cooperation between the Feds and your county's sheriff's office or police departments that has also ended in the recent past?

Sheriff JENKINS. Well, actually, the cooperation between ICE currently and our agency is excellent. I have no complaints, no criticisms. Actually, everything works out of our detention center. We also gain a lot of intelligence off the street about gang activity, gang affiliations. We don't have a program where ICE actually rides along with us, but actual oversight, direct oversight of the program. So, again, the detention center is a chokepoint for everything.

You know, we ask two simple questions on arrest. And everybody that's arrested in Frederick County, regardless of the crime, the ethnicity, the race, the gender, whatever, everybody is asked two very simple questions: What country are you a citizen of, and where were you born? Any answer other than "the United States of America" kicks off an investigation into their immigration status. And we would ask the same of anybody in this room.

So that's where the program lies. That's where the effectiveness is. And so you can't say it's unfair, you can't say it's profiling or discriminatory.

Mr. BUCK. You took away my next question. I was going to ask you about profiling. Those are race-, ethnicity-neutral questions that are asked in every detention center, to my knowledge, in the country.

Sheriff JENKINS. Correct. Very honestly, the argument for those who want nothing done is that we're profiling. It doesn't happen.

I would even refute the Honorable Ms. Lofgren from California, when you brought up some supposed facts about the case of the young lady that was supposedly eating. That arrest had absolutely nothing to do with our program. Those were two police officers doing their job, and as they drove up to this woman, she got up for no apparent reason and just ran. Now, that throws up a red flag for law enforcement. These guys were police officers doing their job, simply thought there was a concern. You know, why are you getting up and running? What were you doing?

They had the right to ask her name, identity, her date of birth. Once they did that, they determined there was a warrant for her arrest. They took her into custody the same as any other law enforcement officer——

Mr. BUCK. Sheriff, I'm going to redirect your attention to me as opposed to Ms. Lofgren.

Sheriff JENKINS. Okay. I'm sorry, sir.

Ms. LOFGREN. Would the gentleman allow me just to respond briefly——

Mr. BUCK. Sure.

Ms. LOFGREN [continuing]. Since my name was—I was just quoting the 2012 decision of the U.S. district court in Maryland. You know, it wasn't my opinion. That's what the court said.

Sheriff JENKINS. No, I'm sorry. I'm sorry if I inferred that.

Mr. BUCK. What happens—after you ask those two neutral questions that you identified, what happens then in your detention facility?

Sheriff JENKINS. If we determine that they're in the country illegally and they have committed a crime——

Mr. BUCK. No, no, no, you haven't determined that yet. You've just determined an answer. They've given you an answer.

Sheriff JENKINS. Yeah, they've given us an answer.

Mr. BUCK. "I was not born in this country," for example.

Sheriff JENKINS. That's correct.

Mr. BUCK. If that answer—if you get that answer or one of your deputies gets that answer, what do they do at that point?

Sheriff JENKINS. We launch our check into their immigration status right there in the detention center.

Mr. BUCK. So you notify ICE at that point.

Sheriff JENKINS. We do. Actually, ICE is in our facility for the most part every day of the week during most day shifts. And, again, if they're not there to answer the question, they have immediate direct contact. And I'll tell you, we have access to all of the ICE databases. So we, in effect, do those checks, we prepare the detainers and the paperwork and do the holds for ICE.

Mr. BUCK. So you're not saying, based on the color of this person's skin, we believe we should refer to ICE. You're not saying, based on the language that this person speaks, that we should refer to ICE. You're saying, based on an answer to a question that we ask everybody that is arrested and detained in our facility, based on that, we are referring this person to ICE.

Sheriff JENKINS. If they're in fact—we determine they're in the country illegally, yes, sir.

Mr. BUCK. Now, based on the identity theft that we've all seen in this country because of illegal immigration, does ICE go by the name that's presented to them, or do they actually use some indicator like a thumbprint or some biometric measure?

Sheriff JENKINS. They actually also use biometrics, yes, sir.

Mr. BUCK. Okay. And that identifies them for ICE's database, and ICE can determine whether they're in this country legally or illegally. You're not the one making that determination.

Sheriff JENKINS. No, we're not.

Mr. BUCK. Okay.

I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GOWDY. The gentleman from Colorado yields back.

The Chair will now recognize himself for his questioning.

Bishop, you decried the use of generalities in describing the immigrant population right before you then used a generality of 12 million. You repeated the same talking points straight out of the DNC, 12 million aspiring citizens.

I'm assuming that you are not counting the killer of Ms. Wilkerson's son in that 12 million.

Bishop CARCAÑO. I'm not understanding your question.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, you said there's 12 million aspiring Americans here. And my point is all 12 million aren't aspiring, and all 12 million couldn't pass any background check, even one by the ACLU or a criminal defense attorney.

Surely you're not including the person that killed her son in the 12 million aspiring Americans.

Bishop CARCAÑO. I'm not sure that I used the term "aspiring."
I——

Mr. GOWDY. You said 12 million. You spoke as if the entire population is deserving of citizenship. That's precisely what you said.

Bishop CARCAÑO. I do believe that the 12 million who are here need to be considered for a pathway to citizenship.

Mr. GOWDY. How about the one who killed her son?

Bishop CARCAÑO. He's a criminal. I hope he's been dealt with as a criminal. But that's not the——

Mr. GOWDY. So it's 12 million minus 1. How about the one that killed——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. The whole community.

Mr. GOWDY. It's 12 million minus 1. How about the one that killed Ms. Root's daughter?

Bishop CARCAÑO. That's a criminal case. And we're talking about——

Mr. GOWDY. All right, so that's 12 million minus 2.

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Immigration policy.

Mr. GOWDY. How about the gang members in Sheriff Jenkins' jurisdiction?

Bishop CARCAÑO. If we're talking about safety in our communities, then let's——

Mr. GOWDY. How about the——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Talk about safety in our communities.

Mr. GOWDY [continuing]. Gang members in Sheriff Jenkins' jurisdiction? Are those part of the 12 million with which you made reference?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I do not know. What I do know——

Mr. GOWDY. You don't know whether or not a gang member should be included on a path to citizenship?

Bishop CARCAÑO. What I do know is that our communities deserve greater safety. And it's——

Mr. GOWDY. No, ma'am. I——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Not going to happen when we pit——

Mr. GOWDY [continuing]. Just respectfully——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Victims against victims.

Mr. GOWDY [continuing]. Disagree with you, Bishop. Americans citizens should not be victimized by crime. I think we can agree with that. An American citizen should not have to wait until someone who is not here legally commits another crime before we decide to get serious about enforcing our immigration laws.

So my question to you is this. Of the 12 million, if that number is right, minusing the 1 that killed Ms. Wilkerson's son, minus the 1 that killed Ms. Root's daughter, minus the gang members, minus the 300,000 that have already been adjudicated who have committed another criminal offense, how would you go about identifying the goods ones from the bad ones?

Bishop CARCAÑO. The way we identify good ones from the bad ones every single day, by looking at their character, by seeing how they're——

Mr. GOWDY. Well, how are we supposed to do that if we don't even know who they are, Bishop?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Well, we won't know who they are if we continue to treat them the way we're treating them now.

Mr. GOWDY. No, no, no, no. There's a way to know, but you just said you don't like the way that we've chosen to do it. You don't want local law enforcement——

Bishop CARCAÑO. No, I do not think that that is a helpful way.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, let me ask you this.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Immigrant communities want to help.

Mr. GOWDY. Why don't you——

Bishop CARCAÑO. They want to keep their communities safe. But they won't come forward if they think that they're going to be picked up by ICE——

Mr. GOWDY. Oh, no. We——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. If it's going to threaten their lives, if their——

Mr. GOWDY. No. We saw a perfect example——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. Children are going to be left behind.

Mr. GOWDY. It's going to be really tough for the court reporter if you continue to talk while I'm asking questions. She's got a tough enough job. So how about we just agree to go one at a time, okay?

How about Kate Steinle's murder in San Francisco? That's a sanctuary city. You wrote an op-ed on it. Do you remember that?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I did.

Mr. GOWDY. And you advocated for policies that prevent gun violence. Do you remember writing that?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Yes.

Mr. GOWDY. We have some of those policies. In fact, we call them laws. There's a law against shooting someone on a pier who's walking beside her father. We already have that law.

We already have a law where convicted felons can't purchase or possess firearms. Do you know that Kate Steinle's killer was a convicted felon?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Yes.

Mr. GOWDY. We also have a law that if you're not here legally, either overstayed a visa or crossed the border without permission, that you cannot legally possess or purchase a firearm. Did you know that was already a law?

Bishop CARCAÑO. Yes.

Mr. GOWDY. So what policies are you advocating for that would have saved Kate Steinle's life in addition to the ones we already have?

Bishop CARCAÑO. That's a very tragic situation, but you cannot blame all immigrants, documented and undocumented——

Mr. GOWDY. I'm not. I'm just blaming the one that shot her.

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. For the action of one person.

Mr. GOWDY. No, no, no, no. No.

Bishop CARCAÑO. And that's what you're doing.

Mr. GOWDY. Your approach is to wait until the murder and then do the deportation. And my approach is try to have as few body bags as we can possibly have, try to identify those that are not aspiring citizens before they kill somebody's son or daughter or daughter in San Francisco. That's my objective, to identify them before the crime.

So my question to you is, how are you going to do that?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I would argue that we need trust policies, where immigrants, documented and undocumented, can come forth to report crimes and to report when they, too, have been victims of crimes without the fear of being deported. We need that kind of trust. Otherwise——

Mr. GOWDY. You know what? I——

Bishop CARCAÑO [continuing]. These families will not come forward.

Immigrants do not want gangs in this country or in their communities. Many of them——

Mr. GOWDY. Listen, you don't hear me talking in generalities, Bishop. That was you that did that. I made a point in my opening of saying that we shouldn't be talking in generalities.

I do find it bitterly ironic that you are talking about trust among the immigrant community and you don't even trust local law enforcement to enforce our immigration laws. I find that richly ironic.

Why don't you trust local law enforcement to enforce our immigration laws?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I do not trust the procedures and policies under which we are expecting governance and policing to happen in our communities.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, Bishop, you trust them in murder cases, you trust them in child sex abuse cases, you trust them in narcotics cases, you trust them in kidnapping cases, you trust them in traffic violation cases. You trust them in every category of crime except you just don't want the sheriff enforcing immigration laws.

And yet you want to talk about building trust with the law enforcement community? And you don't trust him to enforce immigration laws even though you trust him to enforce every other category? And you want to talk about trust? Did I hear you right?

Bishop CARCAÑO. I want to talk about trust policies, yes.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, I want to talk about the law. I want to talk about the law. You said we are a Nation of immigrants. That may be true. We are also a Nation of laws. And the ability to pick and choose the ones that you want based on political expediency or your view of is theology is going to undermine this republic very quickly. And, as a result, we'll have more panels with more moms.

With that——

Bishop CARCAÑO. The laws of this country change when we realize that they're immoral or unjust.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, then you can run for Congress and change the law. But as it stands right now, we're going to enforce it. If you don't like the law, you can change it. But what you can't do is just selectively decide which ones you want to enforce and which ones you don't. Because that's called anarchy.

Bishop CARCAÑO. Congressman, we have broken immigration policies. That is what needs to be addressed.

Mr. GOWDY. Okay. But you just sat there and listed all the laws that we passed to try to address it and you didn't like a single one of them. You didn't like the law empowering local law enforcement. You don't like the fact that we would deny Federal funding to sanctuary cities that harbor people like the man who killed Kate Steinle.

So it's not a question of passing laws, Bishop, with all due respect. It's a question of passing laws you like.

Bishop CARCAÑO. It's a question of passing laws that are just and humane.

Mr. GOWDY. All right. And if there's anything more relative in the world than the word "just," I don't know what it is. So, rather than aspire to justice, I'm just going to aspire that we actually enforce the law, because that is the community's manifestation of justice. We take what we think about justice and mercy and then we codify it in something we call the law.

And, by the way, Bishop, these aspiring citizens that you talk about, the 12 million minus 1, 2, God knows how many, in their oath of citizenship, there are a half-dozen references to the law—a half-dozen references to the law in the oath that we expect new citizens to take. I think it would be a really neat idea if we actually enforced the law so that citizenship oath actually had a little bit of meaning, because right now it doesn't seem to.

With that, I don't see—

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. GOWDY. Yes, ma'am.

Ms. LOFGREN. I would ask unanimous—speaking of the law, I would like unanimous consent to place in the record the case of *Santos v. Frederick County Board of Commissioners* from the Fourth Circuit outlining the violation of law committed by the Frederick County sheriff, Charles Jenkins.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, I'm happy to grant unanimous consent. Does the opinion say that Sheriff Jenkins violated the law, or does it cite some decision made by an officer in his department?

Ms. LOFGREN. It actually, I believe, is the sheriff. But it's 38 pages long, and you can read it yourself.

Mr. GOWDY. Well, I'm a slow reader, and I don't know if I can do it. But I just want to be fair, and I know the gentlelady from California is always fair.

Ms. LOFGREN. I'm always trying to be fair.

Mr. GOWDY. I know you are. And people can read the opinion for what it says.

Ms. LOFGREN. Let me retract my words and ask unanimous consent to place the decision in the record.*

Mr. GOWDY. Without objection.

With that, I want to thank the Members and our witnesses. I appreciate the perspective that each of you have loaned to the Committee. Again, not singling out any witnesses, but special condolences on behalf of everyone, no matter where they sit on this dais, for the two mothers in the loss of their children.

With that, any Members who have additional statements or questions are welcome to make them part of the record, and we stand adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 12:09 p.m., the Subcommittee was adjourned.]

***Note:** The material referred to is not printed in this hearing record but is on file with the Subcommittee. Also, see Lofgren submissions for the record at:

<http://docs.house.gov/Committee/Calendar/ByEvent.aspx?EventID=104803>.

A P P E N D I X

MATERIAL SUBMITTED FOR THE HEARING RECORD

PRESIDENT

Daniel Stern

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Bob Dane

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Donald A. Collins, Jr., Chairman
 Nancy S. Anthony
 Duke Austin
 Sharon Barnes
 Douglas E. Carlson, MD, MPH, FAHA, FAHA (Honorary)
 James R. Percy
 Sarah G. Epstein
 Dale M. Herder, PhD
 Frank Morris, PhD
 Roy Porter

NATIONAL BOARD OF ADVISORS*Partial Listing*

The Hon. Brian Biliray, Co-Chairman
 Donald A. Collins, Jr., Co-Chairman
 The Hon. Louis Gohmert
 Gwlad Brantachay
 Germa Bkalis
 J. Bayard Boyle, Jr.
 Hugh Brinn
 John Brooks
 Frances Burke, PhD
 Paul Choate, Ph.D.
 Clifford Cowell, MD
 Kevin Donalson
 Alfred P. Doyle, MD
 Devin Duval
 Paul Eggen
 Bonnie Eibé
 Robert Gillespie
 Otis L. Graham, Jr., PhD
 Joseph R. Guzzardi
 Robert E. Hannay
 Heesie Harris
 Lawrence E. Hamilton
 Marilyn Hempel
 Diana Hull, PhD
 Glenn Jackson
 The Hon. Richard D. Lamm
 Roy C. Lerman
 Donald Mann
 Scott McConnell
 James G. McDonald, Esq.
 Peter Nunez, Esq.
 Robert D. Park
 Fred Perkhams, PhD
 Bruce S. Reid
 David M. Schippers, Esq.
 The Hon. Alan K. Simpson
 John Philip Sousa, IV
 John Tanton, MD
 Alan Weisler
 The Hon. Curt Wicker, Jr.

FAIR is a nonprofit public interest
 organization working to end illegal
 immigration and to set levels of legal
 immigration that are consistent with the
 national interest.



April 27, 2016

The Honorable Trey Gowdy
 Chairman
 Immigration and Border Security Subcommittee
 United States House of Representatives
 Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren
 Ranking Member
 Immigration and Border Security Subcommittee
 United States House of Representatives
 Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Gowdy and Ranking Member Lofgren,

On behalf of the Federation for American Immigration Reform's (FAIR) 300,000 members and supporters nationwide, I am writing to thank you for holding the hearing "The Real Victims of a Reckless and Lawless Immigration Policy: Families and Survivors Speak Out." Immigration enforcement must focus on protecting American families, not shielding criminal aliens.

This administration has claimed that deporting criminal aliens is a top priority, yet thousands continue to be released into communities across the country. In Fiscal Year 2014, the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) released back onto the streets 30,558 criminal aliens who had been convicted of 92,347 crimes. Moreover, 179,029 criminal aliens with final removal orders remain in the United States. Most disturbing, 124 criminal aliens released from jail since 2010 have subsequently been charged with murder.

The Obama administration's interior enforcement is abysmal. Although there are more than 2 million criminal aliens residing in the U.S., in 2014, ICE deported only about 63,000—a mere 3 percent—even though 95 percent of criminal aliens are known to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

While pro-amnesty groups continue to say that the United States needs "an immigration system that keeps families together, protects workers, and prioritizes due process and human rights," they completely disregard how



25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW • SUITE 330 • WASHINGTON, DC 20001 • 202.328.7004 • 202.387.3447 (FAX)
 WWW.FAIRUS.ORG

tragedies committed by criminal aliens have torn apart families like the Wilkersons and Roots.

FAIR encourages the Immigration and Border Security Subcommittee to respectfully ask House leadership to bring the Davis-Oliver Act, H.R. 1148 to the floor for a vote. This important legislation recognizes that U.S. immigration laws exist to protect the safety and security of the American people and that all law enforcement agencies, as well as state and local governments, have a sworn obligation to work together to see that those laws are carried out. Among its provisions, the Davis-Oliver Act gives states access to federal programs so they can identify inadmissible and deportable aliens as well as obligates the states to provide the DHS with information about apprehended aliens. Further, the Davis-Oliver Act would provide for federal custody of apprehended aliens and direct the DHS to expand the Criminal Alien Program to ensure criminal aliens are not released.

By failing to act, our government is sending a clear message to the American people that it cares less about protecting public safety than ensuring that illegally present criminal aliens remain here. FAIR believes the House must pass the Davis-Oliver Act to protect American citizens.

Sincerely,



Dan Stein
President